





Sir John Lubbock's Hundred Books

THE
HISTORY OF ENGLAND

FROM THE
INVASION OF JULIUS CÆSAR
TO
THE REVOLUTION IN 1688
IN THREE VOLUMES

BY
DAVID HUME, ESQ.

VOL. II.

A new Edition, with the Author's last Corrections and Improvements

TO WHICH IS PREFIXED
A SHORT ACCOUNT OF HIS LIFE WRITTEN BY HIMSELF

REPRINT OF THE EDITION OF 1786

London and New York
GEORGE ROUTLEDGE AND SONS
LIMITED

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER XXIV.

Accession of Henry VII.—His title to the crown.—King's prejudice against the house of York.—His joyful reception in London.—His coronation.—Sweating sickness.—A parliament.—Entail of the crown.—King's marriage.—An insurrection.—Discontents of the people.—Lambert Simnel.—Revolt of Ireland.—Intrigues of the Duchess of Burgundy.—Lambert Simnel invades England.—The Battle of Stoke 1-14

CHAPTER XXV.

State of foreign affairs.—State of Scotland—of Spain—of the Low Countries—of France—of Brittany.—French invasion of Brittany.—French embassy to England.—Dissimulation of the French court.—An insurrection in the North—suppressed.—King sends forces into Brittany.—Annexation of Brittany to France.—A parliament.—War with France.—Invasion of France.—Peace with France.—Perkin Warbeck.—His imposture.—He is avowed by the Duchess of Burgundy—and many of the English nobility.—Trial and execution of Stanley.—A parliament 14-35

CHAPTER XXVI.

Perkin retires to Scotland.—Insurrection in the west.—Battle of Blackheath.—Truce with Scotland.—Perkin taken prisoner.—Perkin executed.—The Earl of Warwick executed.—Marriage of Prince Arthur with Catherine of Arragon.—His death.—Marriage of the Princess Margaret with the King of Scotland.—Oppressions of the people.—A parliament.—Arrival of the King of Castile.—Intrigues of the Earl of Suffolk.—Sickness of the king.—His death—his character—and his laws 35-59

CHAPTER XXVII.

Popularity of the new king.—His ministers.—Punishment of Empson and Dudley.—King's marriage.—Foreign affairs.—Julius II.—League of Cambray.—War with France.—Expedition to Fonta-

rabia.—Deceit of Ferdinand.—Return of the English.—Leo X.—A parliament.—War with Scotland.—Wolsey minister.—His character.—Invasion of France.—Battle of Guinegate.—Battle of Flodden.—Peace with France 59-79

CHAPTER XXVIII.

Wolsey's administration.—Scotch affairs.—Progress of Francis I.—Jealousy of Henry.—Tournay delivered to France.—Wolsey appointed legate.—His manner of exercising that office.—Death of the Emperor Maximilian.—Charles, King of Spain, chosen emperor.—Interview between Henry and Francis, near Calais.—The Emperor Charles arrives in England.—Mediation of Henry.—Trial and condemnation of the Duke of Buckingham 79-93

CHAPTER XXIX.

Digression concerning the ecclesiastical state.—Origin of the Reformation.—Martin Luther.—Henry receives the title of Defender of the Faith.—Causes of the progress of the Reformation.—War with France.—Invasion of France.—War with Scotland.—A parliament.—Invasion of France.—Italian wars.—The King of France invades Italy.—Battle of Pavia and captivity of Francis.—Francis recovers his liberty.—Sack of Rome.—League with France . . . 93-118

CHAPTER XXX.

Scruples concerning the king's marriage.—The king enters into these scruples.—Anne Boleyn.—Henry applies to the pope for a divorce.—The pope favourable.—The emperor threatens him.—The pope's ambiguous conduct.—The cause evoked to Rome.—Wolsey's fall.—Commencement of the Reformation in England.—Foreign affairs.—Wolsey's death.—A parliament.—Progress of the Reformation.—A parliament.—King's final breach with Rome.—A parliament 118-146

CHAPTER XXXI.

Religious principles of the people—of the king—of the ministers.—Farther progress of the Reformation.—Sir Thomas More.—The Maid of Kent.—Trial and execution of Fisher, Bishop of Rochester—of Sir Thomas More.—King excommunicated.—Death of Queen Catherine.—Suppression of the lesser monasteries.—A parliament.—A convocation.—Translation of the Bible.—Disgrace of Queen Anne.—Her trial—and execution.—A parliament.—A convocation.—Discontents among the people.—Insurrection.—Birth of Prince Edward, and death of Queen Jane.—Suppression of the greater monasteries.—Cardinal Pole 146-182

CHAPTER XXXII.

Disputation with Lambert.—A parliament.—Law of the six articles.—
Proclamations made equal to laws.—Settlement of the succession.—
King's projects of marriage.—He marries Anne of Cleves.—He
dislikes her.—A parliament.—Fall of Cromwell.—His execution.—
King's divorce from Anne of Cleves.—His marriage with Catherine
Howard.—State of affairs in Scotland.—Discovery of the Queen's
dissolute life.—A parliament.—Ecclesiastical affairs . . . 182-204

CHAPTER XXXIII.

War with Scotland.—Victory at Solway.—Death of James V.—Treaty
with Scotland.—New rupture.—Rupture with France.—A parlia-
ment.—Affairs of Scotland.—A parliament.—Campaign in France.
—A parliament.—Peace with France and Scotland.—Persecutions.
—Execution of the Earl of Surrey.—Attainder of the Duke of
Norfolk.—Death of the king.—His character.—Miscellaneous
transactions. 204-233

CHAPTER XXXIV.

State of the regency.—Innovations in the regency.—Hertford pro-
tector.—Reformation completed.—Gardiner's opposition.—Foreign
affairs.—Progress of the Reformation in Scotland.—Assassination of
Cardinal Beaton.—Conduct of the war with Scotland.—Battle of
Pinkey.—A parliament.—Further Progress of the Reformation.—
Affairs of Scotland.—Young Queen of Scots sent into France.—
Cabals of Lord Seymour.—Dudley, Earl of Warwick.—A parlia-
ment.—Attainder of Lord Seymour.—His execution.—Ecclesiastical
affairs 233-257

CHAPTER XXXV.

Discontents of the people.—Insurrections.—Conduct of the war with
Scotland.—With France.—Factions in the council.—Conspiracy
against Somerset.—Somerset resigns the protectorship.—A parlia-
ment.—Peace with France and Scotland.—Boulogne surrendered.—
Persecution of Gardiner.—Warwick created Duke of Northumber-
land.—His ambition.—Trial of Somerset.—His execution.—A par-
liament.—A new parliament.—Succession changed.—The king's
sickness—and death 257-279

CHAPTER XXXVI.

Lady Jane Grey proclaimed queen.—Deserted by the people.—The
queen proclaimed and acknowledged.—Northumberland executed.—
Catholic religion restored.—A parliament.—Deliberations with re-
gard to the queen's marriage.—Queen's marriage with Phillip.—

Wyat's insurrection.—Suppressed.—Execution of Lady Jane Grey.
—A parliament.—Phillip's arrival in England. . . . 279-300

CHAPTER XXXVII.

Reasons for and against toleration.—Persecutions.—A parliament.—
The queen's extortions.—Charles resigns his crown.—Execution of
Cranmer.—War with France.—Battle of St. Quintin.—Calais taken
by the French.—Affairs of Scotland.—Marriage of the Dauphin and
the Queen of Scots.—A parliament.—Death of Queen Mary 300-325

CHAPTER XXXVIII.

Queen's popularity.—Re-establishment of the Protestant religion.—A
parliament.—Peace with France.—Disgust between the queen and
Mary Queen of Scots.—Affairs of Scotland.—Reformation in Scot-
land.—Civil wars in Scotland.—Interposal of the queen in the affairs
of Scotland.—Settlement of Scotland.—French affairs.—Arrival of
Mary in Scotland.—Bigotry of the Scotch reformers.—Wise govern-
ment of Elizabeth. 325-359

CHAPTER XXXIX.

State of Europe.—Civil wars of France.—Havre de Grace put in
possession of the English.—A parliament.—Havre lost.—Affairs of
Scotland.—The Queen of Scots marries the Earl of Darnley.—Con-
federacy against the Protestants.—Murder of Rizzio.—A parliament.
—Murder of Darnley.—Queen of Scots marries Bothwell.—Insur-
rections in Scotland.—Imprisonment of Mary.—Mary flies into
England.—Conferences at York and Hampton Court . . 359-408

CHAPTER XL.

Character of the puritans.—Duke of Norfolk's conspiracy.—Insur-
rection in the north.—Assassination of the Earl of Murray.—A par-
liament.—Civil wars of France.—Affairs of the Low Countries.—
New conspiracy of the Duke of Norfolk.—Trial of Norfolk.—His
execution.—Scotch affairs.—French affairs.—Massacre of Paris.—
French affairs.—Civil wars of the Low Countries.—A parliament
408-452

CHAPTER XLI.

Affairs of Scotland.—Spanish affairs.—Sir Francis Drake.—A par-
liament.—Negotiations of marriage with the Duke of Anjou.—
Affairs of Scotland.—Letter of Queen Mary to Elizabeth.—Con-
spiracies in England.—A parliament.—The ecclesiastical commis-
sion.—Affairs of the Low Countries.—Hostilities with Spain
452-481

CHAPTER XLII.

Zeal of the Catholics.—Babington's conspiracy.—Mary assents to the conspiracy.—The conspirators seized and executed.—Resolution to try the Queen of Scots.—The commissioners prevail on her to submit to the trial.—The trial.—Sentence against Mary.—Interposition of King James.—Reasons for the execution of Mary.—The execution.—Mary's character.—The queen's affected sorrow.—Drake destroys the Spanish fleet at Cadiz.—Phillip projects the invasion of England.—The Invincible Armada.—Preparations in England.—The Armada arrives in the channel.—Defeated.—A parliament.—Expedition against Portugal.—Affairs of Scotland 481-525

CHAPTER XLIII.

French affairs.—Murder of the Duke of Guise.—Murder of Henry III.—Progress of Henry IV.—Naval enterprises against Spain.—A parliament.—Henry IV. embraces the Catholic religion.—Scotch affairs.—Naval enterprises.—A parliament.—Peace of Vervins.—The Earl of Essex 525-548

CHAPTER XLIV.

State of Ireland.—Tyrone's rebellion.—Essex sent over to Ireland.—His ill-success.—Returns to England.—Is disgraced.—His intrigues.—His insurrection.—His trial and execution.—French affairs.—Mountjoy's success in Ireland.—Defeat of the Spaniards and Irish.—A parliament.—Tyrone's submission.—Queen's sickness—and death—and character 548-581

APPENDIX III.

Government of England.—Revenues.—Commerce.—Military force.—Manufactures.—Learning 581-604

THE BATTLE OF FLODDEN FIELD, 9TH SEPT. 1513.

See Hume, vol. ii., p. 75; but read also the narrative of one, himself a warrior and almost a contemporary :—

‘James IV. of Scotland, firing his hutts, dislodges covertly by the benefit of the smoak, and keeping still on the higher ground, at last commands a stay. Presently after Earl Surrey also traversing some boggs and marshes, till he arriv’d at the bottom of this bank, found the ascent not very steep, and thereupon encourageth his men to fight. This done, he marcheth up; the vantguard was led by his two sons, the Lord Thomas and Sir Edmund; the battle by himself, and the rear by Sir Ed. Stanley. The Lord Dacres with his horse, being appointed as a reserve on all occasions. The king observing this well, and judging that it was not without much disadvantage that the English came to fight, exhorts his men to behave themselves like brave soldiers, and thereupon joyns battle. Sir Edmund Howard was at first in some distress, by the singular valour of the Earls of Lenox and Argile; but the Lord Dacres coming to his succour, as also one Heron, the fight was renewed: the Lord Thomas Howard pursu’d his point better; yet so, as he found a brave opposition from the Earls of Crawford and Montross. The king’s battle, and the earl’s likewise maintained together a long and sharp fight. This while Sir Ed. Stanley by force of archers, constrained the Scots to descend the hill, insomuch that, for avoiding his storm of arrows, they open’d their ranks, and therein seem’d to give one of the first overtures for victory. The king perceiving the disorder, redoubl’d his courage, insomuch that our writers confess, he had almost overthrown the earl’s standards. But the Lord Thomas Howard and Sir Ed. Stanley, who had discomfited their opposites coming to succours, and the Lord Dacres also flying in with his horse, the Scots were so hardly put to it, that for their last defence they cast themselves into a ring; in that order of fight doing all that valiant men possibly could to defend themselves. No man yet did in his person more than the king; insomuch, that pressing on still, he was at last killed on the place, as our writers have it. * * * The fight continuing three hours, made the event doubtful, and the execution great. In conclusion, most of the nobler sort, one archbishop, and two bishops, besides four abbots on the Scots’ side were slain there, and about 10,000 others. * * * it was a bloody victory.’—ENGLAND UNDER HEN. VIII. BY LORD HERBERT OF CHERBURY. *Murray’s Reprint*, p. 150.

THE
HISTORY OF ENGLAND,
BY
DAVID HUME, ESQ.

CHAPTER XXIV.

HENRY VII.

Accession of Henry VII.—His title to the crown.—King's prejudice against the house of York.—His joyful reception in London.—His coronation.—Sweating sickness.—A parliament.—Entail of the crown.—King's marriage.—An insurrection.—Discontents of the people.—Lambert Simnel.—Revolt of Ireland.—Intrigues of the Duchess of Burgundy.—Lambert Simnel invades England.—The Battle of Stoke.

THE victory which the Earl of Richmond gained at Bosworth (A.D. 1485, Aug. 22) was entirely decisive; being attended, as well with the total rout and dispersion of the royal army, as with the death of the king himself. Joy for this great success suddenly prompted the soldiers, in the field of battle, to bestow on their victorious general the appellation of king, which he had not hitherto assumed; and the acclamations of Long live Henry the Seventh! by a natural and unpremeditated movement, resounded from all quarters. To bestow some appearance of formality on this species of military election, Sir William Stanley brought a crown of ornament, which Richard wore in battle, and which had been found among the spoils, and he put it on the head of the victor. Henry himself remained not in suspense, but immediately without hesitation, accepted of the magnificent present which was tendered him. He was come to the crisis of his fortune; and being obliged suddenly to determine himself, amidst great difficulties which he must have frequently revolved in his mind, he chose that part which his ambition suggested to him, and to which he seemed to be invited by his present success.

There were many titles on which Henry could found his right to the crown, but no one of them free from great objections, if considered with respect either to justice or to policy.

During some years Henry had been regarded as heir to the house of Lancaster by the party attached to that family; but the title of the house of Lancaster itself was generally thought to be very ill-founded. Henry IV., who had first raised it to royal dignity, had never clearly defined the foundation of his claim; and while he plainly invaded the order of succession, he had not acknowledged the election of the people. The parliament, it is true, had often recognised the title of the Lancastrian princes; but these votes had little authority, being considered

as instances of complaisance towards a family in possession of present power; and they had accordingly been often reversed during the late prevalence of the house of York. Prudent men also who had been willing, for the sake of peace, to submit to any established authority, desired not to see the claims of that family revived; claims which must produce many convulsions at present, and which disjointed for the future the whole system of hereditary right. Besides, allowing the title of the house of Lancaster to be legal, Henry himself was not the true heir of that family; and nothing but the obstinacy natural to faction, which never, without reluctance, will submit to an antagonist, could have engaged the Lancastrians to adopt the Earl of Richmond as their head. His mother, indeed, Margaret, Countess of Richmond, was sole daughter and heir of the Duke of Somerset, sprung from John of Gaunt, Duke of Lancaster. But the descent of the Somerset line was itself illegitimate and even adulterous. And though the Duke of Lancaster had obtained the legitimation of his natural children by a patent from Richard II., confirmed in parliament; it might justly be doubted whether this deed could bestow any title to the crown, since, in the patent itself, all the privileges conferred by it are fully enumerated, and the succession to the kingdom is expressly excluded.¹ In all settlements of the crown made during the reigns of the Lancastrian princes, the line of Somerset had been entirely overlooked; and it was not till the failure of the legitimate branch, that men had paid any attention to their claim. And, to add to the general dissatisfaction against Henry's title, his mother, from whom he derived all his right, was alive, and preceded him in the order of succession.

The title of the house of York, both from the plain reason of the case, and from the late popular government of Edward IV., had universally obtained the preference in the sentiments of the people; and Henry might engraft his claim on the rights of that family, by his intended marriage with the Princess Elizabeth, the heir of it; a marriage which he had solemnly promised to celebrate, and to the expectation of which he had chiefly owed all his past successes. But many reasons dissuaded Henry from adopting this expedient. Were he to receive the crown only in right of his consort, his power, he knew, would be very limited; and he must expect rather to enjoy the bare title of king by a sort of courtesy, than possess the real authority which belongs to it. Should the princess die before him without issue, he must descend from the throne, and give place to the next in succession; and even if his bed should be blest with offspring, it seemed dangerous to expect that filial piety in his children would prevail over the ambition of obtaining present possession of regal power. An act of parliament, indeed, might easily be procured to settle the crown on him during life; but Henry knew how much superior the claim of succession by blood was to the authority of an assembly (Bacon, p. 268, ed. 1870): which had always been overborne by violence in the shock of contending titles, and which had ever been more governed by the conjunctures of the times, than by any consideration derived from reason or from public interest.

There was yet a third foundation on which Henry might rest his claim, — the right of conquest, by his victory over Richard, the present possessor

¹ Rymer, tom. vii., p. 845; Coke's Inst., 4 Inst., part i., p. 37.

of the crown. But, besides that Richard himself was deemed no better than an usurper, the army which fought against him consisted chiefly of Englishmen, and a right of conquest over England could never be established by such a victory. Nothing also would give greater umbrage to the nation than a claim of this nature; which might be construed as an abolition of all their rights and privileges, and the establishment of absolute authority in the sovereign (Bacon, p. 268, ed. 1870). William himself, the Norman, though at the head of a powerful and victorious army of foreigners, had at first declined the invidious title of conqueror; and it was not till the full establishment of his authority, that he had ventured to advance so violent and destructive a pretension.

But Henry was sensible that there remained another foundation of power somewhat resembling the right of conquest, namely, present possession; and that this title guarded by vigour and abilities would be sufficient to secure perpetual possession of the throne. He had before him the example of Henry IV., who, supported by no better pretension, had subdued many insurrections, and had been able to transmit the crown peaceably to his posterity. He could perceive that this claim, which had been perpetuated through three generations of the family of Lancaster, might still have subsisted notwithstanding the preferable title of the house of York, had not the sceptre devolved into the hands of Henry VI. which were too feeble to sustain it. Instructed by this recent experience, Henry was determined to put himself in possession of regal authority; and to show all opponents that nothing but force of arms and a successful war should be able to expel him. His claim as heir to the house Lancaster he was resolved to advance, and never allowed to be discussed; and he hoped that this right, favoured by the partisans of that family, and seconded by his present power, would secure him a perpetual and an independent authority.

These views of Henry are not exposed to much blame; because founded on good policy, and even on a species of necessity; but there entered into all his measures and counsels another motive, which admits not of the same apology. The violent contentions, which, during so long a period, had been maintained between the rival families, and the many sanguinary revenges which they had alternately taken on each other, had inflamed the opposite factions to a high pitch of animosity. Henry himself, who had seen most of his near friends and relations perish in battle or by the executioner, and who had been exposed in his own person, to many hardships and dangers, had imbibed a violent antipathy to the York party, which no time or experience were ever able to efface. Instead of embracing the present happy opportunity of abolishing these fatal distinctions, of uniting his title with that of his consort, and of bestowing favour indiscriminately on the friends of both families, he carried to the throne all the partialities which belong to the head of a faction, and even the passions which are carefully guarded against by every true politician in that situation. To exalt the Lancastrian party, to depress the adherents of the house of York, were still the favourite objects of his pursuit; and through the whole course of his reign, he never forgot these early prepossessions. Incapable, from his natural temper, of a more enlarged

and more benevolent system of policy, he exposed himself to many present inconveniences, by too anxiously guarding against that future possible event, which might disjoin his title from that of the princess whom he espoused. And while he treated the Yorkists as enemies, he soon rendered them such, and taught them to discuss that right to the crown, which he so carefully kept separate; and to perceive its weakness and its invalidity.

To these passions of Henry, as well as to his suspicious politics, we are to ascribe the measures which he embraced two days after the battle of Bosworth. Edward Plantagenet, Earl of Warwick, son of the Duke of Clarence, was detained in a kind of confinement at Sheriff-Hutton, in Yorkshire, by the jealousy of his uncle Richard, whose title to the throne was inferior to that of the young prince. Warwick had now reason to expect better treatment, as he was no obstacle to the succession either of Henry or Elizabeth; and, from a youth of such tender years, no danger could reasonably be apprehended. But Sir Robert Willoughby was despatched by Henry, with orders to take him from Sheriff-Hutton, to convey him to the Tower, and to detain him in close custody (Bacon, p. 269, ed. 1870; Polydore Vergil, p. 565). The same messenger carried directions that the Princess Elizabeth, who had been confined to the same place, should be conducted to London, in order to meet Henry, and there to celebrate her nuptials.

Henry himself set out for the capital, and advanced by slow journeys. Not to rouse the jealousy of the people, he took care to avoid all appearance of military triumph; and so to restrain the insolence of victory, that everything about him bore the appearance of an established monarch making a peaceable progress through his dominions, rather than of a prince who had opened his way to the throne by force of arms. The acclamations of the people were everywhere loud, and no less sincere and hearty. Besides that a young and victorious prince, on his accession, was naturally the object of popularity, the nation promised themselves great felicity from the new scene which opened before them. During the course of near a whole century the kingdom had been laid waste by domestic wars and convulsions; and if at any time the noise of arms had ceased, the sound of faction and discontent still threatened new disorders. Henry, by his marriage with Elizabeth, seemed to ensure a union of the contending titles of the two families; and having prevailed over a hated tyrant, who had anew disjoined the succession even of the house of York, and had filled his own family with blood and murder, he was everywhere attended with the unfeigned favour of the people. Numerous and splendid troops of gentry and nobility accompanied his progress. The mayor and companies of London received him as he approached the city; the crowds of people and citizens were zealous in their expressions of satisfaction. But Henry, amidst this general effusion of joy, discovered still the stateliness and reserve of his temper, which made him scorn to court popularity: he entered London in a close chariot, and would not gratify the people with a sight of their sovereign.

But Henry did not so much neglect the favour of the people, as to delay giving them assurances of his marriage with the Princess Elizabeth, which he knew to be so passionately desired by the nation.

On his leaving Brittany, he had artfully dropped some hints, that if he should succeed in his enterprise, and obtain the crown of England, he would espouse Anne, the heir of that duchy; and the report of this engagement had already reached England, and had begotten anxiety in the people, and even in Elizabeth herself. Henry took care to dissipate these apprehensions, by solemnly renewing before the council and principal nobility, the promise which he had already given, to celebrate his nuptials with the English princess. But though bound by honour, as well as by interest, to complete this alliance, he was resolved to postpone it till the ceremony of his own coronation should be finished, and till his title should be recognized by parliament. Still anxious to support his personal and hereditary right to the throne, he dreaded lest a preceding marriage with the princess should imply a participation of sovereignty in her, and raise doubts of his own title by that of the house of Lancaster.

There raged at that time in London, and other parts of the kingdom, a species of malady unknown to any other age or nation, the sweating sickness, which occasioned the sudden death of great multitudes; though it seemed not to be propagated by any contagious infection, but arose from the general disposition of the air and of the human body. In less than twenty-four hours the patient commonly died or recovered; but when the pestilence had exerted its fury for a few weeks, it was observed, either from alterations in the air, or from a more proper regimen, which had been discovered, to be considerably abated (Polydore Vergil, p. 567). Preparations were then made for the ceremony of Henry's coronation. In order to heighten the splendour of that spectacle, he bestowed the rank of knight banneret on twelve persons; and he conferred peerages on three: Jasper, Earl of Pembroke, his uncle, was created Duke of Bedford; Thomas Lord Stanley, his father-in-law, Earl of Derby; and Edward Courteney, Earl of Devonshire. At the coronation likewise, there appeared a new institution, which the king had established for security as well as pomp, a band of fifty archers, who were termed yeomen of the guard. But lest the people should take umbrage at this unusual symptom of jealousy in the prince, as if it implied a personal diffidence of his subjects, he declared the institution to be perpetual. The ceremony of coronation was performed by Cardinal Bourchier, Archbishop of Canterbury.

The parliament being (Nov. 7, 1485) assembled at Westminster, the majority immediately appeared to be devoted partisans of Henry; all persons of another disposition either declining to stand in those dangerous times, or being obliged to dissemble their principles and inclinations. The Lancastrian party had everywhere been successful in the elections; and even many had been returned, who, during the prevalence of the house of York, had been exposed to the rigour of law, and had been condemned by sentence of attainder and outlawry. Their right to take seats in the house being questioned, the case was referred to all the judges, who assembled in the Exchequer Chamber, in order to deliberate on so delicate a subject. The opinion delivered was prudent, and contained a just temperament between law and expediency (Bacon, p. 272, ed. 1870). The judges determined that the members attainted should forbear taking their seat till an act were

passed for the reversal of their attainder. There was no difficulty in obtaining this act; and in it were comprehended one hundred and seven persons of the king's party.¹

But a scruple was started of a nature still more important. The king himself had been attainted; and his right of succession to the crown might thence be exposed to some doubt. The judges extricated themselves from this dangerous question, by asserting it as a maxim, 'That the crown takes away all defects and stops in blood; and that 'from the time the king assumed royal authority, the fountain was 'cleared, and all attainders and corruptions of blood discharged' (Bacon, p. 273, ed. 1870). Besides that the case, from its urgent necessity, admitted of no deliberation, the judges probably thought that no sentence of a court of judicature had authority sufficient to bar the right of succession; that the heir of the crown was commonly exposed to such jealousy as might often occasion stretches of law and justice against him; and that a prince might even be engaged in unjustifiable measures during his predecessor's reign, without meriting to be excluded from the throne, which was his birthright.

With a parliament so obsequious, the king could not fail of obtaining whatever act of settlement he was pleased to require. He seems only to have entertained some doubt within himself on what claim he should found his pretensions. In his speech to the parliament he mentioned his just title by hereditary right; but lest that title should not be esteemed sufficient, he subjoined his claim by the judgment of God, who had given him victory over his enemies. And again, lest this pretension should be interpreted as assuming a right of conquest, he ensured to his subjects the full enjoyment of their former properties and possessions.

The entail of the crown was drawn according to the sense of the king, and probably in words dictated by him. He made no mention in it of the Princess Elizabeth, nor of any branch of her family; but in other respects the act was compiled with sufficient reserve and moderation. He did not insist, that it should contain a declaration or recognition of his preceding right; as, on the other hand, he avoided the appearance of a new law or ordinance. He chose a middle course, which, as is generally unavoidable in such cases, was not entirely free from uncertainty and obscurity. It was voted, 'That the inheritance of 'the crown should rest, remain, and abide in the king' (Bacon, p. 273, ed. 1870); but whether as rightful heir, or only as present possessor, was not determined. In like manner, Henry was contented that the succession should be secured to the heirs of his body; but he pretended not, in case of their failure, to exclude the house of York, or give the preference to that of Lancaster: he left that point ambiguous for the present, and trusted that, if it should ever become requisite to determine it, future incidents would open the way for the decision.

But even after all these precautions, the king was so little satisfied with his own title, that, in the following year, he applied to papal authority for a confirmation of it; and as the court of Rome gladly laid hold of all opportunities, which the imprudence, weakness, or necessities of princes afforded it to extend its influence, Innocent VIII.,

¹ Rot. Parl., 1 Hen. VII., n. 2, 3, 4-15, 17, 26-65.

the reigning pope, readily granted a bull, in whatever terms the king was pleased to desire. All Henry's titles, by succession, marriage, parliamentary choice, even conquest, are there enumerated; and to the whole the sanction of religion is added; excommunication is denounced against every one who should either disturb him in the present possession, or the heirs of his body in the future succession, of the crown; and from this penalty, no criminal, except in the article of death, could be absolved but by the Pope himself, or his special commissioners. It is difficult to imagine that the security derived from this bull could be a compensation for the defect which it betrayed in Henry's title, and the danger of inviting the Pope to interpose in these concerns.

It was natural and even laudable in Henry to reverse the attainders which had passed against the partisans of the house of Lancaster; but the revenges, which he exercised against the adherents of the York family, to which he was so soon to be allied, cannot be considered in the same light. Yet the parliament, at his instigation, passed an act of attainder against the late king himself, against the Duke of Norfolk, the Earl of Surrey, Viscount Lovel, the Lords Zouche and Ferrars, of Chartley, Sir Walter and Sir James Harrington, Sir William Berkeley, Sir Humphrey Stafford, Catesby, and about twenty other gentlemen, who had fought on Richard's side in the battle of Bosworth. How men could be guilty of treason, by supporting the king in possession against the Earl of Richmond, who assumed not the title of king, it is not easy to conceive; and nothing but a servile complaisance in the parliament could have engaged them to make this stretch of justice. Nor was it a small mortification to the people in general, to find, that the king, prompted either by avarice or resentment, could, in the very beginning of his reign, so far violate the cordial union which had previously been concerted between the parties, and to the expectation of which he had plainly owed his succession to the throne.

The king, having gained so many points of consequence from the parliament, thought it not expedient to demand any supply from them, which the profound peace enjoyed by the nation, and the late forfeiture of Richard's adherents, seemed to render somewhat superfluous. The parliament, however, conferred (Dec. 10, 1485) on him during life, the duty of tonnage and poundage, which had been enjoyed in the same manner by some of his immediate predecessors; and they added, before they broke up, other money bills of no great moment. The king, on his part, made returns of grace and favour to his people. He published his royal proclamation, offering pardon to all such as had taken arms, or formed any attempts against him; provided they submitted themselves to mercy by a certain day, and took the usual oath of fealty and allegiance. Upon this proclamation many came out of their sanctuaries, and the minds of men were everywhere much quieted. Henry chose to take wholly to himself the merit of an act of grace, so agreeable to the nation, rather than communicate it with the parliament (as was his first intention), by passing a bill to that purpose. The Earl of Surrey, however, though he had submitted, and delivered himself into the king's hands, was sent prisoner to the Tower.

During this parliament, the king also bestowed favours and honours on some particular persons who were attached to him. Edward Stafford,

eldest son of the Duke of Buckingham, attainted in the late reign, was restored to the honours of his family, as well as to its fortune, which was very ample. This generosity, so unusual in Henry, was the effect of his gratitude to the memory of Buckingham, who had first concerted the plan of his elevation, and who by his own ruin had made way for that great event. Chandos, of Brittany, was created Earl of Bath, Sir Giles Daubeney, Lord Daubeney, and Sir Robert Willoughby, Lord Broke. These were all the titles of nobility conferred by the king during this session of parliament (Polydore Vergil, p. 566).

But the ministers whom Henry most trusted and favoured, were not chosen from among the nobility, or even from among the laity. John Moreton and Richard Fox, two clergymen, persons of industry, vigilance, and capacity, were the men to whom he chiefly confided his affairs and secret counsels. They had shared with him all his former dangers and distresses; and he now took care to make them participate in his good fortune. They were both called to the privy council; Moreton was restored to the bishopric of Ely; Fox was created Bishop of Exeter. The former soon after, upon the death of Bourchier, was raised to the see of Canterbury. The latter was made privy seal; and successively, Bishop of Bath and Wells, Durham, and Winchester. For Henry, as Lord Bacon observes, loved to employ and advance prelates; because, having rich bishoprics to bestow, it was easy for him to reward their services; and it was his maxim to raise them by slow steps, and make them first pass through the inferior sees (Bacon, p. 275, ed. 1870). He probably expected that as they were naturally more dependent on him than the nobility, who, during that age, enjoyed possessions and jurisdictions dangerous to royal authority; so the prospect of further elevation would render them still more active in his service, and more obsequious to his commands.

In presenting the bill of tonnage and poundage, the parliament (A.D. 1486, Jan. 18), anxious to preserve the legal, undisputed succession to the crown, had petitioned Henry, with demonstrations of the greatest zeal, to espouse the Princess Elizabeth; but they covered their true reason under the dutiful pretence of their desire to have heirs of his body. He now thought in earnest of satisfying the minds of his people in that particular. His marriage was celebrated at London, and that with greater appearance, of universal joy than either his first entry or his coronation. Henry remarked with much displeasure this general favour borne to the house of York. The suspicions which arose from it, not only disturbed his tranquillity during his whole reign, but bred disgust towards his consort herself, and poisoned all his domestic enjoyments. Though virtuous, amiable, and obsequious to the last degree, she never met with a proper return of affection, or even of complaisance from her husband; and the ideas of faction still, in his sullen mind, prevailed over all the sentiments of conjugal tenderness.

The king had been carried along with such a tide of success, ever since his arrival in England, that he thought nothing could withstand the fortune and authority which attended him. He now resolved to make a progress into the north, where the friends of the house of York, and even the partisans of Richard, were numerous; in hopes of curing, by his presence and conversation, the prejudices of the malcontents.

When he arrived at Nottingham, he heard that Viscount Lovel, with Sir Humphrey Stafford and Thomas, his brother, had secretly withdrawn themselves from their sanctuary at Colchester; but this news appeared not to him of such importance as to stop his journey; and he proceeded forward to York. He there heard that the Staffords had levied an army, and were marching to besiege the city of Worcester; and that Lovel, at the head of three or four thousand men, was approaching to attack him in York. Henry was not dismayed with this intelligence. His active courage, full of resources, immediately prompted him to find the proper remedy. Though surrounded with enemies in these disaffected counties, he assembled a small body of troops in whom he could confide; and he put them under the command of the Duke of Bedford. He joined to them all his own attendants; but he found that this hasty armament was more formidable by their spirit and their zealous attachment to him, than by the arms of military stores with which they were provided. He therefore gave Bedford orders not to approach the enemy; but previously to try every proper expedient to disperse them. Bedford published a general promise of pardon to the rebels; which had a greater effect on their leader than on his followers. Lovel, who had undertaken an enterprise that exceeded his courage and capacity, was so terrified with the fear of desertion among his troops, that he suddenly withdrew himself; and after lurking some time in Lancashire, he made his escape into Flanders, where he was protected by the Duchess of Burgundy. His army submitted to the king's clemency; and the other rebels, hearing of this success, raised the siege of Worcester, and dispersed themselves. The Staffords took sanctuary in the Church of Colnham, a village near Abingdon; but as it was found that this church had not the privilege of giving protection to rebels, they were taken thence; the elder was executed at Tyburn; the younger, pleading that he had been misled by his brother, obtained a pardon (Polydore Vergil, p. 569).

Henry's joy for this success was followed, some time after, by the birth (Sep. 20) of a prince, to whom he gave the name of Arthur, in memory of the famous British king of that name, from whom, it was pretended, the family of Tudor derived its descent.

Though Henry had been able to defeat this hasty rebellion, raised by the relics of Richard's partisans, his government was become in general unpopular; the source of public discontent arose chiefly from his prejudices against the house of York, which was generally beloved by the nation, and which, for that very reason, became every day more the object of his hatred and jealousy. Not only a preference on all occasions, it was observed, was given to the Lancastrians; but many of the opposite party had been exposed to great severity, and had been bereaved of their fortunes by acts of attainder. A general resumption likewise had passed of all grants made by the princes of the house of York; and though this rigour had been covered under the pretence that the revenue was become insufficient to support the dignity of the crown, and though the grants, during the later years of Henry VI. were resumed by the same law, yet the York party, as they were the principal sufferers by the resumption, thought it chiefly levelled against them. The severity exercised against the Earl of Warwick begat

compassion for youth and innocence, exposed to such oppression; and his confinement in the Tower, the very place where Edward's children had been murdered by their uncle, made the public expect a like catastrophe for him, and led them to make a comparison between Henry and that detested tyrant. And when it was remarked that the queen herself met with harsh treatment, and even, after the birth of a son, was not admitted to the honour of a public coronation, Henry's prepossessions were then concluded to be inveterate, and men became equally obstinate in their disgust to his government. Nor was the manner and address of the king calculated to cure these prejudices contracted against his administration; but had, in everything, a tendency to promote fear, or at best reverence, rather than good-will and affection (Bacon, p. 277, ed. 1870). While the high idea entertained of his policy and vigour, retained the nobility and men of character in obedience; the effects of his unpopular government soon appeared, by incidents of an extraordinary nature.

There lived in Oxford one Richard Simon, a priest, who possessed some subtlety, and still more enterprise and temerity. This man had entertained the design of disturbing Henry's government, by raising a pretender to his crown; and for that purpose, he cast his eyes on Lambert Simnel, a youth of fifteen years of age, who was son of a baker, and who, being endowed with understanding above his years, and address above his condition, seemed well fitted to personate a prince of royal extraction. A report had been spread among the people, and received with great avidity, that Richard, Duke of York, second son of Edward IV. had, by a secret escape, saved himself from the cruelty of his uncle, and lay somewhere concealed in England. Simon, taking advantage of this rumour, had at first instructed his pupil to assume that name which he found to be so fondly cherished by the public; but hearing afterwards a new report, that Warwick had made his escape from the Tower, and observing that this news was attended with no less general satisfaction, he changed the plan of his imposture, and made Simnel personate that unfortunate prince (Polydore Vergil, pp. 569, 570). Though the youth was qualified by nature for the part which he was instructed to act, yet was it remarked, that he was better informed in circumstances relating to the royal family, particularly in the adventures of the Earl of Warwick, than he could be supposed to have learned from one of Simon's condition; and it was thence conjectured, that persons of higher rank, partisans of the house of York, had laid the plan of this conspiracy, and had conveyed proper instructions to the actors. The queen dowager herself was exposed to suspicion; and it was indeed the general opinion, however unlikely it might seem, that she had secretly given her consent to the imposture. This woman was of a very restless disposition. Finding that, instead of receiving the reward of her services, in contributing to Henry's elevation, she herself was fallen into absolute insignificance, her daughter treated with severity, and all her friends brought under subjection, she had conceived the most violent animosity against him, and had resolved to make him feel the effects of her resentment. She knew that the impostor, however successful, might easily at last be set aside; and if a way could be found at his risk

to subvert the government, she hoped that a scene might be opened, which, though difficult at present exactly to foresee, would gratify her revenge, and be on the whole less irksome to her, than that slavery and contempt to which she was now reduced (Pol. Vergil, p. 570).

But whatever care Simon might take to convey instruction to his pupil Simnel, he was sensible that the imposture would not bear a close inspection; and he was therefore determined to open the first public scene of it in Ireland. That island, which was zealously attached to the house of York, and bore an affectionate regard to the memory of Clarence, Warwick's father, who had been their lieutenant, was impropriately allowed by Henry to remain in the same condition in which he found it, and all the counsellors and officers, who had been appointed by his predecessors, still retained their authority. No sooner did Simnel present himself to Thomas Fitz-gerald, Earl of Kildare, the deputy, and claim his protection as the unfortunate Warwick, than that credulous nobleman, not suspecting so bold an imposture, gave attention to him, and began to consult some persons of rank with regard to this extraordinary incident. These he found even more sanguine in their zeal and belief than himself; and in proportion as the story diffused itself among those of lower condition, it became the object of still greater passion and credulity, till the people in Dublin with one consent tendered their allegiance to Simnel, as to the true Plantagenet. Fond of a novelty which flattered their natural propension, they overlooked the daughters of Edward IV., who stood before Warwick in the order of succession; they paid the pretended prince attendance as their sovereign, lodged him in the castle of Dublin, crowned him with a diadem taken from a statue of the virgin, and publicly proclaimed him king, by the appellation of Edward VI. The whole island followed the example of the capital, and not a sword was anywhere drawn in Henry's quarrel.

When this intelligence was conveyed to the king, it reduced him to some perplexity. Determined always to face his enemies in person, he yet scrupled at present to leave England, where he suspected the conspiracy was first framed, and where he knew many persons of condition, and the people in general, were much disposed to give it countenance. In order to discover the secret source of the contrivance, and take measures against this revolt, he held frequent consultations with his ministers and counsellors, and laid plans for a vigorous defence of his authority, and the suppression of his enemies.

The first event which followed these deliberations gave surprise to the public; it was the seizure of the queen dowager, the forfeiture of all her lands and revenue, and the close confinement of her person in the nunnery of Bermondsey. This act of authority was covered with a very thin pretence. It was alleged that, notwithstanding the secret agreement to marry her daughter to Henry, she had yet yielded to the solicitations and menaces of Richard, and had delivered that princess and her sisters into the hands of the tyrant. This crime, which was now become obsolete, and might admit of alleviations, was therefore suspected not to be the real cause of the severity with which she was treated; and men believed that the king, unwilling to accuse so near a relation of a conspiracy against him, had cloaked his vengeance or

12 *Character, power, and talents of Margaret of Burgundy.*

precaution under colour of an offence known to the whole world (Bacon, p. 278, ed. 1870; Polydore Vergil, p. 571). They were afterwards the more confirmed in this suspicion, when they found that the unfortunate queen, though she survived this disgrace several years, was never treated with any more lenity, but was allowed to end her life in poverty, solitude, and confinement.

The next measure of the king's was of a less exceptionable nature. He ordered that Warwick should be taken from the Tower, be led in procession through the streets of London, be conducted to St. Paul's, and there exposed to the view of the whole people. He even gave directions that some men of rank, attached to the house of York, and best acquainted with the person of this prince, should approach him, and converse with him; and he trusted that these, being convinced of the absurd imposture of Simnel, would put a stop to the credulity of the populace. The expedient had its effect in England; but in Ireland the people still persisted in their revolt, and zealously retorted on the king the reproach of propagating an imposture, and of having shown a counterfeit Warwick to the public.

Henry had soon reason to apprehend that the design against him was not laid on such slight foundations as the absurdity of the contrivance seemed to indicate. John, Earl of Lincoln, son of John de la Pole, Duke of Suffolk, and of Elizabeth, eldest sister to Edward IV., was engaged to take part in the conspiracy. This nobleman, who possessed capacity and courage, had entertained very aspiring views; and his ambition was encouraged by the known intentions of his uncle Richard, who had formed a design, in case he himself should die without issue, of declaring Lincoln successor to the crown. The king's jealousy against all eminent persons of the York party, and his rigour towards Warwick, had further struck Lincoln with apprehensions, and made him resolve to seek for safety in the most dangerous counsels. Having fixed a secret correspondence with Sir Thomas Broughton, a man of great interest in Lancashire, he retired to Flanders, where Lovel had arrived a little before him; and he lived during some time in the court of his aunt, the Dutchess of Burgundy, by whom he had been invited over.

Margaret, widow of Charles the Bold, Duke of Burgundy, not having any children of her own, attached herself with an entire friendship to her daughter-in-law, married to Maximilian, Archduke of Austria; and after the death of that princess, she persevered in her affection to Philip and Margaret, her children, and occupied herself in the care of their education and of their persons. By her virtuous conduct and demeanour she had acquired great authority among the Flemings, and lived with much dignity, as well as economy, upon that ample dowry which she inherited from her husband. The resentments of this princess were no less warm than her friendships; and that spirit of faction, which it is so difficult for a social and sanguine temper to guard against, had taken strong possession of her heart, and entrenched somewhat on the probity which shone forth in the other parts of her character. Hearing of the malignant jealousy entertained by Henry against her family, and his oppression of all its partisans, she was moved with the highest indignation, and she determined to

make him repent of that enmity to which so many of her friends, without any reason or necessity had fallen victims. After consulting with Lincoln and Lovel, she hired a body of 2000 veteran Germans, under the command of Martin Swart, a brave and experienced officer (Pol. Vergil, pp. 572, 573), and sent them over, together with these two noblemen, to join Simnel in Ireland. The countenance given by persons of such high rank, and the accession of this military force, much raised the courage of the Irish, and made them entertain the resolution of invading England, where they believed the spirit of disaffection as prevalent as it appeared to be in Ireland. The poverty also, under which they laboured, made it impossible for them to support any longer their new court and army, and inspired them with a strong desire of enriching themselves by the plunder and preferment to be obtained in England.

Henry was not ignorant of these intentions of his enemies, and he prepared himself for defence. He ordered troops to be levied in different parts of the kingdom, and put them under the command of the Duke of Bedford and Earl of Oxford. He confined the Marquis of Dorset, who he suspected would resent the injuries suffered by his mother, the queen dowager; and to gratify the people by an appearance of devotion, he made a pilgrimage to our lady of Walsingham, famous for miracles, and there offered up prayers for success and for deliverance from his enemies.

Being informed that Simnel was landed at Foudrey in Lancashire, he drew together his forces and advanced towards the enemy as far as Coventry. The rebels had entertained hopes that the disaffected counties in the north would rise in their favour; but the people in general, averse to join Irish and German invaders, convinced of Lambert's imposture, and kept in awe by the king's reputation for success and conduct, either remained in tranquillity or gave assistance to the royal army. The Earl of Lincoln, therefore, who commanded the rebels, finding no hopes but in victory, was determined to bring the matter to a speedy decision; and the king, supported by the native courage of his temper, and emboldened by a great accession of volunteers who had joined him under the Earl of Shrewsbury and Lord Strange, declined not the combat. The hostile armies (June 6, 1487) met at Stoke, in the county of Nottingham, and fought a battle which was bloody and more obstinately disputed than could have been expected from the inequality of their force. All the leaders of the rebels were resolved to conquer or to perish, and they inspired their troops with like resolution. The Germans also, being veteran and experienced soldiers, kept the event long doubtful; and even the Irish, though ill-armed and almost defenceless, showed themselves not defective in spirit and bravery. The king's victory was purchased with loss, but was entirely decisive. Lincoln, Broughton, and Swart perished in the field of battle with four thousand of their followers. As Lovel was never more heard of, he was believed to have undergone the same fate. Simnel, with his tutor, Simon, was taken prisoner. Simon, being a priest, was not tried at law, and was only committed to close custody; Simnel was too contemptible to be an object either of apprehension or resentment to Henry. He was pardoned and made a scullion in the king's kitchen,

whence he was afterwards advanced to the rank of a falconer (Bacon, p. 287, ed. 1870; Pol. Verg., p. 574).

Henry had now leisure to revenge himself on his enemies. He made a progress into the northern parts, where he gave many proofs of his rigorous disposition. A strict inquiry was made after those who had assisted or favoured the rebels. The punishments were not all sanguinary: the king made his revenge subservient to his avarice. Heavy fines were levied upon the delinquents; the proceedings of the courts, and even the courts themselves, were arbitrary. Either the criminals were tried by commissioners appointed for the purpose, or they suffered punishment by sentence of a court-martial. And as a rumour had prevailed before the battle of Stoke that the rebels had gained the victory, that the royal army was cut in pieces, and that the king himself had escaped by flight, Henry was resolved to interpret the belief or propagation of this report as a mark of disaffection, and he punished many for that pretended crime. But such, in this age, was the situation of the English government, that the royal prerogative, which was but imperfectly restrained during the most peaceable periods, was sure in tumultuous, or even suspicious times, which frequently recurred, to break all bounds of law and to violate public liberty.

After the king had gratified his rigour by the punishment of his enemies, he determined to give contentment to the people in a point which, though a mere ceremony, was passionately desired by them. The queen had been married near two years, but had not yet been crowned, and this affectation of delay had given great discontent to the public, and had been one principal source of the disaffection which prevailed. The king, instructed by experience, now (Nov. 25, 1487) finished the ceremony of her coronation; and to show a disposition still more gracious, he restored to liberty the Marquis of Dorset, who had been able to clear himself of all the suspicions entertained against him.

CHAPTER XXV.

State of foreign affairs.—State of Scotland—of Spain—of the Low Countries—of France—of Brittany.—French invasion of Brittany.—French embassy to England.—Dissimulation of the French court.—An insurrection in the North—suppressed.—King sends forces into Brittany.—Annexation of Brittany to France.—A parliament.—War with France.—Invasion of France.—Peace with France.—Perkin Warbeck.—His imposture.—He is avowed by the Duchess of Burgundy—and by many of the English nobility.—Trial and execution of Stanley.—A parliament.

THE king acquired great reputation throughout Europe by the vigorous and prosperous conduct of his domestic affairs; but as some incidents about this time (A.D. 1488) invited him to look abroad and exert himself in behalf of his allies, it will be necessary, in order to give a just account of his foreign measures, to explain the situation of the neighbouring kingdoms, beginning with Scotland which lies most contiguous.

The kingdom of Scotland had not yet attained that state which distinguishes a civilized monarchy, and which enables the government by the force of its laws and institutions alone, without any extraordinary capacity in the sovereign, to maintain itself in order and tranquillity. James III., who now filled the throne, was a prince of little industry and of a narrow genius; and though it behoved him to yield the reins of government to his ministers, he had never been able to make any choice which could give contentment both to himself and to his people. When he bestowed his confidence on any of the principal nobility, he found that they exalted their own family to such a height as was dangerous to the prince and gave umbrage to the state. When he conferred favour on any person of meaner birth, on whose submission he could more depend, the barons of his kingdom, enraged at the power of an upstart minion, proceeded to the utmost extremities against their sovereign. Had Henry entertained the ambition of conquests, a tempting opportunity now offered of reducing that kingdom to subjection; but as he was probably sensible that a warlike people, though they might be overrun by reason of their domestic divisions, could not be retained in obedience without a regular military force, which was then unknown in England, he rather intended the renewal of the peace with Scotland, and sent an embassy to James for that purpose. But the Scots, who never desired a durable peace with England, and who deemed their security to consist in constantly preserving themselves in a warlike posture, would not agree to more than a seven years' truce, which was accordingly concluded (Polyd. Verg., p. 575).

The European states on the continent were then hastening fast to the situation in which they have remained, without any material alteration, for near three centuries, and began to unite themselves into one extensive system of policy which comprehended the chief powers of Christendom. Spain, which had hitherto been almost entirely occupied within herself, now became formidable by the union of Arragon and Castile in the persons of Ferdinand and Isabella, who being princes of great capacity, employed their force in enterprises the most advantageous to their combined monarchy. The conquest of Granada from the Moors was then undertaken, and brought near to a happy conclusion. And in that expedition the military genius of Spain was revived; honour and security were attained; and her princes, no longer kept in awe by a domestic enemy so dangerous, began to enter into all the transactions of Europe, and make a great figure in every war and every negotiation.

Maximilian, King of the Romans, son of the Emperor Frederick, had by his marriage with the heiress of Burgundy, acquired an interest in the Netherlands; and though the death of his consort had weakened his connections with that country, he still pretended to the government as tutor to his son Philip, and his authority had been acknowledged by Brabant, Holland, and several of the provinces. But as Flanders and Hainault still refused to submit to his regency, and even appointed other tutors to Philip, he had been engaged in long wars against that obstinate people, and never was able thoroughly to subdue their spirit. That he might free himself from the opposition of France, he had concluded a peace with Louis XI., and had given his daughter, Margaret,

then an infant, in marriage to the dauphin, together with Artois, Franche-Compté, and Charolois, as her dowry. But this alliance had not produced the desired effect. The dauphin succeeded to the crown of France by the appellation of Charles VIII.; but Maximilian still found the mutinous spirit of the Flemings fomented by the intrigues of the court of France.

France, during the two preceding reigns, had made a mighty increase in power and greatness; and had not other states of Europe at the same time received an accession of force, it had been impossible to have retained her within her ancient boundaries. Most of the great fiefs, Normandy, Champagne, Anjou, Dauphiny, Guienne, Provence, and Burgundy, had been united to the crown; the English had been expelled from all their conquests; the authority of the prince had been raised to such a height as enabled him to maintain law and order; a considerable military force was kept on foot, and the finances were able to support it. Louis XI. indeed, from whom many of these advantages were derived, was dead, and had left his son, in early youth and ill-educated, to sustain the weight of the monarchy; but having entrusted the government to his daughter, Anne, Lady of Beaujeu, a woman of spirit and capacity, the French power suffered no check or decline. On the contrary, this princess formed the great project which at last she happily effected, of uniting to the crown Brittany, the last and most independent fief of the monarchy.

Francis II., Duke of Brittany, conscious of his own incapacity for government, had resigned himself to the direction of Peter Landais, a man of mean birth, more remarkable for abilities than for virtue or integrity. The nobles of Brittany, displeased with the great advancement of this favourite, had even proceeded to disaffection against their sovereign; and, after many tumults and disorders, they at last united among themselves, and in a violent manner seized, tried, and put to death the obnoxious minister. Dreading the resentment of the prince for this invasion of his authority, many of them retired to France; others, for protection and safety, maintained a secret correspondence with the French ministry, who, observing the great dissensions among the Bretons, thought the opportunity favourable for invading the duchy; and so much the rather, as they could cover their ambition under the specious pretence of providing for domestic security.

Lewis, Duke of Orleans, first prince of the blood, and presumptive heir of the monarchy, had disputed the administration with the Lady of Beaujeu; and though his pretensions had been rejected by the states, he still maintained cabals with many of the grandees, and laid schemes for subverting the authority of that princess. Finding his conspiracies detected, he took to arms, and fortified himself in Beaugenci; but as his revolt was precipitate, before his confederates were ready to join him, he had been obliged to submit, and to receive such conditions as the French ministry were pleased to impose upon him. Actuated, however, by his ambition, and even by his fears, he soon retired out of France, and took shelter with the Duke of Brittany, who was desirous of strengthening himself against the designs of the Lady of Beaujeu by the friendship and credit of the Duke of Orleans. This latter prince also perceiving the ascendant which he soon acquired over the Duke

of Brittany, had engaged many of his partisans to join him at that court, and had formed the design of aggrandising himself by a marriage with Anne, the heir of that opulent duchy.

The barons of Brittany, who saw all favour engrossed by the Duke of Orleans and his train, renewed a stricter correspondence with France, and even invited the French king to make an invasion on their country. Desirous, however, of preserving its independency, they had regulated the numbers of succours which France was to send them, and had stipulated that no fortified place in Brittany should remain in the possession of that monarchy; a vain precaution, where revolted subjects treat with a power so much superior! The French invaded Brittany with forces three times more numerous than those which they had promised to the barons; and, advancing into the heart of the country, laid siege to Ploermel. To oppose them, the duke raised a numerous, but ill-disciplined army, which he put under the command of the Duke of Orleans, the Count of Dunois, and others of the French nobility. The army, discontented with his choice, and jealous of their confederates, soon disbanded, and left their prince with too small a force to keep the field against his invaders. He retired to Vannes; but being hotly pursued by the French, who had now made themselves masters of Ploermel, he escaped to Nantz; and the enemy, having previously taken and garrisoned Vannes, Dinant, and other places, laid close siege to that city. The barons of Brittany, finding their country menaced with total subjection, began gradually to withdraw from the French army, and to make peace with their sovereign.

This desertion, however, of the Bretons discouraged not the court of France from pursuing her favourite project of reducing Brittany to subjection. The situation of Europe appeared favourable to the execution of this design. Maximilian was indeed engaged in close alliance with the Duke of Brittany, and had even opened a treaty for marrying his daughter; but he was on all occasions so indigent, and at that time so disquieted by the mutinies of the Flemings, that little effectual assistance could be expected from him. Ferdinand was entirely occupied in the conquest of Granada; and it was also known, that, if France would resign to him Rousillon and Cerdagne, to which he had pretensions, she could at any time engage him to abandon the interest of Brittany. England alone was both enabled by her power, and engaged by her interests, to support the independency of that duchy; and the most dangerous opposition was therefore, by Anne of Beaujeu, expected from that quarter. In order to cover her real designs, no sooner was she informed of Henry's success against Simnel and his partisans, than she despatched ambassadors to the court of London, and made professions of the greatest trust and confidence in that monarch.

The ambassadors, after congratulating Henry on his late victory, and communicating to him, in the most cordial manner, as to an intimate friend, some successes of their master against Maximilian, came in the progress of their discourse to mention the late transactions in Brittany. They told him that the duke having given protection to French fugitives and rebels, the king had been necessitated, contrary to his intention and inclination, to carry war into that duchy; that the

honour of the crown was interested not to suffer a vassal so far to forget his duty to his liege lord; nor was the security of the government less concerned to prevent the consequences of this dangerous temerity; that the fugitives were no mean or obscure persons; but, among others, the Duke of Orleans, first prince of the blood, who, finding himself obnoxious to justice for treasonable practices in France, had fled into Brittany; where he still persevered in laying schemes of rebellion against his sovereign; that the war being thus, on the part of the French monarch, entirely defensive, it would immediately cease, when the Duke of Brittany, by returning to his duty, should remove the causes of it; that their master was sensible of the obligations which the duke, in very critical times, had conferred on Henry; but it was known also, that, in times still more critical, he or his mercenary counsellors had deserted him, and put his life in the utmost hazard; that his sole refuge in these desperate extremities had been the court of France, which not only protected his person, but supplied him with men and money, with which, aided by his own valour and conduct, he had been enabled to mount the throne of England; that France, in this transaction, had, from friendship to Henry, acted contrary to what, in a narrow view, might be esteemed her own interest; since, instead of an odious tyrant, she had contributed to establish on a rival throne a prince endowed with such virtue and abilities; and that as both the justice of the cause, and the obligations conferred on Henry, thus preponderated on the side of France, she reasonably expected that, if the situation of his affairs did not permit him to give her assistance, he would at least preserve a neutrality between the contending parties (Bacon, p. 291).

This discourse of the French ambassadors was plausible; and to give it greater weight, they communicated to Henry, as in confidence, their master's intention, after he should have settled the differences with Brittany, to lead an army into Italy, and make good his pretensions to the kingdom of Naples; a project which, they knew, would give no umbrage to the court of England. But all these artifices were in vain employed against the penetration of the king. He clearly saw, that France had entertained the view of subduing Brittany; but he also perceived, that she would meet with great, and, as he thought, insuperable difficulties in the execution of her project. The native force of that duchy, he knew, had always been considerable, and had often, without any foreign assistance, resisted the power of France; the natural temper of the French nation, he imagined, would make them easily abandon any enterprise which required perseverance; and as the heir of the crown was confederated with the Duke of Brittany, the ministers would be still more remiss in prosecuting a scheme which must draw on them his resentment and displeasure. Should even these internal obstructions be removed, Maximilian, whose enmity to France was well known, and who now paid his addresses to the heiress of Brittany, would be able to make a diversion on the side of Flanders; nor could it be expected that France, if she prosecuted such ambitious projects, would be allowed to remain in tranquillity by Ferdinand and Isabella. Above all, he thought the French court could never expect that England, so deeply interested to preserve the independency of Brittany, so able by her power and situation to give effectual and

prompt assistance, would permit such an accession of force to her rival. He imagined, therefore, that the ministers of France, convinced of the impracticability of their scheme, would at last embrace pacific views, and would abandon an enterprise so obnoxious to all the potentates of Europe.

This reasoning of Henry was solid, and might justly engage him in dilatory and cautious measures; but there entered into his conduct another motive, which was apt to draw him beyond the just bounds, because founded on a ruling passion. His frugality, which by degrees degenerated into avarice, made him averse to all warlike enterprises and distant expeditions, and engaged him previously to try the expedient of negotiation. He dispatched Urswic, his almoner, a man of address and abilities, to make offer of his mediation to the contending parties; an offer which, he thought, if accepted by France, would soon lead to a composure of all differences; if refused or eluded, would at least discover the perseverance of that court in her ambitious projects. Urswic found the lady of Beaujeu, now Duchess of Bourbon, engaged in the siege of Nantz, and had the satisfaction to find that his master's offer of mediation was readily embraced, and with many expressions of confidence and moderation. That able princess concluded, that the Duke of Orleans, who governed the court of Brittany, foreseeing that every accommodation must be made at his expense, would use all his interest to have Henry's proposal rejected; and would by that means make an apology for the French measures, and draw on the Bretons the reproach of obstinacy and injustice. The event justified her prudence. When the English ambassador made the same offer to the Duke of Brittany, he received for answer, in the name of that prince, that having so long acted the part of protector and guardian to Henry, during his youth and adverse fortune, he had expected, from a monarch of such virtue, more effectual assistance in his present distresses, than a barren offer of mediation, which suspended not the progress of the French arms; that if Henry's gratitude were not sufficient to engage him in such a measure, his prudence, as King of England, should discover to him the pernicious consequences attending the conquest of Brittany, and its annexation to the crown of France; that that kingdom, already too powerful, would be enabled, by so great an accession of force, to display, to the ruin of England, that hostile disposition which had always subsisted between those rival nations; that Brittany, so useful an ally, which, by its situation, gave the English an entrance into the heart of France; being annexed to that kingdom, would be equally enabled, from its situation, to disturb, either by piracies or naval armaments, the commerce and peace of England; and that, if the Duke rejected Henry's mediation, it proceeded neither from an inclination to a war, which he experienced to be ruinous to him, nor from a confidence in his own force, which he knew to be much inferior to that of the enemy; but, on the contrary, from a sense of his present necessities, which must engage the king to act the part of his confederate, not that of a mediator.

When this answer was reported to the king, he abandoned not the plan which he had formed; he only concluded that some more time was requisite to quell the obstinacy of the Bretons, and make them

submit to reason. And when he learned that the people of Brittany, anxious for their duke's safety, had formed a tumultuary army of 60,000 men, and had obliged the French to raise the siege of Nantz, he fortified himself the more in his opinion, that the court of France would at least be reduced, by multiplied obstacles and difficulties, to abandon the project of reducing Brittany to subjection. He continued therefore his scheme of negotiation, and thereby exposed himself to be deceived by the artifices of the French ministry; who, still pretending pacific intentions, sent Lord Bernard Daubigny, a Scotchman of quality, to London, and pressed Henry not to be discouraged in offering his mediation to the court of Brittany. The king, on his part, dispatched another embassy, consisting of Urswic, the Abbot of Abingdon, and Sir Richard Tunstal, who carried new proposals for an amicable treaty. No effectual succours, meanwhile, were provided for the distressed Bretons. Lord Woodville, brother to the queen dowager, having asked leave to raise underhand a body of volunteers, and to transport them into Brittany, met with a refusal from the king, who was desirous of preserving the appearance of a strict neutrality. That nobleman, however, still persisted in his purpose. He went over to the Isle of Wight, of which he was governor; levied a body of 400 men, and having at last obtained, as is supposed, the secret permission of Henry, sailed with them to Brittany. This enterprise proved fatal to the leader, and brought small relief to the unhappy duke. The Bretons (July 28) rashly engaged in a general action with the French at St. Aubin, and were discomfited. Woodville and all the English were put to the sword, together with a body of Bretons, who had been accoutred in the garb of Englishmen, in order to strike a greater terror into the French, to whom the martial prowess of that nation was always formidable (Argentré Hist. de Bretagne, liv. xii.). The Duke of Orleans, the Prince of Orange, and many other persons of rank were taken prisoners; and the military force of Brittany was totally broken. The death of the duke, which (Sep. 9) followed soon after, threw affairs into still greater confusion, and seemed to threaten the state with a final subjection.

Though the king did not prepare against these events, so hurtful to the interests of England, with sufficient vigour and precaution, he had not altogether overlooked them. Determined to maintain a pacific conduct, as far as the situation of affairs would permit, he yet knew the warlike temper of his subjects, and observed, that their ancient and inveterate animosity to France was now revived by the prospect of this great accession to her power and grandeur. He resolved therefore to make advantage of this disposition, and draw some supplies from the people, on pretence of giving assistance to the Duke of Brittany. He had summoned a parliament at Westminster (9th Nov., 1487), and he soon persuaded them to grant him a considerable subsidy.¹ But this supply, though voted by parliament, involved the king in unexpected difficulties. The counties of Durham and York, always discontented with Henry's government, and further provoked by the late oppressions under which they had laboured, after the suppression of Simnel's re-

¹ Polydore Vergil, p. 579, says, that this imposition was a capitation tax; the other historians say it was a tax of two shillings in the pound.

bellion, resisted the commissioners who were appointed to levy the tax. The commissioners, terrified with this appearance of sedition, made application to the Earl of Northumberland, and desired of him advice and assistance in the execution of their office. That nobleman thought the matter of importance enough to consult the king; who, unwilling to yield to the humours of a discontented populace, and foreseeing the pernicious consequence of such a precedent, renewed his orders for strictly levying the imposition. Northumberland summoned together the justices and chief freeholders, and delivered the king's commands in the most imperious terms, which, he thought, would enforce obedience, but which tended only to provoke the people, and make them believe him the adviser of those orders which he delivered to them.¹ They flew to arms, attacked Northumberland in his house, and put him to death. Having incurred such deep guilt, their mutinous humour prompted them to declare against the king himself; and being instigated by John Achamber, a seditious fellow of low birth, they chose Sir John Egremont their leader, and prepared themselves for a vigorous resistance. Henry was not dismayed with an insurrection so precipitate and ill-supported. He immediately levied a force, which he put under the command of the Earl of Surrey, whom he had freed from confinement, and received into favour. His intention was to send down these troops, in order to check the progress of the rebels; while he himself should follow with a greater body, which would absolutely insure success. But Surrey thought himself strong enough to encounter alone a raw and unarmed multitude; and he succeeded in the attempt. The rebels were dissipated; John Achamber was taken prisoner, and afterwards executed with some of his accomplices; Sir John Egremont fled to the Duchess of Burgundy, who gave him protection; the greater number of the rebels received a pardon.

Henry had probably expected when he obtained this grant from parliament, that he should be able to terminate the affair of Brittany by negotiation, and that he might thereby fill his coffers with the money levied by the imposition. But as the distresses of the Bretons still multiplied, and became every day more urgent, he found himself under the necessity of taking more vigorous measures, in order to support them. On the death of the duke, the French had revived some antiquated claims to the dominion of the duchy; and as the Duke of Orleans was now captive in France, their former pretence for hostilities could no longer serve as a cover to their ambition. The king resolved, therefore, to engage as auxiliary to Brittany, and to consult the interests as well as desires of his people, by opposing himself to the progress of the French power. Besides entering into a league with Maximilian, and another with Ferdinand, which were distant resources, he levied a body of troops to the number of 6000 men, with an intention of transporting them into Brittany. Still anxious, however, for the repayment of his expenses, he concluded a treaty with the young duchess, by which she engaged to deliver into his hands two sea-port towns, there to remain till she should entirely refund the charges of the armament (Du Tillet, *Recueil des Traités*). Though he engaged for the service of these troops during the space of ten months only, yet was the duchess

¹ Bacon, p. 304, ed. 1870.

obliged, by the necessity of her affairs, to submit to such rigid conditions, imposed by an ally so much concerned in interest to protect her. The forces arrived under the command of Lord Willoughby, of Broke; and made the Bretons, during some time, masters of the field. The French retired into their garrisons, and expected by dilatory measures, to waste the fire of the English, and disgust them with the enterprise. The scheme was well laid, and met with success. Lord Broke found such discord and confusion in the councils of Brittany, that no measures could be concerted for any undertaking; no supply obtained; no provisions, carriages, artillery, or military stores procured. The whole court was rent into factions; no one minister had acquired the ascendant; and whatever project was formed by one, was sure to be traversed by another. The English, disconcerted in every enterprise by these animosities and uncertain councils, returned home as soon as the time of their service was elapsed; leaving only a small garrison in those towns which had been consigned into their hands. During their stay in Brittany, they had only contributed still further to waste the country; and by their departure, they left it entirely at the mercy of the enemy. So feeble was the succour which Henry, in this important conjuncture, afforded his ally, whom the invasion of a foreign enemy, concurring with domestic dissensions, had reduced to the utmost distress.

The great object of the domestic dissensions in Brittany was the disposal of the young duchess in marriage. The Mareschal Rieux, favoured by Henry, seconded the suit of the Lord D'Albret, who led some forces to her assistance. The Chancellor Montauban, observing the aversion of the duchess to this suitor, insisted that a petty prince, such as D'Albret, was unable to support Anne in her present extremities; and he recommended some more powerful alliance, particularly that of Maximilian, king of the Romans. This party (A.D. 1490) at last prevailed; the marriage with Maximilian was celebrated by proxy; and the duchess thenceforth assumed the title of Queen of the Romans. But this magnificent appellation was all she gained by her marriage. Maximilian, destitute of troops and money, and embarrassed with the continual revolts of the Flemings, could send no succour to his distressed consort; while D'Albret, enraged at the preference given to his rival, deserted her cause, and received the French into Nantz, the most important place in the duchy, both for strength and riches.

The French court now began to change their scheme with regard to the subjection of Brittany. Charles had formerly been affianced to Margaret, daughter of Maximilian; who, though too young for the consummation of her marriage, had been sent to Paris to be educated, and at this time bore the title of Queen of France. Besides the rich dowry which she brought the king, she was, after her brother Philip, then in early youth, heir to all the dominions of the house of Burgundy; and seemed, in many respects, the most proper match that could be chosen for the young monarch. These circumstances had so blinded both Maximilian and Henry, that they never suspected any other intentions in the French court; nor were they able to discover that engagements, seemingly so advantageous and so solemnly entered into, could be infringed and set aside. But Charles began to perceive that the conquest of Brittany, in opposition to the natives, and to all

the great powers of Christendom, would prove a difficult enterprise; and that even if he should overrun the country, and make himself master of the fortresses, it would be impossible for him long to retain possession of them. The marriage alone of the duchess could fully reannex that fief to the crown; and the present and certain enjoyment of so considerable a territory seemed preferable to the prospect of inheriting the dominions of the house of Burgundy; a prospect which became every day more distant and precarious. Above all, the marriage of Maximilian and Anne appeared destructive to the grandeur, and even security, of the French monarchy; while that prince, possessing Flanders on the one hand, and Brittany on the other, might thus, from both quarters, make inroads into the heart of the country. The only remedy for these evils was therefore concluded to be the dissolution of the two marriages, which had been celebrated, but not consummated; and the espousal of the duchess of Brittany by the King of France.

It was necessary that this expedient, which had not been foreseen by any court in Europe, and which they were all so much interested to oppose, should be kept a profound secret, and should be discovered to the world only by the full execution of it. The measures of the French ministry in the conduct of this delicate enterprise were wise and political. While they pressed Brittany with all the rigours of war, they secretly gained the Count of Dunois, who possessed great authority with the Bretons; and having also engaged in their interests the Prince of Orange, cousin-german to the duchess, they gave him his liberty, and sent him into Brittany. These partisans, supported by other emissaries of France, prepared the minds of men for the great revolution projected, and displayed, though still with many precautions, all the advantages of a union with the French monarchy. They represented to the barons of Brittany that their country, harassed during so many years with perpetual war, had need of some repose, and of a solid and lasting peace with the only power that was formidable to them; that their alliance with Maximilian was not able to afford them even present protection; and by closely uniting them to a power which was rival to the greatness of France, fixed them in perpetual enmity with that potent monarchy; that their vicinity exposed them first to the inroads of the enemy; and the happiest event which, in such a situation, could befall them would be to attain a peace, though by a final subjection to France, and by the loss of that liberty transmitted to them from their ancestors; and that any other expedient, compatible with the honour of the state and their duty to their sovereign, was preferable to a scene of such disorder and devastation.

These suggestions had influence with the Bretons; but the chief difficulty lay in surmounting the prejudices of the young duchess herself. That princess had imbibed a strong prepossession against the French nation, particularly against Charles, the author of all the calamities which, from her earliest infancy, had befallen her family. She had also fixed her affections on Maximilian; and as she now deemed him her husband, she could not, she thought, without incurring the greatest guilt, and violating the most solemn engagements, contract a marriage with any other person. In order to overcome her obstinacy,

Charles gave the Duke of Orleans his liberty, who, though formerly a suitor to the duchess, was now contented to ingratiate himself with the king by employing in his favour all the interest which he still possessed in Brittany. Mareschal Rieux and Chancellor Montauban were reconciled by his mediation; and these rival ministers now concurred with the Prince of Orange and the Count of Dunois in pressing the conclusion of a marriage with Charles. By their suggestion, Charles advanced with a powerful army, and invested Rennes, at that time the residence of the duchess; who, assailed on all hands, and finding none to support her in her inflexibility, at last opened the gates of the city, and agreed to espouse the King of France. She was married at Langey in Touraine; conducted to St. Dennis, where she was crowned; thence made her entry into Paris amidst the joyful acclamations of the people, who regarded this marriage as the most prosperous event that could have befallen the monarchy.

The triumph and success of Charles was the most sensible mortification to the King of the Romans. He had lost a considerable territory, which he thought he had acquired; and an accomplished princess, whom he had espoused; he was affronted in the person of his daughter Margaret, who was sent back to him after she had been treated, during some years, as Queen of France; he had reason to reproach himself with his own supine security, in neglecting the consummation of his marriage, which was easily practicable for him, and which would have rendered the tie indissoluble; these considerations threw him into the most violent rage, which he vented in very indecent expressions; and he threatened France with an invasion from the united arms of Austria, Spain, and England.

The King of England had also just reason to reproach himself with misconduct in this important transaction; and though the affair had terminated in a manner which he could not precisely foresee, his negligence in leaving his most useful ally so long exposed to the invasion of superior power could not but appear, on reflection, the result of timid caution and narrow politics. As he valued himself on his extensive foresight and profound judgment, the ascendant acquired over him by a raw youth, such as Charles, could not but give him the highest displeasure, and prompt him to seek vengeance, after all remedy for his miscarriage was become absolutely impracticable. But he was farther actuated by avarice, a motive still more predominant with him than either pride or revenge; and he sought, even from his present disappointments, the gratification of this ruling passion. On pretence of a French war, he (July 7) issued a commission for levying a benevolence on his people;¹ a species of taxation which had been abolished by a recent law of Richard III. This violence (for such it really was) fell chiefly on the commercial part of the nation, who were possessed of the ready money. London alone contributed to the amount of near 10,000 pounds. Archbishop Moreton, the chancellor, instructed the commissioners to employ a dilemma, in which every one might be comprehended; if the persons applied to lived frugally, they were told that their parsimony must necessarily have enriched them; if their

¹ Rymer, vol. xii., p. 446. Bacon says that the benevolence was levied with consent of parliament, which is a mistake.

method of living were splendid and hospitable, they were concluded to be opulent on account of their expenses. This device was by some called Chancellor Moreton's fork, by others his crutch.

So little apprehensive was the king of a parliament on account of his levying this arbitrary imposition, that he soon after summoned that assembly to meet (Oct. 27) at Westminster; and he even expected to enrich himself farther by working on their passions and prejudices. He knew the displeasure which the English had conceived against France on account of the acquisition of Brittany; and he took care to insist on that topic in the speech which he himself pronounced to the parliament. He told them that France, elated with her late successes, had even proceeded to a contempt of England, and had refused to pay the tribute which Lewis XI. had stipulated to Edward IV.; that it became so warlike a nation as the English to be roused by this indignity, and not to limit their pretensions merely to repelling the present injury; that, for his part, he was determined to lay claim to the crown itself of France, and to maintain by force of arms so just a title, transmitted to him by his gallant ancestors; that Crecy, Poitiers, and Agincourt, were sufficient to instruct them in their superiority over the enemy; nor did he despair of adding new names to the glorious catalogue; that a king of France had been prisoner in London, and a king of England had been crowned at Paris: events which should animate them to an emulation of like glory with that which had been enjoyed by their forefathers; that the domestic dissensions of England had been the sole cause of her losing these foreign dominions; and her present internal union would be the effectual means of recovering them; that where such lasting honour was in view, and such an important acquisition, it became not brave men to repine at the advance of a little treasure; and that, for his part, he was determined to make the war maintain itself; and hoped, by the invasion of so opulent a kingdom as France, to increase rather than to diminish the riches of the nation.¹

Notwithstanding these magnificent vaunts of the king, all men of penetration concluded, from the personal character of the man, and still more from the situation of affairs, that he had no serious intention of pushing the war to such extremities as he pretended. France was not now in the same condition as when such successful inroads had been made upon her by former kings of England. The great fiefs were united to the crown; the princes of the blood were desirous of tranquillity; the nation abounded with able captains and veteran soldiers; and the general aspect of her affairs seemed rather to threaten her neighbours, than to promise them any considerable advantage against her. The levity and vain glory of Maximilian were supported by his pompous titles, but were ill seconded by military power, and still less by any revenue proportioned to them. The politic Ferdinand, while he made a show of war, was actually negotiating for peace; and, rather than expose himself to any hazard, would accept of very moderate concessions from France. Even England was not free from domestic discontents; and in Scotland, the death of Henry's friend and ally, James III., who had been murdered by his rebellious subjects,

¹ Bacon, p. 317, ed. 1870.

had made way for the succession of his son, James IV., who was devoted to the French interest, and would surely be alarmed at any important progress of the English arms. But all these obvious considerations had no influence on the parliament. Inflamed by the ideas of subduing France, and of enriching themselves by the spoils of that kingdom, they gave in to the snare prepared for them, and voted the supply which the king demanded. Two fifteenths were granted him; and the better to enable his vassals and nobility to attend him, an act was passed, empowering them to sell their estates, without paying any fines for alienation.

The nobility were universally seized with a desire of military glory; and, having credulously swallowed all the boasts of the king, they dreamed of no less than carrying their triumphant banners to the gates of Paris, and putting the crown of France on the head of their sovereign. Many of them borrowed large sums, or sold off manors, that they might appear in the field with greater splendour, and lead out their followers in more complete order. The king crossed the sea, and arrived at Calais on the sixth of October (A.D. 1492), with an army of 25,000 foot and 1600 horse, which he put under the command of the Duke of Bedford and the Earl of Oxford; but as some inferred, from his opening the campaign in so late a season, that peace would soon be concluded between the crowns, he was desirous of suggesting a contrary inference. 'He had come over,' he said, 'to make an entire conquest of France, which was not the work of one summer. It was therefore of no consequence at what season he began the invasion; especially as he had Calais ready for winter-quarters.' As if he had seriously intended this enterprise, he instantly marched into the enemy's country, and laid siege to Bulloigne; but notwithstanding this appearance of hostility, there had been secret advances made towards peace above three months before; and commissioners had been appointed to treat of the terms. The better to reconcile the minds of men to this unexpected measure, the king's ambassadors arrived in the camp from the Low Countries, and informed him that Maximilian was in no readiness to join him; nor was any assistance to be expected from that quarter. Soon after, messengers came from Spain, and brought news of a peace concluded between that kingdom and France, in which Charles had made a cession of the counties of Roussillon and Cerdagne to Ferdinand. Though these articles of intelligence were carefully dispersed throughout the army, the king was still apprehensive lest a sudden peace, after such magnificent promises and high expectations, might expose him to reproach. In order the more effectually to cover the intended measures, he secretly engaged the Marquis of Dorset, together with twenty-three persons of distinction, to present him a petition for agreeing to a treaty with France. The pretence was founded on the late season of the year, the difficulty of supplying the army at Calais during winter, the obstacles which arose in the siege of Bulloigne, the desertion of those allies whose assistance had been most relied on: events which might all of them have been foreseen before the embarkation of the forces.

In consequence of these preparatory steps, the Bishop of Exeter and Lord Daubeney were sent to confer at Estaples with the Mareschal

de Cordes, and to put the last hand to the treaty. A few days sufficed for that purpose; the demands of Henry were wholly pecuniary; and the King of France, who deemed the peaceable possession of Brittany an equivalent for any sum, and who was all on fire for his projected expedition into Italy (Nov. 3), readily agreed to the proposals made him. He engaged to pay Henry 745,000 crowns, near 400,000 pounds sterling of our present money; partly as a reimbursement of the sums advanced to Brittany, partly as arrears of the pension due to Edward IV. And he stipulated a yearly pension to Henry and his heirs of 25,000 crowns. Thus the king, as remarked by his historian, made profit upon his subjects for the war; and upon his enemies for the peace (Bacon, p. 328, ed. 1870; Pol. Virg., p. 586). And the people agreed that he had fulfilled his promise, when he said to the parliament that he would make the war maintain itself. Maximilian was, if he pleased, comprehended in Henry's treaty; but he disdained to be in any respect beholden to an ally, of whom, he thought, he had reason to complain; he made a separate peace with France, and obtained restitution of Artois, Franche-compté, and Charolois, which had been ceded as the dowry of his daughter when she was affianced to the King of France.

The peace concluded between England and France was the more likely to continue, because Charles, full of ambition and youthful hopes, bent all his attention to the side of Italy, and, soon after, undertook the conquest of Naples; an enterprise which Henry regarded with the greater indifference, as Naples lay remote from him, and France had never, in any age, been successful in that quarter. The king's authority was fully established at home: and every rebellion, which had been attempted against him, had hitherto tended only to confound his enemies, and consolidate his power and influence. His reputation for policy and conduct was daily augmenting; his treasures had increased even from the most unfavourable events; the hopes of all pretenders to his throne were cut off, as well by his marriage as by the issue which it had brought him. In this prosperous situation the king had reason to flatter himself with the prospect of durable peace and tranquillity; but his inveterate and indefatigable enemies, whom he had wantonly provoked, raised him an adversary, who long kept him in inquietude, and sometimes even brought him into danger.

The Duchess of Burgundy, full of resentment for the depression of her family and its partisans, rather irritated than discouraged by the ill success of her past enterprises, was determined, at least, to disturb that government which she found it so difficult to subvert. By means of her emissaries she propagated a report that her nephew, Richard Plantagenet, Duke of York, had escaped from the Tower when his elder brother was murdered, and that he still lay somewhere concealed; and, finding this rumour, however improbable, to be greedily received by the people, she had been looking out for some young man proper to personate that unfortunate prince.

There was one Osbec, or Warbec, a renegade Jew of Tournay, who had been carried by some business to London in the reign of Edward IV. and had there a son born to him. Having had opportunities of being known to the king and obtaining his favour, he prevailed with

that prince, whose manners were very affable, to stand godfather to his son, to whom he gave the name of Peter, corrupted after the Flemish manner into Peterkin, or Perkin. It was by some believed that Edward, among his amorous adventures, had a secret commerce with Warbec's wife, and people thence accounted for that resemblance which was afterwards remarked between young Perkin and that monarch (Bacon, p. 330, ed. 1870). Some years after the birth of this child, Warbec returned to Tournay, where Perkin his son did not long remain, but by different accidents was carried from place to place, and his birth and fortunes became thereby unknown, and difficult to be traced by the most diligent inquiry. The variety of his adventures had happily favoured the natural versatility and sagacity of his genius, and he seemed to be a youth perfectly fitted to act any part, or assume any character. In this light he had been represented to the Duchess of Burgundy, who, struck with the concurrence of so many circumstances suited to her purpose, desired to be made acquainted with the man on whom she already began to ground her hopes of success. She found him to exceed her most sanguine expectations, so comely did he appear in his person, so graceful in his air, so courtly in his address, so full of docility and good sense in his behaviour and conversation. The lessons necessary to be taught him, in order to his personating the Duke of York were soon learned by a youth of such quick apprehension; but as the season seemed not then favourable for his enterprise, Margaret, in order the better to conceal him, sent him under the care of Lady Brampton into Portugal, where he remained a year, unknown to all the world.

The war which was then ready to break out between France and England, seemed to afford a proper opportunity for the discovery of this new phenomenon; and Ireland, which still retained its attachments to the house of York, was chosen as the proper place for his first appearance (Polyd. Verg., p. 589). He landed at Cork, and immediately assuming the name of Richard Plantagenet, drew to him partisans among that credulous people. He wrote letters to the Earls of Desmond and Kildare, inviting them to join his party; he dispersed everywhere the strange intelligence of his escape from the cruelty of his uncle Richard; and men fond of everything new and wonderful, began to make him the general subject of their discourse, and even the object of their favour.

The news soon reached France, and Charles prompted by the secret solicitations of the Duchess of Burgundy, and the intrigues of one Frion, a secretary of Henry's, who had deserted his service, sent Perkin an invitation to repair to him at Paris. He received him with all the marks of regard due to the Duke of York, settled on him a handsome pension, assigned him magnificent lodgings, and in order to provide at once for his dignity and security, gave him a guard for his person, of which Lord Congresal accepted the office of captain. The French courtiers readily embraced a fiction which their sovereign thought it his interest to adopt; Perkin, both by his deportment and personal qualities, supported the prepossession which was spread abroad of his royal pedigree; and the whole kingdom was full of the accomplishments, as well as the singular adventures and misfortunes of

the young Plantagenet. Wonders of this nature are commonly augmented at a distance. From France, the admiration and credulity diffused themselves into England; Sir George Nevil, Sir John Taylor, and above a hundred gentlemen more, came to Paris, in order to offer their services to the supposed Duke of York, and to share his fortunes; and the impostor had now the appearance of a court attending him, and began to entertain hopes of success in his undertakings.

When peace was concluded between France and England at Estaples, Henry applied to have Perkin put into his hands, but Charles, resolute not to betray a young man of whatever birth, whom he had invited into his kingdom, would agree only to dismiss him. The pretended Richard retired to the Duchess of Burgundy, and craving her protection and assistance, offered to lay before her all the proofs of that birth to which he laid claim. The princess affected ignorance of his pretensions, even put on the appearance of distrust, and having as she said, been already deceived by Simnel, she was determined never again to be seduced by any impostor. She desired before all the world to be instructed in his reasons for assuming the name which he bore, seemed to examine every circumstance with the most scrupulous nicety, put many particular questions to him, affected astonishment at his answers, and at last, after long and severe scrutiny, burst out into joy and admiration at his wonderful deliverance, embraced him as her nephew, the true image of Edward, the sole heir of the Plantagenets, and the legitimate successor to the English throne. She immediately assigned him an equipage suited to his pretended birth, appointed him a guard of thirty halberdiers, engaged every one to pay court to him, and on all occasions honoured him with the appellation of the 'White Rose of England.' The Flemings moved by the authority which Margaret both from her rank and personal character enjoyed among them, readily adopted the fiction of Perkin's royal descent; no surmise of his true birth was as yet heard of; little contradiction was made to the prevailing opinion; and the English from their great communication with the Low Countries, were every day more and more prepossessed in favour of the impostor.

It was not the populace alone of England that gave credit to Perkin's pretensions. Men of the highest birth and quality, disgusted at Henry's government, by which they found the nobility depressed, began to turn their eyes towards the new claimant, and some of them even entered into a correspondence with him. Lord Fitzwalter, Sir Simon Mountfort, Sir Thomas Thwaites, betrayed their inclination towards him; Sir William Stanley, himself lord chamberlain, who had been so active in raising Henry to the throne, moved either by blind credulity or a restless ambition, entertained the project of a revolt in favour of his enemy (Bacon, p. 334, ed. 1870). Sir Robert Clifford and William Barley were still more open in their measures; they went over to Flanders, were introduced by the Duchess of Burgundy to the acquaintance of Perkin, and made him a tender of their services. Clifford wrote back to England, that he knew perfectly the person of Richard, Duke of York, that this young man was undoubtedly that prince himself, and that no circumstance of his story was exposed to the least difficulty. Such positive intelligence conveyed by a person of rank and

character, was sufficient with many to put the matter beyond question, and excited the attention and wonder even of the most indifferent. The whole nation was held in suspense, a regular conspiracy was formed against the king's authority, and a correspondence settled between the malcontents in Flanders and those in England.

The king was informed of all these particulars, but agreeably to his character, which was both cautious and resolute, he proceeded deliberately, though steadily, in counter-working the projects of his enemies. His first object was to ascertain the death of the real Duke of York, and to confirm the opinion that had always prevailed with regard to that event. Five persons had been employed by Richard in the murder of his nephews, or could give evidence with regard to it; Sir James Tyrrel, to whom he had committed the government of the Tower for that purpose, and who had seen the dead princes; Forrest, Dighton, and Slater, who perpetrated the crime; and the priest who buried the bodies. Tyrrel and Dighton alone were alive, and they agreed in the same story; but as the priest was dead, and as the bodies were supposed to have been removed by Richard's orders, from the place where they were first interred, and could not now be found, it was not in Henry's power to put the fact, so much as he wished, beyond all doubt and controversy.

He met at first with more difficulty, but was in the end more successful in detecting who this wonderful person was that thus boldly advanced pretensions to his crown. He dispersed his spies all over Flanders and England; he engaged many to pretend that they had embraced Perkin's party, he directed them to insinuate themselves into the confidence of the young man's friends; in proportion as they conveyed intelligence of any conspirator, he bribed his retainers, his domestic servants, nay sometimes his confessor, and by these means traced up some other confederate; Clifford himself he engaged by the hope of reward and pardon, to betray the secrets committed to him; the more trust he gave to any of his spies, the higher resentment did he feign against them, some of them he even caused to be publicly anathematized, in order the better to procure them the confidence of his enemies; and in the issue, the whole plan of the conspiracy was clearly laid before him, and the pedigree, adventures, life, and conversation of the pretended Duke of York. This latter part of the story was immediately published for the satisfaction of the nation; the conspirators he reserved for a slower and surer vengeance.

Meanwhile (A.D. 1494), he remonstrated with the Archduke Philip, on account of the countenance and protection which was afforded in his dominions to so infamous an impostor, contrary to treaties subsisting between the sovereigns, and to the mutual amity which had so long been maintained by the subjects of both states. Margaret had interest enough to get his application rejected, on pretence that Philip had no authority over the demesnes of the duchess dowager. And the king in resentment for this injury, cut off all commerce with the Low Countries, banished the Flemings, and recalled his own subjects from these provinces. Philip retaliated by like edicts, but Henry knew that so mutinous a people as the Flemings would not long bear, in compliance with the humours of their prince, to be deprived of the beneficial branch of commerce which they carried on with England.

He had it in his power to inflict more effectual punishment on his domestic enemies, and when his projects were sufficiently matured, he failed not to make them feel the effects of his resentment. Almost in the same instant, he arrested Fitzwalter, Mountfort, and Thwaites, together with William Daubeney, Robert Ratcliff, Thomas Cressenor, and Thomas Astwood. All these were arraigned, convicted, and condemned for high treason in adhering and promising aid to Perkin. Mountfort, Ratcliff, and Daubeney, were immediately executed; Fitzwalter was sent over to Calais and detained in custody, but being detected in practising on his keeper for an escape, he soon after underwent the same fate. The rest of the rebels were pardoned, together with William Worsley, Dean of St. Paul's, and some others who had been accused and examined, but not brought to public trial (Polydore Vergil, p. 592).

Greater and more solemn preparations were deemed requisite for the trial of Stanley, lord chamberlain, whose authority in the nation, whose domestic connections with the king as well as his former services, seemed to secure him against any accusation or punishment. Clifford was directed to come over privately to England, and to throw himself at the king's feet, while he sat in council, craving pardon for past offences, and offering to atone for them by any services which should be required of him. Henry then told him that the best proof he could give of penitence, and the only service he could now render him was the full confession of his guilt, and the discovery of all his accomplices, however distinguished by rank or character. Encouraged by this exhortation, Clifford accused Stanley, then present, as his chief abettor, and offered to lay before the council the full proof of his guilt. Stanley himself could not discover more surprise than was affected by Henry on the occasion. He received the intelligence as absolutely false and incredible, that a man, to whom he was in a great measure beholden for his crown, and even for his life; a man, to whom, by every honour and favour, he had endeavoured to express his gratitude; whose brother, the Earl of Derby, was his own father-in-law; to whom he had even committed the trust of his person, by creating him lord chamberlain; that this man, enjoying his full confidence and affection, not actuated by any motive of discontent or apprehension, should engage in a conspiracy against him. Clifford was therefore exhorted to weigh well the consequences of his accusation; but as he persisted in the same positive asseverations, Stanley was committed to custody, and was soon after examined before the council (Bacon, p. 341, ed. 1870; Polyd. Verg., p. 593). He denied not the guilt imputed to him by Clifford; he did not even endeavour much to extenuate it; whether he thought that a frank and open confession would serve as an atonement, or trusted to his present connections, and his former services, for pardon and security. But princes are often apt to regard great services as a ground of jealousy, especially if accompanied with a craving and restless disposition, in the person who has performed them. The general discontent also, and mutinous humour of the people, seemed to require some great example of severity. And as Stanley was one of the most opulent subjects in the kingdom, being possessed of above 3000*l.* a-year in land, and 40,000 marks in plate and money, besides other property

of great value, the prospect of so rich a forfeiture was deemed no small motive for Henry's proceeding to extremities against him. After six weeks delay, which was interposed, in order to show that the king was restrained by doubts and scruples, the prisoner was brought to his trial, condemned, and (A.D. 1495, Feb. 15) presently after beheaded. Historians are not agreed with regard to the crime which was proved against him. The general report is, that he should have said in confidence to Clifford, that, if he were sure the young man, who appeared in Flanders, was really son to King Edward he never would bear arms against him. The sentiment might disgust Henry, as implying a preference of the house of York to that of Lancaster; but could scarcely be the ground, even in those arbitrary times, of a sentence of high treason against Stanley. It is more probable, therefore, as is asserted by some historians, that he had expressly engaged to assist Perkin, and had actually sent him some supply of money.

The fate of Stanley made great impression on the kingdom, and struck all the partisans of Perkin with the deepest dismay. From Clifford's desertion they found that all their secrets were betrayed; and, as it appeared, that Stanley, while he seemed to live in the greatest confidence with the king, had been continually surrounded by spies, who reported and registered every action in which he was engaged, nay, every word which fell from him, a general distrust took place, and all mutual confidence was destroyed, even among intimate friends and acquaintance. The jealous and severe temper of the king, together with his great reputation for sagacity and penetration, kept men in awe, and quelled not only the movements of sedition, but the very murmurs of faction. Libels, however, crept out against Henry's person and administration; and, being greedily propagated by every secret art, showed that there still remained among the people a considerable root of discontent, which wanted only a proper opportunity to discover itself.

But Henry continued more intent on increasing the terrors of his people, than on gaining their affections. Trusting to the great success which attended him in all his enterprises, he gave every day, more and more, a loose to his rapacious temper, and employed the arts of perverted law and justice, in order to exact fines and compositions from his people. Sir William Capel, Alderman of London, was condemned on some penal statutes to pay the sum of 2743*l.*, and was obliged to compound for sixteen hundred and fifteen. This was the first noted case of this kind, but it became a precedent which prepared the way for many others. The management, indeed, of these arts of chicanery, was the great secret of the king's administration. While he depressed the nobility, he exalted and honoured and caressed the lawyers, and by that means both bestowed authority on the laws, and was enabled, whenever he pleased, to pervert them to his own advantage. His government was oppressive; but it was so much the less burdensome, as, by his extending royal authority, and curbing the nobles, he became the sole oppressor in his kingdom.

As Perkin found that the king's authority daily gained ground among the people, and that his own pretensions were becoming obsolete, he resolved to attempt something which might revive the hopes and expectations of his partisans. Having collected a band of outlaws,

pirates, robbers, and necessitous persons of all nations, to the number of 600 men, he put to sea, with a resolution of making a descent in England, and of exciting the common people to arms, since all his correspondence with the nobility was cut off by Henry's vigilance and severity. Information being brought him that the king had made a progress to the north, he cast anchor on the coast of Kent, and sent some of his retainers ashore, who invited the country to join him. The gentlemen of Kent assembled some troops to oppose him, but they purposed to do more essential service than by repelling the invasion; they carried the semblance of friendship to Perkin, and invited him to come himself ashore, in order to take the command over them. But the wary youth, observing that they had more order and regularity in their movements than could be supposed in new levied forces, who had taken arms against established authority, refused to entrust himself into their hands; and the Kentish troops, despairing of success in their stratagem, fell upon such of his retainers as were already landed, and besides some whom they slew, they took a hundred and fifty prisoners. These were tried and condemned, and all of them executed, by orders from the king, who was resolved to use no lenity towards men of such desperate fortunes (Polydore Vergil, p. 595).

This year a parliament was summoned in England, and another in Ireland, and some remarkable laws were passed in both countries. The English parliament enacted, that no person who should by arms or otherwise assist the king for the time being, should ever afterwards, either by course of law or act of parliament, be attainted for such an instance of obedience. This statute might be exposed to some censure as favourable to usurpers, were there any precise rule which always, even during the most factious times, could determine the true successor, and render every one inexcusable who did not submit to him. But as the titles of princes are then the great subject of dispute, and each party pleads topics in its own favour, it seems but equitable to secure those who act in support of public tranquillity, an object at all times of undoubted benefit and importance. Henry, conscious of his disputed title, promoted this law, in order to secure his partisans against all events; but as he had himself observed a contrary practice with regard to Richard's adherents, he had reason to apprehend, that during the violence which usually ensues on public convulsions, his example, rather than his law, would, in case of a new revolution, be followed by his enemies. And the attempt to bind the legislature itself, by prescribing rules to future parliaments, was contradictory to the plainest principles of political government.

This parliament also passed an act empowering the king to levy by course of law all the sums which any person had agreed to pay by way of benevolence; a statute by which that arbitrary method of taxation was indirectly authorized and justified.

The king's authority appeared equally prevalent and uncontrolled in Ireland. Sir Edward Poynings had been sent over to that country with an intention of quelling the partisans of the house of York, and of reducing the natives to subjection. He was not supported by forces sufficient for that enterprise; the Irish, by flying into their woods, and morasses, and mountains, for some time eluded his efforts; but Poyn-

ings summoned a parliament at Dublin, where he was more successful. He passed that memorable statute, which still bears his name, and which establishes the authority of the English government in Ireland. By this statute, all the former laws of England were made to be of force in Ireland; and no bill can be introduced into the Irish parliament, unless it previously receive the sanction of the council of England. This latter clause seems calculated for ensuring the dominion of the English; but was really granted at the desire of the Irish commons, who intended, by that means, to secure themselves from the tyranny of their lords, particularly of such lieutenants or deputies as were of Irish birth (Sir John Davies, p. 235).

While Henry's authority was thus established throughout his dominions, and general tranquillity prevailed, the whole continent was thrown into combustion by the French invasion of Italy, and by the rapid success which attended Charles in that rash and ill-concerted enterprise. The Italians, who had entirely lost the use of arms, and who, in the midst of continual wars, had become every day more unwarlike, were astonished to meet an enemy, that made the field of battle not a pompous tournament, but a scene of blood, and sought, at the hazard of their own lives, the death of their enemy. Their effeminate troops were dispersed everywhere on the approach of the French army; their best fortified cities opened their gates; kingdoms and states were in an instant overturned; and through the whole length of Italy, which the French penetrated without resistance, they seemed rather to be taking quarters in their own country, than making conquests over an enemy. The maxims, which the Italians during that age followed in negotiations, were as ill calculated to support their states, as the habits to which they were addicted in war; a treacherous, deceitful, and inconsistent system of politics prevailed; and even those small remains of fidelity and honour, which were preserved in the councils of the other European princes, were ridiculed in Italy as proofs of ignorance and rusticity. Ludovico, Duke of Milan, who invited the French to invade Naples, had never desired or expected their success, and was the first that felt terror from the prosperous issue of those projects which he himself had concerted. By his intrigues, a league was formed among several potentates to oppose the progress of Charles's conquests, and secure their own independency. This league was composed of Ludovico himself, the Pope, Maximilian, King of the Romans, Ferdinand, of Spain, and the republic of Venice. Henry too entered into the confederacy, but was not put to any expense or trouble in consequence of his engagements. The King of France, terrified by so powerful a combination, retired from Naples with the greater part of his army, and returned to France. The forces which he left in his new conquest were, partly by the revolt of the inhabitants, partly by the invasion of the Spaniards, soon after subdued; and the whole kingdom of Naples suddenly returned to its allegiance under Ferdinand, son to Alphonso, who had been suddenly expelled by the irruption of the French. Ferdinand died soon after, and left his uncle, Frederic, in full possession of the throne.

CHAPTER XXVI.

Perkin retires to Scotland.—Insurrection in the west.—Battle of Blackheath.—Truce with Scotland.—Perkin taken prisoner.—Perkin executed.—The Earl of Warwick executed.—Marriage of Prince Arthur with Catharine of Arragon.—His death.—Marriage of the Princess Margaret with the King of Scotland.—Oppressions of the people.—A parliament.—Arrival of the King of Castile.—Intrigues of the Earl of Suffolk.—Sickness of the king.—His death—his character—and his laws.

AFTER Perkin was repulsed from the coast of Kent, he retired into Flanders; but as he found it impossible to procure subsistence for himself and his followers, while he remained in tranquillity, he soon after made an attempt upon Ireland, which had always appeared forward to join every invader of Henry's authority. But Poynings had now put the affairs of that island in so good a posture, that Perkin met with little success; and, being tired of the savage life which he was obliged to lead, while skulking among the wild Irish, he bent his course towards Scotland, and presented himself to James IV., who then governed that kingdom. He had been previously recommended to this prince by the King of France, who was disgusted at Henry for entering into the general league against him; and this recommendation was even seconded by Maximilian, who, though one of the confederates, was also displeased with the king, on account of his prohibiting in England all commerce with the Low Countries. The countenance given to Perkin by these princes procured him a favourable reception with the King of Scotland, who assured him, that whatever he were, he never should repent putting himself in his hands (Bacon, p. 351, ed. 1870; Polydore Vergil, pp. 596, 597); the insinuating address and plausible behaviour of the youth himself seem even to have gained him credit and authority. James, whom years had not yet taught distrust or caution, was seduced to believe the story of Perkin's birth and adventures; and he carried his confidence so far as to give him in marriage the Lady Cath. Gordon, daughter of the Earl of Huntly, and related to himself; a young lady, eminent for virtue as well as beauty.

There subsisted at that time (A.D. 1496) a great jealousy between the courts of England and Scotland; and James was probably the more forward on that account to adopt any fiction, which, he thought, might reduce his enemy to distress or difficulty. He suddenly resolved to make an inroad into England, attended by some of the borderers; and he carried Perkin along with him, in hopes that the appearance of the pretended prince might raise an insurrection in the northern counties. Perkin himself dispersed a manifesto, in which he set forth his own story, and craved the assistance of all his subjects in expelling the usurper, whose tyranny and mal-administration, whose depression of the nobility by the elevation of mean persons, whose oppression of the people by multiplied impositions and vexations, had justly, he said, rendered him odious to all men. But Perkin's pretensions, attended with repeated disappointments, were now become stale in the eyes even of the populace; and the hostile dispositions, which subsisted between

the kingdoms rendered a prince, supported by the Scots, but an unwelcome present to the English nation. The ravages also committed by the borderers, accustomed to licence and disorder, struck a terror into all men; and made the people prepare rather for repelling the invaders than for joining them. Perkin, that he might support his pretensions to royal birth, feigned great compassion for the misery of his plundered subjects; and publicly remonstrated with his ally against the depredations exercised by the Scottish army (Polydore Vergil, p. 598); but James told him that he doubted his concern was employed only in behalf of an enemy, and that he was anxious to preserve what never should belong to him. The King of Scotland now began to perceive that his attempt would be fruitless; and hearing of an army which was on its march to attack him, he thought proper to retreat into his own country.

The king discovered little anxiety to procure either reparation or vengeance for this insult committed on him by the Scottish nation; his chief concern was to draw advantage from it, by the pretence which it might afford him to levy impositions on his own subjects. He summoned a parliament, to whom he made bitter complaints against the irruption of the Scots, the absurd imposture countenanced by that nation, the cruel devastations committed in the northern counties, and the multiplied insults thus offered both to the king and kingdom of England. The parliament made the expected return to this discourse, by granting a subsidy to the amount of 120,000*l.*, together with two fifteenths. After making this grant they were dismissed.

The vote of parliament (A.D. 1497) for imposing the tax was without much difficulty procured by the authority of Henry; but he found it not so easy to levy the money upon his subjects. The people, who were acquainted with the immense treasures which he had amassed, could ill brook the new impositions raised on every slight occasion; and it is probable that the flaw which was universally known to be in his title, made his reign the more subject to insurrections and rebellions. When the subsidy began to be levied in Cornwall, the inhabitants, numerous and poor, robust and courageous, murmured against a tax, occasioned by a sudden inroad of the Scots, from which they esteemed themselves entirely secure, and which had usually been repelled by the force of the northern counties. Their ill-humour was farther incited by one Michael Joseph, a farrier of Bodmin, a notable prating fellow, who, by thrusting himself forward on every occasion, and being loudest in every complaint against the government, had acquired an authority among those rude people. Thomas Flammoc, too, a lawyer, who had become the oracle of the neighbourhood, encouraged the sedition by informing them that the tax, though imposed by parliament, was entirely illegal; that the northern nobility were bound, by their tenures, to defend the nation against the Scots; and that if these new impositions were tamely submitted to, the avarice of Henry and of his ministers would soon render the burden intolerable to the nation. The Cornish, he said, must deliver to the king a petition, seconded by such a force as would give it authority; and in order to procure the concurrence of the rest of the kingdom, care must be taken, by their orderly deportment, to show that they had nothing in view but the public good, and

the redress of all those grievances under which the people of England had so long laboured.

Encouraged by these speeches, the multitude flocked together and armed themselves with axes, bills, bows, and such weapons as country people are usually possessed of. Flammoc and Joseph were chosen their leaders. They soon conducted the Cornish through the county of Devon, and reached that of Somerset. At Taunton, the rebels killed, in their fury, an officious and eager commissioner of the subsidy, whom they called the Provost of Perin. When they reached Wells, they were joined by Lord Audley, a nobleman of an ancient family, popular in his deportment, but vain, ambitious, and restless in his temper. He had from the beginning maintained a secret correspondence with the first movers of the insurrection; and was now joyfully received by them as their leader. Proud of the countenance given them by so considerable a nobleman, they continued their march, breathing destruction to the king's ministers and favourites, particularly to Morton, now a cardinal, and Sir Reginald Bray, who were deemed the most active instruments in all his oppressions. Notwithstanding their rage against the administration, they carefully followed the directions given them by their leaders; and as they met with no resistance, they committed, during their march, no violence or disorder.

The rebels had been told by Flammoc, that the inhabitants of Kent, as they had ever, during all ages, remained unsubdued, and had even maintained their independence during the Norman conquest, would surely embrace their party, and declare themselves for a cause, which was no other than that of public good and general liberty. But the Kentish people had very lately distinguished themselves by repelling Perkin's invasion; and as they had received from the king many gracious acknowledgments for this service, their affections were, by that means, much conciliated to his government. It was easy, therefore, for the Earl of Kent, Lord Abergavenny, and Lord Cobham, who possessed great authority in those parts, to retain the people in obedience: and the Cornish rebels, though they pitched their camp near Eltham, at the very gates of London, and invited all the people to join them, got reinforcement from no quarter. There wanted not discontents everywhere, but no one would take part in so ill-concerted an enterprise; and besides, the situation in which the king's affairs then stood discouraged even the boldest and most daring.

Henry, in order to oppose the Scots, had already levied an army, which he put under the command of Lord Daubeney, the chamberlain; and as soon as he heard of the Cornish insurrection, he ordered it to march southwards, and suppress the rebels. Not to leave the northern frontier defenceless, he despatched thither the Earl of Surrey, who assembled the forces on the borders, and made head against the enemy. Henry found here the concurrence of the three most fatal incidents that can befall a monarchy; a foreign enemy, a domestic rebellion, and a pretender to his crown; but he enjoyed great resources in his army and treasure, and still more in the intrepidity and courage of his own temper. He did not, however, immediately give full scope to his military spirit. On other occasions, he had always hastened to a decision; and it was a usual saying with him, 'that he desired but to

see his rebels.' But as the Cornish mutineers behaved in an inoffensive manner, and committed no spoil on the country; as they received no accession of force on their march or in their encampment; and as such hasty and popular tumults might be expected to diminish every moment by delay; he took post in London, and assiduously prepared the means of ensuring victory.

After all his forces were collected, he divided them into three bodies, and marched out to assail the enemy. The first body, commanded by the Earl of Oxford, and under him by the Earls of Essex and Suffolk, were appointed to place themselves behind the hill on which the rebels were encamped. The second and most considerable Henry put under the command of Lord Daubeney, and ordered him to attack the enemy in front and bring on the action. The third he kept as a body of reserve about his own person, and took post in St. George's fields; where he secured the city, and could easily, as occasion served, either restore the fight, or finish the victory. To put the enemy off their guard, he had spread a report that he was not to attack them till some days after; and, the better to confirm them in this opinion, he began not the action till near the evening. Daubeney beat a detachment of the rebels from Deptford Bridge; and before the main body could be in order to receive him, he had gained the ascent of the hill, and placed himself in array before them. They were formidable from their numbers, being 16,000 strong, and were not defective in valour; but being tumultuary troops, ill armed, and not provided with cavalry or artillery, they were but an unequal match for the king's forces. Daubeney (A.D. 1497, June 22) began the attack with courage, and even with a contempt of the enemy which had almost proved fatal to him. He rushed into the midst of them, and was taken prisoner; but soon after was released by his own troops. After some resistance, the rebels were broken, and put to flight (Polydore Vergil, p. 601). Lord Audley, Flammoc, and Joseph, their leaders, were taken, and all three executed. The latter seemed even to exult in his end, and boasted, with a preposterous ambition, that he should make a figure in history. The rebels, being surrounded on every side by the king's troops, were almost all made prisoners, and immediately dismissed without farther punishment—whether that Henry was satisfied with the victims who had fallen in the field, and who amounted to near 2000, or that he pitied the ignorance and simplicity of the multitude, or favoured them on account of their inoffensive behaviour, or was pleased that they had never, during their insurrection, disputed his title, and had shown no attachment to the house of York, the highest crime, of which, in his eyes, they could have been guilty.

The Scottish king was not idle during these commotions in England. He levied a considerable army, and sat down before the castle of Northham in Northumberland; but found that place, by the precaution of Fox, Bishop of Durham, so well provided both with men and ammunition, that he made little or no progress in the siege. Hearing that the Earl of Surrey had collected some forces, and was advancing upon him, he retreated into his own country, and left the frontiers exposed to the inroads of the English general, who besieged and took Aiton, a small castle lying a few miles beyond Berwick. These unsuccessful or frivolous attempts on both sides prognosticated a speedy end to the war;

and Henry, notwithstanding his superior force, was no less desirous than James of terminating the differences between the nations. Not to depart, however, from his dignity, by making the first advances, he employed in this friendly office Peter Hialas, a man of address and learning, who had come to him as ambassador from Ferdinand and Isabella, and who was charged with a commission of negotiating the marriage of the infanta Catherine, their daughter, with Arthur, Prince of Wales (Polydore Vergil, p. 603).

Hialas took a journey northwards, and offered his mediation between James and Henry, as minister of a prince who was in alliance with both potentates. Commissioners were soon appointed to meet, and confer on terms of accommodation. The first demand of the English was that Perkin should be put into their hands. James replied, that he himself was no judge of the young man's pretensions, but having received him as a suppliant, and promised him protection, he was determined not to betray a man who had trusted to his good faith and his generosity. The next demand of the English met with no better reception. They required reparation for the ravages committed by the late inroads into England. The Scottish commissioners replied, that the spoils were like water spilt upon the ground, which could never be recovered, and that Henry's subjects were better able to bear the loss, than their master's to repair it. Henry's commissioners next proposed, that the two kings should have an interview at Newcastle, in order to adjust all differences; but James said, that he meant to treat of a peace, not to go a begging for it. Lest the conferences should break off altogether without effect, a truce was concluded for some months; and James perceiving that while Perkin remained in Scotland, he himself never should enjoy a solid peace with Henry, privately desired him to depart the kingdom.

Access was now barred Perkin into the Low Countries, his usual retreat in all his disappointments. The Flemish merchants, who severely felt the loss resulting from the interruption of commerce with England, had made such interest in the archduke's council, that commissioners were sent to London, in order to treat of an accommodation. The Flemish court agreed, that all English rebels should be excluded the Low Countries; and in this prohibition the demesnes of the duchess-dowager were expressly comprehended. When this principal article was agreed to, all the other terms were easily adjusted. A treaty of commerce was finished, which was favourable to the Flemings, and to which they long gave the appellation of *Intercursus magnus*, the great treaty. And when the English merchants returned to their usual abode at Antwerp, they were publicly received, as in procession, with joy and festivity.

Perkin was a Fleming by descent, though born in England; and it might therefore be doubted whether he were included in the treaty between the two nations; but as he must dismiss all his English retainers if he took shelter in the Low Countries, and as he was sure of a cold reception, if not bad usage, among people who were determined to keep on terms of friendship with the court of England, he thought fit rather to hide himself during some time in the wilds and fastnesses of Ireland. Impatient, however, of a retreat which was both disagree-

able and dangerous, he held consultations with his followers, Herne, Skelton, and Astley, three broken tradesmen. By their advice he resolved to try the affections of the Cornish, whose mutinous disposition, notwithstanding the king's lenity, still subsisted after the suppression of their rebellion. No sooner did he appear at Bodmin in Cornwall, than the populace, to the number of three thousand, flocked to his standard; and Perkin, elated with this appearance of success, took on him for the first time the appellation of Richard IV., King of England. Not to suffer the expectations of his followers to languish, he presented himself before Exeter, and by many fair promises invited that city to join him. Finding that the inhabitants shut their gates against him, he laid siege to the place; but being unprovided with artillery, ammunition, and everything requisite for the attempt, he made no progress in his undertaking. Messengers were sent to the king informing him of this insurrection. The citizens of Exeter meanwhile were determined to hold out to the last extremity, in expectation of receiving succour from the well-known vigilance of that monarch.

When Henry was informed that Perkin was landed in England, he expressed great joy and prepared himself with alacrity to attack him, in hopes of being able at length to put a period to pretensions which had so long given him vexation and inquietude. All the courtiers, sensible that their activity on this occasion would be the most acceptable service which they could render the king, displayed their zeal for the enterprise and forwarded his preparations. The Lords Daubeney and Broke, with Sir Rice ap Thomas, hastened forward with a small body of troops to the relief of Exeter. The Earl of Devonshire and the most considerable gentlemen in the county of that name took arms of their own accord, and marched to join the king's generals. The Duke of Buckingham put himself at the head of a troop consisting of young nobility and gentry who served as volunteers, and who longed for an opportunity of displaying their courage and their loyalty. The king himself prepared to follow with a considerable army, and thus all England seemed united against a pretender who had at first engaged their attention and divided their affections.

Perkin, informed of these great preparations, immediately raised the siege of Exeter and retired to Taunton. Though his followers now amounted to the number of near seven thousand and seemed still resolute to maintain his cause, he himself despaired of success and secretly withdrew to the sanctuary of Beaulieu in the New Forest. The Cornish rebels submitted to the king's mercy, and found that it was not yet exhausted in their behalf. Except a few persons of desperate fortunes who were executed, and some others who were severely fined, all the rest were dismissed with impunity. Lady Catherine Gordon, wife to Perkin, fell into the hands of the victor and was treated with a generosity which does him honour. He soothed her mind with many marks of regard, placed her in a reputable station about the queen, and assigned her a pension which she enjoyed under his successor.

Henry deliberated what course to take with Perkin himself. Some counselled him to make the privileges of the Church yield to reasons of state, to take him by violence from the sanctuary, to inflict on him the punishment due to his temerity, and thus at once to put an end to

an imposture which had long disturbed the government and which the credulity of the people and the artifices of malcontents were still capable of reviving. But the king deemed not the matter of such importance as to merit so violent a remedy. He employed some persons to deal with Perkin, and persuade him under promise of pardon to deliver himself into the king's hands (Polydore Vergil, p. 606). The king conducted him in a species of mock triumph to London. As Perkin passed along the road and through the streets of the city, men of all ranks flocked about him and the populace treated with the highest derision his fallen fortunes. They seemed desirous of revenging themselves by their insults for the shame which their former belief of his impostures had thrown upon them. Though the eyes of the nation were generally opened with regard to Perkin's real parentage, Henry required of him a confession of his life and adventures, and he ordered the account of the whole to be dispersed soon after for the satisfaction of the public. But as his regard to decency made him entirely suppress the share which the Duchess of Burgundy had had in contriving and conducting the imposture, the people, who knew that she had been the chief instrument in the whole affair, were inclined on account of the silence on that head, to pay the less credit to the authenticity of the narrative of Warbeck.

But Perkin, though his life was granted him, was still detained in custody; and keepers were appointed to guard him. Impatient of confinement, he broke from his keepers, and flying to the sanctuary of Shyne, put himself into the hands of the prior of that monastery. The prior had obtained great credit by his character of sanctity, and he prevailed on the king again to grant a pardon to Perkin. But in order to reduce him to still greater contempt, he was set in the stocks at Westminster and Cheapside, and obliged in both places to read aloud to the people the confession which had formerly been published in his name. He was then confined to the Tower, where his habits of restless intrigue and enterprise followed him. He insinuated himself into the intimacy of four servants of Sir John Digby, Lieutenant of the Tower; and by their means opened a correspondence with the Earl of Warwick who was confined in the same prison. This unfortunate prince, who had from his earliest youth been shut up from the commerce of men and who was ignorant even of the most common affairs of life, had fallen into a simplicity which made him susceptible of any impression. The continued dread also of the more violent effects of Henry's tyranny, joined to the natural love of liberty, engaged him to embrace a project for his escape, by the murder of the lieutenant; and Perkin offered to conduct the whole enterprise. The conspiracy escaped not the king's vigilance: it was even very generally believed that the scheme had been laid by himself in order to draw Warwick and Perkin into the snare; but the subsequent execution of two of Digby's servants for the contrivance seems to clear the king of that imputation, which was indeed founded more on the general idea entertained of his character than on any positive evidence.

Perkin, by this new attempt after so many enormities, had rendered himself totally unworthy of mercy; and he was accordingly arraigned, condemned, and soon after (A.D. 1499) hanged at Tyburn, persisting

still in the confession of his imposture.¹ It happened about that very time that one Wilford, a cordwainer's son, encouraged by the surprising credit given to other impostures, had undertaken to personate the Earl

¹ Stow, Baker, Speed, Biondi, Holingshed, Bacon. Some late writers, particularly Mr. Carte, have doubted whether Perkin were an impostor, and have even asserted him to be the true Plantagenet. But, to refute this opinion, we need only reflect on the following particulars. (1) Though the circumstances of the wars between the two roses be, in general, involved in great obscurity, yet is there a most luminous ray thrown on all the transactions during the usurpation of Richard and the murder of the two young princes, by the narrative of Sir Thomas More, whose singular magnanimity, probity and judgment, make him an evidence beyond all exception. No historian, either of ancient or modern times, can possibly have more weight. He may also be justly esteemed a contemporary with regard to the murder of the two princes. For though he was but five years of age when that event happened, he lived, and was educated, among the chief actors during the period of Richard; and it is plain, from his narrative itself, which is often extremely circumstantial, that he had the particulars from the eye-witnesses themselves. His authority, therefore, is irresistible, and sufficient to overbalance a hundred little doubts and scruples and objections. For, in reality, his narrative is liable to no solid objection, nor is there any mistake detected in it. He says, indeed, that the protector's partisans, particularly Dr. Shaw, spread abroad rumours of Edward IV.'s pre-contract with Elizabeth Lucy; whereas it now appears, from record, that the parliament afterwards declared the king's children illegitimate, on pretence of his pre-contract with Lady Eleanor Talbot. But it must be remarked that neither of these pre-contracts was ever so much as attempted to be proved. And why might not the protector's flatterers and partisans have made use sometimes of one false rumour, sometimes of another? Sir Thomas More mentions the one rumour as well as the other, and treats them both lightly, as they deserved. It is also thought incredible, by Mr. Carte, that Dr. Shaw should have been encouraged by Richard to calumniate openly his mother the Duchess of York, with whom that prince lived in good terms. But, if there be any difficulty in this supposition, we need only suppose that Dr. Shaw might have concerted, in general, his sermon with the protector or his ministers, and yet have chosen himself the particular topics, and chosen them very foolishly. This appears, indeed, to have been the case, by the disgrace into which he fell afterwards, and by the protector's neglect of him. (2) If Sir Thomas's quality of contemporary be disputed with regard to the Duke of Gloucester's protectorate, it cannot possibly be disputed with regard to Perkin's imposture. He was then a man, and had a full opportunity of knowing and examining and judging of the truth. In asserting that the Duke of York was murdered by his uncle, he certainly asserts, in the most express terms, that Perkin, who personated him, was an impostor. (3) There is another great genius who has carefully treated this point of history; so great a genius as to be esteemed, with justice, one of the chief ornaments of the nation, and indeed one of the most sublime writers that any age or nation has produced. It is Lord Bacon I mean, who has related, at full length, and without the least doubt or hesitation, all the impostures of Perkin Warbeck. If it be objected, that Lord Bacon was no contemporary, and that we have the same materials as he upon which to form our judgment; it must be remarked that Lord Bacon plainly composed his elaborate and exact history from many records and papers which are now lost, and that, consequently, he is always to be cited as an original historian. It were very strange, if Mr. Carte's opinion were just, that, among all the papers which Lord Bacon perused, he never found any reason to suspect Perkin to be the true Plantagenet. There was, at that time, no interest in defaming Richard III. Bacon, besides, is a very unbiassed historian, nowise partial to Henry. We know the detail of that prince's oppressive government from him alone. It may only be thought, that in summing up his character he has laid the colours of blame more faintly than the very facts he mentions seem to require. Let me remark, in passing, as a singularity, how much English history has been beholden to four great men who have possessed the highest dignity in the law; More, Bacon, Clarendon, and Whitlocke. (4) But if contemporary evidence be so much sought after, there may in this case be produced the strongest and most undeniable in the world. The queen-dowager her son the Marquis of Dorset, a man of excellent understanding, Sir Edward Woodville, her brother, Sir Thomas St. Leger, who had married the king's sister, Sir John Bourchier, Sir Robert Willoughby, Sir Giles Daubeney, Sir Thomas Arundel, the Courneys, the Cheyneys, the Talbots, the Stanleys, and in a word, all the partisans of the house of York, that is, the men of chief dignity in the nation; all these great persons were so assured of the murder of the two princes, that they applied to the Earl of Richmond, the mortal enemy of their party and family; they projected to set him on the throne, which, must have been utter ruin to them if the princes were alive; and they stipulated to marry him to the Princess Elizabeth, as heir to the crown, who, in that case, was no heir at all. Had each of those persons written the memoirs of his own times, would he not have said, that Richard murdered his nephews? Or would their pen be a better declaration than their actions of their real sentiments? (5) But we have another contemporary authority still better than even these great persons, so much interested to know the truth. It is that of Richard himself. He projected to marry his niece, a very unusual alliance in England, in order to unite her title with his own. He knew, therefore, her title to be good: for as to the declaration of her illegitimacy, as it went

of Warwick, and a priest had even ventured from the pulpit to recommend his cause to the people, who seemed still to retain a propensity to adopt it. This incident served Henry as a pretence for his severity

upon no proof, or even pretence of proof, it was always regarded with the utmost contempt by the nation, and was considered as one of those parliamentary transactions, so frequent in that period, which were scandalous in themselves, and had no manner of authority. It was even so much despised as not to be reversed by parliament, after Henry and Elizabeth were on the throne. (6) We have also, as contemporary evidence, the universal established opinion of the age, both abroad and at home. This point was regarded as so uncontroverted, that when Richard notified his accession to the court of France, that court was struck with horror at his abominable parricide, in murdering both his nephews, as Philip de Comines tells us; and this sentiment went to such an unusual height, that, as we learn from the same author, the court would not make the least reply to him. (7) The same reasons which convinced that age of the parricide still subsist, and ought to carry the most undoubted evidence to us; namely, the very circumstance of the sudden disappearance of the princes from the Tower, and their appearance nowhere else. Every one said, 'They have not escaped from their uncle, for he makes no search after them. He has not conveyed them elsewhere; for it is his business to declare so, in order to remove the imputation of murder from himself. He never would needlessly subject himself to the infamy and danger of being esteemed a parricide, without acquiring the security attending that crime. They were in his custody. He is answerable for them. If he gives no account of them, as he has a plain interest in their death, he must, by every rule of common sense, be regarded as the murderer. His flagrant usurpation, as well as his other treacherous and cruel actions, makes no better to be expected from him. He could not say, with Cain, that he was not his nephew's keeper.' This reasoning, which was irrefragable at the very first, became every day stronger, from Richard's continued silence, and the general and total ignorance of the place of these princes' abode. Richard's reign lasted about two years beyond this period; and surely he could not have found a better expedient for disappointing the Earl of Richmond's projects, as well as justifying his own character, than the producing of his nephews. (8) If it were necessary amidst this blaze of evidence, to produce proofs, which, in any other case, would have been regarded as considerable, and would have carried great validity with them, I might mention Dighton and Tyrrel's account of the murder. This last gentleman especially was not likely to subject himself to the reproach of so great a crime, by an imposture, which it appears did not acquire him the favour of Henry. (9) The Duke of York, being a boy of nine years of age, could not have made his escape without the assistance of some elder persons. Would it not have been their chief concern instantly to convey intelligence of so great an event to his mother, the queen-dowager, to his aunt, the Duchess of Burgundy, and to the other friends of the family? The Duchess protected Simnel; a project which, had it been successful, must have ended in the crowning of Warwick, and the exclusion of the Duke of York. This, among many other proofs, evinces that she was ignorant of the escape of that prince, which is impossible had it been real. (10) The total silence with regard to the persons who aided him in his escape, as also with regard to the place of his abode during more than eight years, is a sufficient proof of the imposture. (11) Perkin's own account of his escape is incredible and absurd. He said that murderers were employed by his uncle to kill him and his brother. They perpetrated the crime against his brother; but took compassion on him, and allowed him to escape. This account is contained in all the historians of that age. (12) Perkin himself made a full confession of his imposture no less than three times; once when he surrendered himself prisoner, a second time when he was set in the stocks at Cheapside and Westminster, and a third time, which carries undoubted evidence, at the foot of the gibbet on which he was hanged. Not the least surmise that the confession had ever been procured by torture; and surely, the last time he had nothing farther to fear. (13) Had not Henry been assured that Perkin was a ridiculous impostor, disavowed by the whole nation, he never would have allowed him to live an hour after he came into his power; much less would he have twice pardoned him. His treatment of the innocent Earl of Warwick, who in reality had no title to the crown, is a sufficient confirmation of this reasoning. (14) We know with certainty whence the whole imposture came, namely, from the intrigues of the Duchess of Burgundy. She had before acknowledged and supported Lambert Simnel, an avowed impostor. It is remarkable, that Mr. Carte, in order to preserve the weight of the duchess's testimony in favour of Perkin, suppresses entirely this material fact: a strong effect of party prejudice, and this author's desire of blackening Henry VII., whose hereditary title to the crown was defective. (15) There never was, at that time, any evidence or shadow of evidence produced, of Perkin's identity with Richard Plantagenet. Richard had disappeared when near nine years of age, and Perkin did not appear till he was a man. Could any one, from his aspect, pretend then to be sure of the identity? He had got some stories concerning Richard's childhood, and the court of England. But all that it was necessary for a boy of nine to remark or remember, was easily suggested to him by the Duchess of Burgundy, or Frion, Henry's secretary, or by anybody that had ever lived at court. It is true, many persons of note were at first deceived; but the discontents against Henry's government, and the general enthusiasm for the house of York, account sufficiently for this temporary delusion. Everybody's eyes were opened long before Perkin's death. (16) The circumstance of finding the two dead

towards that prince. He was brought to trial, and accused not of contriving his escape (for as he was committed for no crime, the desire of liberty must have been regarded as natural and innocent), but of forming designs to disturb the government and raise an insurrection among the people. Warwick confessed the indictment, was condemned, and the sentence was executed (Nov. 21) upon him.

This violent act of tyranny, the great blemish of Henry's reign, by which he destroyed the last remaining male of the line of Plantagenet, begat great discontent among the people, who saw an unhappy prince that had long been denied all the privileges of his high birth, even been cut off from the common benefits of nature, now at last deprived of life itself, merely for attempting to shake off that oppression under which he laboured. In vain did Henry endeavour to alleviate the odium of this guilt by sharing it with his ally, Ferdinand of Arragon, who, he said, had scrupled to give his daughter, Catherine, in marriage to Arthur while any male descendant of the house of York remained. Men on the contrary felt higher indignation at seeing a young prince sacrificed, not to law and justice, but to the jealous politics of two subtle and crafty tyrants.

But though these discontents festered in the minds of men, they were so checked by Henry's watchful policy and steady severity, that they seemed not to weaken his government; and foreign princes, deeming his throne now entirely secure, paid him rather the greater deference and attention. The Archduke Philip in particular desired an interview with him; and Henry, who had passed over to Calais, agreed to meet him in St. Peter's Church near that city. The archduke on his approaching the king made haste to alight, and offered to hold Henry's stirrup, a mark of condescension which that prince would not admit of. He called the king 'father, patron, protector;' and by his whole behaviour expressed a strong desire of conciliating the friendship of England. The Duke of Orleans had succeeded to the crown of France by the appellation of Louis XII., and having carried his arms into Italy, and subdued the duchy of Milan, his progress begat jealousy in Maximilian, Philip's father, as well as in Ferdinand, his father-in-law. By the counsel therefore of these monarchs, the young prince endeavoured by every art to acquire the amity of Henry, whom they regarded as the chief counterpoise to the greatness of France. No particular plan, however, of alliance seems to have been concerted between these two princes in their interview. All passed in general professions of affection and regard; at least, in remote projects of a

bodies in the reign of Charles II. is not surely indifferent. They were found in the very place which More, Bacon, and other ancient authors had assigned as the place of interment of the young princes. The bones corresponded by their size to the age of the princes. The secret and irregular place of their interment, not being in holy ground, proves that the boys had been secretly murdered; and in the Tower, no boys but those who are very nearly related to the crown can be exposed to a violent death. If we compare all these circumstances, we shall find that the inference is just and strong, that they were the bodies of Edward V. and his brother; the very inference that was drawn at the time of the discovery.

Since the publication of this history, Mr. Walpole has published his '*Historic Doubts concerning Richard III.*' Nothing can be a stronger proof how ingenious and agreeable that gentleman's pen is, than his being able to make an inquiry concerning a remote point of English history, an object of general conversation. The foregoing note has been enlarged on account of that performance.

closer union by the future intermarriages of their children, who were then in a state of infancy.

The Pope too, Alexander VI., neglected not the friendship of a monarch whose reputation was spread over Europe. He (A.D. 1500) sent a nuncio into England, who exhorted the king to take part in the great alliance projected for the recovery of the Holy Land, and to lead in person his forces against the infidels. The general frenzy for crusades was now entirely exhausted in Europe; but it was still thought a necessary piece of decency to pretend zeal for those pious enterprises. Henry regretted to the nuncio the distance of his situation, which rendered it inconvenient for him to expose his person in defence of the Christian cause. He promised however his utmost assistance by aids and contributions, and rather than the Pope should go alone to the holy wars unaccompanied by any monarch, he even promised to overlook all other considerations and to attend him in person. He only required as a necessary condition that all differences should previously be adjusted among Christian princes, and that some seaport towns in Italy should be consigned to him for his retreat and security. It was easy to conclude that Henry had determined not to intermeddle in any war against the Turk; but as a great name without any real assistance is sometimes of service, the knights of Rhodes, who were at that time esteemed the bulwark of Christendom, chose the king protector of their order.

But the prince whose alliance Henry valued the most was Ferdinand of Arragon, whose vigorous and steady policy, always attended with success, had rendered him in many respects the most considerable monarch in Europe. There was also a remarkable similarity of character between these two princes. Both were full of craft, intrigue, and design; and though a resemblance of this nature be a slender foundation for confidence and amity where the interests of the parties in the least interfere, such was the situation of Henry and Ferdinand, that no jealousy ever on any occasion arose between them. The king had now (A.D. 1501, Nov. 12) the satisfaction of completing a marriage which had been projected and negotiated during the course of seven years between Arthur, Prince of Wales, and the Infanta Catherine, fourth daughter of Ferdinand and Isabella; he near sixteen years of age, she eighteen. But this marriage proved in the issue unprosperous. The young prince a few months after sickened and (A.D. 1502, April 2) died, much regretted by the nation. Henry, desirous to continue his alliance with Spain, and also unwilling to restore Catherine's dowry, which was two hundred thousand ducats, obliged his second son, Henry, whom he created Prince of Wales, to be contracted to the Infanta. The prince made all the opposition of which a youth of twelve years of age was capable; but as the king persisted in his resolution, the espousals were at length, by means of the Pope's dispensation, contracted between the parties: an event which was afterwards attended with the most important consequences.

The same year another marriage was celebrated, which was also in the next age productive of great events: the marriage of Margaret, the king's elder daughter, with James, King of Scotland. This alliance had been negotiated during three years, though interrupted by several

broils; and Henry hoped from the completion of it to remove all source of discord with that neighbouring kingdom, by whose animosity England had so often been infested. When this marriage was deliberated on in the English council, some objected that England might by means of that alliance fall under the dominion of Scotland. 'No;' replied Henry, 'Scotland, in that event, will only become an accession to England.' Amidst these prosperous incidents, the king met with a domestic calamity, which made not such impression on him as it merited: his queen died (A.D. 1503, Feb. 11) in childbed; and the infant did not long survive her. This princess was a favourite of the nation; and the general affection for her increased on account of the harsh treatment which, it was thought, she met with from her consort.

The situation of the king's affairs, both at home and abroad, was now, in every respect, very fortunate. All the efforts of the European princes, both in war and negotiation, were turned to the side of Italy; and the various events which there arose made Henry's alliance to be courted by every party, yet interested him so little as never to touch him with concern or anxiety. His close connections with Spain and Scotland ensured his tranquillity; and his continued successes over domestic enemies, owing to the prudence and vigour of his conduct, had reduced the people to entire submission and obedience. Uncontrolled, therefore, by apprehension or opposition of any kind, he gave full scope to his natural propensity; and avarice, which had ever been his ruling passion, being increased by age, and encouraged by absolute authority, broke all restraints of shame and justice. He had found two ministers, Empson and Dudley, perfectly qualified to second his rapacious and tyrannical inclinations, and to prey upon his defenceless people. These instruments of oppression were both lawyers, the first of mean birth, of brutal manners, of an unrelenting temper; the second better born, better educated, and better bred, but equally unjust, severe, and inflexible. By their knowledge in law, these men were qualified to pervert the forms of justice to the oppression of the innocent; and the authority of the king supported them in their iniquities.

It was their usual practice at first to observe so far the appearance of law as to give indictments to those whom they intended to oppress: upon which the persons were committed to prison, but never brought to trial; and were at length obliged, in order to recover their liberty, to pay heavy fines and ransoms, which were called mitigations and compositions. By degrees, the very appearance of law was neglected; the two ministers sent forth their precepts to attach men, and summon them before themselves and some others, at their private houses, in a court of commission, where, in a summary manner, without trial or jury, arbitrary decrees were issued, both in pleas of the crown, and controversies between private parties. Juries themselves, when summoned, proved but small security to the subject; being browbeaten by these oppressors; nay, fined, imprisoned, and punished, if they gave sentence against the inclination of the ministers. The whole system of the feudal law, which still prevailed, was turned into a scheme of oppression. Even the king's wards, after they came of age, were not suffered to enter into possession of their lands without paying exorbitant fines. Men were also harassed with informations of intrusion upon scarce

colourable titles. When an outlawry in a personal action was issued against any man, he was not allowed to purchase his charter of pardon, except on the payment of a great sum ; and if he refused the composition required of him, the strict law, which, in such cases, allows forfeiture of goods, was rigorously insisted on. Nay, without any colour of law, the half of men's lands and rents were seized during two years, as a penalty in case of outlawry. But the chief means of oppression employed by these ministers were the penal statutes, which, without consideration of rank, quality, or services, were rigidly put in execution against all men. Spies, informers, and inquisitors were rewarded and encouraged in every quarter of the kingdom : and no difference was made whether the statute were beneficial or hurtful, recent or obsolete, possible or impossible to be executed. The sole end of the king and his ministers was to amass money, and bring every one under the lash of their authority.¹

Through the prevalence of such an arbitrary and iniquitous administration, the English, it may safely be affirmed, were considerable losers by their ancient privileges, which secured them from all taxations, except such as were imposed by their own consent in parliament. Had the king been empowered to levy general taxes at pleasure, he would naturally have abstained from these oppressive expedients, which destroyed all security in private property, and begat a universal diffidence throughout the nation. In vain did the people look for protection from the parliament, which was pretty frequently summoned during this reign. That assembly was so overawed, that, at this very time, during the greatest rage of Henry's oppressions, the commons chose (A.D. 1504, Jan. 25) Dudley their speaker, the very man who was the chief instrument of his iniquities. And though the king was known to be immensely opulent, and had no pretence of wars or expensive enterprises of any kind, they granted him the subsidy which he demanded. But so insatiable was his avarice, that next year (A.D. 1505) he levied a new benevolence, and renewed that arbitrary and oppressive method of taxation. By all these arts of accumulation, joined to a rigid frugality in his expense, he so filled his coffers, that he is said to have possessed in ready money the sum of 1,800,000*l.*, a treasure almost incredible, if we consider the scarcity of money in those times.²

But while Henry was enriching himself by the spoils of his oppressed people, there happened an event abroad which engaged his attention, and was even the object of his anxiety and concern. Isabella, Queen of Castile, died about this time, and it was foreseen, that by this incident the fortunes of Ferdinand, her husband, would be much affected. The king was not only attentive to the fate of his ally, and watchful lest the general system of Europe should be affected by so important an event. He also considered the similarity of his own situation with that of Ferdinand, and regarded the issue of these transactions as a

¹ Bacon, pp. 383, 384, Murray's Reprints, 1870. Holingshed, p. 504. Polyd. Verg., pp. 613, 615.

² Silver was, during this reign, at 37*s.* 6*d.* a pound, which makes Henry's treasure near three millions of our present money. Besides many commodities have become above thrice as dear by the increase of gold and silver in Europe. And what is a circumstance of still greater weight, all other states were then very poor in comparison of what they are at present. These circumstances make Henry's treasure appear very great ; and may lead us to conceive the oppressions of his government.

precedent for himself. Joan, the daughter of Ferdinand by Isabella, was married to the Archduke Philip, and being, in right of her mother, heir of Castile, seemed entitled to dispute with Ferdinand the present possession of that kingdom. Henry knew, that notwithstanding his own pretensions by the house of Lancaster, the greater part of the nation was convinced of the superiority of his wife's title; and he dreaded lest the Prince of Wales, who was daily advancing towards manhood, might be tempted by ambition to lay immediate claim to the crown. By his perpetual attention to depress the partisans of the York family, he had more closely united them into one party, and increased their desire of shaking off that yoke under which they had so long laboured, and of taking every advantage, which his oppressive government should give his enemies against him. And as he possessed no independent force like Ferdinand, and governed a kingdom more turbulent and unruly, which he himself, by his narrow politics, had confirmed in factious prejudices, he apprehended that his situation would prove in the issue still more precarious.

Nothing at the first could turn out more contrary to the king's wishes than the transactions in Spain. Ferdinand, as well as Henry, had become very unpopular, and from a like cause, his former exactions and impositions; and the states of Castile discovered an evident resolution of preferring the title of Philip and Joan. In order to take advantage of these favourable dispositions, the archduke, now King of Castile, attended by his consort, embarked (A.D. 1506) for Spain during the winter season; but meeting with a violent tempest in the channel, was obliged to take shelter in the harbour of Weymouth. Sir John Trenchard, a gentleman of authority in the county of Dorset, hearing of a fleet upon the coast, had assembled some forces, and being joined by Sir John Carey, who was also at the head of an armed body, he came to that town. Finding that Philip, in order to relieve his sickness and fatigue, was already come ashore, he invited him to his house, and immediately dispatched a messenger to inform the court of this important incident. The king sent in all haste the Earl of Arundel to compliment Philip on his arrival in England, and to inform him that he intended to pay him a visit in person, and to give him a suitable reception in his dominions. Philip knew that he could not now depart without the king's consent; and therefore, for the sake of despatch, he resolved to anticipate his visit, and to have an interview with him at Windsor. Henry received him with all the magnificence possible, and with all the seeming cordiality; but he resolved, notwithstanding, to draw some advantage from this involuntary visit, which was paid him by his royal guest.

Edmund de la Pole, Earl of Suffolk, nephew to Edward IV., and brother to the Earl of Lincoln, slain in the battle of Stoke, had some years before killed a man in a sudden fit of passion, and had been obliged to apply to the king for a remission of the crime. The king had granted his request, but being little indulgent to all persons connected with the house of York, he obliged him to appear openly in court and plead his pardon. Suffolk, more resenting the affront than grateful for the favour, had fled into Flanders, and taken shelter with his aunt the Duchess of Burgundy; but being promised forgiveness by

the king he returned to England, and obtained a new pardon. Actuated, however, by the natural inquietude of his temper, and uneasy from debts which he had contracted by his great expense at Prince Arthur's wedding, he again made an elopement into Flanders. The king, well acquainted with the general discontent which prevailed against his administration, neglected not this incident, which might become of importance; and he employed his usual artifices to elude the efforts of his enemies. He directed Sir Robert Curson, governor of the castle of Hammes, to desert his charge, and to insinuate himself into the confidence of Suffolk, by making him a tender of his services. Upon information secretly conveyed by Curson, the king seized William Courtney, eldest son to the Earl of Devonshire, and married to the Lady Catharine, sister of the queen; William de la Pole, brother to the Earl of Suffolk; Sir James Tyrrel, and Sir James Windham, with some persons of inferior quality; and he committed them to custody. Lord Abergavenny and Sir Thomas Green were also apprehended, but were soon after released from their confinement. William de la Pole was long detained in prison; Courtney was attainted, and though not executed, he recovered not his liberty during the king's lifetime. But Henry's chief severity fell upon Sir James Windham, and Sir James Tyrrel, who were brought to their trial, condemned, and executed. The fate of the latter gave general satisfaction, on account of his participation in the murder of the young princes, sons of Edward IV. Notwithstanding these discoveries and executions, Curson was still able to maintain his credit with the Earl of Suffolk. Henry, in order to remove all suspicion, had ordered him to be excommunicated, together with Suffolk himself, for his pretended rebellion. But after that traitor had performed all the services expected from him, he suddenly deserted the earl, and came over to England, where the king received him with unusual marks of favour and confidence. Suffolk, astonished at this instance of perfidy, finding that even the Duchess of Burgundy, tired with so many fruitless attempts, had become indifferent to his cause, fled secretly into France, thence into Germany, and returned, at last, into the Low Countries, where he was protected, though not countenanced, by Philip, then in close alliance with the king.

Henry neglected not the present opportunity of complaining to his guest of the reception which Suffolk had met with in his dominions. 'I really thought,' replied the King of Castile, 'that your greatness and felicity had set you far above apprehensions from any person of so little consequence; but, to give you satisfaction, I shall banish him my state.' 'I expect that you will carry your complaisance farther,' said the king; 'I desire to have Suffolk put into my hands, where alone I can depend upon his submission and obedience.' 'That measure,' said Philip, 'will reflect dishonour upon you as well as myself. You will be thought to have treated me as a prisoner.' 'Then the matter is at an end,' replied the king, 'for I will take that dishonour upon me; and so your honour is saved' (Bacon, p. 392, ed. 1870). The King of Castile found himself under a necessity of complying; but he first exacted Henry's promise that he would spare Suffolk's life. That nobleman was invited over to England by Philip, as if the king would grant him a pardon, on the intercession of his friend and ally.

Upon his appearance he was committed to the Tower; and the King of Castile, having fully satisfied Henry, as well by this concession as by signing a treaty of commerce between England and Castile, which was advantageous to the former kingdom (Rymer, vol. xiii., p. 142), was at last allowed to depart, after a stay of three months. He landed in Spain, was joyfully received by the Castillians, and put in possession of the throne. He died soon after; and Joan, his widow, falling into deep melancholy, Ferdinand was again enabled to reinstate himself in authority, and to govern, till his death, the whole Spanish monarchy.

The king survived these transactions two years; but nothing memorable occurs in the remaining part of his reign, except (A.D. 1508) his affiancing his second daughter, Mary, to the young archduke, Charles, son of Philip of Castile. He entertained also some intentions of marriage for himself, first with the Queen-dowager of Naples, relict of Ferdinand, afterwards with the Duchess-dowager of Savoy, daughter of Maximilian and sister of Philip. But the decline of his health put an end to all such thoughts; and he began to cast his eye towards that future existence, which the iniquities and severities of his reign rendered a very dismal prospect to him. To allay the terrors under which he laboured, he endeavoured by distributing alms, and founding religious houses, to make atonement for his crimes, and to purchase, by the sacrifice of part of his ill-gotten treasures, a reconciliation with his offended Maker. Remorse even seized him at intervals, for the abuse of his authority by Empson and Dudley; but not sufficient to make him stop the rapacious hand of those oppressors. Sir William Capel was again fined 2000*l.* under some frivolous pretence, and was committed to the Tower, for daring to murmur against the iniquity. Harris, an alderman of London, was indicted, and died of vexation before his trial came to an issue. Sir Laurence Ailmer, who had been mayor, and his two sheriffs, were condemned in heavy fines, and sent to prison till they made payment. The king gave countenance to all these oppressions, till death, by its nearer approaches, impressed new terrors upon him; and he then ordered, by a general clause in his will, that restitution should be made to all those whom he had injured. He died (A.D. 1509, April 22) of a consumption, at his favourite palace of Richmond, after a reign of twenty-three years and eight months, and in the fifty-second year of his age (Dugd. Baron., ii., p. 237).

The reign of Henry VII. was, in the main, fortunate for his people at home, and honourable abroad. He put an end to the civil wars with which the nation had long been harassed, he maintained peace and order in the state, he depressed the former exorbitant power of the nobility, and, together with the friendship of some foreign princes, he acquired the consideration and regard of all. He loved peace without fearing war; though agitated with continual suspicions of his servants and ministers, he discovered no timidity, either in the conduct of his affairs, or in the day of battle; and, though often severe in his punishments, he was commonly less actuated by revenge than by maxims of policy. The services which he rendered the people were derived from his views of private advantage, rather than the motives of public spirit; and where he deviated from interested regards, it was unknown to himself, and ever from the malignant prejudices of faction, or the mean

projects of avarice; not from the sallies of passion, or allurements of pleasure, still less from the benign motives of friendship and generosity. His capacity was excellent, but somewhat contracted by the narrowness of his heart; he possessed insinuation and address, but never employed these talents, except where some great point of interest was to be gained; and, while he neglected to conciliate the affections of his people, he often felt the danger of resting his authority on their fear and reverence alone. He was always extremely attentive to his affairs, but possessed not the faculty of seeing far into futurity; and was more expert at providing a remedy for his mistakes, than judicious in avoiding them. Avarice was, on the whole, his ruling passion;¹ and he remains an instance, almost singular, of a man placed in a high station, and possessed of talents for great affairs, in whom that passion predominated above ambition. Even among private persons, avarice is commonly nothing but a species of ambition, and is chiefly incited by the prospect of that regard, distinction, and consideration, which attend on riches.

The power of the kings of England had always been somewhat irregular or discretionary, but was scarcely ever so absolute during any former reign, at least after the establishment of the Great Charter, as during that of Henry. Besides the advantages derived from the personal character of the man, full of vigour, industry, and severity, deliberate in all projects, steady in every purpose, and attended with caution as well as good fortune in every enterprise; he came to the throne after long and bloody civil wars, which had destroyed all the great nobility, who alone could resist the encroachments of his authority. The people were tired with discord and intestine convulsions, and willing to submit to usurpations, and even to injuries, rather than plunge themselves anew into like miseries. The fruitless efforts made against him served always, as is usual, to confirm his authority. As he ruled by a faction, and the lesser faction, all those on whom he conferred offices, sensible that they owed everything to his protection, were willing to support his power, though at the expense of justice and national privileges. These seem the chief causes which at this time bestowed on the crown such an addition of prerogative, and rendered the present reign a kind of epoch in the English constitution.

This prince, though he exalted his prerogative above law, is celebrated by his historian for many good laws which he made be enacted for the government of his subjects. Several considerable regulations, indeed, are found among the statutes of this reign, both with regard to the police of the kingdom and its commerce; but the former are generally contrived with much better judgment than the latter. The more simple ideas of order and equity are sufficient to guide a legislator in everything that regards the internal administration of justice; but the principles of commerce are much more complicated, and require long experience and deep reflection to be well understood in any state

¹ As a proof of Henry's attention to the smallest profits, Bacon tells us, that he had seen a book of accounts kept by Empson, and subscribed in almost every leaf, by the king's own hand. Among other articles was the following: 'Item, Received of such a one five marks for a pardon, which if it do not pass, the money to be repayed, or the party otherwise satisfied.' Opposite to the memorandum the king had writ with his own hand, 'otherwise satisfied.' Bacon, p. 385, ed. 1870.

The real consequence of a law or practice is there often contrary to first appearances. No wonder that during the reign of Henry VII. these matters were frequently mistaken; and it may safely be affirmed that, even in the age of Lord Bacon, very imperfect and erroneous ideas were formed on that subject.

Early in Henry's reign, the authority of the Star Chamber, which was before founded on common law and ancient practice, was in some cases confirmed by act of parliament;¹ Lord Bacon extols the utility of this court, but men began even during the age of that historian, to feel that so arbitrary a jurisdiction was incompatible with liberty, and in proportion as the spirit of independence still rose higher in the nation, the aversion to it increased, till it was entirely abolished by act of parliament in the reign of Charles I., a little before the commencement of the civil wars.

Laws were passed in this reign, ordaining the king's suit for murder to be carried on within a year and day (3 H. 7, cap. 1). Formerly it did not usually commence till after, and as the friends of the person murdered often in the interval compounded matters with the criminal, the crime frequently passed unpunished. Suits were given to the poor in *forma pauperis*, as it is called; that is, without paying dues for the writs, or any fees to the council (11 H. 7, cap. 12); a good law at all times, especially in that age, when the people laboured under the oppression of the great, but a law difficult to be carried into execution. A law was made against carrying off any woman by force (3 H. 7, cap. 2). The benefit of clergy was abridged (4 H. 7, cap. 13), and the criminal on the first offence was ordered to be burned in the hand with a letter denoting his crime, after which he was punished capitally for any new offence. Sheriffs were no longer allowed to fine any person without previously summoning him before their court (11 H. 7, cap. 15). It is strange that such a practice should ever have prevailed. Attaint of juries was granted in cases which exceeded 40*l.* value (*Ibid.*, cap. 24; 19 H. 7, cap. c); a law which has an appearance of equity, but which was afterwards found inconvenient. Actions popular were not allowed to be eluded by fraud or covin. If any servant of the king's conspired against the life of the steward, treasurer, or comptroller of the king's household, this design, though not followed by any overt act, was made liable to the punishment of felony (3 H. 7, cap. 13). This statute was enacted for the security of Archbishop Moreton, who found himself exposed to the enmity of great numbers.

There scarcely passed any session during this reign without some statute against engaging retainers, and giving them badges or liveries;²

¹ Rot. Parl., 3 H. 7, n. 17. The preamble is remarkable, and shows the state of the nation at that time. 'The king, our sovereign lord, remembereth how, by our unlawful maintainances, giving of liveries, signs and tokens, retainers by indentures, promises, oaths, writings, and other embraceries of his subjects, untrue demeanings of sheriffs in making pannels, and untrue returns by taking money, by juries, etc., the policy of this nation is most subdued.' It must indeed be confessed that such a state of the country required great discretionary power in the sovereign; nor will the same maxims of government suit such a rude people, that may be proper in a more advanced stage of society. The establishment of the Star-chamber, or the enlargement of its power in the reign of Hen. VII., might have been as wise as the abolition of it in that of Chas. I.

² 3 H. 7, cap. 1 and 12; 11 H. 7, cap. 3; 19 H. 7, cap. 14.

a practice by which they were in a manner enlisted under some great lord, and were kept in readiness to assist him in all wars, insurrections, riots, violences, and even in bearing evidence for him in courts of justice (3 H. 7, cap. 12; 11 H. 7, cap. 15). This disorder which had prevailed during many reigns, when the law could give little protection to the subject, was then deeply rooted in England, and it required all the vigilance and rigour of Henry to extirpate it. There is a story of his severity against this abuse, and it seems to merit praise, though it is commonly cited as an instance of his avarice and rapacity. The Earl of Oxford, his favourite general, in whom he always placed great and deserved confidence, having splendidly entertained him at his castle of Heningham, was desirous of making a parade of his magnificence at the departure of his royal guest, and ordered all his retainers with their liveries and badges, to be drawn up in two lines, that their appearance might be the more gallant and splendid. 'My lord,' said the king, 'I have heard much of your hospitality, but the truth far exceeds the report. These handsome gentlemen and yeomen, whom I see on both sides of me, are no doubt your menial servants.' The earl smiled, and confessed that his fortune was too narrow for such magnificence. 'They are most of them,' subjoined he, 'my retainers, who are come to do me service at this time, when they know I am honoured with your majesty's presence.' The king started a little and said, 'By my faith, my lord, I thank you for your good cheer, but I must not allow my laws to be broken in my sight. My attorney must speak with you.' The Earl of Oxford is said to have paid no less than 15,000 marks, as a composition for his offence.

The increase of the arts, more effectually than all the severities of law, put an end to this pernicious practice. The nobility, instead of vying with each other in the number and boldness of their retainers, acquired by degrees a more civilized species of emulation, and endeavoured to excel in the splendour and elegance of their equipage, houses, and tables. The common people, no longer maintained in vicious idleness by their superiors, were obliged to learn some calling or industry, and became useful both to themselves and to others. And it must be acknowledged, in spite of those who declaim so violently against refinement in the arts, or what they are pleased to call luxury, that as much as an industrious tradesman is both a better man and a better citizen than one of those idle retainers who formerly depended on the great families, so much is the life of a modern nobleman more laudable than that of an ancient baron.¹

¹ The Duke of Northumberland has lately printed a household book of an old earl of that family, who lived at this time. The author has been favoured with the perusal of it; and it contains many curious particulars, which mark the manners and way of living in that rude, not to say barbarous, age; as well as the prices of commodities. I have extracted a few of them from that piece, which gives a true picture of ancient manners, and is one of the most singular monuments that English antiquity affords us. For we may be confident, however rude the strokes, that no baron's family was on a nobler or more splendid footing. The family consists of 166 persons, masters and servants; 57 strangers are reckoned every day: on the whole, 223. 23d. are supposed to be the daily expense of each for meat, drink, and firing. This would make a groat of our present money. Supposing provisions between three and four times cheaper, it would be equivalent to fourteen-pence. No great sum for a nobleman's house-keeping; especially considering that the chief expense of a family, at that time, consisted in meat and drink. For the sum allotted by the earl for his whole annual expense is 1118*l.* 17*s.* 8*d.* 3*d.*

54 *The Breaking of Entails and the Alienation of Estates.*

But the most important law in its consequences, which was enacted during the reign of Henry, was that by which the nobility and gentry acquired a power of breaking the ancient entails, and of alienating

meat, drink, and firing cost 796*l.* 11*s.* 2*d.*, more than two-thirds of the whole. In a modern family it is not above a third, pp. 157, 158, 159. The whole expense of the earl's family is managed with an exactness that is very rigid, and, if we make no allowance for ancient manners, such as may seem to border on an extreme; insomuch, that the number of pieces which must be cut out of every quarter of beef, mutton, pork, veal, nay stock-fish and salmon, are determined, and must be entered and accounted for by the different clerks appointed for that purpose. If a servant be absent a day, his mess is struck off. If he go on my lord's business, board wages is allowed him, 8*d.* a day for his journey in winter, 5*d.* in summer. When he stays in any place, 2*d.* a day are allowed him, beside the maintenance of his horse. Somewhat above a quarter of wheat is allowed for every month throughout the year; and the wheat is estimated at 5*s.* 8*d.* a quarter. Two hundred and fifty quarters of malt are allowed, at 4*s.* a quarter. Two hogsheads are to be made of a quarter; which amounts to about a bottle and a third of beer a day to each person, p. 4, and the beer will not be very strong. One hundred and nine fat beeves are to be bought at Allhallow-tide, at 13*s.* 4*d.* apiece; and 24 lean beeves to be bought at St. Helen's at 8*s.* apiece. These are to be put into the pastures to feed; and are to serve from Midsummer to Michaelmas; which is consequently the only time that the family eats fresh beef. During all the rest of the year they live on salted meat, p. 5. One hundred and sixty gallons of mustard are allowed in a year; which seems indeed requisite for the salt beef, p. 18. Six hundred and forty-seven sheep are allowed, at 1*s.* 8*d.* apiece; and these seem also to be all eat salted, except between Lammas and Michaelmas, p. 5. Only 25 hogs are allowed at 2*s.* apiece; 28 veals at 1*s.* 8*d.*; 40 lambs at 10*d.* or 1*s.*, p. 7. These seem to be reserved for my lord's table, or that of the upper servants, called the knights' table. The other servants, as they eat salted meat almost through the whole year, and with few or no vegetables, had a very bad and unhealthy diet. So that there cannot be anything more erroneous than the magnificent ideas formed of 'the roast beef of Old England.' We must entertain as mean an idea of its cleanliness. Only 70 ells of linen at 8*d.* an ell are annually allowed for this great family. No sheets were used. This linen was made into 8 table-cloths for my lord's table; and one table-cloth for the knights, p. 16. This last, I suppose, was washed only once a month. Only 40*s.* are allowed for washing throughout the whole year; and most of it seems expended on the linen belonging to the chapel. The drinking, however, was tolerable, namely, 10 tuns and 2 hogsheads of Gascony wine, at the rate of 4*l.* 13*s.* 4*d.* a tun, p. 6. Only 91 dozen of candles for the whole year, p. 14. The family rose at 6 in the morning, dined at 10, and supped at 4 in the afternoon. The gates were all shut at 9, and no farther ingress or egress permitted, pp. 314, 318. My lord and lady have set on their table, for breakfast at 7 in the morning, a quart of beer: as much wine; 2 pieces of salt fish, 6 red herrings, 4 white ones, or a dish of sprats. In flesh days half a chine of mutton, or a chine of beef boiled, pp. 73, 75. Mass is ordered to be said at 6, in order, says the household book, that all my lord's servants may rise early, p. 170. Only 24 fires are allowed, beside the kitchen and hall, and most of these have only a peck of coals a day allowed them, p. 99. After Lady-day, no fires permitted in the rooms, except half-fires in my lord's and lady's, and Lord Piercy's and the nursery, p. 101. It is to be observed that my lord kept house in Yorkshire, where there is certainly much cold weather after Lady day. 80 chalders of coals at 4*s.* 2*d.* a chalders, suffices throughout the whole year; and because coal will not burn without wood, says the household book, 64 loads of great wood are also allowed at 12*d.* a load, p. 22. This is a proof that rates were not then used. Here is an article, 'It is devised that from henceforth no capons to be bought but only for my lord's own mess, and that the said capons shall be 'bought for 2*d.* apiece, lean, and fed in the poultry; and master chamberlain and the 'stewards be fed with capons, if there be strangers sitting with them, p. 102.' Pigs are to be bought at 3*d.* or a groat apiece; geese at the same price; chickens at a halfpenny; hens at 2*d.*, and only for the above-mentioned tables. Here is another article. 'Item, It is thought 'good that no plovers be bought at no season but only in Christmas and principal feasts, and 'my lord to be served therewith, and his board-end, and none other, and to be bought for 1*d.* 'a piece, or 1½*d.* at most, p. 103.' Woodcocks are to be bought at the same price. Partridges at 2*d.*, pp. 104, 105. Pheasants at 1*s.*; peacocks the same, p. 106. My lord keeps only 27 horses in his stable at his own charge. His upper servants have allowance for maintaining their own horses, p. 126. These horses are 6 gentle horses as they are called, at hay and hard meat throughout the whole year, 4 palfreys, 3 hobbies and nags, 3 sumpter horses, 6 horses for those servants to whom my lord furnishes a horse, 2 sumpter horses more, and three mill horses, 2 for carrying the corn, and 1 for grinding it; whence we may infer, that mills, either water or wind mills, were then unknown, at least very rare. Besides these, there are 7 great trotting horses for the chariot or waggon. He allows a peck of oats a day, besides loaves made of beans, for his principal horses; the oats at 1*s.* 8*d.*, the beans at 2*s.* a quarter. The load of hay is at 2*s.* 8*d.* When my lord is on a journey, he carries 36 horsemen along with him; together with bed and other accommodation, p. 157. The inns, it seems, could afford nothing tolerable. My lord passes the year in three country seats, all in Yorkshire, Wrysel, Leckenfield, and Topclyffe; but he has furniture only for one. He carries everything along with him.

their estates.¹ By means of this law, joined to the beginning luxury and refinements of the age, the great fortunes of the barons were gradually dissipated, and the property of the commons increased in England. It is probable that Henry foresaw and intended this consequence, because the constant scheme of his policy consisted in depressing the great, and exalting churchmen, lawyers, and men of new families, who were more dependent on him.

This king's love of money naturally led him to encourage commerce, which increased his customs; but, if we may judge by most of the laws enacted during his reign, trade and industry were rather hurt than promoted by the care and attention given to them. Severe laws were made against taking interest for money, which was then denominated usury (3 H. 7, cap. 5). Even the profits of exchange were prohibited, as savouring of usury (*Ibid.*, cap. 6), which the superstition of the age zealously proscribed. All evasive contracts by which profits could be made from the loan of money were also carefully guarded against (7 H. 7, cap. 8). It is needless to observe how unreasonable and iniquitous these laws, how impossible to be executed, and how hurtful to trade, if they could take place. We may observe, however, to the praise of the king, that sometimes, in order to promote commerce, he lent to merchants sums of money without interest, when he knew that their stock was not sufficient for those enterprises which they had in view (*Polyd. Verg.*).

Laws were made against the exportation of money, plate, or bullion (4 H. 7, cap. 23): a precaution which serves to no other purpose than to make more be exported. But so far was the anxiety on this head carried, that merchants alien, who imported commodities into the kingdom, were obliged to invest in English commodities all the money acquired by their sales, in order to prevent their conveying it away in a clandestine manner (3 H. 7, cap. 8).

It was prohibited to export horses; as if that exportation did not encourage the breed, and render them more plentiful in the kingdom (11 H. 7, cap. 13). In order to promote archery, no bows were to be

beds, tables, chairs, kitchen utensils, all which, we may conclude, were so coarse that they could not be spoilt by the carriage. Yet 17 carts and one waggon suffice, for the whole, p. 391; one cart suffices for all his kitchen utensils, cooks, beds, etc., p. 388. One remarkable circumstance is, that he has 11 priests in his house, besides 17 persons, chanters, musicians, etc., belonging to his chapel. Yet he has only 2 cooks, for a family of 23 persons, p. 325.* Their meals were certainly dressed in the slovenly manner of a ship's company. It is amusing to observe the pompous and even royal style assumed by this Tartar chief. He does not give any orders, though only for the right making of mustard, but it is introduced with this preamble, 'It seemeth good to us and our council.' If we consider the magnificent and elegant manner in which the Venetian and other Italian noblemen then lived, with the progress made by the Italians in literature and the fine arts, we shall not wonder that they considered the ultramontane nations as barbarous. The Flemish also seem to have much excelled the English and even the French. Yet the earl is sometimes not deficient in generosity. He pays, for instance, an annual pension of a groat a year to my Lady of Walsingham, for her interest in heaven; the same sum to the holy blood at Hales, p. 337. No mention is anywhere made of plate; but only of the hiring of pewter vessels. The servants seem all to have bought their own clothes from their wages.

¹ 4 H. 7, cap. 24. The practice of breaking entails by means of a fine and recovery was introduced in the reign of Edw. IV. But it was not, properly speaking, law, till the statute of Hen. VII.; which, by correcting some abuses that attended that practice, gave indirectly a sanction to it.

* In another place mention is made of four cooks, p. 388. But I suppose that the two servants, called, in p. 325, groom of the larder and child of the scullery, are, in p. 388, comprehended in the number of cooks.

sold at a higher price than 6s. 4d. (3 H. 7, cap. 12), reducing money to the denomination of our time. The only effect of this regulation must be, either that the people would be supplied with bad bows, or none at all. Prices were also affixed to woollen cloth (4 H. 7, cap. 8), to caps and hats (*Ibid.*, cap. 9); and the wages of labourers were regulated by law (11 H. 7, cap. 22). It is evident that these matters ought always to be left free, and be entrusted to the common course of business and commerce. To some it may appear surprising, that the price of a yard of scarlet cloth should be limited to 1*l.* 6s., money of our age; that of a yard of coloured cloth to 18s.; higher prices than these commodities bear at present; and that the wages of a tradesman, such as a mason, bricklayer, tiler, etc., should be regulated at near 10*d.* a day, which is not much inferior to the present wages given in some parts of England. Labour and commodities have certainly risen since the discovery of the West Indies; but not so much in every particular as is generally imagined. The greater industry of the present times has increased the number of tradesmen and labourers, so as to keep wages nearer a par than could be expected from the great increase of gold and silver. And the additional art employed in the finer manufactures has even made some of these commodities fall below their former value. Not to mention, that merchants and dealers, being contented with less profit than formerly, afford the goods cheaper to their customers. It appears by a statute of this reign (4 H. 7, cap. 9), that goods bought for 1*s.* 4*d.* would sometimes be sold by the merchants for 3*s.* The commodities whose price has chiefly risen, are butchers'-meat, fowl, and fish (especially the latter), which cannot be much augmented in quantity by the increase of art and industry. The profession which then abounded most, and was sometimes embraced by persons of the lowest rank, was the church: by a clause of a statute, all clerks or students of the university were forbidden to beg, without permission of the vice-chancellor (11 H. 7, cap. 22).

One great cause of the low state of industry during this period, was the restraints put upon it; and the parliament, or rather the king (for he was the prime mover in everything), enlarged a little some of these limitations, but not to the degree that was requisite. A law had been enacted during the reign of Henry IV. (7 H. 7, cap. 17), that no man could bind his son or daughter to an apprenticeship, unless he were possessed of 20*s.* a year in land; and Henry VII., because the decay of manufactures was complained of in Norwich from the want of hands, exempted that city from the penalties of the law (11 H. 7, cap. 11). Afterwards the whole county of Norfolk obtained a like exemption with regard to some branches of the woollen manufacture (12 H. 7, cap. 1). These absurd limitations proceeded from a desire of promoting husbandry, which, however, is never more effectually encouraged than by the increase of manufactures. For a like reason, the law enacted against enclosures, and for the keeping up of farm-houses (4 H. 7, cap. 19), scarcely deserves the high praises bestowed on it by Lord Bacon. If husbandmen understand agriculture, and have a ready vent for their commodities, we need not dread a diminution of the people employed in the country. All methods of supporting populousness, except by the interest of the proprietors, are violent and

ineffectual. During a century and a half after this period there was a frequent renewal of laws and edicts against depopulation; whence we may infer, that none of them were ever executed. The natural course of improvement at last provided a remedy.

One check to industry in England was the erecting of corporations; an abuse which is not entirely corrected. A law was enacted, that corporations should not pass any bye-laws without the consent of three of the chief officers of the state (19 H. 7, cap. 7). They were prohibited from imposing tolls at their gates (*Ibid.*, cap. 8). The cities of Gloucester and Worcester had even imposed tolls on the Severn, which were abolished (*Ibid.*, cap. 18).

There is a law of this reign (12 H. 7, cap. 6) containing a preamble, by which it appears that the company of merchant adventurers in London had, by their own authority, debarred all the other merchants of the kingdom from trading to the great marts in the Low Countries, unless each trader previously paid them the sum of near 70*l*. It is surprising that such a bye-law (if it deserve the name) could ever be carried into execution, and that the authority of parliament should be requisite to abrogate it.

It was during this reign, on the second of August, 1492, a little before sunset, that Christopher Columbus, a Genoese, set out from Spain on his memorable voyage for the discovery of the western world; and, a few years after, Vasquez de Gama, a Portuguese, passed the Cape of Good Hope, and opened a new passage to the East Indies. These great events were attended with important consequences to all the nations of Europe, even to such as were not immediately concerned in those naval enterprises. The enlargement of commerce and navigation increased industry and the arts everywhere; the nobles dissipated their fortunes in expensive pleasures; men of an inferior rank both acquired a share in the landed property, and created to themselves a considerable property of a new kind, in stock, commodities, art, credit, and correspondence. In some nations the privileges of the commons increased by this increase of property: in most nations, the kings, finding arms to be dropped by the barons, who could no longer endure their former rude manner of life, established standing armies, and subdued the liberties of their kingdoms; but in all places the condition of the people, from the depression of the petty tyrants by whom they had formerly been oppressed rather than governed, received great improvement; and they acquired, if not entire liberty, at least the most considerable advantages of it. And as the general course of events thus tended to depress the nobles and exalt the people, Henry VII., who also embraced that system of policy, has acquired more praise than his institutions, strictly speaking, seem of themselves to deserve on account of any profound wisdom attending them.

It was by accident only that the king had not a considerable share in those great naval discoveries by which the present age was so much distinguished. Columbus, after meeting with many repulses from the courts of Portugal and Spain, sent his brother Bartholomew to London, in order to explain his projects to Henry, and crave his protection for the execution of them. The king invited him over to England; but his brother, being taken by pirates, was detained in his voyage;

and Columbus, meanwhile, having obtained the countenance of Isabella, was supplied with a small fleet, and happily executed his enterprise. Henry was not discouraged by this disappointment ; he fitted out Sebastian Cabot, a Venetian, settled in Bristol, and sent him westwards, in 1498, in search of new countries. Cabot discovered the main land of America towards the sixtieth degree of northern latitude : he sailed southwards along the coast, and discovered Newfoundland, and other countries, but returned home to England, without making any conquest or settlement. Elliot, and other merchants in Bristol, made a like attempt in 1502 (Rymer, vol. xiii., p. 37). The king expended fourteen thousand pounds in building one ship, called the *Great Harry* (Stow, p. 484). She was, properly speaking, the first ship in the English navy. Before this period, when the prince wanted a fleet, he had no other expedient than that of hiring or pressing ships from the merchants.

But though this improvement of navigation, and the discovery of both the Indies, was the most memorable incident that happened during this or any other period, it was not the only great event by which the age was distinguished. In 1453, Constantinople was taken by the Turks ; and the Greeks, among whom some remains of learning were still preserved, being scattered by these barbarians, took shelter in Italy, and imported, together with their admirable language, a tincture of their science, and of their refined taste in poetry and eloquence. About the same time, the purity of the Latin tongue was revived, the study of antiquity became fashionable, and the esteem for literature gradually propagated itself throughout every nation in Europe. The art of printing, invented about that time, extremely facilitated the progress of all these improvements ; the invention of gunpowder changed the whole art of war ; mighty innovations were soon after made in religion, such as not only affected those states that embraced them, but even those that adhered to the ancient faith and worship ; and thus a general revolution was made in human affairs throughout this part of the world ; and men gradually attained that situation, with regard to commerce, arts, science, government, police, and cultivation, in which they have ever since persevered. Here therefore commences the useful, as well as the more agreeable part of modern annals ; certainty has place in all the considerable, and even most of the minute parts of historical narration ; a great variety of events, preserved by printing, give the author the power of selecting, as well as adorning the facts which he relates ; and as each incident has a reference to our present manner and situation, instructive lessons occur every moment during the course of the narration. Whoever carries his anxious researches into preceding periods is moved by a curiosity, liberal indeed and commendable ; not by any necessity for acquiring knowledge of public affairs, or the arts of civil government.

CHAPTER XXVII.

HENRY VIII.

Popularity of the new king.—His ministers.—Punishment of Empson and Dudley.—King's marriage.—Foreign affairs.—Julius II.—League of Cambray.—War with France.—Expedition to Fontarabia.—Deceit of Ferdinand.—Return of the English.—Leo X.—A parliament.—War with Scotland.—Wolsey minister.—His character.—Invasion of France.—Battle of Guinegate.—Battle of Flodden.—Peace with France.

THE death of Henry VII. had been attended with as open and visible a joy among the people as decency would permit; and the accession and coronation of his son, Henry VIII., spread universally a declared and unfeigned satisfaction. Instead of a monarch, jealous, severe, and avaricious, who, in proportion as he advanced in years, was sinking still deeper in those unpopular vices, a young prince of eighteen had succeeded to the throne, who, even in the eyes of men of sense, gave promising hopes of his future conduct, much more in those of the people, always enchanted with novelty, youth, and royal dignity. The beauty and vigour of his person, accompanied with dexterity in every manly exercise, was farther adorned with a blooming and ruddy countenance, with a lively air, with the appearance of spirit and activity in all his demeanour (T. Mori Lucubr., p. 182). His father, in order to remove him from the knowledge of public business, had hitherto occupied him entirely in the pursuits of literature; and the proficiency which he made gave no bad prognostic of his parts and capacity (Father Paul, lib. i.). Even the vices of vehemence, ardour, and impatience, to which he was subject, and which afterwards degenerated into tyranny, were considered only as faults incident to ungarded youth, which would be corrected, when time had brought him to greater moderation and maturity. And as the contending titles of the houses of York and Lancaster were now at last fully united in his person, men justly expected from a prince, obnoxious to no party, that impartiality of administration which had long been unknown in England.

These favourable prepossessions of the public were encouraged by the measures which Henry embraced in the commencement of his reign. His grandmother, the Countess of Richmond and Derby, was still alive, and as she was a woman much celebrated for prudence and virtue, he wisely showed great deference to her opinion in the establishment of his new council. The members were, Warham, Archbishop of Canterbury, and chancellor, the Earl of Shrewsbury, steward, Lord Herbert, chamberlain, Sir Thomas Lovel, master of the wards and constable of the Tower, Sir Edward Poynings, comptroller, Sir Henry Marney, afterwards Lord Marney, Sir Thomas Darcy, afterwards Lord Darcy, Thomas Ruthal, doctor of laws, and Sir Henry Wyat (Herbert; Stow, p. 486; Holingshed, p. 799). These men had long been accustomed to business under the late king, and were the least unpopular of all the ministers employed by that monarch.

But the chief competitors for favour and authority under the new king were the Earl of Surrey, treasurer, and Fox, Bishop of Winchester, secretary and privy seal. This prelate, who enjoyed great credit during all the former reign, had acquired such habits of caution and frugality as he could not easily lay aside, and he still opposed by his remonstrances those schemes of dissipation and expense, which the youth and passions of Henry rendered agreeable to him. But Surrey was a more dexterous courtier, and though few had borne a greater share in the frugal politics of the late king, he knew how to conform himself to the humour of his new master, and no one was so forward in promoting that liberality, pleasure, and magnificence, which began to prevail under the young monarch (Lord Herbert, ed. 1870). By this policy he ingratiated himself with Henry, he made advantage, as well as the other courtiers, of the lavish disposition of his master, and he engaged him in such a course of play and idleness as rendered him negligent of affairs, and willing to entrust the government of the state entirely into the hands of his ministers. The great treasures amassed by the late king were gradually dissipated in the giddy expenses of Henry. One party of pleasure succeeded to another; tilts, tournaments, and carousals were exhibited with all the magnificence of the age; and as the present tranquillity of the public permitted the court to indulge itself in every amusement, serious business was but little attended to. Or if the king intermitted the course of his festivity, he chiefly employed himself in an application to music and literature, which were his favourite pursuits, and which were well adapted to his genius. He had made such proficiency in the former art, as even to compose some pieces of church music which were sung in his chapel (*Ibid.*, ed. 1870). He was initiated in the elegant learning of the ancients. And though he was so unfortunate as to be seduced into a study of the barren controversies of the schools, which were then fashionable, and had chosen Thomas Aquinas for his favourite author, he still discovered a capacity fitted for more useful and entertaining knowledge.

The frank and careless humour of the king, as it led him to dissipate the treasures amassed by his father, rendered him negligent in protecting the instruments whom that prince had employed in his extortions. A proclamation being issued to encourage complaints, the rage of the people was let loose on all informers who had so long exercised an unbounded tyranny over the nation;¹ they were thrown into prison, condemned to the pillory, and most of them lost their lives by the violence of the populace. Empson and Dudley, who were most exposed to public hatred, were immediately summoned before the council in order to answer for their conduct, which had rendered them so obnoxious. Empson made a shrewd apology for himself, as well as for his associate. He told the council, that so far from his being justly exposed to censure for his past conduct, his enemies themselves grounded their clamour on actions which seemed rather to merit reward and approbation; that a strict execution of law was the crime of which he and Dudley were accused, though that law had been established by general consent, and though they had acted in obedience

¹ Herbert, *Stow*. p. 486; *Holinshed*, p. 799; *Polyd. Verg.*, lib. xxviii.

to the king, to whom the administration of justice was entrusted by the constitution; that it belonged not to them, who were instruments in the hands of supreme power, to determine what laws were recent or obsolete, expedient or hurtful, since they were all alike valid, so long as they remained unrepealed by the legislature; that it was natural for a licentious populace to murmur against the restraints of authority, but all wise states had ever made their glory consist in the just distribution of rewards and punishments, and had annexed the former to the observance and enforcement of the laws, the latter to their violation and infraction; and that a sudden overthrow of all government might be expected, where the judges were committed to the mercy of the criminals, the rulers to that of the subjects (Herbert; Holingshed, p. 804).

Notwithstanding this defence, Empson and Dudley were sent to the Tower, and soon after brought to their trial. The strict execution of laws, however obsolete, could never be imputed to them as a crime in a court of judicature, and it is likely that even where they had exercised arbitrary power, the king, as they had acted by the secret commands of his father, was not willing that their conduct should undergo too severe a scrutiny. In order therefore, to gratify the people with the punishment of these obnoxious ministers, crimes very improbable, or indeed absolutely impossible, were charged upon them; that they had entered into a conspiracy against the sovereign, and had intended on the death of the late king to have seized by force the administration of government. The jury were so far moved by popular prejudices, joined to court influence, as to give a verdict against them, which was afterwards confirmed by a bill of attainder in parliament,¹ and at the earnest desire of the people was executed by warrant from the king. Thus in those arbitrary times justice was equally violated, whether the king sought power and riches, or courted popularity.

Henry, while he punished the instruments of past tyranny, had yet such deference to former engagements, as to deliberate immediately after his accession, concerning the celebration of his marriage with the Infanta Catherine, to whom he had been affianced during his father's lifetime. Her former marriage with his brother, and the inequality of their years, were the chief objections urged against his espousing her; but on the other hand, the advantages of her known virtue, modesty, and sweetness of disposition were insisted on, the affection which she bore to the king, the large dowry to which she was entitled as Princess of Wales, the interest of cementing a close alliance with Spain, the necessity of finding some confederate to counterbalance the power of France, the expediency of fulfilling the engagements of the late king; when these considerations were weighed they determined the council, though contrary to the opinion of the primate, to give Henry their advice for celebrating the marriage. The Countess of Richmond, who had concurred in the same sentiments with the council, died (June 3) soon after the marriage of her grandson.

¹ This parliament met on the 21st Jan., 1510. A law was there enacted, in order to prevent some abuses which had prevailed during the late reign. The forfeiture upon the penal statutes was reduced to the term of three years. Costs and damages were given against informers upon acquittal of the accused. More severe punishments were enacted against perjury. The false inquisitions procured by Empson and Dudley were declared null and invalid. *Traverses* were allowed; and the time of tendering them enlarged. x H. 8., c. 8, 10, 11, 12.

The popularity of Henry's government, his undisputed title, his extensive authority, his large treasures, the tranquillity of his subjects, were circumstances which rendered his domestic administration easy and prosperous; the situation of foreign affairs was no less happy and desirable. Italy continued still, as during the late reign, to be the centre of all the wars and negotiations of the European princes, and Henry's alliance was courted by all parties, at the same time that he was not engaged by any immediate interest or necessity to take part with any. Lewis XII. of France, after his conquest of Milan, was the only great prince that possessed any territory in Italy, and could he have remained in tranquillity, he was enabled by his situation to prescribe laws to all the Italian princes and republics, and to hold the balance among them. But the desire of making a conquest of Naples, to which he had the same title or pretensions with his predecessor, still engaged him in new enterprises, and as he foresaw opposition from Ferdinand, who was connected both by treaties and affinity with Frederick of Naples, he endeavoured by the offers of interest, to which the ears of that monarch were ever open, to engage him in an opposite confederacy. He settled with him a plan for the partition of the kingdom of Naples, and the expulsion of Frederick; a plan which the politicians of that age regarded as the most egregious imprudence in the French monarch, and the greatest perfidy in the Spanish. Frederick, supported only by subjects who were either discontented with his government, or indifferent about his fortunes, was unable to resist so powerful a confederacy, and was deprived of his dominions; but he had the satisfaction to see Naples immediately prove the source of contention among his enemies. Ferdinand gave secret orders to his general, Gonsalvo, whom the Spaniards honour with the appellation of the 'great captain,' to attack the armies of France, and make himself master of all the dominions of Naples. Gonsalvo prevailed in every enterprise, defeated the French in two pitched battles, and ensured to his prince the entire possession of that kingdom. Lewis, unable to procure redress by force of arms, was obliged to enter into a fruitless negotiation with Ferdinand for the recovery of his share of the partition, and all Italy during some time was held in suspense between these powerful monarchs.

There has scarcely been any period, when the balance of power was better secured in Europe, and seemed more able to maintain itself without any anxious concern or attention of the princes. Several great monarchies were established; and no one so far surpassed the rest as to give any foundation, or even pretence, for jealousy. England was united in domestic peace, and by its situation happily secured from the invasion of foreigners. The coalition of the several kingdoms of Spain had formed one powerful monarchy, which Ferdinand administered with arts, fraudulent indeed and deceitful, but full of vigour and ability. Lewis XII., a gallant and generous prince, had, by espousing Anne of Brittany, widow to his predecessor, preserved the union with that principality on which the safety of his kingdom so much depended. Maximilian, the emperor, besides the hereditary dominions of the Austrian family, maintained authority in the empire, and, notwithstanding the levity of his character, was able to unite the German princes in any

great plan of interest, at least of defence. Charles, Prince of Castile, grandson to Maximilian and Ferdinand, had already succeeded to the rich dominions of the house of Burgundy; and being as yet in early youth, the government was entrusted to Margaret of Savoy, his aunt, a princess endowed with signal prudence and virtue. The internal force of these several powerful states, by balancing each other, might long have maintained general tranquillity, had not the active and enterprising genius of Julius II., an ambitious pontiff, first excited the flames of war and discord among them. By his intrigues, a league had been formed at Cambray (in 1508), between himself, Maximilian, Lewis, and Ferdinand; and the object of this great confederacy was to overwhelm, by their united arms, the commonwealth of Venice. Henry, without any motive from interest or passion, allowed his name to be inserted in the confederacy. This oppressive and iniquitous league was but too successful against the republic.

The great force and secure situation of the considerable monarchies prevented any one from aspiring to any conquest of moment; and though this consideration could not maintain general peace, or remedy the natural inquietude of men, it rendered the princes of this age more disposed to desert engagements, and change their alliances, in which they were retained by humour and caprice, rather than by any natural or durable interest. Julius had (A.D. 1510) no sooner humbled the Venetian republic, than he was inspired with a nobler ambition, that of expelling all foreigners from Italy, or, to speak in the style affected by the Italians of that age, the freeing of that country entirely from the dominion of Barbarians (Guicciard., lib. viii). He was determined to make the tempest fall first upon Lewis; and, in order to pave the way for this great enterprise, he at once sought for a ground of quarrel with the monarch, and courted the alliances of other princes. He declared war against the Duke of Ferrara, the confederate of Lewis. He solicited the favour of England, by sending Henry a sacred rose, perfumed with musk and anointed with chrism (Spelman, Concil., vol. ii., p. 725). He engaged in his interests Bambridge, Archbishop of York, and Henry's ambassador at Rome, whom he soon after created a cardinal. He drew over Ferdinand to his party, though that monarch, at first, made no declaration of his intentions. And what he chiefly valued, he formed a treaty with the Swiss cantons, who, enraged by some neglects put upon them by Lewis, accompanied with contumelious expressions, had quitted the alliance of France, and waited for an opportunity of revenging themselves on that nation.

While the French monarch repelled (A.D. 1511) the attacks of his enemies, he thought it also requisite to make an attempt on the Pope himself, and to despoil him as much as possible of that sacred character which chiefly rendered him formidable. He engaged some cardinals, disgusted with the violence of Julius, to desert him; and by their authority, he was determined, in conjunction with Maximilian, who still adhered to his alliance, to call a general council, which might reform the Church, and check the exorbitancies of the Roman pontiff. A council was summoned at Pisa, which from the beginning bore a very inauspicious aspect, and promised little success to its adherents. Except a few French bishops, who unwillingly obeyed their king's

commands in attending the council, all the other prelates kept aloof from an assembly which they regarded as the offspring of faction, intrigue, and worldly politics. Even Pisa, the place of their residence, showed them signs of contempt, which engaged them to transfer their session to Milan, a city under the dominion of the French monarch. Notwithstanding this advantage, they did not experience much more respectful treatment from the inhabitants of Milan, and found it necessary to make another remove to Lyons (Guicciardini, lib. 10). Lewis himself fortified these violent prejudices in favour of papal authority, by the symptoms which he discovered, of regard, deference, and submission to Julius, whom he always spared, even when fortune had thrown into his hands the most inviting opportunities of humbling him. And as it was known that his consort who had great influence over him, was extremely disquieted in mind on account of his dissensions with the holy father, all men prognosticated to Julius final success in this unequal contest.

The enterprising pontiff knew his advantages, and availed himself of them with the utmost temerity and insolence. So much had he neglected his sacerdotal character, that he acted (A.D. 1511) in person at the siege of Mirandola, visited the trenches, saw some of his attendants killed by his side, and, like a young soldier, cheerfully bore all the rigours of winter and a severe season, in pursuit of military glory;¹ yet was he still able to throw, even on his most moderate opponents, the charge of impiety and profaneness. He summoned a council at the Lateran; he put Pisa under an interdict, and all the places which gave shelter to the schismatical council; he excommunicated the cardinals and prelates who attended it; he even pointed his spiritual thunder against the princes who adhered to it; he freed their subjects from all oaths of allegiance and gave their dominions to every one who could take possession of them.

Ferdinand, of Arragon, who had acquired the surname of Catholic, regarded the cause of the Pope and of religion only as a cover to his ambition and selfish politics: Henry, naturally sincere and sanguine in his temper, and the more so on account of his youth and inexperience, was moved with a hearty desire of protecting the Pope from the oppression to which he believed him exposed from the ambitious enterprises of Lewis. Hopes had been (A.D. 1512) given him by Julius, that the title of 'Most Christian King,' which had hitherto been annexed to the crown of France, and which was regarded as its most precious ornament, should, in reward of his services, be transferred to that of England.² Impatient also of acquiring that distinction in Europe to which his power and opulence entitled him, he could not long remain neuter amidst the noise of arms; and the natural enmity of the English against France, as well as their ancient claims upon that kingdom, led Henry to join that alliance which the Pope, Spain, and Venice had formed against the French monarch. A herald was sent to Paris, to exhort Lewis not to wage impious war against the sovereign pontiff; and when he returned without success, another was sent to demand the ancient patrimonial provinces, Anjou, Maine,

¹ Guicciardini, lib. 9.

² Guicciard., lib. 11; P. Daniel, vol. ii., p. 1893; Herbert; Holingshed, p. 831.

Guienne, and Normandy. This message was understood to be a declaration of war; and a parliament being summoned (Feb. 4, 1512), readily granted supplies for a purpose so much favoured by the English nation (Herbert; Holingshed, p. 811).

Buonaviso, an agent of the Pope's at London, had been corrupted by the court of France, and had previously revealed to Lewis all the measures which Henry was concerting against him. But this infidelity did the king inconsiderable prejudice, in comparison of the treachery which he experienced from the selfish purposes of the ally on whom he chiefly relied for assistance. Ferdinand, his father-in-law, had so long persevered in a course of crooked politics, that he began even to value himself on his dexterity in fraud and artifice; and he made a boast of those shameful successes. Being told one day, that Lewis, a prince of a very different character, had complained of his having once cheated him: 'He lies, the drunkard!' said he, 'I have cheated him above twenty times.' This prince considered his close connections with Henry, only as the means which enabled him the better to take advantage of his want of experience. He advised him not to invade France by the way of Calais, where he himself should not have it in his power to assist him; he exhorted him rather to send forces to Fontarabia, whence he could easily make a conquest of Guienne, a province in which it was imagined the English had still some adherents. He promised to assist this conquest by the junction of a Spanish army. And so forward did he seem to promote the interests of his son-in-law, that he even sent vessels to England, in order to transport over the forces which Henry had levied for that purpose. The Marquis of Dorset commanded this armament, which consisted of 10,000 men, mostly infantry; Lord Howard, son of the Earl of Surrey, Lord Broke, Lord Ferrars, and many others of the young gentry and nobility, accompanied him in this service. All were on fire to distinguish themselves by military achievements, and to make a conquest of importance for their master. The secret purpose of Ferdinand, in this unexampled generosity, was suspected by nobody.

The small kingdom of Navarre lies on the frontiers between France and Spain; and as John D'Albret, the sovereign, was connected by friendship and alliance with Lewis, the opportunity seemed favourable to Ferdinand, while the English forces were conjoined with his own, and while all adherents to the council of Pisa lay under the sentence of excommunication, to put himself in possession of these dominions. No sooner therefore was Dorset landed in Guipiscoa, than the Spanish monarch declared his readiness to join him with his forces, to make with united arms an invasion of France, and to form the siege of Bayonne, which opened the way into Guienne (Herbert; Holingshed, p. 813); but he remarked to the English general how dangerous it might prove to leave behind them the kingdom of Navarre, which being in close alliance with France, could easily give admittance to the enemy, and cut off all communications between Spain and the combined armies. To provide against so dangerous an event, he required that John should stipulate a neutrality in the present war; and when that prince expressed his willingness to enter into any engagement for that purpose, he also required that security should be

given for the strict observance of it. John having likewise agreed to this condition, Ferdinand demanded, that he should deliver into his hands six of the most considerable places of his dominions, together with his eldest son as a hostage. These were not terms to be proposed to a sovereign; and as the Spanish monarch expected a refusal, he gave immediate orders to the Duke of Alva, his general, to make an invasion on Navarre, and to reduce that kingdom. Alva soon made himself master of all the smaller towns; and being ready to form the siege of Pampeluna, the capital, he summoned the Marquis of Dorset to join him with the English army, and concert together all their operations.

Dorset began to suspect, that the interests of his master were very little regarded in all these transactions; and having no orders to invade the kingdom of Navarre, or make war anywhere but in France, he refused to take any part in the enterprise. He remained, therefore, in his quarters at Fontarabia; but so subtle was the contrivance of Ferdinand, that, even while the English army lay in that situation, it was almost equally serviceable to his purpose, as if it had acted in conjunction with his own. It kept the French army in awe, and prevented it from advancing to succour the kingdom of Navarre; so that Alva, having full leisure to conduct the siege, made himself master of Pampeluna, and obliged John to seek for shelter in France. The Spanish general applied again to Dorset, and proposed to conduct with united counsels the operations of the 'holy league,' so it was called, against Lewis; but as he still declined forming the siege of Bayonne, and rather insisted on the invasion of the principality of Bearne, a part of the King of Navarre's dominions, which lies on the French side of the Pyrenees, Dorset, justly suspicious of his sinister intentions, represented, that without new orders from his master he could not concur in such an undertaking. In order to procure these orders, Ferdinand dispatched Martin de Ampios to London; and persuaded Henry, that, by the refractory and scrupulous humour of the English general, the most favourable opportunities were lost, and that it was necessary he should, on all occasions, act in concert with the Spanish commander, who was best acquainted with the situation of the country, and the reasons of every operation. But before orders to this purpose reached Spain, Dorset had become extremely impatient; and observing that his further stay served not to promote the main undertaking, and that his army was daily perishing by want and sickness, he demanded shipping from Ferdinand to transport them back into England. Ferdinand, who was bound by treaty to furnish him with this supply, whenever demanded, was at length, after many delays, obliged to yield to his importunity; and Dorset, embarking his troops, prepared himself for the voyage. Meanwhile, the messenger arrived with orders from Henry, that the troops should remain in Spain; but the soldiers were so discontented with the treatment which they had met with, that they mutinied, and obliged their commanders to set sail for England. Henry was much displeased with the ill success of this enterprise; and it was with difficulty that Dorset, by explaining the fraudulent conduct of Ferdinand, was able to appease him.

There happened this summer an action at sea, which brought not

any more decisive advantage to the English. Sir Thomas Knevet, master of horse, was sent to the coast of Brittany with a fleet of forty-five sail; and he carried with him Sir Charles Brandon, Sir John Carew, and many other young courtiers, who longed for an opportunity of displaying their valour. After they had committed some depredations, a French fleet of 39 sail issued from Brest, under the command of Primauguet, and began an engagement with the English. Fire seized the ship of Primauguet, who, finding his destruction inevitable, bore down upon the vessel of the English admiral, and grappling with her, resolved to make her share his fate. Both fleets stood some time in suspense, as spectators of this dreadful engagement; and all men saw with horror the flames which consumed both vessels, and heard the cries of fury and despair, which came from the miserable combatants. At last, the French vessel blew up; and at the same time destroyed the English.¹ The rest of the French fleet made their escape into different harbours.

The war which England waged against France, though it brought no advantage to the former kingdom, was of great prejudice to the latter; and by obliging Lewis to withdraw his forces for the defence of his own dominions, lost him that superiority which his arms, in the beginning of the campaign, had attained in Italy. Gaston de Foix, his nephew, a young hero, had been entrusted with the command of the French forces; and in a few months performed such feats of military art and prowess, as were sufficient to render illustrious the life of the oldest captain (Guicciard., lib. 10). His career finished with the great battle of Ravenna, which, after the most obstinate conflict, he gained over the Spanish and papal armies. He perished the very moment his victory was complete; and with him perished the fortune of the French arms in Italy. The Swiss, who had rendered themselves extremely formidable by their bands of disciplined infantry, invaded the Milanese with a numerous army, and raised up that inconstant people to a revolt against the dominion of France. Genoa followed the example of the duchy; and thus Lewis, in a few weeks, entirely lost his Italian conquests, except some garrisons; and Maximilian Sforza, the son of Ludovic, was (A.D. 1513) reinstated in possession of Milan.

Julius discovered extreme joy on the discomfiture of the French; and the more so, as he had been beholden for it to the Swiss, a people whose councils, he hoped, he should always be able to influence and govern. The pontiff survived this success a very little time; and in his place was chosen (A.D. 1513, Feb. 21) John de Medicis, who took the appellation of Leo X. and proved one of the most illustrious princes that ever sat on the papal throne. Humane, beneficent, generous, affable, the patron of every art, and friend of every virtue (Father Paul, lib. 1); he had a soul no less capable of forming great designs than his predecessor, but was more gentle, pliant, and artful, in employing means for the execution of them. The sole defect, indeed, of his character was too great finesse and artifice; a fault which, both as a priest and an Italian, it was difficult for him to avoid. By the negotiations of Leo, the Emperor Maximilian was detached from the French interest; and Henry, notwithstanding his disappointments in

¹ Polydore Vergil, lib. 27; Stow, p. 490; Lanquet's Epit. of Chron., fol. 273.

the former campaign, was still encouraged to prosecute his warlike measures against Lewis.

Henry had summoned a new session of parliament (4th Nov., 1512), and obtained a supply for his enterprise. It was a poll-tax, and imposed different sums, according to the station and riches of the person. A duke payed 10 marks, an earl 5*l.*, a baron 4*l.*, a knight 4 marks; every man valued at 800*l.* in goods, 4 marks. An imposition was also granted of two fifteenths and four tenths (Stow). By these supplies, joined to the treasure which had been left by his father, and which was not yet entirely dissipated, he was enabled to levy a great army, and render himself formidable to his enemy. The English are said to have been much encouraged in this enterprise, by the arrival of a vessel in the Thames under the papal banner. It carried presents of wine and hams to the king, and the more eminent courtiers; and such fond devotion was at that time entertained towards the court of Rome, that these trivial presents were everywhere received with the greatest triumph and exultation.

In order to prevent all disturbances from Scotland, while Henry's arms should be employed on the continent, Dr. West, Dean of Windsor, was dispatched on an embassy to James, the king's brother-in-law; and instructions were given him to accommodate all differences between the kingdoms, as well as to discover the intentions of the court of Scotland (Polydore Vergil, lib. 27). Some complaints had already been made on both sides. One Barton, a Scotchman, having suffered injuries from the Portuguese, for which he could obtain no redress, had procured letters of marque against that nation; but he had no sooner put to sea, than he was guilty of the grossest abuses, committed depredations upon the English, and much infested the narrow seas (Stow, p. 489; Holingshed, p. 811). Lord Howard and Sir Edward Howard, admirals, and sons of the Earl of Surrey, sailing out against him, fought him in a desperate action, where the pirate was killed; and they brought his ships into the Thames. As Henry refused all satisfaction for this act of justice, some of the borderers, who wanted but a pretence for depredations, entered England under the command of Lord Hume, warden of the marches, and committed great ravages on that kingdom. Notwithstanding these mutual grounds of dissatisfaction, matters might easily have been accommodated, had it not been for Henry's intended invasion of France, which roused the jealousy of the Scottish nation (Buchanan, lib. 13; Drummond's Life of James IV.). The ancient league, which subsisted between France and Scotland, was conceived to be the strongest band of connection; and the Scots universally believed, that, were it not for the countenance which they received from this foreign alliance, they had never been able so long to maintain their independence against a people so much superior. James was further incited to take part in the quarrel by the invitations of Anne, Queen of France, whose knight he had ever in all tournaments professed himself, and who summoned him, according to the ideas of romantic gallantry prevalent in that age, to take the field in her defence, and prove himself her true and valorous champion. The remonstrances of his consort and of his wisest counsellors were in vain opposed to the martial ardour of this prince. He first sent a squadron of ships to

the assistance of France; the only fleet which Scotland seems ever to have possessed. And though he still made professions of maintaining a neutrality, the English ambassador easily foresaw, that a war would in the end prove inevitable; and he gave warning of the danger to his master, who sent the Earl of Surrey to put the borders in a posture of defence, and to resist the expected invasion of the enemy.

Henry, all on fire for military fame, was little discouraged by this appearance of a diversion from the north, and so much the less as he flattered himself with the assistance of all the considerable potentates of Europe in his invasion of France. The Pope still continued to thunder out his excommunications against Lewis, and all the adherents of the schismatical council; the Swiss cantons made professions of violent animosity against France; the ambassadors of Ferdinand and Maximilian had signed with those of Henry a treaty of alliance against that power, and had stipulated the time and place of their intended invasion; and though Ferdinand disavowed his ambassador, and even signed a truce for a twelvemonth with the common enemy, Henry was not yet fully convinced of his selfish and sinister intentions, and still hoped for his concurrence after the expiration of that term. He had now got a minister who complied with all his inclinations, and flattered him in every scheme to which his sanguine and impetuous temper was inclined.

Thomas Wolsey, Dean of Lincoln, and almoner to the king, surpassed in favour all his ministers, and was fast advancing towards that unrivalled grandeur which he afterwards attained. This man was son of a butcher at Ipswich; but having got a learned education, and being endowed with an excellent capacity, he was admitted into the Marquis of Dorset's family, as tutor to that nobleman's children, and soon gained the friendship and countenance of his patron (Stow, p. 997). He was recommended to be chaplain to Henry VII., and being employed by that monarch in a secret negotiation, which regarded his intended marriage with Margaret of Savoy, Maximilian's daughter, he acquitted himself to the king's satisfaction, and obtained the praise both of diligence and dexterity in his conduct (Cavendish; Fiddes's *Life of Wolsey*; Stow). That prince, having given him a commission to Maximilian, who at that time resided in Brussels, was surprised, in less than three days after, to see Wolsey present himself before him; and supposing that he had protracted his departure, he began to reprove him for the dilatory execution of his orders. Wolsey informed him that he had just returned from Brussels, and had successfully fulfilled all his majesty's commands. 'But on second thoughts,' said the king, 'I found that somewhat was omitted in your orders, and have sent a messenger after you with fuller instructions.' 'I met the messenger,' replied Wolsey, 'on my return; but as I had reflected on that omission, I ventured of myself to execute what I knew must be your majesty's intentions.' The death of Henry, soon after this incident, retarded the advancement of Wolsey, and prevented his reaping any advantage from the good opinion which that monarch had entertained of him; but thenceforwards he was looked on at court as a rising man; and Fox, Bishop of Winchester, cast his eye upon him as one who might be serviceable to him in his present situation (*Antiq. Brit. Eccles.*, p. 309; Polydore

Vergil, lib. 27). This prelate, observing that the Earl of Surrey had totally eclipsed him in favour, resolved to introduce Wolsey to the young prince's familiarity, and hoped that he might rival Surrey in his insinuating arts, and yet be contented to act in the cabinet a part subordinate to Fox himself, who had promoted him. In a little time Wolsey gained so much on the king, that he supplanted both Surrey in his favour, and Fox in his trust and confidence. Being admitted to Henry's parties of pleasure, he took the lead in every jovial conversation and promoted all that frolic and entertainment which he found suitable to the age and inclination of the young monarch. Neither his own years, which were near forty, nor his character of a clergyman, were any restraint upon him, or engaged him to check, by any useless severity, the gaiety in which Henry, who had small propension to debauchery, passed his careless hours. During the intervals of amusement he introduced business, and insinuated those maxims of conduct which he was desirous his master should adopt. He observed to him that, while he entrusted his affairs into the hands of his father's counsellors, he had the advantage indeed of employing men of wisdom and experience, but men who owed not their promotion to his favour, and who scarcely thought themselves accountable to him for the exercise of their authority; that by the factions, and cabals, and jealousies which had long prevailed among them, they more obstructed the advancement of his affairs, than they promoted it by the knowledge which age and practice had conferred upon them; that while he thought proper to pass his time in those pleasures to which his age and royal fortune invited him, and in those studies which would in time enable him to sway the sceptre of absolute authority, his best system of government would be to entrust his authority into the hands of some one person, who was the creature of his will, and who could entertain no view but that of promoting his service; and that if this minister had also the same relish for pleasure with himself, and the same taste for science, he could more easily, at intervals, account to him for his whole conduct, and introduce his master gradually into the knowledge of public business, and thus, without tedious constraint or application, initiate him in the science of government (Cavendish, p. 12; Stow, Surrey, p. 499).

Henry entered into all the views of Wolsey, and finding no one so capable of executing this plan of administration as the person who proposed it, he soon advanced his favourite, from being the companion of his pleasures to be a member of his council, and from being a member of his council to be his sole and absolute minister. By this rapid advancement and uncontrolled authority, the character and genius of Wolsey had full opportunity to display itself. Insatiable in his acquisitions, but still more magnificent in his expense; of extensive capacity, but still more unbounded enterprise; ambitious of power, but still more desirous of glory; insinuating, engaging, persuasive, and by turns, lofty, elevated, commanding; haughty to his equals, but affable to his dependants, oppressive to the people, but liberal to his friends, more generous than grateful, less moved by injuries than by contempt, he was framed to take the ascendant in every intercourse with others, but exerted this superiority of nature with such ostentation

as exposed him to envy and made every one willing to recall the original inferiority, or rather meanness of his fortune.

The branch of administration in which Henry most exerted himself, while he gave his entire confidence to Wolsey, was the military, which, as it suited the natural gallantry and bravery of his temper as well as the ardour of his youth, was the principal object of his attention. Finding that Lewis had made great preparations both by sea and land to resist him, he was no less careful to levy a formidable army and equip a considerable fleet for the invasion of France. The command of the fleet was entrusted to Sir Edward Howard; who, after scouring the channel for some time, presented himself before Brest, where the French navy then lay, and he challenged them to a combat. The French admiral, who expected from the Mediterranean a reinforcement of some galleys under the command of Prejeant de Bidoux, kept within the harbour, and saw with patience the English burn and destroy the country in the neighbourhood. At last Prejeant arrived with six galleys and put into Conque, a place within a few leagues of Brest, where he secured himself behind some batteries which he had planted on rocks, that lay on each side of him. Howard was, notwithstanding, determined to make an attack upon him; and as he had but two galleys, he took himself the command of one and gave the other to Lord Ferrars. He was followed by some row-barges and some crayers under the command of Sir Thomas Cheyney, Sir William Sidney, and other officers of distinction. He immediately fastened on Prejeant's ship, and leaped on board of her, attended by one Carroz, a Spanish cavalier, and seventeen Englishmen. The cable meanwhile, which fastened his ship to that of the enemy, being cut, the admiral was thus left in the hands of the French; and as he still continued the combat with great gallantry, he was pushed overboard by their pikes.¹ Lord Ferrars, seeing the admiral's galley fall off, followed with the other small vessels; and the whole fleet was so discouraged by the loss of their commander that they retired from before Brest (Stow, p. 491; Herbert; Holingshed, p. 816). The French navy came out of harbour, and even ventured to invade the coast of Sussex. They were repulsed, and Prejeant, their commander, lost an eye by the shot of an arrow. Lord Howard, brother to the deceased admiral, succeeded to the command of the English fleet, and little memorable passed at sea during this summer.

Great preparations had been making at land during the whole winter, for an invasion on France by the way of Calais, but the summer was well advanced before everything was in sufficient readiness for the intended enterprise. The long peace which the kingdom had enjoyed had somewhat unfitted the English for military expeditions, and the great change which had lately been introduced in the art of war had rendered it still more difficult to inure them to the use of the weapons now employed in action. The Swiss, and after them the Spaniards, had shown the advantage of a stable infantry,

¹ It was a maxim of Howard's, that no admiral was good for anything that was not brave even to a degree of madness. As the sea-service requires much less plan and contrivance and capacity than the land, this maxim has great plausibility and appearance of truth. Though the fate of Howard himself may serve as a proof that even there courage ought to be tempered with discretion.

who fought with pike and sword, and were able to repulse even the heavy-armed cavalry in which the great force of the armies formerly consisted. The practice of firearms was now become common, though the caliver, which was the weapon now in use, was so inconvenient and attended with so many disadvantages, that it had not entirely discredited the bow, a weapon in which England excelled all European nations. A considerable part of the forces which Henry levied for the invasion of France consisted of archers, and as soon as affairs were in readiness the vanguard of the army, amounting to 8000 men, under the command of the Earl of Shrewsbury, sailed over to Calais. Shrewsbury was accompanied by the Earl of Derby, the Lords Fitzwater, Hastings, Cobham, and Sir Rice ap Thomas, captain of the light horse. Another body of 6000 men soon after followed under the command of Lord Herbert, the chamberlain, attended by the Earls of Northumberland and Kent, the Lords Audley and Delawar, together with Carew, Curson and other gentlemen.

The king himself prepared to follow with the main body and rear of the army, and he appointed the queen regent of the kingdom during his absence. That he might secure her administration from all disturbance, he ordered Edmond de la Pole, Earl of Suffolk, to be beheaded in the Tower, the nobleman who had been attainted and imprisoned during the late reign. Henry was led to commit this act of violence by the dying commands, as is imagined, of his father, who told him that he never would be free from danger while a man of so turbulent a disposition as Suffolk was alive. And as Richard de la Pole, brother of Suffolk, had accepted of a command in the French service, foolishly attempted to revive the York faction, and to instigate them against the present government, he probably by that means drew more suddenly the king's vengeance on this unhappy nobleman.

At last Henry, attended by the Duke of Buckingham and many others of the nobility, arrived (June 30) at Calais, and entered upon his French expedition, from which he fondly expected so much success and glory (Polydore Vergil, lib. 27; Belcarius, lib. 14). Of all those allies, on whose assistance he relied, the Swiss alone fully performed their engagements. Being put in motion by a sum of money sent them by Henry, and incited by their victories obtained in Italy, and by their animosity against France, they were preparing to enter that kingdom with an army of twenty-five thousand men; and no equal force could be opposed to their incursion. Maximilian had received an advance of 120,000 crowns from Henry, and had promised to reinforce the Swiss with 8000 men, but failed in his engagements. That he might make atonement to the king, he himself appeared in the Low Countries, and joined the English army with some German and Flemish soldiers, who were useful in giving an example of discipline to Henry's new levied forces. Observing the disposition of the English monarch to be more bent on glory than on interest, he enlisted himself in his service, wore the cross of St. George, and received pay 100 crowns a day as one of his subjects and captains. But while he exhibited this extraordinary spectacle, of an emperor of Germany serving under a king of England, he was treated with the highest respect by Henry, and really directed all the operations of the English army.

Before the arrival of Henry and Maximilian in the camp, the Earl of Shrewsbury and Lord Herbert had formed the siege of Teroüane, a town situated on the frontiers of Picardy; and they began to attack the place with vigour. Teligni and Crequi commanded in the town, and had a garrison not exceeding 2000 men; yet made they such stout resistance as protracted the siege a month; and they at last found themselves more in danger from want of provisions and ammunition, than from the assaults of the besiegers. Having conveyed intelligence of their situation to Lewis, who had advanced to Amiens with his army, that prince gave orders to throw relief into the place. Fonttrilles appeared (Aug. 16) at the head of 800 horsemen, each of whom carried a sack of gunpowder behind him, and two quarters of bacon. With this small force he made a sudden and unexpected irruption into the English camp, and, surmounting all resistance, advanced to the fosse of the town, where each horseman threw down his burden. They immediately returned at the gallop, and were so fortunate as again to break through the English, and to suffer little or no loss in this dangerous attempt (*Hist. de Chev. Bayard, chap. 57; Mem. de. Bellai*).

But the English had soon after full revenge for the insult. Henry had received intelligence of the approach of the French horse, who had advanced to protect another incursion of Fonttrilles; and he ordered some troops to pass the Lis, in order to oppose them. The cavalry of France, though they consisted chiefly of gentlemen who had behaved with great gallantry in many desperate actions in Italy, were, on sight of the enemy, seized with so unaccountable a panic, that they immediately took to flight, and were pursued by the English. The Duke of Longueville, who commanded the French, Bussi d'Amboise, Clermont, Imbercourt, the Chevalier Bayard, and many other officers of distinction, were made prisoners.¹ This action, or rather rout, is sometimes called the battle of Guinegate, from the place where it was fought; but more commonly the 'Battle of Spurs,' because the French that day had made more use of their spurs than of their swords or their military weapons.

After so considerable an advantage, the king, who was at the head of a complete army of above 50,000 men, might have made incursions to the gates of Paris, and spread confusion and desolation everywhere. It gave Lewis great joy when he heard that the English, instead of pushing their victory, and attacking the dismayed troops of France, returned to the siege of so inconsiderable a place as Teroüane. The governors were obliged soon after to capitulate; and Henry found his acquisition of so little moment, though gained at the expense of some blood, and what, in his present circumstances, was more important, of much valuable time, that he immediately demolished the fortifications. The anxieties of the French were again revived with regard to the motions of the English. The Swiss at the same time had entered Burgundy with a formidable army, and laid siege to Dijon, which was in no condition to resist them. Ferdinand himself, though he had made a truce with Lewis, seemed disposed to lay hold of every advantage which fortune should present to him. Scarcely ever was the French monarchy in greater danger, or less in a condition to defend

¹ *Mem. de Bellai, liv. 1; Polydore Vergil, liv. 27; Holingshed, p. 822; Herbert.*

itself against those powerful armies, which on every side assailed or threatened it. Even many of the inhabitants of Paris, who believed themselves exposed to the rapacity and violence of the enemy, began to dislodge, without knowing what place could afford them greater security.

But Lewis was extricated from his present difficulties by the manifold blunders of his enemies. The Swiss allowed themselves to be seduced into a negotiation by Tremouille, Governor of Burgundy; and without making inquiry whether that nobleman had any powers to treat, they accepted of the conditions which he offered them. Tremouille, who knew that he should be disavowed by his master, stipulated whatever they were pleased to demand; and thought himself happy, at the expense of some payments and very large promises, to get rid of so formidable an enemy (*Mem. de Fleuran.*, Bellarius, lib. 14).

The measures of Henry showed equal ignorance in the art of war with that of the Swiss in negotiation. Tournay was a great and rich city, which, though it lay within the frontiers of Flanders, belonged to France, and afforded the troops of that kingdom a passage into the heart of the Netherlands. Maximilian, who was desirous of freeing his grandson from so troublesome a neighbour, advised Henry to lay siege to the place; and the English monarch, not considering that such an acquisition nowise advanced his conquests in France, was so imprudent as to follow this interested counsel. The city of Tournay, by its ancient charters being exempted from the burden of a garrison, the burghers, against the remonstrance of their sovereign, strenuously insisted on maintaining this dangerous privilege; and they engaged by themselves to make a vigorous defence against the enemy (*Mem. de Fleuranges*). Their courage failed them when matters came to trial; and after a few days' siege, the place was surrendered to the English. The Bishop of Tournay was lately dead; and as a new bishop was already elected by the chapter, but not installed in his office, the king bestowed the administration of the see on his favourite, Wolsey, and put him in immediate possession of the revenues, which were considerable (*Strype's Mem.*, vol. i., pp. 5, 6). Hearing of the retreat of the Swiss, and observing the season to be far advanced, he thought proper to return to England; and he carried the greater part of his army with him. Success had attended him in every enterprise; and his youthful mind was much elated with this seeming prosperity; but all men of judgment, comparing the advantages of his situation with his progress, his expense with his acquisitions, were convinced that this campaign, so much vaunted, was, in reality, both ruinous and inglorious (*Guicciardini*).

The success which, during this summer, had attended Henry's arms in the north, was much more decisive. The King of Scotland had assembled the whole force of his kingdom; and, having passed the Tweed with a brave though a tumultuary army of above 50,000 men, he ravaged those parts of Northumberland which lay nearest that river, and he employed himself in taking the castles of Norham, Etal, Werke, Ford, and other places of small importance. Lady Ford, being taken prisoner in her castle, was presented to James, and so gained on the affections of the prince, that he wasted in pleasure the critical time which, during the absence of his enemy, he should have employed in pushing his conquests. His troops, lying in a barren

country, where they soon consumed all the provisions, began to be pinched with hunger; and, as the authority of the prince was feeble, and military discipline during that age extremely relaxed, many of them had stolen from the camp, and retired homewards. Meanwhile, the Earl of Surrey, having collected a force of 26,000 men, of which 5000 had been sent over from the king's army in France, marched to the defence of the country, and approached the Scots, who lay on some high ground near the hills of Cheviot. The river Till ran between the armies, and prevented an engagement; Surrey therefore sent a herald to the Scottish camp, challenging the enemy to descend into the plain of Milfield, which lay towards the south; and there appointing a day for the combat, to try their valour on equal ground. As he received no satisfactory answer, he made a feint of marching towards Berwick, as if he intended to enter Scotland, to lay waste the borders, and cut off the provisions of the enemy. The Scottish army, in order to prevent his purpose, put themselves in motion; and, having set fire to the huts in which they had quartered, they descended from the hills. Surrey, taking advantage of the smoke, which was blown towards him, and which concealed his movements, passed the Till with his artillery and vanguard at the bridge of Twisel, and sent the rest of his army to seek a ford higher up the river.

An engagement was now become inevitable, and both sides prepared for it with tranquillity and order (Sept. 9).¹ The English divided their army into two lines: Lord Howard led the main body of the first line, Sir Edmond Howard the right wing, Sir Marmaduke Constable the left. The Earl of Surrey himself commanded the main body of the second line, Lord Dacres the right wing, Sir Edward Stanley the left. The front of the Scots presented three divisions to the enemy: the middle was led by the king himself; the right by the Earl of Huntly, assisted by Lord Hume; the left by the Earls of Lennox and Argyle. A fourth division, under the Earl of Bothwell, made a body of reserve. Huntly began (A.D. 1513, Sept. 9) the battle; and after a sharp conflict put to flight the left wing of the English, and chased them off the field; but on returning from the pursuit, he found the whole Scottish army in great disorder. The division under Lennox and Argyle, elated with the success of the other wing, had broken their ranks, and, notwithstanding the remonstrances and entreaties of La Motte, the French ambassador, had rushed headlong upon the enemy. Not only Sir Edmond Howard, at the head of his division, received them with great valour, but Dacres, who commanded in the second line, wheeling about during the action, fell upon their rear and put them to the sword without resistance. The division under James, and that under Bothwell, animated by the valour of their leaders, still made head against the English, and throwing themselves into a circle, protracted the action till night separated the combatants. The victory seemed yet undecided, and the numbers that fell on each side were nearly equal, amounting to above 5000 men; but the morning discovered where the advantage lay. The English had lost only persons of small note; but the flower of the Scottish nobility had fallen in

² Buchanan, lib. 13; Drummond; Herbert; Polydore Vergil, lib. 27; Stow, p. 493; Paulus Jovius.

battle, and their king himself, after the most diligent inquiry, could nowhere be found. In searching the field, the English met with a dead body which resembled him, and was arrayed in a similar habit; and they put it in a leaden coffin and sent it to London. During some time it was kept unburied, because James died under sentence of excommunication on account of his confederacy with France, and his opposition to the holy see (Buchanan, lib. 13; Herbert); but upon Henry's application, who pretended that this prince had, in the instant before his death, discovered signs of repentance, absolution was given him, and his body was interred. The Scots, however, still asserted that it was not James's body which was found on the field of battle, but that of one Elphinstone, who had been arrayed in arms resembling their king's, in order to divide the attention of the English, and share the danger with his master. It was believed that James had been seen crossing the Tweed at Kelso; and some imagined that he had been killed by the vassals of Lord Hume, whom that nobleman had instigated to commit so enormous a crime. But the populace entertained the opinion that he was still alive, and, having secretly gone in pilgrimage to the Holy Land, would soon return and take possession of the throne. This fond conceit was long entertained among the Scots.

The King of Scotland and most of his chief nobles being slain in the field of Flodden, so this battle was called, an inviting opportunity was offered to Henry of gaining advantages over that kingdom, perhaps of reducing it to subjection. But he discovered on this occasion a mind truly great and generous. When the Queen of Scotland, Margaret, who was created regent during the infancy of her son, applied for peace, he readily granted it; and took compassion of the helpless condition of his sister and nephew. The Earl of Surrey, who had gained him so great a victory, was (A.D. 1514) restored to the title of Duke of Norfolk, which had been forfeited by his father for engaging on the side of Richard III. Lord Howard was honoured with the title of Earl of Surrey. Sir Charles Brandon, the king's favourite, whom he had before created Viscount Lisle, was now raised to the dignity of Duke of Suffolk. Wolsey, who was both his favourite and his minister, was created Bishop of Lincoln. Lord Herbert obtained the title of Earl of Worcester. Sir Ed. Stanley that of Lord Monteagle.

Though peace with Scotland gave Henry security on that side, and enabled him to prosecute, in tranquillity, his enterprise against France, some other incidents had happened, which more than counterbalanced this fortunate event, and served to open his eyes with regard to the rashness of an undertaking into which his youth and high fortune had betrayed him.

Lewis, fully sensible of the dangerous situation to which his kingdom had been reduced during the former campaign, was resolved, by every expedient, to prevent the return of like perils, and to break the confederacy of his enemies. The Pope was nowise disposed to push the French to extremity; and, provided they did not return to take possession of Milan, his interests rather led him to preserve the balance among the contending parties. He accepted, therefore, of Lewis's offer to renounce the council of Lyons; and he took off the excommu-

nication which his predecessor and himself had fulminated against that king and his kingdom. Ferdinand was now fast declining in years ; and as he entertained no farther ambition than that of keeping possession of Navarre, which he had subdued by his arms and policy, he readily hearkened to the proposals of Lewis for prolonging the truce another year ; and he even showed an inclination of forming a more intimate connection with that monarch. Lewis had dropped hints of his intention to marry his second daughter, Renée, either to Charles, Prince of Spain, or his brother, Ferdinand, both of them grandsons of the Spanish monarch ; and he declared his resolution of bestowing on her, as her portion, his claim to the Duchy of Milan. Ferdinand not only embraced these proposals with joy ; but also engaged the Emperor Maximilian in the same views, and procured his accession to a treaty, which opened so inviting a prospect of aggrandising their common grandchildren.

When Henry was informed of Ferdinand's renewal of the truce with Lewis, he fell into a violent rage, and loudly complained, that his father-in-law had first, by high promises and professions, engaged him in enmity with France, and afterwards, without giving him the least warning, had now again sacrificed his interests to his own selfish purposes, and had left him exposed alone to all the danger and expense of the war. In proportion to his easy credulity, and his unsuspecting reliance on Ferdinand, was the vehemence with which he exclaimed against the treatment which he met with ; and he threatened revenge for this egregious treachery and breach of faith (Petrus de Angleria, Epist. 545, 546). But he lost all patience when informed of the other negotiation by which Maximilian was also seduced from his alliance, and in which proposals had been agreed to for the marriage of the Prince of Spain with the daughter of France. Charles, during the lifetime of the late king, had been affianced to Mary, Henry's younger sister ; and, as the prince now approached the age of puberty, the king had expected the immediate completion of the marriage, and the honourable settlement of a sister, for whom he had entertained a tender affection. Such a complication, therefore, of injuries gave him the highest displeasure, and inspired him with a desire of expressing his disdain towards those who had imposed on his youth and inexperience, and had abused his too great facility.

The Duke of Longueville, who had been made prisoner at the battle of Guinegate, and who was still detained in England, was ready to take advantage of all these dispositions of Henry, in order to procure a peace, and even an alliance, which he knew to be passionately desired by his master. He represented to the king that Anne, Queen of France, being lately dead, a door was thereby opened for an affinity which might tend to the advantage of both kingdoms, and which would serve to terminate honourably all the differences between them ; that she had left Lewis no male children ; and as he had ever entertained a strong desire of having heirs to the crown, no marriage seemed more suitable to him than that with the Princess of England, whose youth and beauty afforded the most flattering hopes in that particular ; that, though the marriage of a princess of sixteen with a king of fifty-three might seem unsuitable, yet the other advantages attending the alliance

were more than a sufficient compensation for this inequality; and that Henry, in loosening his connections with Spain, from which he had never reaped any advantage, would contract a close affinity with Lewis, a prince who, through his whole life, had invariably maintained the character of probity and honour.

As Henry seemed to hearken to this discourse with willing ears, Longueville informed his master of the probability, which he discovered, of bringing the matter to a happy conclusion; and he received full powers for negotiating the treaty. The articles were (Aug. 7) easily adjusted between the monarchs. Lewis agreed that Tournay should remain in the hands of the English; that Richard de la Pole should be banished to Metz, there to live on a pension assigned him by Lewis; that Henry should receive payment of a million of crowns, being the arrears due by treaty to his father and himself; and that the Princess Mary should bring 400,000 crowns as her portion, and enjoy as large a jointure as any queen of France, even the former, who was heiress of Brittany. The two princes also agreed on the succours with which they should mutually supply each other, in case either of them were attacked by an enemy (Du Tillet).

In consequence of this treaty, Mary was sent over to France with a splendid retinue, and Lewis met her at Abbeville, where the espousals were (A.D. 1514, Oct. 9) celebrated. He was enchanted with the beauty, grace, and numerous accomplishments of the young princess; and being naturally of an amorous disposition, which his advanced age had not entirely cooled, he was seduced into such a course of gaiety and pleasure, as proved very unsuitable to his declining state of health (Brantome, *Eloge de Louis XII.*). He died (A.D. 1515, January 1) in less than three months after the marriage, to the extreme regret of the French nation, who, sensible of his tender concern for their welfare, gave him, with one voice, the honourable appellation of 'father of his people.'

Francis, Duke of Angouleme, a youth of one and twenty, who had married Lewis's elder daughter, succeeded him on the throne; and, by his activity, valour, generosity, and other virtues, gave prognostics of a happy and glorious reign. This young monarch had been extremely struck with the charms of the English princess; and, even during his predecessor's lifetime, had paid her such assiduous court, as made some of his friends apprehend that he had entertained views of gallantry towards her. But being warned that, by indulging this passion, he might probably exclude himself from the throne, he forbore all further addresses; and even watched the young dowager with a very careful eye during the first months of her widowhood. Charles Brandon, Duke of Suffolk, was, at that time, in the court of France, the most comely personage of his time, and the most accomplished in all the exercises which were then thought to befit a courtier and a soldier. He was Henry's chief favourite; and that monarch had even once entertained thoughts of marrying him to his sister, and had given indulgence to the mutual passion which took place between them. The queen asked Suffolk, whether he had now the courage, without farther reflection, to espouse her! And she told him, that her brother would more easily forgive him for not asking his consent, than for acting contrary to his

orders. Suffolk declined not so inviting an offer; and their nuptials were secretly celebrated at Paris. Francis, who was pleased with this marriage, as it prevented Henry from forming any powerful alliance by means of his sister (Petrus de Angleria, Epist. 544), interposed his good offices in appeasing him; and even Wolsey, having entertained no jealousy of Suffolk, who was content to participate in the king's pleasures, and had no ambition to engage in public business, was active in reconciling the king to his sister and brother-in-law; and he obtained them permission to return to England.

CHAPTER XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

Wolsey's administration.—Scotch affairs.—Progress of Francis I.—Jealousy of Henry.—Tournay delivered to France.—Wolsey appointed legate.—His manner of exercising that office.—Death of the Emperor Maximilian.—Charles, King of Spain, chosen emperor.—Interview between Henry and Francis, near Calais.—The Emperor Charles arrives in England.—Mediation of Henry.—Trial and condemnation of the Duke of Buckingham.

THE numerous enemies whom Wolsey's sudden elevation, his aspiring character, and his haughty deportment had raised him, served only to rivet him faster in Henry's confidence; who valued himself on supporting the choice which he had made, and who was incapable of yielding either to the murmurs of the people, or to the discontents of the great. That artful prelate likewise, well acquainted with the king's imperious temper, concealed from him the absolute ascendant which he had acquired; and while he secretly directed all public councils, he ever pretended a blind submission to the will and authority of his master. By entering into the king's pleasures, he preserved his affection; by conducting his business, he gratified his indolence; and by his unlimited complaisance in both capacities, he prevented all that jealousy, to which his exorbitant acquisitions and his splendid ostentatious train of life should naturally have given birth. The archbishopric of York falling vacant by the death of Bambridge, Wolsey was promoted to that see, and resigned the bishopric of Lincoln. Besides enjoying the administration of Tournay, he got possession on easy leases of the revenues of Bath, Worcester, and Hereford, bishoprics filled by Italians, who were allowed to reside abroad, and who were glad to compound for this indulgence, by yielding a considerable share of their income. He held in commendam the abbey of St. Albans, and many other church preferments. He was even allowed to unite with the see of York, first that of Durham, next that of Winchester; and there seemed to be no end of his acquisitions. His farther advancement in ecclesiastical dignity served him as a pretence for engrossing still more revenues. The Pope, observing his great influence over the king, was desirous of engaging him in his interests, and

created him a cardinal. No churchman, under colour of exacting respect to religion, ever carried to a greater height the state and dignity of that character. His train consisted of eight hundred servants, of whom many were knights and gentlemen; some even of the nobility put their children into his family as a place of education; and in order to gain them favour with their patron, allowed them to bear offices as his servants. Whoever was distinguished by any art or science paid court to the cardinal, and none paid court in vain. Literature, which was then in its infancy, found in him a generous patron; and, both by his public institutions and private bounty, he gave encouragement to every branch of erudition (Erasm. Epist. lib. 3, epist. 1, lib. 16, epist. 3). Not content with this munificence, which gained him the approbation of the wise, he strove to dazzle the eyes of the populace by the splendour of his equipage and furniture, the costly embroidery of his liveries, the lustre of his apparel. He was the first clergyman in England that wore silk and gold, not only on his habit, but also on his saddles and the trappings of his horses.¹ He caused his cardinal's hat to be borne aloft by a person of rank; and when he came to the king's chapel, would permit it to be laid on no place but the altar. A priest, the tallest and most comely he could find, carried before him a pillar of silver, on whose top was placed a cross. But not satisfied with this parade, to which he thought himself entitled as cardinal, he provided another priest of equal stature and beauty, who marched along, bearing the cross of York, even in the diocese of Canterbury, contrary to the ancient rule and the agreement between the prelates of these rival sees (Polyd. Verg., lib. 27). The people made merry with the cardinal's ostentation; and said they were now sensible that one crucifix alone was not sufficient for the expiation of his sins and offences.

Warham, chancellor and Archbishop of Canterbury, a man of a moderate temper, averse to all disputes, chose rather to retire from public employment, than maintain an unequal contest with the haughty cardinal. He resigned his office of chancellor; and the great seal was immediately delivered to Wolsey. If this new accumulation of dignity increased his enemies, it also served to exalt his personal character, and prove the extent of his capacity. A strict administration of justice took place during his enjoyment of this high office; and no chancellor ever discovered greater impartiality in his decisions, deeper penetration of judgment, or more enlarged knowledge of law and equity (Sir T. More; Stow, p. 504).

The Duke of Norfolk, finding the king's money almost entirely exhausted by projects and pleasures, while his inclination for expense still continued, was glad to resign his office of treasurer, and retire from court. His rival, Fox, Bishop of Winchester, reaped no advantage from his absence; but partly overcome by years and infirmities, partly disgusted at the ascendant acquired by Wolsey, withdrew himself wholly to the care of his diocese. The Duke of Suffolk had also taken offence, that the king, by the cardinal's persuasion, had refused to pay a debt which he had contracted during his residence in France; and he thenceforth affected to live in privacy. These incidents left Wolsey to enjoy, without a rival, the whole power and favour of the king; and they put into

¹ Polydore Vergil, lib. 27; Stow, p. 501; Holingshed, p. 847.

his hands every kind of authority. In vain did Fox, before his retirement, warn the king 'not to suffer the servant to be greater than his 'master.' Henry replied, 'that he well knew how to retain all his 'subjects in obedience;' but he continued still an unlimited deference in everything to the directions and counsels of the cardinal.

The public tranquillity was so well established in England, the obedience of the people so entire, the general administration of justice, by the cardinal's means (Erasm., lib. 2, epist. i.; Cavendish; Hall), so exact, that no domestic occurrence happened considerable enough to disturb the repose of the king and his minister. They might even have dispensed with giving any strict attention to foreign affairs, were it possible for men to enjoy any situation in absolute tranquillity, or abstain from projects and enterprises, however fruitless and unnecessary.

The will of the late King of Scotland, who left his widow regent of the kingdom, and the vote of the convention of states, which confirmed that destination, had expressly limited her authority to the condition of her remaining unmarried (Buchanan, lib. 14; Drummond; Herbert); but notwithstanding this limitation, a few months after her husband's death she espoused the Earl of Angus, of the name of Douglas, a young nobleman of great family and promising hopes. Some of the nobility now proposed the electing of Angus to the regency, and recommended this choice as the most likely means of preserving peace with England; but the jealousy of the great families, and the fear of exalting the Douglasses, begat opposition to this measure. Lord Hume in particular, the most powerful chieftain in the kingdom, insisted on recalling the Duke of Albany, son to a brother of James III. who had been banished into France, and who, having there married, had left posterity that were the next heirs to the crown, and the nearest relations to their young sovereign. Albany, though first prince of the blood, had never been in Scotland, was totally unacquainted with the manners of the people, ignorant of their situation, unpractised in their language; yet such was the favour attending the French alliance, and so great the authority of Hume, that this prince was invited to accept the reins of government. Francis, careful not to give offence to the King of England, detained Albany some time in France; but at length, sensible how important it was to keep Scotland in his interests, he permitted him to go over, and take possession of the regency; he even renewed the ancient league with that kingdom, though it implied such a close connection as might be thought somewhat to entrench on his alliance with England.

When the regent arrived in Scotland, he made inquiries concerning the state of the country, and character of the people; and he discovered a scene with which he was hitherto but little acquainted. That turbulent kingdom he found was rather to be considered as a confederacy, and that not a close one, of petty princes, than a regular system of civil polity; and even the king, much more a regent, possessed an authority very uncertain and precarious. Arms more than laws prevailed; and courage, preferably to equity or justice, was the virtue most valued and respected. The nobility, in whom the whole power resided, were so connected by hereditary alliances, or so divided by inveterate enmities, that it was impossible, without employ-

ing an armed force, either to punish the most flagrant guilt, or give security to the most entire innocence. Rapine and violence, when exercised on a hostile tribe, instead of making a person odious among his own clan, rather recommended him to their esteem and approbation, and by rendering him useful to the chieftain, entitled him to a preference above his fellows. And though the necessity of mutual support served as a close cement of amity among those of the same kindred, the spirit of revenge against enemies, and the desire of prosecuting the deadly feuds (so they were called), still appeared to be the passions most predominant among that uncultivated people.

The persons to whom Albany, on his arrival, first applied for information with regard to the state of the country, happened to be inveterate enemies of Hume (Buchanan, lib. 14; Drummond); and they represented that powerful nobleman as the chief source of public disorders, and the great obstacle to the execution of the laws and the administration of justice. Before the authority of the magistrate could be established, it was necessary they said to make an example of this great offender, and by the terror of his punishment teach all lesser criminals to pay respect to the power of their sovereign. Albany, moved by these reasons, was induced to forget Hume's past services, to which he had in a great measure been indebted for the regency; and he no longer bore towards him that favourable countenance, with which he was wont to receive him. Hume perceived the alteration, and was incited, both by regard to his own safety, and from motives of revenge, to take measures in opposition to the regent. He applied himself to Angus and the queen dowager, and represented to them the danger to which the infant prince was exposed from the ambition of Albany, next heir to the crown, to whom the states had imprudently entrusted the whole authority of government. By his persuasion, Margaret formed the design of carrying off the young king, and putting him under the protection of her brother; and when that conspiracy was detected, she herself, attended by the Earls of Hume and Angus, withdrew into England, where she was soon after delivered of a daughter.

Henry, in order to check the authority of Albany and the French party, gave encouragement to these malcontents, and assured them of his support. Matters being afterwards in appearance accommodated between Hume and the regent, that nobleman returned into his own country; but mutual suspicions and jealousies still prevailed. He was committed to custody, under the care of the Earl of Arran, his brother-in-law, and was for some time detained prisoner in his castle. But having persuaded Arran to enter into the conspiracy with him, he was allowed to make his escape, and he openly levied war upon the regent. A new accommodation ensued, not more sincere than the foregoing; and Hume was so imprudent as to entrust himself, together with his brother, into the hands of that prince. They were immediately seized, committed to custody, brought to trial, condemned and executed. No legal crime was proved against these brothers; it was only alleged, that at the battle of Flodden they had not done their duty in supporting the king; and as this backwardness could not, from the course of their past life, be ascribed to cowardice, it was commonly imputed to a

more criminal motive. The evidence however of guilt produced against them was far from being either valid or convincing; and the people, who hated them while living, were much dissatisfied with their execution.

Such violent remedies often produce, for some time, a deceitful tranquillity; but as they destroy mutual confidence, and beget the most inveterate animosities, their consequences are commonly fatal, both to the public, and to those who have recourse to them. The regent, however, took advantage of the present calm which prevailed; and being invited over by the French king, who was, at that time, willing to gratify Henry, he went into France, and was engaged to remain there for some years. During the absence of the regent, such confusions prevailed in Scotland, and such mutual enmity, rapine, and violence among the great families, that that kingdom was for a long time utterly disabled, both from offending its enemies, and assisting its friends. We have carried on the Scottish history some years beyond the present period; that, as that country had little connection with the general system of Europe, we might be the less interrupted in the narration of those more memorable events which were transacted in the other kingdoms.

It was foreseen, that a young, active prince, like Francis, and of so martial a disposition, would soon employ the great preparations which his predecessor, before his death, had made for the conquest of Milan. He had been observed even to weep at the recital of the military exploits of Gaston de Foix; and these tears of emulation were held to be sure presages of his future valour. He renewed the treaty which Lewis had made with Henry; and having left everything secure behind him, he marched his armies towards the south of France, pretending that his sole purpose was to defend his kingdom against the incursions of the Swiss. This formidable people still retained their animosity against France; and having taken Maximilian, Duke of Milan, under their protection, and in reality reduced him to absolute dependence, they were determined from views both of honour and of interest to defend him against the invader (*Mem. du Bellay, lib. 1; Guicciardini, lib. 12*). They fortified themselves in all those valleys of the Alps through which they thought the French must necessarily pass; and when Francis, with great secrecy, industry, and perseverance, made his entrance into Piedmont by another passage, they were not dismayed, but descended into the plain, though unprovided with cavalry, and opposed themselves to the progress of the French arms. At Marignan, near Milan (*Sept. 13*), they fought with Francis one of the most furious and best contested battles that is to be met with in the history of these later ages; and it required all the heroic valour of this prince to inspire his troops with courage sufficient to resist the desperate assault of those mountaineers. After a bloody action in the evening, night and darkness parted the combatants; but next morning the Swiss renewed the attack with unabated ardour, and it was not till they had lost all their bravest troops that they could be prevailed on to retire. The field was strewed with twenty thousand slain on both sides; and the Mareschal Trivulzio, who had been present at eighteen pitched battles, declared that every engagement which he had yet seen

was only the play of children; the action of Marignan was a combat of heroes (Hist. Ligue de Cambray). After this great victory, the conquest of the Milanese was easy and open to Francis.

The success and glory of the French monarch began to excite jealousy in Henry; and his rapid progress, though in so distant a country, was not regarded without apprehensions by the English ministry. Italy was, during that age, the seat of religion, of literature, and of commerce; and as it possessed alone that lustre which has since been shared out among other nations, it attracted the attention of all Europe, and every acquisition which was made there appeared more important than its weight in the balance of power was, strictly speaking, entitled to. Henry also thought that he had reason to complain of Francis for sending the Duke of Albany into Scotland, and undermining the power and credit of his sister, the queen dowager (Pere Daniel, vol. iii., p. 31). The repairing of the fortifications of Teroüenne was likewise regarded as a breach of treaty. But above all, what tended to alienate the court of England was the disgust which Wolsey had entertained against the French monarch.

Henry, on the conquest of Tournay, had refused to admit Lewis Gaillart, the bishop elect, to the possession of the temporalities, because that prelate declined taking the oath of allegiance to his new sovereign; and Wolsey was appointed, as above related, administrator of the bishopric. As the cardinal wished to obtain the free and undisturbed enjoyment of this revenue, he applied to Francis, and desired him to bestow on Gaillart some see of equal value in France, and to obtain his resignation of Tournay. Francis, who still hoped to recover possession of that city, and who feared that the full establishment of Wolsey in the bishopric would prove an obstacle to his purpose, had hitherto neglected to gratify the haughty prelate; and the Bishop of Tournay, by applying to the court of Rome, had obtained a bull for his settlement in the see. Wolsey, who expected to be indulged in every request, and who exacted respect from the greatest princes, resented the slight put upon him by Francis; and he pushed his master to seek an occasion of quarrel with that monarch (Polydore Vergil, lib. 27).

Maximilian, the emperor, was ready to embrace every overture for a new enterprise, especially if attended with an offer of money, of which he was very greedy, very prodigal, and very indigent. Richard Pace, formerly secretary to Cardinal Bambridge, and now secretary of state, was despatched to the court of Vienna, and had a commission to propose some considerable payments to Maximilian (Petrus de Angleria, epist. 568). He thence made a journey into Switzerland, and by like motives engaged some of the cantons to furnish troops to the emperor. That prince invaded Italy with a considerable army, but being repulsed from before Milan, he retreated with his army into Germany, made peace with France and Venice, ceded Verona to that republic for a sum of money, and thus excluded himself in some measure from all future access into Italy. And Henry found that, after expending five or six hundred thousand ducats in order to gratify his own and the cardinal's humour, he had only weakened his alliance with Francis, without diminishing the power of that prince.

There were many reasons which engaged the king not to proceed farther at present in his enmity against France. He could hope for assistance from no power in Europe. Ferdinand, his father-in-law, who had often deceived him, was declining through age and infirmities, and a speedy period was looked for to the long and prosperous reign of that great monarch. Charles, Prince of Spain, sovereign of the Low Countries, desired nothing but peace with Francis, who had it so much in his power, if provoked, to obstruct his peaceable accession to that rich inheritance which was awaiting him. The Pope was over-awed by the power of France, and Venice was engaged in a close alliance with that monarchy (Guicciardini, lib. 12). Henry, therefore, was constrained to remain in tranquillity during some time, and seemed to give himself no concern with regard to the affairs of the continent. In vain did Maximilian endeavour to allure him into some expense, by offering to make a resignation of the imperial crown in his favour. The artifice was too gross to succeed, even with a prince so little politic as Henry; and Pace, his envoy, who was perfectly well acquainted with the emperor's motives and character, gave him warning that the sole view of that prince in making him so liberal an offer was to draw money from him.

While an universal peace prevailed (A.D. 1516) in Europe, that event happened which had so long been looked for and from which such important consequences were expected, the death of Ferdinand the Catholic, and the succession of his grandson Charles to his extensive dominions. The more Charles advanced in power and authority, the more was Francis sensible of the necessity he lay under of gaining the confidence and friendship of Henry, and he took at last the only method by which he could obtain success, the paying of court, by presents and flattery, to the haughty cardinal.

Bonnivet, admiral of France, was (A.D. 1518) dispatched to London, and he was directed to employ all his insinuation and address, qualities in which he excelled, to procure himself a place in Wolsey's good graces. After the ambassador had succeeded in his purpose, he took an opportunity of expressing his master's regret that, by mistakes and misapprehensions, he had been so unfortunate as to lose a friendship which he so much valued as that of his eminence. Wolsey was not deaf to these honourable advances from so great a monarch, and he was thenceforth observed to express himself on all occasions, in favour of the French alliance. The more to engage him in his interests, Francis entered into such confidence with him that he asked his advice even in his most secret affairs, and had recourse to him in all difficult emergencies as to an oracle of wisdom and profound policy. The cardinal made no secret to the king of this private correspondence, and Henry was so prepossessed in favour of the great capacity of his minister that, he said, he verily believed he would govern Francis as well as himself (Polydore Vergil, lib. 27).

When matters seemed sufficiently prepared, Bonnavet opened to the cardinal his master's desire of recovering Tournay, and Wolsey immediately, without hesitation, engaged to effect his purpose. He took an opportunity of representing to the king and council, that Tournay lay so remote from Calais, that it would be very difficult, if not impossible,

in case of war, to keep the communication open between these two places; that as it was situated on the frontiers both of France and the Netherlands, it was exposed to attacks from both these countries, and must necessarily, either by force or famine, fall into the hands of the first assailant; that even in time of peace it could not be preserved without a large garrison to restrain the numerous and mutinous inhabitants, ever discontented with the English government; and that the possession of Tournay, as it was thus precarious and expensive, so was it entirely useless, and afforded little or no means of annoying, on occasion, the dominions of Charles or of Francis.

These reasons were of themselves convincing, and were sure of meeting with no opposition when they came from the mouth of the cardinal. A treaty, therefore, was entered into for the ceding of Tournay, and in order to give to that measure a more graceful appearance, it was agreed, that the dauphin and the Princess Mary, both of them infants, should be betrothed, and that this city should be considered as the dowry of the princess. Such kinds of agreement were then common among sovereigns, though it was very rare that the interests and views of the parties continued so steady as to render the intended marriages effectual. But as Henry had been at considerable expense in building a citadel at Tournay, Francis agreed to pay him 600,000 crowns in twelve annual payments, and to put into his hands eight hostages, all of them men of quality, for the performance of the article (*Mem. du Bellay*, lib. 1); and, lest the cardinal should think himself neglected in these stipulations, Francis promised him a yearly pension of 12,000 livres, as an equivalent for his administration of the bishopric of Tournay.

The French monarch having succeeded so well in this negotiation, began to enlarge his views and to hope for more considerable advantages, by practising on the vanity and self-conceit of the favourite. He redoubled his flatteries to the cardinal, consulted him more frequently in every doubt or difficulty, called him in each letter 'father,' 'tutor, governor,' and professed the most unbounded deference to his advice and opinion. All these caresses were preparatives to a negotiation for the delivery of Calais, in consideration of a sum of money to be paid for it, and if we may credit Polydore Vergil, who bears a particular ill-will to Wolsey, on account of his being dispossessed of his employment and thrown into prison by that minister, so extraordinary proposal met with a favourable reception from the cardinal. He ventured not, however, to lay the matter before the council; he was content to sound privately the opinion of the other ministers, by dropping hints in conversation, as if he thought Calais a useless burden to the kingdom (*Polydore Vergil*, lib. 27); but when he found that all men were strongly riveted in a contrary persuasion, he thought it dangerous to proceed any farther in his purpose, and as he fell soon after into new connections with the King of Spain, the great friendship between Francis and him began gradually to decline.

The pride of Wolsey was now farther increased by a great accession of power and dignity. Cardinal Campeggio had been sent as legate into England, in order to procure a tithe from the clergy, for enabling the Pope to oppose the progress of the Turks, a danger which was

become real and was formidable to all Christendom, but on which the politics of the court of Rome had built so many interested projects, that it had lost all influence on the minds of men. The clergy refused to comply with Leo's demands; Campeggio was recalled, and the king desired of the Pope, that Wolsey, who had been joined in this commission, might alone be invested with the legantine power, together with the right of visiting all the clergy and monasteries, and even with suspending all the laws of the church during a twelvemonth. Wolsey, having obtained this new dignity, made a new display of that state and parade to which he was so much addicted. On solemn feast days, he was not content without saying mass after the manner of the Pope himself; not only he had bishops and abbots to serve him, he even engaged the first nobility to give him water and the towel. He affected a rank superior to what had ever been claimed by any churchman in England. Warham, the primate, having written him a letter in which he subscribed himself 'your loving brother,' Wolsey complained of his presumption in thus challenging an equality with him. When Warham was told what offence he had given, he made light of the matter. 'Know ye not,' said he, 'that this man is drunk 'with too much prosperity?'

But Wolsey carried the matter much farther than vain pomp and ostentation. He erected an office which he called the legantine court; and as he was now, by means of the Pope's commission and the king's favour, invested with all power, both ecclesiastical and civil, no man knew what bounds were to be set to the authority of his new tribunal. He conferred on it a kind of inquisitorial and censorial powers even over the laity, and directed it to inquire into all matters of conscience, into all conduct which had given scandal, into all actions which, though they escaped the law, might appear contrary to good morals. Offence was taken at this commission, which was really unbounded, and the people were the more disgusted when they saw a man who indulged himself in pomp and pleasure so severe in repressing the least appearance of licentiousness in others. But, to render his court more obnoxious, Wolsey made one John Allen judge in it, a person of scandalous life (Strype's Mem., vol. i., p. 125), whom he himself, as chancellor, had, it is said, condemned for perjury; and as it is pretended that this man either extorted fines from every one whom he was pleased to find guilty, or took bribes to drop prosecutions, men concluded, and with some appearance of reason, that he shared with the cardinal those wages of iniquity. The clergy, and in particular the monks, were exposed to this tyranny; and as the libertinism of their lives often gave a just handle against them, they were obliged to purchase an indemnity, by paying large sums of money to the legate or his judge. Not content with this authority, Wolsey pretended, by virtue of his commission, to assume the jurisdiction of all the bishops' courts, particularly that of judging of wills and testaments, and his decisions in those important points were deemed not a little arbitrary. As if he himself were Pope, and as if the Pope could absolutely dispose of every ecclesiastical preferment, he presented to whatever priories or benefices he pleased, without regard to the right of election in the monks or of patronage in the nobility and gentry.¹

¹ Polydore Vergil, lib. 27. This whole narrative has been copied by all the historians from

No one durst carry to the king any complaint against these usurpations of Wolsey, till Warham ventured to inform him of the discontents of his people. Henry professed his ignorance of the whole matter. 'A man,' said he, 'is not so blind anywhere as in his own house; but do you, farther,' added he to the primate, 'go to Wolsey, and tell him 'if anything be amiss that he amend it.' A reproof of this kind was not likely to be effectual; it only served to augment Wolsey's enmity to Warham; but one London having prosecuted Allen, the legate's judge, in a court of law, and having convicted him of malversation and iniquity, the clamour at last reached the king's ears; and he expressed such displeasure to the cardinal as made him ever after more cautious in exerting his authority.

While Henry, indulging himself in pleasure and amusement, entrusted the government of his kingdom to this imperious minister, an incident happened abroad, which excited his attention. Maximilian the emperor died (Jan. 12, A.D. 1519), a man who, of himself, was indeed of little consequence; but as his death left vacant the first station among Christian princes, it set the passions of men in agitation, and proved a kind of era in the general system of Europe. The kings of France and Spain immediately declared themselves candidates for the imperial crown, and employed every expedient of money or intrigue which promised them success in so great a point of ambition. Henry also was encouraged to advance his pretensions, but his minister, Pace, who was dispatched to the electors, found that he began to solicit too late, and that the votes of all these princes were already pre-engaged either on one side or the other.

Francis and Charles made profession from the beginning of carrying on this rivalry with emulation, but without enmity; and Francis in particular declared that his brother Charles and he were, fairly and openly, suitors to the same mistress; the more fortunate, added he, will carry her; the other must rest contented (Balcaria, lib. 16; Guicciardini, lib. 13). But all men apprehended that this extreme moderation, however reasonable, would not be of long duration, and that incidents would certainly occur to sharpen the minds of the candidates against each other. It was Charles who at length prevailed, to the great disgust of the French monarch, who still continued to the last in the belief that the majority of the electoral college was engaged in his favour. And as he was some years superior in age to his rival, and, after his victory in Marignan, and conquest of the Milanese, much superior in renown, he could not suppress his indignation, at being thus, in the face of the world, after long and anxious expectation, disappointed in so important a pretension. From this competition, as much as from opposition of interests, arose that emulation between those two great monarchs, which, while it kept their whole age in movement, sets them in so remarkable a contrast to each other; both of them princes, endowed with talents and abilities; brave, aspiring, active, warlike; beloved by their servants and subjects, dreaded by their enemies, and respected by all the world; Francis, open, frank, liberal, munificent

the author here cited. There are many circumstances, however, very suspicious, both because of the obvious partiality of the historian, and because the parliament, when they afterwards examined Wolsey's conduct, could find no proof of any material offence he had ever committed.

carrying these virtues to an excess which prejudiced his affairs; Charles, political, close, artful, frugal, better qualified to obtain success in wars and in negotiations, especially the latter. The one the more amiable man, the other the greater monarch. The king, from his oversights and discretions, naturally exposed to misfortunes, but qualified, by his spirit and magnanimity, to extricate himself from them with honour; the emperor, by his designing, interested character, fitted, in his greatest successes, to excite jealousy and opposition even among his allies, and to rouse up a multitude of enemies, in the place of one whom he had subdued. And as the personal qualities of these princes thus counterpoised each other, so did the advantages and disadvantages of their dominions. Fortune alone, without the concurrence of prudence or valour, never reared up of a sudden so great a power as that which centred in the Emperor Charles. He reaped the succession of Castile, of Arragon, of Austria, of the Netherlands; he inherited the conquest of Naples, of Granada; election entitled him to the empire; even the bounds of the globe seemed to be enlarged a little before his time, that he might possess the whole treasure, as yet entire and unrisfled, of the new world. But though the concurrence of all these advantages formed an empire greater and more extensive than any known in Europe since that of the Romans, the kingdom of France alone, being close, compact, united, rich, populous, and being interposed between all the provinces of the dominions of the emperor of Germany, he was able to make a vigorous opposition to his progress, and maintain the contest against him.

Henry possessed the felicity of being able, both by the native force of his kingdom and its situation, to hold the balance between those two powers; and had he known to improve, by policy and prudence, this singular and inestimable advantage, he was really, by means of it, a greater potentate than either of those mighty monarchs who seemed to strive for the dominion of Europe. But this prince was, in his character, heedless, inconsiderate, capricious, impolitic, guided by his passions or his favourite, vain, imperious, haughty, sometimes actuated by friendship for foreign powers, oftener by resentment, seldom by his true interest. And thus, though he exulted in that superiority which his situation in Europe gave him, he never employed it to his own essential and durable advantage, or to that of his kingdom.

Francis was well acquainted with Henry's character, and endeavoured to accommodate his conduct to it. He solicited an interview near Calais, in expectation of being able, by familiar conversation, to gain upon his friendship and confidence. Wolsey earnestly seconded this proposal, and hoped, in the presence of both courts, to make parade of his riches, his splendour, and his influence over both monarchs (Polydore Vergil, lib. 27). And as Henry himself loved show and magnificence, and had entertained a curiosity of being personally acquainted with the French king, he cheerfully adjusted all the preliminaries of this interview. The nobility of both nations vied with each other in pomp and expense; many of them involved themselves in great debts, and were not able, by the penury of their whole lives, to repair the vain splendour of a few days. The Duke of Buckingham, who, though very rich, was somewhat addicted to frugality, finding his preparations

for this festival amount to immense sums, threw out some expressions of displeasure against the cardinal, whom he believed the author of that measure;¹ an imprudence which was not forgotten by this proud minister.

While Henry was preparing to depart for Calais, he heard that the emperor was (May 25, A.D. 1520) arrived at Dover, and he immediately hastened thither with the queen, in order to give a suitable reception to his royal guest. That great prince, politic though young, being informed of the intended interview between Francis and Henry, was apprehensive of the consequences, and was resolved to take the opportunity, in his passage from Spain to the Low Countries, to make the king a still higher compliment by paying him a visit in his own dominions. Besides the marks of regard and attachment which he gave to Henry, he strove, by every testimony of friendship, by flattery, protestations, promises, and presents, to gain on the vanity, the avarice, and the ambition of the cardinal. He here instilled into this aspiring prelate the hope of attaining the papacy; and as that was the sole point of elevation beyond his present greatness, it was sure to attract his wishes with the same ardour, as if fortune had never yet favoured him with any of her presents. In confidence of reaching this dignity by the emperor's assistance, he secretly devoted himself to that monarch's interests; and Charles was perhaps the more liberal of his promises because Leo was a very young man, and it was not likely that for many years he should be called upon to fulfil his engagements. Henry easily observed this courtship paid to his minister; but instead of taking umbrage at it, he only made it a subject of vanity; and believed that, as his favour was Wolsey's sole support, the obeisance of such mighty monarchs to his servant was in reality a more conspicuous homage to his own grandeur.

The day (May 30) of Charles's departure, Henry went over to Calais with the queen and his whole court; and thence proceeded to Guisnes, a small town near the frontiers. Francis, attended in like manner, came to Ardres, a few miles distant; and the two monarchs met, for the first time, in the fields, at a place situated between these two towns, but still within the English pale: for Francis agreed to pay this compliment to Henry, in consideration of that prince's passing the sea that he might be present at the interview. Wolsey, to whom both kings had entrusted the regulation of the ceremonial, contrived this circumstance, in order to do honour to his master. The nobility both of France and England here displayed their magnificence with such emulation and profuse expense, as procured to the place of interview the name of 'the field of the cloth of gold.'

The two monarchs, after saluting each other in the most cordial manner, retired into a tent which had been erected on purpose, and they held a secret conference together. Henry here proposed to make some amendments on the articles of their former alliance; and he began to read the treaty, 'I Henry King;' these were the first words, and he stopped a moment. He subjoined only the words 'of England,' without adding 'France,' the usual style of the English monarchs

¹ Polydore Vergil, lib. 27; Herbert; Holingshed, p. 855.

(*Mem. de Fleuranges*); Francis remarked this delicacy, and expressed, by a smile, his approbation of it.

He took an opportunity soon after of paying a compliment to Henry of a more flattering nature. That generous prince, full of honour himself, and incapable of distrusting others, was shocked at all the precautions which were observed, whenever he had an interview with the English monarch; the number of their guards and attendants was carefully reckoned on both sides; every step was scrupulously measured and adjusted; and if the two kings intended to pay a visit to the queens, they departed from their respective quarters at the same instant, which was marked by the firing of a culverin; they passed each other in the middle point between the places; and the moment that Henry entered Ardres, Francis put himself into the hands of the English at Guisnes. In order to break off this tedious ceremonial, which contained so many dishonourable implications, Francis, one day, took with him two gentlemen and a page, and rode directly into Guisnes. The guards were surprised at the presence of the monarch, who called aloud to them, 'You are all my prisoners; carry me to your master.' Henry was equally astonished at the appearance of Francis; and taking him in his arms, 'My brother,' said he, 'you have here played me the most agreeable trick in the world, and have showed me the full confidence I may place in you; I surrender myself your prisoner from this moment.' He took from his neck a collar of pearls, worth 15,000 angels;¹ and putting it about Francis's, begged him to wear it for the sake of his prisoner. Francis agreed, but on condition that Henry should wear a bracelet, of which he made him a present, and which was double in value to the collar (*Mem. de Fleuranges*). The king went next day to Ardres, without guards or attendants; and confidence being now fully established between the monarchs, they employed the rest of the time entirely in tournaments and festivals.

A defiance had been sent by the two kings to each other's court, and through all the chief cities in Europe, importing that Henry and Francis, with fourteen aids, would be ready, in the plains of Picardy, to answer all comers, that were gentlemen, at tilt, tournament, and barriers. The monarchs, in order to fulfil this challenge, advanced into the field on horseback, Francis surrounded with Henry's guards, and Henry with those of Francis. They were gorgeously apparelled; and were both of them the most comely personages of their age, as well as the most expert in every military exercise. They carried away the prize at all trials in those rough and dangerous pastimes; and several horses and riders were overthrown by their vigour and dexterity. The ladies were the judges in these feats of chivalry, and put an end to the rencounter, whenever they judged it expedient. Henry erected a spacious house of wood and canvas, which had been framed in London; and he there feasted the French monarch. He had placed a motto on this fabric, under the figure of an English archer embroidered on it, 'Cui adhæreo præest;' 'He prevails whom I favour' (*Mezeray*); expressing his own situation, as holding in his hands the balance of power among the potentates of Europe. In these entertainments, more than in any serious business, did the two kings pass their time, till their departure.

¹ An angel was then estimated at seven shillings, or near twelve of our present money.

Henry paid (A.D. 1520, June 24) a visit to the emperor and Margaret of Savoy at Gravelines, and engaged them to go along with him to Calais, and pass some days in that fortress. The artful and politic Charles here completed the impression, which he had begun to make on Henry and his favourite, and effaced all the friendship to which the frank and generous nature of Francis had given birth. As the house of Austria began sensibly to take the ascendant over the French monarchy, the interests of England required, that some support should be given to the latter, and above all, that any important wars should be prevented, which might bestow on either of them a decisive superiority over the other. But the jealousy of the English against France has usually prevented a cordial union between these nations; and Charles, sensible of this hereditary animosity, and desirous further to flatter Henry's vanity, had made him an offer (an offer in which Francis was afterwards obliged to concur), that he should be entirely arbiter in any dispute or difference that might arise between the monarchs. But the masterpiece of Charles's politics was the securing of Wolsey in his interests, by very important services, and still higher promises. He renewed assurances of assisting him in obtaining the papacy; and he put him in present possession of the revenues belonging to the sees of Badajoz and Palencia in Castile. The acquisitions of Wolsey were now become so exorbitant, that, joined to the pensions from foreign powers, which Henry allowed him to possess, his revenues were computed nearly equal to those which belonged to the crown itself; and he spent them with a magnificence, or rather an ostentation, which gave general offence to the people; and even lessened his master in the eyes of all foreign nations (Polydore Vergil; Hall).

The violent personal emulation and political jealousy, which had taken place between the emperor and the French king, soon broke out in hostilities. But while these ambitious and warlike princes were acting against each other in almost every part of Europe, they still made professions of the strongest desire of peace; and both of them incessantly carried their complaints to Henry, as to the umpire between them. The king, who pretended to be neutral, engaged them to send their ambassadors to Calais, there to negotiate a peace under the mediation of Wolsey and the Pope's nuncio. The emperor was well apprised of the partiality of these mediators; and his demands in the conference were so unreasonable, as plainly proved him conscious of the advantage. He required the restitution of Burgundy, a province which many years before had been ceded to France by treaty, and which, if in his possession, would have given him entrance into the heart of that kingdom; and he demanded to be freed from the homage which his ancestors had always done for Flanders and Artois, and which he himself had, by the treaty of Noyon, engaged to renew. On Francis's rejecting these terms, the congress of Calais broke up, and Wolsey, soon after, took a journey to Bruges, where he met with the emperor. He was received (A.D. 1521, Nov. 24) with the same state, magnificence, and respect, as if he had been the King of England himself; and he concluded, in his master's name, an offensive alliance with the Pope and the emperor against France. He stipulated, that England should next summer invade that kingdom with forty thousand

men; and he betrothed to Charles the Princess Mary, the king's only child, who had now some prospect of inheriting the crown. This extravagant alliance, which was prejudicial to the interests, and might have proved fatal to the liberty and independence of the kingdom, was the result of the humours and prejudices of the king, and the private views and expectations of the cardinal.

The people saw every day new instances of the uncontrolled authority of this minister. The Duke of Buckingham, constable of England, the first nobleman both for family and fortune in the kingdom, had imprudently given disgust to the cardinal; and it was not long before he found reason to repent of his indiscretion. He seems to have been a man full of levity and rash projects; and being infatuated with judicial astrology, he entertained a commerce with one Hopkins, a Carthusian friar, who encouraged him in the notion of his mounting one day the throne of England. He was descended by a female from the Duke of Gloucester, youngest son of Ed. III.; and though his claim to the crown was thereby very remote, he had been so unguarded as to let fall some expressions, as if he thought himself best entitled, in case the king should die without issue, to possess the royal dignity. He had not even abstained from threats against the king's life, and had provided himself with arms, which he intended to employ, in case a favourable opportunity should offer. He was brought to a trial; and the Duke of Norfolk, whose son, the Earl of Surrey, had married Buckingham's daughter, was created lord steward, in order to preside at this solemn procedure. The jury consisted of a duke, a marquis, seven earls, and twelve barons; and they gave their verdict against Buckingham, which was soon after carried into execution. There is no reason to think the sentence unjust;¹ but as Buckingham's crimes seemed to proceed more from indiscretion than deliberate malice, the people, who loved him, expected that the king would grant him a pardon, and imputed their disappointment to the animosity and revenge of the cardinal. The king's own jealousy, however, of all persons allied to the crown, was, notwithstanding his undoubted title, very remarkable during the whole course of his reign; and was alone sufficient to render him implacable against Buckingham. The office of constable, which this nobleman inherited from the Bohuns, Earls of Hereford, was forfeited, and was never after revived in England.

CHAPTER XXIX.

Digression concerning the ecclesiastical state.—Origin of the Reformation.—Martin Luther.—Henry receives the title of Defender of the Faith.—Causes of the progress of the Reformation.—War with France.—Invasion of France.—War with Scotland.—A parliament.—Invasion of France.—Italian wars.—The King of France invades Italy.—Battle of Pavia and captivity of Francis.—Francis recovers his liberty.—Sack of Rome.—League with France.

DURING some years, many parts of Europe had been agitated with those religious controversies which produced the Reformation, one of

¹ Herbert; Hall; Stow, 513; Holingshed, p. 862.

the greatest events in history; but as it was not till this time (A.D. 1521) that the King of England publicly took part in the quarrel, we had no occasion to give any account of its rise and progress. It will now be necessary to explain these theological disputes; or, what is more material, to trace from their origin those abuses which so generally diffused the opinion, that a reformation of the church, or ecclesiastical order, was become highly expedient, if not absolutely necessary. We shall be better enabled to comprehend the subject, if we take the matter a little higher, and reflect a moment on the reasons why there must be an ecclesiastical order and a public establishment of religion in every civilized community. The importance of the present occasion will, I hope, excuse this short digression.

Most of the arts and professions in a state are of such a nature, that, while they promote the interests of the society, they are also useful or agreeable to some individuals; and in that case, the constant rule of the magistrate, except, perhaps, on the first introduction of any art, is to leave the profession to itself, and trust its encouragement to those who reap the benefit of it. The artisans, finding their profits to rise by the favour of their customers, increase, as much as possible, their skill and industry; and as matters are not disturbed by any injudicious tampering, the commodity is always sure to be at all times nearly proportioned to the demand.

But there are also some callings, which, though useful and even necessary in a state, bring no particular advantage or pleasure to any individual; and the supreme power is obliged to alter its conduct with regard to the retainers of those professions. It must give them public encouragement in order to their subsistence; and it must provide against that negligence to which they will naturally be subject, either by annexing peculiar honours to the profession, by establishing a long subordination of ranks and a strict dependence, or by some other expedient. The persons employed in the finances, armies, fleets, and magistracy, are instances of this order of men.

It may naturally be thought, at first sight, that the ecclesiastics belong to the first class, and that their encouragement, as well as that of lawyers and physicians, may safely be entrusted to the liberality of individuals, who are attached to their doctrines, and who find benefit or consolation from their spiritual ministry and assistance. Their industry and vigilance will, no doubt, be whetted by such an additional motive; and their skill in the profession, as well as their address in governing the minds of the people, must receive daily increase from their increasing practice, study, and attention.

But if we consider the matter more closely, we shall find that this interested diligence of the clergy is what every wise legislator will study to prevent; because in every religion except the true, it is highly pernicious, and it has even a natural tendency to pervert the true, by infusing into it a strong mixture of superstition, folly, and delusion. Each ghostly practitioner, in order to render himself more precious and sacred in the eyes of his retainers, will inspire them with the most violent abhorrence of all other sects, and continually endeavour, by some novelty, to excite the languid devotion of his audience. No regard will be paid to truth, morals, or decency, in the doctrines incul-

cated. Every tenet will be adopted that best suits the disorderly affections of the human frame. Customers will be drawn to each conventicle by new industry and address, in practising on the passions and credulity of the populace. And in the end, the civil magistrate will find that he has dearly paid for his intended frugality, in saving a fixed establishment for the priests; and that in reality the most decent and advantageous composition which he can make with the spiritual guides, is to bribe their indolence, by assigning stated salaries to their profession, and rendering it superfluous for them to be farther active, than merely to prevent their flock from straying in quest of new pastures. And in this manner ecclesiastical establishments, though commonly they arose at first from religious views, prove in the end advantageous to the political interests of society.

But we may observe, that few ecclesiastical establishments have been fixed upon a worse foundation than that of the Church of Rome, or have been attended with circumstances more hurtful to the peace and happiness of mankind.

The large revenues, privileges, immunities, and powers of the clergy rendered them formidable to the civil magistrate, and armed with too extensive authority an order of men, who always adhere closely together, and who never want a plausible pretence for their encroachments and usurpations. The higher dignities of the church served, indeed, to the support of gentry and nobility; but by the establishment of monasteries many of the lowest vulgar were taken from the useful arts, and maintained in those receptacles of sloth and ignorance. The supreme head of the church was a foreign potentate, guided by interests always different from those of the community, sometimes contrary to them. And as the hierarchy was necessarily solicitous to preserve an unity of faith, of rites, and of ceremonies, all liberty of thought ran a manifest risk of being extinguished; and violent persecutions, or what was worse, a stupid and abject credulity, took place everywhere.

To increase these evils, the church, though she possessed large revenues, was not contented with her acquisitions, but retained a power of practising farther on the ignorance of mankind. She even bestowed on each individual priest a power of enriching himself by the voluntary oblations of the faithful, and left him still an urgent motive for diligence and industry in his calling. And thus that church, though an expensive and burthensome establishment, was liable to many of the inconveniences, which belong to an order of priests, trusted entirely to their own art and invention for attaining a subsistence.

The advantages attending the Romish hierarchy were but a small compensation for its inconveniences. The ecclesiastical privileges during barbarous times had served as a check on the despotism of kings. The union of all the western churches under the supreme pontiff facilitated the intercourse of nations, and tended to bind all the parts of Europe into a close connection with each other. And the pomp and splendour of worship which belonged to so opulent an establishment, contributed, in some respect, to the encouragement of the fine arts, and began to diffuse a general elegance of taste, by uniting it with religion.

It will easily be conceived, that, though the balance of evil prevailed in the Romish church, this was not the chief reason which produced the Reformation. A concurrence of incidents must have contributed to forward that great revolution.

Leo X., by his generous and enterprising temper, had much exhausted his treasury, and was obliged to employ every invention which might yield money, in order to support his projects, pleasures, and liberalities. The scheme for selling indulgences was suggested to him as an expedient, which had often served in former times to draw money from the Christian world, and make devout people willing contributors to the grandeur and riches of the court of Rome. The church, it was supposed, was possessed of a great stock of merit, as being entitled to all the good works of all the saints, beyond what were employed in their own justification; and even to the merits of Christ Himself, which were infinite and unbounded: and from this unexhausted treasury, the Pope might retail particular portions, and by that traffic acquire money, to be employed in pious purposes, in resisting the infidels, or subduing schismatics. When the money came into his exchequer, the greater part of it was usually diverted to other purposes (Father Paul, and Sleidan).

It is commonly believed that Leo, from the penetration of his genius, and his familiarity with ancient literature, was fully acquainted with the ridicule and falsity of the doctrines which, as supreme pontiff, he was obliged by his interest to promote: it is the less wonder, therefore, that he employed for his profit those pious frauds which his predecessors, the most ignorant and credulous, had always, under plausible pretences, made use of for their selfish purposes. He published the sale of a general indulgence (in 1517); and as his expenses had not only exhausted his usual revenue, but even anticipated the money expected from this extraordinary expedient, the several branches of it were openly given away to particular persons, who were entitled to levy the imposition. The produce, particularly of Saxony and the countries bordering on the Baltic, was assigned to his sister Magdalene, married to Cibo, natural son of Innocent VIII.; and she, in order to enhance her profit, had farmed out the revenue to one Arcemboldi, a Genoese, once a merchant, now a bishop, who still retained all the lucrative arts of his former profession (Father Paul, Sleidan). The Austin friars had usually been employed in Saxony to preach the indulgences, and from this trust had derived both profit and consideration: but Arcemboldi, fearing lest practice might have taught them means to secrete the money (Father Paul, lib. 1), and expecting no extraordinary success from the ordinary methods of collection, gave this occupation to the Dominicans. These monks, in order to prove themselves worthy of the distinction conferred on them, exaggerated the benefits of indulgences by the most unbounded panegyrics; and advanced doctrines on that head, which, though not more ridiculous than those already received, were not as yet entirely familiar to the ears of the people.¹ To add to the scandal, the collectors of this

¹ Protestant writers have imagined, that, because a man could purchase for a shilling an indulgence for the most monstrous and unheard-of crimes, there must necessarily have ensued a total dissolution of morality, and consequently of civil society, from the practices of the

revenue are said to have lived very licentious lives, and to have spent in taverns, gaming-houses, and places still more infamous, the money which devout persons had saved from their usual expenses in order to purchase a remission of their sins (Father Paul, lib. 1).

All these circumstances might have given offence, but would have been attended with no event of any importance, had there not arisen a man qualified to take advantage of the incident. Martin Luther, an Austin friar, professor in the university of Wittemberg, resenting the affront put upon his order, began to preach against these abuses in the sale of indulgences, and being naturally of a fiery temper, and provoked by opposition, he proceeded even to decry indulgences themselves, and was thence carried by the heat of dispute to question the authority of the pope, from which his adversaries derived their chief arguments against him (Father Paul; Sleidan). Still as he enlarged his reading in order to support these tenets, he discovered some new abuse or error in the Church of Rome, and finding his opinions greedily hearkened to, he promulgated them by writing, discoursè, sermon, conference, and daily increased the number of his disciples. All Saxony, all Germany, all Europe, were in a very little time filled with the voice of this daring innovator, and men roused from that lethargy in which they had so long slept, began to call in question the most ancient and most received opinions. The Elector of Saxony, favourable to Luther's doctrine, protected him from the violence of the papal jurisdiction; the republic of Zurich even reformed their church according to the new model; many sovereigns of the empire, and the imperial diet itself, showed a favourable disposition towards it; and Luther, a man naturally inflexible, vehement, opinionative, was become incapable, either from promises of advancement or terrors of severity, to relinquish a sect of which he was himself the founder, and which brought him a glory superior to all others, the glory of dictating the religious faith and principles of multitudes.

The rumour of these innovations soon reached England, and as there still subsisted in that kingdom great remains of the Lollards, whose principles resembled those of Luther, the new doctrines secretly gained many partisans among the laity of all ranks and denominations. But Henry had been educated in a strict attachment to the Church of Rome, and he bore a particular prejudice against Luther, who in his writings spoke with contempt of Thomas Aquinas, the king's favourite author; he opposed himself, therefore, to the progress of the Lutheran tenets by all the influence which his extensive and almost absolute authority conferred upon him; he even undertook to combat them

Romish church. They do not consider, that after all these indulgences were promulgated, there still remained (besides hell-fire) the punishment by the civil magistrate, the infamy of the world, and secret remorse of consciences, which are the great motives that operate on mankind. The philosophy of Cicero, who allowed of an Elysium, but rejected all Tartarus, was a much more universal indulgence than that practised by Asemboldi or Tetzal. Yet nobody will suspect Cicero of any design to promote immorality. The sale of indulgences seems, therefore, no more criminal than any other cheat of the church of Rome, or of any other church. The reformers, by entirely abolishing purgatory, did really, instead of partial indulgences sold by the Pope, give gratis, a general indulgence of a similar nature, for all crimes and offences, without exception or distinction. The souls, once consigned to hell, were never supposed to be redeemable by any price. There is on record only one instance of a damned soul that was saved, and that by the special intercession of the Virgin. Pascal's Provincial Letters. An indulgence saved the person who purchased it from purgatory only.

with weapons not usually employed by monarchs, especially those in the flower of their age, and force of their passions. He wrote a book in Latin against the principles of Luther, a performance which, if allowance be made for the subject and the age, does no discredit to his capacity. He sent a copy of it to Leo, who received so magnificent a present with great testimony of regard, and conferred on him the title of 'Defender of the Faith,' an appellation still retained by the kings of England. Luther, who was in the heat of controversy, soon published an answer to Henry, and without regard to the dignity of his antagonist, treated him with all the acrimony of style to which in the course of his polemics he had so long been accustomed. The king by this ill usage was still more prejudiced against the new doctrines; but the public, who naturally favour the weaker party, were inclined to attribute to Luther the victory in the dispute (Father Paul, lib. i.). And as the controversy became more illustrious by the King of England entering the lists, it drew still more the attention of mankind, and the Lutheran doctrine daily acquired new converts in every part of Europe.

The quick and surprising progress of this bold sect may justly in part be ascribed to the late invention of printing and revival of learning; not that reason bore any considerable share in opening men's eyes with regard to the impostures of the Romish Church; for of all branches of literature, philosophy had as yet, and till long afterwards, made the most inconsiderable progress; neither is there any instance that argument has ever been able to free the people from that enormous load of absurdity with which superstition has everywhere overwhelmed them; not to mention that the rapid advance of the Lutheran doctrine, and the violence with which it was embraced, prove sufficiently that it owed not its success to reason and reflection. The art of printing, and the revival of learning, forwarded its progress in another manner. By means of that art the books of Luther and his sectaries, full of vehemence, declamation, and a rude eloquence, were propagated more quickly and in greater numbers. The minds of men somewhat awakened from a profound sleep of so many centuries, were prepared for every novelty, and scrupled less to tread in any unusual path which was opened to them. And as copies of the Scriptures and other ancient monuments of the Christian faith became more common, men perceived the innovations which were introduced after the first centuries, and though argument and reasoning could not give conviction, an historical fact well supported was able to make impression on their understandings. Many of the powers, indeed, assumed by the Church of Rome were very ancient, and were prior to almost every political government established in Europe; but as the ecclesiastics would not agree to possess their privileges as matters of civil right which time might render valid, but appealed still to a Divine origin, men were tempted to look into their primitive charter, and they could without much difficulty, perceive its defects in truth and authenticity.

In order to bestow on this topic the greater influence, Luther and his followers, not satisfied with opposing the pretended divinity of the Romish Church, and displaying the temporal inconveniences of that

establishment, carried matters much farther, and treated the religion of their ancestors as abominable, detestable, damnable, foretold by sacred writ itself as the source of all wickedness and pollution. They denominated the Pope anti-christ, called his communion the scarlet whore, and gave to Rome the appellation of Babylon, expressions which however applied were to be found in Scripture, and which were better calculated to operate on the multitude than the most solid arguments. Excited by contest and persecution on the one hand, by success and applause on the other, many of the reformers carried to the greatest extremities their opposition to the Church of Rome, and in contradiction to the multiplied superstitions with which that communion was loaded, they adopted an enthusiastic strain of devotion which admitted of no observances, rites, or ceremonies, but placed all merit in a mysterious species of faith in inward vision, rapture, and ecstasy. The new sectaries, seized with this spirit, were indefatigable in the propagation of their doctrine, and set at defiance all the anathemas and punishments with which the Roman pontiff endeavoured to overwhelm them.

That the civil power, however, might afford them protection against the ecclesiastical jurisdiction, the Lutherans advanced doctrines favourable, in some respect, to the temporal authority of sovereigns. They inveighed against the abuses of the court of Rome, with which men were at that time generally discontented, and they exhorted princes to reinstate themselves in those powers, of which the encroaching spirit of the ecclesiastics, especially of the sovereign pontiff, had so long bereaved them. They condemned celibacy and monastic vows, and thereby opened the doors of the convents to those who were either tired of the obedience and chastity, or disgusted with the licence in which they had hitherto lived. They blamed the excessive riches, the idleness, the libertinism of the clergy, and pointed out their treasures and revenues as lawful spoil to the first invader. And as the ecclesiastics had hitherto conducted a willing and a stupid audience, and were totally unacquainted with controversy, much more with every species of true literature, they were unable to defend themselves against men armed with authorities, quotations, and popular topics, and qualified to triumph in every altercation or debate. Such were the advantages with which the reformers began their attack on the Romish hierarchy, and such the causes of their rapid and astonishing success.

Leo X., whose oversights, and too supine trust in the profound ignorance of the people, had given rise to this sect, but whose sound judgment, moderation, and temper were well qualified to retard its progress, died (Dec. 1, A.D. 1521) in the flower of his age, a little after he received the king's book against Luther, and he was succeeded in the papal chair by Adrian, a Fleming, who had been tutor to the Emperor Charles. This man was fitted to gain on the reformers by the integrity, candour, and simplicity of manners which distinguished his character; but so violent were their prejudices against the church, he hardly hurt the cause by his imprudent exercise of those virtues. He frankly confessed that many abominable and detestable practices prevailed in the court of Rome, and by this sincere avowal he gave occasion of much triumph to the Lutherans. This pontiff also, whose

penetration was not equal to his good intentions, was seduced to concur in that league which Charles and Henry had formed against France (Guicciardini, lib. 14), and he thereby augmented the scandal occasioned by the practice of so many preceding popes, who still made their spiritual arms subservient to political purposes.

The emperor, who knew that Wolsey had received a disappointment in his ambitious hopes by the election of Adrian, and who dreaded the resentment of that haughty minister, was solicitous to repair the breach made in their friendship by this incident. He paid (May 26, A.D. 1522) another visit to England, and besides flattering the vanity of the king and cardinal, he renewed to Wolsey all the promises which he had made him, of seconding his pretensions to the papal throne. Wolsey, sensible that Adrian's great age and infirmities promised a speedy vacancy, dissembled his resentment, and was willing to hope for a more prosperous issue to the next election. The emperor renewed the treaty made at Bruges, to which some articles were added, and he agreed to indemnify both the king and Wolsey for the revenue which they should lose by a breach with France. The more to ingratiate himself with Henry and the English nation, he gave to Surrey, admiral of England, a commission for being admiral of his dominions, and he himself was installed knight of the garter at London. After a stay of six weeks in England, he embarked at Southampton, and in ten days arrived in Spain, where he soon pacified the tumults which had arisen in his absence (Petrus de Angleria, ep. 765).

The king declared war against France, and this measure was founded on so little reason, that he could allege nothing as a ground of quarrel, but Francis's refusal to submit to his arbitration, and his sending Albany into Scotland. This last step had not been taken by the French king, till he was quite assured of Henry's resolution to attack him. Surrey landed some troops at Cherbourg in Normandy, and after laying waste the country, he sailed to Morlaix, a rich town in Brittany, which he took and plundered. The English merchants had great property in that place, which was no more spared by the soldiers than the goods of the French. Surrey then left the charge of the fleet to the vice-admiral, and sailed to Calais, where he took the command of the English army destined for the invasion of France. This army, when joined by forces from the Low Countries, under the command of the Count de Buren, amounted in the whole to 18,000 men.

The French had made it a maxim in almost all their wars with the English since the reign of Charles V., never, without great necessity, to hazard a general engagement; and the Duke of Vendome, who commanded the French army, now embraced this wise policy. He supplied the towns most exposed, especially Boulogne, Montreuil, Terouëne, Hedin, with strong garrisons and plenty of provisions; he himself took post at Abbeville, with some Swiss and French infantry, and a body of cavalry; the Count of Guise encamped under Montreuil with six thousand men. These two bodies were in a situation to join upon occasion; to throw supply into any town that was threatened, and to harass the English in every movement. Surrey, who was not provided with magazines, first divided his troops for the convenience of subsisting them; but finding that his quarters were every moment

beaten up by the activity of the French generals, he drew together his forces, and laid siege to Hedin. But neither did he succeed in this enterprise. The garrison made vigorous sallies upon his army; the French forces assaulted him from without; great rains fell; fatigue and bad weather threw the soldiers into dysenteries; and Surrey was obliged to raise the siege, and put his troops into winter quarters about the end of October. His rear guard was attacked at Pas in Artois, and five or six hundred men were cut off; nor could all his efforts make him master of one place within the French frontier.

The allies were more successful in Italy. Lautrec, who commanded the French, lost a great battle at Bicocca near Milan, and was obliged to retire with the remains of his army. This misfortune, which proceeded from Francis's negligence in not supplying Lautrec with money (Guicciardini, lib. 14), was followed by the loss of Genoa. The castle of Cremona was the sole fortress in Italy which remained in the hands of the French.

Europe was now in such a situation, and so connected by different alliances and interests, that it was almost impossible for war to be kindled in one part, and not diffuse itself throughout the whole; but of all the leagues among kingdoms, the closest was that which had so long subsisted between France and Scotland; and the English, while at war with the former nation, could not hope to remain long unmolested on the northern frontier. No sooner had Albany arrived in Scotland, than he took measures for kindling a war with England; and he summoned the whole force of the kingdom to meet in the fields of Rosline (Buchanan, lib. 14; Drummond, Pittscottie). He thence conducted the army southwards into Annandale; and prepared to pass the borders at Solway Frith. But many of the nobility were disgusted with the regent's administration; and observing that his connections with Scotland were feeble in comparison of those which he maintained with France, they murmured that, for the sake of foreign interests, their peace should so often be disturbed, and war, during their king's minority, be wantonly entered into with a neighbouring nation, so much superior in force and riches. The Gordons in particular refused to advance any farther; and Albany, observing a general discontent to prevail, was obliged to conclude a truce with Lord Dacres, warden of the English west marches. Soon after he departed for France; and, lest the faction should gather force in his absence, he sent thither before him the Earl of Angus, husband to the queen dowager.

Next year (A.D. 1523) Henry, that he might take advantage of the regent's absence, marched an army into Scotland under the command of Surrey, who ravaged the Merse and Teviotdale without opposition, and burned the town of Jedburgh. The Scots had neither king nor regent to conduct them; the two Humes had been put to death; Angus was in a manner banished; no nobleman of vigour or authority remained who was qualified to assume the government; and the English monarch, who knew the distressed situation of the country, determined to push them to extremity, in hopes of engaging them, by the sense of their present weakness, to make a solemn renunciation of the French alliance, and to embrace that of England (Buchanan, lib. 14; Herbert, ed. 1870). He even gave them hopes of contracting a mar-

riage between the Lady Mary, heiress of England, and their young monarch: an expedient which would for ever unite the two kingdoms (Le Grand, vol. iii., p. 39). And the queen dowager, with her whole party, recommended everywhere the advantages of this alliance, and of a confederacy with Henry. They said, that the interests of Scotland had too long been sacrificed to those of the French nation, who, whenever they found themselves reduced to difficulties, called for the assistance of their allies; but were ready to abandon them as soon as they found their advantage in making peace with England; that where a small state entered into so close a confederacy with a greater, it must always expect this treatment, as a consequence of the unequal alliance; but there were peculiar circumstances in the situation of the kingdoms which, in the present case, rendered it inevitable; that France was so distant and so divided from them by sea, that she scarcely could, by any means, and never could in time, send succours to the Scots sufficient to protect them against ravages from the neighbouring kingdom; that nature had, in a manner, formed an alliance between the two British nations, having enclosed them in the same island, given them the same manners, language, laws, and form of government, and prepared everything for an intimate union between them; and that, if national antipathies were abolished, which would soon be the effect of peace, these two kingdoms, secured by the ocean and by their domestic force, could set at defiance all foreign enemies, and remain for ever safe and unmolested.

The partisans of the French alliance, on the other hand, said, that the very reasons which were urged in favour of a league with England, the vicinity of the kingdom and its superior force, were the real causes why a sincere and durable confederacy could never be formed with that hostile nation; that among neighbouring states occasions of quarrel were frequent; and the more powerful would be sure to seize every frivolous pretence for oppressing the weaker, and reducing it to subjection; that as the near neighbourhood of France and England had kindled a war almost perpetual between them, it was the interest of the Scots, if they wished to maintain their independence, to preserve their league with the former kingdom, which balanced the force of the latter; that if they deserted that old and salutary alliance, on which their importance in Europe chiefly depended, their ancient enemies, stimulated both by interest and by passion, would soon invade them with superior force, and bereave them of all their liberties; or if they delayed the attack, the insidious peace, by making the Scots forget the use of arms, would only prepare the way for a slavery more certain and more ir retrievable (Buchanan, lib. 14).

The arguments employed by the French party, being seconded by the natural prejudices of the people, seemed most prevalent; and when the regent himself, who had been long detained beyond his appointed time by the danger from the English fleet, at last appeared among them, he was able to throw the balance entirely on that side. By authority of the convention of states he assembled an army, with a view of avenging the ravages committed by the English in the beginning of the campaign; and he led them southwards towards the borders. But when they were passing the Tweed at the bridge of Melrose,

the English army raised again such opposition, that Albany thought proper to make a retreat. He marched downwards along the banks of the Tweed, keeping that river on his right, and fixed his camp opposite to Werk Castle, which Surrey had lately repaired. He sent over some troops to besiege this fortress, who made a breach in it, and stormed some of the outworks ; but the regent, hearing of the approach of an English army, and discouraged by the advanced season, thought proper to disband his forces, and retire to Edinburgh. Soon after he went over to France, and never again returned to Scotland. The Scottish nation, agitated by their domestic factions, were not, during several years, in a condition to give any more disturbance to England ; and Henry had leisure to prosecute his designs on the continent.

The reason why the war against France proceeded so slowly on the part of England was the want of money. All the treasures of Henry VII. were long ago dissipated ; the king's habits of expense still remained ; and his revenues were unequal even to the ordinary charge of government, much more to his military enterprises. He had last year caused a general survey to be made of the kingdom ; the number of men, their years, profession, stock, revenue (Herbert ; Stow, p. 514) ; and expressed great satisfaction on finding the nation so opulent. He then issued privy seals to the most wealthy, demanding loans of particular sums : this act of power, though somewhat irregular and tyrannical, had been formerly practised by kings of England ; and the people were now familiarised to it. But Henry this year carried his authority much farther. He published an edict for a general tax upon his subjects, which he still called a loan ; and he levied five shillings in the pound upon the clergy, two shillings upon the laity. This pretended loan, as being more regular, was really more dangerous to the liberties of the people ; and was a precedent for the king's imposing taxes without consent of parliament.

Henry soon after summoned (April 15) a parliament, together with a convocation ; and found neither of them in a disposition to complain of the infringement of their privileges. It was only doubted how far they would carry their liberality to the king. Wolsey, who had undertaken the management of the affair, began with the convocation, in hopes that their example would influence the parliament to grant a large supply. He demanded a moiety of the ecclesiastical revenues to be levied in five years, or two shillings in the pound during that time ; and though he met with opposition, he reprimanded the refractory members in such severe terms, that his request was at last complied with. The cardinal afterwards, attended by several of the nobility and prelates, came to the house of commons ; and, in a long and elaborate speech, laid before them the public necessities, the danger of an invasion from Scotland, the affronts received from France, the league in which the king was engaged with the Pope and the emperor ; and he demanded a grant of 800,000 pounds, divided into four yearly payments ; a sum computed, from the late survey or valuation, to be equal to four shillings in the pound of one year's revenue, or one shilling in the pound yearly, according to the division proposed.¹ So

¹ This survey or valuation is liable to much suspicion, as fixing the rents a great deal too high ; unless the sum comprehend the revenues of all kinds, industry as well as land and money.

large a grant was unusual from the commons; and though the cardinal's demand was seconded by Sir Thomas More, the speaker, and several other members attached to the court, the house could not be prevailed with to comply.¹ They only voted two shillings in the pound on all who enjoyed twenty pounds a year and upwards; one shilling on all who possessed between twenty pounds and forty shillings a year; and on the other subjects above sixteen years of age, a groat a-head. This last sum was divided into two yearly payments; the former into four; and was not, therefore, at the utmost, above six-pence in the pound. The grant of the commons was but the moiety of the sum demanded; and the cardinal, therefore, much mortified with the disappointment, came again to the house and desired to reason with such as refused to comply with the king's request. He was told, that it was a rule of the house never to reason but among themselves; and his desire was rejected. The commons, however, enlarged a little their former grant, and voted an imposition of three shillings in the pound on all possessed of fifty pounds a year and upwards.² The proceedings of this house of commons evidently discover the humour of the times; they were extremely tenacious of their money, and refused a demand of the crown, which was far from being unreasonable; but they allowed an encroachment on national privileges to pass uncensured, though its direct tendency was to subvert entirely the liberties of the people. The king was so dissatisfied with this saving disposition of the commons, that, as he had not called a parliament during seven years before, he allowed seven more to elapse before he summoned another; and, on pretence of necessity, he levied, in one year, from all who were worth forty pounds, what the parliament had granted him payable in four years (Speed; Hall; Herbert), a new invasion of national privileges. These irregularities were commonly ascribed to the cardinal's counsels, who, trusting to the protection afforded him by his ecclesiastical character, was the less scrupulous in his encroachments on the civil rights of the nation.

That ambitious prelate received this year a new disappointment in his aspiring views. The Pope, Adrian VI., died; and Clement VII. of the family of Medicis, was elected in his place, by the concurrence of the imperial party. Wolsey could now perceive the insincerity of the emperor, and he concluded that that prince would never second his pretensions to the papal chair. As he highly resented this injury, he began thenceforth to estrange himself from the imperial court, and to pave the way for an union between his master and the French king.

¹ Herbert; Stow, 518; Parl. Hist.; Strype, vol. i., pp. 49, 50.

² It is said, that when Henry heard that the commons made a great difficulty of granting the required reply, he was so provoked, that he sent for Edward Montague, one of the members, who had a considerable influence on the house; and he being introduced to his majesty, had the mortification to hear him speak in these words: 'Ho, man! wilt they not suffer my bill to pass?' And laying his hand on Montague's head, who was then on his knees before him, 'Get my bill passed by to-morrow, or else to-morrow this head of yours shall be off.' This cavalier manner of Henry succeeded, for next day the bill passed. Collis's Brit. Peerage; Grove's Life of Wolsey. We are told by Hall, fol. 38, that Cardinal Wolsey endeavoured to terrify the citizens of London into the general loan, enacted in 1525, and told them plainly, that it were better that some should suffer indigence, than that the king at this time should lack; and therefore beware and resist not, nor ruffle not in this case, for it may fortune to cost some people their heads. Such was the style employed by this king and his ministers.

Meanwhile, he concealed his disgust; and, after congratulating the new Pope on his promotion, applied for a continuation of the legantine powers which the two former Popes had conferred upon him. Clement, knowing the importance of gaining his friendship, granted him a commission for life; and, by this unusual concession, he in a manner transferred to him the whole papal authority in England. In some particulars Wolsey made a good use of this extensive power. He erected two colleges, one at Oxford, another at Ipswich, the place of his nativity; he sought, all over Europe, for learned men to supply the chairs of these colleges; and, in order to bestow endowments on them, he suppressed some smaller monasteries, and distributed the monks into other convents. The execution of this project became the less difficult for him, because the Romish Church began to perceive that she overabounded in monks, and that she wanted some supply of learning, in order to oppose the inquisitive, or rather disputative humour of the Lutheran reformers.

The confederacy against France seemed more formidable than ever on the opening of the campaign (Guicciardini, lib. 14). Adrian, before his death, had renewed the league with Charles and Henry. The Venetians had been induced to desert the French alliance, and to form engagements for securing Francis Sforza, brother to Maximilian, in possession of the Milanese. The Florentines, the Dukes of Ferrara and Mantua, and all the powers of Italy combined in the same measure. The emperor, in person, menaced France with a powerful invasion on the side of Guienne; the forces of England and the Netherlands hovered over Picardy; a numerous body of Germans were preparing to ravage Burgundy; but all these perils from foreign enemies were less threatening than a domestic conspiracy which had been formed, and now came to full maturity, against the French monarch.

Charles, Duke of Bourbon, Constable of France, was a prince of the most shining merit; and, besides distinguishing himself in many military enterprises, he was adorned with every accomplishment which became a person of his high station. His virtues, embellished with the graces of youth, had made such impression on Louise of Savoy, Francis's mother, that, without regard to the inequality of their years, she made him proposals of marriage; and, meeting with a repulse, she formed schemes of unrelenting vengeance against him. She was a woman false, deceitful, vindictive, malicious; but, unhappily for France, had, by her capacity, which was considerable, acquired an absolute ascendant over her son. By her instigation Francis put many affronts on the constable, which it was difficult for a gallant spirit to endure; and, at last, he permitted Louise to prosecute a lawsuit against him, by which, on frivolous pretences, he was deprived of his ample possessions; and inevitable ruin was brought upon him.

Bourbon, provoked at all these indignities, and thinking that, if any injuries could justify a man in rebelling against his prince and country, he must stand acquitted, had entered into a secret correspondence with the emperor and the King of England (Mem. du Bellay, liv. 2). Francis, pertinacious in his purpose of recovering the Milanese, had intended to lead his army in person into Italy; and Bourbon, who feigned sickness, in order to have a pretence for staying behind, pur

posed, as soon as the king should have passed the Alps, to raise an insurrection among his numerous vassals, by whom he was extremely beloved, and to introduce foreign enemies into the heart of the kingdom. Francis got intimation of his design ; but, as he was not expeditious enough in securing so dangerous a foe, the constable made his escape (Belcarius, lib. 17) ; and, entering into the emperor's service, employed all the force of his enterprising spirit, and his great talents for war, to the prejudice of his native country.

The King of England, desirous that Francis should undertake his Italian expedition, did not openly threaten Picardy this year with an invasion ; and it was late (A.D. 1523, Aug. 24) before the Duke of Suffolk, who commanded the English forces, passed over to Calais. He was attended by the Lords Montacute, Herbert, Ferrars, Morney, Sandys, Berkeley, Powis, and many other noblemen and gentlemen (Herbert, ed. 1870). The English army, reinforced by some troops, drawn from the garrison of Calais, amounted to about 12,000 men ; and having joined an equal number of Flemings under the Count de Buren, they prepared for an invasion of France. The siege of Boulogne was first proposed ; but that enterprise appearing difficult, it was thought more advisable to leave this town behind them. The frontier of Picardy was very ill provided with troops ; the only defence of that province was the activity of the French officers, who infested the allied army in their march, and threw garrisons, with great expedition, into every town which was threatened by them. After coasting the Somme, and passing Hedin, Montreuil, Dourlens, the English and Flemings presented themselves before Bray, a place of small force, which commanded a bridge over that river. Here they were resolved to pass, and, if possible, to take up winter quarters in France ; but Crequi threw himself into the town, and seemed resolute to defend it. The allies attacked him with vigour and success ; and when he retreated over the bridge, they pursued him so hotly, that they allowed him not time to break it down, but passed it along with him, and totally routed his army. They next advanced to Montdidier, which they besieged, and took by capitulation. Meeting with no opposition, they proceeded to the river Oise, within eleven leagues of Paris, and threw that city into great consternation ; till the Duke of Vendôme hastened with some forces to its relief. The confederates, afraid of being surrounded, and of being reduced to extremities during so advanced a season, thought proper to retreat. Montdidier was abandoned ; and the English and Flemish armies, without effecting anything, retired into their respective countries.

France defended herself from the other invasions with equal facility and equal good fortune. Twelve thousand lansquenets broke into Burgundy under the command of the Count of Furstenberg. The Count of Guise, who defended that frontier, had nothing to oppose to them but some militia, and about nine hundred heavy-armed cavalry. He threw the militia into the garrison towns ; and with his cavalry he kept the field, and so harassed the Germans, that they were glad to make their retreat into Lorraine. The Count of Guise attacked them as they passed the Meuse, put them into disorder, and cut off the greater part of their rear.

The emperor made great preparations on the side of Navarre; and though that frontier was well guarded by nature, it seemed now exposed to danger from the powerful invasion which threatened it. Charles besieged Fontarabia, which a few years before had fallen into Francis's hands; and when he had drawn thither Lautrec, the French general, he, of a sudden, raised the siege, and sat down before Bayonne. Lautrec, aware of that stratagem, made a sudden march, and threw himself into Bayonne, which he defended with such vigour and courage, that the Spaniards were constrained to raise the siege. The emperor would have been totally unfortunate on this side, had he not turned back upon Fontarabia, and, contrary to the advice of all his generals, sitten down in the winter season, before that city, well fortified and strongly garrisoned. The cowardice or misconduct of the governor saved him from the shame of a new disappointment. The place was surrendered in a few days; and the emperor, having finished this enterprise, put his troops into winter quarters.

So obstinate was Francis in prosecuting his Italian expedition, that, notwithstanding these numerous invasions with which his kingdom was menaced on every side, he had determined to lead, in person, a powerful army to the conquest of Milan. The intelligence of Bourbon's conspiracy and escape stopped him at Lyons; and, fearing some insurrection in the kingdom, from the intrigues of a man so powerful and so much beloved, he thought it prudent to remain in France, and to send forward his army under the command of Admiral Bonnivet. The duchy of Milan had been purposely left in a condition somewhat defenceless, with a view of alluring Francis to attack it, and thereby facilitating the enterprises of Bourbon; and no sooner had Bonnivet passed the Tesin, than the army of the league, and even Prosper Colonna, who commanded it, a prudent general, were in the utmost confusion. It is agreed, that if Bonnivet had immediately advanced to Milan, that great city, on which the whole duchy depends, would have opened its gates without resistance; but as he wasted his time in frivolous enterprises, Colonna had opportunity to reinforce the garrison, and to put the place in a posture of defence. Bonnivet was now obliged to attempt reducing the city by blockade and famine; and he took possession of all the posts which commanded the passages to it. But the army of the league, meanwhile, was not inactive; and they so straitened and harassed the quarters of the French, that it seemed more likely the latter should themselves perish by famine, than reduce the city to that extremity. Sickness and fatigue and want had wasted them to such a degree, that they were ready (A.D. 1524) to raise the blockade; and their only hopes consisted in a great body of Swiss, which was levied for the service of the French king, and whose arrival was every day expected. But these mountaineers no sooner came within sight of the French camp, than they stopped from a sudden caprice and resentment; and, instead of joining Bonnivet, they sent orders to a great body of their countrymen, who then served under him, immediately to begin their march, and to return home in their company (Guicciardini, lib. 15; Mem. du Bellay, liv. 2). After this desertion of the Swiss, Bonnivet had no other choice but that of making his retreat as fast as possible, into France.

The French being thus expelled Italy, the Pope, the Venetians, the Florentines were satisfied with the advantage obtained over them, and were resolved to prosecute their victory no further. All these powers, especially Clement, had entertained a violent jealousy of the emperor's ambition; and their suspicions were extremely augmented, when they saw him refuse the investiture of Milan, a fief of the empire, to Francis Sforza, whose title he had acknowledged, and whose defence he had embraced (Guicciardini, lib. 15). They all concluded that he intended to put himself in possession of that important duchy, and reduce Italy to subjection; Clement, in particular, actuated by this jealousy, proceeded so far in opposition to the emperor, that he sent orders to his nuncio at London, to mediate a réconciliation between France and England. But affairs were not yet fully ripe for this change. Wolsey, disgusted with the emperor, but still more actuated by vain-glory, was determined that he himself should have the renown of bringing about that great alteration; and he engaged the king to reject the Pope's mediation. A new treaty was even concluded between Henry and Charles for the invasion of France. Charles stipulated to supply the Duke of Bourbon with a powerful army, in order to conquer Provence and Dauphiny; Henry agreed to pay him a hundred thousand crowns for the first month; after which, he might either choose to continue the same monthly payments, or invade Picardy with a powerful army. Bourbon was to possess these provinces with the title of king; but to hold them in fee of Henry as King of France. Burgundy was to be given to Charles; the rest of the kingdom to Henry.

This chimerical partition immediately failed of execution in the article which was most easily performed; Bourbon refused to acknowledge Henry as King of France. His enterprise, however, against Provence, still took place. A numerous army of imperialists invaded that country, under his command, and that of the Marquis of Pescara. They laid siege to Marseilles, which, being weakly garrisoned, they expected to reduce in a little time; but the citizens defended themselves with such valour and obstinacy, that Bourbon and Pescara, who heard of the French king's approach with a numerous army, found themselves under a necessity of raising the siege; and they led their forces, weakened, baffled, and disheartened, into Italy.

Francis might now have enjoyed, in safety, the glory of repulsing all his enemies, in every attempt which they had hitherto made for invading his kingdom; but, as he received intelligence that the King of England, discouraged by his former fruitless enterprises, and disgusted with the emperor, was making no preparations for any attempt on Picardy, his ancient ardour seized him for the conquest of Milan; and, notwithstanding the advanced season, he was determined, contrary to the advice of his wisest counsellors, to lead his army into Italy.

He passed the Alps at Mount Cenis, and no sooner appeared in Piedmont than he threw the whole Milanese into consternation. The forces of the Emperor and Sforza retired to Lodi; and had Francis been so fortunate as to pursue them, they had abandoned that place, and had been totally dispersed (Guicciardini, lib. 15; Du Bellay, lib. 2); but his ill fate led him to besiege Pavia, a town of considerable strength, well garrisoned, and defended by Leyva, one of the bravest

officers in the Spanish service. Every attempt which the French king made, to gain this important place, proved fruitless. He battered the walls, and made breaches; but, by the vigilance of Leyva, new entrenchments were instantly thrown up behind the breaches; he attempted to divert the course of the Tesin, which ran by one side of the city, and defended it; but an inundation of the river destroyed, in one night, all the mounds which the soldiers, during a long time, and with infinite labour, had been erecting. Fatigue, and the bad season (A.D. 1525) (for it was the depth of winter), had wasted the French army. The imperial generals, meanwhile, were not inactive. Pescara and Lannoy, Viceroy of Naples, assembled forces from all quarters. Bourbon, having pawned his jewels, went into Germany, and with the money, aided by his personal interest, levied a body of twelve thousand lansquenets, with which he joined the imperialists. This whole army advanced to raise the siege of Pavia; and the danger to the French became every day more imminent.

The state of Europe was such, during that age, that, partly from want of commerce and industry everywhere, except in Italy and the Low Countries, partly from the extensive privileges still possessed by the people in all the great monarchies, and their frugal maxims in granting money, the revenues of the princes were extremely narrow, and even the small armies which they kept on foot could not be regularly paid by them. The imperial forces, commanded by Bourbon, Pescara, and Lannoy, exceeded not twenty thousand men; they were the only body of troops maintained by the emperor (for he had not been able to levy any army for the invasion of France, either on the side of Spain or Flanders). Yet so poor was that mighty monarch, that he could transmit no money for the payment of this army; and it was chiefly the hopes of sharing the plunder of the French camp, which had made them advance, and kept them to their standards. Had Francis raised the siege before their approach, and retired to Milan, they must immediately have disbanded; and he had obtained a complete victory, without danger or bloodshed. But it was the character of this monarch to become obstinate in proportion to the difficulties which he encountered; and having once said that he would take Pavia, or perish before it, he was resolved rather to endure the utmost extremities than depart from this resolution.

The imperial generals, after cannonading the French camp for several days, at last made (Feb. 24) a general assault, and broke into the entrenchments. Leyva sallied from the town, and increased the confusion among the besiegers. The Swiss infantry, contrary to their usual practice, behaved in a dastardly manner, and deserted their post. Francis's forces were put to rout; and he himself, surrounded by his enemies, after fighting with heroic valour, and killing seven men with his own hand, was, at last, obliged to surrender himself prisoner. Almost the whole army, full of nobility and brave officers, either perished by the sword, or were drowned in the river. The few who escaped with their lives fell into the hands of the enemy.

The emperor received this news by Pennalosa, who passed through France by means of a safe conduct granted him by the captive king. The moderation which he displayed on this occasion, had it been sin-

cere, would have done him honour. Instead of rejoicing, he expressed sympathy with Francis's ill fortune, and discovered his sense of those calamities to which the greatest monarchs are exposed (Vera Hist. de Carl. V.). He refused the city of Madrid permission to make any public expressions of triumph; and said that he reserved all his exultation till he should be able to obtain some victory over the infidels. He sent orders to his frontier garrisons to commit no hostilities upon France. He spoke of concluding, immediately, a peace on reasonable terms. But all this seeming moderation was only hypocrisy, so much the more dangerous as it was profound. And he was wholly occupied in forming schemes how, from this great incident, he might draw the utmost advantage, and gratify that exorbitant ambition by which, in all his actions, he was ever governed.

The same Pennalosa, in passing through France, carried also a letter from Francis to his mother, whom he had left regent, and who then resided at Lyons. It contained only these few words, 'Madam, all is lost, except our honour.' The princess was struck with the greatness of the calamity. She saw the kingdom, without a sovereign, without an army, without generals, without money; surrounded on every side by implacable and victorious enemies; and her chief resource, in her present distresses, were the hopes which she entertained of peace, and even of assistance, from the King of England.

Had the king entered into the war against France from any concerted political views, it is evident that the victory of Pavia, and the captivity of Francis, were the most fortunate incidents that could have befallen him, and the only ones that could render his schemes effectual. While the war was carried on in the former feeble manner, without any decisive advantage, he might have been able to possess himself of some frontier town, or perhaps of a small territory, of which he could not have kept possession without expending much more than its value. By some signal calamity alone, which annihilated the power of France, could he hope to acquire the dominion of considerable provinces, or dismember that great monarchy, so affectionate to its own government and its own sovereigns. But, as it is probable that Henry had never before carried his reflections so far, he was startled at this important event, and became sensible of his own danger, as well as that of all Europe, from the loss of a proper counterpoise to the power of Charles. Instead of taking advantage, therefore, of the distressed condition of Francis, he was determined to lend him assistance in his present calamities; and, as the glory of generosity, in raising a fallen enemy, concurred with his political interests, he hesitated the less in embracing these new measures.

Some disgusts also had previously taken place between Charles and Henry, and still more between Charles and Wolsey; and that powerful minister waited only for a favourable opportunity of revenging the disappointments which he had met with. The behaviour of Charles, immediately after the victory of Pavia, gave him occasion to revive the king's jealousy and suspicions. The emperor so ill supported the appearance of moderation which he at first assumed, that he had already changed his usual style to Henry; and, instead of writing to him with his own hand, and subscribing himself 'your affectionate son and

cousin,' he dictated his letters to a secretary, and simply subscribed himself Charles (Guicciardini, lib. 16). Wolsey also perceived a diminution in the caresses and professions with which the emperor's letters to him were formerly loaded; and this last imprudence, proceeding from the intoxication of success, was probably more dangerous to Charles's interests than the other.

Henry, though immediately determined to embrace new measures, was careful to save appearances in the change; and he caused rejoicings to be everywhere made, on account of the victory of Pavia, and the captivity of Francis. He publicly dismissed a French envoy, whom he had formerly allowed, notwithstanding the war, to reside at London (Du Bellay, liv. 3; Stow, p. 221; Baker, p. 273). But, upon the regent of France's submissive applications to him, he again opened a correspondence with her; and, besides assuring her of his friendship and protection, he exacted a promise that she never would consent to the dismembering of any province from the monarchy for her son's ransom. With the emperor, however, he put on the appearance of vigour and enterprise; and in order to have a pretence for breaking with him, he dispatched Tunstal, Bishop of London, to Madrid, with proposals for a powerful invasion of France. He required that Charles should immediately enter Guienne, at the head of a great army, in order to put him in possession of that province; and he demanded the payment of large sums of money, which that prince had borrowed from him in his last visit at London. He knew that the emperor was in no condition of fulfilling either of these demands; and that he had as little inclination to make him master of such considerable territories upon the frontiers of Spain.

Tunstal, likewise, after his arrival at Madrid, informed his master that Charles, on his part, urged several complaints against England; and, in particular, was displeased with Henry, because last year he had neither continued his monthly payments to Bourbon, nor invaded Picardy, according to his stipulations. Tunstal added, that, instead of expressing an intention to espouse Mary when she should be of age, the emperor had hearkened to proposals for marrying his niece Isabella Princess of Portugal; and that he had entered into a separate treaty with Francis, and seemed determined to reap alone all the advantages of the success with which fortune had crowned his arms.

The king, influenced by all these motives, concluded (Aug. 30) at Moore, his alliance with the regent of France, and engaged to procure her son his liberty on reasonable conditions;¹ the regent also, in another treaty, acknowledged the kingdom Henry's debtor for 1,800,000 crowns, to be discharged in half-yearly payments of 50,000 crowns; after which Henry was to receive, during life, a yearly pension of 100,000. A large present of 100,000 crowns was also made to Wolsey, for his good offices, but covered under the pretence of arrears due on the pension granted him for relinquishing the administration of Tournay.

Meanwhile, Henry, foreseeing that this treaty with France might involve him in a war with the emperor, was also determined to fill his treasury by impositions upon his own subjects; and, as the parliament had discovered some reluctance in complying with his demands, he

¹ Du Tillet, *Recueil des Traités de Leonard*, tom. 2; Herbert.

followed, as is believed, the counsel of Wolsey, and resolved to make use of his prerogative alone for that purpose. He issued commissions to all the counties of England for levying four shillings in the pound upon the clergy, three shillings and fourpence upon the laity; and so uncontrollable did he deem his authority, that he took no care to cover, as formerly, this arbitrary exaction, even under the slender pretence of a loan. But he soon found that he had presumed too far on the passive submission of his subjects. The people, displeased with an exaction beyond what was usually levied in those days, and farther disgusted with the illegal method of imposing it, broke out in murmurs, complaints, opposition to the commissioners; and their refractory disposition threatened a general insurrection, Henry had the prudence to stop short in that dangerous path into which he had entered. He sent letters to all the counties; declaring that he meant no force by this last imposition, and that he would take nothing from his subjects but by way of benevolence. He flattered himself that his condescension, in employing that disguise, would satisfy the people, and that no one would dare to render himself obnoxious to royal authority, by refusing any payment required of him in this manner. But the spirit of opposition, once roused, could not so easily be quieted at pleasure. A lawyer in the city, objecting the statute of Richard III., by which benevolences were for ever abolished, it was replied by the court, that Richard being an usurper, and his parliament a factious assembly, his statutes could not bind a lawful and 'absolute' monarch, who held his crown by hereditary right, and needed not to court the favour of a licentious populace (Herbert; Hall). The judges even went so far as to affirm positively, that the king might exact, by commission, any sum he pleased; and the privy council gave a ready assent to this decree, which annihilated the most valuable privilege of the people, and rendered all their other privileges precarious. Armed with such formidable authority, of royal prerogative and a pretence of law, Wolsey sent for the mayor of London, and desired to know what he was willing to give for the supply of his majesty's necessities. The mayor seemed desirous, before he should declare himself, to consult the common council; but the cardinal required that he and all the aldermen should separately confer with himself about the benevolence; and he eluded, by that means, the danger of a formed opposition. Matters, however, went not so smoothly in the country. An insurrection was begun in some places; but, as the people were not headed by any considerable person, it was easy for the Duke of Suffolk, and the Earl of Surrey, now Duke of Norfolk, by employing persuasion and authority, to induce the ring-leaders to lay down their arms and surrender themselves prisoners. The king, finding it dangerous to punish criminals engaged in so popular a cause, was determined, notwithstanding his violent imperious temper, to grant them a general pardon; and he prudently imputed their guilt, not to their want of loyalty or affection, but to their poverty. The offenders were carried before the star-chamber; where, after a severe charge brought against them by the king's council, the cardinal said, 'That, notwithstanding their grievous offence, the king, in consideration of their necessities, had granted them his gracious pardon, upon condition that they would find sureties for their future good be-

‘haviour.’ But, they replying that they had no sureties, the cardinal first, and after him the Duke of Norfolk, said, that they would be bound for them. Upon which they were dismissed.¹

These arbitrary impositions being imputed, though on what grounds is unknown, to the counsels of the cardinal, increased the general odium under which he laboured, and the clemency of the pardon being ascribed to the king, was considered as an atonement on his part, for the illegality of the measure. But Wolsey, supported both by royal and papal authority, proceeded without scruple to violate all ecclesiastical privileges, which during that age were much more sacred than civil; and having once prevailed in that unusual attempt of suppressing some monasteries, he kept all the rest in awe, and exercised over them an arbitrary jurisdiction. By his commission as legate, he was empowered to visit them, and reform them, and chastise their irregularities, and he employed his usual agent, Allen, in the exercise of this authority. The religious houses were obliged to compound for their guilt, real or pretended, by paying large sums to the cardinal or his deputy; and this oppression was carried so far that it reached at last the king’s ears, which were not commonly open to complaints against his favourite. Wolsey had built a splendid palace at Hampton Court, which he probably intended, as well as that of York Place, in Westminster, for his own residence; but fearing the increase of envy on account of this magnificence, and desirous to appease the king, he made him a present of the building, and told him that from the first he had erected it for his use.

The absolute authority possessed by the king rendered his domestic government, both over his people and his ministers, easy and expeditious; the conduct of foreign affairs alone required effort and application, and they were now brought to such a situation that it was no longer safe for England to remain entirely neutral. The feigned moderation of the emperor was of short duration; and it was soon obvious to all the world that his great dominions, far from gratifying his ambition, were only regarded as the means of acquiring an empire more extensive. The terms which he demanded of his prisoner were such as must for ever have annihilated the power of France, and destroyed the balance of Europe. These terms were proposed to Francis soon after the battle of Pavia, while he was detained in Pizzichitone; and, as he had hitherto trusted somewhat to the emperor’s generosity, the disappointment excited in his breast the most lively indignation. He said, that he would rather live and die a prisoner, than agree to dismember his kingdom; and that, even were he so base as to submit to such conditions, his subjects would never permit him to carry them into execution.

Francis was encouraged to persist in demanding more moderate terms, by the favourable accounts which he heard of Henry’s dispositions towards him, and of the alarm which had seized all the chief powers in Italy upon his defeat and captivity. He was uneasy, however, to be so far distant from the emperor, with whom he must treat; and he expressed his desire (which was complied with) to be removed to Madrid, in hopes that a personal interview would operate in his

¹ Herbert; Hall; Stow, p. 525; Holingshed, p. 891.

favour, and that Charles, if not influenced by his ministers, might be found possessed of the same frankness of disposition by which he himself was distinguished. He was soon convinced of his mistake. Partly from want of exercise, partly from reflections on his present melancholy situation, he fell into a languishing illness; which begat apprehensions in Charles, lest the death of his captive should bereave him of all those advantages which he purposed to extort from him. He then paid him a visit in the castle of Madrid; and as he approached the bed on which Francis lay, the sick monarch called to him, 'You come, sir, to visit your prisoner.' 'No,' replied the emperor, 'I come to visit my brother, and my friend, who shall soon obtain his liberty.' He soothed his afflictions with many speeches of a like nature, which had so good an effect, that the king daily recovered (Herbert's Henry VIII.; De Vera; Sandoval); and thenceforth employed himself in concerting with the ministers of the emperor the terms of his treaty.

At last the emperor, dreading a general combination against him, was willing to abate somewhat of his rigour, and the treaty of Madrid was signed (A.D. 1526, Jan. 14), by which it was hoped an end would be finally put to the differences between these great monarchs. The principal condition was the restoring of Francis's liberty, and the delivery of his two eldest sons as hostages to the emperor for the cession of Burgundy; if any difficulty should afterwards occur in the execution of this last article, from the opposition of the states either of France or of that province, Francis stipulated that in six weeks time he should return to his prison, and remain there till the full performance of the treaty. There were many other articles in this famous convention, all of them extremely severe upon the captive monarch; and Charles discovered evidently his intention of reducing Italy, as well as France, to subjection and dependence.

Many of Charles's ministers foresaw that Francis, how solemn soever the oaths, promises, and protestations exacted of him, never would execute a treaty so disadvantageous, or rather ruinous and destructive to himself, his posterity, and his country. By putting Burgundy they thought into the emperor's hands, he gave his powerful enemy an entrance into the heart of the kingdom; by sacrificing his allies in Italy, he deprived himself of sovereign assistance; and arming his oppressor with the whole force and wealth of that opulent country rendered him absolutely irresistible. To these great views of interest were added the motives, no less cogent, of passion and resentment; while Francis, a prince who piqued himself on generosity, reflected on the rigour with which he had been treated during his captivity, and the severe terms which had been exacted of him for the recovery of his liberty. It was also foreseen, that the emulation and rivalry which had so long subsisted between these two monarchs would make him feel the strongest reluctance on yielding the superiority to an antagonist who, by the whole tenor of his conduct, he would be apt to think, had shown himself so little worthy of that advantage which fortune, and fortune alone, had put into his hands. His ministers, his friends, his subjects, his allies would be sure, with one voice, to inculcate on him, that the first object of a prince was the preservation of

his people; and that the laws of honour, which, with a private man, ought to be absolutely supreme, and superior to all interests, were, with a sovereign, subordinate to the great duty of ensuring the safety of his country. Nor could it be imagined that Francis would be so romantic in his principles as not to hearken to a casuistry which was so plausible in itself, and which so much flattered all the passions by which, either as a prince or a man, he was strongly actuated.

Francis, on entering (March 18, 1526) his own dominions, delivered his two eldest sons as hostages into the hands of the Spaniards. He mounted a Turkish horse, and immediately putting him to the gallop, he waved his hand, and cried aloud several times, 'I am yet a king.' He soon reached Bayonne, where he was joyfully received by the regent and his whole court. He immediately wrote to Henry, acknowledging that to his good offices alone he owed his liberty, and protesting that he should be entirely governed by his counsels in all transactions with the emperor. When the Spanish envoy demanded his ratification of the treaty of Madrid, now that he had fully recovered his liberty, he declined the proposal, under colour that it was previously necessary to assemble the states both of France and of Burgundy, and to obtain their consent. The states of Burgundy soon met; and declaring against the clause which contained an engagement for alienating their province, they expressed their resolution of opposing, even by force of arms, the execution of so ruinous and unjust an article. The imperial minister then required that Francis, in conformity to the treaty of Madrid, should now return to his prison; but the French monarch, instead of complying, made public the treaty which, a little before, he had secretly concluded at Cognac, against the ambitious schemes and usurpations of the emperor of Germany (Guicciardini, lib. 17).

The Pope, the Venetians, and other Italian states, who were deeply interested in these events, had been held in the most anxious suspense with regard to the resolutions which Francis should take after the recovery of his liberty; and Clement in particular, who suspected that this prince would never execute a treaty so hurtful to his interests and even destructive of his independency, had very frankly offered him a dispensation from all his oaths and engagements. Francis remained not in suspense, but entered immediately into the confederacy proposed to him. It was stipulated by that king, the Pope, the Venetians, the Swiss, the Florentines, and the Duke of Milan, among other articles, that they would oblige the emperor to deliver up the two young princes of France on receiving a reasonable sum of money, and to restore Milan to Sforza, without farther condition or incumbrance. The King of England was invited to accede not only as a contracting party, but as protector of the 'holy league,' so it was called; and if Naples should be conquered from the emperor, in prosecution of this confederacy, it was agreed that Henry should enjoy a principality in that kingdom of the yearly revenue of 30,000 ducats; and that Cardinal Wolsey, in consideration of the services which he had rendered to Christendom, should also, in such an event, be put in possession of a revenue of 10,000 ducats.

Francis was desirous that the appearance of this great confederacy

should engage the emperor to relax somewhat in the extreme rigour of the treaty of Madrid; and while he entertained these hopes, he was the more remiss in his warlike preparations, nor did he send in due time reinforcement to his allies in Italy. The Duke of Bourbon had got possession of the whole Milanese, of which the emperor intended to grant him the investiture, and having levied a considerable army in Germany, he became formidable to all the Italian potentates; and not the less so because Charles, destitute as usual, of money, had not been able to remit any pay to the forces. The general was extremely beloved by his troops, and in order to prevent those mutinies which were ready to break out every moment, and which their affection alone for him had hitherto restrained, he led them to Rome and promised to enrich them by the plunder of that opulent city. He was himself killed as (A.D. 1527, May 6) he was planting a scaling ladder against the walls, but his soldiers, rather enraged than discouraged by his death, mounted to the assault with the utmost valour, and entering the city sword in hand, exercised all those brutalities which may be expected from ferocity excited by resistance and from insolence which takes place when that resistance is no more. This renowned city exposed, by her renown alone, to so many calamities, never endured in any age, even from the barbarians, by whom she was often subdued, such indignities as she was now compelled to suffer. The unrestrained massacre and pillage, which continued for several days, were the least ills to which the unhappy Romans were exposed (Guicciardini, lib. 18; Bellay; Stow, p. 527). Whatever was respectable in modesty, or sacred in religion, seemed but the more to provoke the insults of the soldiery. Virgins suffered violation in the arms of their parents and upon those very altars to which they had fled for protection. Aged prelates, after enduring every indignity, and even every torture, were thrown into dungeons and menaced with the most cruel death, in order to make them reveal their secret treasures, or purchase liberty by exorbitant ransoms. Clement himself, who had trusted for protection to the sacredness of his character, and neglected to make his escape in time, was taken captive, and found that his dignity, which procured him no regard from the Spanish soldiers, did but draw on him the insolent mockery of the Germans, who, being generally attached to the Lutheran principles, were pleased to gratify their animosity by the abasement of the sovereign pontiff.

When intelligence of this great event was conveyed to the emperor, that young prince, habituated to hypocrisy, expressed the most profound sorrow for the success of his arms; he put himself and all his court in mourning; he stopped the rejoicings for the birth of his son Philip; and knowing that every artifice, however gross, is able, when seconded by authority, to impose upon the people, he ordered prayers, during several months, to be put up in the churches for the Pope's liberty; which, all men knew, a letter under his hand could in a moment have procured.

The concern expressed by Henry and Francis for the calamity of their ally was more sincere. These two monarchs, a few days before the sack of Rome, had concluded a treaty (April 30) at Westminster, in which, besides renewing former alliances, they agreed to send am-

bassadors to Charles, requiring him to accept of 2,000,000 of crowns as the ransom of the French princes, and to repay the money borrowed from Henry; and in case of refusal, the ambassadors, attended by heralds, were ordered to denounce war against him. This war it was agreed to prosecute in the Low Countries, with an army of 30,000 infantry, and 1500 men-at-arms, two-thirds to be supplied by Francis, the rest by Henry. And, in order to strengthen the alliance between the princes, it was stipulated that either Francis or his son, the Duke of Orleans, as should afterwards be agreed on, should espouse the Princess Mary, Henry's daughter. No sooner did the monarchs receive intelligence of Bourbon's enterprise, than they changed by a new treaty (May 29) the scene of the projected war from the Netherlands to Italy; and hearing of the Pope's captivity, they were farther stimulated to undertake the war with vigour for restoring him to liberty. Wolsey himself (July 11) crossed the sea in order to have an interview with Francis, and to concert measures for that purpose; and he displayed all that grandeur and magnificence with which he was so much intoxicated. He was attended by a train of 1000 horse. The cardinal of Lorraine, and the chancellor Alençon, met him at Boulogne: Francis himself, besides granting to that haughty prelate the power of giving in every place where he came, liberty to all prisoners, made a journey as far as Amiens to meet him, and even advanced some miles from the town, the more to honour his reception. It was here stipulated that the Duke of Orleans should espouse the Princess Mary; and as the emperor seemed to be taking some steps towards assembling a general council, the two monarchs agreed not to acknowledge it; but, during the interval of the Pope's captivity, to govern the churches in their respective dominions, by their own authority. Wolsey made some attempts to get his legantine power extended over France, and even over Germany; but finding his efforts fruitless, he was obliged, though with great reluctance, to desist from these ambitious enterprises (Burnet, book 3, col. 12, 13).

The more to cement the union between these princes, a new treaty was (A.D. 1527, Sept. 13) concluded at London, in which Henry agreed finally to renounce all claims to the crown of France; claims which might now, indeed, be deemed chimerical, but which often served as a pretence for exciting the unwary English to wage war upon the French nation. As a return for this concession, Francis bound himself and his successors to pay for ever 50,000 crowns a year to Henry and his successors; and that greater solemnity might be given to this treaty, it was agreed that the parliaments and great nobility of both kingdoms should give their assent to it. The Mareschal Montmorency, accompanied by many persons of distinction, and attended by a pompous equipage, was sent over to ratify the treaty; and was received at London with all the parade which suited the solemnity of the occasion. The terror of the emperor's greatness had extinguished the ancient animosity between the nations; and Spain, during more than a century, became, though a more distant power, the chief object of jealousy to the English.

This cordial union between France and England, though it added influence to the joint embassy which they sent to the emperor, was not

able to bend that monarch to submit entirely to the conditions insisted on by the allies. He departed indeed from his demand of Burgundy as the ransom of the French princes; but he required, previously to their liberty, that Francis should evacuate Genoa, and all the fortresses held by him in Italy; and he declared his intention of bringing Sforza to a trial, and confiscating the duchy of Milan, on account of his pretended treason. The English and French heralds, therefore, according to agreement, declared war against him, and set him at defiance. Charles answered the English herald with moderation; but to the French, he reproached his master with breach of faith, reminded him of the private conversation which had passed between them at Madrid before their separation, and offered to prove by single combat that he had acted dishonourably. Francis retaliated this challenge by giving Charles the lie; and, after demanding security of the field, he offered to maintain his cause by single combat. Many messages passed to and fro between them; but though both princes were undoubtedly brave, the intended duel never took place. The French and Spaniards during that age zealously disputed which of the monarchs incurred the blame of this failure; but all men of moderation everywhere lamented the power of fortune, that the prince the more candid, generous, and sincere, should, by unhappy incidents, have been reduced to so cruel a situation, that nothing but his violation of treaty could preserve his people, and that he must ever after, without being able to make a proper reply, bear to be reproached by breach of promise by a rival inferior to him both in honour and in virtue.

But though this famous challenge between Charles and Francis had no immediate consequence with regard to these monarchs themselves, it produced a considerable alteration on the manners of the age. The practice of challenges and duels, which had been part of the ancient barbarous jurisprudence, which was still preserved on very solemn occasions, and which was sometimes countenanced by the civil magistrate, began thenceforth to prevail in the most trivial incidents; and men, on any affront or injury, thought themselves entitled, or even required in honour, to take revenge on their enemies, by openly vindicating their right in single combat. These absurd, though generous maxims, shed much of the best blood in Christendom during more than two centuries; and notwithstanding the severity of law, and authority of reason, such is the prevailing force of custom, they are far from being as yet entirely exploded.

CHAPTER XXX.

Scruples concerning the king's marriage.—The king enters into these scruples.—Anne Boleyn.—Henry applies to the Pope for a divorce.—The Pope favourable.—The emperor threatens him.—The Pope's ambiguous conduct.—The cause evoked to Rome.—Wolsey's fall.—Commencement of the Reformation in England.—Foreign affairs.—Wolsey's death.—A parliament.—Progress of the Reformation.—A parliament.—King's final breach with Rome.—A parliament.

NOTWITHSTANDING the submissive deference paid to papal authority

before the Reformation, the marriage of Henry with Catherine of Arragon, his brother's widow, had not passed without much scruple and difficulty. The prejudices of the people were in general bent against a conjugal union between such near relations; and the late king, though he had betrothed his son when that prince was but twelve years of age, gave evident proofs of his intention to take afterwards a proper opportunity of annulling the contract (Morison's *Apomaxis*, p. 13). He ordered the young prince, as soon as he came of age, to enter a protestation against the marriage (Morison, p. 13; Heylin's *Queen Mary*, p. 2); and on his death-bed he charged him, as his last injunction, not to finish an alliance so unusual, and exposed to such insuperable objections. After the king's accession, some members of the privy council, particularly Warham, the primate, openly declared against the resolution of completing the marriage; and though Henry's youth and dissipation kept him, during some time, from entertaining any scruples with regard to the measure which he had embraced, there happened incidents sufficient to rouse his attention, and to inform him of the sentiments generally entertained on that subject. The states of Castile had opposed the Emperor Charles's espousals with Mary, Henry's daughter; and, among other objections, had insisted on the illegitimate birth of the young princess (Herbert; *Fiddes's Life of Wolsey*). And when the negotiations were afterwards opened with France, and mention was made of betrothing her to Francis or the Duke of Orleans, the Bishop of Tarbes, the French ambassador, revived the same objection (Rymer, vol. xiv., pp. 192, 203; Heylin, p. 3). But though these events naturally raised some doubts in Henry's mind, there concurred other causes, which tended much to increase his remorse, and render his conscience more scrupulous.

The queen was older than the king by no less than six years; and the decay of her beauty, together with particular infirmities and diseases, had contributed, notwithstanding her blameless character and deportment, to render her person unacceptable to him. Though she had borne him several children, they all died in early infancy, except one daughter; and he was the more struck with this misfortune, because the curse of being childless is the very threatening contained in the Mosaic law against those who espouse their brother's widow. The succession too of the crown was a consideration that occurred to every one, whenever the lawfulness of Henry's marriage was called in question; and it was apprehended that if doubts of Mary's legitimacy concurred with the weakness of her sex, the King of Scots, the next heir, would advance his pretensions, and might throw the kingdom into confusion. The evils, as yet recent, of civil wars and convulsions, arising from a disputed title, made great impression on the minds of men, and rendered the people universally desirous of any event which might obviate so irreparable a calamity. And the king was thus impelled, both by his private passions, and by motives of public interest, to seek the dissolution of his inauspicious, and, as it was esteemed, unlawful marriage with Catherine.

Henry afterwards affirmed, that his scruples arose entirely from private reflection; and that, on consulting his confessor, the Bishop of Lincoln, he found the prelate possessed with the same doubts and

difficulties. The king himself, being so great a casuist and divine, next proceeded to examine the question more carefully by his own learning and study; and having had recourse to Thomas of Aquine, he observed that this celebrated doctor, whose authority was great in the church, and absolute with him, had treated of that very case, and had expressly declared against the lawfulness of such marriages (Burnet; Fiddes). 'The prohibitions,' said Thomas, 'contained in 'Leviticus, and among the rest that of marrying a brother's widow, are 'moral, eternal, and founded on a Divine sanction; and though the 'Pope may dispense with the rules of the church, the laws of God 'cannot be set aside by any authority less than that which enacted 'them.' The Archbishop of Canterbury was then applied to; and he was required to consult his brethren: all the prelates of England, except Fisher, Bishop of Rochester, unanimously declared, under their hand and seal, that they deemed the king's marriage unlawful (Burnet, vol. i., p. 38; Stow, p. 548). Wolsey also fortified the king's scruples,¹ partly with a view of promoting a total breach with the emperor, Catherine's nephew; partly desirous of connecting the king more closely with Francis, by marrying him to the Duchess of Alençon, sister to that monarch; and perhaps too somewhat disgusted with the queen herself, who had reproved him for certain freedoms, unbefitting his character and station.² But Henry was carried forward, though perhaps not at first excited, by a motive more forcible than even the suggestions of that powerful favourite.

Anne Boleyn, who lately appeared at court, had been appointed maid of honour to the queen, and having had frequent opportunities of being seen by Henry, and of conversing with him, she had acquired an entire ascendancy over his affections. This young lady, whose grandeur and misfortunes have rendered her so celebrated, was daughter of Sir Thomas Boleyn, who had been employed by the king in several embassies, and who was allied to all the principal nobility in the kingdom. His wife, mother to Anne, was daughter of the Duke of Norfolk; his own mother was daughter of the Earl of Ormond; his grandfather Sir Geoffry Boleyn, who had been Mayor of London, had espoused one of the daughters and co-heirs of Lord Hastings.³ Anne herself, though then in very early youth, had been carried over to Paris by the king's sister, when the princess espoused Louis XII. of France; and upon the demise of that monarch, and the return of his dowager into England, this damsel, whose accomplishments, even in her tender years, were always much admired, was retained in the service of Claude, Queen of France, spouse to Francis; and, after the death of that princess, she passed into the family of the Duchess of Alençon, a woman of singular merit. The exact time when she returned to England is not certainly known, but it was after the king had entertained doubts with regard to the lawfulness of his marriage with Catherine, if the account is to be credited which he himself afterwards gave of that transaction. Henry's scruples had made him break off all conjugal commerce with the queen; but as he still supported an intercourse

¹ Le Grand, vol. iii., pp. 46, 166, 168; Saunders; Heylin, p. 4.

² Burnet, vol. i., p. 38; Strype, vol. i., p. 88.

³ Camden's preface to the Life of Elizabeth; Burnet, vol. i., p. 44.

of civility and friendship with her, he had occasion, in the frequent visits which he paid her, to observe the beauty, the youth, the charms of Anne Boleyn. Finding the accomplishments of her mind nowise inferior to her exterior graces, he even entertained the design of raising her to the throne, and was the more confirmed in this resolution, when he found that her virtue and modesty prevented all hopes of gratifying his passion in any other manner. As every motive, therefore, of inclination and policy seemed thus to concur in making the king desirous of a divorce from Catherine, and as his prospect of success was inviting, he resolved to make application to Clement, and he sent Knight, his secretary, to Rome for that purpose.

That he might not shock the haughty claims of the pontiff, he resolved not to found the application on any general doubts concerning the papal power to permit marriage in the nearer degrees consanguinity, but only to insist on particular grounds of nullity in the bull which Julius had granted for the marriage of Henry and Catherine. It was a maxim in the court of Rome, that, if the Pope be surprised into any concession, or grant any indulgence upon false suggestions, the bull may afterwards be annulled; and this pretence had usually been employed wherever one Pope had recalled any deed executed by any of his predecessors. But Julius's bull, when examined, afforded abundant matter of this kind; and any tribunal, favourable to Henry, needed not want a specious colour for gratifying him in his applications for a divorce. It was said in the preamble that the bull had been granted upon his solicitation, though it was known that, at that time, he was under twelve years of age; it was also affirmed, as another motive for the bull, that the marriage was requisite, in order to preserve peace between the two crowns, though it is certain that there was not then any ground or appearance of quarrel between them. These false premises in Julius's bull seemed to afford Clement a sufficient reason or pretence for annulling it, and granting Henry a dispensation for a second marriage.¹

But though the pretext for this indulgence had been less plausible, the Pope was in such a situation that he had the strongest motives to embrace every opportunity of gratifying the English monarch. He was then a prisoner in the hands of the emperor, and had no hopes of recovering his liberty on any reasonable terms, except by the efforts of the league, which Henry had formed with Francis and the Italian powers, in order to oppose the ambition of Charles. When the English secretary, therefore, solicited him in private, he received a very favourable answer; and a dispensation was forthwith promised to be granted to his master (Burnet, vol. i., p. 47). Soon after, the march of the French army into Italy, under the command of Lautrec, obliged the imperialists to restore Clement to his liberty; and he retired to Orvietto, where the secretary, with Sir Gregory Cassali, the king's resident at Rome, renewed their applications to him. They still found him full of high professions of friendship, gratitude, and attachment to the king, but not so prompt in granting his request as they expected. The emperor, who had got intelligence of Henry's application to Rome, had exacted a promise from the Pope, to take no steps in the affair before he communicated them to the imperial ministers; and Clement,

¹ Collier, *Eccles. Hist.*, vol. ii., p. 25, from the Cott. Lib. Vitell., p. 9

embarrassed by this promise, and still more overawed by the emperor's forces in Italy, seemed willing to postpone those concessions desired of him by Henry. Importuned, however, by the English ministers, he at last put into their hands a commission to Wolsey, as legate, in conjunction with the Archbishop of Canterbury, or any other prelate, to examine the validity of the king's marriage, and of Julius's dispensation (Rymer, vol. xiv., p. 237); he also granted them a provisional dispensation for the king's marriage with any other person; and promised to issue a decretal bull, annulling the marriage with Catherine. But he represented to them the dangerous consequences which must ensue to him, if these concessions should come to the emperor's knowledge; and conjured them not to publish those papers, or make any further use of them, till his affairs were in such a situation as to secure his liberty and independence. And his secret advice was, whenever they should find the proper time for opening the scene, that they should prevent all opposition, by proceeding immediately to a conclusion, by declaring the marriage with Catherine invalid, and by Henry's instantly espousing some other person. Nor would it be so difficult, he said, for himself to confirm these proceedings, after they were passed, as previously to render them valid, by his consent and authority (Collier, from Cott. Lib. Vitellius, B. 10).

When Henry received (A.D. 1528) the commission and dispensation from his ambassadors, and was informed of the Pope's advice, he laid the whole before his ministers, and asked their opinion in so delicate a situation. The English counsellors considered the danger of proceeding in the manner pointed out to them. Should the Pope refuse to ratify a deed, which he might justly call precipitate and irregular, and should he disavow the advice which he gave in so clandestine a manner, the king would find his second marriage totally invalidated, the children which it might bring him declared illegitimate, and his marriage with Catherine more firmly riveted than ever (Burnet, vol. i., p. 51). And Henry's apprehensions of the possibility, or even probability, of such an event, were much confirmed, when he reflected on the character and situation of the sovereign pontiff.

Clement was a prince of excellent judgment, whenever his timidity, to which he was extremely subject, allowed him to make full use of those talents and that penetration with which he was endowed (Father Paul, lib. i.; Guicciardini). The captivity and other misfortunes which he had undergone, by entering into a league against Charles, had so affected his imagination that he never afterwards exerted himself with vigour in any public measure, especially if the interest or inclinations of that potentate stood in opposition to him. The imperial forces were, at that time, powerful in Italy, and might return to the attack of Rome, which was still defenceless, and exposed to the same calamities with which it had already been overwhelmed. And besides these dangers, Clement fancied himself exposed to perils which threatened still more immediately his person and his dignity.

Charles, apprised of the timid disposition of the holy father, threw out perpetual menaces of summoning a general council, which he represented as necessary to reform the Church, and correct those enormous abuses which the ambition and avarice of the court of Rome

had introduced into every branch of ecclesiastical administration. The power of the sovereign pontiff himself, he said, required limitation; his conduct called aloud for amendment; and even his title to the throne which he filled might justly be called in question. The Pope had always passed for the natural son of Julian of Medicis, who was of the sovereign family of Florence; and though Leo X., his kinsman, had declared him legitimate, upon a pretended promise of marriage between his father and mother, few believed that declaration to be founded on any just reason or authority (Father Paul, lib. 1). The canon law, indeed, had been entirely silent with regard to the promotion of bastards to the papal throne; but, what was still dangerous, the people had entertained a violent prepossession, that this stain in the birth of any person was incompatible with so holy an office. And in another point the canon law was express and positive, that no man guilty of simony could attain that dignity. A severe bull of Julius II. had added new sanctions to this law, by declaring that a simoniacal election could not be rendered valid, even by a posterior consent of the cardinals. But unfortunately Clement had given to Cardinal Colonna a billet, containing promises of advancing that cardinal, in case he himself should attain the papal dignity by his concurrence; and this billet, Colonna, who was in entire dependence on the emperor, threatened every moment to expose to public view (*Ibid.*).

While Charles terrified the Pope with these menaces, he also allured him by hopes, which were no less prevalent over his affections. At the time when the emperor's forces sacked Rome, and reduced Clement to captivity, the Florentines, passionate for their ancient liberty, had taken advantage of his distresses, and revolting against the family of Medicis, had entirely abolished their authority in Florence, and re-established the democracy. The better to protect themselves in their freedom, they had entered into the alliance with France, England, and Venice against the emperor; and Clement found that, by this interest, the hands of his confederate were tied from assisting him in the restoration of his family; the event which, of all others, he most passionately desired. The emperor alone he knew was able to effect this purpose; and therefore, whatever professions he made of fidelity to his allies, he was always, on the least glimpse of hope, ready to embrace every proposal of a cordial reconciliation with that monarch (*Ibid.*).

These views and interests of the Pope were well known in England; and as the opposition of the emperor to Henry's divorce was foreseen, both on account of the honour and interests of Catherine his aunt, and the obvious motive of distressing an enemy, it was esteemed dangerous to take any measure of consequence, in expectation of the subsequent concurrence of a man of Clement's character, whose behaviour always contained so much duplicity, and who was at present so little at his own disposal. The safest measure seemed to consist in previously engaging him so far, that he could not afterwards recede, and in making use of his present ambiguity and uncertainty, to extort the most important concessions from him. For this purpose, Stephen Gardiner, the cardinal's secretary, and Edward Fox, the king's almoner, were (February 10) despatched to Rome, and were ordered to solicit a commission from the Pope, of such a nature as would oblige him to

confirm the sentence of the commissioners, whatever it should be, and disable him, on any account, to recall the commission, or evoke the cause to the court of Rome.¹

But the same reasons which made the king so desirous of obtaining this concession confirmed the Pope in the resolution of refusing it; he was still determined to keep the door open for an agreement with the emperor, and he made no scruple of sacrificing all other considerations to a point which he deemed the most essential and important to his own security, and to the greatness of his family. He granted, therefore, a new commission, in which Cardinal Campeggio was joined to Wolsey, for the trial of the king's marriage; but he could not be prevailed on to insert the clause desired of him. And though he put into Gardiner's hand a letter, promising not to recall the present commission, this promise was found, on examination, to be couched in such ambiguous terms as left him still the power whenever he pleased of departing from it (Herbert, p. 351, ed. 1870; Burnet, p. 59).

Campeggio lay under some obligations to the king; but his dependence on the Pope was so much greater, that he conformed himself entirely to the views of the latter; and though he received his commission in April, he delayed his departure under so many pretences, that it was October before he arrived in England. The first step which he took was to exhort the king to desist from the prosecution of his divorce: and finding that this counsel gave offence, he said that his intention was also to exhort the queen to take the vows in a convent, and that he thought it his duty previously to attempt an amicable composition of all differences (Herbert, p. 357, ed. 1870). The more to pacify the king, he showed to him, as also to the cardinal, the decretal bull, annulling the former marriage with Catherine; but no entreaties could prevail on him to make any other of the king's council privy to the secret (Burnet, p. 58). In order to atone in some degree for this obstinacy, he expressed to the king and the cardinal the Pope's great desire of satisfying them in every reasonable demand; and in particular, he showed that their request for suppressing some more monasteries, and converting them into cathedrals and episcopal sees, had obtained the consent of his holiness.²

These ambiguous circumstances in the behaviour of the Pope and the legate kept the court of England in suspense, and determined the king to wait with patience the issue of such uncertain counsels. Fortune (A.D. 1529) seemed to promise him a more sure and expeditious way of extricating himself from his present difficulties. Clement was seized with a dangerous illness; and the intrigues for electing a successor began already to take place among the cardinals. Wolsey in particular, supported by the interest of England and of France, entertained hopes of mounting the throne of St. Peter (Burnet, vol. i., p. 63); and it appears, that if a vacancy had then happened, there was a probability of his reaching that summit of his ambition. But the Pope recovered, though after several relapses; and he returned to the same train of false and deceitful politics, by which he had hitherto amused

¹ Herbert; Burnet, vol. i., p. 29, in the collect.; Le Grand, vol. iii., p. 28; Strype, vol. i., p. 93, with App., Nos. 23, 24, etc.

² Rymer, vol. xiv., p. 270; Strype, vol. i., pp. 110, 111; Appendix, No. 28.

the court of England. He still flattered Henry with professions of the most cordial attachment, and promised him a sudden and favourable issue to his process; he still continued his secret negotiations with Charles, and persevered in the resolution of sacrificing all his promises, and all the interests of the Romish religion, to the elevation of his family. Campeggio, who was perfectly acquainted with his views and intentions, protracted the decision by the most artful delays; and gave Clement full leisure to adjust all the terms of his treaty with the emperor.

The emperor, acquainted with the king's extreme earnestness in this affair, was determined that he should obtain success by no other means than by an application to him, and by deserting his alliance with Francis, which had hitherto supported, against the superior force of Spain, the tottering state of the French monarchy. He willingly hearkened, therefore, to the applications of Catherine, his aunt; and promising her his utmost protection, exhorted her never to yield to the malice and persecutions of her enemies. The queen herself was naturally of a firm and resolute temper; and was engaged by every motive to persevere in protesting against the injustice to which she thought herself exposed. The imputation of incest, which was thrown upon her marriage with Henry, struck her with the highest indignation; the illegitimacy of her daughter, which seemed a necessary consequence, gave her the most just concern; the reluctance of yielding to a rival, who, she believed, had supplanted her in the king's affections, was a very natural motive. Actuated by all these considerations, she never ceased soliciting her nephew's assistance, and earnestly entreating an evocation of the cause to Rome, where alone, she thought, she could expect justice. And the emperor, in all his negotiations with the Pope, made the recall of the commission, which Campeggio and Wolsey exercised in England, a fundamental article (Herbert, p. 358, ed. 1870; Burnet, vol. i., p. 69).

The two legates (May 31) opened their court in London, and cited the king and queen to appear before it. They both presented themselves; and the king answered to his name when called; but the queen, instead of answering to hers, rose from her seat, and throwing herself at the king's feet, made a very pathetic harangue, which her virtue, her dignity, and her misfortunes rendered the more affecting. She told him that she was a stranger in his dominions, without protection, without counsel, without assistance; exposed to all the injustice which her enemies were pleased to impose upon her; that she had quitted her native country, without other resource than her connections with him and his family, and had expected that, instead of suffering thence any violence or iniquity, she was assured in them of a safeguard against every misfortune; that she had been his wife during twenty years, and would here appeal to himself, whether her affectionate submission to his will had not merited better treatment than to be thus, after so long a time, thrown from him with so much indignity; that she was conscious—he himself was assured—that her virgin honour was yet unstained when he received her into his bed, and that her connections with his brother had been carried no farther than the ceremony of marriage; that their parents, the Kings of England and

Spain, were esteemed the wisest princes of their time, and had undoubtedly acted by the best advice when they formed the agreement for that marriage, which was now represented as so criminal and unnatural; and that she acquiesced in their judgment, and would not submit her cause to be tried by a court, whose dependence on her enemies was too visible ever to allow her any hopes of obtaining from them an equitable or impartial decision.¹ Having spoken these words, she rose, and making the king a low reverence, she departed from the court, and never would again appear in it.

After her departure, the king did her the justice to acknowledge that she had ever been a dutiful and affectionate wife, and that the whole tenor of her behaviour had been conformable to the strictest rules of probity and honour. He only insisted on his own scruples with regard to the lawfulness of their marriage: and he explained the origin, the progress, and the foundation of those doubts by which he had been so long and so violently agitated. He acquitted Cardinal Wolsey from having any hand in encouraging his scruples; and he craved a sentence of the court agreeable to the justice of his cause.

The legates, after citing the queen anew, declared her contumacious, notwithstanding her appeal to Rome; and then proceeded to the examination of the cause. The first point which came before them was the proof of Prince Arthur's consummation of his marriage with Catherine; and it must be confessed, that no stronger arguments could reasonably be expected of such a fact after so long an interval. The age of the prince, who had passed his fifteenth year, the good state of his health, the long time that he had cohabited with his consort, many of his expressions to that very purpose; all these circumstances form a violent presumption in favour of the king's assertion (Herbert, p. 367, ed. 1870). Henry himself, after his brother's death, was not allowed, for some time, to bear the title of Prince of Wales, in expectation of her pregnancy; the Spanish ambassador, in order the better to ensure possession of her jointure, had sent over to Spain proofs of the consummation of her marriage (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 35); Julius's bull itself was founded on the supposition that Arthur had perhaps had knowledge of the princess; in the very treaty, fixing Henry's marriage, the consummation of the former marriage with Prince Arthur is acknowledged on both sides (Rymer, vol. xiii., p. 81). These particulars were all laid before the court; accompanied with many reasonings concerning the extent of the Pope's authority, and against his power of granting a dispensation to marry within the prohibited degrees. Campeggio heard these doctrines with great impatience; and, notwithstanding his resolution to protract the cause, he was often tempted to interrupt and silence the king's counsel, when they insisted on such disagreeable topics. The trial was spun out till the 23rd of July; and Campeggio chiefly took on him the part of conducting it. Wolsey, though the elder cardinal, permitted him to act as president of the court; because it was thought that a trial, managed by an Italian cardinal, would carry the appearance of greater candour and impartiality, than if the king's own minister and favourite had presided in it. The business now seemed to be drawing near to a period; and the king was every

¹ Burnet, vol. i., p. 73; Hall; Stow, p. 543.

day in expectation of a sentence in his favour; when, to his great surprise, Campeggio, on a sudden, without any warning, and upon very frivolous pretences (Burnet, vol. i., pp. 76, 77), prorogued the court till the 1st of October. The evocation, which came a few days after from Rome, put an end to all the hopes of success which the king had so long and so anxiously cherished (Herbert, p. 390, ed. 1870).

During the time that the trial was carried on before the legates at London, the emperor had, by his ministers, earnestly solicited Clement to evoke the cause; and had employed every topic of hope or terror which could operate either on the ambition or timidity of the pontiff. The English ambassadors, on the other hand, in conjunction with the French, had been no less earnest in their applications, that the legates should be allowed to finish the trial; but, though they employed the same engines of promises and menaces, the motives which they could set before the Pope were not so urgent or immediate as those which were held up to him by the emperor (Burnet, vol. i., p. 75). The dread of losing England, and of fortifying the Lutherans by so considerable an accession, made small impression on Clement's mind, in comparison of the anxiety for his personal safety, and the fond desire of restoring the Medicis to their dominion in Florence. As soon, therefore, as he had adjusted all terms with the emperor, he laid hold of the pretence of justice, which required him, as he asserted, to pay regard to the queen's appeal; and, suspending the commission of the legates, he adjourned the cause to his own personal judgment at Rome. Campeggio had, beforehand, received private orders, delivered by Campana, to burn the decretal bull with which he was entrusted.

Wolsey had long foreseen this measure as the sure forerunner of his ruin. Though he had at first desired that the king should rather marry a French princess than Anne Boleyn, he had employed himself with the utmost assiduity and earnestness to bring the affair to a happy issue (Collier, vol. ii., p. 45; Burnet, vol. i., p. 53); he was not, therefore, to be blamed for the unprosperous event which Clement's partiality had produced. But he had sufficient experience of the extreme ardour and impatience of Henry's temper, who could bear no contradiction, and who was wont, without examination or distinction, to make his ministers answerable for the success of those transactions with which they were entrusted. Anne Boleyn also, who was prepossessed against him, had imputed to him the failure of her hopes; and, as she was newly returned to court, whence she had been removed, from a regard to decency, during the trial before the legates, she had naturally acquired an additional influence on Henry, and she served much to fortify his prejudices against the cardinal (Cavendish, p. 40). Even the queen and her partisans, judging of Wolsey by the part which he had openly acted, had expressed great animosity against him; and the most opposite factions seemed now to combine in the ruin of this haughty minister. The high opinion itself, which Henry had entertained of the cardinal's capacity, tended to hasten his downfall; while he imputed the bad success of that minister's undertakings, not to ill fortune, or to mistake, but to the malignity or infidelity of his intentions. The blow, however, fell not instantly on his head. The king, who probably could not justify, by any good reason, his alienation

from his ancient favourite, seems to have remained some time in suspense; and he received him, if not with all his former kindness, at least with the appearance of trust and regard.

But constant experience evinces how rarely a high confidence and affection receives the least diminution, without sinking into absolute indifference, or even running into the opposite extreme. The king now determined to bring on the ruin of the cardinal with a motion almost as precipitate as he had formerly employed in his elevation. The Dukes of Norfolk and Suffolk were sent (Oct. 18) to require the great seal from him; and, on his scrupling to deliver it (Cavendish, p. 41), without a more express warrant, Henry wrote him a letter, upon which it was surrendered, and it was delivered by the king to Sir Thomas More, a man who, besides the ornaments of an elegant literature, possessed the highest virtue, integrity, and capacity.

Wolsey was ordered to depart from York Place, a palace which he had built in London, and which, though it really belonged to the see of York, was seized by Henry, and became, afterwards, the residence of the Kings of England, by the title of Whitehall. All his furniture and plate were also seized; their riches and splendour befitted rather a royal than a private fortune. The walls of his palace were covered with cloth of gold, or cloth of silver; he had a cupboard of plate of massy gold; there were found a thousand pieces of fine holland belonging to him. The rest of his riches and furniture was in proportion; and his opulence was, probably, no small inducement to this violent persecution against him.

The cardinal was ordered to retire to Esher, a country seat which he possessed near Hampton Court. The world, that had paid him such abject court during his prosperity, now entirely deserted him on this fatal reverse of all his fortunes. He himself was much dejected with the change; and, from the same turn of mind which had made him be so vainly elated with his grandeur, he felt the stroke of adversity with double rigour (Strype, vol. i., pp. 114, 115; App. No. 31, etc.). The smallest appearance of his return to favour threw him into transports of joy unbecoming a man. The king had seemed willing, during some time, to intermit the blows which overwhelmed him. He granted him his protection, and left him in possession of the sees of York and Winchester. He even sent him a gracious message, accompanied with a ring, as a testimony of his affection. Cardinal Wolsey, who was on horseback when the messenger met him, immediately alighted; and, throwing himself on his knees in the mire, received, in that humble attitude, these marks of his majesty's gracious disposition towards him (Stow, p. 547).

But his enemies, who dreaded his return to court, never ceased plying the king with accounts of his several offences; and Anne Boleyn, in particular, contributed her endeavours, in conjunction with her uncle, the Duke of Norfolk, to exclude him from all hopes of ever being reinstated in his former authority. He dismissed, therefore, his numerous retinue; and, as he was a kind and beneficent master, the separation passed not without a plentiful effusion of tears on both sides (Cavendish; Stow, p. 549). The king's heart, notwithstanding some gleams of kindness, seemed now totally hardened against his old

favourite. He ordered him to be indicted in the star chamber, where a sentence was passed against him. And, not content with this severity, he abandoned him to all the rigour of the parliament, which now, after a long interval, was again assembled. The House of Lords voted (Nov.) a long charge against Wolsey, consisting of forty-four articles; and accompanied it with an application to the king for his punishment, and his removal from all authority. Little opposition was made to this charge in the upper house; no evidence of any part of it was so much as called for; and, as it chiefly consists of general accusations, it was scarcely susceptible of any.¹ The articles were sent down to the House of Commons; where Thomas Cromwell, formerly a servant of the cardinal's, and who had been raised by him from a very low station, defended his unfortunate patron with such spirit, generosity, and courage, as acquired him great honour, and laid the foundation of that favour which he afterwards enjoyed with the king.

Wolsey's enemies, finding that either his innocence or his caution prevented them from having any just ground of accusing him, had recourse to a very extraordinary expedient. An indictment was laid against him; that, contrary to a statute of Richard II. commonly called the statute of provisors, he had procured bulls from Rome, particularly one investing him with the legantine power, which he had exercised with very extensive authority. He confessed the indictment, pleaded ignorance of the statute, and threw himself on the king's mercy. He was, perhaps, within reach of the law; but, besides that this statute had fallen into disuse, nothing could be more rigorous and severe, than to impute to him, as a crime, what he had openly, during the course of so many years, practised with the consent and approbation of the king, and the acquiescence of the parliament and kingdom. Not to mention what he always asserted (Cavendish, p. 72), and what we can scarcely doubt of, that he had obtained the royal licence in the most formal manner, which, had he not been apprehensive of the dangers attending any opposition to Henry's lawless will, he might have pleaded in his own defence before the judges. Sentence, however, was pronounced against him, 'That he was out of the king's protection; his lands and goods forfeited; and that his person might

¹ The first article of the charge against the cardinal is his procuring the legantine power, which, however, as it was certainly done with the king's consent and permission, could be nowise criminal. Many of the other articles also regard the mere exercise of that power. Some articles impute to him as crimes particular actions, which were natural or unavoidable to any man that was prime minister with so unlimited an authority; such as receiving first all letters from the king's ministers abroad, receiving first all visits from foreign ministers, desiring that all applications should be made through him. He was also accused of naming himself with the king, as if he had been his fellow, '*the king and I*.' It is reported that sometimes he even put his name before the king's, '*ego et rex meus*.' But this mode of expression is justified by the Latin idiom. It is remarkable that his whispering in the king's ear, knowing himself to be affected with venereal distempers, is an article against him. Many of the charges are general, and incapable of proof. Lord Herbert goes so far as to affirm, that no man ever fell from so high station who had so few real crimes objected to him. This opinion is perhaps a little too favourable to the cardinal. Yet the refutation of the articles by Cromwell, and their being rejected by a house of commons, even in this arbitrary reign, is almost a demonstration of Wolsey's innocence. Henry was no doubt, entirely bent on his destruction, when, on his failure by a parliamentary impeachment, he attacked him upon the statute of provisors, which afforded him so little just hold on that minister. For that this indictment was subsequent to the attack in parliament appears by Cavendish's Life of Wolsey, and Stow, p. 551, and more certainly by the very articles of impeachment themselves. Parl. Hist., vol. iii., p. 42, art. 7; Coke's Inst., pt. 4, fol. 89.

'be committed to custody.' But this prosecution of Wolsey was carried no farther. Henry even granted him a pardon for all offences; restored him part of his plate and furniture; and still continued, to drop expressions of favour and compassion towards him.

The complaints against the usurpations of the ecclesiastics had been very ancient in England, as well as in most other European kingdoms; and as this topic was now become popular everywhere, it had paved the way for the Lutheran tenets, and reconciled the people in some measure, to the frightful idea of heresy and innovation. The Commons finding the occasion favourable, passed several bills, restraining the impositions of the clergy, one for the regulating of mortuaries, another against the exactions for the probates of wills,¹ a third against non-residence and pluralities, and against churchmen's being farmers of land. But what appeared chiefly dangerous to the ecclesiastical order were the severe invectives thrown out, almost without opposition, in the house, against the dissolute lives of the priests, their ambition, their avarice, and their endless encroachments on the laity. Lord Herbert (p. 293) has even preserved the speech of a gentleman of Gray's Inn, which is of a singular nature, and contains such topics as we should little expect to meet with during that period. The member insists upon the vast variety of theological opinions which prevailed in different nations and ages, the endless inextricable controversies maintained by the several sects, the impossibility that any man, much less the people, could ever know, much less examine, the tenets and principles of every sect, the necessity of ignorance, and a suspense of judgment with regard to all those objects of dispute; and upon the whole he infers, that the only religion obligatory on mankind is the belief of one supreme Being, the Author of nature, and the necessity of good morals in order to obtain His favour and protection. Such sentiments would be deemed latitudinarian even in our time, and would not be advanced without some precaution in a public assembly. But though the first broaching of religious controversy might encourage the sceptical turn in a few persons of a studious disposition, the zeal with which men soon after attached themselves to their several parties, served to banish for a long time all such obnoxious liberties.

The bills for regulating the clergy met with some opposition in the House of Lords. Bishop Fisher in particular imputed these measures of the Commons to their want of faith, and to a formed design, derived from heretical and Lutheran principles, of robbing the church of her patrimony, and overturning the national religion. The Duke of Norfolk reproved the prelate in severe, and even somewhat indecent terms. He told him that the greatest clerks were not always the wisest men. But Fisher replied, that he did not remember any fools in his time who had proved great clerks. The exceptions taken at the Bishop of Rochester's speech stopped not there. The Commons, by the mouth of Sir Thomas Audley, their speaker, made complaints to the king of the reflections thrown upon them, and the bishop was obliged to put a more favourable construction on his words.²

¹ These exactions were quite arbitrary, and had risen to a great height. A member said in the house, that a thousand marks had been exacted from him on that account. Hall, fol. 188; Strype, vol. i., p. 73.

² Parl. Hist., vol. iii., p. 59; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 82.

Henry was not displeased that the court of Rome and the clergy should be sensible that they were entirely dependent on him, and that his parliament, if he were willing to second their inclinations, was sufficiently disposed to reduce the power and privileges of the ecclesiastics. The Commons gratified the king in another particular of moment; they granted him a discharge of all those debts which he had contracted since the beginning of his reign, and they grounded this bill, which occasioned many complaints, on a pretence of the king's great care of the nation, and of his regularly employing all the money which he had borrowed in the public service. Most of the king's creditors consisted of friends to the cardinal, who had been engaged by their patron to contribute to the supply of Henry's necessities, and the present courtiers were well pleased to take the opportunity of mulcting them (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 83). Several also approved of an expedient which they hoped would ever after discredit a method of supply so irregular and so unparliamentary.

The domestic transactions of England were at present so interesting to the king, that they chiefly engaged his attention, and he regarded foreign affairs only in subordination to them. He had declared war against the emperor, but the mutual advantages reaped by the commerce between England and the Netherlands had engaged him to stipulate a neutrality with those provinces, and except by money contributed to the Italian wars he had in effect exercised no hostility against any of the imperial dominions. A general peace was this summer established in Europe. Margaret of Austria and Louisa of Savoy met at Cambray, and settled the terms of pacification between the French king and the emperor. Charles accepted 2,000,000 crowns in lieu of Burgundy, and he delivered up the two princes of France, whom he had retained as hostages. Henry was on this occasion so generous to his friend and ally Francis, that he sent him an acquittal of near 600,000 crowns, which that prince owed him. Francis's Italian confederates were not so well satisfied as the king with the peace of Cambray; they were almost wholly abandoned to the will of the emperor, and seemed to have no means of security left, but his equity and moderation. Florence, after a brave resistance, was subdued by the imperial arms, and finally delivered over to the dominion of the family of Medicis. The Venetians were better treated, they were only obliged to relinquish some acquisitions which they had made on the coast of Naples. Even Francis Sforza obtained the investiture of Milan, and was pardoned for all past offences. The emperor in person passed into Italy with a magnificent train, and received the imperial crown from the hands of the Pope at Bologna. He was but twenty-nine years of age, and having already by his vigour and capacity succeeded in every enterprise, and reduced to captivity the two greatest potentates in Europe, the one spiritual, the other temporal, he attracted the eyes of all men, and many prognostications were formed of his growing empire.

But though Charles seemed to be prosperous on every side, and though the conquests of Mexico and Peru now began to prevent that scarcity of money under which he had hitherto laboured, he found himself threatened with difficulties in Germany, and his desire of

surmounting them was the chief cause of his granting such moderate conditions to the Italian powers. Sultan Solymán, the greatest and most accomplished prince that ever sat on the Ottoman throne, had almost entirely subdued Hungary, had besieged Vienna, and though repulsed still menaced the hereditary dominions of the house of Austria with conquest and subjection. The Lutheran princes of the empire, finding that liberty of conscience was denied them, had combined in a league for their own defence at Smalcald, and because they protested against the votes passed in the imperial diet they thenceforth received the appellation of 'Protestants.' Charles had undertaken to reduce them to obedience, and on pretence of securing the purity of religion he had laid a scheme for aggrandizing his own family, by extending its dominion over all Germany.

The friendship of Henry was one material circumstance yet wanting to Charles, in order to ensure success in his ambitious enterprises; and the king was sufficiently apprised, that the concurrence of that prince would at once remove all the difficulties which lay in the way of his divorce; that point which had long been the object of his most earnest wishes. But besides that the interests of his kingdom seemed to require an alliance with France, his haughty spirit could not submit to a friendship imposed on him by constraint; and as he had ever been accustomed to receive courtship, deference, and solicitation from the greatest potentates, he could ill brook that dependence to which this unhappy affair seemed to have reduced him. Amidst the anxieties with which he was agitated, he was often tempted to break off all connections with the court of Rome; and though he had been educated in a superstitious reverence to papal authority, it is likely that his personal experience of the duplicity and selfish politics of Clement had served much to open his eyes in that particular. He found his prerogative firmly established at home; he observed, that his people were in general much disgusted with clerical usurpations, and disposed to reduce the powers and privileges of the ecclesiastical order; he knew that they had cordially taken part with him in his prosecution of the divorce, and highly resented the unworthy treatment which, after so many services, and such devoted attachment, he had received from the court of Rome. Anne Boleyn also could not fail to use all her efforts, and employ every insinuation, in order to make him proceed to extremities against the Pope; both as it was the readiest way to her attaining royal dignity, and as her education in the court of the Duchess of Alençon, a princess inclined to the reformers, had already disposed her to a belief of the new doctrines. But, notwithstanding these inducements, Henry had strong motives still to desire a good agreement with the sovereign pontiff. He apprehended the danger of such great innovations; he dreaded the reproach of heresy; he abhorred all connections with the Lutherans, the chief opponents of the papal power; and having once exerted himself with such applause, as he imagined, in defence of the Romish communion, he was ashamed to retract his former opinions, and betray, from passion, such a palpable inconsistency. While he was agitated by these contrary motives, an expedient was proposed which, as it promised a solution of all difficulties, was embraced by him with the greatest joy and satisfaction.

Dr. Thomas Cranmer, fellow of Jesus College in Cambridge, was a man remarkable in that university for his learning, and still more, for the candour and disinterestedness of his temper. He fell one evening by accident into company with Gardiner, now secretary of state, and Fox, the king's almoner; and as the business of the divorce became the subject of conversation, he observed that the readiest way, either to quiet Henry's conscience, or extort the Pope's consent, would be to consult all the universities of Europe with regard to this controverted point; if they agreed to approve of the king's marriage with Catherine, his remorse would naturally cease; if they condemned it, the Pope would find it difficult to resist the solicitations of so great a monarch, seconded by the opinion of all the learned men in Christendom.¹ When the king was informed of the proposal, he was delighted with it; and swore, with more alacrity than delicacy, that Cranmer had got the right sow by the ear; he sent for that divine; entered into conversation with him; conceived a high opinion of his virtue and understanding; engaged him to write in defence of the divorce; and immediately, in prosecution of the scheme proposed, employed his agents to collect the judgments of all the universities in Europe.

Had the question of Henry's marriage with Catherine been examined by the principles of sound philosophy, exempt from superstition, it seemed not liable to much difficulty. The natural reason, why marriage in certain degrees is prohibited by the civil laws, and condemned by the moral sentiments, of all nations, is derived from men's care to preserve purity of manners; while they reflect that, if a commerce of love were authorised between near relations, the frequent opportunities of intimate conversation, especially during early youth, would introduce an universal dissoluteness and corruption. But as the customs of countries vary considerably, and open an intercourse, more or less restrained, between different families, or between the several members of the same family, we find that the moral precept, varying with its cause, is susceptible, without any inconvenience, of very different latitude in the several ages and nations of the world. The extreme delicacy of the Greeks permitted no communication between persons of different sexes, except where they lived under the same roof; and even the apartments of a step-mother and her daughters were almost as much shut up against visits from the husband's sons, as against those from any stranger or more distant relation; hence, in that nation, it was lawful for a man to marry, not only his niece, but his half-sister by the father; a liberty unknown to the Romans, and other nations, where a more open intercourse was authorised between the sexes. Reasoning from this principle, it would appear, that the ordinary commerce of life, among great princes, is so obstructed by ceremony and numerous attendants, that no ill consequence would result among them, from marrying a brother's widow; especially if the dispensation of the supreme priest be previously required, in order to justify what may in common cases be condemned, and to hinder the precedent from becoming too common and familiar. And as strong motives of public interest and tranquillity may frequently require such alliances between

¹ Fox, p. 1860, 2d edit; Burnet, vol. i., p. 79; Speed, p. 769; Heylin, p. 5.

the foreign families, there is the less reason for extending towards them the rigour of the rule which has place among individuals.¹

But, in opposition to these reasons, and many more which might be collected, Henry had custom and precedent on his side, the principle by which men are almost wholly governed in their actions and opinions. The marrying of a brother's widow was so unusual, that no other instance of it could be found in any history or record of any Christian nation; and though the Popes were accustomed to dispense with more essential precepts of morality, and even permitted marriages within other prohibited degrees, such as those of uncle and niece, the imaginations of men were not yet reconciled to this particular exercise of his authority. Several universities of Europe, therefore, without hesitation, as well as without interest or reward (Herbert, p. 471, ed. 1870; Burnet), gave (A.D. 1530) verdict in the king's favour; not only those of France, Paris, Orleans, Bourges, Toulouse, Angers, which might be supposed to lie under the influence of their prince, ally to Henry; but also those of Italy, Venice, Ferrara, Padua; even Bologna itself, though under the immediate jurisdiction of Clement. Oxford alone (Wood, Hist. and Ant. Ox., lib. i., p. 225) and Cambridge (Burnet, vol. i., p. 6) made some difficulty; because these universities, alarmed at the progress of Lutheranism, and dreading a defection from the holy see, scrupled to give their sanction to measures, whose consequences, they feared, would prove fatal to the ancient religion. Their opinion, however, conformable to that of the other universities of Europe, was at last obtained; and the king, in order to give more weight to all these authorities, engaged his nobility to write a letter to the Pope, recommending his cause to the holy father, and threatening him with the most dangerous consequences in case of a denial of justice.² The convocations too, both of Canterbury and York, pronounced the king's marriage invalid, irregular, and contrary to the law of God, with which no human power had authority to dispense (Rymer, vol. xiv., pp. 454, 472). But Clement, lying still under the influence of the emperor, continued to summon the king to appear, either by himself or proxy, before his tribunal at Rome; and the king, who knew that he could expect no fair trial there, refused to submit to such a condition, and would not even admit of any citation, which he regarded as a high insult, and a violation of his royal prerogative. The father of Anne Boleyn, created Earl of Wiltshire, carried to the Pope the king's reasons for not appearing

¹ Even judging of this question by the Scripture, to which the appeal was every moment made, the arguments for the king's cause appear but lame and imperfect. Marriage, in the degree of affinity which had place between Henry and Catherine, is, indeed, prohibited in Leviticus; but it is natural to interpret that prohibition as a part of the Jewish ceremonial or municipal law. And though it is there said, in the conclusion, that the Gentile nations, by violating those degrees of consanguinity, had incurred the Divine displeasure, the extension of this maxim to every precise case before specified is supposing the Scriptures to be composed with a minute accuracy and precision, to which we know with certainty the sacred penmen did not think proper to confine themselves. The descent of mankind from one common father obliged them, in the first generation, to marry in the nearest degrees of consanguinity. Instances of a like nature occur among the patriarchs; and the marriage of a brother's widow was in certain cases, not only permitted, but even enjoined as a positive precept by the Mosaic law. It is in vain to say that this precept was an exception to the rule; and an exception confined merely to the Jewish nation. The inference is still just, that such a marriage can contain no natural or moral turpitude; otherwise God, who is the Author of all purity, would never, in any case, have enjoined it.

² Rymer, vol. xiv., p. 405; Burnet, vol. i., p. 95.

Henry; and, as the first instance of disrespect from England, refused to kiss his holiness's foot, which he very graciously held out to him for that purpose (Burnet, vol. i., p. 94).

The extremities to which Henry was pushed, both against the Pope and the ecclesiastical order, were naturally disagreeable to Cardinal Wolsey; and as Henry foresaw his opposition, it is the most probable reason that can be assigned for his renewing the prosecution against his ancient favourite. After Wolsey had remained some time at Esher, he was allowed to remove to Richmond, a palace which he had received as a present from Henry, in return for Hampton Court; but the courtiers, dreading still his vicinity to the king, procured an order for him to remove to his see of York. The cardinal knew it was in vain to resist; he took up his residence at Cawood, in Yorkshire, where he rendered himself extremely popular in the neighbourhood, by his affability and hospitality (Cavendish, Stow, p. 554); but he was not allowed to remain long unmolested in this retreat. The Earl of Northumberland received orders, without regard to Wolsey's ecclesiastical character, to arrest him for high treason, and to conduct him to London, in order to his trial. The cardinal, partly from the fatigues of his journey, partly from the agitation of his anxious mind, was seized with a disorder which turned into a dysentery; and he was able, with some difficulty, to reach Leicester Abbey. When the abbot and the monks advanced to receive him with much respect and reverence, he told them, that he was come to lay his bones among them; and he immediately took to his bed, whence he never rose more. A little before he expired (A.D. 1530, Nov. 28), he addressed himself in the following words to Sir William Kingston, constable of the Tower, who had him in custody: 'I pray you, have me heartily recommended unto his royal majesty, and beseech him on my behalf to call to his remembrance all matters that have passed between us from the beginning, especially with regard to his business with the queen; and then will he know in his conscience, whether I have offended him.'

'He is a prince of a most royal carriage, and hath a princely heart; and rather than he will miss or want any part of his will, he will endanger the one half of his kingdom.'

'I do assure you, that I have often kneeled before him, sometimes three hours together, to persuade him from his will and appetite, but could not prevail; had I but served God as diligently as I have served the king, He would not have given me over in my grey hairs. But this is the just reward that I must receive for my indulgent pains and study, not regarding my service to God, but only to my prince. Therefore, let me advise you, if you be one of the privy-council, as by your wisdom you are fit, take care what you put into the king's head; for you can never put it out again' (Cavendish).

Thus died this famous cardinal, whose character seems to have contained as singular a variety as the fortune to which he was exposed. The obstinacy and violence of the king's temper may alleviate much of the blame which some of his favourite's measures have undergone; and when we consider, that the subsequent part of Henry's reign was much more criminal than that which had been directed by Wolsey's counsels, we shall be inclined to suspect those historians of partiality,

who have endeavoured to load the memory of this minister with such violent reproaches. If, in foreign politics, he sometimes employed his influence over the king for his private purposes rather than his master's service, which he boasted he had solely at heart, we must remember, that he had in view the papal throne; a dignity which, had he attained it, would have enabled him to make Henry a suitable return for all his favours. The Cardinal of Amboise, whose memory is respected in France, always made this apology for his own conduct, which was, in some respect, similar to Wolsey's; and we have reason to think, that Henry was well acquainted with the views by which his minister was influenced, and took a pride in promoting them. He much regretted his death, when informed of it, and always spoke favourably of his memory; a proof, that humour, more than reason, or any discovery of treachery, had occasioned the last persecutions raised against him.

A new session of parliament was held (A.D. 1531, Jan. 16), together with a convocation; and the king here gave strong proofs of his extensive authority, as well as of his intention to turn it to the depression of the clergy. As an ancient statute, now almost obsolete, had been employed to ruin Wolsey, and render his exercise of the legantine power criminal, notwithstanding the king's permission, the same law was now turned against the ecclesiastics. It was pretended, that every one who had submitted to the legantine court, that is, the whole Church, had violated the statute of provisors; and the attorney-general accordingly brought an indictment against them.¹ The convocation knew, that it would be in vain to oppose reason or equity to the king's arbitrary will, or plead that their ruin would have been the certain consequence of not submitting to Wolsey's commission, which was procured by Henry's consent, and supported by his authority. They chose therefore to throw themselves on the mercy of their sovereign, and they agreed to pay 118,840 pounds for a pardon (Holingshed, p. 923). A confession was likewise extorted from them, that 'the king was the protector and the supreme head of the Church and clergy of England;' though some of them had the dexterity to get a clause inserted which invalidated the whole submission, and which ran in these terms, 'in so far as is permitted by the law of Christ.'

The Commons, finding that a pardon was granted the clergy, began to be apprehensive for themselves, lest either they should afterwards be brought into trouble on account of their submission to the legantine court, or a supply, in like manner, be extorted from them, in return for their pardon. They therefore petitioned the king to grant a remission to his lay subjects; but they met with a repulse. He told them, that if he ever chose to forgive their offence, it would be from his own goodness, not from their application, lest he should seem to be compelled to it. Some time after, when they despaired of obtaining this concession, he was pleased to issue a pardon to the laity; and the Commons expressed great gratitude for that act of clemency.²

By this strict execution of the statute of provisors (A.D. 1532), a great part of the profit, and still more of the power, of the court of

¹ Antiq. Brit. Eccles., p. 325; Burnet, vol. i., p. 106.

² Hall's Chron.; Holingshed, p. 923; Baker, p. 203.

Rome was cut off; and the connections between the Pope and the English clergy were in some measure dissolved. The next session found both king and parliament in the same dispositions. An act was (A.D. 1532, Jan. 15) passed against levying the annates or first fruits,¹ being a year's rent of all the bishoprics that fell vacant; a tax which was imposed by the court of Rome for granting bulls to the new prelates, and which was found to amount to considerable sums. Since the second of Henry VII., no less than one hundred and sixty thousand pounds had been transmitted to Rome on account of this claim, which the parliament therefore reduced to five per cent., on all the episcopal benefices. The better to keep the Pope in awe, the king was entrusted with a power of regulating these payments, and of confirming or infringing this act at his pleasure; and it was voted, that any censures which should be passed by the court of Rome on account of that law should be entirely disregarded; and that mass should be said, and the sacraments administered, as if no such censures had been issued.

This session the Commons preferred to the king a long complaint against the abuses and oppressions of the ecclesiastical courts; and they were proceeding to enact laws for remedying them, when a difference arose, which put an end to the session before the parliament had finished all their business. It was become a custom for men to make such settlements, or trust-deeds of their lands by will, that they defrauded, not only the king, but all other lords, of their wards, marriages, and reliefs; and by the same artifice the king was deprived of his premier seisin, and the profits of the livery, which were no inconsiderable branches of his revenue. Henry made a bill be drawn to moderate, not remedy altogether this abuse; he was contented that every man should have the liberty of disposing in this manner of the half of his land; and he told the parliament in plain terms, 'If they would not take a reasonable thing when it was offered, he would search out the extremity of the law, and then would not offer them so much again.' The Lords came willingly into his terms; but the Commons rejected the bill: a singular instance, where Henry might see that his power and authority, though extensive, had yet some boundaries. The Commons, however, found reason to repent of their victory. The king made good his threats; he called together the judges and ablest lawyers, who argued the question in chancery; and it was decided, that a man could not by law bequeath any part of his lands in prejudice of his heir (Burnet, vol. i., p. 116).

The parliament being again (April 10, 1532) assembled after a short prorogation, the king caused the two oaths to be read to them, that which the bishops took to the Pope, and that to the king, on their installation; and as a contradiction might be suspected between them, while the prelates seemed to swear allegiance to two sovereigns (Burnet, vol. i., pp. 123, 124), the parliament showed their intention of abolishing the oath to the Pope, when their proceedings were suddenly stopped by the breaking out of the plague at Westminster, which occasioned a prorogation. It is remarkable that one Temse ventured this session to move, that the house should address the king to take

¹ Burnet, vol. i., Collect. No. 41; Strype, vol. i., p. 144.

back the queen, and stop the prosecution of his divorce. This motion made the king send for Audley, the speaker, and explain to him the scruples with which his conscience had long been burdened; scruples, he said, which had proceeded from no wanton appetite, which had arisen after the fervours of youth were past, and which were confirmed by the concurring sentiments of all the learned societies in Europe. Except in Spain and in Portugal, he added, it was never heard of, that any man had espoused two sisters; but he himself had the misfortune, he believed, to be the first Christian man that had ever married his brother's widow (Herbert, p. 483, ed. 1870; Hall, fol. 205).

After the prorogation, Sir Thomas More, the chancellor, foreseeing that all the measures of the king and parliament led to a breach with the Church of Rome, and to an alteration of religion, with which his principles would not permit him to concur, desired leave to resign the great seal; and he descended from this high station with more joy and alacrity than he had mounted up to it. The austerity of this man's virtue, and the sanctity of his manners, had nowise encroached on the gentleness of his temper, or even diminished that frolic and gaiety to which he was naturally inclined. He sported with all the varieties of fortune into which he was thrown; and neither the pride naturally attending a high station, nor the melancholy incident to poverty and retreat, could ever lay hold of his serene and equal spirit. While his family discovered symptoms of sorrow, on laying down the grandeur and magnificence to which they had been accustomed, he drew a subject of mirth from their distresses, and made them ashamed of losing even a moment's cheerfulness on account of such trivial misfortunes. The king, who had entertained a high opinion of his virtue, received his resignation with some difficulty; and he delivered the great seal soon after to Sir Thomas Audley.

During these transactions in England, and these invasions of the papal and ecclesiastical authority, the court of Rome was not without solicitude; and she entertained just apprehensions of losing entirely her authority in England; the kingdom which, of all others, had long been the most devoted to the holy see, and which had yielded it the most ample revenue. While the imperial cardinals pushed Clement to proceed to extremities against the king, his more moderate and impartial counsellors represented to him the indignity of his proceedings; that a great monarch, who had signalised himself, both by his pen and his sword, in the case of the Pope, should be denied a favour which he demanded on such just grounds, and which had scarcely ever before been refused to any person of his rank and station. Notwithstanding these remonstrances, the queen's appeal was received at Rome; the king was cited to appear; and several consistories were held, to examine the validity of their marriage. Henry was determined not to send any proxy to plead his cause before this court; he only dispatched Sir Edward Karne and Dr. Bonner, in quality of excusators, so they were called, to carry his apology for not paying that deference to the papal authority. The prerogatives of the crown, he said, must be sacrificed, if he allowed appeals from his own kingdom; and as the question regarded conscience, not power or interest, no proxy could supply his place, or convey that satisfaction which the dic-

tates of his own mind alone could confer. In order to support himself in this measure, and add greater security to his intended defection from Rome, he procured (Oct. 11) an interview with Francis at Boulogne and Calais, where he renewed his personal friendship as well as public alliance with that monarch, and concerted all measures for their mutual defence. He even employed arguments, by which he believed he had persuaded Francis to imitate his example, in withdrawing his obedience from the Bishop of Rome, and administering ecclesiastical affairs without having farther recourse to that see. And being now fully determined in his own mind, as well as resolute to stand all consequences, he privately celebrated (Nov. 14) his marriage with Anne Boleyn, whom he had previously created Marchioness of Pembroke. Rowland Lee, soon after raised to the bishopric of Coventry, officiated at the marriage. The Duke of Norfolk, uncle to the new queen, her father, mother, and brother, together with Dr. Cranmer, were present at the ceremony (Herbert, p. 489, ed. 1870). Anne became pregnant soon after her marriage; and this event both gave great satisfaction to the king, and was regarded by the people as a strong proof of the queen's former modesty and virtue.

The parliament was again assembled (A.D. 1533, Feb. 4), and Henry, in conjunction with the great council of the nation, proceeded still in those gradual and secure steps by which they loosened their connections with the see of Rome, and repressed the usurpations of the Roman pontiff. An act was made against all appeals to Rome in causes of matrimony, divorces, wills, and other suits cognisable in ecclesiastical courts; appeals esteemed dishonourable to the kingdom, by subjecting it to a foreign jurisdiction, and found to be very vexatious, by the expense and the delay of justice which necessarily attended them (24 Hen. 8, c. 12). The more to show his disregard to the Pope, Henry, finding the new queen's pregnancy to advance, publicly owned his marriage; and in order to remove all doubts with regard to its lawfulness, he prepared measures for declaring, by a formal sentence, the invalidity of his marriage with Catherine; a sentence which ought naturally to have preceded his espousing of Anne (Collier, vol. ii., p. 31., and Records No. 8).

The king, even amidst his scruples and remorses on account of his first marriage, had always treated Catherine with respect and distinction; and he endeavoured, by every soft and persuasive art, to engage her to depart from her appeal to Rome, and her opposition to his divorce. Finding her obstinate in maintaining the justice of her cause, he had totally forborne all visits and intercourse with her; and had desired her to make choice of any one of his palaces in which she should please to reside. She had fixed her abode for some time at Amphyll, near Dunstable; and it was in this latter town that Cranmer, now created Archbishop of Canterbury on the death of Warham,¹ was

¹ Bishop Burnet has given us an account of the number of bulls requisite for Cranmer's installation. By one bull, directed to the king, he is, upon the royal nomination, made archbishop of Canterbury. By a second, directed to himself, he is also made archbishop. By a third, he is absolved from all censures. A fourth is directed to the suffragans, requiring them to receive and acknowledge him as archbishop. A fifth to the dean and chapter, to the same purpose. A sixth to the clergy of Canterbury. A seventh to all the laity in his see. An eighth to all that held lands of it. By a ninth he was ordered to be consecrated, taking the

appointed to open his court for examining the validity of her marriage. The near neighbourhood of the place was chosen, in order to deprive her of all plea of ignorance; and as she made no answer to the citation, either by herself or proxy, she was declared contumacious; and the primate proceeded to the examination of the cause. The evidences of Arthur's consummation of his marriage were anew produced; the opinions of the universities were read, together with the judgment pronounced two years before by the convocations both of Canterbury and York; and, after these preliminary steps, Cranmer proceeded to a sentence, and annulled the king's marriage with Catherine as unlawful and invalid. By a subsequent sentence he ratified the marriage with Anne Boleyn, who soon after was publicly crowned queen, with all the pomp and dignity suited to that ceremony (Heylin, p. 6). To complete the king's satisfaction on the conclusion of this intricate and vexatious affair, she (A.D. 1533, Sept. 7) was safely delivered of a daughter, who received the name of Elizabeth, and who afterwards swayed the sceptre with such renown and felicity. Henry was so much delighted with the birth of this child, that, soon after, he conferred on her the title of Princess of Wales (Burnet, vol. i., p. 134); a step somewhat irregular, as she could only be presumptive, not apparent, heir of the crown. But he had, during his former marriage, thought proper to honour his daughter Mary with that title; and he was determined to bestow on the offspring of his present marriage the same mark of distinction, as well as to exclude the elder princess from all hopes of the succession. His regard for the new queen seemed rather to increase than diminish by his marriage; and all men expected to see the entire ascendant of one who had mounted a throne, from which her birth had set her at so great a distance, and who, by a proper mixture of severity and indulgence, had long managed so intractable a spirit as that of Henry. In order to efface, as much as possible, all marks of his first marriage, Lord Mountjoy was sent to the unfortunate and divorced queen, to inform her that she was thenceforth to be treated only as Princess Dowager of Wales; and all means were employed to make her acquiesce in that determination. But she continued obstinate in maintaining the validity of her marriage; and she would admit no person to her presence who did not approach her with the accustomed ceremonial. Henry, forgetting his wonted generosity towards her, employed menaces against such of her servants as complied with her commands in this particular; but was never able to make her relinquish her title and pretensions (Herbert, p. 326; Burnet, vol. i., p. 132).

When intelligence was conveyed to Rome of these transactions, so injurious to the authority and reputation of the holy see, the conclave was in a rage, and all the cardinals of the imperial faction urged the Pope to proceed to a definitive sentence, and to dart his spiritual thun-

oath that was in the pontifical. By a tenth the pall was sent him. By an eleventh, the Archbishop of York and the Bishop of London were required to put it on him. These were so many devices to draw fees to offices, which the popes had erected and disposed of for money. It may be worth observing, that Cranmer before he took the oath to the Pope, made a protestation, that he did not thereby intend to restrain himself from anything that he was bound to, either by his duty to God, the king, or the country; and that he renounced everything in it that was contrary to any of these. This was the invention of some casuist, and not very compatible with that strict sincerity, and that scrupulous conscience, of which Cranmer made profession. Collier, vol. ii., in Coll. No. 22; Burnet, vol. i., pp. 128, 129.

ders against Henry. But Clement proceeded no farther than to declare the nullity of Cranmer's sentence, as well as that of Henry's second marriage; threatening him with excommunication, if, before the first of November ensuing, he did not replace everything in the condition in which it formerly stood (Le Grand, vol. iii., p. 566). An event had happened, from which the pontiff expected a more amicable conclusion of the difference, and which hindered him from carrying matters to extremity against the king.

The Pope had claims upon the duchy of Ferrara for the sovereignty of Reggio and Modena (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 133; Guicciardini); and, having submitted his pretensions to the arbitration of the emperor, he was surprised to find a sentence pronounced against him. Enraged at this disappointment, he hearkened to proposals of amity from Francis; and when that monarch made overtures of marrying the Duke of Orleans, his second son, to Catherine of Medicis, niece of the Pope, Clement gladly embraced an alliance, by which his family was so much honoured. An interview was even appointed between the Pope and French king at Marseilles; and Francis, as a common friend, there employed his good offices in mediating an accommodation between his new ally and the King of England.

Had this connection of France with the court of Rome taken place a few years sooner, there had been little difficulty in adjusting the quarrel with Henry. The king's request was an ordinary one; and the same plenary power of the Pope, which had granted a dispensation for his espousing of Catherine, could easily have annulled the marriage. But in the progress of the quarrel the state of affairs was much changed on both sides. Henry had shaken off much of that reverence which he had early imbibed for the apostolic see; and, finding that his subjects, of all ranks, had taken part with him, and willingly complied with his measures for breaking off foreign dependence, he had begun to relish his spiritual authority, and would scarcely, it was apprehended, be induced to renew his submissions to the Roman pontiff. The Pope, on the other hand, now ran a manifest risk of infringing his authority by a compliance with the king; and, as a sentence of divorce could no longer be rested on nullities in Julius's bull, but would be construed as an acknowledgment of papal usurpations, it was foreseen that the Lutherans would thence take occasion of triumph, and would persevere more obstinately in their present principles. But, notwithstanding these obstacles, Francis did not despair of mediating an agreement. He observed that the king had still some remains of prejudice in favour of the Catholic church, and was apprehensive of the consequences which might ensue from too violent innovations. He saw the interest that Clement had in preserving the obedience of England, which was one of the richest jewels in the papal crown. And he hoped that these motives on both sides would facilitate a mutual agreement, and would forward the effects of his good offices.

Francis first prevailed on the Pope to promise, that if the king would send a proxy to Rome, and thereby submit his cause to the holy see, he should appoint commissioners to meet at Cambray, and form the process; and he should immediately afterwards pronounce the sentence of divorce required of him. Bellay, Bishop of Paris, was next dis

patched to London, and obtained a promise from the king, that he would submit his cause to the Roman consistory, provided the cardinals of the imperial faction were excluded from it. The prelate carried this verbal promise to Rome; and the Pope agreed, that if the king would sign a written agreement to the same purpose, his demands should be fully complied with. A day was appointed for the return of the messengers, and all Europe regarded this affair, which had threatened a violent rupture between England and the Romish church, as drawing towards an amicable conclusion (Father Paul, lib. 1). But the greatest affairs often depend on the most frivolous incidents. The courier, who carried the king's written promise, was detained beyond the day appointed; news was brought to Rome that a libel had been published in England against the court of Rome, and a farce acted before the king, in derision of the Pope and cardinals (*Ibid.*, lib. 1). The Pope and cardinals (A.D. 1534, March 23) entered into the consistory inflamed with anger; and, by a precipitate sentence, the marriage of Henry and Catherine was pronounced valid, and Henry declared to be excommunicated if he refused to adhere to it. Two days after, the courier arrived; and Clement, who had been hurried from his usual prudence, found, that though he heartily repented of this hasty measure, it would be difficult for him to retract it, or replace affairs on the same footing as before.

It is not probable that the Pope, had he conducted himself with ever so great moderation and temper, could hope, during the lifetime of Henry, to have regained much authority or influence in England. That monarch was of a temper both impetuous and obstinate; and, having proceeded so far in throwing off the papal yoke, he never could again have been brought tamely to bend his neck to it. Even at the time when he was negotiating a reconciliation with Rome, he either entertained so little hopes of success, or was so indifferent about the event, that he had (Jan. 15, 1534) assembled a parliament, and continued to enact laws totally destructive of the papal authority. The people had been prepared, by degrees, for this great innovation. Each preceding session had retrenched somewhat from the power and profits of the pontiff. Care had been taken, during some years, to teach the nation that a general council was much superior to a Pope. But now a bishop preached every Sunday at Paul's Cross, in order to inculcate the doctrine, that the Pope was entitled to no authority at all beyond the bounds of his own diocese (Burnet, vol. i., p. 144). The proceedings of the parliament showed that they had entirely adopted this opinion; and there is reason to believe that the king, after having procured a favourable sentence from Rome, which would have removed all doubts with regard to his second marriage and the succession, might indeed have lived on terms of civility with the Roman pontiff, but never would have surrendered to him any considerable share of his assumed prerogative. The importance of the laws passed this session, even before intelligence arrived of the violent resolutions taken at Rome, is sufficient to justify this opinion.

All payments made to the apostolic chamber, all provisions, bulls, dispensations, were abolished; monasteries were subjected to the visitation and government of the king alone; the law for punishing

heretics was moderated, the ordinary was prohibited from imprisoning or trying any person upon suspicion alone, without presentment by two lawful witnesses, and it was declared that to speak against the Pope's authority was no heresy; bishops were to be appointed by a 'congrégation' from the crown, or, in case of the dean and chapter's refusal, by letters patent; and no recourse was to be had to Rome for palls, bulls, or provisions; Campeggio and Ghinucci, two Italians, were deprived of the bishoprics of Salisbury and Worcester, which they had hitherto enjoyed (Le Neve's *Fasti Eccles. Angl.*). The law which had been formerly made against paying annates or first fruits, but which had been left in the king's power to suspend or enforce, was finally established; and a submission which was exacted two years before from the clergy, and which had been obtained with great difficulty, received, this session, the sanction of parliament (25 H. 8, c. 19). In this submission, the clergy acknowledged that convocations ought to be assembled by the king's authority only, they promise to enact no new canons without his consent, and they agree that he should appoint thirty-two commissioners, in order to examine the old canons and abrogate such as should be found prejudicial to his royal prerogative (Collier, vol. ii., pp. 69, 70). An appeal was also allowed from the bishop's court to the king in Chancery.

But the most important law passed this session was that which (March 20) regulated the succession to the crown; the marriage of the king with Catherine was declared unlawful, void, and of no effect; the primate's sentence, annulling it, was ratified; and the marriage with Queen Anne was established and confirmed. The crown was appointed to descend to the issue of this marriage, and failing them to the king's heirs for ever. An oath, likewise, was enjoined to be taken in favour of this order of succession under the penalty of imprisonment during the king's pleasure and forfeiture of goods and chattels. And all slander against the king, queen, or their issue, was subjected to the penalty of misprision of treason. After these compliances the parliament was prorogued, and those acts, so contemptuous towards the Pope and so destructive towards authority, were passed at the very time that Clement pronounced his hasty sentence against the king. Henry's resentment against Catherine on account of her obstinacy was the reason why he excluded her daughter from all hopes of succeeding to the crown, contrary to his first intentions when he began the process of divorce and of dispensation for a second marriage.

The king found his ecclesiastical subjects as compliant as the laity. The convocation ordered that the act against appeals to Rome, together with the king's appeal from the Pope to a general council, should be affixed to the doors of all the churches in the kingdom; and they voted that the Bishop of Rome had, by the law of God, no more jurisdiction in England than any other foreign bishop, and that the authority which he and his predecessors had there exercised was only by usurpation, and by the sufferance of English princes. Four persons alone opposed this vote in the lower house, and one doubted. It passed unanimously in the upper. The bishops went so far in their complaisance that they took out new commissions from the crown, in which all their spiritual and episcopal authority was expressly affirmed

to be derived ultimately from the civil magistrate, and to be entirely dependent on his good pleasure (Collier's Eccles. Hist., vol. ii.).

The oath regarding the succession was generally taken throughout the kingdom. Fisher, Bishop of Rochester, and Sir Thomas More, were the only persons of note that entertained scruples with regard to its legality. Fisher was obnoxious, on account of some practices into which his credulity, rather than any bad intentions, seems to have betrayed him. But More was the person of greatest reputation in the kingdom for virtue and integrity; and as it was believed that his authority would have influence on the sentiments of others, great pains were taken to convince him of the lawfulness of the oath. He declared that he had no scruple with regard to the succession, and thought that the parliament had full power to settle it; he offered to draw an oath himself, which would ensure his allegiance to the heir appointed; but he refused the oath prescribed by law, because the preamble of that oath asserted the legality of the king's marriage with Anne, and thereby implied that his former marriage with Catherine was unlawful and invalid. Cranmer, the primate, and Cromwell, now secretary of state, who highly loved and esteemed More, entreated him to lay aside his scruples, and their friendly importunity seemed to weigh more with him than all the penalties attending his refusal (Burnet, vol. i., p. 156). He persisted however, in a mild, though firm manner, to maintain his resolution; and the king, irritated against him as well as Fisher, ordered both to be indicted upon the statute and committed them prisoners in the Tower.

The parliament being (Nov. 3) again assembled, conferred on the king the title of the only supreme '*head*,' on earth, of the Church of England; as they had already invested him with all the real power belonging to it. In this memorable act the parliament granted him power, or rather acknowledged his inherent power, 'to visit, and repress, redress, reform, order, correct, restrain, or amend all errors, heresies, abuses, offences, contempts, and enormities, which fell under any spiritual authority or jurisdiction' (26 H. 8, c. i.). They also declared it treason to attempt, imagine, or speak evil against the king, queen, or his heirs, or to endeavour depriving them of their dignities or titles. They gave him a right to all the annates and tithes of benefices which had formerly being paid to the court of Rome. They granted him a subsidy and a fifteenth. They attainted More and Fisher for misprision of treason. And they completed the union of England and Wales, by giving to that principality all the benefit of the English laws.

Thus the authority of the Popes, like all exorbitant power, was ruined by the excess of its acquisitions, and by stretching its pretensions beyond what it was possible for any human principles or prepossessions to sustain. Indulgences had in former ages tended extremely to enrich the holy see, but being openly abused they served to excite the first commotions and opposition in Germany. The prerogative of granting dispensations had also contributed much to attach all the sovereign princes and great families in Europe to the papal authority; but, meeting with an unlucky concurrence of circumstances, was now the cause why England separated herself from the Romish

communion. The acknowledgment of the king's supremacy introduced there a greater simplicity in the government by uniting the spiritual with the civil power and preventing disputes about limits, which never could be exactly determined between the contending jurisdictions. A way was also prepared for checking the exorbitances of superstition, and breaking those shackles by which all human reason, policy, and industry had so long been encumbered. The prince, it may be supposed, being head of the religion as well as of the temporal jurisdiction of the kingdom, though he might sometimes employ the former as an engine of government, had no interest like the Roman pontiff, in nourishing its excessive growth; and, except when blinded by his own ignorance or bigotry, would be sure to retain it within tolerable limits and prevent its abuses. And on the whole, there followed from this revolution many beneficial consequences, though perhaps neither foreseen nor intended by the persons who had the chief hand in the conducting of it.

While Henry proceeded with so much order and tranquillity in changing the national religion, and while his authority seemed entirely secure in England, he was held in some inquietude by the state of affairs in Ireland and in Scotland.

The Earl of Kildare was deputy of Ireland, under the Duke of Richmond, the king's natural son, who bore the title of lieutenant; and as Kildare was accused of some violences against the family of Ossory his hereditary enemies, he was summoned to answer for his conduct. He left his authority in the hands of his son, who, hearing that his father was thrown into prison and was in danger of his life, immediately took up arms, and joining himself to O'Neale, O'Carrol, and other Irish nobility, committed many ravages, murdered Allen, Archbishop of Dublin, and laid siege to that city. Kildare meanwhile died in prison, and his son persevering in his revolt, made applications to the emperor, who promised him assistance. The king was obliged to send over some forces to Ireland, which so harassed the rebels that this young nobleman, finding the emperor backward in fulfilling his promises, was reduced to the necessity of surrendering himself prisoner to Lord Leonard Gray, the new deputy, brother to the Marquis of Dorset. He was carried over to England, together with his five uncles, and after trial and conviction they were all brought to public justice, though two of the uncles, in order to save the family, had pretended to join the king's party.

The Earl of Angus had acquired the entire ascendant in Scotland, and having gotten possession of the king's person, then in early youth, he was able by means of that advantage and by employing the power of his own family, to retain the reins of government. The queen-dowager, however, his consort, bred him great disturbance. For having separated herself from him on account of some jealousies and disgusts, and having procured a divorce, she had married another man of quality of the name of Stuart, and she joined all the discontented nobility who opposed Angus's authority. James himself was dissatisfied with the slavery to which he was reduced, and by secret correspondence he incited first Walter Scot, then the Earl of Lennox, to attempt by force of arms the freeing him from the hands of Angus.

Both enterprises failed of success, but James, impatient of restraint, found means at last of escaping to Stirling where his mother then resided, and having summoned all the nobility to attend him, he overturned the authority of the Douglasses and obliged Angus and his brother to fly into England, where they were protected by Henry. The King of Scotland, being now arrived at years of majority, took the government into his own hands, and employed himself with great spirit and valour in repressing those feuds, ravages, and disorders, which, though they disturbed the course of public justice, served to support the martial spirit of the Scots, and contributed by that means to maintain national independency. He was desirous of renewing the ancient league with the French nation, but finding Francis in close union with England and on that account somewhat cold in hearkening to his proposals, he received the more favourably the advances of the emperor, who hoped by means of such an ally, to breed disturbance to England. He offered the Scottish king the choice of three princesses, his own near relations and all of the name of Mary; his sister the dowager of Hungary, his niece a daughter of Portugal, or his cousin the daughter of Henry, whom he pretended to dispose of unknown to her father. James was more inclined to the latter proposal, had it not, upon reflection, been found impracticable, and his natural propensity to France at last prevailed over all other considerations. The alliance with Francis necessarily engaged James to maintain peace with England. But though invited by his uncle Henry, to confer with him at Newcastle, and concert common measures for repressing the ecclesiastics in both kingdoms, and shaking off the yoke of Rome, he could not be prevailed on, by entering England, to put himself in the king's power. In order to have a pretext for refusing the conference, he applied to the Pope and obtained a brief, forbidding him to engage in any personal negotiations with an enemy of the holy see. From these measures, Henry easily concluded that he could very little depend on the friendship of his nephew. But those events took not place till some time after our present period.

CHAPTER. XXXI.

Religious principles of the people—of the king—of the ministers.—Farther progress of the reformation.—Sir Thomas More.—The Maid of Kent.—Trial and execution of Fisher, Bishop of Rochester—of Sir Thomas More.—King excommunicated.—Death of Queen Catherine.—Suppression of the lesser monasteries.—A Parliament.—A convocation.—Translation of the Bible.—Disgrace of Queen Anne.—Her trial—and execution.—A Parliament.—A convocation.—Discontents among the people.—Insurrection.—Birth of Prince Edward, and death of Queen Jane.—Suppression of the greater monasteries.—Cardinal Pole.

THE ancient and almost uninterrupted opposition of interests between the laity and clergy in England, and between the English clergy

and the court of Rome, had sufficiently prepared the nation for a breach with the sovereign pontiff, and men had penetration enough to discover abuses, which were plainly calculated for the temporal advantages of the hierarchy, and which they found destructive of their own. These subjects seemed proportioned to human understanding, and even the people, who felt the power of interest in their own breast, could perceive the purpose of those numerous inventions, which the interested spirit of the Roman pontiff had introduced into religion. But when the reformers proceeded thence to dispute concerning the nature of the sacraments, the operations of grace, the terms of acceptance with the Deity, men were thrown into amazement, and were during some time at a loss how to choose their party. The profound ignorance in which both the clergy and laity formerly lived, and their freedom from theological altercations, had produced a sincere but indolent acquiescence in received opinions, and the multitude were neither attached to them by topics of reasoning, nor by those prejudices and antipathies against opponents, which have ever a more natural and powerful influence over them. As soon therefore as a new opinion was advanced, supported by such an authority as to call up their attention, they felt their capacity totally unfitted for such disquisitions, and they perpetually fluctuated between the contending parties. Hence the quick and violent movements by which the people were agitated, even in the most opposite directions; hence their seeming prostitution, in sacrificing to present power the most sacred principles; and hence the rapid progress during some time, and the sudden as well as entire check soon after, of the new doctrines. When men were once settled in their particular sects, and had fortified themselves in a habitual detestation of those who were denominated heretics, they adhered with more obstinacy to the principles of their education, and the limits of the two religions thenceforth remained fixed and unchangeable.

Nothing more forwarded the first progress of the reformers, than the offer which they made, of submitting all religious doctrines to private judgment, and the summons given every one to examine the principles formerly imposed upon him. Though the multitude were totally unqualified for this undertaking, they yet were highly pleased with it. They fancied that they were exercising their judgment, while they opposed to the prejudices of ancient authority more powerful prejudices of another kind. The novelty itself of the doctrines, the pleasure of an imaginary triumph in dispute, the fervent zeal of the reformed preachers, their patience and even alacrity in suffering persecution, death, and torments, a disgust at the restraints of the old religion, an indignation against the tyranny and interested spirit of the ecclesiastics, these motives were prevalent with the people, and by such considerations were men so generally induced during that age to throw off the religion of their ancestors.

But in proportion as the practice of submitting religion to private judgment was acceptable to the people, it appeared in some respects dangerous to the rights of sovereigns, and seemed to destroy that implicit obedience on which the authority of the civil magistrate is chiefly founded. The very precedent of shaking so ancient and deep

founded an establishment as that of the Romish hierarchy, might, it was apprehended, prepare the way for other innovations. The republican spirit which naturally took place among the reformers increased this jealousy. The furious insurrections of the populace, excited by Muncer and other anabaptists in Germany (Sleidan, lib. 4 and 5), furnished a new pretence for decrying the reformation. Nor ought we to conclude, because Protestants in our time prove as dutiful subjects as those of other communions, that therefore such apprehensions were altogether without any shadow of plausibility.

Though the liberty of private judgment be tendered to the disciples of the reformation, it is not in reality accepted of, and men are generally contented to acquiesce implicitly in those establishments, however new, into which their early education has thrown them.

No prince in Europe was possessed of such absolute authority as Henry, not even the Pope himself in his own capital, where he united both the civil and ecclesiastical powers,¹ and there was small likelihood that any doctrine which lay under the imputation of encouraging sedition could ever pretend to his favour and countenance. But besides this political jealousy, there was another reason which inspired this imperious monarch with an aversion to the reformers. He had early declared his sentiments against Luther, and having entered the lists in those scholastic quarrels, he had received from his courtiers and theologians infinite applause for his performance. Elated by this imaginary success, and blinded by a natural arrogance and obstinacy of temper, he had entertained the most lofty opinion of his own erudition, and he received with impatience, mixed with contempt, any contradiction to his sentiments. Luther also had been so imprudent as to treat in a very indecent manner his royal antagonist, and though he afterwards made the most humble submissions to Henry, and apologised for the vehemence of his former expressions, he never could efface the hatred which the king had conceived against him and his doctrines. The idea of heresy still appeared detestable as well as formidable to that prince, and whilst his resentment against the see of Rome had corrected one considerable part of his early prejudices, he had made it a point of honour never to relinquish the remainder. Separate as he stood from the Catholic Church and from the Roman pontiff, the head of it, he still valued himself on maintaining the Catholic doctrine, and on guarding by fire and sword the imagined purity of his speculative principles.

Henry's ministers and courtiers were of as motley a character as his conduct, and seemed to waver during this whole reign, between the ancient and the new religion. The queen, engaged by interest as well as inclination, favoured the cause of the reformers; Cromwell, who was created secretary of state, and who was daily advancing in the

¹ Here are the terms in which the king's minister expressed himself to the Pope. 'An non inquam, sanitus vestrae plerosque habet quibuscumque arcanum aliquid crediderit, putet id non minus celatum esse quam si uno tantum pectore contineretur; quod multo magis serenissimo Angliæ Regi evenire debet cui singuli in suo regno sunt subjecti, neque etiam velent, possunt Regi non esse fidelissimi. Væ namque illis, si vel parvo momento ab illius voluntate recederent.' Le Grand, tom. iii., p. 113. The king once said publicly before the council, that if any one spoke of him or his actions, in terms which became them not, he would let them know that he was master. 'Et qu'il n'y auroit si belle tête qu'il ne fit voler.' Id., p. 21.8

king's confidence, had embraced the same views, and as he was a man of prudence and abilities, he was able very effectually, though in a covert manner, to promote the late innovations; Cranmer, Archbishop of Canterbury, had secretly adopted the Protestant tenets, and he had gained Henry's friendship by his candour and sincerity, virtues which he possessed in as eminent a degree as those times, equally distracted with faction, and oppressed by tyranny, could easily permit. On the other hand, the Duke of Norfolk adhered to the ancient faith, and by his high rank, as well as by his talents both for peace and war, he had great authority in the king's council; Gardiner, lately created Bishop of Winchester, had enlisted himself in the same party, and the suppleness of his character, and dexterity of his conduct, had rendered him extremely useful to it.

All these ministers, while they stood in the most irreconcilable opposition of principles to each other, were obliged to disguise their particular opinions, and to pretend an entire agreement with the sentiments of their master. Cromwell and Cranmer still carried the appearance of a conformity to the ancient speculative tenets, but they artfully made use of Henry's resentment to widen the breach with the see of Rome. Norfolk and Gardiner feigned an assent to the king's supremacy, and to his renunciation of the sovereign pontiff, but they encouraged his passion for the Catholic faith, and instigated him to punish those daring heretics who had presumed to reject his theological principles. Both sides hoped by their unlimited compliance to bring him over to their party; the king, meanwhile, who held the balance between the factions, was enabled by the courtship paid him both by Protestants and Catholics, to assume an unbounded authority; and though in all his measures he was really driven by his ungoverned humour, he casually steered a course which led more certainly to arbitrary power than any which the most profound politics could have traced out to him. Artifice, refinement, and hypocrisy, in his situation, would have put both parties on their guard against him, and would have taught them reserve in complying with a monarch whom they could never hope thoroughly to have gained; but while the frankness, sincerity, and openness of Henry's temper were generally known, as well as the dominion of his furious passions, each side dreaded to lose him by the smallest opposition, and flattered themselves that a blind compliance with his will would throw him cordially and fully into their interests.

The ambiguity of the king's conduct, though it kept the courtiers in awe, served in the main to encourage the Protestant doctrine among his subjects, and promoted that spirit of innovation with which the age was generally seized, and which nothing but an entire uniformity, as well as a steady severity in the administration, could be able to repress. There were some Englishmen, Tindale, Joye, Constantine, and others, who dreading the exertion of the king's authority, had fled to Antwerp (Burnet, vol. i., p. 159), where the great privileges possessed by the Low Country provinces, served during some time to give them protection. These men employed themselves in writing English books against the corruptions of the Church of Rome, against images, relics, pilgrimages, and they excited the curiosity of men with regard

to that question, the most important in theology, the terms of acceptance with the Supreme Being. In conformity to the Lutherans and other Protestants, they asserted that salvation was obtained by faith alone, and that the most infallible road to perdition¹ was a reliance on 'good works,' by which terms they understood, as well the moral duties as the ceremonial and monastic observances. The defenders of the ancient religion, on the other hand, maintained the efficacy of 'good works,' but though they did not exclude from this appellation the social virtues, it was still the superstitions gainful to the church which they chiefly extolled and recommended. The books composed by these fugitives having stolen over to England, began to make converts everywhere; but it was a translation of the Scriptures by Tindale that was esteemed the most dangerous to the established faith. The first edition of this work, composed with little accuracy, was found liable to considerable objections, and Tindale, who was poor, and could not afford to lose a great part of the impression, was longing for an opportunity of correcting his errors, of which he had been made sensible. Tunstal, then Bishop of London, soon after of Durham, a man of great moderation, being desirous to discourage in the gentlest manner these innovations, gave private orders for buying up all the copies that could be found at Antwerp, and he burned them publicly in Cheapside. By this measure he supplied Tindale with money, enabled him to print a new and a more correct edition of his work, and gave great scandal to the people, in thus committing to the flames the word of God.²

The disciples of the reformation met with little severity during the ministry of Wolsey, who, though himself a clergyman, bore too small a regard to the ecclesiastical order to serve as an instrument of their tyranny; it was even an article of impeachment against him,³ that, by his connivance, he had encouraged the growth of heresy, and that he had protected and acquitted some notorious offenders. Sir Thomas More, who succeeded Wolsey as chancellor, is at once an object deserving our compassion, and an instance of the usual progress of men's sentiments during that age. This man, whose elegant genius and familiar acquaintance with the noble spirit of antiquity had given him very enlarged sentiments, and who had, in his early years, advanced principles which even at present would be deemed somewhat too free, had, in the course of events, been so irritated by polemics, and thrown into such a superstitious attachment to the ancient faith, that few inquisitors have been guilty of greater violence in their prosecution of heresy. Though adorned with the gentlest manners, as well as the purest integrity, he carried to the utmost height his aversion to heterodoxy; and James Bainham, in particular, a gentleman of the Temple, experienced from him the greatest severity. Bainham, accused of favouring the new opinions, was carried to More's house; and having refused to discover his accomplices, the chancellor ordered him to be

¹ 'Sacilegium est et impietas velle placere Deo per opera et non per solam fidem.' Luther adversus regem. 'Ita vides quam dives sit homo Christianus sive baptizatus, qui etiam volens non potest perdere salutem suam quantiscunque peccatis. Nulla enim peccata possunt eum damnare nisi incredulitas.' Id. de Captiv. Babylon.

² Hall, fol. 186; Fox, vol. i., p. 138; Burnet, vol. i., p. 159.

³ Articles of impeachment in Herbert, p. 543, ed. 1870; Burnet.

whipped in his presence, and afterwards sent him to the Tower, where he himself saw him put to the torture. The unhappy gentleman, overcome by all these severities, abjured his opinions; but feeling afterwards the deepest compunction for his apostasy, he openly returned to his former tenets, and even courted the crown of martyrdom. He was condemned as an obstinate and relapsed heretic, and was burned in Smithfield (Fox; Burnet, vol. i., p. 165).

Many were brought into the bishops' courts for offences which appear trivial, but which were regarded as symbols of the party; some for teaching their children the Lord's prayer in English; others for reading the New Testament in that language, or for speaking against pilgrimages. To harbour the persecuted preachers, to neglect the fasts of the church, to declaim against the vices of the clergy, were capital offences. One Thomas Bilney, a priest, who had embraced the new doctrine, had been terrified into an abjuration; but was so haunted by remorse, that his friends dreaded some fatal effects of his despair. At last his mind seemed to be more relieved; but this appearing calm proceeded only from the resolution which he had taken, of expiating his past offence by an open confession of the truth, and by dying a martyr to it. He went through Norfolk, teaching the people to beware of idolatry, and of trusting for their salvation either to pilgrimages, or to the cowl of St. Francis, to the prayers of the saints, or to images. He was soon seized, tried in the bishop's court, and condemned as a relapsed heretic; and the writ was sent down to burn him. When brought to the stake, he discovered such patience, fortitude, and devotion, that the spectators were much affected with the horrors of his punishment; and some mendicant friars who were present, fearing that his martyrdom would be imputed to them, and make them lose those alms which they received from the charity of the people, desired him publicly to acquit them (Burnet, vol. i., p. 164) of having any hand in his death. He willingly complied; and by this meekness gained the more on the sympathy of the people. Another person, still more heroic, being brought to the stake for denying the real presence, seemed almost in a transport of joy; and he tenderly embraced the faggots, which were to be the instruments of his punishment, as the means of procuring him eternal rest. In short, the tide turning towards the new doctrine, those severe executions, which, in another disposition of men's minds, would have sufficed to suppress it, now served to diffuse it the more among the people, and to inspire them with horror against the unrelenting persecutors.

But though Henry neglected not to punish the protestant doctrine, which he deemed heresy, his most formidable enemies, he knew, were the zealous adherents to the ancient religion, chiefly the monks, who, having their immediate dependence on the Roman pontiff, apprehended their own ruin to be the certain consequence of abolishing his authority in England. Peyto, a friar, preaching before the king, had the assurance to tell him, 'That many lying prophets had deceived him; but he, as a true Micaiah, warned him, that the dogs would lick his blood, as they had done Ahab's' (Strype, vol. i., p. 167). The king took no notice of the insult, but allowed the preacher to depart in peace. Next Sunday he employed Dr. Corren to preach before him: who

justified the king's proceedings, and gave Peyto the appellations of a rebel, a slanderer, a dog, and a traitor. Elston, another friar of the same house, interrupted the preacher, and told him that he was one of the lying prophets, who sought to establish by adultery the succession of the crown; but that he himself would justify all that Peyto had said. Henry silenced the petulant friar; but showed no other mark of resentment than ordering Peyto and him to be summoned before the council, and to be rebuked for their offence (Collier, vol. ii., p. 86; Burnet, vol. i., p. 151). He even here bore patiently some new instances of their obstinacy and arrogance; when the Earl of Essex, a privy councillor, told them that they deserved for their offence to be thrown into the Thames, Elston replied, that the road to heaven lay as near by water as by land (Stow, p. 562).

But several monks were detected in a conspiracy, which, as it might have proved more dangerous to the king, was on its discovery attended with more fatal consequences to themselves. Elizabeth Barton, of Aldington in Kent, commonly called the 'Holy Maid of Kent,' had been subject to hysterical fits, which threw her body into unusual convulsions; and having produced an equal disorder in her mind, made her utter strange sayings, which, as she was scarcely conscious of them during the time, had soon after escaped her memory. The silly people in the neighbourhood were struck with these appearances, which they imagined to be supernatural; and Richard Masters, vicar of the parish, a designing fellow, founded on them a project, from which he hoped to acquire both profit and consideration. He went to Warham, Archbishop of Canterbury, then alive; and having given him an account of Elizabeth's revelations, he so far wrought on that prudent but superstitious prelate, as to receive orders from him to watch her in her trances, and carefully to note down all her future sayings. The regard paid her by a person of so high a rank soon rendered her still more the object of attention to the neighbourhood; and it was easy for Masters to persuade them, as well as the maid herself, that her ravings were inspirations of the Holy Ghost. Knavery, as is usual, soon after succeeding to delusion, she learned to counterfeit trances; and she then uttered, in an extraordinary tone, such speeches as were dictated to her by her spiritual director. Masters associated with him Dr. Bocking, a canon of Canterbury; and their design was to raise the credit of an image of the Virgin, which stood in a chapel belonging to Masters, and to draw to it such pilgrimages as usually frequented the more famous images and relics. In prosecution of this design, Elizabeth pretended revelations, which directed her to have recourse to that image for a cure; and being brought before it in the presence of a great multitude, she fell anew into convulsions, and after distorting her limbs and countenance during a competent time, she affected to have obtained a perfect recovery by the intercession of the Virgin (Stow, p. 570; Blanquet's *Epit. of Chron.*). This miracle was soon bruited abroad; and the two priests, finding the imposture to succeed beyond their own expectations, began to extend their views, and to lay the foundation of more important enterprises. They taught their penitent to declaim against the new doctrines, which they denominated heresy; against innovations in ecclesiastical government; and against the king's in-

tended divorce from Catherine. She went so far as to assert, that if he prosecuted that design and married another, he should not be a king a month longer, and should not an hour enjoy the favour of the Almighty, but should die the death of a villain. Many monks throughout England, either from folly or roguery, or from faction, which is often a complication of both, entered into the delusion; and one Deering, a friar, wrote a book of the revelations and prophecies of Elizabeth (Strype, vol. i., p. 181). Miracles were daily added to increase the wonder; and the pulpit everywhere resounded with accounts of the sanctity and inspirations of the new prophetess. Messages were carried from her to Queen Catherine, by which that princess was exhorted to persist in her opposition to the divorce; the Pope's ambassadors gave encouragement to the popular credulity; and even Fisher, Bishop of Rochester, though a man of sense and learning, was carried away by an opinion so favourable to the party which he had espoused (Collier, vol. ii., p. 87). The king at last began to think the matter worthy of his attention; and having ordered Elizabeth and her accomplices to be arrested, he brought them before the star chamber, where they freely, without being put to the torture, made confession of their guilt. The parliament, in the session held the beginning of this year, passed an act of attainder against some who were engaged in this treasonable imposture (25 Hen. 8, c. 12; Burnet, vol. i., p. 149; Hall, fol. 220); and Elizabeth herself, Masters, Bocking, Deering, Rich, Risby, Gold, suffered for their crime. The Bishop of Rochester, Abel, Addison, Lawrence, and others, were condemned for misprision of treason, because they had not discovered some criminal speeches which they heard from Elizabeth (Godwin's Annals, p. 53); and they were thrown into prison. The better to undeceive the multitude, the forgery of many of the prophetess's miracles was detected; and even the scandalous prostitution of her manners was laid open to the public. Those passions, which so naturally insinuate themselves amidst the warm intimacies maintained by the devotees of different sexes, had taken place between Elizabeth and her confederates; and it was found, that a door to her dormitory, which was said to have been miraculously opened, in order to give her access to the chapel, for the sake of frequent converse with heaven, had been contrived by Bocking and Masters for less refined purposes.

The detection (A.D. 1535) of this imposture, attended with so many odious circumstances, both hurt the credit of the ecclesiastics, particularly the monks, and instigated the king to take vengeance on them. He suppressed three monasteries of the Observantine friars; and finding that little clamour was excited by this act of power, he was the more encouraged to lay his rapacious hands on the remainder. Meanwhile, he exercised punishment on individuals who were obnoxious to him. The parliament had made it treason to endeavour depriving the king of his dignity or titles; they had lately added to his other titles, that of supreme head of the Church; it was inferred, that to deny his supremacy was treason; and many priors and ecclesiastics lost their lives for this new species of guilt. It was certainly a high instance of tyranny to punish the mere delivery of a political opinion, especially one that nowise affected the king's temporal right, as a

capital offence, though attended with no overt act; and the parliament, in passing this law, had overlooked all the principles by which a civilized, much more a free people, should be governed; but the violence of changing so suddenly the whole system of government, and making it treason to deny what, during many ages, it had been heresy to assert, is an event which may appear somewhat extraordinary. Even the stern unrelenting mind of Henry was, at first, shocked with these sanguinary measures; and he went so far as to change his garb and dress; pretending sorrow for the necessity by which he was pushed to such extremities. Still impelled, however, by his violent temper, and desirous of striking a terror into the whole nation, he proceeded, by making examples of Bishop Fisher and Sir Thomas More, to consummate his lawless tyranny.

John Fisher, Bishop of Rochester, was a prelate eminent for learning and morals, still more than for his ecclesiastical dignities, and for the high favour which he had long enjoyed with the king. When he was thrown into prison, on account of his refusing the oath which regarded the succession, and his concealment of Elizabeth Barton's treasonable speeches, he had not only been deprived of all his revenues, but stripped of his very clothes, and, without consideration of his extreme age, he was allowed nothing but rags, which scarcely sufficed to cover his nakedness (Fuller's Church Hist., b. 5., p. 203). In this condition he lay in prison above a twelvemonth; when the Pope, willing to recompense the sufferings of so faithful an adherent, created him a cardinal; though Fisher was so indifferent about that dignity, that, even if the purple were lying at his feet, he declared that he would not stoop to take it. This promotion of a man, merely for his opposition to royal authority, roused the indignation of the king; and he resolved to make the innocent person feel the effects of his resentment. Fisher was indicted for denying the king's supremacy, was tried, condemned, and (June 22) beheaded.

The execution of this prelate was intended as a warning to More, whose compliance, on account of his great authority both abroad and at home, and his high reputation for learning and virtue, was anxiously desired by the king. That prince also bore as great personal affection and regard to More, as his imperious mind, the sport of passions, was susceptible of towards a man who in any particular opposed his violent inclinations. But More could never be prevailed on to acknowledge any opinion so contrary to his principles as that of the king's supremacy; and though Henry exacted that compliance from the whole nation, there was, as yet, no law obliging any one to take an oath to that purpose. Rich, the solicitor general, was sent to confer with More, then a prisoner, who kept a cautious silence with regard to the supremacy; he was only inveigled to say, that any question with regard to the law which established that prerogative was a two-edged sword; if a person answer one way, it will confound his soul; if another, it will destroy his body. No more was wanted to found an indictment of high treason against the prisoner. His silence was called malicious, and made a part of his crime; and these words, which had casually dropped from him, were interpreted as a denial of the supremacy (More's Life of Sir Thos. More; Herbert, p. 543, ed. 1870). Trials

were mere formalities during this reign; the jury gave sentence against More, who had long expected this fate, and who needed no preparation to fortify him against the terrors of death. Not only his constancy, but even his cheerfulness, nay, his usual facetiousness never forsook him: and he made a sacrifice of his life to his integrity, with the same indifference that he maintained in any ordinary occurrence. When he was mounting the scaffold, he said to one, 'Friend, help me up, and 'when I come down again, let me shift for myself.' The executioner asking him forgiveness, he granted the request, but told him, 'You 'will never get credit by beheading me, my neck is so short.' Then laying his head on the block, he bade the executioner stay till he put aside his beard: 'for,' said he, 'it never committed treason.' Nothing was wanting to the glory of this end, except a better cause, more free from weakness and superstition. But as the man followed his principles and sense of duty, however misguided, his constancy and integrity are not the less objects of our admiration. He was (July 6) beheaded, in the fifty-third year of his age.

When the execution of Fisher and More was reported at Rome, especially that of the former, who was invested with the dignity of cardinal, every one discovered the most violent rage against the king: and numerous libels were published, by the wits and orators of Italy, comparing him to Caligula, Nero, Domitian, and all the most unrelenting tyrants of antiquity. Clement VII. had died about six months after he pronounced sentence against the king; and Paul III., of the name of Farnese, had succeeded to the papal throne. This pontiff, who, while cardinal, had always favoured Henry's cause, had hoped, that personal animosities being buried with his predecessor, it might not be impossible to form an agreement with England; and the king himself was so desirous of accommodating matters, that, in a negotiation which he entered into with Francis a little before this time, he required that that monarch should conciliate a friendship between him and the court of Rome. But Henry was accustomed to prescribe, not to receive terms; and even while he was negotiating for peace, his usual violence often carried him to commit offences which rendered the quarrel totally incurable. The execution of Fisher was regarded by Paul as so capital an injury, that he immediately passed censures against the king, citing him and all his adherents to appear in Rome within ninety days, in order to answer for their crimes; if they failed, he excommunicated them; deprived the king of his crown; laid the kingdom under an interdict; declared his issue by Anne Boleyn illegitimate; dissolved all leagues which any catholic princes had made with him; gave his kingdom to any invader; commanded the nobility to take arms against him; freed his subjects from all oaths of allegiance; cut off their commerce with foreign states; and declared it lawful for any one to seize them, to make slaves of their persons, and to convert their effects to his own use (Sanders, p. 148). But though these censures were passed, they were not at that time openly denounced; the Pope delayed their publication, till he should find an agreement with England entirely desperate; and till the emperor, who was at that time hard pressed by the Turks and the protestant princes in Germany, should be able carry to the sentence into execution.

The king knew that he might expect any injury, which it should be in Charles's power to inflict; and he therefore made it the chief object of his policy to incapacitate that monarch from wreaking his resentment upon him (Herbert, p. 533, ed. 1870). He renewed his friendship with Francis, and opened negotiations for marrying his infant daughter, Elizabeth, with the Duke of Angoulême, third son of Francis. These two monarchs also made advances to the princes of the protestant league in Germany, ever jealous of the emperor's ambition; and Henry, besides remitting them some money, sent Fox, Bishop of Hereford, as Francis did Bellay, Lord of Langley, to treat with them. But during the first fervours of the reformation, an agreement in theological tenets was held, as well as a union of interests, to be essential to a good correspondence among states; and though both Francis and Henry flattered the German princes with hopes of their embracing the confession of Augsburg, it was looked upon as a bad symptom of their sincerity, that they exercised such extreme rigour against all preachers of the reformation in their respective dominions (Sleidan, lib. 10). Henry carried the feint so far, that, while he thought himself the first theologian in the world, he yet invited over Melancthon, Bucer, Sturm, Draco, and other German divines, that they might confer with him, and instruct him in the foundation of their tenets. These theologians were now of great importance in the world; and no poet or philosopher, even in ancient Greece, where they were treated with most respect, had ever reached equal applause and admiration with those wretched composers of metaphysical polemics. The German princes told the king, that they could not spare their divines; and as Henry had no hopes of agreement with such zealous disputants, and knew that in Germany the followers of Luther would not associate with the disciples of Zuinglius, because, though they agreed in everything else, they differed in some minute particulars with regard to the eucharist, he was the more indifferent on account of this refusal. He could also foresee, that, even while the league of Smalcald did not act in concert with him, they would always be carried by their interests to oppose the emperor; and the hatred between Francis and that monarch was so inveterate, that he deemed himself sure of a sincere ally in one or other of these potentates.

During those negotiations an incident happened (A.D. 1536) in England, which promised a more amicable conclusion of those disputes, and seemed even to open the way for a reconciliation between Henry and Charles. Queen Catherine was seized with a lingering illness, which at last brought her to her grave; she died (A.D. 1536, Jan. 6) at Kimbolton, in the county of Huntingdon, in the fiftieth year of her age. A little before she expired, she wrote a very tender letter to the king; in which she gave him the appellation of 'her most dear lord, 'king, and husband.' She told him that as the hour of her death was now approaching, she laid hold of this last opportunity to inculcate on him the importance of his religious duty, and the comparative emptiness of all human grandeur and enjoyment; that though his fondness towards these perishable advantages had thrown her into many calamities, as well as created to himself much trouble, she yet forgave him all past injuries, and hoped that his pardon would be ratified in

heaven; and that she had no other request to make, than to recommend to him his daughter, the sole pledge of their loves; and to crave his protection for her maids and servants. She concluded with these words, 'I make this vow, that mine eyes desire you above all things' (Herbert, p. 555, ed. 1870). The king was touched even to the shedding of tears, by this last tender proof of Catherine's affection; but Queen Anne is said to have expressed her joy for the death of a rival beyond what humanity could permit (Burnet, vol. i., p. 192).

The emperor thought that as the demise of his aunt had removed all foundation of personal animosity between him and Henry, it might not now be impossible to detach him from the alliance of France, and to renew his own confederacy with England, from which he had formerly reaped so much advantage. He sent Henry proposals for a return to ancient amity, upon these conditions (Du Bellay, liv. 5; Herbert; Burnet, vol. iii., in Coll. No. 50); that he should be reconciled to the see of Rome, that he should assist him in his war with the Turk, and that he should take part with him against Francis, who now threatened the duchy of Milan. The king replied, that he was willing to be on good terms with the emperor, provided that prince would acknowledge that the former breach of friendship came entirely from himself; as to the conditions proposed, the proceedings against the Bishop of Rome were so just, and so fully ratified by the parliament of England, that they could not now be revoked; when Christian princes should have settled peace among themselves, he would not fail to exert that vigour which became him against the enemies of the faith; and after amity with the emperor was once fully restored, he should then be in a situation, as a common friend both to him and Francis, either to mediate an agreement between them, or to assist the injured party.

What rendered Henry more indifferent to the advances made by the emperor, was both his experience of the usual duplicity and insincerity of that monarch, and the intelligence which he received of the present transactions in Europe. Francis Sforza, Duke of Milan, had died without issue; and the emperor maintained that the duchy, being a fief of the empire, was devolved to him, as head of the Germanic body; not to give umbrage, however, to the states of Italy, he professed his intention of bestowing that principality on some prince who should be obnoxious to no party, and he even made offer of it to the Duke of Angoulême, third son of Francis. The French monarch, who pretended that his own right to Milan was now revived upon Sforza's death, was content to substitute his second son, the Duke of Orleans, in his place; and the emperor pretended to close with this proposal. But his sole intention in that liberal concession was to gain time, till he should put himself in a warlike posture, and be able to carry an invasion into Francis's dominions. The ancient enmity between these princes broke out anew in bravadoes, and in personal insults on each other, ill-becoming persons of their rank, and still less suitable to men of such unquestioned bravery. Charles soon after invaded Provence in person, with an army of fifty thousand men; but met with no success. His army perished with sickness, fatigue, famine, and other disasters; and he was obliged to raise the siege of Marseilles, and retire into Italy with the broken remains of his forces. An army of

imperialists, near 30,000 strong, which invaded France on the side of the Netherlands, and laid siege to Peronne, made no greater progress, but retired upon the approach of a French army. And Henry had thus the satisfaction to find, both that his ally, Francis, was likely to support himself without foreign assistance, and that his own tranquillity was ensured by these violent wars and animosities on the continent.

If any inquietude remained with the English court, it was solely occasioned by the state of affairs in Scotland. James, hearing of the dangerous situation of his ally, Francis, generously levied some forces; and embarking them on board vessels, which he had hired for that purpose, landed them safely in France. He even went over in person; and making haste to join the camp of the French king, which then lay in Provence, and to partake of his danger, he met that prince at Lyons, who, having repulsed the emperor, was now returning to his capital. Recommended by so agreeable and seasonable an instance of friendship, the King of Scots paid his addresses to Magdalen, daughter of the French monarch; and this prince had no other objection to the match, than what arose from the infirm state of his daughter's health, which seemed to threaten her with an approaching end. But James having gained the affections of the princess, and obtained her consent, the father would no longer oppose the united desires of his daughter and his friend; they were accordingly married, and soon after set sail for Scotland, where the young queen, as was foreseen, died in a little time after her arrival. Francis, however, was afraid, lest his ally, Henry, whom he likewise looked on as his friend, and who lived with him on a more cordial footing than is usual among great princes, should be displeased that this close confederacy between France and Scotland was concluded without his participation. He therefore dispatched Pommeraye to London, in order to apologise for this measure; but Henry, with his usual openness and freedom, expressed such displeasure, that he refused even to confer with the ambassador; and Francis was apprehensive of a rupture with a prince who regulated his measures more by humour and passion, than by the rules of political prudence. But the king was so fettered by the opposition, in which he was engaged against the Pope and the emperor, that he pursued no further this disgust against Francis; and in the end everything remained in tranquillity, both on the side of France and of Scotland.

The domestic peace of England seemed to be exposed to more hazard by the violent innovations in religion; and it may be affirmed, that, in this dangerous conjuncture, nothing ensured public tranquillity so much as the decisive authority acquired by the king, and his great ascendant over all his subjects. Not only the devotion paid to the crown was profound during that age; the personal respect inspired by Henry was considerable; and even the terrors with which he overawed every one were not attended with any considerable degree of hatred. His frankness, his sincerity, his magnificence, his generosity, were virtues which counterbalanced his violence, cruelty, and impetuosity. And the important rank which his vigour, more than his address, acquired him in all foreign negotiations flattered the vanity of Englishmen, and made them the more willingly endure those domestic hardships to which they were exposed. The king, conscious of his

advantages, was now proceeding to the most dangerous exercise of his authority; and after paving the way for that measure by preparatory expedients, he was determined to suppress the monasteries, and to put himself in possession of their ample revenues.

The great increase of monasteries, if matters be considered merely in a political light, will appear the radical inconvenience of the catholic religion; and every other disadvantage, attending that communion, seems to have an inseparable connection with these religious institutions. Papal usurpations, the tyranny of the inquisition, the multiplicity of holidays; all these fetters on liberty and industry were ultimately derived from the authority and insinuation of monks, whose habitations, being established everywhere, proved so many seminaries of superstition and of folly. This order of men was extremely enraged against Henry; and regarded the abolition of the papal authority in England, as the removal of the sole protection which they enjoyed against the rapacity of the crown and of the courtiers. They were now subjected to the king's visitation; the supposed sacredness of their bulls from Rome was rejected; the progress of the reformation abroad, which had everywhere been attended with the abolition of the monastic orders, gave them reason to apprehend like consequences in England; and though the king still maintained the doctrine of purgatory, to which most of the convents owed their origin and support, it was foreseen, that, in the progress of the contest, he would every day be led to depart wider from ancient institutions, and be drawn nearer the tenets of the reformers, with whom his political interests naturally induced him to unite. Moved by these considerations, the friars employed all their influence to inflame the people against the king's government; and Henry, finding their safety irreconcilable with his own, determined to seize the opportunity, and utterly destroy his declared enemies.

Cromwell, secretary of state, had been appointed vicar-general, or vicegerent; a new office, by which the king's supremacy, or the absolute, uncontrollable power assumed over the church, was delegated to him. He employed Layton, London, Price, Gage, Petre, Ballasis, and others, as commissioners, who carried on, everywhere, a rigorous inquiry with regard to the conduct and deportment of all the friars. During times of faction, especially of the religious kind, no equity is to be expected from adversaries; and as it was known that the king's intention in this visitation was to find a pretence for abolishing monasteries, we may naturally conclude, that the reports of the commissioners are very little to be relied on. Friars were encouraged to bring in informations against their brethren; the slightest evidence was credited; and even the calumnies spread abroad by the friends of the reformation were regarded as grounds of proof. Monstrous disorders are therefore said to have been found in many of the religious houses; whole convents of women abandoned to lewdness; signs of abortions procured, of infants murdered, of unnatural lusts between persons of the same sex. It is indeed probable, that the blind submission of the people, during those ages, would render the friars and nuns more unguarded and more dissolute than they are in any Roman Catholic country at present; but still, the reproaches which it is safest to credit are such as point at vices naturally connected with the very institution of con-

vents, and with the monastic life. The cruel and inveterate factions and quarrels, therefore, which the commissioners mentioned, are very credible among men who, being confined together within the same walls, never can forget their mutual animosities, and who, being cut off from all the most endearing connections of nature, are commonly cursed with hearts more selfish and tempers more unrelenting than fall to the share of other men. The pious frauds, practised to increase the devotion and liberality of the people, may be regarded as certain, in an order founded on illusions, lies, and superstition. The supine idleness also, and its attendant profound ignorance, with which the convents were reproached, admit of no question; and, though monks were the true preservers, as well as inventors, of the dreaming and captious philosophy of the schools, no manly or elegant knowledge could be expected among men whose lives, condemned to a tedious uniformity, and deprived of all emulation, afforded nothing to raise the mind or cultivate the genius.

Some few monasteries, terrified with this rigorous inquisition, carried on by Cromwell and his commissioners, surrendered their revenues into the king's hands; and the monks received small pensions as the reward of their obsequiousness. Orders were given to dismiss such nuns and friars as were below four and twenty, whose vows were, on that account, supposed not to be binding. The doors of the convents were opened even to such as were above that age; and every one recovered his liberty who desired it. But as all these expedients did not fully answer the king's purpose, he had recourse to his usual instrument of power, the parliament; and in order to prepare men for the innovations projected, the report of the visitors was published, and a general horror was endeavoured to be excited in the English nation against institutions which, to their ancestors, had been the objects of the most profound veneration.

The king, though determined utterly to abolish the monastic orders, resolved to proceed gradually in this great work; and (Feb. 4) he gave directions to the parliament to go no further at present than to suppress the lesser monasteries, which possessed revenues below 200*l.* a year (27 Hen. 8, c. 28). These were found to be the most corrupted, as lying less under the restraint of shame, and being exposed to less scrutiny (Burnet, vol. i., p. 193); and it was deemed safest to begin with them, and thereby prepare the way for the greater innovations projected. By this act 375 monasteries were suppressed, and their revenues, amounting to 32,000*l.* a year, were granted to the king, besides their goods, chattels, and plate, computed at 100,000*l.* more.¹ It does not appear, that any opposition was made to this important law: so absolute was Henry's authority! A court, called the court of augmentation of the king's revenue, was erected for the management of these funds. The people naturally concluded from this circumstance that Henry intended to proceed in despoiling the church of her patrimony (27 Hen. 8, c. 27).

¹ It is pretended (Holingshed, p. 939) that ten thousand monks were turned out on the dissolution of the lesser monasteries. If so, most of them must have been Mendicants: for the revenue could not have supported near that number. The Mendicants, no doubt, still continued their former profession.

The act formerly passed, empowering the king to name thirty-two commissioners for framing a body of canon-law was renewed; but the project was never carried into execution. Henry thought that the present perplexity of that law increased his authority, and kept the clergy in still greater dependence.

Further progress was made in completing the union of Wales with England; the separate jurisdictions of several great lords or marchers, as they were called, which obstructed the course of justice in Wales, and encouraged robbery and pillaging, were abolished; and the authority of the king's courts was extended everywhere. Some jurisdictions of a like nature in England were also abolished (27 Hen. 8, c. 4) this session.

The commons, sensible that they had gained nothing by opposing the king's will, when he formerly endeavoured to secure the profits of wardships and liveries, were now contented to frame a law (27 Hen. 8, c. 10), such as he dictated to them. It was enacted that the possession of land shall be adjudged to be in those who have the use of it, not in those to whom it is transferred in trust.

After all these laws were passed, the king (April 14) dissolved the parliament; a parliament memorable, not only for the great and important innovations which it introduced, but also for the long time it had sitten, and the frequent prorogations which it had undergone. Henry had found it so obsequious to his will that he did not choose, during those religious ferments, to hazard a new election; and he continued the same parliament above six years, a practice, at that time, unusual in England.

The convocation, which sat during this session, was engaged in a very important work, the deliberating on the new translation which was projected of the Scriptures. The translation given by Tindale, though corrected by himself in a new edition, was still complained of by the clergy, as inaccurate and unfaithful; and it was now proposed to them, that they should themselves publish a translation, which would not be liable to those objections.

The friends of the reformation asserted that nothing could be more absurd than to conceal, in an unknown tongue, the word of God itself, and thus to counteract the will of heaven, which, for the purpose of universal salvation, had published that salutary doctrine to all nations: that if this practice were not very absurd, the artifice at least was very gross, and proved a consciousness, that the glosses and traditions of the clergy stood in direct opposition to the original text, dictated by Supreme Intelligence: that it was now necessary for the people, so long abused by interested pretensions, to see with their own eyes, and to examine whether the claims of the ecclesiastics were founded on that charter, which was, on all hands, acknowledged to be derived from heaven: and that, as a spirit of research and curiosity was happily revived, and men were now obliged to make a choice among the contending doctrines of different sects, the proper materials for decision, and above all, the holy Scriptures, should be set before them; and the revealed will of God, which the change of language had somewhat obscured, be again, by their means, revealed to mankind.

The favourers of the ancient religion maintained, on the other hand,

that the pretence of making the people see with their own eyes was a mere cheat, and was itself a very gross artifice, by which the new preachers hoped to obtain the guidance of them, and to seduce them from those pastors, whom the laws, whom ancient establishments, whom Heaven itself, had appointed for their spiritual direction: that the people were, by their ignorance, their stupidity, their necessary avocations, totally unqualified to choose their own principles; and it was a mockery to set materials before them, of which they could not possibly make any proper use: that, even in the affairs of common life, and in their temporal concerns, which lay more within the compass of human reason, the laws had, in a great measure, deprived them of the right of private judgment, and had, happily for their own and the public interest, regulated their conduct and behaviour: that theological questions were placed far beyond the sphere of vulgar comprehension; and ecclesiastics themselves, though assisted by all the advantages of education, erudition, and an assiduous study of the science, could not be fully assured of a just decision, except by the promise made them in Scripture, that God would be ever present with His Church, and that the gates of hell should not prevail against her: that the gross errors adopted by the wisest heathens proved how unfit men were to grope their own way through this profound darkness; nor would the Scriptures, if trusted to every man's judgment, be able to remedy, on the contrary they would much augment, those fatal illusions; that sacred writ itself was involved in so much obscurity, gave rise to so many difficulties, contained so many appearing contradictions, that it was the most dangerous weapon that could be entrusted into the hands of the ignorant and giddy multitude: that the poetical style in which a great part of it was composed, at the same time that it occasioned uncertainty in the sense by its multiplied tropes and figures, was sufficient to kindle the zeal of fanaticism, and thereby throw civil society into the most furious combustion: that a thousand sects must arise, which would pretend each of them to derive its tenets from the Scripture, and would be able, by special arguments, or even without special arguments, to seduce silly women and ignorant mechanics into a belief of the most monstrous principles: and that if ever this disorder, dangerous to the magistrate himself, received a remedy, it must be from the tacit acquiescence of the people in some new authority; and it was evidently better, without further inquiry, to adhere peaceably to the ancient and more secure establishments.

These latter arguments, being more agreeable to ecclesiastical government, would probably have prevailed in the convocation, had it not been for the authority of Cranmer, Latimer, and some other bishops, who were supposed to speak the king's sense of the matter. A vote was passed for publishing a new translation of the Scriptures, and in three years' time the work was finished, and printed at Paris. This was deemed a great point gained by the reformers, and a considerable advancement of their cause. Farther progress was soon expected after such important successes.

But while the retainers to the new religion were exulting in their prosperity, they met with a mortification which seemed to blast all their hopes. Their patroness, Anne Boleyn, possessed ^d no longer the

king's favour; and soon after lost her life by the rage of that furious monarch. Henry had persevered in his love to this lady during six years that his prosecution of the divorce lasted; and the more obstacles he met with to the gratification of his passion, the more determined zeal did he exert in pursuing his purpose. But the affection which had subsisted, and still increased, under difficulties, had not long attained secure possession of its object, when it languished from satiety; and the king's heart was apparently estranged from his consort. Anne's enemies soon perceived the fatal change; and they were forward to widen the breach when they found that they incurred no danger by interposing in those delicate concerns. She had been delivered of a dead son; and Henry's extreme fondness for male issue being thus, for the present, disappointed, his temper, equally violent and superstitious, was disposed to make the innocent mother answerable for the misfortune (Burnet, vol. i., p. 196). But the chief means which Anne Boleyn's enemies employed to inflame the king against her, was his jealousy.

Anne, though she appears to have been entirely innocent, and even virtuous, in her conduct, had a certain gaiety if not levity of character, which threw her off her guard, and made her less circumspect than her situation required. Her education in France rendered her the more prone to those freedoms; and it was with difficulty she conformed herself to that strict ceremonial practised in the court of England. More vain than haughty, she was pleased to see the influence of her beauty on all around her, and she indulged herself in an easy familiarity with persons who were formerly her equals, and who might then have pretended to her friendship and good graces. Henry's dignity was offended with these popular manners: and though the lover had been entirely blind, the husband possessed but too quick discernment and penetration. Ill instruments interposed, and put a malignant interpretation on the harmless liberties of the queen; the Viscountess of Rocheford, in particular, who was married to the queen's brother, but who lived on bad terms with her sister-in-law, insinuated the most cruel suspicions into the king's mind; and as she was a woman of profligate character, she paid no regard either to truth or humanity in those calumnies which she suggested. She pretended that her own husband was engaged in a criminal correspondence with his sister; and, not content with this imputation, she poisoned every action of the queen's, and represented each instance of favour which she conferred on any one as a token of affection. Henry Norris, groom of the stole, Weston and Brereton, gentlemen of the king's chamber, together with Mark Smeaton, groom of the chamber, were observed to possess much of the queen's friendship; and they served her with a zeal and attachment which, though chiefly derived from gratitude, might not improperly be seasoned with some mixture of tenderness for so amiable a princess. The king's jealousy laid hold of the slightest circumstance; and finding no particular object on which it could fasten, it vented itself equally on every one that came within the verge of its fury.

Had Henry's jealousy been derived from love, though it might on a sudden have proceeded to the most violent extremities, it would have been subject to many remorse and contrarieties; and might at last

have served only to augment that affection on which it was founded. But it was a more stern jealousy, fostered entirely by pride. His love was transferred to another object. Jane, daughter of Sir John Seymour, and maid of honour to the queen, a young lady of singular beauty and merit, had obtained an entire ascendant over him; and he was determined to sacrifice everything to the gratification of this new appetite. Unlike to most monarchs, who judge lightly of the crime of gallantry, and who deem the young damsels of their court rather honoured than disgraced by their passion, he seldom thought of any other attachment than that of marriage; and in order to attain this end, he underwent more difficulties, and committed greater crimes, than those which he sought to avoid, by forming that legal connection. And having thus entertained the design of raising his new mistress to his bed and throne, he willingly hearkened to every suggestion which threw any imputation of guilt on the unfortunate Anne Boleyn.

The king's jealousy first appeared openly in a tilting at Greenwich, where the queen happened to drop her handkerchief; an incident probably casual, but interpreted by him as an instance of gallantry to some of her paramours (Burnet, vol. i., p. 198). He immediately retired from the place; sent orders to confine her to her chamber; arrested Norris, Brereton, Weston, and Smeaton, together with her brother, Rocheford; and threw them into prison. The queen, astonished at these instances of his fury, thought that he meant only to try her; but finding him in earnest, she reflected on his obstinate unrelenting spirit, and she prepared herself for that melancholy doom which was awaiting her. Next day she was sent to the Tower; and on her way thither she was informed of her supposed offences, of which she had hitherto been ignorant; she made earnest protestations of her innocence: and when she entered the prison, she fell on her knees, and prayed God so to help her, as she was not guilty of the crime imputed to her. Her surprise and confusion threw her into hysterical disorders; and, in that situation, she thought that the best proof of her innocence was to make an entire confession, and she revealed some indiscretions and levities, which her simplicity had equally betrayed her to commit and to avow. She owned that she had once rallied Norris on his delaying his marriage, and had told him, that he probably expected her, when she should be a widow; she had reproved Weston, she said, for his affection to a kinswoman of hers, and his indifference towards his wife; but he told her, that she had mistaken the object of his affection, for it was herself; upon which she defied him (Strype, vol. i., p. 281). She affirmed, that Smeaton had never been in her chamber but twice, when he played on the harpsichord; but she acknowledged that he had once had the boldness to tell her, that a look sufficed him. The king, instead of being satisfied with the candour and sincerity of her confession, regarded these indiscretions only as preludes to greater and more criminal intimacies.

Of all those multitudes whom the beneficence of the queen's temper had obliged during her prosperous fortune, no one durst interpose between her and the king's fury; and the person whose advancement every breath had favoured, and every countenance had smiled upon, was now left neglected and abandoned. Even her uncle, the Duke of

Norfolk, preferring the connections of party to the ties of blood, was become her most dangerous enemy; and all the retainers to the catholic religion hoped that her death would terminate the king's quarrel with Rome, and leave him again to his natural and early bent, which had inclined him to maintain the most intimate union with the apostolic see. Archbishop Cranmer alone, of all the queen's adherents, still retained his friendship for her; and, as far as the king's impetuosity permitted him, he endeavoured to moderate the violent prejudices which were entertained against her.

The queen herself wrote Henry a letter from the Tower, full of the most tender expostulations, and of the warmest protestations of innocence.¹ This letter had no influence on the unrelenting mind of Henry, who was determined to pave the way for his new marriage by the death of Anne Boleyn. Norris, Weston, Brereton, and Smeaton, were tried; but no legal evidence was produced against them. The chief proof of their guilt consisted in a hearsay from one Lady Wingfield, who was dead. Smeaton was prevailed on, by the vain hopes of life, to confess

¹ This letter contains so much nature, and even elegance, as to deserve to be transmitted to posterity, without any alteration in the expression. It is as follows:—

'Sir, your grace's displeasure and my imprisonment are things so strange unto me, as what to write or what to excuse I am altogether ignorant. Whereas you send unto me (willing me to confess a truth, and so obtain your favour) by such an one, whom you know to be mine ancient professed enemy, I no sooner received this message by him, than I rightly conceived your meaning; and if, as you say, confessing a truth indeed may procure my safety, I shall, with all willingness and duty, perform your command.

'But let not your grace ever imagine that your poor wife will ever be brought to acknowledge a fault, where not so much as a thought thereof preceded. And, to speak a truth, never prince had wife more loyal in all duty, and in all true affection, than you have ever found in Anne Boleyn. With which name and place I could willingly have contented myself, if God and your grace's pleasure had been so pleased. Neither did I at any time so far forget myself in my exaltation or received queenship, but that I always looked for such an alteration as I now find; for the ground of my preferment being on no surer foundation than your grace's fancy, the least alteration I knew was fit and sufficient to draw that fancy to some other object. You have chosen me from a low estate to be your queen and companion, far beyond my desert or desire. If then you found me worthy of such honour, good your grace let not any light fancy, or bad counsel of mine enemies, withdraw your princely favour from me; neither let that stain, that unworthy stain, of a disloyal heart towards your good grace, ever cast so foul a blot on your most dutiful wife, and the infant princess your daughter. Try me, good king, but let me have a lawful trial, and let not my sworn enemies sit as my accusers and judges; yea let me receive an open trial, for my truth shall fear no open shame; then shall you see either mine innocence cleared, your suspicion and conscience satisfied, the ignominy and slander of the world stopped, or my guilt openly declared. So that whatsoever God or you may determine of me, your grace may be freed from an open censure; and mine offence being so lawfully proved, your grace is at liberty, both before God and man, not only to execute worthy punishment on me as an unlawful wife, but to follow your affection, already settled on that party for whose sake I am now as I am, whose name I could some good while since have pointed unto, your grace not being ignorant of my suspicion therein.

'But if you have already determined of me, and that not only my death, but an infamous slander, must bring you the enjoying of your desired happiness, then I desire of God that He will pardon your great sin therein, and likewise mine enemies, the instruments thereof, and that He will not call you to a strict account for your unprincely and cruel usage of me, at His general judgment-seat, where both you and myself must shortly appear, and in whose judgment I doubt not (whatsoever the world may think of me) mine innocence shall be openly known, and sufficiently cleared.

'My last and only request shall be, that myself may only bear the burden of your grace's displeasure, and that it may not touch the innocent souls of those poor gentlemen, who (as I understand) are likewise in strait imprisonment for my sake. If ever I have found favour in your sight, if ever the name of Anne Boleyn hath been pleasing in your ears, then let me obtain this request, and I will so leave to trouble your grace any farther, with mine earnest prayers to the Trinity to have your grace in His good keeping, and to direct you in all your actions. From my doleful prison in the Tower, this sixth day of May,

'Your most loyal and ever faithful wife,

'ANNE BOLEYN.'

a criminal correspondence with the queen (Burnet, vol. i., p. 202); but even her enemies expected little advantage from this confession, for they never dared to confront him with her; and he was immediately executed, as were also Brereton and Weston. Norris had been much in the king's favour, and an offer of life was made him, if he would confess his crime, and accuse the queen; but he generously rejected the proposal; and said, that in his conscience he believed her guiltless; but, for his part, he could accuse her of nothing, and he would rather die a thousand deaths than calumniate an innocent person.

The queen and her brother were tried by a jury of peers, consisting of the Duke of Suffolk, the Marquis of Exeter, the Earl of Arundel, and twenty-three more; their uncle, the Duke of Norfolk, presided as high steward. Upon what proof or pretence the crime of incest was imputed to them is unknown; the chief evidence, it is said, amounted to no more, than that Rocheford had been seen to lean on her bed before some company. Part of the charge against her was, that she had affirmed to her minions, that the king never had her heart; and had said to each of them apart, that she loved him better than any person whatsoever; 'Which was to the slander of the issue begotten between the king and her.' By this strained interpretation, her guilt was brought under the statute of the 25th of this reign; in which it was declared criminal to throw any slander upon the king, queen, or their issue. Such palpable absurdities were at that time admitted; and they were regarded by the peers of England as a sufficient reason for sacrificing an innocent queen to the cruelty of their tyrant. Though unassisted by counsel, she defended herself with presence of mind; and the spectators could not forbear pronouncing her entirely innocent. Judgment, however, was given by the court, both against the queen and Lord Rocheford; and her verdict contained, that she should be burned or beheaded at the king's pleasure. When this dreadful sentence was pronounced, she was not terrified, but, lifting up her hands to heaven, said, 'O Father! O Creator! Thou who art the way, the truth, and the life, Thou knowest that I have not deserved this fate.' And then turning to the judges, made the most pathetic declarations of her innocence.

Henry, not satisfied with this cruel vengeance, was resolved entirely to annul his marriage with Anne Boleyn, and to declare her issue illegitimate; he recalled to his memory, that, a little after her appearance in the English court, some attachment had been acknowledged between her and the Earl of Northumberland, then Lord Piercy; and he now questioned the nobleman with regard to these engagements. Northumberland took an oath before the two archbishops, that no contract or promise of marriage had ever passed between them; he received the sacrament upon it, before the Duke of Norfolk and others of the privy council; and this solemn act he accompanied with the most solemn protestations of veracity (Herbert, p. 570, ed. 1870). The queen, however, was shaken by menaces of executing the sentence against her in its greatest rigour, and was prevailed on to confess in court some lawful impediment to her marriage with the king (Heylin, p. 94). The afflicted primate, who sat as judge, thought himself obliged by this confession to pronounce the marriage null and invalid. Henry,

in the transports of his fury, did not perceive that his proceedings were totally inconsistent, and that, if her marriage were, from the beginning, invalid, she could not possibly be guilty of adultery.

The queen now prepared for suffering the death to which she was sentenced. She sent her last message to the king, and acknowledged the obligations which she owed him, in thus uniformly continuing his endeavours for her advancement; from a private gentlewoman, she said, he had first made her a marchioness, then a queen, and now, since he could raise her no higher in this world, he was sending her to be a saint in heaven. She then renewed the protestations of her innocence, and recommended her daughter to his care. Before the lieutenant of the Tower, and all who approached her, she made the like declarations; and continued to behave herself with her usual serenity, and even with cheerfulness. 'The executioner,' she said to the lieutenant, 'is, I hear, very expert; and my neck is very slender;' upon which she grasped it in her hand, and smiled. When brought (May 19), however, to the scaffold, she softened her tone a little with regard to her protestations of innocence. She probably reflected, that the obstinacy of Queen Catherine, and her opposition to the king's will, had much alienated him from the Lady Mary; her own maternal concern, therefore, for Elizabeth, prevailed in these last moments over that indignation which the unjust sentence, by which she suffered, naturally excited in her. She said that she was come to die, as she was sentenced, by the law; she would accuse none, nor say anything of the ground upon which she was judged. She prayed heartily for the king; called him a most merciful and gentle prince; and acknowledged that he had always been to her a good and gracious sovereign; and if any one should think proper to canvass her cause, she desired him to judge the best (Burnet, vol. i., p. 205). She was beheaded by the executioner of Calais, who was sent for as more expert than any in England. Her body was negligently thrown into a common chest of elm-tree, made to hold arrows; and was buried in the Tower.

The innocence of this unfortunate queen cannot reasonably be called in question. Henry himself, in the violence of his rage, knew not whom to accuse as her lover; and though he imputed guilt to her brother, and four persons more, he was able to bring proof against none of them. The whole tenor of her conduct forbids us to ascribe to her an abandoned character, such as is implied in the king's accusation; had she been so lost to all prudence and sense of shame, she must have exposed herself to detection, and afforded her enemies some evidence against her. But the king made the most effectual apology for her, by marrying Jane Seymour the very day after her execution (Ibid., p. 207). His impatience to gratify this new passion caused him to forget all regard to decency; and his cruel heart was not softened a moment by the bloody catastrophe of a person who had so long been the object of his most tender affections.

The Lady Mary thought the death of her stepmother a proper opportunity for reconciling herself to the king, who, besides other causes of disgust, had been offended with her on account of the part which she had taken in her mother's quarrel. Her advances were not at first received, and Henry exacted from her some further proofs of

submission and obedience ; he required this young princess, then about twenty years of age, to adopt his theological tenets, to acknowledge his supremacy, to renounce the Pope, and to own her mother's marriage to be unlawful and incestuous. These points were of hard digestion with the princess ; but after some delays, and even refusals, she was at last prevailed on to write a letter to her father (Burnet, vol. i., p. 207 ; Strype, vol. i., p. 285), containing her assent to the articles required of her ; upon which she was received into favour. But notwithstanding the return of the king's affection to the issue of his first marriage, he divested not himself of kindness towards the Lady Elizabeth ; and the new queen, who was blessed with a singular sweetness of disposition, discovered strong proofs of attachment towards her.

The trial and conviction of Queen Anne, and the subsequent events, made it necessary for the king to summon (June 8) a new parliament ; and he here, in his speech, made a merit to his people, that, notwithstanding the misfortunes attending his two former marriages, he had been induced, for their good, to venture on a third. The speaker received this profession with suitable gratitude ; and he took thence occasion to praise the king for his wonderful gifts of grace and nature ; he compared him, for justice and prudence, to Solomon, for strength and fortitude to Samson, and for beauty and comeliness to Absalom. The king very humbly replied, by the mouth of the chancellor, that he disavowed these praises ; since, if he were really possessed of such endowments, they were the gift of Almighty God only. Henry found that the parliament was no less submissive in deeds than complaisant in their expressions, and that they would go the same lengths as the former in gratifying even his most lawless passions. His divorce from Anne Boleyn was ratified ;¹ that queen and all her accomplices, were attainted ; the issue of both his former marriages were declared illegitimate, and it was even made treason to assert the legitimacy of either of them ; to throw any slander upon the present king, queen, or their issue, was subjected to the same penalty ; the crown was settled on the king's issue by Jane Seymour, or any other subsequent wife ; and in case he should die without children, he was empowered, by his will or letters patent, to dispose of the crown ; an enormous authority, especially when entrusted to a prince so violent and capricious in his humour. Whoever, being required, refused to answer upon oath to any article of this act of settlement, was declared to be guilty of treason ; and by this clause a species of political inquisition was established in the kingdom, as well as the accusations of treason multiplied to an unreasonable degree. The king was also empowered to confer on any one by his will or letters patent, any castles, honours, liberties, or franchises ; words which might have been extended to the dismembering of the kingdom, by the erection of principalities and independent jurisdictions. It was also, by another act, made treason to marry, without the king's consent, any princess related in the first degree to the crown. This act was occasioned by the discovery of a design, formed by Thomas Howard,

¹ The parliament, in annulling the king's marriage with Anne Boleyn, gives this as a reason, ' For that his highness had chosen to wife the excellent and virtuous Lady Jane, who for her convenient years, excellent beauty, and pureness of flesh and blood, would be apt, God willing, to conceive issue by his highness.'

brother of the Duke of Norfolk, to espouse the Lady Margaret Douglas, niece to the king, by his sister, the Queen of Scots, and the Earl of Angus. Lord Howard, as well as the young lady, was committed to the Tower. She recovered her liberty soon after, but he died in confinement. An act of attainder passed against him this session of parliament.

Another accession was likewise gained to the authority of the crown; the king, or any of his successors, was empowered to repeal or annul, by letters patent, whatever act of parliament had been passed before he was four and twenty years of age. Whoever maintained the authority of the Bishop of Rome, by word or writ, or endeavoured in any manner to restore it in England, was subjected to the penalty of a premunire; that is, his goods were forfeited, and he was put out of the protection of law. And any person who possessed any office, ecclesiastical or civil, or received any grant or charter from the crown, and yet refused to renounce the Pope by oath, was declared to be guilty of treason. The renunciation prescribed runs in the style of 'So help me God, all saints, and the holy evangelists' (28 Hen. 8, c. 10). The Pope, hearing of Anne Boleyn's disgrace and death, had hoped that the door was opened to a reconciliation, and had been making some advances to Henry; but this was the reception he met with. Henry was now become indifferent with regard to papal censures; and finding a great increase of authority, as well as of revenue, to accrue from his quarrel with Rome, he was determined to persevere in his present measures. This parliament also, even more than any foregoing, convinced him how much he commanded the respect of his subjects, and what confidence he might repose in them. Though the elections had been made on a sudden, without any preparation or intrigue, the members discovered an unlimited attachment to his person and government (Burnet, vol. i., p. 212).

The extreme complaisance of the convocation which sat at the same time as the parliament, encouraged him in his resolution of breaking entirely with the court of Rome. There was secretly a great division of sentiments in the mind of this assembly, and as the zeal of the reformers had been augmented by some late successes, the resentment of the Catholics was no less excited by their fears and losses; but the authority of the king kept every one submissive and silent, and the new-assumed prerogative, the supremacy, with whose limits no one was fully acquainted, restrained even the most furious movements of theological rancour. Cromwell presided as vicar-general, and though the Catholic party expected that on the fall of Queen Anne his authority would receive a great shock, they were surprised to find him still maintain the same credit as before. With the vicar-general concurred Cranmer the primate, Latimer, Bishop of Worcester, Shaxton, of Salisbury, Hilsey, of Rochester, Fox, of Hereford, Barlow, of St. David's. The opposite faction was headed by Lee, Archbishop of York, Stokesley, Bishop of London, Tunstal, of Durham, Gardiner, of Winchester, Longland, of Lincoln, Sherborne, of Chichester, Nix, of Norwich, and Kite, of Carlisle. The former party, by their opposition to the Pope, seconded the king's ambition and love of power; the latter party, by maintaining the ancient theological tenets, were more

conformable to his speculative principles; and both of them had alternately the advantage of gaining on his humour, by which he was more governed than by either of these motives.

The church in general was averse to the reformation, and the lower house of convocation framed a list of opinions, in the whole sixty-seven, which they pronounced erroneous, and which was a collection of principles, some held by the ancient Lollards, others by the modern Protestants, or Gospellers, as they were sometimes called. These opinions they sent to the upper house to be censured, but in the preamble of their representation they discovered the servile spirit by which they were governed. They said, 'that they intended not to do 'or speak anything which might be unpleasant to the king. whom they 'acknowledged their supreme head, and whose commands they were 'resolved to obey, renouncing the Pope's usurped authority with all his 'laws and inventions now extinguished and abolished, and addicting 'themselves to Almighty God and His laws, and unto the king and the 'laws made within this kingdom' (Collier, vol. ii, p. 119).

The convocation came at last, after some debate, to decide articles of faith, and their tenets were of as motley a kind as the assembly itself, or rather as the king's system of theology, by which they were resolved entirely to square their principles. They determined the standard of faith to consist in the Scriptures and the three creeds, the Apostolic, Nicene, and Athanasian, and this article was a signal victory to the reformers; auricular confession and penance were admitted, a doctrine agreeable to the Catholics; no mention was made of marriage, extreme unction, confirmation, or holy orders, as sacraments, and in this omission the influence of the Protestants appeared; the real presence was asserted, conformably to the ancient doctrine; the terms of acceptance were to be the merits of Christ and the mercy and good pleasure of God, suitably to the new principles.

So far the two sects seem to have made a fair partition by alternately sharing the several clauses. In framing the subsequent articles each of them seems to have thrown in its ingredient. The Catholics prevailed in asserting that the use of images was warranted by Scripture, the Protestants, in warning the people against idolatry and the abuse of these sensible representations. The ancient faith was adopted in maintaining the expedience of praying to saints, the late innovations in rejecting the peculiar patronage of saints to any trade, profession, or course of action. The former rites of worship, the use of holy water, and the ceremonies practised on Ash Wednesday, Palm Sunday, Good Friday, and other festivals, were still maintained, but the new refinements which made light of these institutions, were also adopted, by the convocation's denying that they had any immediate power of remitting sin, and by its asserting that their sole merit consisted in promoting devout dispositions in the mind.

But the article with regard to purgatory contains the most curious jargon, ambiguity, and hesitation, arising from the mixture of opposite tenets. It was to this purpose, 'Since, according to due order of charity and the book of Maccabees, and divers ancient authors, it is 'a very good and charitable deed to pray for souls departed, and since 'such a practice has been maintained in the church from the begin-

'ning; all bishops and teachers should instruct the people not to be 'grieved for the continuance of the same. But since the place where 'departed souls are retained before they reach Paradise, as well as the 'nature of their pains is left uncertain by Scripture, all such questions 'are to be submitted to God, to whose mercy it is meet and convenient 'to commend the deceased, trusting that He accepteth our prayers for 'them' (Collier, vol. ii., p. 122, q.; Fuller; Burnet, v. i., p. 215).

These articles, when framed by the convocation and corrected by the king, were subscribed by every member of that assembly; while, perhaps, neither there nor throughout the whole kingdom could one man be found, except Henry himself, who had adopted precisely these very doctrines and opinions. For, though there be not any contradiction in the tenets above mentioned, it had happened in England as in all countries where factious divisions have place; a certain creed was embraced by each party, few neuters were to be found, and these consisted only of speculative or whimsical people, of whom two persons could scarcely be brought to an agreement in the same dogmas. The Protestants, all of them, carried their opposition to Rome farther than those articles; none of the Catholics went so far; and the king, by being able to retain the nation in such a delicate medium, displayed the utmost power of an imperious despotism of which any history furnishes an example. To change the religion of a country, even when seconded by a party, is one of the most perilous enterprises which any sovereign can attempt, and often proves the most destructive to royal authority. But Henry was able to set the political machine in that furious movement, and yet regulate and even stop its career; he could say to it, Thus far shalt thou go, and no farther; and he made every vote of his parliament and convocation subservient, not only to his interests and passions, but even to his greatest caprices, nay, to his most refined and most scholastic subtilities.

The concurrence of these two national assemblies served, no doubt, to increase the king's power over the people, and raised him to an authority more absolute than any prince in a simple monarchy, even by means of military force, is ever able to attain. But there are certain bounds, beyond which the most slavish submission cannot be extended. All the late innovations, particularly the dissolution of the smaller monasteries and the imminent danger to which all the rest were exposed,¹ had bred discontent among the people and had disposed them to revolt. The expelled monks, wandering about the country, excited both the piety and compassion of men, and as the ancient religion took hold of the populace by powerful motives, suited to

¹A proposal had formerly been made in the convocation for the abolition of the lesser monasteries; and had been much opposed by Bishop Fisher, who was then alive. He told his brethren that this was fairly showing the king the way how he might come at the greater monasteries. 'An axe,' said he, 'which wanted a handle, came upon a time into the wood, making his moan to the great trees that he wanted a handle to work withal, and for that cause he was constrained to sit idle; therefore he made it his request to them, that they would be pleased to grant him one of their small saplings within the wood to make him a handle; who, mistrusting no guile, granted him one of their smaller trees to make him a handle. But now becoming a complete axe, he fell so to work within the same wood, that in process of time there was neither great nor small trees to be found in the place where the wood stood; and so, my lords, if you grant the king these smaller monasteries, you do but make him a handle, whereby, at his own pleasure, he may cut down all the cedars within your Lebanons.' Dr. Baillie's Life of Bishop Fisher, p. 108.

vulgar capacity, it was able, now that it was brought into apparent hazard, to raise the strongest zeal in its favour (Strype, vol. i., p. 249). Discontents had even reached some of the nobility and gentry, whose ancestors had founded the monasteries, and who placed a vanity in those institutions as well as reaped some benefit from them, by the provisions which they afforded them for their younger children. The more superstitious were interested for the souls of their forefathers, which, they believed, must now lie during many ages in the torments of purgatory, for want of masses to relieve them. It seemed unjust to abolish pious institutions for the faults, real or pretended, of individuals. Even the most moderate and reasonable deemed it somewhat iniquitous that men who had been invited into a course of life by all the laws, human and Divine, which prevailed in their country, should be turned out of their possessions, and so little care be taken of their future subsistence. And when it was observed that the rapacity and bribery of the commissioners and others employed in visiting the monasteries, intercepted much of the profits resulting for these confiscations, it tended to increase the general discontent (Burnet, vol. i., p. 223).

But the people did not break into open sedition, till the complaints of the secular clergy concurred with those of the regular. As Cromwell's person was little acceptable to the ecclesiastics, the authority which he exercised being so new, so absolute, so unlimited, inspired them with disgust and terror. He published in the king's name, without the consent either of parliament or convocation, an ordinance, by which he retrenched many of the ancient holidays, prohibited several superstitions gainful to the clergy, such as pilgrimages, images, relics, and even ordered the incumbents in the parishes to set apart a considerable portion of their revenue for repairs and for the support of exhibitioners and the poor of their parish. The secular priests, finding themselves thus reduced to a grievous servitude, instilled into the people those discontents which they had long harboured in their own bosoms.

The first rising was in Lincolnshire. It was headed by Dr. Mackrel, prior of Barlings, who was disguised like a mean mechanic, and who bore the name of Captain Cobler. This tumultuary army amounted to above 20,000 men (Ibid., p. 227; Herbert, p. 595, ed., 1870); but notwithstanding their number, they showed little disposition of proceeding to extremities against the king, and seemed still overawed by his authority. They acknowledged him to be supreme head of the Church of England, but they complained of suppressing the monasteries, of evil counsellors, of persons meanly born raised to dignity, of the danger to which the jewels and plate of their parochial churches were exposed; and they prayed the king to consult the nobility of the realm concerning the redress of these grievances (Herbert, p. 595, ed. 1870). Henry was little disposed to entertain apprehensions of danger, especially from a low multitude whom he despised. He sent forces against the rebels under the command of the Duke of Suffolk, and he returned (Oct. 6) them a very sharp answer to their petition. There were some gentry whom the populace had constrained to take part with them, and who kept up a secret correspondence with Suffolk. They informed him, that resentment against the king's reply was the

chief cause which retained the malcontents in arms, and that a milder answer would probably suppress the rebellion. Henry had levied a great force at London, with which he was preparing to march against the rebels; and being so well supported by power, he thought that without losing his dignity he might now show them some greater condescension. He sent a new proclamation, requiring them to return to their obedience, with secret assurances of pardon. This expedient had its effect; the populace was dispersed; Mackrel and some of their leaders fell into the king's hands, and were executed; the greater part of the multitude retired peaceably to their usual occupations; a few of the more obstinate fled to the north, where they joined the insurrection that was raised in those parts.

The northern rebels, as they were more numerous, were also on other accounts more formidable than those of Lincolnshire, because the people were there more accustomed to arms, and because of their vicinity to the Scots, who might make advantage of these disorders. One Aske, a gentleman, had taken the command of them, and he possessed the art of governing the populace. Their enterprise they called the 'Pilgrimage of Grace:' some priests marched before in the habits of their order, carrying crosses in their hands: in their banners was woven a crucifix, with the representation of a chalice, and of the five wounds of Christ (Fox, vol. ii., p. 992); they wore on their sleeve an emblem of the five wounds, with the name of Jesus wrought in the middle; they all took an oath, that they had entered into the pilgrimage of grace from no other motive than their love to God, their care of the king's person and issue, their desire of purifying the nobility, of driving base-born persons from about the king, of restoring the church, and suppressing heresy. Allured by these fair pretences, about 40,000 men from the counties of York, Durham, Lancaster, and those northern provinces, flocked to their standard; and their zeal and their numbers inspired the court with apprehensions.

The Earl of Shrewsbury, moved by his regard for the king's service, raised forces, though at first without any commission, in order to oppose the rebels. The Earl of Cumberland repulsed them from his castle of Skipton; Sir Ralph Evers defended Scarborough Castle against them (Stow, p. 574; Baker, p. 258); Courtney, Marquis of Exeter, the king's cousin-german, obeyed orders from court, and levied troops. The Earls of Huntingdon, Derby, and Rutland, imitated his example. The rebels, however, prevailed in taking both Hull and York; they had laid siege to Pomfret Castle, into which the Archbishop of York and Lord Darcy had thrown themselves. It was soon surrendered to them; and the prelate and nobleman, who secretly wished success to the insurrection, seemed to yield to the force imposed on them, and joined the rebels.

The Duke of Norfolk was appointed general of the king's forces against the northern rebels; and as he headed the party at court which supported the ancient religion, he was also suspected of bearing some favour to the cause which he was sent to oppose. His prudent conduct, however, seems to acquit him of this imputation. He encamped near Doncaster, together with the Earl of Shrewsbury; and as his army was small, scarcely exceeding five thousand men, he made

choice of a post where he had a river in front, the ford of which he purposed to defend against the rebels. They had intended to attack him in the morning, but during the night there fell such violent rains as rendered the river utterly impassable; and Norfolk wisely laid hold of the opportunity to enter into treaty with them. In order to open the door for negotiation, he sent them a herald; whom Aske, their leader, received with great ceremony; he himself sitting in a chair of state, with the Archbishop of York on one hand, and Lord Darcy on the other. It was agreed that two gentlemen should be dispatched to the king with proposals from the rebels; and Henry purposely delayed giving an answer, and allured them with hopes of entire satisfaction, in expectation that necessity would soon oblige them to disperse themselves. Being informed that his artifice had in a great measure succeeded, he required them instantly to lay down their arms, and submit to mercy, promising a pardon to all except six whom he named, and four whom he reserved to himself the power of naming. But though the greater part of the rebels had gone home for want of subsistence, they had entered into the most solemn engagements to return to their standards, in case the king's answer should not prove satisfactory. Norfolk therefore soon found himself in the same difficulty as before, and he opened again a negotiation with the leaders of the multitude. He engaged them to send three hundred persons to Doncaster, with proposals for an accommodation; and he hoped, by intrigue and separate interests, to throw dissension among so great a number. Aske himself had intended to be one of the deputies, and he required a hostage for his security; but the king, when consulted, replied that he knew no gentleman or other whom he esteemed so little as to put him in pledge for such a villain. The demands of the rebels were so exorbitant, that Norfolk rejected them, and they prepared again to decide the contest by arms. They were as formidable as ever, both by their numbers and spirit; and, notwithstanding the small river which lay between them and the royal army, Norfolk had great reason to dread the effects of their fury. But while they were preparing to pass the ford, rain fell a second time in such abundance as made it impracticable for them to execute their design; and the populace, partly reduced to necessity by want of provisions, partly struck with superstition at being thus again disappointed by the same accident, suddenly dispersed themselves. The Duke of Norfolk, who had received powers for that end, forwarded the dispersion by the promise of a general amnesty, and the king ratified (Dec. 9) this act of clemency. He published however a manifesto against the rebels, and an answer to their complaints, in which he employed a very lofty style, suited to so haughty a monarch. He told them, that they ought no more to pretend giving a judgment with regard to government, than a blind man with regard to colours: 'And we,' he added, 'with our whole council, think it right strange that ye, who be but brutes and inexpert folk, do take upon you to appoint us, who be meet or not for our council.'

As this pacification was not likely to be of long continuance, Norfolk was ordered to keep his army together, and to march into the northern parts, in order to exact a general submission. Lord Darcy,

as well as Aske, was sent for to court; and the former, upon his refusal or delay to appear, was thrown into prison. Every place was full of jealousy and complaints. A new insurrection broke out (A.D. 1537), headed by Musgrave and Tilbey; and the rebels besieged Carlisle with 8000 men. Being repulsed by that city, they were encountered in their retreat by Norfolk, who put them to flight; and having made prisoners of all their officers, except Musgrave, who escaped, he instantly put them to death by martial law, to the number of 70 persons. An attempt made by Sir Francis Bigot and Halam to surprise Hull, met with no better success; and several other risings were suppressed by the vigilance of Norfolk. The king, enraged by these multiplied revolts, was determined not to adhere to the general pardon which he had granted; and, from a movement of his usual violence, he made the innocent suffer for the guilty. Norfolk, by command from his master, spread the royal banner, and wherever he thought proper, executed martial law in the punishment of offenders. Beside Aske, leader of the first insurrection, Sir Robert Constable, Sir John Bulmer, Sir Thomas Piercy, Sir Stephen Hamilton, Nicholas Tempest, William Lumley, and many others, were thrown into prison, and most of them were condemned and executed. Lord Hussey was found guilty as an accomplice in the insurrection of Lincolnshire, and was executed at Lincoln. Lord Darcy, though he pleaded compulsion, and appealed for his justification to a long life spent in the service of the crown, was beheaded on Tower Hill. Before his execution, he accused Norfolk of having secretly encouraged the rebels; but Henry, either sensible of that nobleman's services, and convinced of his fidelity, or afraid to offend one of such extensive power and great capacity, rejected the information. Being now satiated with punishing the rebels, he published anew a general pardon, to which he faithfully adhered (Herbert, p. 611, ed. 1870); and he erected by patent, a court of justice at York, for deciding lawsuits in the northern counties; a demand which had been made by the rebels.

Soon after this prosperous success, an event happened which crowned Henry's joy, the birth (A.D. 1537, Oct. 12) of a son, who was baptized by the name of Edward. Yet was not his happiness without alloy; the queen died two days after (Strype, vol. ii., p. 5). But a son had so long been ardently wished for by Henry, and was now become so necessary, in order to prevent disputes with regard to the succession, after the acts declaring the two princesses illegitimate, that the king's affliction was drowned in his joy, and he expressed great satisfaction on the occasion. The prince, not six days old, was created Prince of Wales, Duke of Cornwall, and Earl of Chester. Sir Edward Seymour, the queen's brother, formerly made Lord Beauchamp, was raised to the dignity of Earl of Hertford. Sir William Fitz-Williams, high admiral, was created Earl of Southampton; Sir William Paulet, Lord St. John; Sir John Russell, Lord Russell.

The suppression of the rebellion and the birth of a son, as they confirmed Henry's authority at home, increased his consideration among foreign princes, and made his alliance be courted by all parties. He maintained, however, a neutrality in the wars, which were carried on with various success, and without any decisive event, between

Charles and Francis; and though inclined more to favour the latter, he determined not to incur, without necessity, either hazard or expense on his account. A truce was concluded about this time between these potentates, and afterwards prolonged for ten years, freed him from all anxiety on account of his ally, and re-established the tranquillity of Europe.

Henry continued desirous of cementing a union with the German Protestants; and for that purpose he sent Christopher Mount to a congress which they held at Brunswick; but that minister made no great progress in his negotiation. The princes wished to know what were the articles in their confession which Henry disliked, and they sent new ambassadors to him, who had orders both to negotiate and to dispute. They endeavoured to convince the king that he was guilty of a mistake, in administering the eucharist in one kind only, in allowing private masses, and in requiring the celibacy of the clergy.¹ Henry would by no means acknowledge any error in these particulars, and was displeased that they should pretend to prescribe rules to so great a monarch and theologian. He found arguments and syllogisms enough to defend his cause; and he dismissed the ambassador without coming to any conclusion. Jealous also lest his own subjects should become such theologians as to question his tenets, he used great precaution in publishing that translation of the Scriptures which was finished this year. He would only allow a copy of it to be deposited in some parish churches, where it was fixed by a chain; and he took care to inform the people by proclamation, 'That this indulgence was not the effect of his duty, but of his goodness and his liberality to them; who therefore should use it moderately, for the increase of virtue, not of strife; and he ordered that no man should read the Bible aloud, so as to disturb the priest while he sang mass, nor presume to expound doubtful places, without advice from the learned.' In this measure, as in the rest, he still halted half way between the Catholics and the Protestants.

There was only one particular in which Henry was quite decisive; because he was there impelled by his avarice, or, more properly speaking, his rapacity, the consequence of his profusion; this measure was the entire destruction of the monasteries. The present opportunity seemed favourable for that great enterprise, while the suppression of the late rebellion fortified and increased the royal authority; and as some of the abbots were suspected of having encouraged the insurrection, and of corresponding with the rebels, the king's resentment was farther incited by that motive. A new visitation was appointed of all the monasteries in England; and a pretence only being wanted for their suppression, it was easy for a prince, possessed of such unlimited power, and seconding the present humour of a great part of the nation, to find or feign one. The abbots and monks knew the danger to which they were exposed; and having learned, by the example of the lesser monasteries, that nothing could withstand the king's will, they were most of them induced, in expectation of better treatment, to make a voluntary resignation of their houses. Where promises failed of effect, menaces, and even extreme violence, were

¹ Collier, vol. ii., p. 145. From the Cott. Lib.; Cleopatra, E. 5, fol. 173.

employed; and as several of the abbots, since the breach with Rome, had been named by the court, with a view to this event, the king's intentions were the more easily effected. Some also, having secretly embraced the doctrine of the reformation, were glad to be freed from their vows; and on the whole, the design was conducted with such success, that, in less than two years, the king had got possession of all the monastic revenues.

In several places, particularly in the county of Oxford, great interest was made to preserve some convents of women, who, as they lived in the most irreproachable manner, justly merited, it was thought, that their houses should be saved from the general destruction (Burnet, vol. i., p. 328). There appeared also great difference between the case of nuns and that of friars; and the one institution might be laudable, while the other was exposed to much blame. The males of all ranks, if endowed with industry, might be of service to the public; and none of them could want employment suited to his station and capacity. But a woman of family, who failed of a settlement in the married state, an accident to which such persons were more liable than women of lower station, had really no rank which she properly filled; and a convent was a retreat both honourable and agreeable, from the inutility, and often want, which attended her situation. But the king was determined to abolish monasteries of every denomination; and probably thought, that these ancient establishments would be the sooner forgotten, if no remains of them, of any kind, were allowed to subsist in the kingdom.

The better to reconcile the people to this great innovation, stories were propagated of the detestable lives of the friars in many of the convents; and great care was taken to defame those whom the court had determined to ruin. The relics also, and other superstitions, which had so long been the object of the people's veneration, were exposed to their ridicule; and the religious spirit, now less bent on exterior observances and sensible objects, was encouraged in this new direction. It is needless to be prolix in an enumeration of particulars; Protestant historians mention, on this occasion, with great triumph, the sacred repositories of convents: the parings of St. Edmund's toes; some of the coals that roasted St. Laurence; the girdle of the Virgin shown in eleven several places; two or three heads of St. Ursula; the felt of St. Thomas of Lancaster, an infallible cure for the headache; part of St. Thomas of Canterbury's shirt, much revered by big-bellied women; some relics, an excellent preventive against rain; others, a remedy for weeds in corn. But such fooleries, as they are to be found in all ages and nations, and even took place during the most refined periods of antiquity, form no particular or violent reproach to the catholic religion.

There were also discovered, or said to be discovered, in the monasteries, some impostures of a more artificial nature. At Hales, in the county of Gloucester, there had been shown, during several ages, the blood of Christ brought from Jerusalem; and it is easy to imagine the veneration with which such a relic was regarded. A miraculous circumstance also attended this miraculous relic; the sacred blood was not visible to any one in mortal sin, even when set before him;

and till he had performed good works sufficient for his absolution, it would not deign to discover itself to him. At the dissolution of the monastery, the whole contrivance was detected. Two of the monks, who were let into the secret, had taken the blood of a duck, which they renewed every week; they put it in a phial, one side of which consisted of thin and transparent crystal, the other of thick and opaque. When any rich pilgrim arrived, they were sure to show him the dark side of the phial, till masses and offerings had expiated his offences; and then finding his money, or patience, or faith, nearly exhausted, they made him happy by turning the phial (Herbert, p. 615, ed. 1870; Stow, p. 575).

A miraculous crucifix had been kept at Bexley in Kent, and bore the appellation of the 'Rood of Grace.' The lips, and eyes, and head of the image moved on the approach of its votaries. Hilsey, Bishop of Rochester, broke the crucifix at St. Paul's cross, and showed to the whole people the springs and wheels by which it had been secretly moved. A great wooden idol revered in Wales, called Darvel Gatherin, was also brought to London, and cut in pieces; and by a cruel refinement in vengeance, it was employed as fuel to burn Friar Forest,¹ who was punished for denying the supremacy, and for some pretended heresies. A finger of St. Andrew, covered with a thin plate of silver, had been pawned by a convent for a debt of forty pounds; but as the king's commissioners refused to pay the debt, people made themselves merry with the poor creditor, on account of his pledge.

But of all the instruments of ancient superstition, no one was so zealously destroyed as the shrine of Thomas à Becket, commonly called St. Thomas of Canterbury. This saint owed his canonization to the zealous defence which he had made for clerical privileges; and on that account also, the monks had extremely encouraged the devotion of pilgrimages towards his tomb; and numberless were the miracles which, they pretended, his relics wrought in favour of his devout votaries. They raised his body once a year; and the day on which this ceremony was performed, which was called the day of his translation, was a general holiday; every fiftieth year there was celebrated a jubilee to his honour, which lasted fifteen days; plenary indulgences were then granted to all that visited his tomb, and 100,000 pilgrims have been registered at a time in Canterbury. The devotion towards him had quite effaced in that place the adoration of the Deity; nay, even that of the Virgin. At God's altar, for instance, there were offered in one year 3*l.* 2*s.* 6*d.*; at the Virgin's, 63*l.* 5*s.* 6*d.*; at St. Thomas's, 832*l.* 12*s.* 3*d.* But next year, the disproportion was still greater; there was not a penny offered at God's altar; the Virgin's gained only 4*l.* 1*s.* 8*d.*; but St. Thomas had got, for his share, 954*l.* 6*s.* 3*d.* (Burnet, vol. i., p. 244.) Lewis VII. of France had made a pilgrimage to this miraculous tomb, and had bestowed on the shrine a jewel, esteemed the richest in Christendom. It is evident, how obnoxious to Henry a saint of this character must appear, and how contrary to all his projects for degrading the authority of the court of Rome. He not only pillaged the rich shrine, dedicated to St. Thomas: he made the saint himself be cited to appear in court, and be tried and con-

¹ Goodwin's Annals; Stow, p. 575; Herbert, p. 616, ed. 1870; Baker, p. 286.

demned as a traitor; he ordered his name to be struck out of the calendar: the office for his festival to be expunged from all breviaries; his bones to be burned, and the ashes to be thrown in the air.

On the whole, the king, at different times, suppressed 645 monasteries; of which 28 had abbots that enjoyed a seat in parliament. Ninety colleges were demolished in several counties, 2374 chantries and free chapels, and a hundred and ten hospitals. The whole revenue of these establishments amounted to 161,100*l*. (Lord Herbert, Camden, Speed.) It is worthy of observation, that all the lands and possessions and revenue of England had, a little before this period, been rated at four millions a year; so that the revenues of the monks, even comprehending the lesser monasteries, did not exceed the twentieth part of the national income; a sum vastly inferior to what is commonly apprehended. The lands belonging to the convents were usually let at very low rent; and the farmers, who regarded themselves as a species of proprietors, took always care to renew their leases before they expired.¹

Great murmurs were everywhere excited on account of these violences; and men much questioned, whether priors and monks, who were only trustees or tenants for life, could, by any deed, however voluntary, transfer to the king the entire property of their estates. In order to reconcile the people to such mighty innovations, they were told that the king would never thenceforth have occasion to levy taxes, but would be able, from the abbey lands alone, to bear, during war as well as peace, the whole charges of government (Coke's 4th Inst., fol. 44). While such topics were employed to appease the populace, Henry took

¹ There is a curious passage with regard to the suppression of monasteries, to be found in Coke's Institutes, 4th Inst., chap. i., p. 44. It is worth transcribing, as it shows the ideas of the English government, entertained during the reign of Hen. VIII., and even in the time of Sir Edw. Coke, when he wrote his Institutes. It clearly appears that the people had then little notion of being jealous of their liberties, were desirous of making the crown quite independent, and wished only to remove from themselves, as much as possible, the burdens of government. A large standing army, and a fixed revenue, would, on these conditions, have been regarded as great blessings; and it was owing entirely to the prodigality of Henry, and to his little suspicion that the power of the crown could ever fail, that the English owe all their present liberty. The title of the chapter in Coke is, 'Advice concerning new and plausible Projects and Offers in Parliament.' 'When any plausible project,' says he, 'is made in parliament, to draw the lords and commons to assent to any act (especially in matters of weight and importance), if both houses do give upon the matter projected and promised their consent, it shall be most necessary, they being trusted for the commonwealth, to have the matter projected and promised (which moved the houses to consent) to be established in the same act, lest the benefit of the act be taken, and the matter projected and promised never performed, and so the houses of parliament perform not the trust reposed in them. as it fell out (taking one example for many) in the reign of Henry VIII. On the king's behalf, the members of both houses were informed in parliament, that no king or kingdom was safe, but where the king had three abilities. 1. To live of his own, and able to defend his kingdom upon any sudden invasion or insurrection. 2. To aid his confederates, otherwise they would never assist him. 3. To reward his well-deserving servants. Now the project was, that if the parliament would give unto him all the abbeyes, priories, friaries, nunneries, and other monasteries, that for ever in time then to come, he would take order that the same should not be converted to private uses; but first, that his exchequer for the purposes aforesaid should be enriched; secondly, the kingdom strengthened by a continual maintenance of 40,000 well-trained soldiers, with skilful captains and commanders; thirdly, for the benefit and ease of the subject, who never afterwards (as was projected), in any time to come, should be charged with subsidies, fifteenths, loans, or other common aids; fourthly, lest the honour of the realm should receive any diminution of honour by the dissolution of the said monasteries, there being 29 lords of parliament of the abbots and priors (that held of the king "per baroniam," whereof more in the next leaf), that the king would create a number of nobles, which we omit. The said monasteries were given to the king by authority of divers acts of parliament, but no provision was therein made for the said project or any part thereof.'

an effectual method of interesting the nobility and gentry in the success of his measures (Dugdale's Warwick, p. 800); he either made a gift of the revenues of convents to his favourites and courtiers, or sold them at low prices, or exchanged them for other lands on very disadvantageous terms. He was so profuse in these liberalities, that he is said to have given a woman the whole revenue of a convent, as a reward for making a pudding, which happened to gratify his palate (Fuller). He also settled pensions on the abbots and priors, proportioned to their former revenues or to their merits; and gave each monk a yearly pension of eight marks; he erected six new bishoprics, Westminster, Oxford, Peterborough, Bristol, Chester, and Gloucester; of which five subsist at this day; and by all these means of expense and dissipation, the profit, which the king reaped by the seizure of Church lands, fell much short of vulgar opinion. As the ruin of convents had been foreseen some years before it happened, the monks had taken care to secrete most of their stock, furniture, and plate; so that the spoils of the great monasteries bore not, in these respects, any proportion to those of the lesser.

Beside the lands possessed by the monasteries, the regular clergy enjoyed a considerable part of the benefices of England, and of the tithes annexed to them, and these were also at this time transferred to the crown, and by that means passed into the hands of laymen; an abuse which many zealous churchmen regarded as the most criminal sacrilege. The monks were formerly much at their ease in England, and enjoyed revenues which exceeded the regular and stated expense of the house. We read of the abbey of Chertsey in Surrey, which possessed 744*l.* a year, though it contained only 14 monks; that of Furness, in the county of Lincoln, was valued at 960*l.* a year, and contained about 30 (Burnet, vol. i., p. 237). In order to dissipate their revenues, and support popularity, the monks lived in a hospitable manner, and besides the poor maintained from their offals, there were many decayed gentlemen, who passed their lives in travelling from convent to convent, and were entirely subsisted at the tables of the friars. By this hospitality, as much as by their own inactivity, did the convents prove nurseries of idleness; but the king, not to give offence by too sudden an innovation, bound the new proprietors of abbey lands to support the ancient hospitality. But this engagement was fulfilled in very few places, and for a very short time.

It is easy to imagine the indignation with which the intelligence of all these acts of violence was received at Rome, and how much the ecclesiastics of that court, who had so long kept the world in subjection by high sounding epithets, and by holy execrations, would now vent their rhetoric against the character and conduct of Henry. The Pope was at last incited to publish the bull which had been passed against that monarch, and in a public manner he delivered over his soul to the devil, and his dominions to the first invader. Libels were dispersed, in which he was anew compared to the most furious persecutors in antiquity, and the preference was now given to their side; he had declared war with the dead, whom the pagans themselves respected, was at open hostility with heaven, and had engaged in professed enmity with the whole host of saints and angels. Above all,

he was often reproached with his resemblance to the Emperor Julian, whom it was said he imitated in his apostasy and learning, though he fell short of him in morals. Henry could distinguish in some of these libels the style and animosity of his kinsman, Pole, and he was thence incited to vent his rage by every possible expedient, on that famous cardinal.

Reginald de la Pole, or Reginald Pole, was descended from the royal family, being fourth son of the Countess of Salisbury, daughter of the Duke of Clarence. He gave in early youth indications of that fine genius and generous disposition, by which during his whole life he was so much distinguished, and Henry having conceived great friendship for him, intended to raise him to the highest ecclesiastical dignities, and as a pledge of future favours he conferred on him the deanery of Exeter (Goodwin's Annals) the better to support him in his education. Pole was carrying on his studies in the university of Paris at the time when the king solicited the suffrages of that learned body in favour of his divorce, but though applied to by the English agent, he declined taking any part in the affair. Henry bore this neglect with more temper than was natural to him, and he appeared unwilling on that account, to renounce all friendship with a person whose virtues and talents he hoped would prove useful as well as ornamental to his court and kingdom. He allowed him still to possess his deanery, and gave him permission to finish his studies at Padua; he even paid him some court, in order to bring him into his measures, and wrote to him while in that university, desiring him to give his opinion freely with regard to the late measures taken in England for abolishing the papal authority. Pole had now contracted an intimate friendship with all persons eminent for dignity or merit in Italy, Sadolet, Bembo, and other revivers of true taste and learning, and he was moved by these connections, as well as by religious zeal, to forget in some respect the duty which he owed to Henry, his benefactor and his sovereign. He replied by writing a treatise of 'the unity of the church,' in which he inveighed against the king's supremacy, his divorce, his second marriage, and he even exhorted the emperor to revenge on him the injury done to the Imperial family, and to the Catholic cause. Henry, though provoked beyond measure at this outrage, dissembled his resentment, and he sent a message to Pole, desiring him to return to England, in order to explain certain passages in his book, which he found somewhat obscure and difficult. Pole was on his guard against this insidious invitation, and was determined to remain in Italy, where he was universally beloved.

The Pope and emperor thought themselves obliged to provide for a man of Pole's eminence and dignity, who in support of their cause had sacrificed all his pretensions to fortune in his own country. He was created a cardinal, and though he took not higher orders than those of a deacon, he was sent legate into Flanders about the year 1536 (Herbert). Henry was sensible that Pole's chief intention in choosing that employment was to foment the mutinous disposition of the English Catholics, and he therefore remonstrated in so vigorous a manner with the Queen of Hungary, regent of the Low Countries, that she dismissed the legate without allowing him to exercise his

functions. The enmity which he bore to Pole was now as open as it was violent, and the cardinal on his part kept no further measures in his intrigues against Henry. He is even suspected of having aspired to the crown by means of a marriage with the Lady Mary, and the king was every day more alarmed by informations which he received of the correspondence maintained in England by that fugitive. Courtenay, Marquis of Exeter, had entered into a conspiracy with him, Sir Edward Nevil, brother to the Lord Abergavenny, Sir Nicholas Carew, master of horse, and knight of the garter, Henry de la Pole, Lord Montacute, and Sir Geoffrey de la Pole, brothers to the cardinal. These persons were indicted and tried, and convicted before Lord Audley, who presided in the trial as high steward; they were all executed, except Sir Geoffrey de la Pole, who was pardoned, and he owed this grace to his having first carried to the king secret intelligence of the conspiracy. We know little concerning the justice or iniquity of the sentence pronounced against these men; we only know that the condemnation of a man who was at that time prosecuted by the court forms no presumption of his guilt, though as no historian of credit mentions in the present case any complaint occasioned by these trials, we may presume that sufficient evidence was produced against the Marquis of Exeter and his associates (Herbert, ed. 1870).

CHAPTER XXXII.

Disputation with Lambert.—A parliament.—Law of the six articles.—Proclamations made equal to laws.—Settlement of the succession.—King's projects of marriage.—He marries Annæ of Cleves.—He dislikes her.—A parliament.—Fall of Cromwell.—His execution.—King's divorce from Anne of Cleves.—His marriage with Catherine Howard.—State of affairs in Scotland.—Discovery of the queen's dissolute life.—A parliament.—Ecclesiastical affairs.

THE rough hand of Henry seemed well adapted for rending asunder those bands by which the ancient superstition had fastened itself on the kingdom, and though after renouncing the Pope's supremacy, and suppressing monasteries, most of the political ends of reformation were already attained, few people expected that he would stop at those innovations. The spirit of opposition, it was thought, would carry him to the utmost extremities against the Church of Rome, and lead him to declare war against the whole doctrine and worship as well as discipline of that mighty hierarchy. He had formerly appealed from the Pope to a general council; but now when a general council was summoned to meet at Mantua, he previously renounced all submission to it, as summoned by the Pope, and lying entirely under subjection to that spiritual usurper. He engaged his clergy to make a declaration to the like purpose, and he had prescribed to them many other deviations from ancient tenets and practices. Cranmer took advantage of every opportunity to carry him on in this course, and while Queen Jane lived, who favoured the reformers, he had by means of her insinuation and address been successful in his endeavours. After her

death, Gardiner, who was returned from his embassy to France, kept the king more in suspense, and by feigning an unlimited submission to his will, was frequently able to guide him to his own purposes. Fox, Bishop of Hereford, had supported Cranmer in his schemes for a more thorough reformation, but his death had made way for the promotion of Bonner, who though he had hitherto seemed a furious enemy to the court of Rome, was determined to sacrifice everything to present interest, and had joined the confederacy of Gardiner, and the partisans of the old religion. Gardiner himself, it was believed, had secretly entered into measures with the Pope, and even with the emperor, and in concert with these powers, he endeavoured to preserve, as much as possible, the ancient faith and worship.

Henry was so much governed by passion, that nothing could have retarded his animosity and opposition against Rome but some other passion which stopped his career, and raised him new objects of animosity. Though he had gradually, since the commencement of his scruples with regard to his first marriage, been changing the tenets of that theological system in which he had been educated, he was no less positive and dogmatical in the few articles which remained to him than if the whole fabric had continued entire and unshaken. And though he stood alone in his opinion, the flattery of courtiers had so inflamed his tyrannical arrogance, that he thought himself entitled to regulate, by his own particular standard, the religious faith of the whole nation. The point on which he chiefly rested his orthodoxy happened to be the real presence; the very doctrine in which, among the numberless victories of superstition over common sense, her triumph is the most signal and egregious. All departure from this principle he held to be heretical and detestable; and nothing, he thought, would be more honourable for him than, while he broke off all connections with the Roman pontiff, to maintain in this essential article the purity of the catholic faith.

There was one Lambert (Fox, vol. ii., p. 396), a schoolmaster in London, who had been questioned and confined for unsound opinions by Archbishop Warham; but upon the death of that prelate, and the change of counsels at court, he had been released. Not terrified with the danger which he had incurred, he still continued to promulgate his tenets; and having heard Dr. Taylor, afterwards Bishop of Lincoln, defend in a sermon the corporal presence, he could not forbear expressing to Taylor his dissent from that doctrine; and he drew up his objections under ten several heads. Taylor communicated the paper to Dr. Barnes, who happened to be a Lutheran, and who maintained, that though the substance of bread and wine remained in the sacrament, yet the real body and blood of Christ were there also, and were, in a certain mysterious manner, incorporated with the material elements. By the present laws and practice, Barnes was no less exposed to the stake than Lambert; yet such was the persecuting rage which prevailed, that he determined to bring this man to condign punishment, because, in their common departure from the ancient faith, he had dared to go one step farther than himself. He engaged Taylor to accuse Lambert before Cranmer and Latimer, who, whatever their private opinion might be on these points, were obliged to conform

themselves to the standard of orthodoxy established by Henry. When Lambert was cited before these prelates, they endeavoured to bend him to a recantation; and they were surprised when, instead of complying, he ventured to appeal to the king.

The king, not displeased with an opportunity where he could at once exert his supremacy and display his learning, accepted the appeal; and resolved to mix, in a very unfair manner, the magistrate with the disputant. Public notice was given, that he intended to enter the lists with the schoolmaster; scaffolds were erected in Westminster Hall for the accommodation of the audience; Henry appeared on his throne, accompanied with all the ensigns of majesty; the prelates were placed on his right hand, the temporal peers on his left; the judges and most eminent lawyers had a place assigned them behind the bishops; the courtiers of greatest distinction behind the peers; and in the midst of this splendid assembly was produced the unhappy Lambert, who was required to defend his opinions against his royal antagonist (Fox, vol. ii., p. 426).

The Bishop of Chichester opened the conference by saying, that Lambert, being charged with heretical pravity, had appealed from his bishop to the king, as if he expected more favour from this application, and as if the king could ever be induced to protect a heretic; that though his majesty had thrown off the usurpations of the see of Rome, had disincorporated some idle monks who lived like drones in a beehive, had abolished the idolatrous worship of images, had published the Bible in English for the instruction of all his subjects, and had made some lesser alterations, which every one must approve of, yet was he determined to maintain the purity of the catholic faith, and to punish with the utmost severity all departure from it; and that he had taken the present opportunity, before so learned and grave an audience, of convincing Lambert of his errors; but if he still continued obstinate in them he must expect the most condign punishment (Goodwin's Annals).

After this preamble, which was not very encouraging, the king asked Lambert, with a stern countenance, what his opinion was of Christ's corporal presence in the sacrament of the altar; and when Lambert began his reply with some compliment to his majesty, he rejected the praise with disdain and indignation. He afterwards pressed Lambert with arguments drawn from Scripture and the schoolmen; the audience applauded the force of his reasoning, and the extent of his erudition; Cranmer seconded his proofs by some new topics; Gardiner entered the lists as a support to Cranmer; Tunstal took up the argument after Gardiner; Stokesley brought fresh aid to Tunstal; six bishops more appeared successively in the field after Stokesley; and the disputation, if it deserves the name, was prolonged for five hours; till Lambert, fatigued, confounded, brow-beaten, and abashed, was at last reduced to silence. The king, then returning to the charge, asked him whether he were convinced? and he proposed, as a concluding argument, this interesting question, Whether he were resolved to live or to die? Lambert, who possessed that courage which consists in obstinacy, replied, that he cast himself wholly on his majesty's clemency: the king told him that he would be no protector of heretics, and

therefore, if that were his final answer, he must expect to be committed to the flames. Cromwell, as vicegerent, pronounced the sentence against him.¹

Lambert, whose vanity had probably incited him the more to persevere on account of the greatness of this public appearance, was not daunted by the terrors of the punishment to which he was condemned. His executioners took care to make the sufferings of a man who had personally opposed the king as cruel as possible: he was burned at a slow fire; his legs and thighs were consumed to the stumps; and when there appeared no end of his torments, some of the guards, more merciful than the rest, lifted him on their halberts, and threw him into the flames, where he was consumed. While they were employed in this friendly office, he cried aloud several times, 'None but Christ, none but Christ'; and these words were in his mouth when he expired (Foxe's Acts and Monum., p. 427; Burnet).

Some few days before this execution, four Dutch Anabaptists, three men and a woman, had faggots tied to their backs at Paul's Cross, and were burned in that manner. And a man and a woman of the same sect and country were burned in Smithfield (Stow, p. 556).

It was the unhappy fate of the English during this age, that when they laboured under any grievance, they had not the satisfaction of expecting redress from parliament; on the contrary, they had reason to dread each meeting of that assembly, and were then sure of having tyranny converted into law, and aggravated, perhaps, with some circumstance, which the arbitrary prince and his ministers had not hitherto devised, or did not think proper of themselves to carry into execution. This abject servility never appeared more conspicuously than in a new parliament, which the king assembled (April 28, A.D. 1538), and which, if he had been so pleased, might have been the last that sat in England. But he found them too useful instruments of dominion ever to entertain thoughts of giving them a total exclusion.

The chancellor opened the parliament by informing the House of Lords that it was his majesty's earnest desire to extirpate from his kingdom all diversity of opinion in matters of religion; and as this undertaking was, he owned, important and arduous, he desired them to choose a committee from among themselves, who might draw up certain articles of faith, and communicate them afterwards to the parliament. The lords named the vicar-general, Cromwell, now created a peer, the Archbishops of Canterbury and York, the Bishops of Durham, Carlisle, Worcester, Bath and Wells, Bangor, and Ely. The house

¹ Collier (Eccles. Hist., vol. ii., p. 152) has preserved an account which Cromwell gave of his conference, in a letter to Sir Thomas Wyat, the king's ambassador in Germany. 'The king's majesty,' says Cromwell, 'for the reverence of the holy sacrament of the altar, did sit openly in his hall, and there presided at the disputation, process, and judgment of a miserable heretic sacramentary, who was burnt the 20th Nov. It was a wonder to see how princely, with how excellent gravity and inestimable majesty his highness exercised there the very office of supreme head of the Church of England. How benignly his grace essayed to convert the miserable man. How strong and manifest reasons his highness alleged against him. I wish the princes and potentates of Christendom to have had a meet place to have seen it. Undoubtedly they should have much marvelled at his majesty's most high wisdom and judgment, and reputed him no otherwise after the same than in a manner the mirror and light of all other kings and princes in Christendom.' It was by such flatteries that Henry was engaged to make his sentiments the standard to all mankind; and was determined to enforce by the severest penalties his *strong* and *manifest* reasons for transubstantiation.

might have seen what a hopeful task they had undertaken; this small committee itself was agitated with such diversity of opinion, that it could come to no conclusion. The Duke of Norfolk then moved in the house, that, since there were no hopes of having a report from the committee, the articles of faith intended to be established should be reduced to six; and a new committee be appointed to draw an act with regard to them. As this peer was understood to speak the sense of the king, his motion was immediately complied with; and after a short prorogation, the bill of the 'six articles,' or the bloody bill, as the Protestants justly termed it, was introduced, and having passed the two houses, received the royal assent.

In this law, the doctrine of the real presence was established, the communion in one kind, the perpetual obligation of vows of chastity, the utility of private masses, the celibacy of the clergy, and the necessity of auricular confession. The denial of the first article, with regard to the real presence, subjected the person to death by fire, and to the same forfeiture as in cases of treason; and admitted not the privilege of abjuring: an unheard-of severity, and unknown to the Inquisition itself. The denial of any of the other five articles, even though recanted, was punishable by the forfeiture of goods and chattels, and imprisonment during the king's pleasure; an obstinate adherence to error, or a relapse, was adjudged to be felony, and punishable with death. The marriage of priests was subjected to the same punishment. Their commerce with women was, on the first offence, forfeiture and imprisonment; on the second, death. The abstaining from confession, and from receiving the Eucharist at the accustomed times, subjected the person to fine and to imprisonment during the king's pleasure; and if the criminal persevered after conviction, he was punishable by death and forfeiture, as in cases of felony (31 Hen. 8, c. 14; Herbert, in Kennet, p. 629, ed. 1870). Commissioners were to be appointed by the king for inquiring into these heresies and irregular practices; and the criminals were to be tried by a jury.

The king in framing this law laid his oppressive hand on both parties; and even the Catholics had reason to complain that the friars and nuns, though dismissed their convents, should be capriciously restrained to the practice of celibacy;¹ but as the Protestants were chiefly exposed to the severity of the statute, the misery of adversaries, according to the usual maxims of party, was regarded by the adherents of the ancient religion as their own prosperity and triumph. Cranmer had the courage to oppose this bill in the house; and though the king desired him to absent himself, he could not be prevailed on to give this proof of compliance (Burnet, vol. i., pp. 249, 270; Fox, vol. ii., p. 1037). Henry was accustomed to Cranmer's freedom and sincerity; and being convinced of the general rectitude of his intentions, gave him an unusual indulgence in this particular, and never allowed even a whisper against him. That prelate, however, was now obliged, in obedience to the statute, to dismiss his wife, the niece of Osiander, a

¹ There is a story that the Duke of Norfolk, meeting, soon after this act was passed, one of his chaplains, who was suspected of favouring the reformation, said to him, 'Now, sir, what think you of the law to hinder priests from having wives?' 'Yes, my lord,' replied the chaplain, 'you have done that; but I will answer for it you cannot hinder men's wives from having

famous divine, of Nuremberg (Herbert, in Kennet, p. 629, ed. 1870); and Henry, satisfied with this proof of submission, showed him his former countenance and favour. Latimer and Shaxton threw up their bishoprics on account of the law, and were committed to prison.

The parliament, having thus resigned all their religious liberties, proceeded to an entire surrender of their civil; and without scruple or deliberation they made, by one act, a total subversion of the English constitution. They gave to the king's proclamation the same force as to a statute enacted by parliament; and to render the matter worse, if possible, they framed this law, as if it were only declaratory, and were intended to explain the natural extent of royal authority. The preamble contains, that the king had formerly set forth several proclamations which froward persons had wilfully contemned, not considering what a king by his royal power may do; that this licence might encourage offenders not only to disobey the laws of Almighty God, but also to dishonour the king's most royal majesty, 'who may full ill bear it:' that sudden emergencies often occur, which require speedy remedies, and cannot await the slow assembling and deliberations of parliament; and that, though the king was empowered, by his authority, derived from God, to consult the public good on these occasions, yet the opposition of refractory subjects might push him to extremity and violence: for these reasons the parliament, that they might remove all occasion of doubt, ascertained by a statute this prerogative of the crown, and enabled his majesty, with the advice of his council, to set forth proclamations enjoining obedience under whatever pains and penalties he should think proper; and these proclamations were to have the force of perpetual laws (31 Hen. 8, c. 8).

What proves either a stupid or a wilful blindness in the parliament is, that they pretended, even after this statute, to maintain some limitations in the government: and they enacted that no proclamation should deprive any person of his loyal possessions, liberties, inheritances, privileges, franchises; nor yet infringe any common law, or laudable custom of the realm. They did not consider, that no penalty could be inflicted on the disobeying of proclamations, without invading some liberty or property of the subject; and that the power of enacting new laws joined to the dispensing power then exercised by the crown, amounted to a full legislative authority. It is true, the kings of England had always been accustomed, from their own authority, to issue proclamations and to exact obedience to them; and this prerogative was, no doubt, a strong symptom of absolute government. But still there was a difference between a power which was exercised on a particular emergency, and which must be justified by the present expedience or necessity; and an authority conferred by a positive statute, which could no longer admit of control or limitation.

Could any act be more opposite to the spirit of liberty than this law, it would have been another of the same parliament. They passed an act of attainder, not only against the Marquis of Exeter, the Lords Montacute, Darcy, Hussey, and others, who had been legally tried and condemned: but also against some persons of the highest quality, who had never been accused, or examined, or convicted. The violent hatred which Henry bore to Cardinal Pole had extended itself to all

his friends and relations: and his mother in particular, the Countess of Salisbury, had, on that account, become extremely obnoxious to him. She was also accused of having employed her authority with her tenants, to hinder them from reading the new translation of the Bible; of having procured bulls from Rome, which, it is said, had been seen at Coudray, her country seat; and of having kept a correspondence with her son, the cardinal: but Henry found, either that these offences could not be proved, or that they would not by law be subjected to such severe punishments as he desired to inflict upon her. He resolved, therefore, to proceed in a more summary and more tyrannical manner; and for that purpose he sent Cromwell, who was but too obsequious to his will, to ask the judges whether the parliament could attain a person who was forthcoming, without giving him any trial, or citing him to appear before them (Coke's 4th Inst., pp. 37, 38). The judges replied, that it was a dangerous question, and that the high court of parliament ought to give the example to inferior courts, of proceeding according to justice: no inferior court could act in that arbitrary manner, and they thought that the parliament never would. Being pressed to give a more explicit answer, they replied, that if a person were attainted in that manner, the attainder could never afterwards be brought in question, but must remain good in law. Henry learned by this decision that such a method of proceeding, though directly contrary to all the principles of equity, was yet practicable; and this being all he was anxious to know, he resolved to employ it against the Countess of Salisbury. Cromwell showed to the house of peers a banner, on which were embroidered the five wounds of Christ, the symbol chosen by the northern rebels; and this banner, he affirmed, was found in the countess's house (Rymer, vol. xiv., p. 652). No other proof seems to have been produced, in order to ascertain her guilt: the parliament, without further inquiry, passed a bill of attainder against her; and they involved in the same bill, without any better proof, as far as appears, Gertrude Marchioness of Exeter, Sir Adrian Fortescue, and Sir Thomas Dingley. These two gentlemen were executed; the marchioness was pardoned, and survived the king; the countess received a reprieve.

The only beneficial act passed this session was that by which the parliament confirmed the surrender of the monasteries; yet even this act contains much falsehood, much tyranny, and, were it not that all private rights must submit to public interest, much injustice and iniquity. The scheme of engaging the abbots to surrender their monasteries had been conducted, as may easily be imagined, with many invidious circumstances; arts of all kinds had been employed; every motive that could work on the frailty of human nature had been set before them; and it was with great difficulty that these dignified conventuals were brought to make a concession, which most of them regarded as destructive of their interests, as well as sacrilegious and criminal in itself (Collier, vol. ii., p. 158, and seq.). Three abbots had shown more constancy than the rest, the abbots of Colchester, Reading, and Glastonbury; and in order to punish them for their opposition, and make them an example to others, means had been found to convict them of treason; they had perished by the hands of

the executioner, and the revenue of the convents had been forfeited (31 Hen. 8, c. 10). Besides, though none of these violences had taken place, the king knew that a surrender made by men who were only tenants for life would not bear examination; and he was therefore resolved to make all sure by his usual expedient, an act of parliament. In the preamble to this act, the parliament asserts that all the surrenders made by the abbots had been, 'without constraint, of their own accord, and according to due course of common law.' And in consequence, the two houses confirm the surrenders, and secure the property of the abbey lands to the king and his successors for ever (31 Hen. 8, c. 13). It is remarkable that all the mitred abbots still sat in the house of peers, and that none of them made any protests against this injurious statute.

In this session the rank of all the great officers of state was fixed; Cromwell, as vicegerent, had the precedency assigned him above all of them. It was thought singular, that a blacksmith's son, for he was no other, should have place next the royal family; and that a man, possessed of no manner of literature, should be set at the head of the Church.

As soon as the act of the six articles had passed, the Catholics were extremely vigilant in informing against offenders, and no less than 500 persons were in a little time thrown into prison. But Cromwell, who had not had interest to prevent that act, was able, for the present, to elude its execution. Seconded by the Duke of Suffolk and Chancellor Audley, as well as by Cranmer, he remonstrated against the cruelty of punishing so many delinquents; and he obtained permission to set them at liberty. The uncertainty of the king's humour gave each party an opportunity of triumphing in its turn. No sooner had Henry passed this law, which seemed to inflict so deep a wound on the reformers, than he granted a general permission for every one to have the new translation of the Bible in his family; a concession regarded by that party as an important victory.

But as Henry was observed to be much governed by his wives, while he retained his fondness for them, the final prevalence of either party seemed much to depend on the choice of the future queen. Immediately after the death of Jane Seymour, the most beloved of all his wives, he began to think of a new marriage. He first cast his eye towards the Duchess-dowager of Milan, niece to the emperor; and he made proposals for that alliance. But meeting with difficulties, he was carried, by his friendship for Francis, rather to think of a French princess. He demanded the Duchess-dowager of Longueville, daughter of the Duke of Guise, a prince of the house of Lorraine; but Francis told him that the lady was already betrothed to the King of Scotland. The king, however, would not take a refusal; he had set his heart extremely on the match: the information which he had received of the duchess's accomplishments and beauty had prepossessed him in her favour; and having privately sent over Meautys to examine her person, and get certain intelligence of her conduct, the accounts which that agent brought him served further to inflame his desires. He learned that she was big made; and he thought her, on that account, the more proper match for him, who was now become somewhat corpulent. The

pleasure too of mortifying his nephew, whom he did not love, was a further incitement to his prosecution of this match; and he insisted that Francis should give him the preference to the King of Scots. But Francis, though sensible that the alliance of England was of much greater importance to his interests, would not affront his friend and ally; and, to prevent further solicitation, he immediately sent the princess to Scotland. Not to shock, however, Henry's humour, Francis made him an offer of Mary of Bourbon, daughter of the Duke of Vendome; but as the king was informed that James had formerly rejected this princess, he would not hear any further of such a proposal. The French monarch then offered him the choice of the two younger sisters of the Queen of Scots; and he assured him that they were nowise inferior, either in merit or size, to their elder sister, and that one of them was even superior in beauty. The king was as scrupulous with regard to the person of his wives, as if his heart had been really susceptible of a delicate passion: and he was unwilling to trust any relations, or even pictures, with regard to this important particular. He proposed to Francis, that they should have a conference at Calais, on pretence of business; and that this monarch should bring along with him the two princesses of Guise, together with the finest ladies of quality in France, that he might make a choice among them. But the gallant spirit of Francis was shocked at the proposal: he was impressed with too much regard, he said, for the fair sex, to carry ladies of the first quality, like geldings, to a market, there to be chosen or rejected by the humour of the purchaser (*Le Grand*, vol. iii., p. 638). Henry would hearken to none of these niceties, but still insisted on his proposal; which, however, notwithstanding Francis's earnest desire of obliging him, was finally rejected.

The king then began to turn his thoughts towards a German alliance; and as the princes of the Smalcaldic league were extremely disgusted with the emperor on account of his persecuting their religion, he hoped by matching himself into one of their families, to renew a connection which he regarded as so advantageous to him. Cromwell joyfully seconded this intention; and proposed to him Anne of Cleves, whose father, the duke of that name, had great interest among the Lutheran princes, and whose sister, Sibylla, was married to the elector of Saxony, the head of the Protestant league. A flattering picture of the princess, by Hans Holbein, determined Henry to apply to her father; and, after some negotiation, the marriage, notwithstanding the opposition of the elector of Saxony, was at last concluded; and Anne was sent over to England. The king, impatient to be satisfied with regard to the person of his bride, came privately to Rochester, and got a sight of her. He found her big, indeed, and tall as he could wish; but utterly destitute both of beauty and grace; very unlike the pictures and representations which he had received; he swore she was a great Flanders mare; and declared that he never could possibly bear her any affection. The matter was worse, when he found that she could speak no language but Dutch, of which he was entirely ignorant; and that the charms of her conversation were not likely to compensate for the homeliness of her person. He returned to Greenwich very melancholy; and he much lamented his hard fate to Cromwell, as well as to Lord Russell, Sir

Anthony Brown, and Sir Anthony Denny. This last gentleman, in order to give him comfort, told him, that his misfortune was common to him with all kings, who could not, like private persons, choose for themselves, but must receive their wives from the judgment and from the fancy of others.

It was the subject of debate among the king's counsellors, whether the marriage could not yet be dissolved, and the princess be sent back to her own country. Henry's situation seemed at that time very critical. After the ten years' truce concluded between the emperor and the King of France, a good understanding was thought to have taken place between these rival monarchs; and such marks of union appeared, as gave great jealousy to the court of England. The emperor, who knew the generous nature of Francis, even put a confidence in him, which is rare, to that degree, among great princes. An insurrection had been raised in the Low Countries by the inhabitants of Ghent, and seemed to threaten the most dangerous consequences. Charles, who resided at that time in Spain, resolved to go in person to Flanders, in order to appease those disorders; but he found great difficulties in choosing the manner of his passing thither. The road by Italy and Germany was tedious; the voyage through the channel dangerous, by reason of the English naval power; he asked Francis's permission to pass through his dominions; and he entrusted himself into the hands of a rival whom he had so mortally offended. The French monarch received him at Paris with great magnificence and courtesy; and though prompted both by revenge and interest, as well as by the advice of his mistress and favourites, to make advantage of the present opportunity, he conducted Charles safely out of his dominions; and would not so much as speak to him of business during his abode in France, lest his demands should bear the air of violence upon his royal guest.

Henry, who was informed of all these particulars, believed that an entire and cordial union had taken place between these princes; and that their religious zeal might prompt them to fall with combined arms upon England (Stow, p. 579). An alliance with the German princes seemed now, more than ever, requisite for his interest and safety; and he knew, that if he sent back the Princess of Cleves, such an affront would be highly resented by her friends and family. He was therefore resolved, notwithstanding his aversion to her, to complete the marriage (A.D. 1540, Jan. 6), and he told Cromwell that, since matters had gone so far, he must put his neck into the yoke. Cromwell, who knew how much his own interests were concerned in this affair, was very anxious to learn from the king, next morning after the marriage, whether he now liked his spouse any better. The king told him, that he hated her worse than ever; and that her person was more disgusting on a near approach; he was resolved never to meddle with her; and even suspected her not to be a true maid; a point about which he entertained an extreme delicacy. He continued, however, to be civil to Anne; he even seemed to repose his usual confidence in Cromwell; but though he exerted this command over himself, a discontent lay lurking in his breast, and was ready to burst out on the first opportunity.

A session of parliament was held; and none of the abbots were now allowed a place in the house of peers. The king, by the mouth of the

chancellor, complained to the parliament of the great diversity of religions which still prevailed among his subjects; a grievance, he affirmed, which ought the less to be endured, because the Scriptures were now published in English, and ought universally to be the standard of belief to all mankind. But he had appointed, he said, some bishops and divines to draw up a list of tenets, to which his people were to assent; and he was determined that Christ, the doctrine of Christ, and the truth, should have the victory. The king seems to have expected more effect in ascertaining truth, from this new book of his doctors, than had ensued from the publication of the Scriptures. Cromwell, as vicar-general, made also, in the king's name, a speech to the upper house; and the peers, in return, bestowed great flattery on him, and, in particular, said that he was worthy, by his desert, to be vicar-general of the universe. That minister seemed to be no less in his master's good graces; he received, soon after the sitting of the parliament, the title of Earl of Essex, and was installed knight of the garter.

There remained only one religious order in England; the Knights of St. John of Jerusalem, or the Knights of Malta, as they are commonly called. This order, partly ecclesiastical, partly military, had, by their valour, done great service to Christendom; and had very much retarded at Jerusalem, Rhodes, and Malta, the rapid progress of the barbarians. During the general surrender of the religious houses in England, they had exerted their spirit, and had obstinately refused to yield up their revenues to the king; and Henry, who would endure no society that professed obedience to the Pope, was obliged to have recourse to parliament for the dissolution of this order. Their revenues were large, and formed an addition nowise contemptible to the many acquisitions which the king had already made. But he had very ill husbanded the great revenue acquired by the plunder of the church; his profuse generosity dissipated faster than his rapacity could supply; and the parliament was surprised this session to find a demand made upon them of four-tenths, and a subsidy of one shilling in the pound during two years; so ill were the public expectations answered, that the crown was never more to require any supply from the people. The Commons, though lavish of their liberty, and of the blood of their fellow-subjects, were extremely frugal of their money; and it was not without difficulty so small a grant could be obtained by this absolute and dreaded monarch. The convocation gave the king four shillings in the pound, to be levied in two years. The pretext for these grants was the great expense which Henry had undergone for the defence of the realm, in building forts along the sea-coast, and in equipping a navy. As he had at present no ally on the continent in whom he reposed much confidence, he relied only on his domestic strength, and was on that account obliged to be more expensive in his preparations against the danger of an invasion.

The king's favour to Cromwell, and his acquiescence in the marriage with Anne of Cleves, were both of them deceitful appearances; his aversion to the queen secretly increased every day; and having at last broken all restraint, it prompted him at once to seek the dissolution of a marriage so odious to him, and to involve his minister in ruin, who had been the innocent author of it. The fall of Cromwell was hastened

by other causes. All the nobility hated a man who, being of such low extraction, had not only mounted above them by his station of vicar-general, but had engrossed many of the other considerable offices of the crown; besides enjoying that commission, which gave him a high, and almost absolute authority over the clergy, and even over the laity, he was privy seal, chamberlain, and master of the wards; he had also obtained the order of the garter, a dignity which had ever been conferred only on men of illustrious families, and which seemed to be profaned by its being communicated to so mean a person. The people were averse to him, as the supposed author of the violence on the monasteries; establishments which were still revered and beloved by the commonalty. The catholics regarded him as the concealed enemy of their religion; the protestants, observing his exterior concurrence with all the persecutions exercised against them, were inclined to bear him as little favour, and reproached him with the timidity, if not treachery, of his conduct. And the king, who found that great clamours had on all hands arisen against the administration, was not displeased to throw on Thomas Cromwell the load of public hatred; and he hoped, by making so easy a sacrifice, to regain the affections of his subjects.

But there was another cause which suddenly set all these motives in action, and brought about an unexpected revolution in the ministry. The king had fixed his affection on Catherine Howard, niece to the Duke of Norfolk; and being determined to gratify this new passion, he could find no expedient but, by procuring a divorce from his present consort, to raise Catherine to his bed and throne. The duke, who had long been engaged in enmity with Cromwell, made the same use of her insinuations to ruin this minister, that he had formerly done of Anne Boleyn's against Wolsey; and when all engines were prepared, he obtained a commission from the king to arrest Cromwell at the council-table, on an accusation of high treason, and to commit him to the Tower. Immediately after, a bill of attainder was framed against him; and the house of peers thought proper, without trial, examination, or evidence, to condemn to death a man, whom, a few days before, they had declared worthy to be vicar-general of the universe. The House of Commons passed the bill, though not without some opposition. Cromwell was accused of heresy and treason; but the proofs of his treasonable practices are utterly improbable, and even absolutely ridiculous (Burnet, vol. i., p. 278). The only circumstance of his conduct, by which he merited this fate, was his being the instrument of the king's tyranny, in conducting like iniquitous bills, in the preceding session, against the Countess of Salisbury and others.

Cromwell endeavoured to soften the king by the most humble supplications; but all to no purpose: it was not the practice of that prince to ruin his ministers and favourites by halves; and though the unhappy prisoner once wrote to him in so moving a strain as even to draw tears from his eyes, he hardened himself against all movements of pity, and refused his pardon. The conclusion of Cromwell's letter ran in these words: 'I, a most woeful prisoner, am ready to submit to death 'when it shall please God and your majesty; and yet the frail flesh 'incites me to call on your grace for mercy and pardon of mine offences.'

'Written at the Tower with the heavy heart and trembling hand of 'your highness's most miserable prisoner, and poor slave, Thomas 'Cromwell.' And a little below, 'Most gracious prince, I cry for 'mercy, mercy, mercy' (Burnet, vol. i., pp. 281, 282). When brought (A.D. 1540, July 28) to the place of execution, he avoided all earnest protestations of his innocence, and all complaints against the sentence pronounced upon him. He knew that Henry would resent on his son those symptoms of opposition to his will, and that his death alone would not terminate that monarch's vengeance. He was a man of prudence, industry, and abilities; worthy of a better master and of a better fate. Though raised to the summit of power from a low origin, he betrayed no insolence or contempt towards his inferiors; and was careful to remember all the obligations, which, during his more humble fortune, he had owed to any one. He had served as a private sentinel in the Italian wars; when he received some good offices from a Lucquese merchant, who had entirely forgotten his person, as well as the service which he had rendered him. Cromwell, in his grandeur, happened, at London, to cast his eye on his benefactor, now reduced to poverty by misfortunes. He immediately sent for him, reminded him of their ancient friendship, and by his grateful assistance reinstated him in his former prosperity and opulence (Burnet, *Hist. of Reformation*, vol. i., p. 172).

The measures for divorcing Henry from Anne of Cleves, were carried on at the same time with the bill of attainder against Cromwell. The House of Peers, in conjunction with the commons, applied to the king by petition, desiring that he would allow his marriage to be examined; and orders were immediately given to lay the matter before the convocation. Anne had formerly been contracted by her father to the Duke of Lorraine; but she, as well as the duke, were at that time under age, and the contract had been afterwards annulled by consent of both parties. The king, however, pleaded this pre-contract as a ground of divorce; and he added two reasons more, which may seem a little extraordinary; that when he espoused Anne he had not inwardly given his consent, and that he had not thought proper to consummate the marriage. The convocation was satisfied with these reasons, and solemnly annulled the marriage between the king and queen. The parliament then ratified the decision of the clergy;¹ and the sentence was soon after notified to the princess.

Anne was blest with a happy insensibility of temper, even in the points which the most nearly affect her sex; and the king's aversion towards her, as well as his prosecution of the divorce, had never given her the least uneasiness. She willingly hearkened to terms of accommodation with him; and when he offered to adopt her as his sister, to give her place next the queen and his own daughter, and to make a

¹ To show how much Henry sported with law and common sense; how servilely the parliament followed all his caprices; and how much both of them were lost to all sense of shame; an act was passed this session, declaring that a pre-contract should be no ground for annulling a marriage; as if that pretext had not been made use of both in the case of Anne Boleyn and Anne of Cleves. But the king's intention in this law is said to be a design of restoring the Princess Elizabeth to her right of legitimacy; and it was his character never to look farther than the present object, without regarding the inconsistency of his conduct. The parliament made it high treason to deny the dissolution of Henry's marriage with Anne of Cleves. (Herbert.)

settlement of 3000*l.* a year upon her, she accepted of the conditions, and gave her consent to the divorce (Herbert, p. 640, ed. 1870). She even wrote to her brother (for her father was now dead), that she had been very well used in England, and desired him to live on good terms with the king. The only instance of pride which she betrayed was, that she refused to return to her own country after the affront which she had received; and she lived and died in England.

Notwithstanding Anne's moderation, this incident produced a great coldness between the king and the German princes; but as the situation of Europe was now much altered, Henry was the more indifferent about their resentment. The close intimacy, which had taken place between Francis and Charles, had subsisted during a very short time. The dissimilarity of their characters soon renewed, with greater violence than ever, their former jealousy and hatred. While Charles remained at Paris, Francis had been imprudently engaged, by his open temper, and by that satisfaction which a noble mind naturally feels in performing generous actions, to make in confidence some dangerous discoveries to that interested monarch; and having now lost all suspicion of his rival, he hoped that the emperor and he, supporting each other, might neglect every other alliance. He not only communicated to his guest the state of his negotiations with Sultan Solymán and the Venetians; he also laid open the solicitations, which he had received from the court of England, to enter into a confederacy against him (Pere Daniel, Du Tillet). Charles had no sooner reached his own dominions, than he showed himself unworthy of the friendly reception which he had met with. He absolutely refused to fulfil his promise, and put the Duke of Orleans in possession of the Milanese. He informed Solymán, and the senate of Venice, of the treatment which they had received from their ally; and he took care that Henry should not be ignorant how readily Francis had abandoned his ancient friend to whom he owed such important obligations, and had sacrificed him to a new confederate. He even poisoned and misrepresented many things, which the unsuspecting heart of the French monarch had disclosed to him. Had Henry possessed true judgment and generosity, this incident alone had been sufficient to guide him in the choice of his ally. But his domineering pride carried him immediately to renounce the friendship of Francis, who had so unexpectedly given the preference to the emperor. And as Charles invited him to a renewal of ancient amity, he willingly accepted of the offer; and thinking himself secure in this alliance, he neglected the friendship both of France and of the German princes.

The new turn, which Henry had taken with regard to foreign affairs, was extremely agreeable to his catholic subjects; and as it had perhaps contributed among other reasons to the ruin of Cromwell, it made them entertain hopes of a final prevalence over their antagonists. The marriage of the king with Catherine Howard, which followed soon after (8 Aug.) his divorce from Anne of Cleves, was also regarded as a favourable incident to their party; and the subsequent events corresponded to their expectations. The king's councils being now directed by Norfolk and Gardiner, a furious prosecution commenced against the Protestants; and the law of the six articles was executed with rigour. Dr. Barnes,

196 *Execution of Lord Grey and the Countess of Salisbury.*

who had been the cause of Lambert's execution, felt, in his turn, the severity of the persecuting spirit; and, by a bill, which passed in parliament, he was, without trial, condemned to the flames, together with Jerome and Gerrard. He discussed theological questions even at the stake; and as the dispute between him and the sheriff turned upon the invocations of saints, he said, that he doubted whether the saints could pray for us; but if they could, he hoped, in half an hour, to be praying for the sheriff and all the spectators. He next entreated the sheriff to carry to the king his dying request, which he fondly imagined would have authority with that monarch, who had sent him to the stake. [The purport of his request was, that Henry, besides repressing superstitious ceremonies, should be extremely vigilant in preventing fornication and common swearing (Burnet, vol. i., p. 298; Fox).

While Henry was exerting this violence against the Protestants, he spared not the Catholics who denied his supremacy; and a foreigner, at that time in England, had reason to say, that those who were against the Pope were burned, and those who were for him were hanged (Fox, vol. ii., p. 529). The king even displayed, in an ostentatious manner, this tyrannical impartiality, which reduced both parties to subjection, and infused terror into every breast. Barnes, Gerrard, and Jerome had been carried to the place of execution on three hurdles; and along with them there was placed on each hurdle a catholic, who was also executed for his religion. These catholics were Abel, Fetherstone, and Powell, who declared, that the most grievous part of their punishment was the being coupled to such heretical miscreants as suffered with them (Saunders, de Schism. Angl.).

Though the spirit of the English seemed to be totally sunk under the despotic power of Henry, there appeared some symptoms of discontent. An inconsiderable rebellion broke out in Yorkshire, headed by Sir John Nevill, but it was soon suppressed, and Nevill, with other ringleaders, was executed. The rebels were supposed to have been instigated by the intrigues of Cardinal Pole; and the king was instantly determined to make the Countess of Salisbury, who already lay under sentence of death, suffer for her son's offences. He ordered her to be carried to execution (May 27); and this venerable matron maintained still, in these distressful circumstances, the spirit of that long race of monarchs from whom she was descended (Herbert, p. 650, ed. 1870). She refused to lay her head on the block, or submit to a sentence where she had received no trial. She told the executioner, that if he would have her head, he must win it the best way he could; and thus, shaking her venerable grey locks, she ran about the scaffold; and the executioner followed her with his axe, aiming many fruitless blows at her neck, before he was able to give the fatal stroke. Thus perished the last of the line of Plantagenet, which, with great glory, but still greater crimes and misfortunes, had governed England for the space of three hundred years. Lord Leonard Grey, a man who had formerly rendered service to the crown, was beheaded for treason, soon after the Countess of Salisbury. We know little concerning the grounds of his prosecution.

The insurrection in the north engaged Henry to make a progress thither, in order to quiet the minds of his people, to reconcile them to his government, and to abolish the ancient superstitions, to which those

parts were much addicted. He had also another motive for this journey; he purposed to have a conference at York with his nephew the King of Scotland, and, if possible, to cement a close and indissoluble union with that kingdom.

The same spirit of religious innovation, which had seized other parts of Europe, had made its way into Scotland, and had begun, before this period, to excite the same jealousies, fears, and persecutions. About the year 1527, Patrick Hamilton, a young man of a noble family, having been created abbot of Ferne, was sent abroad for his education; but had fallen into company with some reformers, and he returned into his own country very ill-disposed towards that church of which his birth and his merit entitled him to attain the highest dignities. The fervour of youth, and his zeal for novelty, made it impossible for him to conceal his sentiments; and Campbell, prior of the Dominicans, who, under colour of friendship and a sympathy in opinion, had insinuated himself into his confidence, accused him before Beaton, Archbishop of St. Andrews. Hamilton was invited to St. Andrews, in order to maintain, with some of the clergy, a dispute concerning the controverted points; and after much reasoning with regard to justification, free-will, original sin, and other topics of that nature, the conference ended with their condemning Hamilton to be burned for his errors. The young man who had been deaf to the insinuations of ambition, was less likely to be shaken with the fears of death; while he proposed to himself both the glory of bearing testimony to the truth, and the immediate reward attending his martyrdom. The people, who compassionated his youth, his virtue, and his noble birth, were much moved at the constancy of his end; and an incident, which soon followed, still more confirmed them in their favourable sentiments towards him. He had cited Campbell, who still insulted him at the stake, to answer before the judgment-seat of Christ; and as that persecutor, either astonished with these events, or overcome with remorse, or perhaps, seized casually with a distemper, soon after lost his senses, and fell into a fever, of which he died, the people regarded Hamilton as a prophet, as well as a martyr (Spotswood's Ch. of Scot., p. 62).

Among the disciples converted by Hamilton, was one friar Forrest, who became a zealous preacher; and who, though he did not openly discover his sentiments, was suspected to lean towards the new opinions. His diocesan, the Bishop of Dunkeld, enjoined him, when he met with a good epistle or good gospel, which favoured the liberties of holy Church to preach on it, and let the rest alone. Forrest replied, that he had read both Old and New Testament, and had not found an ill epistle or ill gospel in any part of them. The extreme attachment to the Scriptures was regarded in those days as a sure characteristic of heresy; and Forrest was soon after brought to trial, and condemned to the flames. While the priests were deliberating on the place of his execution, a bystander advised them to bury him in a cellar, for that the smoke of Mr. Patrick Hamilton had infected all those on whom it blew (Spotswood, p. 65).

The clergy were at that time reduced to great difficulties, not only in Scotland, but all over Europe. As the reformers aimed at a total subversion of ancient establishments, which they represented as idol-

atrous, impious, detestable: the priests, who found both their honours and properties at stake, thought that they had a right to resist, by every expedient, these dangerous invaders, and that the same simple principles of equity, which justified a man in killing a pirate or robber, would acquit them for the execution of such heresies. A toleration, though it is never acceptable to ecclesiastics, might, they said, be admitted in other cases, but seemed an absurdity where fundamentals were shaken, and where the possessions, and even the existence of the established clergy were brought in danger. But though the Church was thus carried by policy, as well as inclination, to kindle the fires of persecution, they found the success of this remedy very precarious, and observed that the enthusiastic zeal of the reformers, inflamed by punishment, was apt to prove contagious on the compassionate minds of the spectators. The new doctrine, amidst all the dangers to which it was exposed, secretly spread itself everywhere; and the minds of men were gradually disposed to a revolution in religion.

But the most dangerous symptom for the clergy in Scotland was, that the nobility, from the example of England, had cast a wishful eye on the Church revenues, and hoped, if a reformation took place, to enrich themselves by the plunder of the ecclesiastics. James himself, who was very poor, and was somewhat inclined to magnificence, particularly in building, had been swayed by like motives, and began to threaten the clergy with the same fate that had attended them in the neighbouring country. Henry also never ceased exhorting his nephew to imitate his example; and being moved both by the pride of making proselytes, and the prospect of security, should Scotland embrace a close union with him, he solicited the King of Scots to meet him at York, and he obtained a promise to that purpose.

The ecclesiastics were alarmed at this resolution of James, and they employed every expedient, in order to prevent the execution of it. They represented the danger of innovation; the pernicious consequences of aggrandising the nobility, already too powerful; the hazard of putting himself into the hands of the English, his hereditary enemies; the dependence on them which must ensue upon his losing the friendship of France, and of all foreign powers. To these considerations they added the prospect of immediate interest, by which they found the king to be much governed; they offered him a present gratuity of fifty thousand pounds; they promised him that the Church should always be ready to contribute to his supply; and they pointed out to him the confiscation of heretics as the means of filling his exchequer, and of adding a hundred thousand pounds a year to the crown revenues.¹ The insinuations of his new queen, to whom youth, beauty, and address had given a powerful influence over him, seconded all these reasons; and James was at last engaged, first to delay his journey, then to send excuses to the King of England, who had already come to York, in order to be present at the interview.²

Henry, vexed with the disappointment, and enraged at the affront,

¹ Buchanan, lib. xiv.; Drummond, in Jas. V.; Pitscotie, *ibid.*; Knox.

² Henry had sent some books, richly ornamented, to his nephew, who, as soon as he saw, by the titles, that they had a tendency to defend the new doctrines, threw them into the fire, in the presence of the person who brought them: adding, it was better he should destroy them, than they him. (Epist. Reginald Pole, part 1, p. 172.)

vowed vengeance against his nephew; and he began by permitting piracies at sea, and incursions on land, to put his threats into execution. But he received soon after, in his own family, an affront to which he was much more sensible, and which touched him in a point where he always showed an extreme delicacy. He had thought himself very happy in his new marriage; the agreeable person and disposition of Catherine had entirely captivated his affections, and he made no secret of his devoted attachment to her. He had even publicly, in his chapel, returned solemn thanks to Heaven for the felicity which the conjugal state afforded him; and he directed the Bishop of Lincoln to compose a form of prayer for that purpose. But the queen's conduct very little merited this tenderness; one Lascelles brought intelligence of her dissolute life to Cranmer, and told him that his sister, formerly a servant in the family of the old Duchess of Norfolk, with whom Catherine was educated, had given him a particular account of her licentious manners. Derham and Mannoc, both of them servants to the duchess, had been admitted to her bed; and she had even taken little care to conceal her shame from the other servants of the family. The primate, struck with this intelligence, which it was equally dangerous to conceal or to discover, communicated the matter to the Earl of Hertford, and to the chancellor. They agreed that the matter should by no means be buried in silence; and the archbishop himself seemed the most proper person to disclose it to the king. Cranmer, unwilling to speak on so delicate a subject, wrote a narrative of the whole, and conveyed it to Henry, who was infinitely astonished at the intelligence. So confident was he of the fidelity of his consort that at first he gave no credit to the information, and he said to the privy-seal, to Lord Russell, high admiral, Sir Anthony Brown, and Wriothesley, that he regarded the whole as a falsehood. Cranmer was now in a very perilous situation, and had not full proof been found, certain and inevitable destruction hung over him. The king's impatience, however, and jealousy, prompted him to search the matter to the bottom; the privy-seal was ordered to examine Lascelles, who persisted in the information he had given, and still appealed to his sister's testimony. That nobleman next made a journey under pretence of hunting, and went to Sussex, where the woman at that time resided. He found her both constant in her former intelligence, and particular as to the facts, and the whole bore but too much the face of probability. Mannoc and Derham, who were arrested at the same time, and examined by the chancellor, made the queen's guilt entirely certain by their confession, and discovered other particulars, which redounded still more to her dishonour. Three maids of the family were admitted into her secrets, and some of them had even passed the night in bed with her and her lovers. All the examinations were laid before the king, who was so deeply affected that he remained a long time speechless, and at last burst into tears. He found, to his surprise, that his great skill in distinguishing a true maid, of which he boasted in the case of Anne of Cleves, had failed him in that of his present consort. The queen, being next questioned, denied her guilt; but, when informed that a full discovery was made, she confessed she had been criminal before marriage, and only insisted that she had never been false to the king's bed.

But as there was evidence that one Colepepper had passed the night with her alone since her marriage, and as it appeared that she had taken Derham, her old paramour, into her service, she seemed to deserve little credit in this asseveration; and the king, besides, was not of a humour to make any difference between degrees of guilt.

Henry found that he could not by any means so fully or expeditiously satiate his vengeance on all these criminals, as by assembling (A.D. 1542, Jan. 6) a parliament, the usual instrument of his tyranny. The two houses, having received the queen's confession, made an address to the king. They entreated him not to be vexed with this untoward accident, to which all men were subject; but to consider the frailty of human nature, and the mutability of human affairs; and from these views to derive a subject of consolation. They desired leave to pass a bill of attainder against the queen and her accomplices; and they begged him to give his assent to this bill, not in person, which would renew his vexation, and might endanger his health, but by commissioners appointed for that purpose. And as there was a law in force, making it treason to speak ill of the queen, as well as of the king, they craved his royal pardon, if any of them should, on the present occasion, have transgressed any part of the statute.

Having obtained a gracious answer to these requests, the parliament proceeded to vote a bill of attainder for treason against the queen, and the Viscountess of Rocheford, who had conducted her secret amours; and in this bill, Colepepper and Derham were also comprehended. At the same time, they passed a bill of attainder for misprision of treason against the old Duchess of Norfolk, Catherine's grandmother; her uncle, Lord William Howard, and his lady, together with the Countess of Bridgewater, and nine persons more, because they knew the queen's vicious course of life before her marriage, and had concealed it. This was an effect of Henry's usual extravagance, to expect that parents should so far forget the ties of natural affection, and the sentiments of shame and decency, as to reveal to him the most secret disorders of their family. He himself seems to have been sensible of the cruelty of this proceeding; for he pardoned the Duchess of Norfolk, and others, condemned for misprision of treason.

However, to secure himself for the future, as well as his successors, from this fatal accident, he engaged the parliament to pass a law somewhat extraordinary. It was enacted, that any one who knew, or vehemently suspected any guilt in the queen, might within twenty days disclose it to the king or council, without incurring the penalty of any former law against defaming the queen; but prohibiting every one at the same time from spreading the matter abroad, or even privately whispering it to others; it was also enacted, that if the king married any woman who had been incontinent, taking her for a true maid, she should be guilty of treason, if she did not previously reveal her guilt to him. The people made merry with this singular clause, and said, that the king must henceforth look out for a widow, for no reputed maid would ever be persuaded to incur the penalty of the statute (Burnet, vol. i., p. 314). After all these laws were passed, the queen was beheaded on Tower Hill, together with Lady Rocheford. They behaved in a manner suitable to their dissolute life; and as Lady

Rocheford was known to be the chief instrument in bringing Anne Boleyn to her end, she died unpitied; and men were further confirmed, by the discovery of this woman's guilt, in the favourable sentiments which they had entertained of that unfortunate queen.

The king made no demand of any subsidy from this parliament, but he found means of enriching his exchequer from another quarter; he took further steps towards the dissolution of colleges, hospitals, and other foundations of that nature. The courtiers had been practising on the presidents and governors to make a surrender of their revenues to the king, and they had been successful with eight of them. But there was an obstacle to their further progress; it had been provided by the local statutes of most of these foundations, that no president, or any number of fellows could consent to such a deed, without the unanimous vote of all the fellows, and this vote was not easily obtained. All such statutes were annulled by parliament; and the revenues of these houses were now exposed to the rapacity of the king and his favourites.¹ The Church had been so long their prey, that nobody was surprised at any new inroads made upon her. From the regular, Henry now proceeded to make devastations on the secular clergy. He extorted from many of the bishops a surrender of their chapter lands; and by this device he pillaged the sees of Canterbury, York, and London, and enriched his greedy parasites and flatterers with their spoils.

The clergy have been commonly so fortunate as to make a concern for their temporal interests go hand in hand with a jealousy for orthodoxy; and both these passions be regarded by the people, ignorant and superstitious, as proofs of zeal for religion; but the violent and headstrong character of Henry now disjoined these objects. His rapacity was gratified by plundering the Church, his bigotry and arrogance by persecuting heretics. Though he engaged the parliament to mitigate the penalties of the six articles, so far as regards the marriage of priests, which was now only subjected to a forfeiture of goods, chattels, and lands, during life; he was still equally bent on maintaining a rigid purity in speculative principles. He had appointed a commission, consisting of the two archbishops and several bishops of both provinces, together with a considerable number of doctors of divinity; and, by virtue of his ecclesiastical supremacy, he had given them in charge to choose a religion for his people. Before the commissioners had made any progress in this arduous undertaking, the parliament, in 1541, had passed a law, by which they ratified all the tenets which these divines should thereafter establish with the king's consent; and they were not ashamed of thus expressly declaring, that they took their religion upon trust, and had no other rule, in spiritual as well as temporal concerns, than the arbitrary will of their master. There is only one clause of

¹ It was enacted by this parliament, that there should be trial of treason in any country where the king should appoint by commission. The statutes of treason had been extremely multiplied in this reign, and such an expedient saved trouble and changes in trying that crime. The same parliament erected Ireland into a kingdom; and Henry henceforth annexed the title of King of Ireland to his other titles. This session, the Commons first began the practice of freeing any of their members, who were arrested by a writ issued by the speaker. Formerly it was usual for them to apply for a writ from chancery for that purpose. This precedent increased the authority of the Commons, and had afterwards important consequences. Holingshed, pp. 955, 956; Baker, p. 289.

the statute which may seem at first sight to savour somewhat of the spirit of liberty; it was enacted, that the ecclesiastical commissioners should establish nothing repugnant to the laws and statutes of the realm. But, in reality, this proviso, was inserted by the king, to serve his own purposes. By introducing a confusion and contradiction into the laws, he became more master of every one's life and property. And, as the ancient independence of the Church still gave him jealousy, he was well pleased, under cover of such a clause, to introduce appeals from the spiritual to the civil courts. It was for a like reason, that he would never promulgate a body of canon law; and he encouraged the judges to interpose in ecclesiastical causes, wherever they thought the law of royal prerogative concerned. A happy innovation, though at first invented for arbitrary purposes!

The king, armed by the authority of parliament, or rather by their acknowledgment of that spiritual supremacy, which he believed inherent in him, employed his commissioners to select a system of tenets for the assent and belief of the nation. A small volume was soon after published, called the 'Institution of a Christian Man,' which was received by the convocation, and voted to be the standard of orthodoxy. All the delicate points of justification, faith, free-will, good works, and grace, are there defined, with a leaning towards the opinion of the reformers; the sacraments, which a few years before were only allowed to be three, were now increased to the number of seven, conformably to the sentiments of the catholics. The king's caprice is discernible throughout the whole, and the book is, in reality, to be regarded as his composition. For Henry, while he made his opinion a rule for the nation, would tie his own hands by no canon, not even by any which he himself had formerly established.

The people had occasion, soon after, to see a further instance of the king's inconstancy. He was not long satisfied with his 'Institution of a Christian Man:' he ordered a new book to be composed, called the 'Erudition of a Christian Man;' and, without asking the assent of the convocation, he published, by his own authority, and that of the parliament, this new model of orthodoxy. It differs from the Institution (Collier, vol. ii., p. 190); but the king was no less positive in his new creed than he had been in the old; and he required the belief of the nation to veer about at his signal. In both these compositions he was particularly careful to inculcate the doctrine of passive obedience, and he was equally careful to retain the nation in the practice.

While the king was spreading his own books among the people, he seems to have been extremely perplexed, as were also the clergy, what course to take with the Scriptures. A review had been made by the synod, of the new translation of the Bible; and Gardiner had proposed, that instead of employing English expressions throughout, several Latin words should still be preserved; because they contained, as he pretended, such peculiar energy and significance, that they had no correspondent terms in the vulgar tongue (Burnet, vol. i., p. 315). Among these were 'ecclesia, pœnitentia, pontifex, contritus, holocausta, sacramentum, elementa, ceremonia, mysterium, presbyter, sacrificium, humilitas, satisfactio, peccatum, gratia, hostia, charitas,' etc. But as this mixture would have appeared extremely barbarous, and

was plainly calculated for no other purpose than to retain the people in their ancient ignorance, the proposal was rejected. The knowledge of the people, however, at least their disputative turn, seemed to be an inconvenience still more dangerous; and the king and parliament (which met on the 22nd of January, 1543) soon after the publication of the Scriptures, retracted the concession which they had formerly made, and prohibited all but gentlemen and merchants from perusing them.¹ Even that liberty was not granted, without an apparent hesitation, and a dread of the consequences; these persons were allowed to read, 'so 'it be done quietly and with good order.' And the preamble to the act sets forth, 'that many seditious and ignorant persons had abused the 'liberty granted them of reading the Bible, and that great diversity of 'opinion, animosities, tumults, and schisms had been occasioned by 'perverting the sense of the Scriptures.' It seemed very difficult to reconcile the king's model for uniformity, with the permission of free enquiry.

The mass-book also passed under the king's revisal; and little alteration was as yet made in it: some doubtful or fictitious saints only were struck out; and the name of the Pope was erased. This latter precaution was likewise used with regard to every new book that was printed, or even old book that was sold. The word Pope was carefully omitted or blotted out (*Par. His.*, vol. iii., p. 113); as if that precaution could abolish the term from the language, or as if such a persecution of it did not rather imprint it more strongly in the memory of the people.

The king took care about this time to clear the churches from another abuse which had crept into them. Plays, interludes, and farces were there often acted in derision of the former superstitions; and the reverence of the multitude for ancient principles and modes of worship was thereby gradually effaced (*Burnet*, vol. i., p. 318). We do not hear that the catholics attempted to retaliate, by employing this powerful engine against their adversaries, or endeavoured by like arts to expose that fanatical spirit, by which it appears, the reformers were frequently actuated. Perhaps the people were not disposed to relish a jest on that side: perhaps the greater simplicity and the more spiritual abstract worship of the Protestants, gave less hold to ridicule, which is commonly founded on sensible representations. It was, therefore, a very agreeable concession, which the king made to the catholic party, to suppress entirely these religious comedies.

Thus Henry laboured incessantly, by arguments, creeds, and penal statutes, to bring his subjects to an uniformity in their religious sentiments; but as he himself entered with the greatest earnestness, into all those scholastic disputes, he encouraged the people, by his example, to apply themselves to the study of theology; and it was in vain afterwards to expect, however present fear might restrain their tongues or pens, that they would cordially agree in any set of tenets or opinions prescribed to them,

¹ 33 Hen. 8, c. 1. The reading of the Bible, however, could not, at that time, have much effect in England, where so few persons had learned to read. There were but 500 copies printed of this first authorised edition of the Bible; a book of which there are now several millions of copies in the kingdom.

CHAPTER XXXIII.

War with Scotland.—Victory at Solway.—Death of James V.—Treaty with Scotland.—New rupture.—Rupture with France.—A parliament.—Affairs of Scotland.—A parliament.—Campaign in France.—A parliament.—Peace with France and Scotland.—Persecutions.—Execution of the Earl of Surrey.—Attainder of the Duke of Norfolk.—Death of the king.—His character.—Miscellaneous transactions.

HENRY, being determined to avenge himself on the King of Scots, for slighting the advances which he had made him, would gladly have obtained a supply from parliament, in order to prosecute that enterprise; but as he did not think it prudent to discover his intentions, that assembly, conformably to their frugal maxims, would understand no hints; and the king was disappointed in his expectations. He continued, however, to make preparations for war; and as soon as he thought himself in a condition to invade Scotland, he published a manifesto, by which he endeavoured to justify hostilities. He complained of James's breach of word, in declining the promised interview; which was the real ground of the quarrel (Buchanan, lib. 14; Drummond in Jas. V.). But in order to give a more specious colouring to the enterprise, he mentioned other injuries; namely, that his nephew had granted protection to some English rebels and fugitives, and had detained some territory, which, Henry pretended, belonged to England. He even revived the old claim to the vassalage of Scotland, and he summoned James to do homage to him as his liege lord and superior. He employed the Duke of Norfolk, whom he called the scourge of the Scots, to command in the war; and though James sent the Bishop of Aberdeen, and Sir James Learmont, of Dairsie, to appease his uncle, he would hearken to no terms of accommodation. While Norfolk was assembling his army at Newcastle, Sir Robert Bowes, attended by Sir Ralph Sadler, Sir Ralph Evers, Sir Brian Latoun, and others, made an incursion into Scotland, and advanced towards Jedburgh, with an intention of pillaging and destroying that town. The Earl of Angus, and George Douglas, his brother, who had been many years banished their country, and had subsisted by Henry's bounty, joined the English army in this incursion; and the forces, commanded by Bowes, exceeded four thousand men. James had not been negligent in his preparations for defence, and had posted a considerable body, under the command of the Earl of Huntly, for the protection of the borders. Lord Hume, at the head of his vassals, was hastening to join Huntly, when he met with the English army; and an action (Aug. 24, A.D. 1542) immediately ensued. During the engagement, the forces under Huntly began to appear; and the English, afraid of being surrounded and overpowered, took to flight, and were pursued by the enemy. Evers, Latoun, and some other persons of distinction, were taken prisoners. A few only of small note fell in the skirmish (Buchanan, lib. 14).

The Duke of Norfolk, meanwhile, began to move from his camp at Newcastle; and being attended by the Earls of Shrewsbury, Derby, Cumberland, Surrey, Hertford, Rutland, with many others of the nobility, he advanced to the borders. His forces amounted to above

twenty thousand men; and it required the utmost efforts of Scotland to resist such a formidable armament. James had assembled his whole military force at Fala and Sautrey, and was ready to advance as soon as he should be informed of Norfolk's invading his kingdom. The English passed the Tweed at Berwick, and marched along the banks of the river as far as Kelso; but hearing that James had collected near thirty thousand men, they repassed the river at that village, and retreated into their own country (Buchanan, lib. 14). The King of Scots, inflamed with a desire of military glory, and of revenge on his invaders, gave the signal for pursuing them, and carrying the war into England. He was surprised to find, that his nobility, who were in general disaffected on account of the preference which he had given to the clergy, opposed this resolution, and refused to attend him in his projected enterprise. Enraged at this mutiny, he reproached them with cowardice, and threatened vengeance; but still resolved, with the forces which adhered to him, to make an impression on the enemy. He sent 10,000 men to the western borders, who entered England at Solway Frith; and he himself followed them at a small distance, ready to join them upon occasion. Disgusted, however, at the refractory disposition of his nobles, he sent a message to the army, depriving Lord Maxwell, their general, of his commission, and conferring the command on Oliver Sinclair, a private gentleman, who was his favourite. The army was extremely disgusted with this alteration, and was ready to disband; when a small body of English appeared, not exceeding 500 men, under the command of Dacres and Musgrave. A panic (A.D. 1542, Nov. 24) seized the Scots, who immediately took to flight, and were pursued by the enemy. Few were killed in this rout; for it was no action; but many were taken prisoners, and some of the principal nobility; among these, the Earls of Cassilis and Glencairn; the Lords Maxwell, Fleming, Somerville, Oliphant, Grey, who were all sent to London, and given in custody to different noblemen.

The King of Scots, hearing of this disaster, was astonished; and being naturally of a melancholic disposition, as well as endowed with a high spirit, he lost all command of his temper on this dismal occasion. Rage against his nobility, who, he believed, had betrayed him; shame for a defeat by such unequal numbers; regret for the past, fear of the future; all these passions so wrought upon him, that he would admit of no consolation, but abandoned himself wholly to despair. His body was wasted by sympathy with his anxious mind; and even his life began to be thought in danger. He had no issue living; and hearing that his queen was safely delivered, he asked whether she had brought him a male or a female child? Being told the latter; he turned himself in his bed; 'The crown came with a woman,' said he, 'and it will go with one; many miseries await this poor kingdom; Henry will make it his own either by force of arms or by marriage.' A few days after, he expired, in the flower of his age; a prince of considerable virtues and talents; well fitted, by his vigilance and personal courage, for repressing those disorders, to which his kingdom, during that age, was so much exposed. He executed justice with impartiality and rigour; but as he supported the commonalty and the church against the rapine of the nobility, he escaped not the hatred of that order. The Pro-

testants also, whom he opposed, have endeavoured to throw many stains on his memory; but have not been able to fix any considerable imputation upon him.¹

Henry was no sooner informed of his victory, and of the death of his nephew, than he projected, as James had foreseen, the scheme of uniting Scotland to his own dominions, by marrying his son, Edward, to the heiress of that kingdom (Stowe, p. 584; Herbert, Burnet, Buchanan). He called together the Scottish nobles, who were his prisoners; and after reproaching them, in severe terms, for their pretended breach of treaty, he began to soften his tone, and proposed to them this expedient, by which, he hoped, those disorders, so prejudicial to both states, would, for the future, be prevented. He offered to bestow on them their liberty without ransom; and only required of them engagements to favour the marriage of the Prince of Wales with their young mistress. They were easily prevailed on to give their assent to a proposal, which seemed so natural and so advantageous to both kingdoms; and being conducted to Newcastle, they delivered to the Duke of Norfolk hostages for their return, in case the intended nuptials were not completed; and they thence proceeded to Scotland, where they found affairs in some confusion.

The Pope, observing his authority in Scotland to be in danger from the spreading of the new opinions, had bestowed on Beaton, the primate, the dignity of cardinal, in order to confer more influence upon him; and that prelate had long been regarded as prime minister to James, and as the head of that party which defended the ancient privileges and property of the ecclesiastics. Upon the death of his master, this man, apprehensive of the consequences, both to his party and to himself, endeavoured to keep possession of power; and for that purpose he is accused of executing a deed, which required a high degree of temerity. He forged, it is said, a will for the king, appointing himself, and three noblemen more, regents of the kingdom during the minority of the infant princess (Sadler's Letters, p. 161; Spotswood, p. 71; Buchanan, lib. 15); at least, for historians are not well agreed in the circumstances of the fact, he had read to James a paper of that import, to which that monarch, during the delirium which preceded his death, had given an imperfect assent and approbation (John Knox, Hist. of the Reformation). By virtue of this will, Beaton had put himself in possession of the government; and uniting his interests with those of the queen-dowager, he obtained the consent of the convention of states, and excluded the pretensions of the Earl of Arran.

James, Earl of Arran, of the name of Hamilton, was next heir to the crown by his grandmother, daughter of James III.; and, on that account, seemed best entitled to possess that high office into which the cardinal had intruded himself. The prospect also of his succession

¹ The persecutions exercised during James's reign are not to be ascribed to his bigotry, a vice of which he seems to have been as free as Francis I., or the Emp. Charles, both of whom, as well as James, showed, in different periods of their lives, even an inclination to the new doctrines. The extremities to which all these princes were carried, proceeded entirely from the situation of affairs during that age, which rendered it impossible for them to act with greater temper or moderation, after they had embraced the resolution of supporting the ancient establishments. So violent was the propensity of the times towards innovation, that a bare toleration of the new preachers was equivalent to a formed design of changing the national religion.

after a princess, who was in such tender infancy, procured him many partisans; and, though his character indicated little spirit, activity, or ambition, a propensity which he had discovered for the new opinions had attached to him all the zealous promoters of those innovations. By means of these adherents, joined to the vassals of his own family, he had been able to make opposition to the cardinal's administration; and the suspicion of Beaton's forgery, with the accession of the noblemen who had been prisoners in England, assisted too by some money sent from London, was able to turn the balance in his favour. The Earl of Angus and his brother, having taken the present opportunity of returning into their native country, opposed the cardinal with all the credit of that powerful family; and the majority of the convention had now embraced opposite interests to those which formerly prevailed. Arran was declared governor; the cardinal was committed to custody under the care of Lord Seton; and a negotiation was commenced with Sir Ralph Sadler, the English ambassador, for the marriage of the infant queen with the Prince of Wales. The following conditions were quickly agreed on; that the queen should remain in Scotland till she should be ten years of age; that she should then be sent to England to be educated; that six Scottish noblemen should immediately be delivered as hostages to Henry; and that the kingdom, notwithstanding its union with England, should still retain its laws and privileges (Sir Ralph Sadler's Letters). By means of these equitable conditions, the war between the nations, which had threatened Scotland with such dismal calamities, seemed to be fully composed, and to be changed into perpetual concord and amity.

But the cardinal-primate, having prevailed on Seton to restore him to his liberty, was able, by his intrigues, to confound all these measures, which appeared so well concerted. He assembled the most considerable ecclesiastics; and, having represented to them the imminent danger to which their revenues and privileges were exposed, he persuaded them to collect privately from the clergy a large sum of money, by which, if entrusted to his management, he engaged to overturn the schemes of their enemies (Buchanan, lib. xv.). Besides the partisans whom he acquired by pecuniary motives, he roused up the zeal of those who were attached to the Catholic worship; and he represented the union with England as the sure forerunner of ruin to the church and to the ancient religion. The national antipathy of the Scots to their southern neighbours was also an infallible engine by which the cardinal wrought upon the people; and, though the terror of Henry's arms, and their own inability to make resistance, had procured a temporary assent to the alliance and marriage proposed, the settled habits of the nation produced an extreme aversion to those measures. The English ambassador and his retinue received many insults from persons whom the cardinal had instigated to commit those violences, in hopes of bringing on a rupture; but Sadler prudently dissembled the matter, and waited patiently till the day appointed for the delivery of the hostages. He then demanded of the regent the performance of that important article; but received for answer, that his authority was very precarious, that the nation had now taken a different impression, and that it was not in his power to

compel any of the nobility to deliver themselves as hostages to the English. Sadler, foreseeing the consequence of this refusal, sent a summons to all those who had been prisoners in England, and required them to fulfil the promise which they had given of returning into custody. None of them showed so much sentiment of honour, as to fulfil their engagements, except Gilbert Kennedy, Earl of Cassilis. Henry was so well pleased with the behaviour of this nobleman, that he not only received him graciously, but honoured him with presents, gave him his liberty, and sent him back to Scotland, with his two brothers whom he had left as hostages (Buchanan, lib. xv.).

This behaviour of the Scottish nobles, though it reflected dishonour on the nation, was not disagreeable to the cardinal, who foresaw that all these persons would now be deeply interested to maintain their enmity and opposition to England. And as a war was soon expected with that kingdom, he found it necessary immediately to apply to France, and to crave the assistance of that ancient ally, during the present distresses of the Scottish nation. Though the French king was fully sensible of his interest in supporting Scotland, a demand of aid could not have been made on him at a more unseasonable juncture. His pretensions on the Milanese, and his resentment against Charles, had engaged him in a war with that potentate; and having made great though fruitless efforts during the present campaign, he was the more disabled at present from defending his own dominions, much more from granting any succour to the Scots. Matthew Stuart, Earl of Lennox, a young nobleman of a great family, was at that time in the French court; and Francis, being informed that he was engaged in ancient and hereditary enmity with the Hamiltons, who had murdered his father, sent him over to his native country, as a support to the cardinal and the queen-mother; and he promised that a supply of money, and, if necessary, even military succours, should soon be dispatched after him. Arran, the governor, seeing all these preparations against him, assembled his friends, and made an attempt to get the person of the infant queen into his custody; but being repulsed, he was obliged to come to an accommodation with his enemies, and to entrust that precious charge to four neutral persons, the heads of potent families, the Grahams, Erskines, Lindsays, and Livingstones. The arrival of Lennox, in the midst of these transactions, served to render the victory of the French party over the English still more indisputable (Buchanan, lib. xv.; Drummond).

The opposition, which Henry met with in Scotland from the French intrigues, excited his resentment, and further confirmed the resolution which he had already, of breaking with France, and of uniting his arms with those of the emperor. He had other grounds of complaint against the French king; which, though not of great importance, yet being recent, were able to overbalance those great injuries which he had formerly received from Charles. He pretended that Francis had engaged to imitate his example in separating himself entirely from the see of Rome, and that he had broken his promise in that particular. He was dissatisfied that James, his nephew, had been allowed to marry, first Magdalene, of France, then a princess of the house of Guise; and he considered these alliances as pledges, which Francis gave of his inten-

tions to support the Scots against the power of England (Pere Daniel). He had been informed of some railleries, which the French king had thrown out against his conduct with regard to his wives. He was disgusted that Francis, after so many obligations which he owed him, had sacrificed him to the emperor; and, in the confidence of friendship, had rashly revealed his secrets to that subtle and interested monarch. And he complained, that regular payments were never made of the sums due to him by France, and of the pension which had been stipulated. Impelled by all these motives, he alienated himself from his ancient friend and confederate, and formed a league with the emperor, who earnestly courted his alliance. This league, besides stipulations for mutual defence, contained a plan for invading France; and the two monarchs agreed to enter Francis's dominions with an army, each of 25,000 men; and to require that prince to pay Henry all the sums which he owed him, and to consign Boulogne, Montreuil, Terouenne, and Ardres as a security for the regular payment of his pension for the future: in case these conditions were rejected, the confederate princes agreed to challenge, for Henry, the crown of France, or in default of it, the duchies of Normandy, Aquitaine, and Guienne; for Charles, the duchy of Burgundy, and some other territories (Rymer, vol. xiv., p. 768; vol. xv., p. 2). That they might have a pretence for enforcing these claims, they sent a message to Francis, requiring him renounce his alliance with Sultan Solymán, and to make reparation for all the prejudice which Christendom had sustained from that unnatural confederacy. Upon the French king's refusal, war was declared against him by the allies. It may be proper to remark, that the partisans of France objected to Charles his alliance with the heretical King of England, as no less obnoxious than that which Francis had contracted with Solymán: and they observed, that this league was a breach of the solemn promise which he had given to Clement VII., never to make peace or alliance with England.

While the treaty with the emperor was negotiating, the king summoned a new session (Jan. 22, A.D. 1543) of parliament, in order to obtain supplies for his projected war with France. The parliament granted him a subsidy, to be paid in three years; it was levied in a peculiar manner; but exceeded not 3s. in the pound upon any individual.¹ The convocation gave the king 6s. in the pound, to be levied in three years. Greater sums were always, even during the establishment of the Catholic religion, exacted from the clergy than from the laity: which made the Emperor Charles say, when Henry dissolved the monasteries, and sold their revenues, or bestowed them on his nobility and courtiers, that he had killed the hen which brought him the golden eggs (Collier, vol. ii., p. 176).

The parliament also facilitated the execution of the former law, by which the king's proclamations were made equal to statutes: they appointed that any nine councillors should form a legal court for punish-

¹ They who were worth in goods 1*l.* and upwards to 5*l.*, paid 4*d.* of every 1*l.*; from 5*l.* to 10*l.*, 8*d.*; from 10*l.* to 20*l.*, 1*s.* 4*d.*; from 20*l.* and upwards, 2*s.* Lands, fees, and annuities, from 1*l.* to 5*l.*, paid 8*d.* in the 1*l.*; from 5*l.* to 10*l.*, 1*s.* 4*d.*; from 10*l.* to 20*l.*, 2*s.*; from 20*l.* and upwards, 3*s.*

ing all disobedience to proclamations. The total abolition of juries in criminal causes, as well as of all parliaments, seemed, if the king had so pleased, the necessary consequence of this enormous law. He might issue a proclamation, enjoining the execution of any penal statute, and afterwards try the criminals, not for breach of the statute, but for disobedience to his proclamation. It is remarkable that Lord Mountjoy entered a protest against this law; and it is equally remarkable, that that protest is the only one entered against any public bill during this whole reign (Burnet, p. 322).

It was enacted (34 and 35 Hen. 8, c. 1) this session, that any spiritual person who preached or taught contrary to the doctrine contained in the king's book, 'The Erudition of a Christian Man,' or contrary to any doctrine which he should thereafter promulgate, was to be admitted on the first conviction to renounce his error; on the second, he was required to carry a faggot, which if he refused to do, or fell into a third offence, he was to be burnt. But the laity for the third offence were only to forfeit their goods and chattels, and be liable to perpetual imprisonment. Indictments must be laid within a year after the offence, and the prisoner was allowed to bring witnesses for his exculpation. These penalties were lighter than those which were formerly imposed on a denial of the real presence: it was, however, subjoined in this statute, that the act of the six articles was still in force. But, in order to make the king more entirely master of his people, it was enacted that he might hereafter, at his pleasure, change this act, or any provision in it. By this clause, both parties were retained in subjection: so far as regarded religion, the king was invested, in the fullest manner, with the sole legislative authority in his kingdom; and all his subjects were, under the severest penalties, expressly bound to receive implicitly whatever doctrine he should please to recommend to them.

The reformers began to entertain hopes that this great power of the crown might still be employed in their favour. The king married (July 12) Catherine Parr, widow of Nevil, Lord Latimer, a woman of virtue, and somewhat inclined to the new doctrine. By this marriage Henry confirmed what had formerly been foretold in jest, that he would be obliged to espouse a widow. The king's league with the emperor seemed a circumstance no less favourable to the Catholic party, and thus matters remained still nearly balanced between the factions.

The advantages gained by this powerful confederacy between Henry and Charles were inconsiderable during the present year. The campaign was opened with a victory gained by the Duke of Cleves, Francis's ally, over the forces of the emperor; Francis in person took the field early, and made himself master without resistance of the whole duchy of Luxemburgh; he afterwards took Landrecy, and added some fortifications to it. Charles having at last assembled a powerful army, appeared in the Low Countries, and after taking almost every fortress in the duchy of Cleves, he reduced the duke to accept of the terms which he was pleased to prescribe to him. Being then joined by a body of 6000 English, he sat down before Landrecy, and covered the siege with an army of above 40,000 men. Francis advanced

at the head of an army not much inferior, as if he intended to give the emperor battle, or oblige him to raise the siege; but while these two rival monarchs were facing each other, and all men were in expectation of some great event, the French king found means of throwing succour into Landrecy, and having thus effected his purpose, he skilfully made a retreat. Charles finding the season far advanced despaired of success in his enterprise, and found it necessary to go into winter quarters.

The vanity of Henry was flattered by the figure which he made in the great transactions on the continent; but the interests of his kingdom were more deeply concerned in the event of affairs in Scotland. Arran, the governor, was of so indolent and unambitious a character, that had he not been stimulated by his friends and dependants, he never had aspired to any share in the administration, and when he found himself overpowered by the party of the queen-dowager, the cardinal, and the Earl of Lennox, he was glad to accept of any terms of accommodation, however dishonourable. He even gave them a sure pledge of his sincerity, by renouncing the principles of the reformers, and reconciling himself to the Romish communion in the Franciscan church at Stirling. By this weakness and levity he lost his credit with the whole nation, and rendered the Protestants, who were hitherto the chief support of his power, his mortal enemies. The cardinal acquired an entire ascendant in the kingdom; the queen-dowager placed implicit confidence in him; the governor was obliged to yield to him in every pretension; Lennox alone was become an obstacle to his measures, and reduced him to some difficulty.

The inveterate enmity which had taken place between the families of Lennox and Arran made the interests of these two noblemen entirely incompatible; and as the cardinal and the French party, in order to engage Lennox the more in their cause, had flattered him with the hopes of succeeding to the crown after their infant sovereign, this rivalry had tended still farther to rouse the animosity of the Hamiltons. Lennox too had been encouraged to aspire to the marriage of the queen-dowager, which would have given him some pretensions to the regency, and as he was become assuming on account of the services which he had rendered the party, the cardinal found that since he must choose between the friendship of Lennox and that of Arran, the latter nobleman, who was more easily governed, and who was invested with present authority, was in every respect preferable. Lennox finding that he was not likely to succeed in his pretensions to the queen-dowager, and that Arran, favoured by the cardinal, had acquired the ascendant, retired to Dumbarton, the governor of which was entirely at his devotion; he entered into a secret correspondence with the English court, and he summoned his vassals and partisans to attend him. All those who were inclined to the Protestant religion, or were on any account discontented with the cardinal's administration, now regarded Lennox as the head of their party, and they readily made him a tender of their services. In a little time he had collected an army of 10,000 men, and he threatened his enemies with immediate destruction. The cardinal had no equal force to oppose to him; but as he was a prudent man, he foresaw that Lennox could not long

subsist so great an army, and he endeavoured to gain time by opening a negotiation with him. He seduced his followers by various artifices, he prevailed on the Douglasses to change party, he represented to the whole nation the danger of civil wars and commotions; and Lennox observing the unequal contest in which he was engaged, was at last obliged to lay down his arms, and to accept of an accommodation with the governor and the cardinal. Present peace was restored, but no confidence took place between the parties. Lennox, fortifying his castles, and putting himself in a posture of defence, waited the arrival of English succours, from whose assistance alone he expected to obtain the superiority over his enemies.

While the winter season restrained Henry from military operations, he summoned (Jan. 14, A.D. 1544) a new parliament, in which a law was passed, such as he was pleased to dictate, with regard to the succession of the crown. After declaring that the Prince of Wales, or any of the king's male issue, were first and immediate heirs to the crown, the parliament restored the two princesses, Mary and Elizabeth, to their right of succession. This seemed a reasonable piece of justice, and corrected what the king's former violence had thrown into confusion, but it was impossible for Henry to do anything, how laudable soever, without betraying in some circumstance his usual extravagance and caprice; though he opened the way for these two princesses to mount the throne, he would not allow the acts to be reversed which had declared them illegitimate, he made the parliament confer on him a power of still excluding them if they refused to submit to any conditions which he should be pleased to impose, and he required them to enact that, in default of his own issue, he might dispose of the crown as he pleased, by will or letters patent. He did not probably foresee, that in proportion as he degraded the parliament by rendering it the passive instrument of his variable and violent inclinations, he taught the people to regard all its acts as invalid, and thereby defeated even the purposes which he was so bent to attain.

An act passed, declaring that the king's usual style should be 'King of England, France, and Ireland, Defender of the Faith, and on earth the supreme head of the Church of England and Ireland.' It seemed a palpable inconsistency to retain the title of 'Defender of the Faith,' which the court of Rome had conferred on him, for maintaining its cause against Luther, and yet subjoin his ecclesiastical supremacy, in opposition to the claims of that court.

An act also passed for the remission of the debt which the king had lately contracted by a general loan, levied upon the people. It will easily be believed, that after the former act of this kind, the loan was not entirely voluntary (35 Hen. 8, c. 12). But there was a peculiar circumstance attending the present statute, which none but Henry would have thought of, namely, that those who had already gotten payment, either in whole or in part, should refund the money to the exchequer.

The oaths which Henry imposed for the security of his ecclesiastical model were not more reasonable than his other measures. All his subjects of any distinction had already been obliged to renounce the Pope's supremacy, but as the clauses to which they swore had not been

deemed entirely satisfactory, another oath was imposed, and it was added, that all those who had taken the former oaths should be understood to have taken the new one (35 Hen. 8, c. 1). A strange supposition ! to represent men as bound by an oath which they had never taken.

The most commendable law to which the parliament gave their sanction was that by which they mitigated the law of the six articles, and enacted that no person should be put to his trial upon an accusation concerning any of the offences comprised in that sanguinary statute, except on the oath of twelve persons before commissioners authorised for the purpose, and that no person should be arrested or committed to ward for any such offence before he was indicted. Any preacher accused of speaking in his sermon contrary to these articles must be indicted within forty days.

The king always experienced the limits of his authority whenever he demanded subsidies, however moderate, from the parliament, and therefore, not to hazard a refusal, he made no mention this season of a supply ; but as his wars, both in France and Scotland, as well as his usual prodigality, had involved him in great expense, he had recourse to other methods of filling his exchequer. Notwithstanding the former abolition of his debts, he yet required new loans from his subjects ; and he enhanced gold from forty-five shillings to forty-eight an ounce, and silver from three shillings and ninepence to four shillings. His pretence for this innovation was to prevent the money from being exported, as if that expedient could anywise serve the purpose. He even coined some base money, and ordered it to be current by proclamation. He named commissioners for levying a benevolence, and he extorted about 70,000*l.* by this expedient. Read, alderman of London (Herbert ; Stow, p. 588 ; Baker, p. 292), a man somewhat advanced in years, having refused to contribute, or not coming up to the expectation of the commissioners, was enrolled as a foot soldier in the Scottish wars, and was there taken prisoner. Roach, who had been equally refractory, was thrown into prison, and obtained not his liberty but by paying a large composition (Goodwin's Ann. ; Stow, p. 508). These powers of the prerogative (which at that time passed unquestioned), the compelling of any man to serve in any office, and the imprisoning of any man during pleasure, not to mention the practice of extorting loans, rendered the sovereign in a manner absolute master of the person and property of every individual.

Early this year the king sent a fleet and army to invade Scotland. The fleet consisted of near 200 vessels, and carried on board 10,000 men. Dudley, Lord Lisle, commanded the sea forces, the Earl of Hertford the land. The troops were disembarked near Leith, and after dispersing a small body which opposed them, they took that town without resistance, and then marched to Edinburgh. The gates were soon beaten down (for little or no resistance was made), and the English first pillaged and then set fire to the city. The regent and cardinal were not prepared to oppose so great a force, and they fled to Stirling. Hertford marched eastward, and being joined by a new body under Evers, warden of the east marches, he laid waste the whole country, burned and destroyed Haddington and Dunbar, then retreated

into England, having lost only forty men in the whole expedition. The Earl of Arran collected some forces, but finding that the English were already departed, he turned them against Lennox, who was justly suspected of a correspondence with the enemy. That nobleman after making some resistance, was obliged to fly into England, where Henry settled a pension on him, and even gave him his niece, Lady Margaret Douglas, in marriage. In return, Lennox stipulated conditions by which, had he been able to execute them, he must have reduced his country to total servitude (Rymer, vol. xv., pp. 23, 29).

Henry's policy was blamed in this sudden and violent incursion, by which he inflamed the passions of the Scots, without subduing their spirit; and it was commonly said that he did too much if he intended to solicit an alliance, and too little if he meant a conquest (Herbert; Burnet). But the reason of his recalling the troops so soon was his eagerness to carry on a projected enterprise against France, in which he intended to employ the whole force of his kingdom. He had concerted a plan with the emperor, which threatened the total ruin of that monarchy, and must, as a necessary consequence, have involved the ruin of England. These two princes had agreed to invade France with forces amounting to above 100,000 men; Henry engaged to set out from Calais, Charles from the Low Countries. They were to enter on no siege; but, leaving all the frontier towns behind them, to march directly to Paris, where they were to join their forces, and thence to proceed to the entire conquest of the kingdom. Francis could not oppose to these formidable preparations much above 40,000 men.

Henry, having appointed the queen regent during his absence, passed (July 14) over to Calais with 30,000 men, accompanied by the Dukes of Norfolk and Suffolk, Fitzalan Earl of Arundel, Vere Earl of Oxford, the Earl of Surrey, Paulet Lord St. John, Lord Ferrers of Chartley, Lord Mountjoy, Lord Grey of Wilton, Sir Anthony Brown, Sir Francis Bryan, and the most flourishing nobility and gentry of his kingdom. The English army was soon joined by the Count de Buren, Admiral of Flanders, with 10,000 foot and 4000 horse; and the whole composed an army which nothing on that frontier was able to resist. The chief force of the French armies was drawn to the side of Champagne, in order to oppose the imperialists.

The emperor, with an army of near 60,000 men, had taken the field much earlier than Henry; and not to lose time, while he waited for the arrival of his confederate, he sat down before Luxembourg, which was surrendered to him; he thence proceeded to Commercy on the Meuse, which he took; Ligny met with the same fate; he next laid siege to St. Disier, on the Marne, which, though a weak place, made a brave resistance, under the Count of Sancerre, the governor, and the siege was protracted beyond expectation.

The emperor was employed before this town at the time the English forces were assembled in Picardy. Henry, either tempted by the defenceless condition of the French frontier, or thinking that the emperor had first broken his engagement by forming sieges, or perhaps foreseeing at last the dangerous consequences of entirely subduing the French power, instead of marching forward to Paris, sat down before Montreuil and Boulogne. The Duke of Norfolk commanded the army

before Montreuil; the king himself that before Boulogne. Vervin was governor of the latter place, and under him Philip Corse, a brave old soldier, who encouraged the garrison to defend themselves to the last extremity against the English. He was (Sept. 14) killed during the course of the siege, and the town was immediately surrendered to Henry by the cowardice of Vervin, who was afterwards beheaded for this dishonourable capitulation.

During the course of this siege, Charles had taken St. Disier; and finding the season much advanced, he began to hearken to a treaty of peace with France, since all his schemes for subduing that kingdom were likely to prove abortive. In order to have a pretence for deserting his ally, he sent a messenger to the English camp, requiring Henry immediately to fulfil his engagements, and to meet him with his army before Paris. Henry replied, that he was too far engaged in the siege of Boulogne to raise it with honour, and that the emperor himself had first broken the concert by besieging St. Disier. This answer served Charles as a sufficient reason for concluding (Sept. 18) a peace with Francis at Crepy, where no mention was made of England. He stipulated to give Flanders as a dowry to his daughter, whom he agreed to marry to the Duke of Orleans, Francis's second son; and Francis in return withdrew his troops from Piedmont and Savoy, and renounced all claim to Milan, Naples, and other territories in Italy. This peace, so advantageous to Francis, was procured, partly by the decisive victory obtained in the beginning of the campaign by the Count of Anguyen over the Imperialists at Cerisolles in Piedmont, partly by the emperor's great desire to turn his arms against the protestant princes of Germany. Charles ordered his troops to separate from the English in Picardy; and Henry, finding himself obliged to raise the siege of Montreuil, returned (Sept. 30) into England. This campaign served to the populace as matter of great triumph; but all men of sense concluded that the king had, as in all his former military enterprises, made, at a great expense, an acquisition which was of no importance.

The war with Scotland, meanwhile, was conducted feebly, and with various success. Sir Ralph Evers, now Lord Evers, and Sir Bryan Latoun, made an inroad into that kingdom; and having laid waste the counties of Teviotdale and the Merse, they proceeded to the abbey of Coldingham, which they took possession of, and fortified. The governor assembled an army of 8000 men, in order to dislodge them from this post; but he had no sooner opened his batteries before the place than a sudden panic seized him: he left the army and fled to Dunbar. He complained of the mutiny of his troops, and pretended apprehensions lest they should deliver him into the hands of the English; but his own unwarlike spirit was generally believed to have been the motive of this dishonourable flight. The Scottish army, upon the departure of their general, fell into confusion; and had not Angus, with a few of his retainers, brought off the cannon and protected their rear, the English might have gained great advantages over them. Evers, elated with this success, boasted to Henry that he had conquered all Scotland to the Forth; and he claimed a reward for this important service. The Duke of Norfolk, who knew with what difficulty such acquisitions would be maintained against a warlike enemy, advised the king to

grant him as his reward the conquests of which he boasted so highly. The next inroad made (A.D. 1545) by the English showed the vanity of Evers's hopes. This general led about 5000 men into Teviotdale, and was employed in ravaging that country, when intelligence was brought him that some Scottish forces appeared near the abbey of Melrose. Angus had roused the governor to more activity; and a proclamation being issued for assembling the troops of the neighbouring counties, a considerable body had repaired thither to oppose the enemy. Norman Lesly, son of the Earl of Rothes, had also joined the army with some volunteers from Fife; and he inspired courage into the whole, as well by this accession of force as by his personal bravery and intrepidity. In order to bring their troops to the necessity of a steady defence, the Scottish leaders ordered all their cavalry to dismount; and they resolved to wait, on some high grounds near Ancrum, the assault of the English. The English, whose past successes had taught them too much to despise the enemy, thought, when they saw the Scottish horses led off the field, that the whole army was retiring; and they (Feb. 17) hastened to attack them. The Scots received them in good order; and being favoured by the advantage of the ground, as well as by the surprise of the English, who expected no resistance, they soon put them to flight, and pursued them with considerable slaughter. Evers and Latoun were both killed, and above 1000 men were made prisoners. In order to support the Scots in this war, Francis, some time after, sent over a body of auxiliaries, to the number of 3500 men, under the command of Montgomery, Lord of Lorges (Buchanan, lib. 15; Drummond). Reinforced by these succours, the governor assembled an army of 15,000 men at Haddington, and marched thence to ravage the east borders of England. He laid all waste wherever he came; and having met with no considerable resistance, he retired into his own country and disbanded his army. The Earl of Hertford, in revenge, committed ravages on the middle and west marches; and the war on both sides was signalised rather by the ills inflicted on the enemy than by any considerable advantage gained by either party.

The war likewise between France and England was not distinguished this year by any memorable event. Francis had equipped a fleet of above two hundred sail, besides galleys; and having embarked some land forces on board, he sent them to make a descent in England (Beleair: Mem. du Bellay). They sailed to the Isle of Wight, where they found the English fleet lying at anchor in St. Helen's. It consisted not of above a hundred sail; and the admiral thought it most advisable to remain in that road, in hopes of drawing the French into the narrow channels and the rocks, which were unknown to them. The two fleets cannonaded each other for two days; and, except the sinking of the *Mary Rose*, one of the largest ships of the English fleet, the damage on both sides was inconsiderable.

Francis's chief intention in equipping so great a fleet was to prevent the English from throwing succours into Boulogne, which he resolved to besiege; and for that purpose he ordered a fort to be built, by which he intended to block up the harbour. After a considerable loss of time and money, the fort was found so ill constructed, that he was obliged to abandon it; and though he had assembled on that frontier an army

of near 40,000 men, he was not able to effect any considerable enterprise. Henry, in order to defend his possessions in France, had levied 14,000 Germans; who, having marched to Fleurines in the bishopric of Liege, found that they could advance no farther. The emperor would not allow them a passage through his dominions; they received intelligence of a superior army on the side of France ready to intercept them; want of occupation and of pay soon produced a mutiny among them; and having seized the English commissaries as a security for arrears, they retreated into their own country. There seems to have been some want of foresight in this expensive armament.

The great expense of these two wars, maintained by Henry, obliged him to summon (Nov. 23) a new parliament. The Commons granted him a subsidy, payable in two years, of two shillings a pound on land;¹ the spirituality voted him six shillings a pound. But the parliament, apprehensive lest more demands should be made upon them, endeavoured to save themselves by a very extraordinary liberality of other people's property; by one vote they bestowed on the king all the revenues of the universities as well as of the chantries, free chapels,² and hospitals. Henry was pleased with this concession, as it increased his power; but he had no intention to rob learning of all her endowments, and he soon took care to inform the universities that he meant not to touch their revenues. Thus these ancient and celebrated establishments owe their existence to the generosity of the king, not to the protection of this servile and prostitute parliament.

The prostitute spirit of the parliament farther appeared in the preamble of a statute (37 Hen. 8, c. 17), in which they recognise the king to have always been, by the word of God, supreme head of the Church of England; and acknowledge that archbishops, bishops, and other ecclesiastical persons have no manner of jurisdiction but by his royal mandate; to him alone, say they, and such persons as he shall appoint, full power and authority is given from above to hear and determine all manner of causes ecclesiastical, and to correct all manner of heresies, errors, vices, and sins whatsoever. No mention is here made of the concurrence of a convocation or even of a parliament. His proclamations are in effect acknowledged to have, not only the force of law, but the authority of revelation; and by his royal power he might regulate the actions of men, control their words, and even direct their inward sentiments and opinions.

The king (Dec. 24) made, in person, a speech to the parliament on proroguing them, in which, after thanking them for their loving attachment to him, which, he said, equalled what was ever paid by their ancestors to any king of England, he complained of their dissensions, disputes, and animosities in religion. He told them that the several pulpits were become a kind of batteries against each other, and that one preacher called another heretic and anabaptist, which was retali-

¹ Those who possessed goods or money, above 5*l.* and below 10*l.*, were to pay 8*d.* a pound those above 10*l.*, 1*s.*

² A chantry was a little church, chapel, or particular altar in some cathedral church, etc., endowed with lands or other revenues for the maintenance of one or more priests, daily to say mass, or perform Divine service, for the use of the founders, or such others as they appointed. Free chapels were independent on any church, and endowed for much the same purpose as the former. Jacob's Law Dict.

ated by the opprobrious appellations of papist and hypocrite; that he had permitted his people the use of the Scriptures not in order to furnish them with materials for disputing and railing, but that he might enable them to inform their consciences and instruct their children and families; that it grieved his heart to find how that precious jewel was prostituted by being introduced into the conversation of every alehouse and tavern, and employed as a pretence for decrying the spiritual and legal pastors; and that he was sorry to observe that the word of God, while it was the object of so much anxious speculation, had very little influence on their practice; and that, though an imaginary knowledge so much abounded, charity was daily going to decay (Hall, fol. 261; Herbert, p. 675, ed. 1870). The king gave good advice, but his own example by encouraging speculation and dispute was ill fitted to promote that peaceable submission of opinion which he recommended.

Henry employed in military preparations the money granted by parliament, and he sent over the Earl of Hertford and Lord Lisle, the admiral, to Calais, with a body of 9000 men, two-thirds of which consisted of foreigners. Some skirmishes of small moment ensued with the French, and no hopes of any considerable progress could be entertained by either party. Henry, whose animosity against Francis was not violent, had given sufficient vent to his humour by this short war, and finding that, from his great increase in corpulence and decay in strength, he could not hope for much longer life, he was desirous of ending a quarrel which might prove dangerous to his kingdom during a minority. Francis likewise, on his part, was not averse to peace with England; because having lately lost his son, the Duke of Orleans, he revived his ancient claim upon Milan, and foresaw that hostilities must soon on that account break out between him and the emperor. Commissioners therefore having met (A.D. 1546, June 7) at Campe, a small place between Ardres and Guisnes, the articles were soon agreed on and the peace signed by them. The chief conditions were, that Henry should retain Boulogne during eight years, or till the former debt due by Francis should be paid. This debt was settled at 2,000,000 livres, besides a claim of 500,000 livres, which was afterwards to be adjusted. Francis took care to comprehend Scotland in the treaty. Thus all that Henry obtained by a war which cost him above 1,340,000*l*. (Herbert; Stow) was a bad and a chargeable security for a debt which was not a third of the value.

The king, now freed from all foreign wars, had leisure to give his attention to domestic affairs, particularly to the establishment of uniformity in opinion, on which he was so intent. Though he allowed an English translation of the Bible, he had hitherto been very careful to keep the mass in Latin; but he was at last prevailed on to permit that the Litany, a considerable part of the service, should be celebrated in the vulgar tongue; and by this innovation he excited anew the hopes of the reformers, who had been somewhat discouraged by the severe law of the six articles. One petition of the new Litany was a prayer to save us 'from the tyranny of the Bishop of Rome, and from all his 'detestable enormities.' Cranmer employed his credit to draw Henry into farther innovations; and he took advantage of Gardiner's absence,

who was sent on an embassy to the emperor; but Gardiner having written to the king that, if he carried his opposition against the Catholic religion to greater extremities, Charles threatened to break off all commerce with him, the success of Cranmer's projects was for some time retarded. Cranmer lost this year the most sincere and powerful friend that he possessed at court, Charles Brandon, Duke of Suffolk; the Queen Dowager of France, consort to Suffolk, had died some years before. This nobleman is one instance that Henry was not altogether incapable of a cordial and steady friendship, and Suffolk seems to have been worthy of the favour which, from his earliest youth, he had enjoyed with his master. The king was sitting in council when informed of Suffolk's death; and he took the opportunity both to express his own sorrow for the loss, and to celebrate the merits of the deceased. He declared that, during the whole course of their friendship, his brother-in-law had never made one attempt to injure an adversary, and had never whispered a word to the disadvantage of any person. 'Is there any of you, my lords, who can say as much?' When the king subjoined these words, he looked round in all their faces and saw that confusion which the consciousness of secret guilt naturally threw upon them (Coke's Inst., cap. 99).

Cranmer himself, when bereaved of this support, was the more exposed to those cabals of the courtiers, which the opposition in party and religion, joined to the usual motives of interest, rendered so frequent among Henry's ministers and counsellors. The Catholics took hold of the king by his passion for orthodoxy; and they represented to him that, if his laudable zeal for enforcing the truth met with no better success, it was altogether owing to the primate, whose example and encouragement were, in reality, the secret supports of heresy. Henry, seeing the point at which they aimed, feigned a compliance, and desired the council to make inquiry into Cranmer's conduct; promising that, if he were found guilty, he should be committed to prison and brought to condign punishment. Everybody now considered the primate as lost; and his old friends, from interested views, as well as the opposite party, for animosity, began to show him marks of neglect and disregard. He was obliged to stand several hours among the lackeys at the door of the council chamber, before he could be admitted; and when he was at last called in, he was told, that they had determined to send him to the Tower. Cranmer said, that he appealed to the king himself, and finding his appeal disregarded, he produced a ring which Henry had given him as a pledge of favour and protection. The council were confounded, and when they came before the king he reproved them in the severest terms, and told them that he was well acquainted with Cranmer's merit, as well as with their malignity and envy; but he was determined to crush all their cabals, and to teach them by the severest discipline, since gentle methods were ineffectual, a more dutiful concurrence in promoting his service. Norfolk, who was Cranmer's capital enemy, apologised for their conduct, and said that their only intention was to set the primate's innocence in a full light, by bringing him to an open trial; and Henry obliged them all to embrace him as a sign of their cordial reconciliation. The mild temper of Cranmer rendered this agreement more

sincere on his part than is usual in such forced compliances (Burnet, vol. i., pp. 343; *Antiq. Brit. in vitâ Cranm.*).

But though Henry's favour for Cranmer rendered fruitless all accusations against him, his pride and peevishness, irritated by his declining state of health, impelled him to punish with fresh severity all others who presumed to entertain a different opinion from himself, particularly in the capital point of the real presence. Anne Askew, a young woman of merit as well as beauty (Bale, *Speed*, 780), who had great connections with the chief ladies at court and with the queen herself, was accused of dogmatising on that delicate article; and Henry, instead of showing indulgence to the weakness of her sex and age, was but the more provoked that a woman should dare to oppose his theological sentiments. She was prevailed on by Bonner's menaces to make a seeming recantation; but she qualified it with some reserves which did not satisfy that zealous prelate. She was thrown into prison, and she there employed herself in composing prayers and discourses, by which she fortified her resolution to endure the utmost extremity, rather than relinquish her religious principles. She even wrote to the king and told him that, as to the Lord's Supper, she believed as much as Christ Himself had said of it, and as much of His Divine doctrine as the Catholic Church had required; but while she could not be brought to acknowledge an assent to the king's explanations, this declaration availed her nothing. The chancellor, Wriothesley, who had succeeded Audley, and who was much attached to the Catholic party, was sent to examine her with regard to her patrons at court, and the great ladies who were in correspondence with her; but she maintained a laudable fidelity to her friends, and would confess nothing. She was put to the torture in the most barbarous manner, and continued still resolute in preserving secrecy. Some authors¹ add an extraordinary circumstance: that the chancellor, who stood by, ordered the lieutenant of the Tower to stretch the rack still farther, but that officer refused compliance; the chancellor menaced him, but met with a new refusal; upon which that magistrate, who was otherwise a person of merit, but intoxicated with religious zeal, put his own hand to the rack, and drew it so violently that he almost tore her body asunder. Her constancy still surpassed the barbarity of her persecutors, and they found all their efforts to be baffled. She was then condemned to be burned alive; and being so dislocated by the rack that she could not stand, she was carried to the stake in a chair. Together with her, were conducted Nicholas Belenian, a priest, John Lassels, of the king's household, and John Adams, a tailor, who had been condemned for the same crime to the same punishment. They were all tied to the stake, and in that dreadful situation the chancellor sent to inform them, that their pardon was ready drawn and signed, and should instantly be given them, if they would merit it by a recantation. They only regarded this offer as a new ornament to their crown of martyrdom, and they saw with tranquillity the executioner kindle the flames

¹ Fox, vol. ii., p. 578; Speed, p. 780; Baker, p. 299. But Burnet questions the truth of this circumstance. Fox however transcribes her own paper, where she relates it. I must add, in justice to the king, that he disapproved of Wriothesley's conduct, and commended the lieutenant.

which consumed them. Wriothesley did not consider that this public and noted situation interested their honour the more to maintain a steady perseverance.

Though the secrecy and fidelity of Anne Askew saved the queen from this peril, that princess soon after fell into a new danger, from which she narrowly escaped. An ulcer had broken out in the king's leg, which, added to his extreme corpulency and his bad habit of body, began both to threaten his life, and to render him even more than usually peevish and passionate. The queen attended him with the most tender and dutiful care, and endeavoured, by every soothing art and compliance, to allay those gusts of humour to which he was become so subject. His favourite topic of conversation was theology; and Catherine, whose good sense enabled her to discourse on any subject, was frequently engaged in the argument; and being secretly inclined to the principles of the reformers, she unwarily betrayed too much of her mind on those occasions. Henry, highly provoked that she should presume to differ from him, complained of her obstinacy to Gardiner, who gladly laid hold of the opportunity to inflame the quarrel. He praised the king's anxious concern for preserving the orthodoxy of his subjects; and represented, that the more elevated the person was who was chastised, and the more near to his person, the greater terror would the example strike into every one, and the more glorious would the sacrifice appear to posterity. The chancellor, being consulted, was engaged by religious zeal to second these topics; and Henry, hurried on by his own impetuous temper, and encouraged by his counsellors, went so far as to order articles of impeachment to be drawn up against his consort. Wriothesley executed his commands, and soon after brought the paper to him to be signed; for as it was high treason to throw slander upon the queen, he might otherwise have been questioned for his temerity. By some means, this important paper fell into the hands of one of the queen's friends, who immediately carried the intelligence to her. She was sensible of the extreme danger to which she was exposed, but did not despair of being able, by her prudence and address, still to elude the efforts of her enemies. She paid her usual visit to the king, and found him in a more serene disposition than she had reason to expect. He entered on the subject which was so familiar to him; and he seemed to challenge her to an argument in divinity. She gently declined the conversation, and remarked, that such profound speculations were ill suited to the natural imbecility of her sex. Women, she said, by their first creation, were made subject to men: the male was created after the image of God; the female after the image of the male: it belonged to the husband to choose principles for his wife; the wife's duty was, in all cases, to adopt implicitly the sentiments of her husband; and as to herself, it was doubly her duty, being blest with a husband who was qualified by his judgment and learning, not only to choose principles for his own family, but for the most wise and knowing of every nation. 'Not so! by St. Mary,' replied the king, 'you are now become a doctor, Kate; and better fitted to give than receive instruction.' She meekly replied, that she was sensible how little she was entitled to these praises; that though she usually declined not any conversation, how-

ever sublime, when proposed by his majesty, she well knew that her conceptions could serve to no other purpose than to give him a little momentary amusement; that she found the conversation apt to languish when not revived by some opposition, and she had ventured sometimes to feign a contrariety of sentiments, in order to give him the pleasure of refuting her; and that she also purposed, by this innocent artifice, to engage him into topics, whence she had observed by frequent experience that she reaped profit and instruction. 'And is 'it so, sweetheart?' replied the king, 'then are we perfect friends 'again.' He embraced her with great affection, and sent her away with assurances of his protection and kindness. Her enemies, who knew nothing of this sudden change, prepared next day to convey her to the Tower, pursuant to the king's warrant. Henry and Catherine were conversing amicably in the garden, when the chancellor appeared with forty of the pursuivants. The king spoke to him at some distance from her, and seemed to expostulate with him in the severest manner; she even overheard the appellations of 'knave, fool,' and 'beast,' which he liberally bestowed upon that magistrate, and then ordered him to depart his presence. She afterwards interposed to mitigate his anger; he said to her, 'Poor soul! you know not how ill entitled this 'man is to your good offices.' Thenceforth the queen, having narrowly escaped so great a danger, was careful not to offend Henry's humour by any contradiction; and Gardiner, whose malice had endeavoured to widen the breach, could never afterwards regain his favour and good opinion.¹

But Henry's tyrannical disposition, soured by ill health, burst out soon after to the destruction of a man who possessed a much superior rank to that of Gardiner. The Duke of Norfolk and his father, during this whole reign, and even a part of the foregoing, had been regarded as the greatest subjects in the kingdom, and had rendered considerable service to the crown. The duke himself had in his youth acquired reputation by naval enterprises; he had much contributed to the victory gained over the Scots at Flodden; he had suppressed a dangerous rebellion in the north; and he had always done his part with honour in all the expeditions against France. Fortune seemed to conspire with his own industry in raising him to the greatest elevation. From the favours heaped on him by the crown, he had acquired an immense estate; the king had successively been married to two of his nieces; and the king's natural son, the Duke of Richmond, had married his daughter; besides, his descent from the ancient family of the Mowbrays, by which he was allied to the throne, he had espoused a daughter of the Duke of Buckingham, who was descended by a female from Edward III.; and as he was believed still to adhere secretly to the ancient religion, he was regarded, both abroad and at home, as the head of the Catholic party. But all these circumstances, in proportion as they exalted the duke, provoked the jealousy of Henry; and he foresaw danger during his son's minority, both to the public tranquillity and the new ecclesiastical system, from the attempts of so potent a subject. But nothing tended more to expose Norfolk to

¹ Burnet, vol. i., p. 344; Herbert, p. 735, ed. 1870; Speed, p. 780; Fox's Acts and Mon., vol. ii., p. 58.

the king's displeasure, than the prejudices which Henry had entertained against the Earl of Surrey, son of that nobleman.

Surrey was a young man of the most promising hopes, and had distinguished himself by every accomplishment which became a scholar, a courtier, and a soldier. He excelled in all the military exercises which were then in request; he encouraged the fine arts by his patronage and example; he had made some successful attempts in poetry; and being smitten with the romantic gallantry of the age, he celebrated the praises of his mistress, by his pen and his lance, in every masque and tournament. His spirit and ambition were equal to his talents and his quality; and he did not always regulate his conduct by the caution and reserve which his situation required. He had been left governor of Boulogne, when that town was taken by Henry; but though his personal bravery was unquestioned, he had been unfortunate in some rencounters with the French. The king, somewhat displeased with his conduct, had sent over Hertford to command in his place; and Surrey was so imprudent as to drop some menacing expressions against the ministers, on account of this affront which was put upon him. And as he had refused to marry Hertford's daughter, and even waved every other proposal of marriage, Henry imagined, that he had entertained views of espousing the Lady Mary; and he was instantly determined to repress, by the most severe expedients, so dangerous an ambition.

Actuated by all these motives, and perhaps influenced by that old disgust, with which the ill conduct of Catherine Howard had inspired him against her whole family, he gave private orders to arrest Norfolk and Surrey, and they (Dec. 12) were on the same day confined in the Tower. Surrey being a commoner, his trial was the more expeditious; and as to proofs, neither parliaments nor juries seem ever to have given the least attention to them in any cause of the crown, during this whole reign. He was accused of entertaining in his family some Italians who were suspected to be spies; a servant of his had paid a visit to Cardinal Pole in Italy, whence he was suspected of holding a correspondence with that obnoxious prelate; he had quartered the arms of Edward the Confessor on his scutcheon, which made him be suspected of aspiring to the crown, though both he and his ancestors had openly, during the course of many years, maintained that practice, and the heralds had even justified it by their authority. These were the crimes for which a jury, notwithstanding his eloquent and spirited defence, condemned the Earl of Surrey for high treason, and their sentence was soon after executed upon him.

The innocence of the Duke of Norfolk was still, if possible, more apparent than that of his son; and his services to the crown had been greater. His duchess, with whom he lived on bad terms, had been so base as to carry intelligence to his enemies of all she knew against him. Elizabeth Holland, a mistress of his, had been equally subservient to the designs of the court. Yet with all these advantages his accusers discovered no greater crime, than his once saying, that the king was sickly, and could not hold out long; and the kingdom was likely to fall into disorders, through the diversity of religious opinions. He wrote a pathetic letter to the king, pleading his past services, and protesting

his innocence. Soon after, he embraced a more proper expedient for appeasing Henry, by making a submission and confession, such as his enemies required. But nothing could mollify the unrelenting temper of the king. He assembled a parliament (Jan. 14, A.D. 1547), as the surest and most expeditious instrument of his tyranny; and the House of Peers, without examining the prisoner, without trial or evidence, passed a bill of attainder against him, and sent it down to the Commons. Cranmer, though engaged for many years in an opposite party to Norfolk, and though he had received many and great injuries from him, would have no hand in so unjust a prosecution; and he retired to his seat at Croydon (Burnet, vol. i., p. 348; Fox). The king was now approaching fast towards his end; and fearing lest Norfolk should escape him, he sent a message to the Commons, by which he desired them to hasten the bill, on pretence that Norfolk enjoyed the dignity of earl marshal, and it was necessary to appoint another, who might officiate at the ensuing ceremony of installing his son Prince of Wales. The obsequious Commons obeyed his directions, though founded on so frivolous a pretence; and the king, having affixed the royal assent to the bill by commissioners, issued orders for the execution of Norfolk on the morning of the 29th of January. But news being carried to the Tower, that the king himself had expired that night, the lieutenant deferred obeying the warrant; and it was not thought advisable by the council to begin a new reign by the death of the greatest nobleman in the kingdom, who had been condemned by a sentence so unjust and tyrannical.

The king's health had long been in a declining state; but for several days all those near him plainly saw his end approaching. He was become so froward, that no one durst inform him of his condition; and as some persons during this reign had suffered as traitors for foretelling the king's death (Lanquet's *Epit. of Chron.*, 1541), every one was afraid, lest, in the transports of his fury, he might, on this pretence, punish capitally the author of such friendly intelligence. At last, Sir Anthony Denny ventured to disclose to him the fatal secret, and exhorted him to prepare for the fate which was awaiting him. He expressed his resignation; and desired that Cranmer might be sent for. But before the prelate arrived he was speechless, though he still seemed to retain his senses. Cranmer desired him to give some sign of his dying in the faith of Christ. He squeezed the prelate's hand, and immediately expired, after a reign of 37 years and 9 months, and in the 56th year of his age.

The king had made his will near a month before his demise; in which he confirmed the destination of parliament, by leaving the crown first to Prince Edward, then to the Lady Mary, next to the Lady Elizabeth. The two princesses he obliged, under the penalty of forfeiting their title to the crown, not to marry without consent of the council which he appointed for the government of his minor son. After his own children, he settled the succession on Frances Brandon, Marchioness of Dorset, elder daughter of his sister, the French queen; then on Eleanor, Countess of Cumberland, the second daughter. In passing over the posterity of the Queen of Scots, his elder sister, he made use of the power obtained from parliament; but as he subjoined, that after the failure of the French queen's posterity, the crown should descend to the next lawful heir, it afterwards became a question, whether these words

could be applied to the Scottish line. It was thought, that these princes were not the next heirs after the house of Suffolk, but before that house; and that Henry, by expressing himself in this manner, meant entirely to exclude them. The late injuries which he had received from the Scots had irritated him extremely against that nation; and he maintained to the last that character of violence and caprice, by which his life had been so much distinguished. Another circumstance of his will may suggest the same reflection with regard to the strange contrarieties of his temper and conduct. He left money for masses to be said for delivering his soul from purgatory; and though he destroyed all those institutions, established by his ancestors and others, for the benefit of their souls, and had even left the doctrine of purgatory doubtful in all the articles of faith which he promulgated during his later years, he was yet determined, when the hour of death approached, to take care, at least, of his own future repose, and to adhere to the safer side of the question.¹

It is difficult to give a just summary of this prince's qualities. He was so different from himself in different parts of his reign, that, as is well remarked by Lord Herbert, his history is his best character and description. The absolute, uncontrolled authority which he maintained at home, and the regard which he acquired among foreign nations, are circumstances which entitle him, in some degree, to the appellation of a 'great' prince; while his tyranny and barbarity exclude him from the character of a 'good' one. He possessed, indeed, great vigour of mind, which qualified him for exercising dominion over men; courage, intrepidity, vigilance, inflexibility; and though these qualities lay not always under the guidance of a regular and solid judgment, they were accompanied with good parts, and an extensive capacity; and every one dreaded a contest with a man, who was known never to yield or to forgive, and who, in every controversy, was determined either to ruin himself or his antagonist. A catalogue of his vices would comprehend many of the worst qualities incident to human nature. Violence, cruelty, profusion, rapacity, injustice, obstinacy, arrogance, bigotry, presumption, caprice. But neither was he subject to all these vices in the most extreme degree, nor was he, at intervals, altogether destitute of virtues. He was sincere, open, gallant, liberal, and capable at least of a temporary friendship and attachment. In this respect he was unfortunate, that the incidents of his reign served to display his faults in full light. The treatment, which he met with from the court of Rome, provoked him to violence; the danger of a revolt from his superstitious subjects, seemed to require the most extreme severity. But it must, at the same time, be acknowledged, that his situation tended to throw an additional lustre on what was great and magnanimous in his character. The emulation between the emperor and the French king rendered his alliance, notwithstanding his impolitic conduct, of great importance in Europe. The extensive powers of his prerogative, and the submissive, not to say slavish, disposition of his parliaments, made it the more easy for him to assume and maintain that entire dominion, by which his reign is so much distinguished in the English history.

It may seem a little extraordinary, that notwithstanding his cruelty,

¹ See his will in Fuller, Heylin, and Rymer, p. 110. There is no reasonable ground to suspect its authenticity.

his extortion, his violence, his arbitrary administration, this prince not only acquired the regard of his subjects, but never was the object of their hatred. He seems even in some degree to have possessed, to the last, their love and affection (Strype, vol. i., p. 389). His exterior qualities were advantageous, and fit to captivate the multitude. His magnificence and personal bravery rendered him illustrious in vulgar eyes; and it may be said, with truth, that the English in that age were so thoroughly subdued, that, like eastern slaves, they were inclined to admire those acts of violence and tyranny which were exercised over themselves, and at their own expense.

With regard to foreign states, Henry appears long to have supported an intercourse of friendship with Francis, more sincere and disinterested than usually takes place between neighbouring princes. Their common jealousy of the Emperor Charles, and some resemblance in their characters (though the comparison sets the French monarch in a very superior and advantageous light), served as the cement of their mutual amity. Francis is said to have been affected with the king's death, and to have expressed much regret for the loss. His own health began to decline. He foretold that he should not long survive his friend (Le Thou); and he died in about two months after him.

There were ten parliaments summoned by Henry VIII. and twenty-three sessions held. The whole time in which these parliaments sat, during this long reign, exceeded not three years and a half. It amounted not to a twelvemonth during the first twenty years. The innovations in religion obliged the king afterwards to call these assemblies more frequently. But though these were the most important transactions that ever fell under the cognizance of parliament, their devoted submission to Henry's will, added to their earnest desire of soon returning to their country-seats, produced a quick despatch of the bills, and made the sessions of short duration. All the king's caprices were, indeed, blindly complied with, and no regard was paid to the safety or liberty of the subject. Besides the violent prosecution of whatever he was pleased to term heresy, the laws of treason were multiplied beyond all former precedent. Even words to the disparagement of the king, queen, or royal issue, were subjected to that penalty; and so little care was taken in framing these rigorous statutes, that they contain obvious contradictions: insomuch that, had they been strictly executed, every man, without exception, must have fallen under the penalty of treason. By one statute (28 Hen. VIII., c. 7), for instance, it was declared treason to assert the validity of the king's marriage, either with Catherine of Arragon, or Anne Boleyn. By another (34, 35 Hen. VIII., c. 1), it was treason to say anything to the disparagement or slander of the princesses, Mary and Elizabeth; and to call them spurious, would, no doubt, have been construed to their slander. Nor would even a profound silence, with regard to these delicate points, be able to save a person from such penalties. For by the former statute, whoever refused to answer upon oath to any point contained in that act was subjected to the pains of treason. The king, therefore, needed only to propose to any one a question with regard to the legality of either of his first marriages. If the person was silent, he was a traitor by law; if he answered, either in the negative or in the affirmative, he was

no less a traitor. So monstrous were the inconsistencies, which arose from the furious passions of the king, and the slavish submission of his parliaments. It is hard to say, whether these contradictions were owing to Henry's precipitancy, or to a formed design of tyranny.

It may not be improper to recapitulate whatever is memorable in the statutes of this reign, whether with regard to government or commerce; nothing can better show the genius of the age than such a review of the laws.

The abolition of the ancient religion much contributed to the regular execution of justice. While the catholic superstition subsisted there was no possibility of punishing any crime in the clergy; the Church would not permit the magistrate to try the offences of its members, and she could not herself inflict any civil penalties upon them. But Henry restrained these pernicious immunities; the privilege of clergy was abolished for the crimes of petty treason, murder, and felony, to all under the degree of a subdeacon (23 Hen. VIII., c. 1). But the former superstition not only protected crimes in the clergy; it exempted also the laity from punishment, by affording them shelter in the churches and sanctuaries. The parliament abridged these privileges. It was first declared that no sanctuaries were allowed in cases of high treason (26 Hen. VIII., c. 13), next in those of murder, felony, rapes, burglary, and petty treason (32 Hen. VIII., c. 12), and it limited them in other particulars (22 Hen. VIII., c. 14). The further progress of the Reformation removed all distinction between the clergy and other subjects, and also abolished entirely the privileges of sanctuaries. These consequences were implied in the neglect of the canon law.

The only expedient employed to support the military spirit during this age was the reviving and extending of some old laws, enacted for the encouragement of archery, on which the defence of the kingdom was supposed much to depend. Every man was ordered to have a bow (3 Hen. VIII., c. 3); butts were ordered to be erected in every parish (*Ibid.*), and every bowyer was ordered, for each bow of yew which he made, to make two of elm or wiche, for the service of the common people (*Ibid.*). The use of cross-bows and hand-guns was also prohibited (3 Hen. VIII., c. 13). What rendered the English bowmen more formidable was that they carried halberds with them, by which they were enabled, upon occasion, to engage in close fight with the enemy (*Herbert*). Frequent musters or arrays were also made of the people, even during time of peace, and all men of substance were obliged to have a complete suit of armour or harness, as it was called (*Hall*, fol. 234; *Stow*, p. 515; *Holingshed*, p. 947). The martial spirit of the English during that age rendered this precaution, it was thought, sufficient for the defence of the nation; and as the king had then an absolute power of commanding the service of all his subjects, he could instantly, in case of danger, appoint new officers, and levy regiments, and collect an army as numerous as he pleased. When no faction or division prevailed among the people there was no foreign power that ever thought of invading England. The city of London alone could muster fifteen thousand men (*Hall*, fol. 235; *Holingshed*, p. 547; *Stow*, p. 577). Discipline, however, was an advantage wanting to those troops, though the garrison of Calais was a nursery of officers, and Tournay first

{Hall, fol. 68), Boulogne afterwards, served to increase the number. Every one who served abroad was allowed to alienate his lands without paying any fees (14 and 15 Hen. VIII., c. 15). A general permission was granted to dispose of land by will (34 and 35 Hen. VIII., c. 5). The parliament was so little jealous of its privileges (which indeed were, at that time, scarcely worth preserving), that there is an instance of one Strode, who, because he had introduced into the lower house some bill regarding tin, was severely treated by the Stannary Courts in Cornwall; heavy fines were imposed on him, and upon his refusal to pay he was thrown into a dungeon, loaded with irons, and used in such a manner as brought his life in danger; yet all the notice which the parliament took of this enormity, even in such a paltry court, was to enact that noman could afterwards be questioned for his conduct in parliament (4 Hen. VIII., c. 8). This prohibition, however, must be supposed to extend only to the inferior courts; for as to the king, and privy council, and star chamber, they were scarcely bound by any law.

There is a bill of tonnage and poundage, which shows what uncertain ideas the parliament had formed both of their own privileges and of the rights of the sovereign (6 Hen. VIII., c. 14). This duty had been voted to every king since Henry IV. during the term of his own life only; yet Henry VIII. had been allowed to levy it six years without any law; and though there had been four parliaments assembled during that time, no attention had been given either to grant it to him regularly, or restrain him from levying it. At last the parliament resolved to give him that supply, but even in this concession they plainly show themselves at a loss to determine whether they grant it, or whether he has a right of himself to levy it. They say that the imposition was made to endure during the natural life of the late king, and no longer; they yet blame the merchants who had not paid it to the present king; they observe that the law for tonnage and poundage was expired, yet make no scruple to call that imposition the king's due; they affirm that he had sustained great and manifold losses by those who had defrauded him of it; and to provide a remedy, they vote him that supply during his lifetime, and no longer. It is remarkable that, notwithstanding this last clause, all his successors for more than a century persevered in the like irregular practice; if a practice may deserve that epithet in which the whole nation acquiesced, and which gave no offence. But when Charles I. attempted to continue in the same course, which had now received the sanction of many generations, so much were the opinions of men altered, that a furious tempest was excited by it; and historians, partial or ignorant, still represent this measure as a most violent and unprecedented enormity in that unhappy prince.

The king was allowed to make laws for Wales without consent of parliament (34 Hen. VIII.). It was forgotten, that, with regard both to Wales and England, the limitation was abolished by the statute which gave to the royal proclamations the force of laws.

The foreign commerce of England, during this age, was mostly confined to the Netherlands. The inhabitants of the Low Countries bought the English commodities, and distributed them into other parts of Europe. Hence the mutual dependence of those countries on each other; and the great loss sustained by both, in case of a rupture.

During all the variations of politics, the sovereigns endeavoured to avoid coming to this extremity; and though the king usually bore a greater friendship to Francis, the nation always leaned towards the emperor.

In 1528, hostilities commenced between England and the Low Countries; and the inconvenience was soon felt on both sides. While the Flemings were not allowed to purchase cloth in England, the English merchants could not buy it from the clothiers, and the clothiers were obliged to dismiss their workmen, who began to be tumultuous for want of bread. The cardinal, to appease them, sent for the merchants, and ordered them to buy cloth as usual: they told him, that they could not dispose of it as usual; and, notwithstanding his menaces, he could get no other answer from them (Hall, folio 174). An agreement was at last made to continue the commerce between the states, even during war.

It was not till the end of this reign that any salads, carrots, turnips, or other edible roots were produced in England. The little of these vegetables, that was used, was formerly imported from Holland and Flanders (Anderson, vol. i., p. 338). Queen Catherine, when she wanted a salad, was obliged to despatch a messenger thither on purpose. The use of hops, and the planting of them, was introduced from Flanders about the beginning of this reign.

Foreign artificers, in general, much surpassed the English in dexterity, industry, and frugality; hence the violent animosity which the latter, on many occasions, expressed against any of the former who were settled in England. They had the assurance to complain, that all their customers went to foreign tradesmen; and, in the year 1517, being moved by the seditious sermons of one Dr. Bele, and the intrigues of Lincoln, a broker, they raised an insurrection. The apprentices, and others of the poorer sort, in London, began by breaking open the prisons, where some persons were confined for insulting foreigners. They next proceeded to the house of Meautys, a Frenchman, much hated by them; where they committed great disorders; killed some of his servants; and plundered his goods. The mayor could not appease them; nor Sir Thomas More, late under-sheriff, though much respected in the city. They also threatened Cardinal Wolsey with some insult; and he thought it necessary to fortify his house, and put himself on his guard. Tired at last with these disorders, they dispersed themselves; and the Earls of Shrewsbury and Surrey seized some of them. A proclamation was issued, that women should not meet together to babble and talk, and that all men should keep their wives in their houses. Next day the Duke of Norfolk came into the city, at the head of 1300 armed men, and made inquiry into the tumult. Bele and Lincoln, and several others, were sent to the Tower, and condemned for treason. Lincoln and 13 more were executed. The other criminals, to the number of 400, were brought before the king, with ropes about their necks, fell on their knees, and cried for mercy. Henry knew at that time how to pardon; he dismissed them without further punishment (Stow, p. 505; Holingshed, p. 840).

So great was the number of foreign artisans in the city, that at least 15,000 Flemings alone were at one time obliged to leave it, by an

order of council, when Henry became jealous of their favour for Queen Catherine (Le Grand, vol. iii., p. 232). Henry himself confesses, in an edict of the star chamber, printed among the statutes, that the foreigners starved the natives; and obliged them, from idleness, to have recourse to theft, murder, and other enormities (21 Hen. VIII.). He also asserts, that the vast multitude of foreigners raised the price of grain and bread (Ibid.). And to prevent an increase of the evil, all foreign artificers were prohibited from having above two foreigners in their house, either journeymen or apprentices. A like jealousy arose against the foreign merchants; and, to appease it, a law was enacted, obliging all denizens to pay the duties imposed upon aliens (22 Hen. VIII., c. 8). The parliament had done better to have encouraged foreign merchants and artisans to come over in greater numbers to England; which might have excited the emulation of the natives, and have improved their skill. The prisoners in the kingdom, for debts and crimes, are asserted, in an act of parliament, to be 60,000 persons and above (3 Hen. VIII., c. 15); which is scarcely credible. Harrison asserts that 72,000 criminals were executed during this reign for theft and robbery, which would amount nearly to 2000 a year. He adds, that, in the latter end of Elizabeth's reign, there were not punished capitally 400 in a year; it appears that, in all England, there are not at present fifty executed for those crimes. If these facts be just, there has been a great improvement in morals since the reign of Henry VIII. And this improvement has been chiefly owing to the increase of industry and of the arts, which have given maintenance, and, what is almost of equal importance, occupation, to the lower classes.

There is a remarkable clause in a statute passed near the beginning of this reign (3 Hen. VIII., c. 8), by which we might be induced to believe, that England was extremely decayed from the flourishing condition which it had attained in preceding times. It had been enacted in the reign of Edward II. that no magistrate in town or borough, who by his office ought to keep assize, should, during the continuance of his magistracy, sell, either in wholesale or retail, any wine or victuals. This law seemed equitable, in order to prevent fraud or private views in fixing the assize: yet the law is repealed in this reign. The reason assigned is, that 'since the making of that statute and ordinance, many and the most part of all the cities, boroughs, and towns corporate, within the realm of England, are fallen in ruin and decay, and are not inhabited by merchants, and men of such substance as at the time of making that statute; for at this day, the dwellers and inhabitants of the same cities and boroughs are commonly bakers, vintners, fishmongers, and other victuallers, and there remain few others to bear the offices.' Men have such a propensity to exalt past times above the present, that it seems dangerous to credit this reasoning of the parliament, without further evidence to support it. So different are the views in which the same object appears, that some may be inclined to draw an opposite inference from this fact. A more regular police was established in the reign of Henry VIII. than in any former period, and a stricter administration of justice; an advantage which induced the men of landed property to leave the provincial towns, and to retire into the country. Cardinal Wolsey, in a speech to parliament,

represented it as a proof of the increase of riches, that the customs had increased beyond what they were formerly (Hall, fol. 110).

But if there were really a decay of commerce, and industry, and populousness in England, the statutes of this reign, except by abolishing monasteries, and retrenching holidays, circumstances of considerable moment, were not in other respects well calculated to remedy the evil. The fixing of the wages of artificers was attempted (6 Hen. VIII., c. 3); luxury in apparel was prohibited, by repeated statutes (1 Hen. VIII., c. 14; 6 Hen. VIII., c. 1; 7 Hen. VIII., c. 7); and probably without effect. The chancellor and other ministers were empowered to fix a price of poultry, cheese, and butter (25 Hen. VIII., c. 2). A statute was even passed to fix the price of beef, pork, mutton, and veal (24 Hen. VIII., c. 3). Beef and pork were ordered to be sold at a halfpenny a pound; mutton and veal at a halfpenny half a farthing, money of that age. The preamble of the statute says, that these four species of butcher's meat were the food of the poorer sort. This act was afterwards repealed (33 Hen. VIII., c. 11).

The practice of depopulating the country, by abandoning tillage, and throwing the lands into pasturage, still continued (Strype, vol. i., p. 392); as appears by the new laws which were, from time to time, enacted against that practice. The king was entitled to half the rents of the land, where any farm-houses were allowed to fall to decay (6 Hen. VIII., c. 5; 7 Hen. VIII., c. 1). The unskilful husbandry was probably the cause why the proprietors found no profit in tillage. The number of sheep allowed to be kept in one flock was restrained to two thousand (25 Hen. VIII., c. 13). Sometimes, says the statute, one proprietor, or farmer, would keep a flock of 24,000. It is remarkable, that the parliament ascribes the increasing price of mutton to this increase of sheep; because, say they, the commodity being gotten into few hands, the price of it is raised at pleasure (25 Hen. VIII., c. 13). It is more probable, that the effect proceeded from the daily increase of money; for it seems almost impossible, that such a commodity could be engrossed.

In the year 1544, it appears that an acre of good land in Cambridge-shire was let at a shilling, or about fifteen-pence of our present money (Anderson, vol. i., p. 374). This is ten times cheaper than the usual rent at present. But commodities were not above four times cheaper; a presumption of the bad husbandry in that age.

Some laws were made with regard to beggars and vagrants (22 Hen. VIII., c. 12; 22 Hen. VIII., c. 5); one of the circumstances in government, which humanity would most powerfully recommend to a benevolent legislator; which seems, at first sight, the most easily adjusted; and which is yet the most difficult to settle in such a manner, as to attain the end without destroying industry. The convents formerly were a support to the poor; but at the same time tended to encourage idleness and beggary.

In 1546, a law was made for fixing the interest of money at 10 per cent.; the first legal interest known in England. Formerly, all loans of that nature were regarded as usurious. The preamble of this very law treats the interest of money as illegal and criminal; and the prejudices still remained so strong, that the law permitting interest was repealed in the following reign.

This reign, as well as many of the foregoing, and even subsequent reigns, abounds with monopolising laws, confining particular manufactures to particular towns, or excluding the open country in general.¹ There remain still too many traces of similar absurdities. In the subsequent reign, the corporations which had been opened by a former law, and obliged to admit tradesmen of different kinds, were again shut up by act of parliament; and every one was prohibited from exercising any trade who was not of the corporation (3 and 4 Edward VI., c. 20).

Henry, as he possessed himself some talent for letters, was an encourager of them in others. He founded Trinity College in Cambridge, and gave it ample endowments. Wolsey founded Christ Church, in Oxford, and intended to call it Cardinal College; but upon his fall, which happened before he had entirely finished his scheme, the king seized all the revenues; and this violence, above all the other misfortunes of that minister, is said to have given him the greatest concern (Strype, vol. i., p. 117). But Henry afterwards restored the revenues of the college, and only changed the name. The cardinal founded in Oxford the first chair for teaching Greek; and this novelty rent that university into violent factions, which frequently came to blows. The students divided themselves into parties, which bore the names of Greeks and Trojans, and sometimes fought with as great animosity as was formerly exercised by those hostile nations. A new and more correct method of pronouncing Greek being introduced, it also divided the Grecians themselves into parties; and it was remarked that the Catholics favoured the former pronunciation, the Protestants gave countenance to the new. Gardiner employed the authority of the king and council to suppress innovations in this particular, and to preserve the corrupt sound of the Greek alphabet. So little liberty was then allowed of any kind! The penalties inflicted upon the new pronunciation were no less than whipping, degradation, and expulsion; and the bishop declared, that, rather than permit the liberty of innovating in the pronunciation of the Greek alphabet, it were better that the language itself were totally banished the universities. The introduction of the Greek language into Oxford excited the emulation of Cambridge (Wood's *Hist. and Antiq. Oxon.*, lib. i., p. 245). Wolsey intended to have enriched the library of his college at Oxford with copies of all the manuscripts that were in the Vatican (*Ibid.*, p. 249). The countenance given to letters by this king and his ministers contributed to render learning fashionable in England: Erasmus speaks with great satisfaction of the general regard paid by the nobility and gentry to men of knowledge (*Epist. ad Banisium*. Also *Epist.*, p. 368). It is needless to be particular in mentioning the writers of this reign, or of the preceding. There is no man of that age who has the least pretension to be ranked among our classics. Sir Thomas More, though he wrote in Latin, seems to come the nearest to the character of a classical author.

¹ 21 Hen. VIII., c. 12; 25 Hen. VIII., c. 18; 3 and 4 Edw. VI., c. 20; 5 and 6 Edw. VI., c. 24.

CHAPTER XXXIV.

EDWARD VI.

State of the regency.—Innovations in the regency.—Hertford protector.—Reformation completed.—Gardiner's opposition.—Foreign affairs.—Progress of the Reformation in Scotland.—Assassination of Cardinal Beaton.—Conduct of the war with Scotland.—Battle of Pinkie.—A parliament.—Further progress of the Reformation.—Affairs of Scotland.—Young Queen of Scots sent into France.—Cabals of Lord Seymour.—Dudley, Earl of Warwick.—A parliament.—Attainder of Lord Seymour.—His execution.—Ecclesiastical affairs.

THE late king, by the regulations which he imposed on the government of his infant son, as well as by the limitations of the succession, had projected to reign even after his decease; and he imagined that his ministers, who had always been so obsequious to him during his lifetime, would never afterwards depart from the plan which he had traced out to them. He fixed the majority of the prince at the completion of his eighteenth year; and as Edward was only a few months past nine, he appointed sixteen executors; to whom, during the minority, he entrusted the government of the king and kingdom. Their names were, Cranmer, Archbishop of Canterbury; Lord Wriothesley, chancellor; Lord St. John, great master; Lord Russell, privy seal; the Earl of Hertford, chamberlain; Viscount Lisle, admiral; Tonstal, Bishop of Durham; Sir Anthony Brown, master of horse; Sir William Paget, secretary of state; Sir Edward North, chancellor of the augmentation; Sir Edward Montague, chief justice of the common pleas; Judge Bromley, Sir Edward Denny, and Sir William Herbert, chief gentlemen of the privy chamber; Sir Edward Wotton, Treasurer of Calais; Dr. Wotton, Dean of Canterbury. To these executors, with whom was entrusted the whole regal authority, were appointed twelve councillors, who possessed no immediate power, and could only assist with their advice, when any affair was laid before them. The council was composed of the Earls of Arundel and Essex; Sir Thomas Cheyney, treasurer of the household; Sir John Gage, comptroller; Sir Anthony Wingfield, vice-chamberlain; Sir William Petre, secretary of state; Sir Richard Rich, Sir John Baker, Sir Ralph Sadler, Sir Thomas Seymour, Sir Richard Southwell, and Sir Edmund Peckham (Strype's *Memoir*, vol. ii., p. 457). The usual caprice of Henry appears somewhat in this nomination; while he appointed several persons of inferior station among his executors, and gave only the place of councillor to a person of such high rank as the Earl of Arundel, and to Sir Thomas Seymour, the king's uncle.

But the first act of the executors and councillors was to depart from the destination of the late king in a material article. No sooner were they met, than it was suggested that the government would lose its dignity for want of some head, who might represent the royal majesty, who might receive addresses from foreign ambassadors, to whom despatches from English ministers abroad might be carried, and whose name might be employed in all orders and proclamations: and as the

king's will seemed to labour under a defect in this particular, it was deemed necessary to supply it, by choosing a protector; who, though he should possess all the exterior symbols of royal dignity, should yet be bound in every act of power to follow the opinion of the executors (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 5). This proposal was very disagreeable to Chancellor Wriothesely. That magistrate, a man of an active spirit and high ambition, found himself, by his office, entitled to the first rank in the regency after the primate; and as he knew that this prelate had no talent or inclination for state affairs, he hoped that the direction of public business would of course devolve in a great measure upon himself. He opposed, therefore, the proposal of choosing a protector; and represented that innovation as an infringement of the late king's will, which, being corroborated by act of parliament, ought in everything to be a law to them, and could not be altered but by the same authority which had established it. But he seems to have stood alone in the opposition. The executors and councillors were mostly courtiers, who had been raised by Henry's favour, not men of high birth or great hereditary influence; and as they had been sufficiently accustomed to submission during the reign of the late monarch, and had no pretensions to govern the nation by their own authority, they acquiesced the more willingly in a proposal which seemed calculated for preserving public peace and tranquillity. It being therefore agreed to name a protector, the choice fell of course on the Earl of Hertford, who, as he was the king's maternal uncle, was strongly interested in his safety; and possessing no claims to inherit the crown, could never have any separate interest, which might lead him to endanger Edward's person or his authority (Heylin, *Hist. Ref. Edw. VI.*). The public was informed by proclamation of this change in the administration; and dispatches were sent to all foreign courts to give them intimation of it. All those who were possessed of any office resigned their former commissions, and accepted new ones in the name of the young king. The bishops themselves were constrained to make a like submission. Care was taken to insert in their new commissions that they held their offices during pleasure:¹ and it is there expressly affirmed, that all manner of authority and jurisdiction, as well ecclesiastical as civil, is originally derived from the crown (Strype's *Memoirs of Cranmer*, p. 141).

The executors in their next measure showed a more submissive deference to Henry's will; because many of them found their account in it. The late king had intended, before his death, to make a new creation of nobility, in order to supply the place of those peerages which had fallen by former attainders, or the failure of issue; and that he might enable the new peers to support their dignity, he had resolved, either to bestow estates on them, or advance them to higher offices. He had even gone so far as to inform them of this resolution; and in his will he charged his executors to make good all his promises (Fuller, Heylin, and Rymer). That they might ascertain his intentions in the most authentic manner, Sir William Paget, Sir Anthony Denny, and Sir William Herbert, with whom Henry had always conversed in a familiar manner, were called before the board of regency; and

¹ Collier, vol. ii., p. 218; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 6; Strype's *Mem. of Cranm.*, p. 141.

having given evidence of what they knew concerning the king's promises, their testimony was relied on, and the executors proceeded to the fulfilling of these engagements. Hertford was (Feb. 17) created Duke of Somerset, marshal and lord treasurer; Wriothesely, Earl of Southampton; the Earl of Essex, Marquis of Northampton; Viscount Lisle, Earl of Warwick; Sir Thomas Seymour, Lord Seymour of Sudley, and admiral; Sir Richard Rich, Sir William Willoughby, Sir Edward Sheffield, accepted the title of baron (Stow's Annals, p. 594). Several to whom the same dignity was offered refused it, because the other part of the king's promise, the bestowing of estates on these new noblemen, was deferred till a more convenient opportunity. Some of them, however, as also Somerset, the protector, were, in the mean time, endowed with spiritual preferments, deaneries and prebends. For, among many other invasions of ecclesiastical privileges and property, this irregular practice of bestowing spiritual benefices on laymen began now to prevail.

The Earl of Southampton had always been engaged in an opposite party to Somerset; and it was not likely that factions, which had secretly prevailed, even during the arbitrary reign of Henry, should be suppressed in the weak administration that usually attends a minority. The former nobleman, that he might have the greater leisure for attending to public business, had, of himself and from his own authority, put the great seal in commission, and had empowered four lawyers, Southwell, Tregonel, Oliver, and Bellasis, to execute, in his absence, the office of chancellor. This measure seemed very exceptionable; and the more so as, two of the commissioners being canonists, the lawyers suspected that, by this nomination, the chancellor had intended to discredit the common law. Complaints were made to the council; who, influenced by the protector, gladly laid hold of the opportunity to depress Southampton. They consulted the judges with regard to so unusual a case, and received for answer, that the commission was illegal, and that the chancellor, by his presumption in granting it, had justly forfeited the great seal, and was even liable to punishment. The council summoned him to appear before them. He maintained that he held his office by the late king's will, founded on an act of parliament, and could not lose it without a trial in parliament; that if the commission which he had granted were found illegal, it might be cancelled, and all the ill consequences of it be easily remedied; and that the depriving him of his office for an error of this nature was a precedent by which any other innovation might be authorised. But the council, notwithstanding these topics of defence, declared that he had forfeited the great seal; that a fine should be imposed upon him; and that he should be confined to his own house during pleasure (Holingshed, p. 979).

The removal of Southampton increased the protector's authority, as well as tended to suppress faction in the regency; yet was not Somerset contented with this advantage; his ambition carried him to seek still further acquisitions. On pretence that the vote of the executors, choosing him protector, was not a sufficient foundation for his authority, he (A.D. 1547, March 12) procured a patent from the young king, by which he entirely overturned the will of Henry VIII., produced a total revolution in the government, and may seem even to have subverted

all the laws of the kingdom. He named himself protector with full regal power, and appointed a council, consisting of all the former councillors, and all the executors, except Southampton; he reserved a power of naming any other councillors at pleasure; and he was bound to consult with such only as he thought proper. The protector and his council were likewise empowered to act at discretion, and to execute whatever they deemed for the public service, without incurring any penalty or forfeiture from any law, statute, proclamation, or ordinance whatsoever (Burnet, vol. ii.; Records, No. 6). Even had this patent been more moderate in its concessions, and had it been drawn by directions from the executors appointed by Henry, its legality might justly be questioned: since it seems essential to a trust of this nature to be exercised by the persons entrusted, and not to admit of a delegation to others; but as the patent, by its very tenor, where the executors are not so much as mentioned, appears to have been surreptitiously obtained from a minor king, the protectorship of Somerset was a plain usurpation, which it is impossible by any arguments to justify. The connivance, however, of the executors, and their present acquiescence in the new establishment, made it be universally submitted to; and as the young king discovered an extreme attachment to his uncle, who was also in the main a man of moderation and probity, no objections were made to his power and title. All men of sense, likewise, who saw the nation divided by the religious zeal of the opposite sects, deemed it the more necessary to entrust the government to one person, who might check the exorbitancies of faction, and ensure the public tranquillity. And though some clauses of the patent seemed to imply a formal subversion of all limited government, so little jealousy was then usually entertained on that head, that no exception was ever taken at bare claims or pretensions of this nature, advanced by any person possessed of sovereign power. The actual exercise alone of arbitrary administration, and that in many, and great, and flagrant, and unpopular instances, was able sometimes to give some umbrage to the nation.

The extensive authority and imperious character of Henry had retained the partisans of both religions in subjection; but, upon his demise, the hopes of the protestants and the fears of the catholics began to revive, and the zeal of these parties produced everywhere disputes and animosities, the usual preludes to more fatal divisions. The protector had long been regarded as a secret partisan of the reformers; and being now free from restraint, he scrupled not to discover his intention of correcting all abuses in the ancient religion, and of adopting still more of the protestant innovations. He took care that all persons entrusted with the king's education should be attached to the same principles; and as the young prince discovered a zeal for every kind of literature, especially theological, far beyond his tender years, all men foresaw, in the course of his reign, the total abolition of the catholic faith in England; and they early began to declare themselves in favour of those tenets which were likely to become in the end entirely prevalent. After Southampton's fall, few members of the council seemed to retain any attachment to the Romish communion; and most of the councillors appeared even sanguine in forwarding the

progress of the reformation. The riches, which most of them had acquired from the spoils of the clergy, induced them to widen the breach between England and Rome; and by establishing a contrariety of speculative tenets, as well as of discipline and worship, to render a coalition with the mother church altogether impracticable (Goodwin's Annals; Heylin). Their rapacity also, the chief source of their reforming spirit, was excited by the prospect of pillaging the secular, as they had already done the regular clergy; and they knew that, while any share of the old principles remained, or any regard to the ecclesiastics, they could never hope to succeed in that enterprise.

The numerous and burdensome superstitions, with which the Romish church was loaded, had thrown many of the reformers, by the spirit of opposition, into an enthusiastic strain of devotion; and all rites, ceremonies, pomp, order, and exterior observances were zealously proscribed by them, as hindrances to their spiritual contemplations, and obstructions to their immediate converse with heaven. Many circumstances concurred to inflame this daring spirit; the novelty itself of their doctrines, the triumph of making proselytes, the furious persecutions to which they were exposed, their animosity against the ancient tenets and practices, and the necessity of procuring the concurrence of the laity, by depressing the hierarchy, and by tendering to them the plunder of the ecclesiastics. Wherever the reformation prevailed over the opposition of civil authority, this genius of religion appeared in its full extent, and was attended with consequences which, though less durable, were, for some time, not less dangerous than those which were connected with the ancient superstition. But as the magistrate took the lead in England, the transition was more gradual; much of the ancient religion was still preserved; and a reasonable degree of subordination was retained in discipline, as well as some pomp, order, and ceremony in public worship.

The protector, in his schemes for advancing the reformation, had always recourse to the counsels of Cranmer, who, being a man of moderation and prudence, was averse to all violent changes, and determined to bring over the people, by insensible innovations, to that system of doctrine and discipline which he deemed the most pure and perfect. He probably also foresaw that a system which carefully avoided the extremes of reformation was likely to be most lasting; and that a devotion merely spiritual was fitted only for the first fervours of a new sect, and upon the relaxation of these naturally gave place to the inroads of superstition. He seems, therefore, to have intended the establishment of a hierarchy, which, being suited to a great and settled government, might stand as a perpetual barrier against Rome, and might retain the reverence of the people, even after their enthusiastic zeal was diminished, or entirely evaporated.

The person who opposed, with greatest authority, any further advances towards reformation, was Gardiner, Bishop of Winchester; who, though he had not obtained a place in the council of regency, on account of late disgusts which he had given to Henry, was entitled by his age, experience, and capacity, to the highest trust and confidence of his party. This prelate still continued to magnify the great wisdom and learning of the late king, which, indeed, were generally and sincerely

revered by the nation; and he insisted on the prudence of persevering, at least till the young king's majority, in the ecclesiastical model established by that great monarch. He defended the use of images, which were now openly attacked by the protestants; and he represented them as serviceable in maintaining a sense of religion among the illiterate multitude (Fox, vol. ii., p. 712). He even deigned to write an apology for 'holy water,' which Bishop Ridley had decried in a sermon; and he maintained, that, by the power of the Almighty, it might be rendered an instrument of doing good; as much as the shadow of St. Peter, the hem of Christ's garment, or the spittle and clay laid upon the eyes of the blind (Ibid., p. 724). Above all, he insisted that the laws ought to be observed, that the constitution ought to be preserved inviolate, and that it was dangerous to follow the will of the sovereign, in opposition to an act of parliament (Collier, vol. ii., 228; Fox, vol. ii.).

But though there remained at that time in England an idea of laws and a constitution, sufficient at least to furnish a topic of argument to such as were discontented with any immediate exercise of authority, this plea could scarcely, in the present case, be maintained with any plausibility by Gardiner. An act of parliament had invested the crown with a legislative power; and royal proclamations, even during a minority, were armed with the force of laws. The protector, finding himself supported by this statute, was determined to employ his authority in favour of the reformers; and having suspended, during the interval, the jurisdiction of the bishops, he appointed a general visitation to be made in all the dioceses of England (Mem. Cranm., pp. 146, 147, etc.). The visitors consisted of a mixture of clergy and laity, and had six circuits assigned them. The chief purport of their instructions was, besides correcting immoralities and irregularities in the clergy, to abolish the ancient superstitions, and to bring the discipline and worship somewhat nearer the practice of the reformed churches. The moderation of Somerset and Cranmer is apparent in the conduct of this delicate affair. The visitors were enjoined to retain, for the present, all images which had not been abused to idolatry; and to instruct the people not to despise such ceremonies as were not yet abrogated, but only to beware of some particular superstitions, such as the sprinkling of their beds with holy water, and the ringing of bells, or using of consecrated candles, in order to drive away the devil (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 28).

But nothing required more the correcting hand of authority than the abuse of preaching, which was now generally employed, throughout England, in defending the ancient practices and superstitions. The court of augmentation, in order to ease the exchequer of the annuities paid to monks, had commonly placed them in the vacant churches; and these men were led by interest, as well as by inclination, to support those principles which had been invented for the profit of the clergy. Orders therefore were given to restrain the topics of their sermons; twelve homilies were published, which they were enjoined to read to the people; and all of them were prohibited, without express permission, from preaching anywhere but in their parish churches. The purpose of this injunction was to throw a restraint on the catholic divines; while the protestant, by the grant of particular licences, should be allowed unbounded liberty.

Bonner made some opposition to these measures but soon after retracted and acquiesced. Gardiner was more high-spirited and more steady. He represented the peril of perpetual innovations, and the necessity of adhering to some system. 'Tis a dangerous thing,' said he, 'to use too much freedom in researches of this kind. If you cut the old canal, the water is apt to run farther than you have a mind to. If you indulge the humour of novelty, you cannot put a stop to people's demands, nor govern their indiscretions at pleasure.' 'For my part,' said he, on another occasion, 'my sole concern is to manage the third and last act of my life with decency, and to make a handsome exit off the stage. Provided this point is secured, I am not solicitous about the rest. I am already by nature condemned to death; no man can give me a pardon from this sentence; nor so much as procure me a reprieve. To speak my mind, and to act as my conscience directs, are two branches of liberty which I can never part with. Sincerity in speech, and integrity in action, are entertaining qualities; they will stick by a man when everything else takes its leave; and I must not resign them upon any consideration. The best on it is, if I do not throw them away myself, no man can force them from me; but if I give them up, then am I ruined by myself, and deserve to lose all my pre-ferments.'¹ This opposition of Gardiner drew on him the indignation of the council; and he was sent to the Fleet, where he was used with some severity.

One of the chief objections, urged by Gardiner against the new homilies, was, that they defined, with the most metaphysical precision, the doctrines of grace, and of justification by faith; points, he thought, which it was superfluous for any man to know exactly, and which certainly much exceeded the comprehension of the vulgar. A famous martyrologist calls Gardiner, on account of this opinion, 'an insensible ass, and one that had no feeling of God's Spirit in the matter of justification' (Fox, vol. ii.). The meanest Protestant imagined, at that time, that he had a full comprehension of all those mysterious doctrines; and he heartily despised the most learned and knowing person of the ancient religion, who acknowledged his ignorance with regard to them. It is indeed certain, that the reformers were very fortunate in their doctrine of justification, and might venture to foretell its success, in opposition to all the ceremonies, shows, and superstitions of popery. By exalting Christ and His sufferings, and renouncing all claim to independent merit in ourselves, it was calculated to become popular, and coincided with those principles of panegyric and of self-abasement which generally have place in religion.

Tunstal, Bishop of Durham, having, as well as Gardiner, made some opposition to the new regulations, was dismissed the council; but no further severity was, for the present, exercised against him. He was a man of great moderation, and of the most unexceptionable character in the kingdom.

The same religious zeal which engaged Somerset to promote the reformation at home, led him to carry his attention to foreign countries; where the interests of the Protestants were now exposed to the most imminent danger. The Roman pontiff, with much reluctance, and after

¹ Collier, vol. ii, p. 228 ex MSS. Col. C. C. Cantab. Biblio. Britann., art Gardiner.

long delays, had at last summoned a general council, which was assembled at Trent, and was employed, both in correcting the abuses of the church, and in ascertaining her doctrines. The emperor, who desired to repress the power of the court of Rome, as well as gain over the Protestants, promoted the former object of the council; the Pope, who found his own greatness so deeply interested, desired rather to employ them in the latter. He gave instructions to his legates, who presided in the council, to protract the debates, and to engage the theologians in argument, and altercation, and dispute concerning the nice points of faith canvassed before them; a policy so easy to be executed, that the legates soon found it rather necessary to interpose, in order to appease the animosity of the divines, and bring them at last to some decision (Father Paul, lib. 2). The more difficult task for the legates was to moderate or divert the zeal of the council for reformation, and to repress the ambition of the prelates, who desired to exalt the episcopal authority on the ruins of the sovereign pontiff. Finding this humour become prevalent, the legates, on pretence that the plague had broken out at Trent, transferred of a sudden the council to Bologna, where, they hoped, it would be more under the direction of his holiness.

The emperor, no less than the Pope, had learned to make religion subservient to his ambition and policy. He was resolved to employ the imputation of heresy as a pretence for subduing the Protestant princes, and oppressing the liberties of Germany; but found it necessary to cover his intentions under deep artifice, and to prevent the combination of his adversaries. He separated the palatine and the elector of Brandenburg from the Protestant confederacy; he took arms against the Elector of Saxony, and the Landgrave of Hesse; by the fortune of war, he made the former prisoner; he employed treachery and prevarication against the latter, and detained him captive, by breaking a safe-conduct which he had granted him. He seemed to have reached the summit of his ambition; and the German princes, who were astonished with his success, were further discouraged by the intelligence which they had received of the death, first of Henry VIII., then of Francis I., their usual resources in every calamity (Sleidan).

Henry II., who succeeded to the crown of France, was a prince of vigour and abilities; but less hasty in his resolution than Francis, and less inflamed with rivalry and animosity against the Emperor Charles. Though he sent ambassadors to the princes of the Smalcaldic League, and promised them protection, he was unwilling, in the commencement of his reign, to hurry into a war with so great a power as that of the emperor; and he thought that the alliance of those princes was a sure resource, which he could at any time lay hold of (Pere Daniel). He was much governed by the Duke of Guise and the Cardinal of Lorraine; and he hearkened to their counsel, in choosing rather to give immediate assistance to Scotland, his ancient ally, which, even before the death of Henry VIII. had loudly claimed the protection of the French monarchy.

The hatred between the two factions, the partisans of the ancient and those of the new religion, became every day more violent in Scotland; and the resolution which the cardinal primate had taken, to employ the most rigorous punishments against the reformers, brought

matters to a quick decision. There was one Wishart, a gentleman by birth, who employed himself with great zeal in preaching against the ancient superstitions, and began to give alarm to the clergy, who were justly terrified with the danger of some fatal revolution in religion. This man was celebrated for the purity of his morals, and for his extensive learning; but these praises cannot be much depended on; because we know that, among the reformers, severity of manners supplied the place of many virtues; and the age was in general so ignorant, that most of the priests in Scotland imagined the New Testament to be a composition of Luther's, and asserted that the Old alone was the word of God.¹ But however the case may have stood with regard to those estimable qualities ascribed to Wishart, he was strongly possessed with the desire of innovation; and he enjoyed those talents which qualified him for becoming a popular preacher, and for seizing the attention and affections of the multitude. The magistrates of Dundee, where he exercised his mission, were alarmed with his progress; and being unable or unwilling to treat him with rigour, they contented themselves with denying him the liberty of preaching, and with dismissing him the bounds of their jurisdiction. Wishart, moved with indignation, that they had dared to reject him, together with the word of God, menaced them, in imitation of the ancient prophets, with some imminent calamity; and he withdrew to the west country, where he daily increased the number of his proselytes. Meanwhile, a plague broke out in Dundee; and all men exclaimed, that the town had drawn down the vengeance of heaven by banishing the pious preacher, and that the pestilence would never cease, till they had made him atonement for their offence against him. No sooner did Wishart hear of this change in their disposition, than he returned to them, and made them a new tender of his doctrine; but lest he should spread the contagion by bringing multitudes together, he erected his pulpit on the top of a gate; the infected stood within, the others without. And the preacher failed not, in such a situation, to take advantage of the immediate terrors of the people, and to enforce his evangelical mission (Knox's Hist. of Ref., p. 44; Spotswood).

The assiduity and success of Wishart became an object of attention to Cardinal Beaton, and he resolved by the punishment of so celebrated a preacher to strike a terror into all other innovators. He engaged the Earl of Bothwell to arrest him, and to deliver him into his hands, contrary to a promise given by Bothwell to that unhappy man; and

¹ Spotswood, p. 75. The same author, p. 92, tells us a story which confirms this character of the popish clergy in Scotland. It became a great dispute in the university of St. Andrews, whether the *pater* should be said to God or the saints. The friars, who knew in general that the reformers neglected the saints, were determined to maintain their honour with great obstinacy, but they knew not upon what topics to found their doctrine. Some held that the *pater* was said to God *formaliter*, and to saints *materialiter*; others, to God *principaliter*, and to saints *minus principaliter*; others would have it *ultimate* and *non ultimate*; but the majority seemed to hold, that the *pater* was said to God *capiendo stricte*, and to saints *capiendo large*. A simple fellow, who served the sub-prior, thinking there was some great matter in hand, that made the doctors hold so many conferences together, asked him one day what the matter was? The sub-prior answering, 'Tom,' that was the fellow's name, 'we cannot agree to whom the paternoster should be said.' He suddenly replied, 'To whom, sir, should it be said but unto God?' Then said the sub-prior, 'What shall we do with the saints?' He answered, 'Give them aves and creeds enow in the devil's name, for that may suffice them.' The answer going abroad, many said, 'that he had given a wiser decision than all the doctors had done with all their distinctions.'

being possessed of his prey, he conducted him to St. Andrew's, where after a trial he condemned him to the flames for heresy. Arran; the governor, was irresolute in his temper, and the cardinal, though he had gained him over to his party, found that he would not concur in the condemnation and execution of Wishart. He determined, therefore, without the assistance of the secular arm, to bring that heretic to punishment, and he himself beheld from his window the dismal spectacle. Wishart suffered with the usual patience, but could not forbear remarking the triumph of his insulting enemy. He foretold that in a few days he should in the very same place lie as low, as now he was exalted aloft, in opposition to true piety and religion (Spotswood ; Buchanan).

This prophecy was probably the immediate cause of the event which it foretold. The disciples of this martyr, enraged at the cruel execution, formed a conspiracy against the cardinal, and having associated to them Norman Lesly, who was disgusted on account of some private quarrel, they conducted their enterprise with great secrecy and success. Early in the morning they entered the cardinal's palace, which he had strongly fortified, and though they were not above sixteen persons, they thrust out 100 tradesmen and 50 servants, whom they seized separately, before any suspicion arose of their intentions, and having shut the gates, they proceeded very deliberately to execute their purpose on the cardinal. That prelate had been alarmed with the noise which he heard in the castle, and had barricaded the door of his chamber; but finding that they had brought fire in order to force their way, and having obtained, as is believed, a promise of life, he opened the door, and reminding them that he was a priest, he conjured them to spare him. Two of the assassins rushed upon him with drawn swords, but a third, James Melvill, more calm and more considerate in villany, stopped their career, and bade them reflect that this sacrifice was the work and judgment of God, and ought to be executed with becoming deliberation and gravity. Then turning the point of his sword towards Beaton, he called to him, 'Repent thee, thou wicked cardinal, of all thy sins and iniquities, especially of the murder of Wishart, that instrument of God for the conversion of these lands; it is his death which now cries vengeance upon thee; we are sent by God to inflict the deserved punishment. For here before the Almighty I protest that it is neither hatred of thy person, nor love of thy riches, nor fear of thy power, which moves me to seek thy death; but only because thou hast been, and still remainest, an obstinate enemy to Christ Jesus, and His holy gospel.' Having spoken these words, without giving Beaton time to finish that repentance to which he exhorted him, he thrust him through the body, and the cardinal fell dead at his feet.¹ This murder was executed on the 28th of May, 1546. The assassins being reinforced by their friends to the number

¹ The famous Scotch reformer, John Knox, calls James Melvill, p. 65, a man most gentle and most modest. It is very horrid, but at the same time somewhat amusing, to consider the joy and alacrity and pleasure, which that historian discovers in his narrative of this assassination; and it is remarkable that in the first edition of his work, these words were printed on the margin of the page, 'The godly fact and words of James Melvill' But the following editors retrenched them. Knox himself had no hand in the murder of Beaton; but he afterwards joined the assassins, and assisted them in holding out the castle. Keith's Hist. of the Ref. of Scotland, p. 43.

of 140 persons, prepared themselves for the defence of the castle, and sent a messenger to London, craving assistance from Henry. That prince, though Scotland was comprehended in his peace with France, would not forego the opportunity of disturbing the government of a rival kingdom, and he promised to take them under his protection.

It was the peculiar misfortune of Scotland, that five short reigns had been successively followed by as many long minorities, and the execution of justice which the prince was beginning to introduce had been continually interrupted by the cabals, factions, and animosities of the great. But besides these inveterate and ancient evils, a new source of disorder had arisen: the disputes and contentions of theology, which were sufficient to disturb the most settled government, and the death of the cardinal, who was possessed of abilities and vigour, seemed much to weaken the hands of the administration. But the queen-dowager was a woman of uncommon talents and virtue, and she did as much to support the government, and supply the weakness of Arran, the governor, as could be expected in her situation.

The protector of England, as soon as the state was brought to some composure, made preparations for war with Scotland, and he was determined to execute if possible, that project of uniting the two kingdoms by marriage, on which the late king had been so intent, and which he had recommended with his dying breath to his executors. He levied an army of 18,000 men, and equipped a fleet of 60 sail, one half of which were ships of war, the other laden with provisions and ammunition. He gave the command of the fleet to Lord Clinton; he himself marched at the head of the army, attended by the Earl of Warwick. These hostile measures were covered with a pretence of revenging some depredations committed by the borderers, but besides that Somerset revived the ancient claim of the superiority of the English crown over that of Scotland, he refused to enter into negotiation on any other condition than the marriage of the young queen with Edward VI.

The protector before he opened the campaign published a manifesto, in which he enforced all the arguments for that measure. He said that nature seemed originally to have intended this island for one empire, and having cut it off from all communication with foreign states, and guarded it by the ocean, she had pointed out to the inhabitants the road to happiness and to security; that the education and customs of the people concurred with nature, and by giving them the same language, and laws, and manners, had invited them to a thorough union and coalition; that fortune had at last removed all obstacles, and had prepared an expedient by which they might become one people, without leaving any place for that jealousy, either of honour or of interest, to which rival nations are naturally exposed; that the crown of Scotland had devolved on a female, that of England on a male, and happily the two sovereigns, as of a rank, were also of an age, the most suitable to each other; that the hostile dispositions which prevailed between the nations, and which arose from past injuries, would soon be extinguished, after a long and secure peace had established confidence between them; that the memory of former miseries which at present inflamed their mutual animosity, would then

serve only to make them cherish with more passion a state of happiness and tranquillity so long unknown to their ancestors; that when hostilities had ceased between the kingdoms, the Scottish nobility who were at present obliged to remain perpetually in a warlike posture, would learn to cultivate the arts of peace, and would soften their minds to a love of domestic order and obedience; that as this situation was desirable to both kingdoms, so particularly to Scotland, which had been exposed to the greatest miseries from intestine and foreign wars, and saw herself every moment in danger of losing her independence by the efforts of a richer and more powerful people; that though England had claims of superiority, she was willing to resign every pretension for the sake of future peace, and desired a union which would be the more secure, as it would be concluded on terms entirely equal; and that besides all these motives, positive engagements had been taken for completing this alliance, and the honour and good faith of the nation were pledged to fulfil what her interest and safety so loudly demanded (Sir J. Haywood in Kennet, p. 279; Heylin, p. 42).

Somerset soon perceived that these remonstrances would have no influence, and that the queen dowager's attachment to France and to the Catholic religion would render ineffectual all negotiations for the intended marriage. He found himself, therefore, obliged to try the force of arms, and to constrain the Scots by necessity to submit to a measure for which they seemed to have entertained the most incurable aversion. He (Sept. 2) passed the borders at Berwick, and advanced towards Edinburgh without meeting any resistance for some days, except from some small castles, which he obliged to surrender at discretion. The protector intended to have punished the governor and garrison of one of these castles for their temerity in resisting such unequal force; but they eluded his anger by asking only a few hours respite till they should prepare themselves for death, after which they found his ears more open to their applications for mercy (Haywood; Patten).

The governor of Scotland had summoned together the whole force of the kingdom; and his army, double in number to that of the English, had taken post on advantageous ground, guarded by the banks of the Esk, about four miles from Edinburgh. The English came within sight of them at Faside; and after a skirmish between the horse, where the Scots were worsted, and Lord Hume dangerously wounded, Somerset prepared himself for a more decisive action. But having taken a view of the Scottish camp with the Earl of Warwick, he found it difficult to make an attempt upon it with any probability of success. He wrote, therefore, another letter to Arran, and offered to evacuate the kingdom, as well as to repair all the damages which he had committed, provided the Scots would stipulate not to contract the queen to any foreign prince, but to detain her at home till she reached the age of choosing a husband for herself. So moderate a demand was rejected by the Scots merely on account of its moderation, and it made them imagine that the protector must either be reduced to great distress, or be influenced by fear, that he was now contented to abate so much of his former pretensions. Inflamed also by their priests, who had come to the camp in great numbers, they believed that

the English were detestable heretics, abhorred of God, and exposed to Divine vengeance, and that no success could ever crown their arms. They were confirmed in this fond conceit, when they saw the protector change his ground and move towards the sea, nor did they any longer doubt that he intended to embark his army, and make his escape on board the ships, which at that very time moved into the bay opposite to him (Holingshed, p. 985). Determined therefore to cut off his retreat, they quitted their camp, and passing the river Esk, advanced into the plain. They were divided into three bodies; Angus commanded the vanguard, Arran the main body, Huntley the rear; their cavalry consisted only of light horse, which were placed on their left flank, strengthened by some Irish archers, whom Argyle had brought over for this service.

Somerset was much pleased when he saw this movement of the Scottish army; and as the English had usually been superior in pitched battles, he conceived great hopes of success. He (A.D. 1547, Sept. 10) ranged his van on the left, farthest from the sea, and ordered them to remain on the high grounds on which he placed them till the enemy should approach; he placed his main battle and his rear towards the right; and beyond the van he posted Lord Grey at the head of the men-at-arms, and ordered him to take the Scottish van in flank, when they should be engaged in close fight with the van of the English.

While the Scots were advancing on the plain, they were galled with the artillery from the English ships; the eldest son of Lord Graham was killed; the Irish archers were thrown into disorder; and even the other troops began to stagger; when Lord Grey, perceiving their situation, neglected his orders, left his ground, and, at the head of his heavy-armed horse, made an attack on the Scottish infantry, in hopes of gaining all the honour of the victory. On advancing, he found a slough and ditch in his way, and behind were ranged the enemy armed with spears, and the field on which they stood was fallow ground, broken with ridges, which lay across their front, and disordered the movements of the English cavalry. From all these accidents the shock of this body of horse was feeble and irregular; and as they were received on the points of the Scottish spears, which were longer than the lances of the English horsemen, they were in a moment pierced, overthrown, and discomfited. Grey himself was dangerously wounded; Lord Edward Seymour, son of the protector, had his horse killed under him; the standard was near being taken; and had the Scots possessed any good body of cavalry who could have pursued the advantage, the whole English army had been exposed to great danger (Patten; Holingshed, p. 986).

The protector meanwhile, assisted by Sir Ralph Sadler and Sir Ralph Vane, employed himself with diligence and success in rallying the cavalry. Warwick showed great presence of mind in maintaining the ranks of the foot, on which the horse had recoiled; he made Sir Peter Meutas advance, captain of the foot harquebusiers, and Sir Peter Gamboa, captain of some Italian and Spanish harquebusiers on horseback; and ordered them to ply the Scottish infantry with their shot. They marched to the slough, and discharged their pieces full in the face of the enemy; the ships galled them from the flank; the artillery,

planted on a height, infested them from the front; the English archers poured in a shower of arrows upon them; and the vanguard, descending from the hill, advanced leisurely and in good order towards them. Dismayed with all these circumstances, the Scottish van began to retreat; the retreat soon changed into a flight, which was begun by the Irish archers. The panic of the van communicated itself to the main body, and passing thence to the rear, rendered the whole field a scene of confusion, terror, flight, and consternation. The English army perceived from the heights the condition of the Scots, and began the pursuit with loud shouts and acclamations, which added still more to the dismay of the vanquished. The horse in particular, eager to revenge the affront which they had received in the beginning of the day, did the most bloody execution on the flying enemy; and from the field of battle to Edinburgh, for the space of five miles, the whole ground was strewn with dead bodies. The priests above all, and the monks, received no quarter; and the English made sport of slaughtering men who, from their extreme zeal and animosity, had engaged in an enterprise so ill befitting their profession. Few victories have been more decisive, or gained with smaller loss to the conquerors. There fell not 200 of the English; and, according to the most moderate computation, there perished above 10,000 of the Scots. About 1500 were taken prisoners. This action was called the battle of Pinkie, from a nobleman's seat of that name in the neighbourhood.

The queen-dowager and Arran fled to Stirling, and were scarcely able to collect such a body of forces as could check the incursions of small parties of the English. About the same time, the Earl of Lennox and Lord Wharton entered the West Marches at the head of 5000 men, and after taking and plundering Annan, they spread devastation over all the neighbouring counties (Holingshed, p. 992). Had Somerset prosecuted his advantages, he might have imposed what terms he pleased on the Scottish nation; but he was impatient to return to England, where, he heard, some councillors, and even his own brother, the admiral, were carrying on cabals against his authority. Having taken the castles of Hume, Dunglass, Eyemouth, Fastcastle, Roxburgh, and some other small places, and having received the submission of some counties on the borders, he retired from Scotland. The fleet, besides destroying all the shipping along the coast, took Broughty in the Frith of Tay; and having fortified it, they there left a garrison. Arran desired leave to send commissioners in order to treat of a peace; and Somerset, having appointed Berwick for the place of conference, left Warwick with full powers to negotiate; but no commissioners from Scotland ever appeared. The overture of the Scots was an artifice to gain time till succours should arrive from France.

The protector, on his arrival in England, summoned (Nov. 4) a parliament; and being somewhat elated with his success against the Scots, he procured from his nephew a patent, appointing him to sit on the throne, upon a stool or bench at the right hand of the king, and to enjoy the same honours and privileges that had usually been possessed by any prince of the blood, or uncle of the kings of England. In this patent the king employed his dispensing power by setting aside the statute of precedency, enacted during the former reign (Rymer, vol. xv.

p. 164). But if Somerset gave offence by assuming too much state, he deserves great praise on account of the laws passed this session, by which the rigour of former statutes was much mitigated, and some security given to the freedom of the constitution. All laws were repealed which extended the crime of treason beyond the statute of the twenty-fifth of Edward III. (1 Edw. VI., c. 12); all laws enacted during the late reign, extending the crime of felony; all the former laws against Lollardy or heresy, together with the statute of the six articles. None were to be accused for words but within a month after they were spoken. By these repeals several of the most rigorous laws that ever had passed in England were annulled; and some dawn, both of civil and religious liberty, began to appear to the people. Heresy, however, was still a capital crime by the common law, and was subjected to the penalty of burning. Only there remained no precise standard by which that crime could be defined or determined: a circumstance which might either be advantageous or hurtful to public security, according to the disposition of the judges.

A repeal also passed of that law, the destruction of all laws, by which the king's proclamation was made of equal force with a statute (1 Edw. VI., c. 2). That other law likewise was mitigated, by which the king was empowered to annul every statute passed before the four and twentieth year of his age; he could prevent their future execution, but could not recall any past effects which had ensued (*Ibid.*).

It was also enacted, that all who denied the king's supremacy, or asserted the Pope's, should, for the first offence, forfeit their goods and chattels, and suffer imprisonment during pleasure; for the second offence, should incur the penalty of a premunire; and for the third, be attainted of treason. But if any, after the first of March ensuing, endeavoured by writing, printing, or any overt act or deed, to deprive the king of his estate or titles, particularly of his supremacy, or to confer them on any other, he was to be adjudged guilty of treason. If any of the heirs of the crown should usurp upon another, or endeavour to break the order of succession, it was declared (*Heylin*, p. 48) treason in them, their aiders, and abettors. These were the most considerable acts passed during this session. The members in general discovered a very passive disposition with regard to religion; some few appeared zealous for the reformation; others secretly harboured a strong propensity to the catholic faith; but the greater part appeared willing to take any impression which they should receive from interest, authority, or the reigning fashion.

The convocation met at the same time with the parliament; and as it was found that their debates were at first cramped by the rigorous statute of the six articles, the king granted them a dispensation from that law, before it was repealed by parliament (*Antiq. Brit.*, p. 339). The lower house of convocation applied to have liberty of sitting with the Commons in parliament; or if this privilege were refused them, which they claimed as their ancient right, they desired that no law regarding religion might pass in parliament without their consent and approbation. But the principles which now prevailed were more favourable to the civil than to the ecclesiastical power; and this demand of the convocation was rejected.

The protector had (A.D. 1548) assented to the repeal of that law which gave to the king's proclamations the authority of statutes; but he did not intend to renounce that arbitrary or discretionary exercise of power in issuing proclamations, which had ever been assumed by the crown, and which it is difficult to distinguish exactly from a full legislative power. He even continued to exert this authority in some particulars, which were then regarded as the most momentous. Orders were issued by council, that candles should no longer be carried about on Candlemas Day, ashes on Ash Wednesday, palms on Palm Sunday (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 59; Collier, vol. ii., p. 241; Heylin, p. 55). These were ancient religious practices, now termed superstitions; though it is fortunate for mankind when superstition happens to take a direction so innocent and inoffensive. The severe disposition, which naturally attends all reformers, prompted likewise the council to abolish some gay and showy ceremonies which belonged to the ancient religion (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 64).

An order was also issued by council for the removal of all images from the churches: an innovation which was much desired by the reformers, and which alone, with regard to the populace, amounted almost to a total change of the established religion (*Ibid.*, vol. ii., p. 60). An attempt had been made to separate the use of images from their abuse, the reverence from the worship of them; but the execution of this design was found, upon trial, very difficult, if not wholly impracticable.

As private masses were established by law, it became necessary to compose a new communion service; and the council went so far, in the preface which they prefixed to this work, as to leave the practice of auricular confession wholly indifferent (*Ibid.*, vol. ii.). This was a prelude to the entire abolition of that invention, one of the most powerful engines that ever was contrived for degrading the laity, and giving their spiritual guides an entire ascendant over them. And it may justly be said that, though the priest's absolution, which attends confession, serves sometimes to ease weak minds from the immediate agonies of superstitious terror, it operates only by enforcing superstition itself, and thereby preparing the mind for a more violent relapse into the same disorders.

The people were at that time extremely distracted by the opposite opinions of their preachers; and as they were totally unable to judge of the reasons advanced on either side, and naturally regarded everything which they heard at church as of equal authority, a great confusion and fluctuation resulted from this uncertainty. The council had first endeavoured to remedy the inconvenience by laying some restraints on preaching; but finding this expedient ineffectual, they imposed a total silence on the preachers, and thereby put an end at once to all the polemics of the pulpit (Fuller; Heylin; Burnet). By the nature of things, this restraint could only be temporary; for in proportion as the ceremonies of public worship, its shows and exterior observances, were retrenched by the reformers, the people were inclined to contract a stronger attachment to sermons, whence alone they received any occupation or amusement. The ancient religion, by giving its votaries something to do, freed them from the trouble of

thinking; sermons were delivered only in the principal churches, and at some particular fasts and festivals; and the practice of haranguing the populace, which, if abused, is so powerful an incitement to faction and sedition, had much less scope and influence during those ages.

The greater progress was made towards a reformation in England, the farther did the protector find himself from all prospect of completing the union with Scotland; and the queen-dowager, as well as the clergy, became the more averse to all alliance with a nation which had so far departed from all ancient principles. Somerset, having taken the town of Haddington, had ordered it to be strongly garrisoned and fortified by Lord Grey; he also erected some fortifications at Lauder; and he hoped that these two places, together with Broughty and some smaller fortresses, which were in the hands of the English, would serve as a curb on Scotland, and would give him access into the heart of the country.

Arran, being disappointed in some attempts on Broughty, relied chiefly on the succours expected from France for the recovery of these places; and they arrived at last in the Frith, to the number of 6000 men, half of them Germans. They were commanded by Dessé, and under him by Anselot, Strozzi, Meilleraye, and Count Rhingrave. The Scots were at that time so sunk by their misfortunes, that 500 English horse were able to ravage the whole country without resistance, and make inroads to the gates of the capital (Beagué, *Hist. of the Campagnes*, 1548 and 1549, p. 6). But on the appearance of the French succours they collected more courage, and having joined Dessé with a considerable reinforcement, they laid siege to Haddington (Hollingshed, p. 993). This was an undertaking for which they were by themselves totally unfit; and, even with the assistance of the French, they placed their chief hopes of success in starving the garrison. After some vain attempts to take the place by a regular siege, the blockade was formed, and the garrison was repulsed with loss in several sallies which they made upon the besiegers.

The hostile attempts which the late king and the protector had made against Scotland, not being steady, regular, nor pushed to the last extremity, had served only to irritate the nation, and to inspire them with the strongest aversion to that union, which was courted in so violent a manner. Even those who were inclined to the English alliance were displeased to have it imposed on them by force of arms, and the Earl of Huntly in particular said pleasantly that he disliked not the match, but he hated the manner of wooing (Heylin, p. 46; Patten). The queen-dowager, finding these sentiments to prevail, called a parliament in an abbey near Haddington, and it was there proposed that the young queen, for her greater security, should be sent to France, and be committed to the custody of that ancient ally. Some objected that this measure was desperate, allowed no resource in case of miscarriage, exposed the Scots to be subjected by foreigners, involved them in perpetual war with England, and left them no expedient, by which they could conciliate the friendship of that powerful nation. It was answered, on the other hand, that the queen's presence was the very cause of war with England; that that nation would desist when they found that their views of forcing a marriage had become

altogether impracticable; and that Henry, being engaged by so high a mark of confidence, would take their sovereign under his protection, and use his utmost efforts to defend the kingdom. These arguments were aided by French gold, which was plentifully distributed among the nobles. The governor had a pension conferred on him of 12,000 livres a year, received the title of the Duke of Chatelrault, and obtained for his son the command of a hundred men-at-arms.¹ And as the clergy dreaded the consequence of the English alliance, they seconded this measure with all the zeal and industry which either principle or interest could inspire. It was accordingly determined to send the queen to France; and what was understood to be the necessary consequence, to marry her to the dauphin. Villegaignon, commander of four French galleys lying in the Frith of Forth, set sail as if he intended to return home; but when he reached the open sea he turned northwards, passed by the Orkneys, and came in on the west coast at Dumbarton; an extraordinary voyage for ships of that fabric (Thuanus, lib. v., c. 15). The young queen was there committed to him; and being attended by the Lords Erskine and Livingstone, she put to sea, and after meeting with some tempestuous weather, arrived safely at Brest, whence she was conducted to Paris, and soon after she was betrothed to the dauphin.

Somerset, pressed by many difficulties at home, and despairing of success in his enterprise against Scotland, was desirous of composing the differences with that kingdom, and he offered the Scots a ten years' truce; but as they insisted on his restoring all the places which he had taken, the proposal came to nothing. The Scots recovered the fortresses of Hume and Fastcastle by surprise, and put the garrisons to the sword; they repulsed, with loss, the English, who, under the command of Lord Seymour, made a descent, first in Fife, then at Montrose; in the former action James Stuart, natural brother to the queen, acquired honour; in the latter, Erskine of Dun. An attempt was made by Sir Robert Bowes and Sir Thomas Palmer, at the head of a considerable body, to throw relief into Haddington; but these troops, falling into an ambuscade, were almost wholly cut in pieces (Stow, p. 595; Holingshed, p. 994). And though a small body of 200 men escaped all the vigilance of the French, and arrived safely in Haddington, with some ammunition and provisions, the garrison was reduced to such difficulties that the protector found it necessary to provide more effectually for their relief. He raised an army of 18,000 men, and adding 3000 Germans, who, on the dissolution of the Protestant alliance, had offered their service to England, he gave the command of the whole to the Earl of Shrewsbury (Hayward, p. 291). Dessé raised the blockade on the approach of the English, and with great difficulty made good his retreat to Edinburgh, where he posted himself advantageously. Shrewsbury, who had lost the opportunity of attacking him on his march, durst not give him battle in his present situation, and contenting himself with the advantage already gained, of supplying Haddington, he retired into England.

Though the protection of France was of great consequence to the Scots, in supporting them against the invasions of England, they reaped

¹ Burnet, vol. ii., p. 83; Buchanan, lib. xv.: Keith, p. 55; Thuanus, lib. v., c. 15.

still more benefit from the distractions and divisions which had crept into the counsels of this latter kingdom. Even the two brothers, the protector and admiral, not content with the high stations which they severally enjoyed, and the great eminence to which they had risen, had entertained the most violent jealousy of each other; and they divided the whole court and kingdom, by their opposite cabals and pretensions. Lord Seymour was a man of insatiable ambition; arrogant, assuming, implacable; and though esteemed of superior capacity to the protector, he possessed not to the same degree the confidence and regard of the people. By his flattery and address, he had so insinuated himself into the good graces of the queen-dowager, that, forgetting her usual prudence and decency, she married him immediately upon the demise of the late king. Insomuch that, had she soon proved pregnant, it might have been doubtful to which husband the child belonged. The credit and riches of this alliance supported the ambition of the admiral; but gave umbrage to the Duchess of Somerset, who, uneasy that the younger brother's wife should have the precedency, employed all her credit with her husband, which was too great, first to create, then to widen, the breach between the two brothers.¹

The first symptoms of this misunderstanding appeared when the protector commanded the army in Scotland. Secretary Paget, a man devoted to Somerset, remarked, that Seymour was forming separate intrigues among the counsellors; was corrupting by presents the king's servants; and even endeavouring, by improper indulgences and liberalities, to captivate the affections of the young monarch. Paget represented to him the danger of his conduct; desired him to reflect on the numerous enemies whom the sudden elevation of their family had created; and warned him, that any dissension between him and the protector would be greedily laid hold of to effect the ruin of both. Finding his remonstrances neglected, he conveyed intelligence of the danger to Somerset, and engaged him to leave the enterprise upon Scotland unfinished, in order to guard against the attempts of his domestic enemies. In the ensuing parliament, the admiral's projects appeared still more dangerous to public tranquillity; and as he had acquired many partisans, he made a direct attack upon his brother's authority. He represented to his friends, that formerly, during a minority, the office of protector of the kingdom had been kept separate from that of governor of the king's person; and that the present union of these two important trusts conferred on Somerset an authority, which could not safely be lodged in any subject (Haynes, pp. 82, 90). The young king was even prevailed on to write a letter to the parliament, desiring that Seymour might be appointed his governor; and that nobleman had formed a party in the two houses, by which he hoped to effect his purpose. The design was discovered before its execution; and some common friends were sent to remonstrate with him; but had so little influence, that he threw out many menacing expressions, and rashly threatened, that if he were thwarted in his attempt he would make this parliament the blackest that ever sat in England (Ibid., p. 75). The council sent for him, to answer for his conduct; but he refused to attend. They then began to threaten in their turn, and in-

¹ Hayward, p. 301; Heylin, p. 72; Camden; Thuanus, lib. vi., c. 5; Haynes, p. 69.

formed him that the king's letter, instead of availing him anything to the execution of his views, would be imputed to him as a criminal enterprise, and be construed as a design to disturb the government, by forming a separate interest with a child and minor. They even let fall some menaces of sending him to the Tower for his temerity; and the admiral, finding himself prevented in his design, was obliged to submit, and to desire a reconciliation with his brother.

The mild and moderate temper of Somerset made him willing to forget these enterprises of the admiral; but the ambition of that turbulent spirit could not be so easily appeased. His spouse, the queen-dowager, died in childbed; but so far from regarding this event as a check to his aspiring views, he founded on it the schemes of a more extraordinary elevation. He made his addresses to the Lady Elizabeth, then in the sixteenth year of her age; and that princess, whom even the hurry of business, and the pursuit of ambition, could not, in her more advanced years, disengage entirely from the tender passions, seems to have listened to the insinuations of a man, who possessed every talent proper to captivate the affections of the fair (Haynes, pp. 95, 96, 102, 108). But as Henry VIII. had excluded his daughters from all hopes of succession, if they married without the consent of his executors, which Seymour could never hope to obtain, it was concluded that he meant to effect his purpose by expedients still more rash and more criminal. All the other measures of the admiral tended to confirm this suspicion. He continued to attach, by presents, the fidelity of those who had more immediate access to the king's person. He endeavoured to seduce the young prince into his interests; he found means of holding private correspondence with him; he openly decried his brother's administration; and asserted that, by enlisting Germans and other foreigners, he intended to form a mercenary army, which might endanger the king's authority, and the liberty of the people. By promises and persuasion he brought over to his party many of the principal nobility; and had extended his interest all over England. He neglected not even the most popular persons of inferior rank; and had computed, that he could, on occasion, muster an army of 10,000 men, composed of his servants, tenants, and retainers (Ibid., pp. 105, 106). He had already provided arms for their use; and having engaged in his interests Sir John Sharrington, a corrupt man, master of the mint at Bristol, he flattered himself that money would not be wanting. Somerset was well apprised of all these alarming circumstances, and endeavoured, by the most friendly expedients, by entreaty, reason, and even by heaping new favours upon the admiral, to make him desist from his dangerous counsels. But finding all endeavours ineffectual, he began to think of more severe remedies. The Earl of Warwick was an ill instrument between the brothers; and had formed the design, by inflaming the quarrel, to raise his own fortune on the ruins of both.

Dudley, Earl of Warwick, was the son of that Dudley, minister to Henry VII., who having, by rapine, extortion, and perversion of law, incurred the hatred of the public, had been sacrificed to popular animosity, in the beginning of the subsequent reign. The late king, sensible of the iniquity, at least illegality, of the sentence, had afterwards restored young Dudley's blood, by act of parliament; and finding him

endowed with abilities, industry, and activity, he had entrusted him with many important commands, and had ever found him successful in his undertakings. He raised him to the dignity of Viscount Lisle, conferred on him the office of admiral, and gave him by his will a place among his executors. Dudley made still farther progress during the minority; and having obtained the title of Earl of Warwick, and undermined the credit of Southampton, he bore the chief rank among the protector's counsellors. The victory gained at Pinkie was much ascribed to his courage and conduct; and he was universally regarded as a man equally endowed with the talents of peace and of war. But all these virtues were obscured by still greater vices; an exorbitant ambition, an insatiable avarice, a neglect of decency, a contempt of justice. And as he found that Lord Seymour, whose abilities and enterprising spirit he chiefly dreaded, was involving himself in ruin by his rash counsels, he was determined to push him on the precipice, and remove the chief obstacle to his own projected greatness.

When Somerset found that the public peace was endangered by his brother's seditious, not to say rebellious schemes, he was the more easily persuaded by Warwick to employ the extent of royal authority against him; and, after depriving him of the office of admiral, he signed a warrant for committing him to the Tower. Some of his accomplices were also taken into custody; and three privy-councillors being sent to examine them, made a report, that they had met with very full and important discoveries. Yet still the protector suspended the blow, and showed a reluctance to ruin his brother. He offered to desist from the prosecution, if Seymour would promise him a cordial reconciliation; and, renouncing all ambitious hopes, be contented with a private life, and retire into the country. But as Seymour made no other answer to these friendly offers than menaces and defiance, he ordered a charge to be drawn up against him, consisting of thirty-three articles (Burnet, vol. ii. Col. 31; 2 and 3 Edw. VI. c. 18); and the whole to be laid before the privy-council. It is pretended, that every particular was so incontestably proved, both by witnesses and his own handwriting, that there was no room for doubt; yet did the council think proper to go in a body to the Tower, in order more fully to examine the prisoner. He was not daunted by the appearance; he boldly demanded a fair trial; required to be confronted with the witnesses; desired that the charge might be left with him, in order to be considered; and refused to answer any interrogatories, by which he might accuse himself.

It is apparent that, notwithstanding what is pretended, there must have been some deficiency in the evidence against Seymour, when such demands, founded on the plainest principles of law and equity, were absolutely rejected. We shall indeed conclude, if we carefully examine the charge, that many of the articles were general, and scarcely capable of any proof; many of them, if true, susceptible of a more favourable interpretation; and that though, on the whole, Seymour appears to have been a dangerous subject, he had not advanced far in those treasonable projects imputed to him. The chief part of his actual guilt seems to have consisted in some unwarrantable practices in the admiralty, by which pirates were protected, and illegal impositions laid upon the merchants.

But the administration had, at that time, an easy instrument of vengeance, to wit, the parliament; and needed not to give themselves any concern with regard either to the guilt of the persons whom they prosecuted, or the evidence which could be produced against them. A session of parliament (A.D. 1548, Nov. 4) being held, it was resolved to proceed against Seymour by bill of attainder; and the young king being induced, after much solicitation, to give his consent to it, a considerable weight was put on his approbation. The matter was first laid before the upper house; and several peers, rising up in their places, gave an account of what they knew concerning Lord Seymour's conduct, and his criminal words or actions. These narratives were received as undoubted evidence; and though the prisoner had formerly engaged many friends and partisans among the nobility, no one had either the courage or equity to move that he might be heard in his defence, that the testimony against him should be delivered in a legal manner, and that he should be confronted with the witnesses. A little more scruple was made in the House of Commons; there were even some members who objected against the whole method of proceeding by bill of attainder passed in absence; and insisted that a formal trial should be given to every man before his condemnation. But when a message was sent (A.D. 1549, March 20) by the king, enjoining the house to proceed, and offering that the same narratives should be laid before them which had satisfied the peers, they were easily prevailed on to acquiesce (2 and 3 Edw. VI., c. 18). The bill passed in a full house. Near four hundred voted for it; not above nine or ten against it (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 99). The sentence was soon after executed, and the prisoner was beheaded on Tower Hill. The warrant was signed by Somerset, who was exposed to much blame, on account of the violence of these proceedings. The attempts of the admiral seem chiefly to have been levelled against his brother's usurped authority; and though his ambitious, enterprising character, encouraged by a marriage with the Lady Elizabeth, might have endangered the public tranquillity, the prudence of foreseeing evils at such a distance was deemed too great, and the remedy was plainly illegal. It could only be said, that this bill of attainder was somewhat more tolerable than the preceding ones, to which the nation had been inured; for here, at least, some shadow of evidence was produced.

All the considerable business transacted this session, besides the attainder of Lord Seymour, regarded ecclesiastical affairs; which were now the chief object of attention throughout the nation. A committee of bishops and divines had been appointed by the council to compose a liturgy; and they had executed the work committed to them. They proceeded with moderation in this delicate undertaking; they retained as much of the ancient mass as the principles of the reformers would permit; they indulged nothing to the spirit of contradiction, which so naturally takes place in all great innovations; and they flattered themselves, that they had established a service, in which every denomination of Christians might, without scruple, concur. The mass had always been celebrated in Latin; a practice which might have been deemed absurd, had it not been found useful to the clergy, by impressing the people with an idea of some mysterious unknown virtue in those rites,

and by checking all their pretensions to be familiarly acquainted with their religion. But as the reformers pretended, in some few particulars, to encourage private judgment in the laity, the translation of the liturgy, as well as of the Scriptures, into the vulgar tongue, seemed more conformable to the genius of their sect; and this innovation, with the retrenching of prayers to saints, and of some superstitious ceremonies, was the chief difference between the old mass and the new liturgy. The parliament established this form of worship in all the churches, and ordained a uniformity to be observed in all the rites and ceremonies (2 and 3 Edw. VI., c. 1).

There was another material act which passed this session. The former canons had established the celibacy of the clergy; and though this practice is usually ascribed to the policy of the court of Rome, who thought that the ecclesiastics would be more devoted to their spiritual head, and less dependent on the civil magistrate, when freed from the powerful tie of wives and children; yet was this institution much forwarded by the principles of superstition inherent in human nature. These principles had rendered the panegyrics on an inviolate chastity so frequent among the ancient fathers, long before the establishment of celibacy. And even this parliament, though they enacted a law, permitting the marriage of priests, yet confess, in the preamble, 'that it were better for priests and the ministers of the church to live chaste and without marriage, and it were much to be wished they would of themselves abstain.' The inconveniences which had arisen from the compelling of chastity and the prohibiting of marriage, are the reasons assigned for indulging a liberty in this particular (*Ibid.*, cap. 21). The ideas of penance also were so much retained in other particulars, that an act of parliament passed, forbidding the use of flesh-meat during Lent and other times of abstinence.¹

The principal tenets and practices of the catholic religion were now abolished, and the reformation, such as it is enjoyed at present, was almost entirely completed in England. But the doctrine of the real presence, though tacitly condemned by the new communion-service

¹ Another act, passed this session, takes notice in the preamble, that the city of York, formerly well inhabited, was now much decayed; insomuch that many of the cures could not afford a competent maintenance to the incumbents. To remedy this inconvenience, the magistrates were empowered to unite as many parishes as they thought proper. An ecclesiastical historian, Collier, vol. ii., p. 230, thinks that this decay of York is chiefly to be ascribed to the dissolution of monasteries, by which the revenues fell into the hands of persons who lived at a distance.

A very grievous tax was imposed this session upon the whole stock and moneyed interest of the kingdom, and even upon its industry. It was 1s. in the 1*l*. yearly, during three years, on every person worth 10*l*. or upwards. The double on aliens and denizens. These last, if above twelve years of age, and if worth less than 20s., were to pay 8*d*. yearly. Every wether was to pay 2*d*. yearly; every ewe 3*d*. The woollen manufacturers were to pay 8*d*. a pound on the value of all the cloth they made. These exorbitant taxes on money are a proof that few people lived on money lent at interest. For this tax amounts to half of the yearly income of all money-holders, during three years, estimating their interest at the rate allowed by law; and was too grievous to be borne, if many persons had been affected by it. It is remarkable that no tax at all was laid upon land this session. The profits of merchandise were commonly so high, that it was supposed it could bear this imposition. The most absurd part of the laws seems to be the tax upon the woollen manufacturers. 2 and 3 Edw. VI., cap. 36. The subsequent parliament repealed the tax on sheep and woollen cloth. 3 and 4 Edw. VI., cap. 23. But they continued the other tax a year longer. *Ibid.*

The clergy taxed themselves at 6s. in the 1*l*. to be paid in three years. This taxation was ratified in parliament, which had been the common practice since the reformation, implying that the clergy have no legislative power, even over themselves. 2 and 3 Edw. VI., cap. 35.

and by the abolition of many ancient rites, still retained some hold on the minds of men; and it was the last doctrine of popery that was wholly abandoned by the people (Burnet, vol. ii., cap. 104). The great attachment of the late king to that tenet might, in part, be the ground of this obstinacy; but the chief cause was really the extreme absurdity of the principle itself, and the profound veneration which of course it impressed on the imagination. The priests likewise were much inclined to favour an opinion, which attributed to them so miraculous a power; and the people, who believed that they participated of the very body and blood of their Saviour, were loth to renounce so extraordinary, and as they imagined, so salutary a privilege. The general attachment to this dogma was so violent, that the Lutherans, notwithstanding their separation from Rome, had thought proper, under another name, still to retain it; and the catholic preachers in England, when restrained in all other particulars, could not forbear, on every occasion, inculcating that tenet. Bonner, for this offence among others, had been tried by the council, had been deprived of his see, and had been committed to custody. Gardiner also, who had recovered his liberty, appeared anew refractory to the authority which established the late innovations; and he seemed willing to countenance that opinion, much favoured by all the English catholics, that the king was indeed supreme head of the church, but not the council, during a minority. Having declined to give full satisfaction on this head, he was sent to the Tower, and threatened with farther effects of the council's displeasure.

These severities, being exercised on men possessed of office and authority, seemed, in that age, a necessary policy, in order to enforce a uniformity in public worship and discipline; but there were other instances of persecution, derived from no origin but the bigotry of theologians; a malady which seems almost incurable. Though the protestant divines had ventured to renounce opinions deemed certain during many ages, they regarded, in their turn, the new system as so certain, that they would suffer no contradiction with regard to it; and they were ready to burn, in the same flames from which they themselves had so narrowly escaped, every one that had the assurance to differ from them. A commission by act of council was granted to the primate and some others, to examine and search after all anabaptists, heretics, or contemners of the book of common prayer (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 3; Rymer, tom. xv., p. 181). The commissioners were enjoined to reclaim them if possible; to impose penance on them; and to give them absolution; or if these criminals were obstinate, to excommunicate and imprison them, and to deliver them over to the secular arm; and in the execution of this charge, they were not bound to observe the ordinary methods of trial; the forms of law were dispensed with; and if any statutes happened to interfere with the powers in the commission, they were overruled and abrogated by the council. Some tradesmen in London were brought before these commissioners, and were accused of maintaining, among other opinions, that a man regenerate could not sin, and that, though the outward man might offend, the inward was incapable of all guilt. They were prevailed on to abjure, and were dismissed. But there was a woman accused of heretical pravity, called Jean Bocher, or Joan of Kent who was so pertinacious, that the com-

missioners could make no impression upon her. Her doctrine was, 'That Christ was not truly incarnate of the Virgin, whose flesh, being 'the outward man, was sinfully begotten, and born in sin; and consequently, He could take none of it; but the Word, by the consent of the 'inward man of the Virgin, was made flesh' (Burnet, vol. ii., coll. 35; Strype's Mem. Cranm., p. 181). This opinion, it would seem, is not orthodox; and there was a necessity for delivering the woman to the flames for maintaining it. But the young king, though in such tender years, had more sense than all his counsellors and preceptors; and he long refused to sign the warrant for her execution. Cranmer was employed to persuade him to compliance; and he said, that there was a great difference between errors in other points of divinity, and those which were in direct contradiction to the apostles' creed; these latter were impieties against God, which the prince, being God's deputy, ought to repress; in like manner, as inferior magistrates were bound to punish offences against the king's person. Edward, overcome by importunity, at last submitted, though with tears in his eyes; and he told Cranmer, that, if any wrong were done, the guilt should lie entirely on his head. The primate, after making a new effort to reclaim the woman from her errors, and finding her obstinate against all his arguments, at last committed her to the flames. Some time after, a Dutchman, called Van Paris, accused of the heresy which has received the name of Arianism, was condemned to the same punishment. He suffered with so much satisfaction, that he hugged and caressed the faggots that were consuming him; a species of frenzy, of which there is more than one instance among the martyrs of that age (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 112; Strype's Mem. Cranm., p. 181).

These rigorous methods of proceeding soon brought the whole nation to a conformity, seeming or real, with the new doctrine and the new liturgy. The Lady Mary alone continued to adhere to the mass, and refused to admit the established modes of worship. When pressed and menaced on this head, she applied to the emperor; who using his interest with Sir Philip Hobby, the English ambassador, procured her a temporary connivance from the council (Heylin, p. 102).

CHAPTER XXXV.

Discontents of the people.—Insurrections.—Conduct of the war with Scotland.—With France.—Factions in the council.—Conspiracy against Somerset.—Somerset resigns the protectorship.—A parliament.—Peace with France and Scotland.—Boulogne surrendered.—Persecution of Gardiner.—Warwick created Duke of Northumberland.—His ambition.—Trial of Somerset.—His execution.—A parliament.—A new parliament.—Succession changed.—The king's sickness—and death.

THERE is no abuse so great in civil society, as not to be attended with a variety of beneficial consequences; and in the beginnings of reformation, the loss of these advantages is always felt very sensibly, while

the benefit resulting from the change is the slow effect of time, and is seldom perceived by the bulk of a nation. Scarce any institution can be imagined less favourable, in the main, to the interests of mankind than that of monks and friars; yet was it followed by many good effects, which, having ceased by the suppression of monasteries, were much regretted by the people of England. The monks always residing in their convents, in the centre of their estates, spent their money in the provinces and among their tenants, afforded a ready market for commodities, were a sure resource to the poor and indigent; and though their hospitality and charity gave but too much encouragement to idleness, and prevented the increase of public riches, yet did it provide to many a relief from the extreme pressures of want and necessity. It is also observable, that, as the friars were limited by the rules of their institution to a certain mode of living, they had not equal motives for extortion with other men; and they were acknowledged to have been in England as they still are in Roman Catholic countries, the best and most indulgent landlords. The abbots and priors were permitted to give leases at an under value, and to receive in return a large present from the tenant, in the same manner as is still practised by the bishops and colleges. But when the abbey lands were distributed among the principal nobility and courtiers, they fell under a different management: the rents of farms were raised, while the tenants found not the same facility in disposing of the produce; the money was often spent in the capital; and the farmers living at a distance were exposed to oppression from their new masters, or to the still greater rapacity of the stewards.

These grievances of the common people were at that time heightened by other causes. The arts of manufacture were much more advanced in other European countries than in England; and even in England these arts had made greater progress than the knowledge of agriculture; a profession which, of all mechanical employments, requires the most reflection and experience. A great demand arose for wool both abroad and at home: pasturage was found more profitable than unskilful tillage; whole estates were laid waste by inclosures; the tenants, regarded as a useless burden, were expelled their habitations; even the cottagers, deprived of the commons on which they formerly fed their cattle, were reduced to misery; and a decay of people, as well as a diminution of the former plenty, was remarked in the kingdom (Strype, vol. ii.; Repository Q). This grievance was now of an old date; and Sir Thomas More, alluding to it, observes in his *Utopia*, that a sheep had become in England a more ravenous animal than a lion or wolf, and devoured whole villages, cities, and provinces.

The general increase also of gold and silver in Europe, after the discovery of the West Indies, had a tendency to inflame these complaints. The growing demand in the more commercial countries had heightened everywhere the price of commodities, which could easily be transported thither; but in England the labour of men who could not so easily change their habitation, still remained nearly at the ancient rates, and the poor complained that they could no longer gain a subsistence by their industry. It was by an addition alone of toil and application they were enabled to procure a maintenance; and

though this increase of industry was at last the effect of the present situation, and an effect beneficial to society, yet was it difficult for the people to shake off their former habits of indolence, and nothing but necessity could compel them to such an exertion of their faculties.

It must also be remarked, that the profusion of Henry VIII. had reduced him, notwithstanding his rapacity, to such difficulties, that he had been obliged to remedy a present necessity by the pernicious expedient of debasing the coin; and the wars, in which the protector had been involved, had induced him to carry still farther the same abuse. The usual consequences ensued; the good specie was hoarded or exported; base metal was coined at home, or imported from abroad in great abundance; the common people, who received their wages in it, could not purchase commodities at the usual rates; a universal diffidence and stagnation of commerce took place; and loud complaints were heard in every part of England.

The protector, who loved popularity, and pitied the condition of the people, encouraged these complaints by his endeavours to redress them. He appointed a commission for making inquiry concerning inclosures; and issued a proclamation, ordering all late inclosures to be laid open by a day appointed. The populace, meeting with such countenance from government, began to rise in several places, and to commit disorders, but were quieted by remonstrances and persuasion. In order to give them greater satisfaction, Somerset appointed new commissioners, whom he sent everywhere with an unlimited power to hear and determine all causes about inclosures, highways, and cottages (Burnet, vol. ii, p. 115; Strype, vol. ii, p. 171). As this commission was disagreeable to the gentry and nobility, they stigmatized it as arbitrary and illegal; and the common people, fearing it would be eluded, and being impatient for immediate redress, could no longer contain their fury, but sought for a remedy by force of arms. The rising began at once in several parts of England, as if an universal conspiracy had been formed by the commonalty. The rebels in Wiltshire were dispersed by Sir William Herbert: those in the neighbouring counties, Oxford and Gloucester, by Lord Gray, of Wilton. Many of the rioters were killed in the field; others were executed by martial law. The commotions in Hampshire, Sussex, Kent, and other counties, were quieted by gentler expedients; but the disorders in Devonshire and Norfolk threatened more dangerous consequences.

The commonalty in Devonshire began with the usual complaints against inclosures and against oppressions from the gentry; but the parish priest of Sampford-Courtenay had the address to give their discontent a direction towards religion; and the delicacy of the subject, in the present emergency, made the insurrection immediately appear formidable. In other counties the gentry had kept closely united with government; but here many of them took part with the populace; among others, Humphrey Arundel, Governor of St. Michael's Mount. The rioters were brought into the form of a regular army, which amounted to the number of 10,000. Lord Russell had been sent against them at the head of a small force; but finding himself too weak to encounter them in the field, he kept at a distance, and began to negotiate with them, in hopes of eluding their fury by delay, and of

dispersing them by the difficulty of their subsisting in a body. Their demands were, that the mass should be restored, half of the abbey lands resumed, the law of the six articles executed, holy water and holy bread respected, and all other particular grievances redressed.¹ The council, to whom Russell transmitted these demands, sent a haughty answer, commanded the rebels to disperse, and promised them pardon upon their immediate submission. Enraged at this disappointment, they marched to Exeter, carrying before them crosses, banners, holy water, candlesticks, and other implements of ancient superstition; together with the host, which they covered with a canopy (Heylin, p. 76). The citizens of Exeter shut their gates; and the rebels, as they had no cannon, endeavoured to take the place, first by scalade, then by mining, but were repulsed in every attempt. Russell meanwhile lay at Honiton, till reinforced by Sir William Herbert and Lord Gray, with some German horse, and some Italian arquebusiers under Battista Spinola. He then resolved to attempt the relief of Exeter, which was now reduced to extremities. He attacked the rebels, drove them from all their posts, did great execution upon them both in the action and pursuit (Stow's Annals, p. 597; Hayward, p. 295), and took many prisoners. Arundel and the other leaders were sent to London, tried, and executed. Many of the inferior sort were put to death by martial law (Hayward, p. 295). The vicar of St. Thomas, one of the principal incendiaries, was hanged on the top of his own steeple, arrayed in his popish weeds, with his beads at his girdle (Heylin, p. 76; Holingshed, p. 1026).

The insurrection in Norfolk rose to a still greater height, and was attended with greater acts of violence. The populace were at first excited, as in other places, by complaints against inclosures; but finding their numbers amount to 20,000, they grew insolent, and proceeded to more exorbitant pretensions. They required the suppression of the gentry, the placing of new counsellors about the king, and the re-establishment of the ancient rites. One Ket, a tanner, had assumed the government over them, and he exercised his authority with the utmost arrogance and outrage. Having taken possession of Moushold Hill near Norwich, he erected his tribunal under an old oak, thence called the oak of reformation; and summoning the gentry to appear before him, he gave such decrees as might be expected from his character and situation. The Marquis of Northampton was first ordered against him; but met with a repulse in an action, where Lord Sheffield was killed (Stow, p. 597; Holingshed, pp. 1030—34; Strype, vol. ii., p. 174). The protector affected popularity, and cared not to appear in person against the rebels; he therefore sent the Earl of Warwick at the head of 6000 men, levied for the wars against Scotland; and he thereby afforded his mortal enemy an opportunity of increasing his reputation and character. Warwick, having tried some skirmishes with the rebels, at last made a general attack upon them, and put them to flight. Two thousand fell in the action and pursuit; Ket was hanged at Norwich Castle; nine of his followers on the boughs of the oak of reformation, and the insurrection was entirely suppressed. Some rebels in Yorkshire, learning the fate of their companions, accepted the offers of pardon, and threw down their

¹ Hayward, p. 292; Holingshed, p. 1003; Fox, vol. ii., p. 666; Mem. Cranm., p. 186.

arms. A general indemnity was soon after published by the protector (Hayward, pp. 297, 298, 299).

But though the insurrections were thus quickly subdued in England, and no traces of them seemed to remain, they were attended with bad consequences to the foreign interests of the nation. The forces of the Earl of Warwick, which might have made a great impression on Scotland, were diverted from that enterprise; and the French general had leisure to reduce that country to some settlement and composure. He took the fortress of Broughty, and put the garrison to the sword. He straitened the English at Haddington; and though Lord Dacres was enabled to throw relief into the place, and to reinforce the garrison, it was found at last very chargeable, and even impracticable, to keep possession of that fortress. The whole country in the neighbourhood was laid waste by the inroads both of the Scots and English, and could afford no supply to the garrison; the place lay above thirty miles from the borders; so that a regular army was necessary to escort any provisions thither; and as the plague had broken out among the troops, they perished daily, and were reduced to a state of great weakness. For these reasons, orders were given to dismantle Haddington, and to convey the artillery and garrison to Berwick; and the Earl of Rutland, now created warden of the east marches, executed the orders.

The King of France also took advantage of the distractions among the English, and made an attempt to recover Boulogne, and that territory which Henry VIII. had conquered from France. On other pretences he assembled an army; and falling suddenly upon the Boulonnois, took the castles of Sellaque, Blackness, and Ambleuse, though well supplied with garrisons, ammunition, and provisions (Thuanus, lib. vi., c. 6). He endeavoured to surprise Boulenberg, and was repulsed; but the garrison, not thinking the place tenable after the loss of the other fortresses, destroyed the works, and retired to Boulogne. The rains, which fell in great abundance during the autumn, and a pestilential distemper which broke out in the French camp, deprived Henry of all hopes of success against Boulogne itself; and he retired to Paris (Hayward, p. 300). He left the command of the army to Gaspar de Coligny, Lord of Chatillon, so famous afterwards by the name of Admiral Coligny; and he gave him orders to form the siege early in the spring. The active disposition of this general engaged him to make, during the winter, several attempts against the place; but they all proved unsuccessful.

Strozzi, who commanded the French fleet and galleys, endeavoured to make a descent on Jersey; but meeting there with an English fleet, he commenced an action, which seems not to have been decisive, since the historians of the two nations differ in their account of the event (Thuan.; King Edw.'s Jour.; Stow, p. 597).

As soon as the French war broke out, the protector endeavoured to fortify himself with the alliance of the emperor; and he sent over Secretary Paget to Brussels, where Charles then kept court, in order to assist Sir Philip Hobby, the resident ambassador, in this negotiation. But that prince had formed a design of extending his dominions by acting the part of champion for the catholic religion; and though extremely desirous of accepting the English alliance against France,

his capital enemy, he thought it unsuitable to his other pretensions to enter into strict confederacy with a nation which had broken off all connections with the church of Rome. He therefore declined the advances of friendship from England, and eluded the applications of the ambassadors. An exact account is preserved of this negotiation in a letter of Hobby's; and it is remarkable that the emperor, in a conversation with the English ministers, asserted that the prerogatives of a King of England were more extensive than those of a King of France (Burnet, vol. ii., pp. 132, 175). Burnet, who preserves this letter, subjoins, as a parallel instance, that one objection, which the Scots made to marrying their queen with Edward, was, that all their privileges would be swallowed up by the great prerogative of the Kings of England (*Ibid.*, p. 133).

Somerset, despairing of assistance from the emperor, was inclined to conclude a peace with France and Scotland; and besides that he was not in a condition to maintain such ruinous wars, he thought that there no longer remained any object of hostility. The Scots had sent away their queen; and could not, if ever so much inclined, complete the marriage contracted with Edward; and as Henry VIII. had stipulated to restore Boulogne in 1554, it seemed a matter of small moment to anticipate, a few years, the execution of the treaty. But when he proposed these reasons to the council, he met with strong opposition from his enemies, who, seeing him unable to support the war, were determined, for that very reason, to oppose all proposals for a pacification. The factions ran high in the court; and matters were drawing to an issue, fatal to the authority of the protector.

After Somerset obtained the patent, investing him with regal authority, he no longer paid any attention to the opinion of the other executors and councillors; and being elated with his high dignity, as well as with his victory at Pinkie, he thought that every one ought, in everything, to yield to his sentiments. All those who were not entirely devoted to him, were sure to be neglected; whoever opposed his will received marks of anger or contempt (Strype, vol. ii., p. 181); and while he showed a resolution to govern everything, his capacity appeared not, in any respect, proportioned to his ambition. Warwick, more subtle and artful, covered more exorbitant views under fairer appearances; and having associated himself with Southampton, who had been re-admitted into the council, he formed a strong party, who were determined to free themselves from the slavery imposed on them by the protector.

The malcontent councillors found the disposition of the nation favourable to their designs. The nobility and gentry were in general displeased with the preference which Somerset seemed to have given to the people; and as they ascribed all the insults to which they had been lately exposed to his procrastination, and to the countenance shown to the multitude, they apprehended a renewal of the same disorders from his present affectation of popularity. He had erected a court of requests in his own house for the relief of the people (*Ibid.*, p. 183), and he interposed with the judges in their behalf; a measure which might be deemed illegal, if any exertion of prerogative, at that time, could with certainty deserve that appellation. And this attempt,

which was a stretch of power, seemed the more impolitic, because it disgusted the nobles, the surest support of monarchical authority.

But though Somerset courted the people, the interest which he had formed with them was in no degree answerable to his expectations. The catholic party, who retained influence with the lower ranks, were his declared enemies; and took advantage of every opportunity to decry his conduct. The attainder and execution of his brother bore an odious aspect; the introduction of foreign troops into the kingdom was represented in invidious colours; the great estate, which he had suddenly acquired at the expense of the church and of the crown, rendered him obnoxious; and the palace which he was building in the Strand served, by its magnificence, and still more by other circumstances which attended it, to expose him to the censure of the public. The parish church of St. Mary, with three bishops' houses, was pulled down, in order to furnish ground and materials for this structure; not content with that sacrilege, an attempt was made to demolish St. Margaret's, Westminster, and to employ the stones to the same purpose; but the parishioners rose in a tumult, and chased away the protector's tradesmen. He then laid his hands on a chapel in St. Paul's Churchyard, with a cloister and charnelhouse belonging to it; and these edifices, together with a church of St. John of Jerusalem, were made use of to raise his palace. What rendered the matter more odious to the people was, that the tombs and the other monuments of the dead were defaced; and the bones, being carried away, were buried in unconsecrated ground (Heylin, pp. 72, 73; Stow's London; Hayward, p. 303).

All these imprudences were remarked by Somerset's enemies, who resolved to take advantage of them. Lord St. John, president of the council, the Earls of Warwick, Southampton, and Arundel, with five members more, met (Oct. 6) at Ely House; and assuming to themselves the whole power of the council, began to act independently of the protector, whom they represented as the author of every public grievance and misfortune. They wrote letters to the chief nobility and gentry in England, informing them of the present measures, and requiring their assistance; they sent for the mayor and aldermen of London, and enjoined them to obey their orders, without regard to any contrary orders which they might receive from the Duke of Somerset. They laid the same injunctions on the lieutenant of the Tower, who expressed his resolution to comply with them. Next day, Rich, lord chancellor, the Marquis of Northampton, the Earl of Shrewsbury, Sir Thomas Cheney, Sir John Gage, Sir Ralph Sadler, and chief justice Montague, joined the malcontent councillors; and everything bore a bad aspect for the protector's authority. Secretary Petre, whom he had sent to treat with the council, rather chose to remain with them; the common council of the city, being applied to, declared with one voice their approbation of the new measures, and their resolution of supporting them (Stow, p. 597; Holingshed, p. 1057).

As soon as the protector heard of the defection of the councillors, he removed the king from Hampton Court, where he then resided, to the castle of Windsor; and, arming his friends and servants, seemed resolute to defend himself against all his enemies. But finding that no

man of rank, except Cranmer and Paget, adhered to him, that the people did not rise at his summons, that the city and tower had declared against him, that even his best friends had deserted him, he lost all hopes of success, and began to apply to his enemies for pardon and forgiveness. No sooner was this despondency known, than Lord Russell, Sir John Baker, speaker of the House of Commons, and three councillors more, who had hitherto remained neutrals, joined the party of Warwick, whom every one now regarded as master. The council informed the public by proclamation of their actions and intentions; they wrote to the princesses, Mary and Elizabeth, to the same purpose; and they made addresses to the king, in which, after the humblest protestations of duty and submission, they informed him that they were the council appointed by his father for the government of the kingdom during his minority; that they had chosen the Duke of Somerset protector, under the express condition that he should guide himself by their advice and direction; that he had usurped the whole authority, and had neglected, and even in everything opposed, their counsel; that he had proceeded to that height of presumption, as to levy forces against them, and place these forces about his majesty's person; they therefore begged, that they might be admitted to his royal presence; that he would be pleased to restore them to his confidence; and that Somerset's servants might be dismissed. Their request was complied with; Somerset capitulated only for gentle treatment, which was promised him. He was, however, sent to the Tower (Stow, p. 600), with some of his friends and partisans, among whom was Cecil, afterwards so much distinguished. Articles of indictment were exhibited against him;¹ of which the chief, at least the best founded, is his usurpation of the government, and his taking into his own hands the whole administration of affairs. The clause of his patent which invested him with power, unlimited by any law, was never objected to him; plainly because, according to the sentiments of those times, that power was, in some degree, involved in the very idea of regal authority.

The catholics were extremely elated with this revolution; and as they had ascribed all the late innovations to Somerset's authority, they hoped that his fall would prepare the way for the return of the ancient religion. But Warwick, who now bore chief sway in the council, was entirely indifferent with regard to all these points of controversy; and finding that the principles of the reformation had sunk deeper into Edward's mind than to be easily eradicated, he was determined to comply with the young prince's inclinations, and not to hazard his new acquired power by any dangerous enterprise. He took care very early to express his intentions of supporting the reformation; and he threw such discouragements on Southampton, who stood at the head of the Romanists, and whom he considered as a dangerous rival, that the high-spirited nobleman retired from the council, and soon after died from vexation and disappointment. The other councillors, who had concurred in the revolution, received their reward by promotions and new honours. Russell was created Earl of Bedford; the Marquis of Northampton obtained the office of great chamberlain; and Lord Wentworth, besides the office of chamberlain of the household, got

¹ Burnet, vol. ii. book i. coll. 46; Hayward, p. 308; Stow, p. 601; Holingshed, p. 1059.

two large manors, Stepney and Hackney, which were torn from the see of London (Heylin, p. 85; Rymer, tom. xv., p. 226). A council of regency was formed, not that which Henry's will had appointed for the government of the kingdom, and which, being founded on an act of parliament, was the only legal one; but composed chiefly of members who had formerly been appointed by Somerset, and who derived their seat from an authority which was now declared usurped and illegal. But such niceties were during that age little understood, and still less regarded, in England.

A session of parliament (Nov. 4, A.D. 1549) was held; and as it was the usual maxim of that assembly to acquiesce in every administration which was established, the council dreaded no opposition from that quarter, and had more reason to look for a corroboration of their authority. Somerset had been (Dec. 23) prevailed on to confess, on his knees, before the council, all the articles of charge against him; and he imputed these misdemeanors to his own rashness, folly, and indiscretion, not to any malignity of intention (Heylin, p. 84; Hayward, p. 309; Stow, p. 603). He even subscribed this confession; and the paper was given in to parliament, who, after sending a committee to examine him, and hear him acknowledge it to be genuine, passed a vote, by which they deprived him of all his offices, and fined him 2000*l.* a year in land. Lord St. John was created treasurer in his place, and Warwick earl marshal. The prosecution against him was carried no farther. His fine was remitted by the king; he recovered his liberty; and Warwick, thinking that he was now sufficiently humbled, and that his authority was much lessened by his late tame and abject behaviour, re-admitted him into the council, and even agreed to an alliance between their families, by the marriage of his own son, Lord Dudley, with the Lady Jane Seymour, daughter of Somerset (Hayward, p. 309).

During this session a severe law was passed against riots (3 and 4 Edw. VI., c. 5). It was enacted, that if any, to the number of twelve persons, should meet together for any matter of state, and being required by a lawful magistrate should not disperse, it should be treason; and if any broke hedges, or violently pulled up pales about inclosures, without lawful authority, it should be felony; any attempt to kill a privy councillor was subjected to the same penalty. The bishops had made an application, complaining that they were deprived of all their power by the encroachments of the civil courts, and the present suspension of the canon law; that they could summon no offender before them, punish no vice, or exert the discipline of the Church; from which diminution of their authority, they pretended, immorality had everywhere received great encouragement and increase. The design of some was, to revive the penitentiary rules of the primitive Church; but others thought, that such an authority committed to the bishops, would prove more oppressive than confession, penance, and all the clerical inventions of the Romish superstition. The parliament, for the present, contented themselves with empowering the king to appoint thirty-two commissioners to compile a body of canon laws, which were to be valid, though never ratified by parliament. Such implicit trust did they repose in the crown; without reflecting that all their liberties

and properties might be affected by these canons (3 and 4 Edw. VI., c. 2). The king did not live to affix the royal sanction to the new canons. Sir John Sharington, whose crimes and malversations had appeared so egregious at the condemnation of Lord Seymour, obtained from parliament a reversal of his attainder (*Ibid.*, c. 13). This man sought favour with the zealous reformers; and Bishop Latimer affirmed, that, though formerly he had been a most notorious knave, he was now so penitent that he had become a very honest man.

When Warwick and the council of regency began to exercise their power, they found themselves involved in the same difficulties that had embarrassed the protector. The wars with France and Scotland could not be supported by an exhausted exchequer, seemed dangerous to a divided nation, and were now acknowledged not to have any object which even the greatest and most uninterrupted success could attain. The project of peace entertained by Somerset had served them as a pretence for clamour against his administration; yet after sending Sir Thomas Cheney to the emperor, and making again a fruitless effort to engage him in the protection of Boulogne, they found themselves obliged to listen to the advances which Henry made them, by the channel of Guidotti, a Florentine merchant. The Earl of Bedford, Sir John Mason, Paget, and Petre, were sent over to Boulogne, with full powers to negotiate. The French king absolutely refused to pay the 2,000,000 crowns which his predecessor had acknowledged to be due to the crown of England as arrears of pension, and said that he never would consent to render himself tributary to any prince; but he offered a sum for the immediate restitution of Boulogne, and 400,000 crowns (March 24) were at last agreed on, one half to be paid immediately, the other in August following. Six hostages were given for the performance of this article. Scotland was comprehended in the treaty; the English stipulated to restore Lauder and Douglas, and to demolish the fortresses of Roxburgh and Eyemouth.¹ No sooner was peace concluded with France, than a project was entertained of a close alliance with that kingdom, and Henry willingly embraced a proposal so suitable both to his interests and his inclinations. An agreement some time after was formed for a marriage between Edward and Elizabeth, a daughter of France, and all the articles were after a little negotiation fully settled;² but this project never took effect.

The intention of marrying the king to a daughter of Henry, a violent persecutor of the Protestants, was nowise acceptable to that party in England; but in all other respects the council was steady in promoting the reformation, and in enforcing the laws against the Romanists. Several prelates were still addicted to that communion, and though they made some compliances in order to save their bishoprics, they retarded as much as they safely could the execution of the new laws, and gave countenance to such incumbents as were negligent or refractory. A resolution was therefore taken to seek pretences for depriving those prelates, and the execution of this intention was the more easy, as they had all of them been obliged to take commissions in which it was declared that they held their sees during the king's

¹ Burnet, vol. ii., p. 148; Hayward, pp. 310, 311, 312; Rymer, vol. xv., p. 217.

² Hayward, p. 318; Heylin, p. 104; Rymer, tom. xv., p. 293.

pleasure only. It was thought proper to begin with Gardiner, in order to strike a terror into the rest. The method of proceeding against him was violent, and had scarcely any colour of law or justice. Injunctions had been given him to inculcate in a sermon the duty of obedience to a king even during his minority, and because he had neglected this topic he had been thrown into prison, and had been there detained during two years without being accused of any crime, except disobedience to this arbitrary command. The Duke of Somerset, Secretary Petre, and some others of the council, were now sent in order to try his temper, and endeavoured to find some grounds for depriving him; he professed to them his intention of conforming to the government, of supporting the king's laws, and of officiating by the new liturgy. This was not the disposition which they expected or desired (Heylin, p. 99). A new deputation was therefore sent, who carried him several articles to subscribe. He was required to acknowledge his former misbehaviour, and to confess the justice of his confinement; he was likewise to own that the king was supreme head of the church, that the power of making and dispensing with holidays was part of the prerogative, that the book of common-prayer was a godly and commendable form, that the king was a complete sovereign in his minority, that the law of the six articles was justly repealed, and that the king had full authority to correct and reform what was amiss in ecclesiastical discipline, government, or doctrine. The bishop was willing to set his hand to all the articles except the first; he maintained his conduct to have been inoffensive, and declared that he would not own himself guilty of faults which he had never committed (Collier, vol. ii., p. 305, from the council books; Heylin, p. 99).

The council, finding that he had gone such lengths, were determined to prevent his full compliance by multiplying the difficulties upon him, and sending him new articles to subscribe. A list was selected of such points as they thought would be the hardest of digestion; and not content with this rigour, they also insisted on his submission, and his acknowledgment of past errors. To make this subscription more mortifying, they demanded a promise that he would recommend and publish all these articles from the pulpit; but Gardiner, who saw that they intended either to ruin or dishonour him, or perhaps both, determined not to gratify his enemies by any farther compliance; he still maintained his innocence, desired a fair trial, and refused to subscribe more articles till he should recover his liberty. For this pretended offence his bishopric was put under sequestration for three months, and as he then appeared no more compliant than before, a commission was appointed to try, or more properly speaking, to condemn him. The commissioners were the primate, the Bishops of London, Ely, and Lincoln, Secretary Petre, Sir James Hales, and some other lawyers. Gardiner objected to the legality of the commission, which was not founded on any statute or precedent, and he appealed from the commissioners to the king. His appeal was not regarded; sentence was pronounced against him; he was deprived of his bishopric, and committed to close custody; his books and papers were seized, he was secluded from all company, and it was not allowed him either to send or receive any letters or messages (Fox, vol. ii., p. 734 et seq.: Burnet; Heylin; Collier),

Gardiner, as well as the other prelates, had agreed to hold his office during the king's pleasure; but the council, unwilling to make use of a concession which had been so illegally and arbitrarily extorted, chose rather to employ some forms of justice, a resolution which led them to commit still greater iniquities and severities. But the violence of the reformers did not stop here. Day, Bishop of Chichester, Heathe of Worcester, and Voisey of Exeter, were deprived of their bishoprics on pretence of disobedience. Even Kitchen of Llandaff, Capon of Salisbury, and Sampson of Coventry, though they had complied in everything, yet not being supposed cordial in their obedience, were obliged to seek protection by sacrificing the most considerable revenues of their see to the rapacious courtiers (Goodwin de præsul. Angel.; Heylin, p. 100).

These plunderers neglected not even smaller profits. An order was issued by council, for purging the library at Westminster of all missals, legends, and other superstitious volumes, and delivering their garniture to Sir Anthony Aucher (Collier, vol. ii., p. 307, from the council books). Many of these books were plated with gold and silver, and curiously embossed, and this finery was probably the superstition that condemned them. Great havoc was likewise made on the libraries at Oxford. Books and manuscripts were destroyed without distinction; the volumes of divinity suffered for their rich binding; those of literature were condemned as useless; those of geometry and astronomy were supposed to contain nothing but necromancy (Wood, Hist. et Antiq. Oxon., lib. i., pp. 271, 272). The university had not power to oppose these barbarous violences; they were in danger of losing their own revenues, and expected every moment to be swallowed up by the Earl of Warwick and his associates.

Though every one besides yielded to the authority of the council, the Lady Mary could never be brought to compliance, and she still continued to adhere to the mass, and to reject the new liturgy. Her behaviour was during some time connived at, but at last her two chaplains, Mallet and Berkley, were thrown into prison (Strype, vol. ii., p. 249), and remonstrances were made to the princess herself on account of her disobedience. The council wrote her a letter by which they endeavoured to make her change her sentiments, and to persuade her that her religious faith was very ill-grounded. They asked her what warrant there was in Scripture for prayers in an unknown tongue, the use of images, or offering up the sacrament for the dead; and they desired her to peruse St. Austin, and the other ancient doctors, who would convince her of the errors of the Romish superstition, and prove that it was founded merely on false miracles and lying stories (Fox, vol. ii.; Collier; Burnet). The Lady Mary remained obstinate against all advice, and declared herself willing to endure death rather than relinquish her religion; she only feared, she said, that she was not worthy to suffer martyrdom in so holy a cause; and as for Protestant books, she thanked God, that as she never had so she hoped never to read any of them. Dreading farther violence, she endeavoured to make an escape to her kinsman Charles, but her design was discovered and prevented (Hayward, p. 315). The emperor remonstrated in her behalf, and even threatened hostilities, if

liberty of conscience were refused her; but though the council, sensible that the kingdom was in no condition to support with honour such a war, was desirous to comply, they found great difficulty to overcome the scruples of the young king. He had been educated in such a violent abhorrence of the mass and other popish rites, which he regarded as impious and idolatrous, that he should participate, he thought, in the sin, if he allowed its commission; and when at last the importunity of Cranmer, Ridley, and Poinet prevailed somewhat over his opposition, he burst into tears, lamenting his sister's obstinacy, and bewailing his own hard fate, that he must suffer her to continue in such an abominable mode of worship.

The great object at this time of antipathy among the Protestant sects was popery, or, more properly speaking, the papists. These they regarded as the common enemy, who threatened every moment to overwhelm the evangelical faith and destroy its partisans by fire and sword; they had not as yet had leisure to attend to the other minute differences among themselves, which afterwards became the object of such furious quarrels and animosities, and threw the whole kingdom into combustion. Several Lutheran divines, who had reputation in those days, Bucer, Peter Martyr, and others, were induced to take shelter in England, from the persecutions which the emperor exercised in Germany, and they received protection and encouragement. John Alasco, a popish nobleman, being expelled his country by the rigours of the Catholics, settled, during some time, at Embden in East-Friesland, where he became preacher to a congregation of the reformed. Foreseeing the persecutions which ensued, he removed to England and brought his congregation along with him. The council, who regarded them as industrious useful people, and desired to invite over others of the same character, not only gave them the church of Augustine friars for the exercise of their religion, but granted them a charter, by which they were erected into a corporation, consisting of a superintendent and four assisting ministers. This ecclesiastical establishment was quite independent of the Church of England, and differed from it in some rites and ceremonies (*Mem. Cranm.*, p. 234.)

These differences among the Protestants were matter of triumph to the Catholics; who insisted, that the moment men departed from the authority of the church, they lost all criterion of truth and falsehood in matters of religion, and must be carried away by every wind of doctrine. The continual variations of every sect of Protestants afforded them the same topic of reasoning. The book of Common Prayer suffered in England a new revival, and some rites and ceremonies which had given offence were omitted (*Mem. Cranm.*, p. 289). The speculative doctrines, or the metaphysics of the religion, were also reduced to forty-two articles. These were intended to obviate further divisions and variations; and the compiling of them had been postponed till the establishment of the liturgy, which was justly regarded as a more material object to the people. The eternity of hell torments is asserted in this confession of faith; and care is also taken to inculcate, not only that no heathen, how virtuous soever, can escape an endless state of the most exquisite misery, but also that every one who presumes to maintain that any pagan can possibly be saved is himself exposed to the penalty of eternal perdition (*Article xviii.*).

The theological zeal of the council, though seemingly fervent, went not so far as to make them neglect their own temporal concerns, which seem to have ever been uppermost in their thoughts; they even found leisure to attend to the public interest; nay, to the commerce of the nation, which was, at that time, very little the object of general study or attention. The trade of England had anciently been carried on altogether by foreigners, chiefly the inhabitants of the Hanse-towns, or Easterlings, as they were called; and in order to encourage these merchants to settle in England, they had been erected into a corporation by Henry III., had obtained a patent, were endowed with privileges, and were exempted from several heavy duties paid by other aliens. So ignorant were the English of commerce, that this company, usually denominated the merchants of the Steelyard, engrossed, even down to the reign of Edward, almost the whole foreign trade of the kingdom; and as they naturally employed the shipping of their own country, the navigation of England was also in a very languishing condition. It was therefore thought proper by the council to seek pretences for annulling the privileges of this corporation, privileges which put them nearly on an equal footing with Englishmen in the duties which they paid; and as such patents were, during that age, granted by the absolute power of the king, men were the less surprised to find them revoked by the same authority. Several remonstrances were made against this innovation, by Lubeck, Hamburgh, and other Hanse-towns; but the council persevered in their resolution, and the good effects of it soon became visible to the nation. The English merchants, by their very situation, as natives, had advantages above foreigners in the purchase of cloth, wool, and other commodities; though these advantages had not hitherto been sufficient to rouse their industry, or engage them to become rivals to this opulent company; but when aliens' duty was also imposed upon all foreigners indiscriminately, the English were tempted to enter into commerce; and a spirit of industry began to appear in the kingdom (Hayward, p. 326; Heylin, p. 108; Strype's Mem., vol. ii., p. 295).

About the same time a treaty was made with Gustavus Ericson, King of Sweden, by which it was stipulated that, if he sent bullion into England, he might export English commodities without paying custom; that he should carry bullion to no other prince; that if he sent ozimus, steel, copper, etc., he should pay custom for English commodities as an Englishman, and that if he sent other merchandise he should have free intercourse, paying custom as a stranger (Heylin, p. 109). The bullion sent over by Sweden, though it could not be in great quantity, set the mint to work; good specie was coined; and much of the base metal formerly issued was recalled; a circumstance which tended extremely to the encouragement of commerce.

But all these schemes for promoting industry were likely to prove abortive, by the fear of domestic convulsions, arising from the ambition of Warwick. That nobleman, not contented with the station which he had attained, carried farther his pretensions and had gained partisans who were disposed to second him in every enterprise. The last Earl of Northumberland died without issue, and as Sir Thomas Percy, his brother, had been attainted on account of the share which

he had in the Yorkshire insurrection during the late reign, the title was at present extinct and the estate was vested in the crown. Warwick now procured to himself a grant of those ample possessions, which lay chiefly in the North, the most warlike part of the kingdom; and he was dignified with the title of Duke of Northumberland. His friend Paulet, Lord St. John, the treasurer, was created first, Earl of Wiltshire, then Marquis of Winchester; Sir William Herbert obtained the title of Earl of Pembroke.

But the ambition of Northumberland made him regard all increase of possessions and titles, either to himself or his partisans, as steps only to farther acquisitions. Finding that Somerset, though degraded from his dignity, and even lessened in the public opinion by his spiritless conduct, still enjoyed a considerable share of popularity, he determined to ruin the man whom he regarded as the chief obstacle to the attainment of his hopes. The alliance which had been contracted between the families had produced no cordial union, and only enabled Northumberland to compass with more certainty the destruction of his rival. He secretly gained many of the friends and servants of that unhappy nobleman; he sometimes terrified him by the appearance of danger, sometimes provoked him by ill usage. The unguarded Somerset often broke out into menacing expressions against Northumberland; at other times he formed rash projects, which he immediately abandoned; his treacherous confidants carried to his enemy every passionate word which dropped from him; they revealed the schemes which they themselves had first suggested (Heylin, p. 112); and Northumberland, thinking that the proper season was now come, began to act in an open manner against him.

In one night (Oct. 16), the Duke of Somerset, Lord Grey, David and John Seymour, Hammond and Neudigate, two of the duke's servants, Sir Ralph Vane and Sir Thomas Palmer, were arrested and committed to custody. Next day the Duchess of Somerset, with her favourites, Crane and his wife, Sir Miles Partridge, Sir Michael Stanhope, Bannister, and others, were thrown into prison. Sir Thomas Palmer, who had all along acted as a spy upon Somerset, accused him of having formed a design to raise an insurrection in the north, to attack the *gens d'armes* on a muster-day, to secure the Tower, and to raise a rebellion in London; but, what was the only probable accusation, he asserted that Somerset had once laid a project for murdering Northumberland, Northampton, and Pembroke, at a banquet which was given by Lord Paget. Crane and his wife confirmed Palmer's testimony with regard to this last design; and it appears that some rash scheme of that nature had really been mentioned, though no regular conspiracy had been formed, or means prepared for its execution. Hammond confessed that the duke had armed men to guard him one night in his house at Greenwich.

Somerset was brought to his trial before the Marquis of Winchester, created high steward. Twenty-seven peers composed the jury, among whom were Northumberland, Pembroke, and Northampton, whom decency should have hindered from acting as judges in the trial of a man that appeared to be their capital enemy. Somerset was accused of high treason on account of the projected insurrections, and of felony in laying a design to murder privy councillors.

We have a very imperfect account of all state trials during that age, which is a sensible defect in our history ; but it appears that some more regularity was observed in the management of this prosecution than had usually been employed in like cases. The witnesses (Dec. 1) were at least examined by the privy council, and though they were neither produced in court nor confronted with the prisoner (circumstances required by the strict principles of equity), their depositions were given in to the jury. The proof seems to have been lame with regard to the treasonable part of the charge, and Somerset's defence was so satisfactory, that the peers gave verdict in his favour; the intention alone of assaulting the privy councillors was supported by tolerable evidence, and the jury brought him in guilty of felony. The prisoner himself confessed that he had expressed his intention of murdering Northumberland and the other lords, but had not formed any resolution on that head; and when he received sentence, he asked pardon of those peers for the designs which he had hearkened to against them. The people, by whom Somerset was beloved, hearing the first part of his sentence, by which he was acquitted from treason, expressed their joy by loud acclamations; but their satisfaction was suddenly damped, on finding that he was condemned to death for felony (Hayward, p. 320; Stow, p. 606; Holingshed, p. 1067).

Care had been taken by Northumberland's emissaries, to prepossess the young king against his uncles; and lest he should relent, no access was given to any of Somerset's friends, and the prince was kept from reflection by a continued series of occupations and amusements. At last the prisoner was brought to the scaffold on Tower Hill (Jan. 22, A.D. 1552), amidst great crowds of spectators, who bore him such sincere kindness that they entertained to the last moment the fond hopes of his pardon (Hayward, pp. 324, 325). Many of them rushed in to dip their handkerchiefs in his blood, which they long preserved as a precious relic; and some of them soon after, when Northumberland met with a like doom, upbraided him with this cruelty, and displayed to him these symbols of his crime. Somerset indeed, though many actions of his life were exceptionable, seems, in general, to have merited a better fate; and the faults, which he committed, were owing to weakness, not to any bad intention. His virtues were better calculated for private than for public life; and by his want of penetration and firmness he was ill-fitted to extricate himself from those cabals and violences, to which that age was so much addicted. Sir Thomas Arundel, Sir Michael Stanhope, Sir Miles Partridge, and Sir Ralph Vane, all of them Somerset's friends, were brought to the trial, condemned, and executed. Great injustice seems to have been used in their prosecution. Lord Paget, chancellor of the duchy, was on some pretence tried in the star-chamber, and condemned in a fine of 6000*l.*, with the loss of his office. To mortify him the more, he was degraded from the order of the garter; as unworthy, on account of his mean birth, to share that honour (Stow, p. 608). Lord Rich, chancellor, was also compelled to resign his office, on the discovery of some marks of friendship which he had shown to Somerset.

The day after the execution of Somerset, a session of parliament was held (Jan. 23), in which farther advances were made towards the estab-

lishment of the reformation. The new liturgy was authorised; and penalties were enacted against all those who absented themselves from public worship (5 and 6 Edw. VI., c. 1.). To use the mass had already been prohibited under severe penalties; so that the reformers, it appears, whatever scope they had given to their own private judgment, in disputing the tenets of the ancient religion, were resolved not to allow the same privilege to others; and the practice, nay the very doctrine, of toleration, was at that time equally unknown to all sects and parties. To dissent from the religion of the magistrate, was universally conceived to be as criminal as to question his title, or rebel against his authority.

A law was enacted against usury, that is taking any interest for money (Ibid., c. 20). This act was the remains of ancient superstition; but being found extremely iniquitous in itself, as well as prejudicial to commerce, it was afterwards repealed in the twelfth of Elizabeth. The common rate of interest, notwithstanding the law, was at this time 14 per cent. (Hayward, p. 318).

A bill was introduced by the ministry into the house of lords, renewing those rigorous statutes of treason, which had been abrogated in the beginning of this reign; and though the peers, by their high station, stood most exposed to these tempests of state, yet had they so little regard to public security, or even to their own true interest, that they passed the bill with only one dissenting voice (Parl. Hist., vol. iii., p. 258); Burnet, vol. ii., p. 190). But the commons rejected it, and prepared a new bill, that passed into a law, by which it was enacted, that whoever should call the king or any of his heirs, named in the statute of the 35th of the last reign, heretic, schismatic, tyrant, infidel, or usurper of the crown, should forfeit, for the first offence, their goods and chattels, and be imprisoned during pleasure; for the second, should incur a *præmunire*; for the third, should be attainted for treason. But if any should unadvisedly utter such a slander in writing, printing, painting, carving, or graving, he was, for the first offence, to be held a traitor (5 and 6 Edw. VI., cap. 2). It may be worthy of notice, that the king and his next heir, the Lady Mary, were professedly of different religions, and religions which threw on each other the imputation of heresy, schism, idolatry, profaneness, blasphemy, wickedness, and all the opprobrious epithets that religious zeal has invented. It was almost impossible, therefore, for the people, if they spoke at all on these subjects, not to fall into the crime so severely punished by the statute; and the jealousy of the commons for liberty, though it led them to reject the bill of treasons, sent to them by the lords, appears not to have been very active, vigilant, or clear-sighted.

The commons annexed to this bill a clause which was of more importance than the bill itself, that no one should be convicted of any kind of treason, unless the crime were proved by the oaths of two witnesses, confronted with the prisoner. The lords, for some time, scrupled to pass this clause, though conformable to the most obvious principles of equity. But the members of that house trusted for protection to their present personal interest and power, and neglected the noblest and most permanent security, that of laws.

The house of peers passed a bill, whose object was making a provision for the poor; but the commons, not choosing that a money-bill

should begin in the upper house, framed a new act to the same purpose. By this act the churchwardens were empowered to collect charitable contributions; and if any refused to give, or dissuaded others from that charity, the bishop of the diocese was empowered to proceed against them. Such large discretionary powers, entrusted to the prelates, seem as proper an object of jealousy as the authority assumed by the peers (5 and 6 Edw. VI., cap. 2).

There was another occasion, in which the parliament reposed an unusual confidence in the bishops. They empowered them to proceed against such as neglected the Sundays and holidays (Ibid., cap. 3). But these were unguarded concessions granted to the church. The general humour of the age rather led men to bereave the ecclesiastics of all power, and even to pillage them of their property. Many clergymen, about this time, were obliged for a subsistence to turn carpenters or tailors, and some kept ale-houses (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 202). The bishops themselves were generally reduced to poverty, and held both their revenues and spiritual office by a very precarious tenure.

Tunstal, Bishop of Durham, was one of the most eminent prelates of that age, still less for the dignity of his see, than for his own personal merit, his learning, moderation, humanity, and beneficence. He had opposed, by his vote and authority, all innovations in religion; but as soon as they were enacted, he had always submitted, and had conformed to every theological system which had been established. His known probity had made this compliance be ascribed, not to an interested or time-serving spirit, but to a sense of duty, which led him to think that all private opinion ought to be sacrificed to the great concern of public peace and tranquillity. The general regard paid to his character had protected him from any severe treatment during the administration of Somerset; but when Northumberland gained the ascendant, he was thrown into prison; and as that rapacious nobleman had formed a design of seizing the revenues of the see of Durham, and of acquiring to himself a principality in the northern counties, he was resolved, in order to effect his purpose, to deprive Tunstal of his bishopric. A bill of attainder, therefore, on pretence of misprision of treason, was introduced into the house of peers against the prelate; and it passed with the opposition only of Lord Stourton, a zealous Catholic, and of Cranmer, who always bore a cordial and sincere friendship to the Bishop of Durham. When the bill was sent to the commons, they required, that witnesses should be examined, that Tunstal should be allowed to defend himself, and that he should be confronted with his accusers; and when these demands were refused, they rejected the bill.

This equity, so unusual in the parliament during that age, was ascribed by Northumberland and his partisans, not to any regard for liberty and justice, but to the prevalence of Somerset's faction, in a house of commons, which being chosen during the administration of that nobleman, had been almost entirely filled with his creatures. They were confirmed in this opinion, when they found, that a bill ratifying the attainder of Somerset and his accomplices, was also rejected by the commons, though it had passed the upper house. A resolution was therefore taken to dissolve the parliament (April 15), which had sitten during this whole reign, and soon after to summon a new one.

Northumberland, in order to ensure to himself a house of commons entirely obsequious to his will, ventured on an expedient which could not have been practised, or even imagined, in an age when there was any idea or comprehension of liberty. He engaged the king to write circular letters to all the sheriffs, in which he enjoined them to inform the freeholders, that they were required to choose men of knowledge and experience for their representatives. After this general exhortation, the king continued in these words: 'And yet, nevertheless, our pleasure 'is, that where our privy-council, or any of them shall, in our behalf, 'recommend, within their jurisdiction, men of learning and wisdom; 'in such cases, their directions shall be regarded and followed, as tending to the same end which we desire, that is, to have this assembly 'composed of the persons in our realm the best fitted to give advice 'and good counsel' (Strype's Eccles. Mem., vol. ii., p. 394). Several letters were sent from the king, recommending members to particular counties, Sir Richard Cotton to Hampshire; Sir William Fitzwilliams and Sir Henry Nevil to Berkshire; Sir William Drury and Sir Henry Benningfield to Suffolk, etc. But though some counties only received this species of *congé d'elire* from the king, the recommendations from the privy-council and the counsellors, we may fairly presume, would extend to the greater part, if not to the whole, of the kingdom.

It is remarkable, that this attempt was made during the reign of a minor king, when the royal authority is usually weakest; that it was patiently submitted to; and that it gave so little umbrage as scarcely to be taken notice of by any historian. The painful and laborious collector above cited, who never omits the most trivial matter, is the only person that has thought this memorable letter worthy of being transmitted to posterity.

The parliament answered Northumberland's expectations. As Tunstall had in the interval been deprived of his bishopric in an arbitrary manner by the sentence of lay commissioners appointed to try him, the see of Durham was by act of parliament divided into two bishoprics, which had certain portions of the revenue assigned them. The regalities of the see, which included the jurisdiction of a count palatine, were given by the king to Northumberland; nor is it to be doubted but that nobleman had also purposed to make rich plunder of the revenue, as was then usual with the courtiers whenever a bishopric became vacant.

The commons gave the ministry another mark of attachment, which was at the time the most sincere of any, the most cordial, and the most difficult to be obtained; they granted a supply of two subsidies and two fifteenths. To render this present the more acceptable, they voted a preamble, containing a long accusation of Somerset, 'for involving 'the king in wars, wasting his treasure, engaging him in much debt, 'embasing the coin, and giving occasion for a most terrible rebellion (7 Edw. VI., cap. 12).

The debts of the crown were at this time considerable. The king had received from France 400,000 crowns on delivering Boulogne; he had reaped profit from the sale of some chantry lands; the churches had been spoiled of all their plate and rich ornaments, which, by a decree of council, without any pretence of law or equity, had been converted to the king's use (Heylin, pp. 95, 132). Yet such had been

the rapacity of the courtiers, that the crown owed about 300,000*l.* (Strype's Eccles. Mem., vol. ii., p. 344), and great dilapidations were at the same time made of the royal demesnes. The young prince showed, among other virtues, a disposition to frugality, which, had he lived, would soon have retrieved these losses; but as his health was declining very fast, the present emptiness of the exchequer was a sensible obstacle to the execution of those projects which the ambition of Northumberland had founded on the prospect of Edward's approaching end.

That nobleman represented to the prince, whom youth and an infirm state of health made susceptible of any impression, that his two sisters, Mary and Elizabeth, had both of them been declared illegitimate by act of parliament; and though Henry by his will had restored them to a place in the succession, the nation would never submit to see the throne of England filled by a bastard; that they were the king's sisters by the half blood only; and even if they were legitimate, could not enjoy the crown as his heirs and successors; that the Queen of Scots stood excluded by the late king's will; and being an alien, had lost by law all right of inheriting; not to mention, that as she was betrothed to the dauphin, she would, by her succession, render England, as she had already done Scotland, a province to France; that the certain consequence of his sister Mary's succession, or that of the Queen of Scots, was the abolition of the protestant religion, and the repeal of the laws enacted in favour of the reformation, and the re-establishment of the usurpation and idolatry of the Church of Rome; that, fortunately for England, the same order of succession which justice required, was also the most conformable to public interest; and there was not on any side any just ground for doubt or deliberation; that when these three princesses were excluded by such solid reasons, the succession devolved on the Marchioness of Dorset, elder daughter of the French queen and the Duke of Suffolk; that the next heir of the marchioness was the Lady Jane Gray, a lady of the most amiable character, accomplished by the best education, both in literature and religion, and every way worthy of a crown; and that even, if her title by blood were doubtful, which there was no just reason to pretend, the king was possessed of the same power that his father enjoyed, and might leave her the crown by letters patent. These reasonings made impression on the young prince; and above all, his zealous attachment to the Protestant religion made him apprehend the consequences, if so bigoted a catholic as his sister Mary should succeed to the throne. And though he bore a tender affection to the Lady Elizabeth, who was liable to no such objection, means were found to persuade him, that he could not exclude the one sister on account of illegitimacy without giving also an exclusion to the other.

Northumberland, finding that his arguments were likely to operate on the king, began to prepare the other parts of his scheme. Two sons of the Duke of Suffolk by a second venture having died this season of the sweating sickness, that title was extinct, and Northumberland engaged the king to bestow it on the Marquis of Dorset. By means of this favour and of others which he conferred upon him, he persuaded the new Duke of Suffolk and the duchess to give their daughter, the Lady Jane, in marriage to his fourth son, the Lord Guilford Dudley.

In order to fortify himself by further alliances, he negotiated a marriage between the Lady Catherine Grey, second daughter of Suffolk, and Lord Herbert, eldest son of the Earl of Pembroke. He also married his own daughter to Lord Hastings, eldest son of the Earl of Huntingdon (Heylin, p. 199; Stowe, p. 609). These marriages were solemnized with great pomp and festivity; and the people, who hated the Duke of Northumberland, could not forbear expressing their indignation at seeing such public demonstrations of joy during the languishing state of the young prince's health.

Edward had been seized in the foregoing year, first with the measles, then with the small-pox; but having perfectly recovered from both these distempers, the nation entertained hopes that they would only serve to confirm his health; and he had afterwards made a progress through some parts of the kingdom. It was suspected that he had there overheated himself in exercise; he was seized with a cough, which proved obstinate, and gave way neither to regimen nor medicines; several fatal symptoms of a consumption appeared; and though it was hoped that, as the season advanced, his youth and temperance might get the better of the malady, men saw with great concern his bloom and vigour insensibly decay. The general attachment to the young prince, joined to the hatred borne the Dudleys, made it be remarked, that Edward had every moment declined in health from the time that Lord Robert Dudley had been put about him in quality of gentleman of the bedchamber.

The languishing state of Edward's health made Northumberland the more intent on the execution of his project. He removed all except his own emissaries from about the king; he himself attended him with the greatest assiduity; he pretended the most anxious concern for his health and welfare; and by all these artifices he prevailed on the young prince to give his final consent to the settlement projected. Sir Edward Montagu, chief justice of the Common Pleas, Sir John Baker and Sir Thomas Bromley, two judges, with the attorney and solicitor-general, were summoned to the council; where, after the minutes of the intended deed were read to them, the king required them to draw them up in the form of letters patent. They hesitated to obey, and desired time to consider of it. The more they reflected, the greater danger they found in compliance. The settlement of the crown by Henry VIII. had been made in consequence of an act of parliament; and by another act, passed in the beginning of this reign, it was declared treason in any of the heirs, their aiders, or abettors, to attempt on the right of another, or change the order of succession. The judges pleaded these reasons before the council. They urged, that such a patent as was intended would be entirely invalid; that it would subject, not only the judges who drew it, but every councillor who signed it, to the pains of treason; and that the only proper expedient, both for giving sanction to the new settlement, and freeing its partisans from danger, was to summon a parliament, and to obtain the consent of that assembly. The king said, that he intended afterwards to follow that method, and would call a parliament, in which he purposed to have his settlement ratified; but in the mean time he required the judges, on their allegiance, to draw the *patent* in the form required.

The council told the judges, that their refusal would subject all of them to the pains of treason. Northumberland gave to Montague the appellation of traitor; and said that he would in his shirt fight any man in so just a cause as the Lady Jane's succession. The judges were reduced to great difficulties, between the dangers from the law and those which arose from the violence of present power and authority (Fuller, book viii., p. 2).

The arguments were canvassed in several different meetings between the council and the judges, and no solution could be found of the difficulties. At last Montague proposed an expedient, which satisfied both his brethren and the councillors. He desired that a special commission should be passed by the king and council, requiring the judges to draw a patent for the new settlement of the crown; and that a pardon should immediately after be granted them for any offence which they might have incurred by their compliance. When the patent was drawn, and brought to the Bishop of Ely, chancellor, in order to have the great seal affixed to it, this prelate required that all the judges should previously sign it. Gosnald at first refused; and it was with much difficulty that he was prevailed on, by the violent menaces of Northumberland, to comply; but the constancy of Sir James Hales, who, though a zealous Protestant, preferred justice on this occasion to the prejudices of his party, could not be shaken by any expedient. The chancellor next required, for his greater security, that all the privy counsellors should set their hands to the patent; the intrigues of Northumberland or the fears of his violence were so prevalent, that the counsellors complied (June 21) with this demand. Cranmer alone hesitated during some time, but at last yielded to the earnest and pathetic entreaties of the king (Cranm. Mem., p. 295). Cecil, at that time secretary of state, pretended afterwards that he only signed as witness to the king's subscription. And thus, by the king's letters patent, the two princesses, Mary and Elizabeth, were set aside, and the crown was settled on the heirs of the Duchess of Suffolk, for the duchess herself was content to give place to her daughters.

After this settlement was made, with so many inauspicious circumstances, Edward visibly declined every day, and small hopes were entertained of his recovery. To make matters worse, his physicians were dismissed by Northumberland's advice and by an order of council; and he was put into the hands of an ignorant woman, who undertook, in a little time to restore him to his former state of health. After the use of her medicines, all the bad symptoms increased to the most violent degree; he felt a difficulty of speech and breathing; his pulse failed, his legs swelled, his colour became livid; and many other symptoms appeared of his approaching end. He expired (A.D. 1553, July 6) at Greenwich, in the sixteenth year of his age, and the seventh of his reign.

All the English historians dwell with pleasure on the excellent qualities of this young prince; whom the flattering promises of hope, joined to many real virtues, had made an object of tender affection to the public. He possessed mildness of disposition, application to study and business, a capacity to learn and judge, and an attachment to equity and justice. He seems only to have contracted, from his educa-

tion and from the genius of the age in which he lived, too much of a narrow prepossession in matters of religion, which made him incline somewhat to bigotry and persecution; but as the bigotry of Protestants, less governed by priests, lies under more restraints than that of Catholics, the effects of this malignant quality were the less to be apprehended, if a longer life had been granted to young Edward.

CHAPTER XXXVI.

MARY.

Lady Jane Grey proclaimed queen.—Deserted by the people.—The queen proclaimed and acknowledged.—Northumberland executed.—Catholic religion restored.—A parliament.—Deliberations with regard to the queen's marriage.—Queen's marriage with Philip.—Wyat's insurrection.—Suppressed.—Execution of Lady Jane Grey.—A parliament.—Philip's arrival in England.

THE title of the Princess Mary, after the demise of her brother, was not exposed to any considerable difficulty; and the objections started by the Lady Jane's partisans were new and unheard of by the nation. Though all the Protestants, and even many of the Catholics, believed the marriage of Henry VIII. with Catherine of Arragon to be unlawful and invalid; yet, as it had been contracted by the parties without any criminal intention, had been avowed by their parents, recognized by the nation, and seemingly founded on those principles of law and religion which then prevailed, few imagined that their issue ought on that account to be regarded as illegitimate. A declaration to that purpose has indeed been extorted from parliament by the usual violence and caprice of Henry; but as that monarch had afterwards been induced to restore his daughter to the right of succession, her title was now become as legal and parliamentary as it was ever esteemed just and natural. The public had long been familiarised to these sentiments; during all the reign of Edward, the princess was regarded as his lawful successor; and though the Protestants dreaded the effects of her prejudices, the extreme hatred, universally entertained against the Dudleys (Sleidan, lib. 25), who, men foresaw would, under the name of Jane, be the real sovereigns, was more that sufficient to counterbalance even with that party, the attachment to religion. The last attempt to violate the order of succession, had displayed Northumberland's ambition and injustice in a full light; and when the people reflected on the long train of fraud, iniquity, and cruelty by which that project had been conducted; that the lives of the two Seymours, as well as the title of the princesses, had been sacrificed to it; they were moved by indignation to exert themselves in opposition to such criminal enterprises. The general veneration also paid to the memory of Henry VIII. prompted the nation to defend the rights of his posterity; and the miseries of the ancient wars were not so entirely forgotten, that men were willing, by a departure from the lawful heir, to incur the danger of like bloodshed and confusion.

Northumberland, sensible of the opposition which he must expect,

had carefully concealed the destination made by the king; and in order to bring the two princesses into his power, he had had the precaution to engage the council, before Edward's death, to write to them in that prince's name, desiring their attendance, on pretence that his infirm state of health required the assistance of their counsel and the consolation of their company (Heylin, p. 154). Edward expired before their arrival; but Northumberland, in order to make the princesses fall into the snare, kept the king's death still secret; and the Lady Mary had already reached Hoddesden, within half a day's journey of the court. Happily, the Earl of Arundel sent her private intelligence, both of her brother's death and of the conspiracy formed against her (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 233). She immediately made haste to retire; and she arrived, by quick journeys, first at Kenning Hall, in Norfolk, then at Framlingham, in Suffolk, where she purposed to embark and escape to Flanders, in case she should find it impossible to defend her right of succession. She wrote letters to the nobility and most considerable gentry in every county in England, commanding them to assist her in the defence of her crown and person. And she despatched a message to the council, by which she notified to them, that her brother's death was no longer a secret to her, promised them pardon for past offences, and required them immediately to give orders for proclaiming her in London (Fox, vol. iii., p. 14).

Northumberland found that further dissimulation was fruitless; he went to Sion House (Thuanus, lib. xiii., c. 10), accompanied by the Duke of Suffolk, the Earl of Pembroke, and others of the nobility; and he approached the Lady Jane, who resided there, with all the respect usually paid to the sovereign. Jane was, in a great measure, ignorant of these transactions; and it was with equal grief and surprise, that she received intelligence of them (Godwin in Kennet, p. 329; Heylin, p. 149; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 234). She was a lady of an amiable person, an engaging disposition, accomplished parts; and being of an equal age with the late king, she had received all her education with him, and seemed even to possess greater facility in acquiring every part of manly and polite literature. She had attained a familiar knowledge of the Roman and Greek languages, besides modern tongues; had passed most of her time in an application to learning; and expressed a great indifference for other occupations and amusements usual with her sex and station. Roger Ascham, tutor to the Lady Elizabeth, having one day paid her a visit, found her employed in reading Plato, while the rest of the family were engaged in a party of hunting in the park; and on his admiring the singularity of her choice, she told him, that she received more pleasure from that author than the others could reap from all their sport and gaiety (Ascham's works, pp. 222, 223). Her heart, full of this passion for literature and the elegant arts, and of tenderness towards her husband, who was deserving of her affections, had never opened itself to the flattering allurements of ambition; and the intelligence of her elevation to the throne was no-wise agreeable to her. She even refused to accept of the present; pleaded the preferable title of the two princesses; expressed her dread of the consequences attending an enterprise so dangerous, not to say so criminal; and desired to remain in the private

station in which she was born. Overcome at last by the entreaties, rather than the reasons, of her father and father-in-law, and above all of her husband, she submitted to their will, and was prevailed on to relinquish her own judgment. It was then usual for the Kings of England, after their accession, to pass the first days in the Tower; and Northumberland immediately conveyed thither the new sovereign. All the councillors were obliged to attend her to that fortress; and by this means became, in reality, prisoners in the hands of Northumberland; whose will they were necessitated to obey. Orders were given by the council to proclaim Jane throughout the kingdom; but these orders were executed only in London, and the neighbourhood. No applause ensued; the people heard the proclamation with silence and concern; some even expressed their scorn and contempt; and one Pot, a vintner's apprentice, was severely punished for this offence. The protestant teachers themselves, who were employed to convince the people of Jane's title, found their eloquence fruitless; and Ridley, Bishop of London, who preached a sermon to that purpose, wrought no effect upon his audience.

The people of Suffolk, meanwhile, paid their attendance on Mary. As they were much attached to the reformed communion, they could not forbear, amidst their tenders of duty, expressing apprehensions for their religion; but when she assured them, that she never meant to change the laws of Edward, they enlisted themselves in her cause with zeal and affection. The nobility and gentry daily flocked to her, and brought her reinforcement. The Earls of Bath and Sussex, the eldest sons of Lord Wharton and Lord Mordaunt, Sir William Drury, Sir Henry Benningfield, Sir Henry Jernegan, persons whose interest lay in the neighbourhood, appeared at the head of their tenants and retainers (Heylin, p. 160; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 237). Sir Edward Hastings, brother to the Earl of Huntingdon, having received a commission from the council to make levies for the Lady Jane, in Buckinghamshire, carried over his troops, which amounted to four thousand men, and joined Mary. Even a fleet, which had been sent by Northumberland to lie off the coast of Suffolk, being forced into Yarmouth by a storm, was engaged to declare for that princess.

Northumberland, hitherto blinded by ambition, saw at last the danger gather round him, and knew not to what hand to turn himself. He had levied forces which were assembled at London; but dreading the cabals of the courtiers and councillors, whose compliance he knew had been entirely the result of fear or artifice, he was resolved to keep near the person of the Lady Jane, and send Suffolk to command the army. But the counsellors, who wished to remove him,¹ working on the filial tenderness of Jane, magnified to her the danger to which her father would be exposed; and represented, that Northumberland, who had gained reputation by formerly suppressing a rebellion in those parts, was more proper to command in that enterprize. The duke himself, who knew the slender capacity of Suffolk, began to think, that none but himself was able to encounter the present danger; and he agreed to take on him the command of the troops. The counsellors attended on him at his departure with the highest protestations of

¹ Godwin, p. 330; Heylin, p. 159; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 239; Fox, vol. iii., p. 15.

attachment, and none more than Arundel, his mortal enemy (Heylin, p. 161; Baker, p. 315; Holingshed, p. 1086). As he went along, he remarked the disaffection of the people, which foreboded a fatal issue to his ambitious hopes. 'Many,' said he to Lord Grey, 'come out to look 'at us, but I find not one who cries, "God speed you!"' (Speed, p. 816).

The duke had no sooner reached St. Edmondsbury, than he found his army, which did not exceed six thousand men, too weak to encounter the Queen's (Godwin, p. 331), which amounted to double the number. He wrote to the council, desiring them to send him a reinforcement; and the councillors immediately laid hold of the opportunity to free themselves from confinement. They left the Tower, as if they meant to execute Northumberland's commands; but being assembled in Baynard's castle, a house belonging to Pembroke, they deliberated concerning the method of shaking off his usurped tyranny. Arundel began the conference, by representing the injustice and cruelty of Northumberland, the exorbitancy of his ambition, the criminal enterprise which he had projected, and the guilt in which he had involved the whole council; and he affirmed, that the only method of making atonement for their past offences, was by a speedy return to the duty which they owed to their lawful sovereign (Godwin, pp. 331, 332; Thuanus, lib. xiii.). This motion was seconded by Pembroke, who, clapping his hand to his sword, swore he was ready to fight any man that expressed himself of a contrary sentiment. The mayor and aldermen of London were immediately sent for, who discovered great alacrity in obeying the orders they received to proclaim Mary. The people expressed their approbation by shouts of applause. Even Suffolk, who commanded in the Tower, finding resistance fruitless, opened the gates, and declared for the queen. The Lady Jane, after the vain pageantry of wearing a crown during ten days, returned to a private life with more satisfaction than she felt when the royalty was tendered to her (Godwin, p. 332; Thuanus, lib. xiii., c. 2); and the messengers, who were sent to Northumberland, with orders to lay down his arms, found that he had despaired of success, was deserted by all his followers, and had already proclaimed the queen, with exterior marks of joy and satisfaction (Stowe, p. 612). The people everywhere on the queen's approach to London, gave sensible expressions of their loyalty and attachment. And the Lady Elizabeth met her at the head of a thousand horse, which that princess had levied in order to support their joint title against the usurper (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 240; Heylin, p. 19; Stowe, p. 613).

The queen gave orders for taking into custody the Duke of Northumberland, who fell on his knees to the Earl of Arundel that arrested him, and abjectly begged his life.¹ At the same time were committed the Earl of Warwick, his eldest son, Lord Ambrose and Lord Henry Dudley, two of his younger sons, Sir Andrew Dudley, his brother, the Marquis of Northampton, the Earl of Huntingdon, Sir Thomas Palmer, and Sir John Gates. The queen afterwards confined the Duke of Suffolk, Lady Jane Grey, and Lord Guilford Dudley. But Mary was desirous, in the beginning of her reign, to acquire popularity by the appearance of clemency; and because the councillors pleaded con-

¹ Burnet, vol. ii., p. 239; Stowe, p. 612; Baker, p. 315; Holingshed, p. 1088.

straint as an excuse for their treason, she extended her pardon to most of them. Suffolk himself recovered his liberty; and he owed this indulgence, in a great measure, to the contempt entertained of his capacity. But the guilt of Northumberland was too great, as well as his ambition and courage too dangerous, to permit him to entertain any reasonable hopes of life. When brought to his trial, he only desired permission to ask two questions of the peers, appointed to sit on his jury; whether a man could be guilty of treason that obeyed orders given him by the council under the great seal? and whether those who were involved in the same guilt with himself could sit as his judges? Being told that the great seal of an usurper was no authority, and that persons not lying under any sentence of attainder, were still innocent in the eye of the law, and might be admitted on any jury;¹ he acquiesced, and pleaded guilty. At his execution (A.D. 1553, Aug. 22) he made profession of the catholic religion, and told the people that they never would enjoy tranquillity till they returned to the faith of their ancestors; whether that such were his real sentiments, which he had formerly disguised, from interest and ambition, or that he hoped by this declaration, to render the queen more favourable to his family.² Sir Thomas Palmer and Sir John Gates suffered with him; and this was all the blood spilled on account of so dangerous and criminal an enterprise against the rights of the sovereign. Sentence was pronounced against the Lady Jane and Lord Guilford; but without any present intention of putting it in execution. The youth and innocence of the persons, neither of whom had reached their seventeenth year, pleaded sufficiently in their favour.

When Mary first arrived in the Tower, the Duke of Norfolk, who had been detained prisoner during all the last reign; Courtney, son of the Marquis of Exeter, who, without being charged with any crime, had been subjected to the same punishment ever since his father's attainder; Gardiner, Tunstal, and Bonner, who had been confined for their adhering to the Catholic cause, appeared before her, and implored her clemency and protection (Heylin, p. 20; Stowe, p. 613; Holingshed, p. 1088). They were all of them restored to their liberty, and immediately admitted to her confidence and favour. Norfolk's attainder, notwithstanding that it had passed in parliament, was represented as null and invalid; because, among other informalities, no special matter had been alleged against him, except wearing a coat of arms, which he and his ancestors, without giving any offence, had always made use of, in the face of the court and of the whole nation. Courtney soon after received the title of Earl of Devonshire, and though educated in such close confinement, that he was altogether unacquainted with the world, he soon acquired all the accomplishments of a courtier and a gentleman, and made a considerable figure during the few years which he lived after he recovered his liberty (Depeches de Noailles, vol. ii., p. 246, 247). Besides performing all those popular acts, which, though they affected individuals, were very acceptable to the nation, the queen endeavoured to ingratiate herself with the public, by granting a general pardon, though with some exceptions, and by

¹ Burnet, vol. ii., p. 243; Helin, p. 18; Baker, p. 316; Holingshed, p. 1089.

² Heylin, p. 19; Burnet; vol. iii., p. 243; Stowe, p. 614.

remitting the subsidy voted to her brother by the last parliament (Stowe, p. 616).

The joy arising from the succession of the lawful heir, and from the gracious demeanour of the sovereign, hindered not the people from being agitated with great anxiety concerning the state of religion; and as the bulk of the nation inclined to the Protestant communion, the apprehensions entertained concerning the principles and prejudices of the new queen were pretty general. The legitimacy of Mary's birth had appeared to be somewhat connected with the papal authority; and that princess, being educated with her mother, had imbibed the strongest attachment to the Catholic communion, and the highest aversion to those new tenets, whence, she believed, all the misfortunes of her family had originally sprung. The discouragements which she lay under from her father, though at last they brought her to comply with his will, tended still more to increase her disgust to the reformers, and the vexations which the protector and the council gave her, during Edward's reign, had no other effect than to confirm her further in her prejudices. Naturally of a sour and obstinate temper, and irritated by contradiction and misfortunes, she possessed all the qualities fitted to compose a bigot; and her extreme ignorance rendered her utterly incapable of doubt in her own belief, or of indulgence to the opinions of others. The nation, therefore, had great reason to dread, not only the abolition, but the persecution of the established religion from the zeal of Mary; and it was not long ere she discovered her intentions.

Gardiner, Bonner, Tunstal, Day, Heath, and Vesey, were reinstated in their sees, either by a direct act of power, or, what is nearly the same, by the sentence of commissioners appointed to review their trial and condemnation. Though the bishopric of Durham had been dissolved by authority of parliament, the queen erected it anew by letters-patent, and replaced Tunstal in his regalities as well as his revenue. On pretence of discouraging controversy, she silenced, by an act of prerogative, all the preachers throughout England, except such as should obtain a particular licence, and it was easy to foresee that none but the Catholics would be favoured with this privilege. Holgate, Archbishop of York; Coverdale, Bishop of Exeter; Ridley, of London; and Hooper, of Gloucester, were thrown into prison, whither old Latimer also was sent soon after. The zealous bishops and priests were encouraged in their forwardness to revive the mass, though contrary to the present laws. Judge Hales, who had discovered such constancy in defending the queen's title, lost all his merit by an opposition to those illegal practices, and being committed to custody, was treated with such severity that he fell into frenzy and killed himself. The men of Suffolk were brow-beaten, because they presumed to plead the promise which the queen, when they enlisted themselves in her service, had given them of maintaining the reformed religion; one, in particular, was set in the pillory, because he had been too peremptory in recalling to her memory the engagements which she had taken on that occasion. And though the queen still promised, in a public declaration before the council, to tolerate those who differed from her, men foresaw that this engagement, like the former, would prove but a feeble security when set in opposition to religious prejudices.

The merits of Cranmer towards the queen, during the reign of Henry, had been considerable, and he had successfully employed his good offices in mitigating the severe prejudices which that monarch had entertained against her. But the active part which he had borne in promoting her mother's divorce, as well as in conducting the reformation, had made him the object of her hatred; and though Gardiner had been equally forward in soliciting and defending the divorce, he had afterwards made sufficient atonement, by his sufferings in defence of the Catholic cause. The primate, therefore, had reason to expect little favour during the present reign, but it was by his own indiscreet zeal that he brought on himself the first violence and persecution. A report being spread that Cranmer, in order to pay court to the queen, had promised to officiate in the Latin service, the archbishop, to wipe off this aspersion, published a manifesto in his own defence. Among other expressions, he there said that, as the devil was a liar from the beginning, and the father of lies, he had at this time stirred up his servants to persecute Christ and His true religion; that this infernal spirit now endeavoured to restore the Latin satisfactory masses, a thing of his own invention and device, and in order to effect his purpose had falsely made use of Cranmer's name and authority; and that the mass is not only without foundation, either in the Scriptures or in the practice of the primitive Church, but likewise discovers a plain contradiction to antiquity and the inspired writings, and is besides replete with many horrid blasphemies.¹ On the publication of this inflammatory paper Cranmer was thrown into prison, and was tried for the part which he had acted, in concurring with Lady Jane, and opposing the queen's accession. Sentence of high treason was pronounced against him; and though his guilt was shared with the whole privy council, and was even less than that of the greater part of them, this sentence, however severe, must be allowed entirely legal. The execution of it, however, did not follow, and Cranmer was reserved for a more cruel punishment.

Peter Martyr, seeing a persecution gathering against the reformers, desired leave to withdraw,² and while some zealous Catholics moved for his commitment, Gardiner both pleaded that he had come over by an invitation from the government, and generously furnished him with supplies for his journey; but as bigoted zeal still increased, his wife's body, which had been interred at Oxford, was afterwards dug up by public orders, and buried in a dunghill (Heylin, p. 26). The bones of Bucer and Fagius, two foreign reformers, were about the same time committed to the flames at Cambridge.³ John à Lasco was first silenced, then ordered to depart the kingdom with his congregation. The greater part of the foreign Protestants followed him, and the nation thereby lost many useful hands for arts and manufactures. Several English Protestants also took shelter in foreign parts, and everything bore a dismal aspect for the reformation.

During this revolution of the court no protection was expected by Protestants from the parliament which was summoned to assemble (Oct. 5).

¹ Fox, vol. iii., p. 94; Heylin, p. 25; Godwin, p. 336; Burnet, vol. ii., Coll. No. 8; Cranm. Mem., p. 305; Thuanus, lib. xiii., c. 3.

² Heylin, p. 26; Godwin, p. 336; Cranm. Mem., p. 317. *Saunders de Schism. Anglic.

A zealous reformer¹ pretends that great violence and iniquity were used in the elections, but besides that the authority of this writer is inconsiderable, that practice, as the necessities of government seldom required it, had not hitherto been often employed in England. There still remained such numbers devoted, by opinion or affection, to many principles of the ancient religion, that the authority of the crown was able to give such candidates the preference in most elections; and all those who hesitated to comply with the court religion, rather declined taking a seat which, while it rendered them obnoxious to the queen, could afterwards afford them no protection against the violence of prerogative. It soon appeared, therefore, that a majority of the commons would be obsequious to Mary's designs; and as the peers were mostly attached to the court, from interest or expectations, little opposition was expected from that quarter.

In opening the parliament, the court showed a contempt of the laws, by celebrating, before the two houses, a mass of the Holy Ghost, in the Latin tongue, attended with all the ancient rites and ceremonies, though abolished by act of parliament (Fox, vol. iii., p. 19). Taylor, Bishop of Lincoln, having refused to kneel at this service, was severely handled, and was violently thrust out of the house (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 252). The queen, however, still retained the title of supreme head of the Church of England; and it was generally pretended, that the intention of the court was only to restore religion to the same condition in which it had been left by Henry, but that the other abuses of popery, which were the most grievous to the nation, would never be revived.

The first bill passed by the parliament was of a popular nature, and abolished every species of treason not contained in the statute of Edward III., and every species of felony that did not subsist before the first of Henry VIII.² The parliament next declared the queen to be legitimate, ratified the marriage of Henry with Catherine of Arragon, and annulled the divorce pronounced by Cranmer (Mariæ, sess. 2, c. 1), whom they greatly blamed on that account. No mention, however, is made of the Pope's authority, as any ground of the marriage. All the statutes of King Edward, with regard to religion, were repealed by one vote (1 Mariæ, sess. 2, c. 1). The attainder of the Duke of Norfolk was reversed; and this act of justice was more reasonable than the declaring of that attainder invalid, without further authority. Many clauses of the riot act, passed in the late reign, were revived; a step which eluded, in a great measure, the popular statute enacted at the first meeting of parliament.

Notwithstanding the compliance of the two houses with the queen's inclinations, they had still a reserve in certain articles; and her choice of a husband, in particular, was of such importance to national interest, that they were determined not to submit tamely, in that respect, to her will and pleasure. There were three marriages (Thuan., lib. ii., c. 3), concerning which it was supposed that Mary had deliberated

¹ Beale. But Fox, who lived at the time, and is very minute in his narratives, says nothing of the matter, vol. iii., p. 16.

² Mariæ, sess. i., c. 1. By this repeal, though it was in general popular, the clause of 5 and 6 Edw. VI., c. 11, was lost, which requires the confronting of two witnesses, in order to prove any treason.

after her accession. The first person proposed to her was Courtney, Earl of Devonshire, who, being an Englishman, nearly allied to the crown, could not fail of being acceptable to the nation; and as he was of an engaging person and address, he had visibly gained on the queen's affections,¹ and hints were dropped him of her favourable dispositions towards him (Godwin, p. 339). But that noblemen neglected these overtures; and seemed rather to attach himself to the Lady Elizabeth, whose youth and agreeable conversation he preferred to all the power and grandeur of her sister. This choice occasioned a great coldness in Mary towards Devonshire; and made her break out in a declared animosity against Elizabeth. The ancient quarrel between their mothers had sunk deep into the malignant heart of the queen; and after the declaration made by parliament in favour of Catherine's marriage, she wanted not a pretence for representing the birth of her sister as illegitimate. The attachment of Elizabeth to the reformed religion offended Mary's bigotry; and as the young princess had made some difficulty in disguising her sentiments, violent menaces had been employed to bring her to compliance (Dep. de Noailles, vol. ii. *passim*). But when the queen found that Elizabeth had obstructed her views in a point which, perhaps, touched her still more nearly, her resentment, excited by pride, no longer knew any bounds; and the princess was visibly exposed to the greatest danger (Heylin, p. 31; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 255).

Cardinal Pole, who had never taken priest's orders, was another party proposed to the queen; and there appeared many reasons to induce her to make choice of this prelate. The high character of Pole for virtue and humanity; the great regard paid him by the Catholic Church, of which he had nearly reached the highest dignity on the death of Paul III. (Father Paul, book iii.); the queen's affection for the Countess of Salisbury, his mother, who had once been her governess; the violent animosity to which he had been exposed on account of his attachment to the Romish communion,—all these considerations had a powerful influence on Mary. But the cardinal was now in the decline of life; and having contracted habits of study and retirement, he was represented to her as unqualified for the bustle of a court, and the hurry of business (Heylin, p. 31). The queen, therefore, dropped all thoughts of that alliance; but as she entertained a great regard for Pole's wisdom and virtue, she still intended to reap the benefit of his counsel in the administration of her government. She secretly entered into a negotiation with Commendone, an agent of Cardinal Dandino, legate at Brussels; she sent assurances to the Pope, then Julius III., of her earnest desire to reconcile herself and her kingdoms to the holy see; and desired that Pole might be appointed legate for the performance of that pious office (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 258).

These two marriages being rejected, the queen cast her eye towards the emperor's family, from which her mother was descended, and which, during her own distresses, had always afforded her countenance and protection. Charles V., who a few years before was almost absolute master of Germany, had exercised his power in such an arbitrary manner, that he gave extreme disgust to the nation, who apprehended

¹ *Depeches de Noailles*, vol. ii., pp. 147, 163, 214, 415, vol. iii., p. 27.

the total extinction of their liberties from the encroachments of that monarch (Thuanus, lib. iv., c. 17). Religion had served him as a pretence for his usurpations; and from the same principle he met with that opposition which overthrew his grandeur and dashed all his ambitious hopes. Maurice, Elector of Saxony, enraged that the landgrave of Hesse, who, by his advice, and on his assurances, had put himself into the emperor's hands, should be unjustly detained a prisoner, formed a secret conspiracy among the Protestant princes; and covering his attentions with the most artful disguises, he suddenly marched his forces against Charles, and narrowly missed becoming master of his person. The Protestants flew to arms in every quarter; and their insurrection, aided by an invasion from France, reduced the emperor to such difficulties, that he obliged to submit to terms of peace, which insured the independency of Germany. To retrieve his honour, he made an attack on France; and laying siege to Metz, with an army of 100,000 men, he conducted the enterprise in person, and seemed determined, at all hazards, to succeed in an undertaking which had fixed the attention of Europe. But the Duke of Guise, who defended Metz, with a garrison composed of the bravest nobility of France, exerted such vigilance, conduct, and valour, that the siege was protracted to the depth of winter; and the emperor found it dangerous to persevere any longer. He retired with the remains of his army into the Low Countries, much dejected with that reverse of fortune, which, in his declining years, had so fatally overtaken him.

No sooner did Charles hear of the death of Edward, and the accession of his kinswoman Mary to the crown of England, than he formed the scheme of acquiring that kingdom to his family; and he hoped by this incident, to balance all the losses which he had sustained in Germany. His son Philip was a widower; and though he was only twenty-seven years of age, eleven years younger than the queen, this objection, it was thought, would be overlooked, and there was no reason to despair of her still having a numerous issue. The emperor, therefore, immediately sent over an agent to signify his intentions to Mary, who, pleased with the support of so powerful an alliance, and glad to unite herself more closely with her mother's family, to which she was ever strongly attached, readily embraced the proposal. Norfolk, Arundel, and Paget, gave their advice for the match; and Gardiner, who was become prime minister, and who had been promoted to the office of chancellor, finding how Mary's inclinations lay, seconded the project of the Spanish alliance. At the same time, he represented both to her and the emperor, the necessity of stopping all further innovations in religion, till the completion of the marriage. He observed that the parliament, amidst all their compliances, had discovered evident symptoms of jealousy, and seemed at present determined to grant no further concessions in favour of the Catholic religion: that though they might make a sacrifice to their sovereign of some speculative principles, which they did not well comprehend, or of some rites, which seemed not of any great moment, they had imbibed such strong prejudices against the pretended usurpations and exactions of the court of Rome, that they would with great difficulty be again brought to submit to its authority: that the danger of resuming the

abbey lands would alarm the nobility and gentry, and induce them to encourage the prepossessions, which were but too general among the people, against the doctrine and worship of the Catholic Church: that much pains had been taken to prejudice the nation against the Spanish alliance; and if that point were urged, at the same time with further changes in religion, it would hazard a general revolt and insurrection: that the marriage, being once completed, would give authority to the queen's measures, and enable her afterwards to forward the pious work in which she was engaged: and that it was even necessary previously to reconcile the people to the marriage, by rendering the conditions extremely favourable to the English, and such as would seem to ensure to them their independency, and the entire possession of their ancient laws and privileges (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 261).

The emperor, well acquainted with the prudence and experience of Gardiner, assented to all these reasons; and he endeavoured to temper the zeal of Mary, by representing the necessity of proceeding gradually in the great work of converting the nation. Hearing that cardinal Pole, more sincere in his religious opinions, and less guided by the maxims of human policy, after having sent contrary advice to the queen, had set out on his journey to England, where he was to exercise his legantine commission; he thought proper to stop him at Dillinghen, a town on the Danube, and he afterwards obtained Mary's consent for this detention. The negotiation for the marriage meanwhile proceeded apace, and Mary's intentions of espousing Philip became generally known to the nation. The Commons, who hoped that they had gained the queen by the concessions which they had already made, were alarmed to hear that she was resolved to contract a foreign alliance; and they sent a committee to remonstrate in strong terms against that dangerous measure. To prevent further applications of the same kind, she thought proper (Dec. 6, 1553) to dissolve the parliament.

A convocation had been summoned at the same time with the parliament, and the majority here also appeared to be of the court religion. An offer was very frankly made by the Romanists, to dispute concerning the points controverted between the two communions; and as transubstantiation was the article which, of all others, they deemed the clearest, and founded on the most irresistible arguments, they chose to try their strength by defending it. The Protestants pushed the dispute as far as the clamour and noise of their antagonists would permit, and they fondly imagined that they had obtained some advantage, when in the course of the debate they obliged the Catholics to avow, that according to their doctrine, Christ had in His last supper held Himself in His hand, and had swallowed and eaten Himself (Collier, vol. ii., p. 356; Fox, vol. iii., p. 22). This triumph, however, was confined only to their own party; the Romanists maintained that their champions had clearly the better of the day, that their adversaries were blind and obstinate heretics, that nothing but the most extreme depravity of heart could induce men to contest such self-evident principles, and that the severest punishments were due to their perverse wickedness. So pleased were they with their superiority in this favourite point, that they soon after renewed the

dispute at Oxford; and to show that they feared no force of learning or abilities, where reason was so evidently on their side, they sent thither Cranmer, Latimer, and Ridley, under a guard, to try whether these renowned controversialists could find any appearance of argument to defend their baffled principles (*Mem. Cranm.*, p. 354; *Heylin*, p. 50). The issue of the debate was very different from what it appeared to be a few years before, in a famous conference held at the same place, during the reign of Edward.

After the parliament and convocation were dismissed, the new laws with regard to religion, though they had been anticipated in most places by the zeal of the Catholics, countenanced by government, were still more openly put in execution; the mass was everywhere re-established, and marriage was declared to be incompatible with any spiritual office. It has been asserted by some writers, that three-fourths of the clergy were at this time deprived of their livings, though other historians more accurate (*Harmer*, p. 138) have estimated the number of sufferers to be far short of this proportion. A visitation was appointed in order to restore more perfectly the mass and the ancient rites. Among other articles, the commissioners were enjoined to forbid the oath of supremacy to be taken by the clergy on their receiving any benefice.¹ It is to be observed, that this oath had been established by the laws of Hen. VIII. which were still in force.

This violent and sudden change of religion inspired the Protestants with great discontent, and even affected indifferent spectators with concern, by the hardships to which so many individuals were on that account exposed. But the Spanish match was a point of more general concern, and diffused universal apprehensions for the liberty and independence of the nation. To obviate all clamour, the articles of marriage were drawn as favourable as possible for the interest and security, and even grandeur of England. It was agreed that though Philip should have the title of king, the administration should be entirely in the queen; that no foreigner should be capable of enjoying any office in the kingdom; that no innovation should be made in the English laws, customs, and privileges; that Philip should not carry the queen abroad without her consent, nor any of her children without the consent of the nobility; that 60,000*l.* a year should be settled as her jointure; that the male issue of this marriage should inherit, together with England, both Burgundy and the Low Countries; and that if Don Carlos, Philip's son by his former marriage, should die and his line be extinct, the queen's issue, whether male or female, should inherit Spain, Sicily, Milan, and all the other dominions of Philip (*Rymer*, xv., p. 377). Such was the treaty of marriage signed (Jan. 15) by Count Egmont, and three other ambassadors sent over to England by the emperor (*Depeches de Noailles*, vol. ii., p. 299).

These articles, when published, gave no satisfaction to the nation; it was universally said that the emperor, in order to get possession of England, would verbally agree to any terms, and the greater advantage there appeared in the conditions which he granted, the more certainly might it be concluded, that he had no serious intention of observing them; that the usual fraud and ambition of that monarch might

¹ *Collier*, vol. ii., p. 364; *Fox*, vol. iii., p. 38; *Heylin*, p. 35; *Sleipen*, lib. 25.

assure the nation of such a conduct; and his son Philip, while he inherited these vices from his father, added to them tyranny, sullenness, pride, and barbarity, more dangerous vices of his own; that England would become a province, and a province to a kingdom which usually exercised the most violent authority over all her dependent dominions; that the Netherlands, Milan, Sicily, Naples, groaned under the burden of Spanish tyranny, and throughout all the new conquests in America there had been displayed scenes of unrelenting cruelty hitherto unknown in the history of mankind; that the Inquisition was a tribunal invented by that tyrannical nation, and would infallibly, with all their other laws and institutions, be introduced into England; and that the divided sentiments of the people with regard to religion would subject multitudes to this iniquitous tribunal, and would reduce the whole nation to the most abject servitude (Heylin, p. 32; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 268; Godwin, p. 339).

These complaints being diffused everywhere, prepared the people for a rebellion; and had any foreign power given them encouragement, or any great man appeared to head them, the consequences might have proved fatal to the queen's authority. But the King of France, though engaged in hostilities with the emperor, refused to concur in any proposal for an insurrection, lest he should afford Mary a pretence for declaring war against him (*Depeches de Noailles*, vol. ii., p. 249; vol. iii., pp. 17, 58). And the more prudent part of the nobility thought that as the evils of the Spanish alliance were only dreaded at a distance, matters were not yet fully prepared for a general revolt. Some persons, however, more turbulent than the rest, believed that it would be safer to prevent than to redress grievances, and they formed a conspiracy to rise in arms, and declare against the queen's marriage with Philip. Sir Thomas Wyatt purposed to raise Kent, Sir Peter Carew Devonshire, and they engaged the Duke of Suffolk, by the hopes of recovering the crown for the Lady Jane, to attempt raising the midland counties (Heylin, p. 33; Godwin, p. 340). Carew's impatience or apprehensions engaged him to break the concert, and to rise in arms before the day appointed; he was soon suppressed by the Earl of Bedford, and constrained to fly into France. On this intelligence, Suffolk, dreading an arrest, suddenly left the town, with his brothers, Lord Thomas, and Lord Leonard Gray, and endeavoured to raise the people in the counties of Warwick and Leicester, where his interest lay, but he was so closely pursued by the Earl of Huntingdon, at the head of 300 horse, that he was obliged to disperse his followers, and being discovered in his concealment he was carried prisoner to London (*Fox*, vol. iii., p. 30). Wyatt was at first more successful in his attempt, and having published a declaration at Maidstone in Kent, against the queen's evil counsellors, and against the Spanish match, without any mention of religion, the people began to flock to his standard. The Duke of Norfolk, with Sir Henry Jernegan, was sent against him, at the head of the guards and some other troops, reinforced by 500 Londoners commanded by Bret; and he came within sight of the rebels at Rochester, where they had fixed their headquarters. Sir George Harper here pretended to desert from them, but having secretly gained Bret, these two malcontents so wrought on the

Londoners, that the whole body deserted to Wyatt, and declared that they would not contribute to enslave their native country. Norfolk, dreading the contagion of the example, immediately retreated with his troops, and took shelter in the city.¹

After this proof of the dispositions of the people, especially of the Londoners, who were mostly Protestants, Wyatt was encouraged to proceed; he led his forces to Southwark, where he required of the queen that she should put the Tower into his hands, should deliver four counsellors as hostages, and, in order to ensure the liberty of the nation, should immediately marry an Englishman. Finding that the bridge was secured against him, and that the city was overawed, he marched up to Kingston, where he passed the river with 4000 men, and returning towards London, hoped to encourage his partisans, who had engaged to declare for him. He had imprudently wasted so much time at Southwark, and in his march from Kingston, that the critical season on which all popular commotions depend was entirely lost; though he entered Westminster without resistance, his followers, finding that no person of note joined him, insensibly fell off, and he was (Feb. 6) at last seized near Temple Bar by Sir Maurice Berkeley.² Four hundred persons are said to have suffered for this rebellion (*Depeches de Noailles*, vol. iii., p. 124); 400 more were conducted before the queen with ropes about their necks, and falling on their knees received a pardon, and were dismissed. Wyatt was condemned and executed; as it had been reported that on his examination he had accused the Lady Elizabeth and the Earl of Devonshire as accomplices, he took care on the scaffold, before the whole people, fully to acquit them of having any share in his rebellion.

The Lady Elizabeth had been during some time treated with great harshness by her sister, and many studied instances of discouragement and disrespect had been practised against her. She was ordered to take place at court after the Countess of Lennox and the Duchess of Suffolk, as if she were not legitimate (*Ibid.*, vol. ii., pp. 273, 288); her friends were discountenanced on every occasion; and while her virtues, which were now become eminent, drew to her the attendance of all the young nobility, and rendered her the favourite of the nation (*Ibid.*, p. 273), the malevolence of the queen still discovered itself every day by fresh symptoms, and obliged the princess to retire into the country. Mary seized the opportunity of this rebellion, and hoping to involve her sister in some appearance of guilt, sent for her under a strong guard, committed her to the Tower, and ordered her to be strictly examined by the council. But the public declaration made by Wyatt rendered it impracticable to employ against her any false evidence which might have offered, and the princess made so good a defence, that the queen found herself under a necessity of releasing her.³ In order to send her out of the kingdom, a marriage was offered her with the Duke of Savoy, and when she declined the proposal she was committed to custody under a strong guard at Woodstock

¹ Heylin, p. 33; Godwin, p. 341; Stow, p. 619; Baker, p. 318; Holingshed, p. 1094.

² Fox, vol. iii., p. 31; Heylin, p. 34; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 270; Stow, p. 621.

³ Godwin, p. 343; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 272; Fox, vol. iii., pp. 99, 105; Strype's *Mem.*, vol. iii., p. 85.

(*Depeches de Noailles*, vol. iii., p. 226). The Earl of Devonshire, though equally innocent, was confined in Fotheringay castle.

But this rebellion proved still more fatal to the Lady Jane Grey, as well as to her husband; the Duke of Suffolk's guilt was imputed to her; and though the rebels and malcontents seemed chiefly to rest their hopes on the Lady Elizabeth and the Earl of Devonshire, the queen, incapable of generosity or clemency, determined to remove every person from whom the least danger could be apprehended. Warning was given the Lady Jane to prepare for death; a doom which she had long expected, and which the innocence of her life, as well as the misfortunes to which she had been exposed, rendered nowise unwelcome to her. The queen's zeal, under colour of tender mercy to the prisoner's soul, induced her to send divines, who harassed her with perpetual disputation; and even a reprieve for three days was granted her, in hopes that she would be persuaded during that time to pay by a timely conversion some regard to her eternal welfare. The Lady Jane had presence of mind in those melancholy circumstances, not only to defend her religion by all the topics then in use, but also to write a letter to her sister (Fox, vol. iii., p. 35; Heylin, p. 166) in the Greek language; in which, besides sending her a copy of the Scriptures in that tongue, she exhorted her to maintain, in every fortune, a like steady perseverance. On the day of her execution (A.D. 1554, Feb. 12), her husband, Lord Guilford, desired permission to see her; but she refused her consent, and informed him by a message, that the tenderness of their parting would overcome the fortitude of both, and would too much unbend their minds from that constancy which their approaching end required of them: their separation, she said, would be only for a moment; and they would soon rejoin each other in a scene, where their affections would be for ever united, and where death, disappointment, and misfortunes could no longer have access to them, or disturb their eternal felicity (Heylin, p. 167).

It had been intended to execute the Lady Jane and Lord Guilford together on the same scaffold at Tower Hill; but the council, dreading the compassion of the people for their youth, beauty, innocence, and noble birth, changed their orders, and gave directions that she should be beheaded within the verge of the Tower. She saw her husband led to execution; and having given him from the window some token of her remembrance, she waited with tranquillity till her own appointed hour should bring her to a like fate. She even saw his headless body carried back in a cart; and found herself more confirmed by the reports which she heard of the constancy of his end, than shaken by so tender and melancholy a spectacle. Sir John Gage, constable of the Tower, when he led her to execution, desired her to bestow on him some small present, which he might keep as a perpetual memorial of her; she gave him her table-book, on which she had just written three sentences on seeing her husband's dead body; one in Greek, another in Latin, a third in English (Heylin, p. 167). The purport of them was, that human justice was against his body, but Divine mercy would be favourable to his soul; that, if her fault deserved punishment, her youth, at least, and her imprudence were worthy of excuse; and that God and posterity, she trusted, would show her favour. On the

scaffold, she made a speech to the bystanders; in which the mildness of her disposition led her to take the blame wholly on herself, without uttering one complaint against the severity with which she had been treated. She said, that her offence was not the having laid her hand upon the crown, but the not rejecting it with sufficient constancy: that she had less erred through ambition than through reverence to her parents, whom she had been taught to respect and obey; that she willingly received death, as the only satisfaction which she could now make to the injured state; and though her infringement of the laws had been constrained, she would show, by her voluntary submission to their sentence, that she was desirous to atone for that disobedience into which too much filial piety had betrayed her; that she had justly deserved this punishment for being made the instrument, though the unwilling instrument, of the ambition of others, and that the story of her life she hoped might at least be useful, by proving that innocence excuses not great misdeeds, if they tend anywise to the destruction of the commonwealth. After uttering these words, she caused herself to be disrobed by her women; and, with a steady serene countenance, submitted herself to the executioner (Heylin, p. 167; Fox, vol. iii., pp 36, 37; Holingshed, 1099).

The Duke of Suffolk was tried, condemned, and executed soon after; and would have met with more compassion, had not his temerity been the cause of his daughter's untimely end. Lord Thomas Grey lost his life for the same crime. Sir Nicholas Throgmorton was tried in Guildhall, but there appearing no satisfactory evidence against him, he was able, by making an admirable defence, to obtain a verdict of the jury in his favour. The queen was so enraged at the disappointment, that instead of releasing him as the law required, she re-committed him to the Tower, and kept him in close confinement during some time. But her resentment stopped not here: the jury, being summoned before the council, were all sent to prison, and afterwards fined, some of them a thousand pounds, others two thousand a-piece.¹ This violence proved fatal to several; among others to Sir John Throgmorton, brother to Sir Nicholas, who was condemned on no better evidence than had formerly been rejected. The queen filled the Tower and all the prisons with nobility and gentry, whom their interest with the nation, rather than any appearance of guilt, had made the objects of her suspicion. And finding that she was universally hated, she determined to disable the people from resistance, by ordering general musters, and directing the commissioners to seize their arms, and lay them up in forts and castles (Dep. de Noailles, vol. iii., p. 98).

Though the government laboured under so general an odium, the queen's authority had received such an increase from the suppression of Wyatt's rebellion, that the ministry hoped to find a compliant disposition in the new parliament, which was summoned (April 5) to assemble. The emperor also, in order to facilitate the same end, had borrowed no less a sum than 400,000 crowns, which he had sent over to England, to be distributed in bribes and pensions among the members; a pernicious practice, of which there had not hitherto been any

¹ Fox, vol. iii., p. 99; Stow, p. 624; Baker, p. 320; Holingshed, pp. 1104, 1121; Strype, vol. iii., p. 120; Dep. de Noailles, vol. iii., p. 173.

instance in England. And not to give the public any alarm with regard to the Church lands, the queen, notwithstanding her bigotry, resumed her title of Supreme Head of the Church, which she had dropped three months before. Gardiner, the chancellor, opened the session by a speech; in which he asserted the queen's hereditary title to the crown; maintained her right of choosing a husband for herself; observed how proper a use she had made of that right, by giving the preference to an old ally, descended from the House of Burgundy; and remarked the failure of Henry VIII.'s posterity, of whom there now remained none but the queen and the Lady Elizabeth. He added, that in order to obviate the inconveniences which might arise from different pretenders, it was necessary to invest the queen, by law, with a power of disposing of the crown, and of appointing her successor; a power, he said, which was not to be thought unprecedented in England, since it had formerly been conferred on Henry VIII. (*Depeches de Noailles*).

The parliament was much disposed to gratify the queen in all her desires; but when the liberty, independency, and very being of the nation were in such visible danger, they could not by any means be brought to compliance. They knew both the inveterate hatred which she bore to the Lady Elizabeth, and her devoted attachment to the house of Austria; they were acquainted with her extreme bigotry, which would lead her to postpone all considerations of justice or national interest to the establishment of the Catholic religion; they remarked that Gardiner had carefully avoided, in his speech, the giving to Elizabeth the appellation of the queen's sister; and they thence concluded that a design was formed of excluding her as illegitimate: they expected that Mary, if invested with such a power as she required, would make a will in her husband's favour, and thereby render England for ever a province to the Spanish monarchy; and they were the more alarmed with these projects, as they heard that Philip's descent from the house of Lancaster was carefully insisted on, and that he was publicly represented as the true and only heir to the crown by right of inheritance.

The parliament therefore, aware of their danger, were determined to keep at a distance from the precipice which lay before them. They could not avoid ratifying the articles of marriage (1 Mar. Parl., ii., cap. 2), which were drawn very favourable for England; but they declined the passing of any such law as the chancellor pointed out to them: they would not so much as declare it treason to imagine or attempt the death of the queen's husband, while she was alive; and a bill, introduced for that purpose, was laid aside after the first reading. The more effectually to cut off Philip's hopes of possessing any authority in England, they passed a law, in which they declared, 'that her majesty, as their only queen, should solely, and as a sole queen, enjoy the crown and sovereignty of her realms, with all the preeminences, dignities, and rights thereto belonging, in as large and ample a manner after her marriage as before, without any title or claim accruing to the Prince of Spain, either as tenant by courtesy of the realm, or by any other means (*Ibid*, cap. 1.).

A law passed in this parliament for re-erecting the bishopric of

Durham, which had been dissolved by the last parliament of Edward (1 Mar. Parl. 2, c. 3). The queen had already, by an exertion of her power, put Tunstal in possession of that see; but though it was usual, at that time, for the crown to assume authority which might seem entirely legislative, it was always deemed more safe and satisfactory to procure the sanction of parliament. Bills were introduced for suppressing heterodox opinions contained in books, and for reviving the laws of the six articles, together with those against the Lollards, and against heresy and erroneous preaching; but none of these laws could pass the two houses; a proof that the parliament had reserves even in their concessions with regard to religion, about which they seem to have been less scrupulous. The queen therefore, finding that they would not serve all her purposes, finished the session of parliament by (May 5) dissolving them.

Mary's thoughts were now entirely employed about receiving Don Philip, whose arrival she hourly expected. This princess, who had lived so many years in a very reserved and private manner, without any prospect or hopes of a husband, was so smitten with affection for her young consort, whom she had never seen, that she waited with the utmost impatience for the completion of the marriage; and every obstacle was to her a source of anxiety and discontent (Strype, vol. iii., p. 125). She complained of Philip's delays as affected; and she could not conceal her vexation, that, though she brought him a kingdom as her dowry, he treated her with such neglect, that he had never yet favoured her with a single letter (Dep. de Noailles, vol. iii., p. 248). Her fondness was but the more increased by this supercilious treatment; and when she found that her subjects had entertained the greatest aversion for the event to which she directed her fondest wishes, she made the whole English nation the object of her resentment. A squadron, under the command of Lord Effingham, had been fitted out to convoy Philip from Spain, where he then resided; but the admiral informing her that the discontents ran very high among the seamen, and that it was not safe for Philip to entrust himself in their hands, she gave orders to dismiss them (Ibid., p. 220). She then dreaded lest the French fleet, being masters of the sea, might intercept her husband; and every rumour of danger, every blast of wind, threw her into panics and convulsions. Her health, and even her understanding, were visibly hurt by this extreme impatience; and she was struck with a new apprehension, lest her person, impaired by time and blasted by sickness, should prove disagreeable to her future consort. Her glass discovered to her how haggard she was become; and when she remarked the decay of her beauty, she knew not whether she ought more to desire or apprehend the arrival of Philip (Dep. de Noailles, vol. iii., pp. 222, 252, 253).

At last came the moment so impatiently expected; and news was brought the queen of Philip's arrival (July 19) at Southampton.¹ A few days after they were married in Westminster; and having made a pomp-

¹ Fox, vol. iii., p. 99; Heylin, p. 39; Burnet, vol. iii., p. 392; Godwin, p. 345. We are told by Sir Will. Monson, p. 225, that the Admiral of England fired at the Spanish navy, when Philip was on board, because they had not lowered their topsails, as a mark of deference to the English navy in the narrow seas. A very spirited behaviour, and very unlike those times.

ous entry into London, where Philip displayed his wealth with great ostentation, she carried him to Windsor, the palace in which they afterwards resided. The prince's behaviour was ill calculated to remove the prejudices which the English nation had entertained against him. He was distant and reserved in his address; took no notice of the salutes even of the most considerable noblemen; and so entrenched himself in form and ceremony, that he was in a manner inaccessible (Baker, p. 320); but this circumstance rendered him the more acceptable to the queen, who desired to have no company but her husband's, and who was impatient when she met with any interruption to her fondness. The shortest absence gave her vexation; and when he showed civilities to any other woman, she could not conceal her jealousy.

Mary soon found that Philip's ruling passion was ambition; and that the only method of gratifying him and securing his affections was to render him master of England. The interest and liberty of her people were considerations of small moment, in comparison of her obtaining this favourite point. She summoned a new parliament, in hopes of finding them entirely compliant; and that she might acquire the greater authority over them, she imitated the precedent of the former reign, and wrote (Nov. 12) circular letters, directing a proper choice of members (Mem. of Cranm., p. 344; Strype's Eccl. Mem., vol. iii., pp. 154, 155). The zeal of the catholics, the influence of Spanish gold, the powers of prerogative, the discouragement of the gentry, particularly of the Protestants: all these causes, seconding the intrigues of Gardiner, had procured her a House of Commons, which was, in a great measure, to her satisfaction; and it was thought, from the disposition of the nation, that she might now safely omit, on her assembling the parliament, the title of 'Supreme Head of the Church,' though inseparably annexed by law to the crown of England (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 291; Strype, vol. iii., p. 155). Cardinal Pole had arrived in Flanders, invested with legantine powers from the Pope; in order to prepare the way for his arrival in England, the parliament passed an act, reversing his attainder, and restoring his blood; and the queen, dispensing with the old statute of provisors, granted him permission to act as legate. The cardinal came over; and, after being introduced to the king and queen, he invited the parliament to reconcile themselves and the kingdom to the apostolic see, from which they had been so long and so unhappily divided. This message was taken in good part; and both houses voted an address to Philip and Mary, acknowledging that they had been guilty of a most horrible defection from the true church; professing a sincere repentance of their past transgressions; declaring their resolution to repeal all laws enacted in prejudice of the church of Rome; and praying their majesties, that since they were happily uninfected with that criminal schism, they would intercede with the holy father for the absolution and forgiveness of their penitent subjects.¹ The request was easily granted. The legate, in the name of his holiness, gave the parliament and kingdom absolution, freed them from all censures, and received them again into the bosom of the church. The Pope, then Julius III., being informed of these transactions, said that it was an unexampled instance of his felicity, to receive thanks from

¹ Fox, vol. iii., p. 3; Heylin, p. 42; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 293; Godwin, p. 247.

the English for allowing them to do what he ought to give them thanks for performing (Father Paul, lib. iv.).

Notwithstanding the extreme zeal of those times for and against popery, the object always uppermost with the nobility and gentry was their money and estates; they were not brought to make these concessions in favour of Rome till they had received repeated assurances, from the Pope as well as the queen, that the plunder which they had made on the ecclesiastics should never be inquired into; and that the abbey and church lands should remain with the present possessors (Heylin, p. 41). But not trusting altogether to these promises, the parliament took care in the law itself (1 & 2 Phil. & Mar., c. 8), by which they repealed the former statutes enacted against the Pope's authority, to insert a clause, in which, besides bestowing validity on all marriages celebrated during the schism, and fixing the right of incumbents to their benefices, they gave security to the possessors of church lands, and freed them from all danger of ecclesiastical censures. The convocation also, in order to remove apprehensions on that head, were induced to present a petition to the same purpose;¹ and the legate, in his master's name, ratified all these transactions. It now appeared that, notwithstanding the efforts of the queen and king, the power of the papacy was effectually suppressed in England, and invincible barriers fixed against its re-establishment. For though the jurisdiction of the ecclesiastics was for the present restored, their property, on which their power much depended, was irretrievably lost, and no hopes remained of recovering it. Even these arbitrary, powerful, and bigoted princes, while the transactions were yet recent, could not regain to the church her possessions so lately ravished from her; and no expedients were left to the clergy for enriching themselves but those which they had at first practised, and which had required many ages of ignorance, of barbarism, and of superstition to produce their effect on mankind.²

The parliament, having secured their own possessions, were more indifferent with regard to religion, or even to the lives of their fellow-citizens; they revived the old sanguinary laws against heretics (1 & 2 Phil. & Mar., c. 6), which had been rejected in the former parliament; they also enacted several statutes against seditious words and rumours (Ibid., c. 3, 9); and they made it treason to imagine or attempt the death of Philip during his marriage with the queen (Ibid., c. 10). Each parliament hitherto had been induced to go a step farther than their

¹ Heylin, p. 43; 1 and 2 Phil. and Mar., c. 8; Strype, vol. iii., p. 159.

² The Pope at first gave Cardinal Pole powers to transact only with regard to the past fruits of the church lands; but being admonished of the danger attending any attempt towards a resumption of the lands, he enlarged the cardinal's powers, and granted him authority to ensure the future possession of the church lands to the present proprietors. There was only one clause in the cardinal's powers that has given occasion for some speculation. An exception was made of such cases as Pole should think important enough to merit the being communicated to the holy see. But Pole simply ratified the possession of all the church lands; and his commission had given him full powers to that purpose. Harleian Miscell., vol. vii., pp. 264, 266. It is true, some councils have declared, that it exceeds even the power of the Pope to alienate any church lands; and the Pope, according to his convenience or power, may either adhere to or recede from this declaration. But every year gave solidity to the right of the proprietors of church lands, and diminished the authority of the Popes, so that men's dread of popery in subsequent times was more founded on party or religious zeal, than on any very solid reasons.

predecessors: but none of them had entirely lost all regard to national interests. Their hatred against the Spaniards, as well as their suspicion of Philip's pretensions, still prevailed; and though the queen attempted to get her husband declared presumptive heir of the crown, and to have the administration put into his hands, she failed in all her endeavours, and could not so much as procure the parliament's consent to his coronation (Godwin, p. 348; Baker, p. 322). All attempts likewise to obtain subsidies from the Commons, in order to support the emperor in his war against France, proved fruitless; the usual animosity and jealousy of the English against that kingdom seemed to have given place, for the present, to like passions against Spain. Philip, sensible of the prepossessions entertained against him, endeavoured to acquire popularity by procuring the release of several prisoners of distinction: Lord Henry Dudley, Sir George Harper, Sir Nicholas Throgmorton, Sir Edmond Warner, Sir William St. Lo, Sir Nicholas Arnold, Harrington, Tremaine, who had been confined from the suspicions or resentment of the court.¹ But nothing was more agreeable to the nation than his protecting the Lady Elizabeth from the spite and malice of the queen, and restoring her to liberty. This measure was not the effect of any generosity in Philip, a sentiment of which he was wholly destitute; but of a refined policy, which made him foresee, that if that princess were put to death, the next lawful heir was the Queen of Scots, whose succession would for ever annex England to the crown of France. The Earl of Devonshire also reaped some benefit from Philip's affectation of popularity, and recovered his liberty; but that nobleman, finding himself exposed to suspicion, begged permission to travel (Heylin, p. 40; Godwin, p. 349); and he soon after died at Padua, from poison, as is pretended, given him by the imperialists. He was the eleventh and last Earl of Devonshire of that noble family, one of the most illustrious in Europe.

The queen's extreme desire of having issue had made her fondly give credit to any appearance of pregnancy; and when the legate was introduced to her, she fancied that she felt the embryo stir in her womb (Dep. de Noailles, vol. iv., p. 25). Her flatterers compared this motion of the infant to that of John the Baptist, who leaped in his mother's belly at the salutation of the Virgin (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 292; Godwin, p. 348). Despatches were immediately sent to inform foreign courts of this event; orders were issued to give public thanks; great rejoicings were made; the family of the young prince was already settled (Heylin, p. 46); for the catholics held themselves assured that the child was to be a male; and Bonner, Bishop of London, made public prayers be said, that Heaven would please to render him beautiful, vigorous, and witty. But the nation still remained somewhat incredulous; and men were persuaded that the queen laboured under infirmities, which rendered her incapable of having children. Her infant proved only the commencement of a dropsy, which the disordered state of her health had brought upon her. The belief, however, of her pregnancy was upheld with all possible care, and was one artifice by which Philip endeavoured to support his authority in the king-

¹ Heylin, p. 39; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 287; Stow, p. 626; Dep. de Noailles, vol. iv., pp. 146, 147.

dom. The parliament passed a law, which, in case of the queen's demise, appointed him protector during the minority; and the king and queen, finding they could obtain no further concessions, came unexpectedly to Westminster and dissolved them.

There happened (A.D. 1555, Jan. 16) an incident this session which must not be passed over in silence. Several members of the lower house, dissatisfied with the measures of the parliament, but finding themselves unable to prevent them, made a secession, in order to show their disapprobation, and refused any longer to attend the house (Coke's Inst., part iv. p. 17; Strype's Mem., vol. i., p. 165). For this instance of contumacy they were indicted in the king's bench after the dissolution of parliament; six of them submitted to the mercy of the court and paid their fines; the rest traversed, and the queen died before the affair was brought to an issue. Judging of the matter by the subsequent claims of the House of Commons, and indeed, by the true principles of free government, this attempt of the queen's ministers must be regarded as a breach of privilege, but it gave little umbrage at the time, and was never called in question by any House of Commons which afterwards sat during this reign. The Count of Noailles, the French ambassador, says that the queen threw several members into prison for their freedom of speech (vol. v., p. 296).

CHAPTER XXXVII.

Reasons for and against Toleration.—Persecutions.—A parliament.—The queen's extortions.—Charles resigns his crown.—Execution of Cranmer.—War with France.—Battle of St. Quintin.—Calais taken by the French.—Affairs of Scotland.—Marriage of the Dauphin and the Queen of Scots.—A parliament.—Death of Queen Mary.

THE success which Gardiner, from his cautious and prudent conduct, had met with in governing the parliament and engaging them to concur both in the Spanish match, and in the re-establishment of the ancient religion, two points to which, it was believed, they bore an extreme aversion, had so raised his character for wisdom and policy, that his opinion was received as an oracle in the council; and his authority, as it was always great in his own party, no longer suffered any opposition or control. Cardinal Pole himself, though more beloved on account of his virtue and candour, and though superior in birth and station, had not equal weight in public deliberations; and while his learning, piety, and humanity were extremely respected, he was represented more as a good man than a great minister. A very important question was frequently debated, before the queen and council, by these two ecclesiastics; whether the laws lately revived against heretics should be put into execution, or should only be employed to restrain, by terror, the bold attempts of these zealots? Pole was very sincere in his religious principles, and though his moderation had made him be suspected at Rome of a tendency

towards Lutheranism, he was seriously persuaded of the Catholic doctrines, and thought that no consideration of human policy ought ever to come in competition with such important interests. Gardiner, on the contrary, had always made his religion subservient to his schemes of safety or advancement; and by his unlimited complaisance to Henry, he had shown that, had he not been pushed to extremity under the late minority, he was sufficiently disposed to make a sacrifice of his principles to the established theology. This was the well-known character of these two great counsellors; yet such is the prevalence of temper above system, that the benevolent disposition of Pole led him to advise a toleration of the heretical tenets which he highly blamed; while the severe manners of Gardiner inclined him to support, by persecution, that religion which, at the bottom, he regarded with great indifference (Heylin, p. 47). This circumstance of public conduct was of the highest importance; and, from being the object of deliberation in the council, it soon became the subject of discourse throughout the nation. We shall relate in a few words, the topics by which each side supported, or might have supported, their scheme of policy; and shall display the opposite reasons which have been employed, with regard to an argument that ever has been, and ever will be, so much canvassed.

The practice of persecution, said the defenders of Pole's opinion, is the scandal of all religion; and the theological animosity, so fierce and violent, far from being an argument of men's conviction in their opposite sects, is a certain proof that they have never reached any serious persuasion with regard to these remote and sublime subjects. Even those who are the most impatient of contradiction in other controversies are mild and moderate, in comparison of polemical divines, and wherever a man's knowledge and experience give him a perfect assurance in his own opinion, he regards with contempt, rather than anger, the opposition and mistakes of others. But while men zealously maintain what they neither clearly comprehend nor entirely believe, they are shaken in their imagined faith by the opposite persuasion or even doubts, of other men; and vent on their antagonists that impatience which is the natural result of so disagreeable a state of the understanding. They then easily embrace any pretence for representing opponents as impious and profane; and if they can also find a colour for connecting this violence with the interests of civil government, they can no longer be restrained from giving uncontrolled scope to vengeance and resentment. But surely never enterprise was more unfortunate than that of founding persecution upon policy, or endeavouring for the sake of peace to settle an entire uniformity of opinion, in questions which, of all others, are least subjected to the criterion of human reason. The universal and uncontradicted prevalence of one opinion in religious subjects can be owing at first to the stupid ignorance and barbarism of the people, who never indulge themselves in any speculation or inquiry; and there is no expedient for maintaining that uniformity so fondly sought after, but by banishing for ever all curiosity and all improvement in science and cultivation. It may not indeed appear difficult to check, by a steady severity, the first beginnings of controversy; but besides that this policy exposes for ever the

people to all the abject terrors of superstition, and the magistrate to the endless encroachments of ecclesiastics, it also renders men so delicate, that they can never endure to hear of opposition, and they will some time pay dearly for that false tranquillity in which they have been so long indulged. As healthful bodies are ruined by too nice a regimen, and are thereby rendered incapable of bearing the unavoidable incidents of human life; a people who never were allowed to imagine, that their principles could be contested fly out into the most outrageous violence when any event (and such events are common) produces a faction among their clergy, and gives rise to any difference in tenet or opinion. But whatever may be said in favour of suppressing, by persecution, the first beginnings of heresy, no solid argument can be alleged for extending severity towards multitudes, or endeavouring by capital punishments, to extirpate an opinion which has diffused itself among men of every rank and station. Besides the extreme barbarity of such an attempt, it commonly proves ineffectual to the purpose intended; and serves only to make men more obstinate in their persuasion, and to increase the number of their proselytes. The melancholy, with which the fear of death, torture, and persecution inspires the sectaries, is the proper disposition for fostering religious zeal; the prospect of eternal rewards, when brought near, overpowers the dread of temporal punishments; the glory of martyrdom stimulates all the more furious zealots, especially the leaders and preachers; where a violent animosity is excited by oppression, men naturally pass from hating the persons of their tyrants to a more violent abhorrence of their doctrines; and the spectators, moved with pity towards the supposed martyrs, are easily seduced to embrace those principles which can inspire men with a constancy that appears also supernatural. Open the door to toleration, mutual hatred relaxes among the sectaries, their attachment to their particular modes of religion decays; the common occupations and pleasures of life succeed to the acrimony of disputation; and the same man who, in other circumstances, would have braved flames and tortures, is induced to change his sect from the smallest prospect of favour and advancement, or even from the frivolous hope of becoming more fashionable in his principles. If any exception can be admitted to this maxim of toleration, it will only be where a theology altogether new, nowise connected with the ancient religion of the state, is imported from foreign countries, and may easily, at one blow, be eradicated without leaving the seeds of future innovation. But as this exception would imply some apology for the ancient pagan persecutions, or for the extirpation of Christianity in China and Japan; it ought surely, on account of this detested consequence, to be rather buried in eternal silence and oblivion.

Though these arguments appear entirely satisfactory, yet such is the subtlety of human wit, that Gardiner, and the other enemies to toleration, were not reduced to silence; and they still found topics on which to maintain the controversy. The doctrine, said they, of liberty of conscience is founded on the most flagrant impiety, and supposes such an indifference among all religions, such an obscurity in theological doctrines, as to render the Church and magistrate incapable of distinguishing, with certainty, the dictates of Heaven from the mere

fictions of human imagination. If the Divinity reveals principles to mankind, He will surely give a criterion by which they may be ascertained; and a prince, who knowingly allows these principles to be perverted or adulterated is infinitely more criminal than if he gave permission for the vending of poison, under the shape of food, to all his subjects. Persecution may, indeed, seem better calculated to make hypocrites than converts; but experience teaches us, that the habits of hypocrisy often turn into reality; and the children, at least, ignorant of the dissimulation of their parents, may happily be educated in more orthodox tenets. It is absurd, in opposition to considerations of such unspeakable importance, to plead the temporal and frivolous interests of civil society; and if matters be thoroughly examined, even that topic will not appear so universally certain in favour of toleration as by some it is represented. Where sects arise, whose fundamental principle on all sides is to execrate, and abhor, and damn, and extirpate each other; what choice has the magistrate left but to take part, and by rendering one sect entirely prevalent, restore, at least for a time, the public tranquillity? The political body, being here sickly, must not be treated as if it were in a state of sound health; and an affected neutrality in the prince, or even a cool preference, may serve only to encourage the hopes of all the sects, and keep alive their animosity. The Protestants, far from tolerating the religion of their ancestors, regard it as an impious and detestable idolatry; and during the late minority, when they were entirely masters, they enacted very severe, though not capital, punishments against all exercise of the Catholic worship, and even against such as barely abstained from their profane rites and sacraments. Nor are instances wanting of their endeavours to secure an imagined orthodoxy by the most rigorous executions; Calvin has burned Servetus at Geneva; Cranmer brought Arians and Anabaptists to the stake; and if persecution of any kind be admitted, the most bloody and violent will surely be allowed the most justifiable, as the most effectual. Imprisonments, fines, confiscations, whippings, serve only to irritate the sects, without disabling them from resistance; but the stake, the wheel, and the gibbet, must soon terminate in the extirpation or banishment of all the heretics, inclined to give disturbance, and in the entire silence and submission of the rest.

The arguments of Gardiner, being more agreeable to the cruel bigotry of Mary and Philip, were better received; and though Pole pleaded, as is affirmed,¹ the advice of the emperor, who recommended it to his daughter-in-law not to exercise violence against the Protestants, and desired her to consider his own example, who, after endeavouring, through his whole life, to extirpate heresy, had, in the end, reaped nothing but confusion and disappointment, the scheme of toleration was entirely rejected. It was determined to let loose the laws in their full vigour against the reformed religion; and England was soon filled with scenes of horror, which have ever since rendered the Catholic religion the object of general detestation, and which prove, that no human depravity can equal revenge and cruelty covered with the mantle of religion.

¹ Burnet, vol. ii. Heylin, p. 47. It is not likely, however, that Charles gave any such advice; for he himself was at this very time proceeding with great violence in persecuting the reformed in Flanders. Bentivoglio, part i., lib. 1.

The persecutors began with Rogers, Prebendary of St. Paul's, a man eminent in his party for virtue as well as for learning. Gardiner's plan was first to attack men of that character, whom, he hoped, terror would bend to submission, and whose example, either of punishment or recantation, would naturally have influence on the multitude; but he found a perseverance and courage in Rogers, which it may seem strange to find in human nature, and of which all ages and all sects do nevertheless furnish many examples. Rogers, beside the care of his own preservation, lay under other powerful temptations to compliance; he had a wife, whom he tenderly loved, and ten children; yet such was his serenity after his condemnation, that the jailers, it is said, waked him from a sound sleep, when the hour of his execution approached. He had desired to see his wife before he died; but Gardiner told him, that he was a priest, and could not possibly have a wife; thus joining insult to cruelty. Rogers was burnt in Smithfield (Fox, vol. iii., p. 119; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 302).

Hooper, Bishop of Gloucester, had been tried at the same time with Rogers; but was sent to his own diocese to be executed. This circumstance was contrived to strike the greater terror into his flock; but it was a source of consolation to Hooper, who rejoiced in giving testimony, by his death, to that doctrine which he had formerly preached among them. When he was tied to the stake, a stool was set before him, and the queen's pardon laid upon it, which it was still in his power to merit by a recantation; but he ordered it to be removed; and cheerfully prepared himself for that dreadful punishment to which he was sentenced. He suffered it in its full severity; the wind, which was violent, blew the flame of the reeds from his body; the faggots were green, and did not kindle easily; all his lower parts were consumed, before his vitals were attacked; one of his hands dropped off; with the other he continued to beat his breast; he was heard to pray and to exhort the people; till his tongue, swollen with the violence of his agony, could no longer permit him utterance. He was three quarters of an hour in torture, which he bore with inflexible constancy.¹

Sanders was burned at Coventry; a pardon was also offered him; but he rejected it, and embraced the stake, saying, 'Welcome the cross of Christ! welcome everlasting life!' Taylor, parson of Hadley, was punished by fire in that place, surrounded by his ancient friends and parishioners. When tied to the stake, he rehearsed a psalm in English; one of his guards struck him in the mouth, and bade him speak Latin; another, in a rage, gave him a blow on the head with his halbert, which happily put an end to his torments.

There was one Philpot, Archdeacon of Winchester, inflamed with such zeal for orthodoxy, that having been engaged in dispute with an Arian, he spit in his adversary's face, to show the great detestation which he had entertained against that heresy. He afterwards wrote a treatise to justify this unmannerly expression of zeal; he said, that he was led to it, in order to relieve the sorrow conceived from such horrid blasphemy, and to signify how unworthy such a miscreant was of being admitted into the society of any Christian (Strype, vol. iii., p. 261, and Coll. No. 58). Philpot was a Protestant; and falling now into the

¹ F vol. iii., p. 145, etc.; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 302; Heylin, pp. 48, 49; Godwin, p. 349.

hands of people, as zealous as himself, but more powerful, he was condemned to the flames, and suffered at Smithfield. It seems to be almost a general rule, that, in all religions except the true, no man will suffer martyrdom who would not also inflict it willingly on all that differ from him. The same zeal for speculative opinions is found to be the cause of both.

The crime for which almost all the Protestants were condemned was their refusal to acknowledge the real presence. Gardiner, who had vainly expected that a few examples would strike a terror into the reformers, finding the work daily multiply upon him, devolved the invidious office on others, chiefly on Bonner, a man of profligate manners, and of a brutal character, who seemed to rejoice in the torments of the unhappy sufferers (Heylin, pp. 47, 48). He sometimes whipped the prisoners with his own hands, till he was tired with the violence of the exercise; he tore out the beard of a weaver, who refused to relinquish his religion; and that he might give him a specimen of burning, he held his hand to the candle, till the sinews and veins shrunk and burst (Fox, vol. iii., p. 187).

It is needless to be particular in enumerating all the cruelties practised in England during the course of three years that these persecutions lasted; the savage barbarity on the one hand, and the patient constancy on the other, are so similar in all those martyrdoms, that the narrative, little agreeable in itself, would never be relieved by any variety. Human nature appears not, on any occasion, so detestable, and at the same time so absurd, as in these religious persecutions, which sink men below infernal spirits in wickedness, and below the beasts in folly. A few instances only may be worth preserving, in order, if possible, to warn zealous bigots, for ever to avoid such odious and such fruitless barbarity.

Ferrar, Bishop of St. David's, was burned in his own diocese; and his appeal to Cardinal Pole was not attended to (Ibid., p. 216). Ridley, Bishop of London, and Latimer, formerly Bishop of Worcester, two prelates celebrated for learning and virtue, perished together in the same flames at Oxford, and supported each other's constancy by their mutual exhortations. Latimer, when tied to the stake, called to his companion, 'Be of good cheer, brother; we shall this day kindle such a torch in England, as, I trust in God, shall never be extinguished.' The executioners had been so merciful (for that clemency may more naturally be ascribed to them than to the religious zealots) as to tie bags of gunpowder about these prelates, in order to put a speedy period to their tortures; the explosion immediately killed Latimer, who was in extreme old age; Ridley continued alive during some time in the midst of the flames (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 318).

One Hunter, a young man of nineteen, an apprentice, having been seduced by a priest into a dispute, had unwarily denied the real presence. Sensible of his danger, he immediately absconded; but Bonner, laying hold of his father, threatened him with the greatest severities, if he did not produce the young man to stand his trial. Hunter, hearing of the vexations to which his father was exposed, voluntarily surrendered himself to Bonner, and was condemned to the flames by that barbarous prelate.

Thomas Haukes, when conducted to the stake, agreed with his friends, that if he found the torture tolerable he would make them a signal to that purpose in the midst of the flames. His zeal for the cause in which he suffered so supported him, that he stretched out his arms, the signal agreed on; and in that posture he expired (Fox, vol. iii., p. 265). This example, with many others of like constancy, encouraged multitudes, not only to suffer, but even to court and aspire to martyrdom.

The tender sex itself, as they have commonly greater propensity to religion, produced many examples of the most inflexible courage, in supporting the profession of it, against all the fury of the persecutors. One execution in particular was attended with circumstances, which, even at that time, excited astonishment, by reason of their unusual barbarity. A woman in Guernsey, being near the time of her labour when brought to the stake, was thrown into such agitation by the torture, that her belly burst, and she was delivered in the midst of the flames. One of the guards immediately snatched the infant from the fire, and attempted to save it; but a magistrate, who stood by, ordered it to be thrown back; being determined, he said, that nothing should survive which sprang from so obstinate and heretical a parent (*Ibid.*, p. 747; Heylin, p. 57; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 337).

The persons condemned to these punishments were not convicted of teaching, or dogmatizing, contrary to the established religion; they were seized merely on suspicion; and articles being offered them to subscribe, they were immediately, upon their refusal, condemned to the flames (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 306). These instances of barbarity, so unusual in the nation, excited horror; the constancy of the martyrs was the object of admiration; and as men have a principle of equity engraven in their minds, which even false religion is not able totally to obliterate, they were shocked to see persons of probity, of honour, of pious dispositions, exposed to punishments more severe than were inflicted on the greatest ruffians, for crimes subversive of civil society. To exterminate the whole protestant party was known to be impossible; and nothing could appear more iniquitous, than to subject to torture the most conscientious and courageous among them, and allow the cowards and hypocrites to escape. Each martyrdom, therefore, was equivalent to a hundred sermons against popery; and men either avoided such horrid spectacles, or returned from them full of a violent, though secret, indignation against the persecutors. Repeated orders were sent from the council to quicken the diligence of the magistrates in searching out heretics; and, in some places, the gentry were constrained to countenance, by their presence, those barbarous executions. These acts of violence tended only to render the Spanish government daily more odious; and Philip, sensible of the hatred which he incurred, endeavoured to remove the reproach from himself by a very gross artifice; he ordered his confessor to deliver in his presence a sermon in favour of toleration; a doctrine somewhat extraordinary in the mouth of a Spanish friar (Heylin, p. 56). But the court, finding that Bonner, however shameless and savage, would not bear alone the whole infamy, soon threw off the mask; and the unrelenting temper of the queen, as well as of the king, appeared without control. A bold

step was even taken towards introducing the Inquisition into England. As the bishops' courts, though extremely arbitrary, and not confined by any ordinary forms of law, appeared not to be invested with sufficient power, a commission was appointed, by authority of the queen's prerogative, more effectually to extirpate heresy. Twenty-one persons were named; but any three were armed with the powers of the whole. The commission runs in these terms: 'That since many false rumours were published among the subjects, and many heretical opinions were also spread among them, the commissioners were to inquire into those, either by presentments, by witnesses, or any other political way they could devise, and to search after all heresies; the bringers in, the sellers, the readers of all heretical books: they were to examine and publish all misbehaviours or negligences, in any church or chapel; and to try all priests that did not preach the sacrament of the altar; all persons that did not hear mass, or come to their parish church to service, that would not go in processions, or did not take holy bread or holy water; and if they found any that did obstinately persist in such heresies, they were to put them into the hands of their ordinaries, to be punished according to the spiritual laws; giving the commissioners full power to proceed, as their discretions and consciences should direct them, and to use all such means as they would invent for the searching of the premises; empowering them also to call before them such witnesses as they pleased, and to force them to make oath of such things as might discover what they sought after' (Burnet, vol. ii., Coll. 32). Some civil powers were also given the commissioners to punish vagabonds and quarrelsome persons.

To bring the methods of proceeding in England still nearer to the practice of the Inquisition, letters were written to Lord North, and others, enjoining them, 'To put to the torture such obstinate persons as would not confess, and there to order them at their discretion' (Burnet, vol. iii., p. 243). Secret spies also, and informers, were employed, according to the practice of that iniquitous tribunal. Instructions were given to the justices of peace, 'That they should call secretly before them one or two honest persons within their limits, or more, at their discretion, and command them by oath, or otherwise, that they shall secretly learn and search out such persons as shall evil behave themselves in church, or idly, or shall despise openly by words the king's or queen's proceedings, or go about to make any commotion, or tell any seditious tales or news. And also that the same persons so to be appointed shall declare to the same justices of peace the ill-behaviour of lewd disordered persons, whether it shall be for using unlawful games, and such other light behaviour of such suspected persons; and that the same information shall be given secretly to the justices; and the same justices shall call such accused persons before them, and examine them, without declaring by whom they were accused. And that the same justices shall, upon their examination, punish the offenders, according as their offences shall appear, upon the accusation and examination, by their discretion, either by open punishment or by good abearing' (Ibid., pp. 246, 247). In some respects, this tyrannical edict even exceeded the oppression of the Inquisition; by introducing, into every part of government, the

same iniquities which that tribunal practises for the extirpation of heresy only, and which are, in some measure, necessary, wherever that end is earnestly pursued.

But the court had devised a more expeditious and summary method of supporting orthodoxy than even the Inquisition itself. They issued a proclamation against books of heresy, treason, and sedition; and declared, 'That whosoever had any of these books, and did not presently burn them, without reading them, or showing them to any other person, should be esteemed rebels; and without any further delay be executed by martial law' (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 363; Heylin, p. 79). From the state of the English government, during that period, it is not so much the illegality of these proceedings, as their violence and their pernicious tendency, which ought to be the object of our censure.

We have thrown together almost all the proceedings against heretics, though carried on during a course of three years; that we may be obliged, as little as possible, to return to such shocking violences and barbarities. It is computed, that in that time two hundred and seventy-seven persons were brought to the stake; besides those who were punished by imprisonment, fines, and confiscations. Among those who suffered by fire were five bishops, twenty-one clergymen, eight lay gentlemen, eighty-four tradesmen, one hundred husbandmen, servants, and labourers, fifty-five women, and four children. This persevering cruelty appears astonishing; yet is it much inferior to what has been practised in other countries. A great author (Father Paul, lib. v.) computes that in the Netherlands alone, from the time that the edict of Charles V. was promulgated against the reformers, there had been fifty thousand persons hanged, beheaded, buried alive, or burnt, on account of religion; and that in France the number had also been considerable. Yet in both countries, as the same author subjoins, the progress of the new opinions, instead of being checked, was rather forwarded by these persecutions.

The burning of heretics was a very natural method of reconciling the kingdom to the Romish communion; and little solicitation was requisite to engage the Pope to receive the strayed flock, from which he reaped such considerable profit; yet was there a solemn embassy sent to Rome, consisting of Sir Anthony Brown, created Viscount Montacute, the Bishop of Ely, and Sir Edward Carne; in order to carry the submissions of England, and beg to be re-admitted into the bosom of the catholic church (Heylin, p. 45). Paul IV., after a short interval, now filled the papal chair; the most haughty pontiff that during several ages had been elevated to that dignity. He was offended, that Mary still retained among her titles that of Queen of Ireland; and he affirmed, that it belonged to him alone, as he saw cause, either to erect new kingdoms or abolish the old; but to avoid all dispute with the new converts, he thought proper to erect Ireland into a kingdom, and he then admitted the title, as if it had been assumed from his concession. This was a usual artifice of the Popes, to give allowance to what they could not prevent (Ibid., Father Paul, lib. v.), and afterwards pretend that princes, while they exercised their own powers, were only acting by authority from the papacy. And though Paul had at first intended to oblige Mary formally to recede

from this title, before he would bestow it upon her, he found it prudent to proceed in a less haughty manner (Father Paul, lib. v.).

Another point in discussion between the Pope and the English ambassadors was not so easily terminated. Paul insisted that the property and possessions of the church should be restored to the uttermost farthing: that whatever belonged to God could never by any law be converted to profane uses, and every person who detained such possessions was in a state of eternal damnation: that he would willingly, in consideration of the humble submissions of the English, make them a present of these ecclesiastical revenues; but such a concession exceeded his power, and the people might be certain that so great a profanation of holy things would be a perpetual anathema upon them, and would blast all their future felicity: that if they would truly show their filial piety they must restore all the privileges and emoluments of the Romish church, and Peter's pence among the rest; nor could they expect that this apostle would open to them the gates of paradise, while they detained from him his patrimony on earth (Father Paul, lib. v.; Heylin, p. 45). These earnest remonstrances being transmitted to England, though they had little influence on the nation, operated powerfully on the queen; who was determined, in order to ease her conscience, to restore all the church lands which were still in the possession of the crown: and the more to display her zeal, she erected anew some convents and monasteries, notwithstanding the low condition of the exchequer (Dep. de Noailles, vol. iv., p. 312). When this measure was debated in council, some members objected that, if such a considerable part of the revenue were dismembered, the dignity of the crown would fall to decay; but the queen replied, that she preferred the salvation of her soul to ten such kingdoms as England (Heylin, pp. 53, 65; Holingshed, p. 1127; Speed, p. 826). These imprudent measures would not probably have taken place so easily, had it not been for the death of Gardiner, which happened about this time. The great seal was given to Heath, Archbishop of York; that an ecclesiastic might still be possessed of that high office, and be better enabled by his authority to forward the persecutions against the reformed.

These persecutions were now become extremely odious to the nation; and the effects of the public discontent appeared in the new parliament, summoned (Oct. 21) to meet at Westminster (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 322). A bill (2 and 3 Phil. and Mar., cap. 4) was passed, restoring to the church the tenths and first-fruits, and all the impropriations which remained in the hands of the crown; but though this matter directly concerned none but the queen herself, great opposition was made to the bill in the House of Commons. An application being made for a subsidy during two years, and for two fifteenths, the latter was refused by the Commons; and many members said, that while the crown was thus despoiling itself of its revenue, it was in vain to bestow riches upon it. The parliament rejected a bill for obliging the exiles to return under certain penalties, and another for incapacitating such as were remiss in the prosecution of heresy from being justices of peace. The queen, finding the intractable humour of the Commons, thought proper (Dec. 9) to dissolve the parliament.

The spirit of opposition which began to prevail in parliament was

the more likely to be vexatious to Mary, as she was otherwise in very bad humour on account of her husband's absence, who, tired of her importunate love and jealousy, and finding his authority extremely limited in England, had laid hold of the first opportunity to leave her, and had gone over last summer to the emperor in Flanders. The indifference and neglect of Philip, added to the disappointment in her imagined pregnancy, threw her into deep melancholy; and she gave vent to her spleen by daily enforcing the persecutions against the Protestants, and even by expressions of rage against all her subjects, by whom she knew herself to be hated, and whose opposition, in refusing an entire compliance with Philip, was the cause, she believed, why he had alienated his affections from her, and afforded her so little of his company (Dep. de Noailles, vol. v., pp. 370, 562). The less return her love met with, the more it increased; and she passed most of her time in solitude, where she gave vent to her passion, either in tears, or in writing fond epistles to Philip, who seldom returned her any answer, and scarcely deigned to pretend any sentiment of love or even of gratitude towards her. The chief part of government to which she attended was the extorting of money from her people, in order to satisfy his demands; and as the parliament had granted her but a scanty supply, she had recourse to expedients very violent and irregular. She levied a loan of 60,000*l.* upon a thousand persons, of whose compliance, either on account of their riches or their affections to her, she held herself best assured. But that sum not sufficing, she exacted a general loan on every one who possessed twenty pounds a year. This imposition lay heavy on the gentry, who were obliged many of them to retrench their expenses, and dismiss their servants, in order to enable them to comply with her demands: and as these servants, accustomed to idleness, and having no means of subsistence, commonly betook themselves to theft and robbery, the queen published a proclamation, by which she obliged their former masters to take them back to their service. She levied 60,000 marks on 7000 yeomen, who had not contributed to the former loan; and she exacted 36,000*l.* more from the merchants. In order to engage some Londoners to comply more willingly with her multiplied extortions, she passed an edict, prohibiting for four months, the exporting of any English cloth or kersey to the Netherlands; an expedient which procured a good market for such as had already sent any quantity of cloth thither. Her rapaciousness engaged her to give endless disturbance and interruption to commerce. The English company settled in Antwerp having refused her a loan of 40,000*l.*, she dissembled her resentment till she found that they had bought and shipped great quantities of cloth for Antwerp fair, which was approaching. She then laid an embargo on the ships, and obliged the merchants to grant her a loan of the 40,000*l.* at first demanded, to engage for the payment of 20,000*l.* more at a limited time, and to submit to an arbitrary imposition of 20*s.* on each piece. Some time after she was informed that the Italian merchants had shipped above 40,000 pieces of cloth for the Levant, for which they were to pay her a crown a piece, the usual imposition. She struck a bargain with the merchant adventurers in London; prohibited the foreigners from making any exportation; and received from the English merchants, in considera-

tion of this iniquity, the sum of 50,000*l.*, and an imposition of four crowns on each piece of cloth which they should export. She attempted to borrow great sums abroad, but her credit was so low, that, though she offered 14 per cent. to the city of Antwerp for a loan of 30,000*l.*, she could not obtain it till she compelled the city of London to be surety for her.¹ All these violent expedients were employed, while she herself was in profound peace with all the world, and had visibly no occasion for money but to supply the demands of a husband, who gave attention only to his own convenience, and showed himself entirely indifferent about her interests.

Philip was now become master of all the wealth of the new world, and of the richest and most extensive dominions in Europe, by the voluntary resignation of the emperor, Charles V., who, though still in the vigour of his age, had taken a disgust to the world, and was determined to seek, in the tranquillity of retreat, for that happiness which he had in vain pursued amidst the tumults of war, and the restless projects of ambition. He (Oct. 25) summoned the states of the Low Countries; and, seating himself on the throne for the last time, explained to his subjects the reason of his resignation, absolved them from all oaths of allegiance, and, devolving his authority on Philip, told him that his paternal tenderness made him weak, when he reflected on the burthen which he imposed upon him (Thuan., lib. xvi., c. 20). He inculcated on him the great and only duty of a prince, the study of his people's happiness, and represented how much preferable it was to govern by affection, rather than by fear, the nations subjected to his dominion. The cool reflections of age now discovered to him the emptiness of his former pursuits, and he found that the vain schemes of extending his empire had been the source of endless opposition and disappointment, and kept himself, his neighbours, and his subjects, in perpetual inquietude, and had frustrated the sole end of government, the felicity of the nations committed to his care; an object which meets with less opposition, and which, if steadily pursued, can alone convey a lasting and solid satisfaction.

A few months after (A.D. 1556), he resigned to Philip his other dominions: and embarking on board a fleet, sailed to Spain, and took his journey to St. Just, a monastery in Estremadura, which, being situated in a happy climate, and amidst the greatest beauties of nature, he had chosen for the place of his retreat. When he arrived at Burgos he found, by the thinness of his court, and the negligent attendance of the Spanish grandees, that he was no longer emperor; and though this observation might convince him still more of the vanity of the world, and make him more heartily despise what he had renounced, he sighed to find that all former adulation and obeisance had been paid to his fortune, not to his person. With better reason was he struck with the ingratitude of his son Philip, who obliged him to wait a long time for the payment of the small pension which he had reserved; and this disappointment in his domestic enjoyments gave him a sensible concern. He pursued, however, his resolution with inflexible constancy, and, shutting himself up in his retreat, he exerted such self-command, that

¹ Godwin, p. 359; Cowper's Chron.; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 359; Carte, pp. 330, 332, 337, 341; Strype's Mem., vol. iii., pp. 428, 558; Ann., vol. i., p. 15.

ne restrained even his curiosity from any inquiry concerning the transactions of the world, which he had entirely abandoned. The fencing against the pains and infirmities under which he laboured occupied a great part of his time; and, during the intervals, he employed his leisure either in examining the controversies of theology, with which his age had been so much agitated, and which he had hitherto considered only in a political light, or in imitating the works of renowned artists, particularly in mechanics, of which he had always been a great admirer and encourager. He is said to have here discovered a propensity to the new doctrines; and to have frequently dropped hints of this unexpected alteration in his sentiments. Having amused himself with the construction of clocks and watches, he thence remarked how impracticable the object was in which he had so much employed himself during his grandeur; and how impossible that he, who never could frame two machines that would go exactly alike, could ever be able to make all mankind concur in the same belief and opinion. He survived his retreat two years.

The Emperor Charles had very early, in the beginning of his reign, found the difficulty of governing such distant dominions; and he had made his brother Ferdinand be elected King of the Romans, with a view to his inheriting the imperial dignity, as well as his German dominions. But, having afterwards enlarged his schemes, and formed plans of aggrandizing his family, he regretted that he must dismember such considerable states; and he endeavoured to engage Ferdinand, by the most tempting offers, and most earnest solicitations, to yield up his pretensions, in favour of Philip. Finding his attempts fruitless, he had resigned the imperial crown with his other dignities; and Ferdinand, according to common form, applied to the Pope for his coronation. The arrogant pontiff refused the demand; and pretended that though, on the death of an emperor, he was obliged to crown the prince elected, yet, in the case of a resignation, the right devolved to the holy see, and it belonged to the Pope alone to appoint an emperor. The conduct of Paul was, in everything, conformable to these lofty pretensions. He thundered always in the ears of all ambassadors, that he stood in no need of the assistance of any prince; that he was above all potentates of the earth; that he would not accustom monarchs to pretend to a familiarity or equality with him; that it belonged to him to alter and regulate kingdoms; that he was successor of those who had deposed kings and emperors, and that, rather than submit to anything below his dignity, he would set fire to the four corners of the world. He went so far as, at table, in the presence of many persons, and even openly, in a public consistory, to say that he would not admit any kings for his companions; they were all his subjects, and he would hold them under these feet; so saying, he stamped on the ground with his old and infirm limbs: for he was now past fourscore years of age (Father Paul, lib. v.).

The world could not forbear making a comparison between Charles V., a prince, who, though educated amidst wars and intrigues of state, had prevented the decline of age, and had descended from the throne, in order to set apart an interval for thought and reflection, and a priest, who, in the extremity of old age, exulted in his dominion, and from

restless ambition and revenge, was throwing all nations into combustion. Paul had entertained the most inveterate animosity against the house of Austria; and, though a truce of five years had been concluded between France and Spain, he excited Henry, by his solicitations, to break it, and promised to assist him in recovering Naples, and the dominions to which he laid claim in Italy; a project which had ever proved hurtful to the predecessors of that monarch. He himself engaged in hostilities with the Duke of Alva, Viceroy of Naples; and, Guise being sent with forces to support him, the renewal of war between the two crowns seemed almost inevitable. Philip, though less warlike than his father, was no less ambitious; and he trusted, that by the intrigues of the cabinet, where, he believed, his caution and secrecy and prudence gave him the superiority, he should be able to subdue all his enemies, and extend his authority and dominion. For this reason, as well as from the desire of settling his new empire, he wished to maintain peace with France; but when he found, that, without sacrificing his honour, it was impossible for him to overlook the hostile attempts of Henry, he prepared for war with great industry. In order to give himself the more advantage, he was desirous of embarking England in the quarrel; and though the queen was of herself extremely averse to that measure, he hoped that the devoted fondness which, notwithstanding repeated instances of his indifference, she still bore to him, would effectually second his applications. Had the matter indeed depended solely on her, she was incapable of resisting her husband's commands; but she had little weight with her council, still less with her people; and her government, which was every day becoming more odious, seemed unable to maintain itself even during the most profound tranquillity, much more if a war were kindled with France, and what seemed to be an inevitable consequence, also with Scotland, supported by that powerful kingdom.

An act of barbarity was this year exercised in England, which, added to many other instances of the same kind, tended to render the government extremely unpopular. Cranmer had long been detained prisoner; but the queen now determined to bring him to punishment; and, in order the more fully to satiate her vengeance, she resolved to punish him for heresy, rather than for treason. He was cited by the Pope to stand his trial at Rome; and, though he was known to be kept in close custody at Oxford, he was, upon his not appearing, condemned as contumacious. Bonner, Bishop of London, and Thirleby of Ely, were sent to degrade him; and the former executed the melancholy ceremony with all the joy and exultation which suited his savage nature (Mem. of Cranm., p. 375). The implacable spirit of the queen, not satisfied with the eternal damnation of Cranmer, which she believed inevitable, and with the execution of that dreadful sentence to which he was condemned, prompted her also to seek the ruin of his honour, and the infamy of his name. Persons were employed to attack him, not in the way of disputation, against which he was sufficiently armed, but by flattery, insinuation, and address; by representing the dignities to which his character still entitled him, if he would merit them by a recantation; by giving hopes of long enjoying those powerful friends whom his beneficent disposition had attached to him during the course

of his prosperity (Heylin, p. 55 ; Mem., p. 383). Overcome by the fond love of life, terrified by the prospect of those tortures which awaited him, he allowed in an unguarded hour the sentiments of nature to prevail over his resolution, and he agreed to subscribe the doctrines of the papal supremacy and of the real presence. The court, equally perfidious and cruel, were determined that this recantation should avail him nothing; and they sent orders (March 21, A.D. 1556) that he should be required to acknowledge his errors in church before the whole people, and that he should thence be immediately carried to execution. Cranmer, whether that he had received a secret intimation of their design, or had repented of his weakness, surprised the audience by a contrary declaration. He said that he was well apprised of the obedience which he owed to his sovereign and the laws; but this duty extended no farther than to submit patiently to their commands, and to bear without resistance whatever hardships they should impose upon him. That a superior duty, the duty which he owed to his Maker, obliged him to speak truth on all occasions, and not to relinquish by a base denial the holy doctrine which the Supreme Being had revealed to mankind. That there was one miscarriage in his life, of which, above all others, he sincerely repented; the insincere declaration of faith to which he had the weakness to consent, and which the fear of death alone had extorted from him. That he took this opportunity of atoning for his error, by a sincere and open recantation; and was willing to seal with his blood that doctrine which he firmly believed to be communicated from Heaven; and that, as his hand had erred, by betraying his heart, it should first be punished, by a severe but just doom, and should first pay the forfeit of its offences. He was thence led to the stake amidst the insults of the Catholics; and having now summoned up all the force of his mind, he bore their scorn, as well as the torture of his punishment, with singular fortitude. He stretched out his hand, and, without betraying, either by his countenance or motions, the least sign of weakness, or even of feeling, he held it in the flames till it was entirely consumed. His thoughts seemed wholly occupied with reflections on his former fault, and he called aloud several times, 'This hand has offended.' Satisfied with that atonement, he then discovered a serenity in his countenance; and when the fire attacked his body, he seemed to be quite insensible of his outward sufferings, and by the force of hope and resolution to have collected his mind altogether within itself, and to repel the fury of the flames. It is pretended, that after his body was consumed his heart was found entire and untouched amidst the ashes; an event which, as it was the emblem of his constancy, was fondly believed by the zealous Protestants. He was undoubtedly a man of merit; possessed of learning and capacity, and adorned with candour, sincerity, and beneficence, and all those virtues which were fitted to render him useful and amiable in society. His moral qualities procured him universal respect; and the courage of his martyrdom, though he fell short of the rigid inflexibility observed in many, made him the hero of the Protestant party (Burnet, vol. ii., pp. 331, 332, etc.; Godwin, p. 352).

After Cranmer's death, Cardinal Pole, who had now taken priest's orders, was installed in the see of Canterbury; and was thus, by this

office, as well as by his commission of legate, placed at the head of the Church of England. But though he was averse to all sanguinary methods of converting heretics, and deemed the reformation of the clergy the more effectual, as the more laudable expedient for that purpose (Burnet, vol. ii., pp. 324, 325); he found his authority too weak to oppose the barbarous and bigoted disposition of the queen and of her counsellors. He himself, he knew, had been suspected of Lutheranism; and as Paul, the reigning pope, was a furious persecutor and his personal enemy, he was prompted, by the modesty of his disposition, to reserve his credit for other occasions, in which he had a greater probability of success (Heylin, p. 68; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 327).

The great object of the queen was to engage the nation in the war which was kindled between France and Spain; and Cardinal Pole, with many other counsellors, openly and zealously opposed this measure. Besides insisting on the marriage articles, which provided against such an attempt, they represented the violence of the domestic factions in England, and the disordered state of the finances; and they foreboded, that the tendency of all these measures was to reduce the kingdom to a total dependence on Spanish counsels. Philip had come to London in order to support his partisans; and he told the queen, that if he were not gratified in so reasonable a request, he never more would set foot in England. This declaration extremely heightened her zeal for promoting his interests, and overcoming the inflexibility of her council. After employing other menaces of a more violent nature, she threatened to dismiss all of them, and to appoint counsellors more obsequious; yet could she not procure a vote for declaring war with France. At length, one Stafford and some other conspirators were detected in a design of surprising Scarborough (Heylin, p. 72; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 351; Melvil's Mem.); and, a confession being extorted from them, that they had been encouraged by Henry in the attempt, the queen's importunity prevailed; and it was determined to make this act of hostility, with others of a like secret and doubtful nature, the ground of the quarrel. War was accordingly declared against France; and preparations were everywhere made for attacking that kingdom.

The revenue of England at that time little exceeded 300,000 pounds (Rossi, *Successi d'Inghilterra*). Any considerable supplies could scarcely be expected from parliament, considering the present disposition of the nation; and as the war would sensibly diminish that branch arising from the customs, the finances, it was foreseen, would fall short even of the ordinary charges of government, and must still more prove unequal to the expenses of war. But though the queen owed great arrears to all her servants, besides the loans extorted from her subjects, these considerations had no influence with her; and in order to support her warlike preparations, she continued to levy money in the same arbitrary and violent manner which she had formerly practised. She obliged the city of London to supply her with 60,000*l.* on her husband's entry; she levied before the legal time the second year's subsidy voted by parliament; she issued anew many privy seals, by which she procured loans from her people; and having equipped a fleet, which she could not victual by reason of the dearth of provisions, she seized all the corn she could find in Suffolk and Norfolk, without paying any price

to the owners. By all these expedients, assisted by the power of pressing, she levied an army of ten thousand men, which she sent over to the Low Countries, under the command of the Earl of Pembroke. Meanwhile, in order to prevent any disturbance at home, many of the most considerable gentry were thrown into the Tower; and lest they should be known, the Spanish practice was followed; they either were carried thither in the night-time, or were hoodwinked and muffled by the guards who conducted them (Strype's Eccl. Mem., vol. iii., p. 377).

The King of Spain had assembled an army, which, after the junction of the English, amounted to above 60,000 men, conducted by Philibert, Duke of Savoy, one of the greatest captains of the age. The constable, Montmorency, who commanded the French army, had not half the number to oppose to him. The Duke of Savoy, after menacing Mariembourg and Rocroy, suddenly sat down before St. Quintin; and as the place was weak, and ill provided with a garrison, he expected in a few days to become master of it. But Admiral Coligny, governor of the province, thinking his honour interested to save so important a fortress, threw himself into St. Quintin, with some troops of French and Scottish gensdarmery; and by his exhortations and example animated the soldiers to a vigorous defence. He dispatched a messenger to his uncle, Montmorency, desiring a supply of men; and the constable (A.D. 1557, Aug. 10) approached the place with his whole army, in order to facilitate the entry of these succours. But the Duke of Savoy, falling on the reinforcement, did such execution upon them, that not above five hundred got into the place. He next made an attack on the French army, and put them to total rout, killing four thousand men, and dispersing the remainder. In this unfortunate action many of the chief nobility of France were either slain or taken prisoners; among the latter was the old constable himself, who, fighting valiantly, and resolute to die rather than survive his defeat, was surrounded by the enemy, and thus fell alive into their hands. The whole kingdom of France was thrown into consternation; Paris was attempted to be fortified in a hurry; and had the Spaniards presently marched thither, it could not have failed to fall into their hands. But Philip was of a cautious temper; and he determined first to take St. Quintin, in order to secure a communication with his own dominions. A very little time, it was expected, would finish this enterprise; but the bravery of Coligny still prolonged the siege seventeen days, which proved the safety of France. Some troops were levied and assembled. Couriers were sent to recal the Duke of Guise and his army from Italy; and the French, having recovered from their first panic, put themselves in a posture of defence. Philip, after taking Ham and Catelet, found the season so far advanced, that he could attempt no other enterprise; he broke up his camp, and retired to winter quarters.

But the vigilant activity of Guise, not satisfied with securing the frontiers, prompted him in the depth of winter to plan an enterprise, which France, during her greatest successes, had always regarded as impracticable, and had never thought of undertaking. Calais was, in that age, deemed an impregnable fortress; and as it was known to be the favourite of the English nation, by whom it could easily be succoured, the recovery of that place by France was considered as totally

desperate. But Coligny had remarked that, as the town of Calais was surrounded with marshes, which, during the winter were impassable, except over a dyke guarded by two castles, St. Agatha and Newnam bridge, the English were of late accustomed, on account of the lowness of their finances, to dismiss a great part of the garrison at the end of autumn, and to recal them in the spring, at which time alone they judged their attendance necessary. On this circumstance he had founded the design of making a sudden attack on Calais; he had caused the place to be secretly viewed by some engineers; and a plan of the whole enterprise being found among his papers, it served, though he himself was made prisoner on the taking of St. Quintin, to suggest the project of that undertaking, and to direct the measures of the Duke of Guise.

Several bodies of troops defiled towards the frontiers on various pretences; and the whole being suddenly assembled, formed an army, with which Guise made an unexpected march towards Calais. At the same time a great number of French ships, being ordered into the channel, under colour of cruising on the English, composed a fleet which made an attack by sea on the fortifications. The French assaulted St. Agatha with three thousand harquebusiers; and the garrison, though they made a vigorous defence, were soon obliged to abandon the place, and retreat to Newnam Bridge. The siege of this latter place was immediately undertaken, and at the same time the fleet battered the risbank, which guarded the entrance of the harbour, and both these castles seemed exposed to imminent danger. The governor, Lord Wentworth, was a brave officer; but finding that the greater part of his weak garrison was enclosed in the castle of Newnam Bridge and the risbank, he ordered them to capitulate, and to join him in Calais, which, without their assistance, he was utterly unable to defend. The garrison of Newnam Bridge was so happy as to effect this purpose; but that of the risbank could not obtain such conditions, and were obliged to surrender at discretion.

The Duke of Guise, now holding Calais blockaded by sea and land, thought himself secure of succeeding in his enterprise, but in order to prevent all accident, he delayed not a moment the attack of the place. He planted his batteries against the castle, where he made a large breach; and having ordered Andelot, Coligny's brother, to drain the fossée, he commanded an assault, which succeeded, and the French made a lodgment in the castle. On the night following, Wentworth attempted to recover this post; but having lost two hundred men in a furious attack which he made upon it (Thuan., lib. xx., cap. 2), he found his garrison so weak, that he was obliged to capitulate. Ham and Guisnes fell soon after; and thus the Duke of Guise, in eight days, during the depth of winter, made himself master of the strong fortress that cost Edward III. a siege of eleven months, at the head of a numerous army, which had that very year been victorious in the battle of Cressy. The English had held it above two hundred years; and as it gave them an easy entrance into France, it was regarded as the most important possession belonging to the crown. The joy of the French was extreme, as well as the glory acquired by Guise, who, at the time when all Europe imagined France to be sunk by the unfor-

tunate battle of St. Quintin, had, in opposition to the English, and their allies the Spaniards, acquired possession of a place, which no former King of France, even during the distractions of the civil wars between the houses of York and Lancaster, had ever ventured to attempt. The English, on the other hand, bereaved of this valuable fortress, murmured loudly against the improvidence of the queen and her council; who, after engaging in a fruitless war for the sake of foreign interests, had thus exposed the nation to so severe a disgrace. A treasury exhausted by expenses, and burdened with debts; a people divided and dejected; a sovereign negligent of her people's welfare; were circumstances which, notwithstanding the fair offers and promises of Philip, gave them small hopes of recovering Calais. And as the Scots, instigated by French counsels, began to move on the borders, they were now necessitated rather to look to their defence at home, than to think of foreign conquests.

After the peace, which in consequence of King Edward's treaty with Henry, took place between Scotland and England, the queen-dowager, on pretence of visiting her daughter and her relations, made a journey into France, and she carried along with her the Earls of Huntly, Sutherland, Marischal, and many of the principal nobility. Her secret design was to take measures for engaging the Earl of Arran to resign to her the government of the kingdom; and as her brothers, the Duke of Guise, the Cardinal of Lorraine, and the Duke of Aumale, had uncontrolled influence in the court of France, she easily persuaded Henry, and, by his authority, the Scottish nobles to enter into her measures. Having also gained Carnegy of Kinnaird, Panter, Bishop of Ross, and Gavin Hamilton, commendator of Kilwinning, three creatures of the governor's, she persuaded him by their means to consent to this resignation (Buchanan, lib. xiv.; Keith, p. 56; Spotswood, p. 92); and when everything was thus prepared for her purpose, she took a journey to Scotland, and passed through England in her way thither. Edward received her with great respect and civility, though he could not forbear attempting to renew the old treaty for his marriage with her daughter; a marriage, he said, so happily calculated for the tranquillity, interest, and security of both kingdoms, and the only means of ensuring a durable peace between them. For his part, he added, he never could entertain a cordial amity for any other husband whom she should choose; nor was it easy for him to forgive a man, who, at the same time that he disappointed so natural an alliance, had bereaved him of a bride, to whom his affections, from his earliest infancy, had been entirely engaged. The queen-dowager eluded these applications, by telling him that, if any measures had been taken disagreeable to him, they were entirely owing to the imprudence of the Duke of Somerset, who, instead of employing courtesy, caresses, and gentle offices, the proper means of gaining a young princess, had had recourse to arms and violence, and had constrained the Scottish nobility to send their sovereign into France, in order to interest that kingdom in protecting their liberty and their independence (Keith, p. 59).

When the queen-dowager arrived in Scotland, she found the governor very unwilling to fulfil his engagements, and it was not till after many

delays that he could be persuaded to resign his authority. But finding that the majority of the young princess was approaching, and that the queen-dowager had gained the affections of all the principal nobility, he thought it more prudent to submit, and having stipulated that he should be declared next heir to the crown, and should be freed from giving any account of his past administration, he placed her in possession of the power, and she thenceforth assumed the name of regent (April 12, 1554). It was a usual saying of this princess, that provided she could render her friends happy, and could ensure a good reputation, she was entirely indifferent what befel her; and though this sentiment is greatly censured by the zealous reformers (Knox, p. 89), as being founded wholly on secular motives, it discovers a mind well calculated for the government of kingdoms. D'Oisel, a Frenchman celebrated for capacity, had attended her as ambassador from Henry, but in reality to assist her with his counsels in so delicate an undertaking as the administration of Scotland, and this man had formed a scheme for laying a general tax on the kingdom, in order to support a standing military force, which might at once repel the inroads of foreign enemies, and check the turbulence of the Scottish nobles. But though some of the courtiers were gained over to this project, it gave great and general discontent to the nation, and the queen-regent, after ingenuously confessing that it would prove pernicious to the kingdom, had the prudence to desist from it, and to trust entirely for her security to the goodwill and the affections of her Scottish subjects (Keith, p. 70; Buchanan, lib. xvi.).

This laudable purpose seemed to be the chief object of her administration, yet was she sometimes drawn from it by her connections with France, and by the influence which her brothers had acquired over her. When Mary commenced hostilities against that kingdom, Henry required the queen-regent to take part in the quarrel, and she summoned a convention of states at Newbottle, and requested them to concur in a declaration of war against England. The Scottish nobles, who were become as jealous of French as the English were of Spanish influence, refused their assent, and the queen was obliged to have recourse to stratagem in order to effect her purpose. She ordered d'Oisel to begin some fortifications at Eyemouth, a place which had been dismantled by the last treaty with Edward; and when the garrison of Berwick, as she foresaw, made an inroad to prevent the undertaking, she effectually employed this pretence to inflame the Scottish nation, and to engage them in hostilities against England (Buchanan, lib. xvi.; Thuan, lib. xix., c. 7). The enterprises, however, of the Scots proceeded no farther than some inroads on the borders; when d'Oisel of himself conducted artillery and troops to besiege the castle of Werke, he was recalled and sharply rebuked by the council (Knox, p. 93).

In order to connect Scotland more closely with France, and to increase the influence of the latter kingdom, it was thought proper by Henry to celebrate the marriage between the young queen and the dauphin, and a deputation was sent by the Scottish parliament to assist at the ceremony, and to settle the terms of the contract.

The close alliance between France and Scotland threatened very nearly the repose and security of Mary, and it was foreseen that,

though the factions and disorders which might naturally be expected in the Scottish government, during the absence of the sovereign, would make its power less formidable, that kingdom would at least afford to the French a means of invading England. The queen, therefore, found it necessary (Jan. 20, A.D. 1558) to summon a parliament, and to demand of them some supplies to her exhausted exchequer. And such an emergency usually gives great advantage to the people; and as the parliaments during this reign had shown, that where the liberty and independence of the kingdom was menaced with imminent danger, they were not entirely overawed by the court, we shall naturally expect that the late arbitrary methods of extorting money should at least be censured, and perhaps some remedy be for the future provided against them. The Commons, however, without making any reflections on the past, voted besides a fifteenth a subsidy of four shillings in the pound on land, and two shillings and eightpence on goods. The clergy granted eight shillings in the pound, payable, as was also the subsidy of the laity, in four years by equal portions.

The parliament also passed an act, confirming all the sales and grants of crown lands, which either were already made by the queen, or should be made during the seven ensuing years. It was easy to foresee that in Mary's present disposition and situation this power would be followed by a great alienation of the royal demesnes, and nothing could be more contrary to the principles of good government, than to establish a prince with very extensive authority, yet permit him to be reduced to beggary. This act met with opposition in the House of Commons. One Copley expressed his fears lest the queen, under colour of the power there granted, might alter the succession, and alienate the crown from the lawful heir; but his words were thought irreverent to her majesty; he was committed to the custody of the serjeant at arms, and though he expressed sorrow for his offence he was not released till the queen was applied to for his pardon.

The English nation during this whole reign were under great apprehensions with regard not only to the succession, but the life of the Lady Elizabeth. The violent hatred which the queen bore to her broke out on every occasion, and it required all the authority of Philip, as well as her own great prudence, to prevent the fatal effects of it. The princess retired into the country, and knowing that she was surrounded with spies she passed her time wholly in reading and study, intermeddled in no business, and saw very little company. While she remained in this situation, which for the present was melancholy, but which prepared her mind for those great actions by which her life was afterwards so much distinguished, proposals of marriage were made to her by the Swedish ambassador in his master's name. As her first question was whether the queen had been informed of these proposals? the ambassador told her that his master thought, as he was a gentleman, it was his duty first to make his addresses to herself, and having obtained her consent, he would next as a king apply to her sister. But the princess would allow him to proceed no farther; and the queen, after thanking her for this instance of duty, desired to know how she stood affected to the Swedish proposals. Elizabeth, though exposed to many present

dangers and mortifications, had the magnanimity to reserve herself for better fortune, and she covered her refusal with professions of a passionate attachment to a single life, which she said she infinitely preferred before any other (Burnet, vol. ii. ; Collect, No. 37). The princess showed like prudence in concealing her sentiments of religion, in complying with the present modes of worship, and in eluding all questions with regard to that delicate subject.¹

The money granted by parliament enabled the queen to fit out a fleet of a hundred and forty sail, which, being joined by thirty Flemish ships, and carrying six thousand land forces on board, was sent to make an attempt on the coast of Brittany. The fleet was commanded by Lord Clinton, the land forces by the Earls of Huntingdon and Rutland. But the equipment of the fleet and army was so dilatory, that the French got intelligence of the design, and were prepared to receive them. The English found Brest so well guarded as to render an attempt on that place impracticable; but landing at Conquet, they plundered and burnt the town, with some adjacent villages, and were proceeding to commit greater disorders, when Kersimon, a Breton gentleman, at the head of some militia, fell upon them, put them to rout, and drove them to their ships with considerable loss. But a small squadron of ten English ships had an opportunity of amply revenging this disgrace upon the French. The mareschal de Thermes, governor of Calais, had made an irruption into Flanders, with an army of 14,000 men; and having forced a passage over the river Aa, had taken Dunkirk, and Berg St. Winoc, and had advanced as far as Newport, but Count Egmont coming suddenly upon him, with superior forces, he was obliged to retreat; and being overtaken by the Spaniards near Gravelines, and finding a battle inevitable, he chose very skilfully his ground for the engagement. He fortified his left wing with all the precautions possible; and posted his right along the river Aa, which, he reasonably thought, gave him full security from that quarter. But the English ships, which were accidentally on the coast, being drawn by the noise of the firing, sailed up the river, and flanking the French, did such execution by their artillery, that they put them to flight; and the Spaniards gained a complete victory (Holingshed, p. 1150).

Meanwhile, the principal army of France, under the Duke of Guise, and that of Spain, under the Duke of Savoy, approached each other on the frontiers of Picardy; and as the two kings had come into their respective camps, attended by the flower of their nobility, men expected that some great and important event would follow, from the emulation of these warlike nations. But Philip, though actuated by the ambition, possessed not the enterprising genius of a conqueror; and he was willing, notwithstanding the superiority of his numbers, and the two

¹ The common net at that time, says Sir Richard Baker, for catching of Protestants, was the real presence; and this net was used to catch the Lady Elizabeth; for being asked one time what she thought of the words of Christ, 'This is my body,' whether she thought it the true body of Christ that was in the sacrament, it is said, that after some pausing, she thus answered:

'Christ was the word that spake it,		And what the word did make it,
He took the bread and brake it;		That I believe and take it.'

Which, though it may seem but a slight expression, yet hath it more solidness than at first sight appears; at least, it served her turn at that time, to escape the net, which by direct answer she could not have done. Baker's Chron., p. 320.

great victories which he had gained at St. Quintin and Gravelines, to put a period to the war by treaty. Negotiations were entered into for that purpose; and as the terms offered by the two monarchs were somewhat wide of each other, the armies were put into winter-quarters, till the princes could come to better agreement. Among other conditions, Henry demanded the restitution of Navarre to its lawful owner; Philip that of Calais and its territory to England; but in the midst of these negotiations, news arrived of the death of Mary; and Philip, no longer connected with England, began to relax in his firmness on that capital article. This was the only circumstance that could have made the death of that princess be regretted by the nation.

Mary had long been in a declining state of health; and having mistaken her dropsy for a pregnancy, she had made use of an improper regimen, and her malady daily augmented. Every reflection now tormented her. The consciousness of being hated by her subjects, the prospect of Elizabeth's succession, apprehensions of the danger to which the catholic religion stood exposed, dejection for the loss of Calais, concern for the ill state of her affairs, and, above all, anxiety for the absence of her husband, who, she knew, intended soon to depart for Spain, and to settle there during the remainder of his life; all these melancholy reflections preyed upon her mind, and threw her into a lingering fever, of which she died (A.D. 1558, Nov. 17) after a short and unfortunate reign of five years, four months, and eleven days.

It is not necessary to employ many words in drawing the character of this princess. She possessed few qualities either estimable or amiable; and her person was as little engaging as her behaviour and address. Obstinacy, bigotry, violence, cruelty, malignity, revenge, tyranny; every circumstance of her character took a tincture from her bad temper and narrow understanding. And amidst that complication of vices, which entered into her composition, we shall scarcely find any virtue but sincerity: a quality, which she seems to have maintained throughout her whole life; except in the beginning of her reign, when the necessity of her affairs obliged her to make some promises to the protestants, which she certainly never intended to perform. But in these cases a weak bigoted woman, under the government of priests, easily finds casuistry sufficient to justify to herself the violation of a promise. She appears also, as well as her father, to have been susceptible of some attachments of friendship; and that without the caprice and inconstancy which were so remarkable in the conduct of that monarch. To which we may add, that in many circumstances of her life she gave indications of resolution and vigour of mind; a quality which seems to have been inherent in her family.

Cardinal Pole had long been sickly, from an intermitting fever; and he died the same day with the queen, about sixteen hours after her. The benign character of this prelate, the modesty and humanity of his deportment, made him be universally beloved; insomuch that, in a nation where the most furious persecution was carried on, and where the most violent religious factions prevailed, entire justice, even by most of the reformers, has been done to his merit. The haughty Pontiff, Paul IV., had entertained some prejudices against him; and when England declared war against Henry, the ally of that Pope, he

seized the opportunity of revenge; and revoking Pole's legantine commission, appointed in his room Cardinal Peyto, an observantine friar and confessor to the queen. But Mary would never permit the new legate to act upon the commission; and Paul was afterwards obliged to restore Cardinal Pole to his authority.

There occur few general remarks, besides what have already been made in the course of our narration, with regard to the general state of the kingdom during this reign. The naval power of England was then so inconsiderable, that 14,000*l.* being ordered to be applied to the fleet, both for repairing and victualling it, it was computed that 10,000*l.* a year would afterwards answer all necessary charges (Burnet, vol. iii., p. 259). The arbitrary proceedings of the queen, above mentioned, joined to many monopolies granted by this princess, as well as by her father, checked the growth of commerce; and so much the more, as all other princes in Europe either were not permitted, or did not find it necessary, to proceed in so tyrannical a manner. Acts of parliament, both in the last reign, and in the beginning of the present, had laid the same impositions on the merchants of the still-yard as on other aliens; yet the queen, immediately after her marriage, complied with the solicitations of the emperor, and, by her prerogative, suspended those laws (Rymer, vol. xv., p. 364). Nobody in that age pretended to question this exercise of prerogative. The historians are entirely silent with regard to it; and it is only by the collection of public papers that it is handed down to us.

An absurd law had been made in the preceding reign, by which every one was prohibited from making cloth unless he had served an apprenticeship of seven years. The law was repealed in the first year of the queen; and this plain reason was given, that it had occasioned the decay of the woollen manufactory, and had ruined several towns (1 Mar. Parl. 2, cap. 7). It is strange that Edward's law should have been revived during the reign of Elizabeth; and still more strange, that it should still subsist.

A passage to Archangel had been discovered by the English during the last reign, and a beneficial trade with Muscovy had been established. A solemn embassy was sent by the czar to Queen Mary. The ambassadors were shipwrecked on the coast of Scotland; but being hospitably entertained there, they proceeded on the journey, and were received at London with great pomp and solemnity (Holingshed, p. 732; Heylin, p. 71). This seems to have been the first intercourse which that empire had with any of the western potentates of Europe.

A law was passed in this reign (4 and 5 Phil. and Mar., cap. 2), by which the number of horses, arms, and furniture, was fixed, which each person, according to the extent of his property, should be provided with for the defence of the kingdom. A man of 1000*l.* a year, for instance, was obliged to maintain at his own charge, six horses fit for demi-lances, of which three at least to be furnished with sufficient harness, steel saddles, and weapons proper for the demi-lances; and ten horses fit for light horsemen, with furniture and weapons proper for them; he was obliged to have forty corslets furnished; fifty almain revets, or instead of them, forty coats of plate, corslets or brigandines furnished; forty pikes, thirty long bows, thirty sheafs of arrows, thirty

steel caps or skulls, twenty black bills or halberts, twenty harquebuts, and twenty morions or falllets. We may remark, that a man of 1000 marks of stock was rated equal to one of 200*l.* a year; a proof that few or none at that time lived on their stock in money, and that great profits were made by the merchants in the course of trade. There is no class above 1000*l.* a year.

We may form a notion of the little progress made in arts and refinement about this time from one circumstance: a man of no less rank than the comptroller of Edward VI.'s household, paid only 30*s.* a year of our present money, for his house in Channel Row (Nicolson's Hist. Lib.); yet labour and provisions, and consequently houses, were only about a third of the present price. Erasmus ascribes the frequent plagues in England to the nastiness and dirt and slovenly habits among the people. 'The floors,' says he, 'are commonly of clay, strewed with rushes, under which lies unmolested an ancient collection of beer, grease, fragments, bones, spittle, excrements of dogs and cats, and everything that is nasty' (Eras. Epist. 432).

Holingshed, who lived in Queen Elizabeth's reign, gives a very curious account of the plain, or rather rude, way of living of the preceding generation. There scarcely was a chimney to the houses, even in considerable towns; the fire was kindled by the wall, and the smoke sought its way at the roof, or door, or windows; the houses were nothing but watling plastered over with clay; the people slept on straw pallets, and had a good round log under their head for a pillow; and almost all the furniture and utensils were of wood.¹

¹ The passage of Holingshed, in the discourse prefixed to his History, and which some ascribe to Harrison, is as follows. Speaking of the increase of luxury: 'Neither do I speak this in reproach of any man, God is my judge; but to show that I do rejoice rather to see how God has blessed us with His good gifts, and to behold how that in a time wherein all things are grown to most excessive prices, we do yet find the means to obtain and achieve such furniture as is heretofore has been impossible. There are old men yet dwelling in the village where I remain which have noted three things to be marvellously altered in England within their sound remembrance. One is, the multitude of chimneys lately erected; whereas in their young days, there were not above two or three, if so many, in most uplandish towns of the realm (the religious houses and manor-places of their lords always excepted, and peradventure some great parsonage); but each made his fire against a reredosse in the hall where he dined and dressed his meat. The second is the great amendment of lodging; for, said they, our fathers, and we ourselves, have lain full oft upon straw pallettes, covered only with a sheet under coverlets made of dagswaine or hoparlots (I use their own terms), and a good round log under their head instead of a bolster. If it were so, that the father or the good-man of the house had a mattress or flock-bed, and thereto a sack of chaff to rest his head upon, he thought himself to be as well lodged as the lord of the town. So well were they contented. Pillows, said they, were thought meet only for women in childbed. As for servants, if they had any sheet above them, it was well; for seldom had they any under their bodies to keep them from the pricking straws, that ran oft through the canvas, and razed their hardened hides. The third thing they tell of is, the exchange of treene platters (so called, I suppose, from tree or wood) into pewter, and wooden spoons into silver or tin. For so common were all sorts of treene vessels in old time, that a man should hardly find four pieces of pewter (of which one was peradventure a salt) in a good farmer's house.' (Description of Britain, chap. x.) Again in chap. xvi.: 'In times past men were contented to dwell in houses builded of sawlow, willow, etc.; so that the use of the oak was in a manner dedicated wholly unto churches, religious houses, princes' palaces, navigation, etc., but now sawlow, etc., are rejected, and nothing but oak anywhere regarded; and yet see the change; for when our houses were builded of willow, then had we oaken men; but now that our houses are come to be made of oak, our men are not only become willow, but a great many altogether of straw, which is a sore alteration. In these the courage of the owner was a sufficient defence to keep the house in safety; but now the assurance of the timber must defend the men from robbing. Now have we many chimneys; and yet our tenderlines complain of rheums, catarrhs, and poses; then had we none but reredosses, and our heads did never ache. For as the smoke in those days was supposed to be a sufficient hardening for the timber of the house, so it was reputed a far better medicine to keep the good-man and his family from the

In this reign we find the first general law with regard to highways, which were appointed to be repaired by parish duty all over England (2 and 3 Phil. and Mar., cap. 8).

CHAPTER XXXVIII.

ELIZABETH.

Queen's popularity.—Re-establishment of the Protestant religion.—A parliament.—Peace with France.—Disgust between the Queen and Mary Queen of Scots.—Affairs of Scotland.—Reformation in Scotland.—Civil wars in Scotland.—Interposal of the queen in the affairs of Scotland.—Settlement of Scotland.—French affairs.—Arrival of Mary in Scotland.—Bigotry of the Scotch reformers.—Wise government of Elizabeth.

IN a nation so divided as the English, it could scarcely be expected that the death (A.D. 1558) of one sovereign, and the accession of another, who was generally believed to have embraced opposite principles to those which prevailed, could be the object of universal satisfaction; yet so much were men displeased with the present conduct of affairs, and such apprehensions were entertained of futurity, that the people, overlooking their theological disputes, expressed a general and unfeigned joy that the sceptre had passed into the hand of Elizabeth. That princess had discovered great prudence in her conduct during the reign of her sister; and as men were sensible of the imminent danger to which she was every moment exposed, compassion towards her situation, and concern for her safety, had rendered her, to an uncommon degree, the favourite of the nation. A parliament had been assembled a few days before Mary's death; and when Heath, Archbishop of York, then chancellor, notified to them that event, scarcely an interval of regret appeared; and the two houses immediately resounded with the joyful acclamations of 'God save Queen Elizabeth! 'Long and happily may she reign!' The people, less actuated by

'quack or pose, wherewith, as then, very few were acquainted.' Again, in chap. xviii.: 'Our pewterers in time past employed the use of pewter only upon dishes and pots, and a few other trifles for service; whereas now they are grown into such exquisite cunning, that they can in manner imitate by infusion any form or fashion of cup, dish, salt, or bowl, or goblet, which is made by goldsmith's craft, though they be never so curious, and very artificially forged. In some places beyond the sea, a garnish of good flat English pewter (I say flat, because dishes and platters in my time begin to be made deep, and like basons, and are indeed more convenient both for sauce and keeping the meat warm) is almost esteemed so precious as the like number of vessels that are made of fine silver.' If the reader is curious to know the hours of meals, in Queen Elizabeth's reign, he may learn it from the same author: 'With us the nobility, gentry, and students, do ordinarily go to dinner at 11 before noon, and to supper at 5, or between 5 and 6 at afternoon. The merchants dine and sup seldom before 12 at noon and 6 at night, especially in London. The husbandmen dine also at high noon, as they call it, and sup at 7 or 8; but out of term in our universities the scholars dine at ten.'

Froissart mentions waiting on the Duke of Lancaster at 5 o'clock in the afternoon, when he had supped. These hours are still more early. It is hard to tell, why, all over the world, as the age becomes more luxurious, the hours becomes later. Is it the crowd of amusements that push on the hours gradually, or are the people of fashion better pleased with the secrecy and silence of nocturnal hours, when the industrious vulgar are all gone to rest? In rude ages, men have few amusements or occupations but what daylight affords them.

faction, and less influenced by private views, expressed a joy still more general and hearty on her proclamation; and the auspicious commencement of this reign prognosticated that felicity and glory, which, during its whole course, so uniformly attended it (Burnet's History of Reformation, vol. ii., p. 373).

Elizabeth was at Hatfield when she heard of her sister's death; and, after a few days, she went thence to London through crowds of people, who strove with each other in giving her the strongest testimony of their affection. On her entrance into the Tower, she could not forbear reflecting on the great difference between her present fortune, and that which a few years before had attended her, when she was conducted to that place as a prisoner, and lay there exposed to all the bigoted malignity of her enemies. She fell on her knees, and expressed her thanks to heaven for the deliverance which the Almighty had granted her from her bloody persecutors; a deliverance, she said, no less miraculous than that which Daniel had received from the den of lions. This act of pious gratitude seems to have been the last circumstance in which she remembered any past hardships and injuries. With a prudence and magnanimity truly laudable, she buried all offences in oblivion, and received with affability even those who had acted with the greatest malevolence against her. Sir Harry Bennifield himself, to whose custody she had been committed, and who had treated her with severity, never felt during the whole course of her reign any effects of her resentment (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 374). Yet was not the gracious reception which she gave, prostitute and undistinguishing. When the bishops came in a body to make their obeisance to her, she expressed to all of them sentiments of regard; except to Bonner, from whom she turned aside, as from a man polluted with blood, who was a just object of horror to every heart susceptible of humanity (Ibid.; Heylin, p. 102).

After employing a few days in ordering her domestic affairs, Elizabeth notified to foreign courts her sister's death, and her own accession. She sent Lord Cobham to the Low Countries, where Philip then resided; and she took care to express to that monarch, her gratitude for the protection which he had afforded her, and her desire of persevering in that friendship which had so happily commenced between them. Philip, who had long foreseen this event, and who still hoped, by means of Elizabeth, to obtain that dominion over England, of which he had failed in espousing Mary, immediately dispatched orders to the Duke of Feria, his ambassador at London, to make proposals of marriage to the queen, and he offered to procure from Rome a dispensation for that purpose. But Elizabeth soon came to the resolution of declining the proposal. She saw that the nation had entertained an extreme aversion to the Spanish alliance during her sister's reign; and that one great cause of the popularity which she herself enjoyed was the prospect of being freed, by her means, from the danger of foreign subjection. She was sensible that her affinity with Philip was exactly similar to that of her father with Catherine of Arragon; and that her marrying that monarch was, in effect, declaring herself illegitimate, and incapable of succeeding to the throne. And, though the power of the Spanish monarchy might still be sufficient, in opposition

to all pretenders to support her title, her masculine spirit disdained such precarious dominion, which, as it would depend solely on the power of another, must be exercised according to his inclinations (Camden in Kennet, p. 370; Burnet, vol. ii., p. 375). But while these views prevented her from entertaining any thoughts of a marriage with Philip, she gave him an obliging, though evasive answer; and he still retained such hopes of success, that he sent a messenger to Rome, with orders to solicit the dispensation.

The queen too, on her sister's death, had written to Sir Edward Carne, the English ambassador at Rome, to notify her accession to the Pope; but the precipitate nature of Paul broke through all the cautious measures concerted by this young princess. He told Carne, that England was a fief of the holy see; and it was great temerity in Elizabeth to have assumed, without his participation, the title and authority of queen: that being illegitimate, she could not possibly inherit that kingdom; nor could he annul the sentence pronounced by Clement VII. and Paul III. with regard to Henry's marriage: that were he to proceed with rigour, he should punish this criminal invasion of his rights, by rejecting all her applications; but, being willing to treat her with paternal indulgence, he would still keep the door of grace open to her: and that, if she would renounce all pretensions to the crown, and submit entirely to his will, she should experience the utmost lenity, compatible with the dignity of the apostolic see (Father Paul, lib. v.). When this answer was reported to Elizabeth, she was astonished at the character of that aged pontiff; and having recalled her ambassador, she continued with more determined resolution to pursue those measures which already she had secretly embraced.

The queen, not to alarm the partisans of the Catholic religion, had retained eleven of her sister's counsellors, but in order to balance their authority, she added eight more, who were known to be inclined to the Protestant communion, the Marquis of Northampton, the Earl of Bedford, Sir Thomas Parry, Sir Edward Rogers, Sir Ambrose Cave, Sir Francis Knolles, Sir Nicholas Bacon, whom she created lord keeper, and Sir William Cecil, secretary of state (Strype's Ann., vol. i., p. 5). With these counsellors, particularly Cecil, she frequently deliberated concerning the expediency of restoring the Protestant religion, and the means of executing that great enterprise. Cecil told her, that the greater part of the nation had ever since her father's reign inclined to the reformation, and though her sister had constrained them to profess the ancient faith the cruelties exercised by her ministers had still more alienated their affection from it; that happily the interests of the sovereign here concurred with the inclinations of the people, nor was her title to the crown compatible with the authority of the Roman pontiff; that a sentence so solemnly pronounced by two popes against her mother's marriage could not possibly be recalled without inflicting a mortal wound on the credit of the see of Rome, and even if she were allowed to retain the crown it would only be on an uncertain and dependent footing: that this circumstance alone counterbalanced all dangers whatsoever, and these dangers themselves, if narrowly examined, would be found very little formidable; that the

curse and execrations of the Romish Church, when not seconded by military force, were in the present age more an object of ridicule than of terror, and had now as little influence in this world as in the next; that though the bigotry or ambition of Henry or Philip might incline them to execute a sentence of excommunication against her, their interests were so incompatible, that they never could concur in any plan of operations, and the enmity of the one would always ensure to her the friendship of the other; that if they encouraged the discontents of her Catholic subjects, their dominions also abounded with Protestants and it would be easy to retaliate upon them; that even such of the English as seemed at present zealously attached to the Catholic faith would most of them embrace the religion of their new sovereign, and the nation had of late been so much accustomed to these revolutions, that men had lost all idea of truth and falsehood in such subjects; that the authority of Henry VIII., so highly raised by many concurring circumstances, first inured the people to this submissive deference, and it was the less difficult for succeeding princes to continue the nation in a track to which it had so long been accustomed; and that it would be easy for her, by bestowing on Protestants all preferment in civil offices and the militia, the church and the universities, both to ensure her own authority, and to render her religion entirely predominant (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 377; Camden, p. 370).

The education of Elizabeth, as well as her interest, led her to favour the reformation, and she remained not long in suspense with regard to the party which she should embrace. But though determined in her own mind, she resolved to proceed by gradual and secure steps, and not to imitate the example of Mary, in encouraging the bigots of her party to make immediately a violent invasion on the established religion (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 378; Camden, p. 371). She thought it requisite, however, to discover such symptoms of her intentions as might give encouragement to the Protestants, so much depressed by the late violent persecutions. She immediately recalled all the exiles, and gave liberty to the prisoners who were confined on account of religion. We are told of a pleasantry of one Rainsford on this occasion, who said to the queen, that he had a petition to present her in behalf of other prisoners called Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John; she readily replied, that it behoved her first to consult the prisoners themselves, and to learn of them whether they desired that liberty which he demanded for them (Heylin, p. 103).

Elizabeth also proceeded to exert in favour of the reformers some acts of power which were authorised by the extent of royal prerogative during that age. Finding that the Protestant teachers, irritated by persecution, broke out in a furious attack on the ancient superstition, and that the Romanists replied with no less zeal and acrimony, she published a proclamation, by which she inhibited all preaching without a special licence (Ibid., p. 104; Strype, vol. i., p. 41); and though she dispensed with these orders in favour of some preachers of her own sect, she took care that they should be the most calm and moderate of the party. She also suspended the laws so far as to order a great part of the service, the litany, the Lord's Prayer, the creed, and the gospels, to be read in English. And having first published injunctions that all the

churches should conform themselves to the practice of her own chapel, she forbade the host to be any more elevated in her presence, an innovation which, however frivolous it may appear, implied the most material consequences (Camden, p. 371; Heylin, p. 104; Strype, vol. i., p. 54; Stow, p. 635).

These declarations of her intentions, concurring with preceding suspicions, made the bishops foresee with certainty a revolution in religion. They therefore refused to officiate at her coronation, and it was with some difficulty that the Bishop of Carlisle was at last prevailed on to perform the ceremony. When she was conducted through London amidst the joyful acclamations of her subjects, a boy, who personated Truth, was let down from one of the triumphal arches, and presented to her a copy of the Bible. She received the book with the most gracious deportment, placed it next her bosom, and declared that amidst all the costly testimonies which the city had that day given her of their attachment, this present was by far the most precious and most acceptable (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 380; Strype, vol. i., p. 29). Such were the innocent artifices by which Elizabeth insinuated herself into the affections of her subjects. Open in her address, gracious and affable in all public appearances, she rejoiced in the concourse of her subjects, entered into all their pleasures and amusements, and without departing from her dignity, which she knew well how to preserve, she acquired a popularity beyond what any of her predecessors or successors ever could attain. Her own sex exulted to see a woman hold the reins of empire with such prudence and fortitude; and while a young princess of twenty-five years (for that was her age at her accession), who possessed all the graces and insinuation, though not all the beauty of her sex, courted the affections of individuals by her civilities, of the public by her services, her authority, though corroborated by the strictest bands of law and religion, appeared to be derived entirely from the choice and inclination of the people.

A sovereign of this disposition was not likely to offend her subjects by any useless or violent exertions of power: and Elizabeth, though she threw out such hints as encouraged the Protestants, delayed the entire change of religion till the meeting of the parliament, which was summoned to assemble. The elections had gone entirely against the Catholics, who seemed not indeed to have made any great struggle for the superiority;¹ and the houses met in a disposition of gratifying the queen in every particular which she could desire of them. They began the session with an unanimous declaration, 'that Queen Elizabeth was, and ought to be, as well by the word of God as the common and statute laws of the realm, the lawful, undoubted, and true heir to the crown, lawfully descended from the blood royal, according to the order of succession settled in the 35th of Henry VIII.' (1 Eliz., cap. iii.) This act of recognition was probably dictated by the queen herself and her ministers; and she showed her magnanimity, as well

¹ Notwithstanding the bias of the nation towards the Protestant sect, it appears, that some violence, at least according to our present ideas, was used in these elections. Five candidates were nominated by the court to each borough and three to each county; and, by the sheriff's authority, the members were chosen from among these candidates. State papers collected by Edward, Earl of Clarendon, p. 92.

as moderation, in the terms which she employed on that occasion. She followed not Mary's practice in declaring the validity of her mother's marriage, or in expressly repealing the act formerly made against her own legitimacy: she knew that this attempt must be attended with reflections on her father's memory, and on the birth of her deceased sister; and as all the world was sensible that Henry's divorce from Anne Boleyn was merely the effect of his usual violence and caprice, she scorned to found her title on any act of an assembly, which had too much prostituted its authority by its former variable, servile, and iniquitous decisions. Satisfied therefore in the general opinion entertained with regard to this fact, which appeared the more undoubted the less anxiety she discovered in fortifying it by votes and inquiries, she took possession of the throne, both as her birthright, and as ensured to her by former acts of parliament; and she never appeared anxious to distinguish these titles (Camden, p. 372; Heylin, pp. 107, 108).

The first bill brought into parliament, with a view of trying their disposition on the head of religion, was that for suppressing the monasteries lately erected, and for restoring the tenths and firstfruits to the queen. This point being gained with much difficulty, a bill was next introduced annexing the supremacy to the crown; and though the queen was there denominated governess, not head, of the church, it conveyed the same extensive power, which, under the latter title, had been exercised by her father and brother. All the bishops who were present in the upper house strenuously opposed this law; and as they possessed more learning than the temporal peers, they triumphed in the debate; but the majority of voices in that house, as well as among the commons, was against them. By this act the crown, without the concurrence either of the parliament or even of the convocation, was vested with the whole spiritual power: might repress all heresies, might establish or repeal all canons, might alter every point of discipline, and might ordain or abolish any religious rite or ceremony.¹ In determining heresy, the sovereign was only limited (if that could be called a limitation) to such doctrines as had been adjudged heresy, by the authority of the Scripture, by the first four general councils, or by any general council which followed the Scripture as their rule, or to such other doctrines as should hereafter be denominated heresy by the parliament and convocation. In order to exercise this authority, the queen, by a clause of the act, was empowered to name commissioners, either laymen or clergymen, as she should think proper; and on this clause was afterwards founded the court of ecclesiastical commission, which assumed large discretionary, not to say arbitrary powers, totally incompatible with any exact boundaries in the constitution. Their proceedings indeed were only consistent with absolute monarchy; but were entirely suitable to the genius of the act on which they were established; an act that at once gave the crown alone all the power which had formerly been claimed by the Popes, but which even these usurping prelates had never been able fully to exercise, without some concurrence of the national clergy.

Whoever refused to take an oath, acknowledging the queen's supre-

¹ 1 Eliz., cap. 1. This last power was anew recognised in the Act of Uniformity. 1 Eliz., cap. 2.

macy, was incapacitated from holding any office; whoever denied the supremacy, or attempted to deprive the queen of that prerogative, forfeited for the first offence all his goods and chattels; for the second, was subjected to the penalty of a *præmunire*; but the third offence was declared treason. These punishments, however severe, were less rigorous than those which were formerly, during the reigns of her father and brother, inflicted in like cases.

A law was passed, confirming all the statutes enacted in King Edward's time with regard to religion (1 Eliz., cap. ii.): the nomination of bishops was given to the crown without any election of the chapters: the queen was empowered, on the vacancy of any see, to seize all the temporalities, and to bestow on the bishop-elect an equivalent in the impropriations belonging to the crown. This pretended equivalent was commonly much inferior in value; and thus the queen, amidst all her concern for religion, followed the example of the preceding reformers, in committing depredations on the ecclesiastical revenues.

The bishops and all incumbents were prohibited from alienating their revenues, and from letting leases longer than twenty-one years or three lives. This law seemed to be meant for securing the property of the church; but as an exception was left in favour of the crown, great abuses still prevailed. It was usual for the courtiers during this reign to make an agreement with a bishop or incumbent, and to procure a fictitious alienation to the queen, who afterwards transferred the lands to the person agreed on (Strype, vol. i., p. 79). This method of pillaging the church was not remedied until the beginning of James I. The present depression of the clergy exposed them to all injuries; and the laity never stopped till they had reduced the church to such poverty, that her plunder no longer compensated the odium incurred by it.

A solemn and public disputation was held during this session, in presence of Lord Keeper Bacon, between the divines of the Protestant and those of the Catholic communion. The champions appointed to defend the religion of the sovereign were, as in all former instances, entirely triumphant; and the popish disputants, being pronounced refractory and obstinate, were even punished by imprisonment (*Ibid.*, p. 95). Emboldened by this victory, the Protestants ventured on the last and most important step, and brought into parliament a bill (1 Eliz., cap. ii.) for abolishing the mass, and re-establishing the liturgy of King Edward. Penalties were enacted, as well against those who departed from this mode of worship, as against those who absented themselves from the church and the sacraments. And thus in one session, without any violence, tumult, or clamour, was the whole system of religion altered, on the very commencement of a reign, and by the will of a young woman, whose title to the crown was by many thought liable to great objections; an event which, though it may appear surprising to men in the present age, was everywhere expected on the first intelligence of Elizabeth's accession.

The commons also made a sacrifice to the queen, more difficult to obtain than that of any articles of faith; they voted a subsidy of four shillings in the pound on land, and two shillings and eightpence on movables, together with two fifteenths.¹ The house in no instance

¹ The parliament also granted the queen the duties of tonnage and poundage; but this con-

departed from the most respectful deference and complaisance towards the queen. Even the importunate address which they made her on the conclusion of the session, to fix her choice of a husband, could not, they supposed, be very disagreeable to one of her sex and age. The address was couched in the most respectful expressions; yet met with a refusal from the queen. She told the speaker, that as the application from the house was conceived in general terms, only recommending marriage, without pretending to direct her choice of a husband, she could not take offence at the address, or regard it otherwise than as a new instance of their affectionate attachment to her; that any further interposition on their part would have ill become either them to make as subjects, or her to bear as an independent princess; that even while she was a private person, and exposed to much danger, she had always declined that engagement, which she regarded as an incumbrance; much more, at present, would she persevere in this sentiment, when the charge of a great kingdom was committed to her, and her life ought to be entirely devoted to promoting the interests of religion and the happiness of her subjects; that as England was her husband, wedded to her by this pledge (and here she showed her finger with the same gold ring upon it with which she had solemnly betrothed herself to the kingdom at her inauguration), so all Englishmen were her children, and while she was employed in rearing or governing such a family, she could not deem herself barren, or her life useless and unprofitable; that if she ever entertained thoughts of changing her condition, the care of her subjects' welfare would still be uppermost in her thoughts; but should she live and die a virgin, she doubted not but Divine Providence, seconded by their counsels and her own measures, would be able to prevent all dispute with regard to the succession, and secure them a sovereign, who, perhaps better than her own issue, would imitate her example, in loving and cherishing her people; and that, for her part, she desired that no higher character, or fairer remembrance of her should be transmitted to posterity, than to have this inscription engraved on her tomb-stone, when she should pay the last debt to nature: 'Here lies Elizabeth, who lived and died a maiden queen' (Camden, p. 375; Sir Simon d'Ewes).

After the prorogation of the parliament (A.D. 1559, May 8),¹ the laws enacted with regard to religion were put in execution, and met with little opposition from any quarter. The liturgy was again introduced

cession was at that time regarded only as a matter of form, and she had levied these duties before they were voted by parliament. But there was another exertion of power she practised, and which people, in the present age, from their ignorance of ancient practices, may be apt to think a little extraordinary. Her sister, after the commencement of the war with France, had, from her own authority, imposed four marks on each tun of wine imported, and had increased the poundage a third on all commodities. Queen Elizabeth continued these impositions as long as she thought it convenient. The parliament, who had so good an opportunity of restraining these arbitrary taxes, when they voted the tonnage and poundage, thought not proper to make any mention of them. They knew that the sovereign, during that age, pretended to have the sole regulation of foreign trade, and that their intermeddling with that prerogative would have drawn on them the severest reproof, if not chastisement. Forbes, vol. 1., pp. 132, 133. We know certainly, from the statutes and journals, that no such impositions were granted by parliament.

¹ It is thought remarkable by Camden, that though this session was the first of the reign, no person was attainted; but, on the contrary, some restored in blood by the parliament. A good symptom of the lenity, at least of the prudence, of the queen's government; and that it should appear remarkable is a proof of the rigour of preceding reigns.

in the vulgar tongue, and the oath of supremacy was tendered to the clergy. The number of bishops had been reduced to fourteen by a sickly season, which preceded; and all these, except the Bishop of Llandaff, having refused compliance, were degraded from their sees; but of the inferior clergy throughout all England, where there are near 10,000 parishes, only eighty rectors and vicars, fifty prebendaries, fifteen heads of colleges, twelve archdeacons, and as many deans, sacrificed their livings to their religious principles.¹ Those in high ecclesiastical stations, being exposed to the eyes of the public, seem chiefly to have placed a point of honour in their perseverance; but on the whole, the protestants, in the former change introduced by Mary, appear to have been much more rigid and conscientious. Though the catholic religion, adapting itself to the senses, and enjoining observances, which enter into the common train of life, does at present lay faster hold on the mind than the reformed, which, being chiefly spiritual, resembles more a system of metaphysics; yet was the proportion of zeal, as well as of knowledge, during the first ages after the reformation, much greater on the side of the protestants. The catholics continued, ignorantly and supinely, in their ancient belief, or rather their ancient practices; but the reformers, obliged to dispute on every occasion, and inflamed to a degree of enthusiasm by novelty and persecution, had strongly attached themselves to their tenets; and were ready to sacrifice their fortunes, and even their lives, in support of their speculative and abstract principles.

The forms and ceremonies, still preserved in the English liturgy, as they bore some resemblance to the ancient service, tended farther to reconcile the catholics to the established religion; and as the queen permitted no other mode of worship, and at the same time struck out everything that could be offensive to them in the new liturgy (Heylin, p. 111), even those who were addicted to the Romish communion made no scruple of attending the Established Church. Had Elizabeth gratified her own inclinations, the exterior appearance, which is the chief circumstance with the people, would have been still more similar between the new and the ancient form of worship. Her love of state and magnificence, which she affected in everything, inspired her with an inclination towards the pomp of the catholic religion; and it was merely in compliance with the prejudices of her party, that she gave up either images or the addresses to saints, or prayers for the dead (Burnet, vol. ii., pp. 376, 397; Camden, p. 371). Some foreign princes interposed to procure the Romanists the privilege of separate assemblies in particular cities, but the queen would not comply with their request; and she represented the manifest danger of disturbing the national peace by a toleration of different religions (Camden, p. 378; Strype, vol. i., pp. 150, 370).

While the queen and parliament were employed in settling the public religion, the negotiations for a peace were still conducted, first at Cercamp, then at Cateau-Cambresis, between the ministers of France, Spain, and England; and Elizabeth, though equally prudent, was not equally successful in this transaction. Philip employed his utmost efforts to procure the restitution of Calais, both as bound in honour to

¹ Camden, p. 376; Heylin, p. 115; Strype, vol. i., p. 73, with some small variations.

indemnify England, which, merely on his account, had been drawn into the war, and as engaged in interest to remove France to a distance from his frontiers in the Low Countries. So long as he entertained hopes of espousing the queen, he delayed concluding a peace with Henry; and even after the change of religion in England deprived him of all such views, his ministers hinted to her a proposal, which may be regarded as reasonable and honourable. Though all his own terms with France were settled, he seemed willing to continue the war, till she should obtain satisfaction; provided she would stipulate to adhere to the Spanish alliance, and continue hostilities against Henry, during the course of six years (Forbes's Full View, vol. i., p. 59). But Elizabeth, after consulting with her ministers, wisely rejected this proposal. She was sensible of the low state of her finances; the great debts contracted by her father, brother, and sister; the disorders introduced into every part of the administration; the divisions by which her people were agitated; and she was convinced that nothing but tranquillity during some years could bring the kingdom again into a flourishing condition, or enable her to act with dignity and vigour in her transactions with foreign nations. Well acquainted with the value which Henry put upon Calais, and the impossibility, during the present emergence, of recovering it by treaty, she was willing rather to suffer that loss, than submit to such a dependence on Spain as she must expect to fall into, if she continued pertinaciously in her present demand. She ordered, therefore, her ambassadors, Lord Effingham, the Bishop of Ely, and Dr. Wotton, to conclude the negotiation, and to settle a peace with Henry, on any reasonable terms. Henry offered to stipulate a marriage between the eldest daughter of the dauphin, and the eldest son of Elizabeth; and to engage for the restitution of Calais as the dowry of that princess (Forbes, vol. i., p. 54); but as the queen was sensible that this treaty would appear to the world a palpable evasion, she insisted upon more equitable, at least more plausible conditions. It was at last agreed that Henry should restore Calais at the expiration of eight years; that, in case of failure, he should pay 500,000 crowns, and the queen's title to Calais still remain; that he should find the security of seven or eight foreign merchants, not natives of France, for the payment of this sum; that he should deliver five hostages till that security were provided; that if Elizabeth broke the peace with France or Scotland during the interval, she should forfeit all title to Calais; but if Henry made war on Elizabeth, he should be obliged immediately to restore that fortress (Forbes, p. 68; Rymer, vol. xv., p. 505). All men of penetration easily saw that these stipulations were but a colourable pretence for the abandoning of Calais; but they excused the queen on account of the necessity of her affairs; and they even extolled her prudence in submitting, without further struggle, to that necessity. A peace with Scotland was a necessary consequence of that with France.

Philip and Henry terminated hostilities by a mutual restitution of all places taken during the course of the war; and Philip espoused the Princess Elizabeth, eldest daughter of France, formerly betrothed to his son Don Carlos. The Duke of Savoy married Margaret, Henry's sister, and obtained a restitution of all his dominions of Savoy and

Piedmont, except a few towns, retained by France. And thus general tranquillity seemed to be restored to Europe.

But though peace was concluded between France and England, there soon appeared a ground of quarrel, of the most serious nature, and which was afterwards attended with the most important consequences. The two marriages with Henry VIII., that with Catherine of Arragon, and that with Anne Boleyn, were incompatible with each other ; and it seemed impossible, that both of them could be regarded as valid and legal : but still the birth of Elizabeth lay under some disadvantages, to which that of her sister Mary was not exposed, Henry's first marriage had obtained the sanction of all the powers, both civil and ecclesiastical, which were then acknowledged in England ; and it was natural for Protestants as well as Romanists, to allow, on account of the sincere intention of the parties, that their issue ought to be regarded as legitimate. But his divorce and second marriage had been concluded in direct opposition to the see of Rome ; and though they had been ratified by the authority both of the English parliament and convocation, those who were strongly attached to the catholic communion, and who reasoned with great strictness, were led to regard them as entirely invalid, and to deny altogether the queen's right of succession. The next heir of blood was the Queen of Scots, now married to the dauphin ; and the great power of that princess, joined to her plausible title, rendered her a formidable rival to Elizabeth. The King of France had secretly been soliciting at Rome a bull of excommunication against the queen ; and she had here been beholden to the good offices of Philip, who, from interest more than either friendship or generosity, had negotiated in her favour, and had successfully opposed the pretensions of Henry. But the court of France was not discouraged with this repulse ; the Duke of Guise, and his brothers, thinking that it would much augment their credit, if their niece should bring an accession of England, as she had already done of Scotland, to the crown of France, engaged the king not to neglect the claim ; and, by their persuasion, he ordered his son and daughter-in-law to assume openly the arms as well as title of England, and to quarter these arms on all their equipages, furniture, and liveries. When the English ambassador complained of this injury, he could obtain nothing but an evasive answer ; that as the Queen of Scots was descended from the blood royal of England, she was entitled, by the example of many princes, to assume the arms of that kingdom. But besides that this practice had never prevailed without permission being first obtained, and without making a visible difference between the arms, Elizabeth plainly saw, that this pretension had not been advanced during the reign of her sister Mary ; and that therefore the King of France intended, on the first opportunity, to dispute her legitimacy, and her title to the crown. Alarmed at the danger, she thenceforth conceived a violent jealousy against the Queen of Scots ; and was determined, as far as possible, to incapacitate Henry from the execution of his project. The sudden death of that monarch, who was killed in a tournament at Paris, while celebrating the espousals of his sister with the Duke of Savoy, altered not her views. Being informed that his successor, Francis II., still continued to assume, without reserve, the title of King of England, she

began to consider him and his queen as her mortal enemies ; and the present situation of affairs in Scotland afforded her a favourable opportunity, both of revenging the injury, and providing for her own safety.

The murder of the cardinal primate at St. Andrews had deprived the Scottish catholics of a head, whose severity, courage, and capacity had rendered him extremely formidable to the innovators in religion ; and the execution of the laws against heresy began thenceforth to be more remiss. The queen-regent governed the kingdom by prudent and moderate counsels ; and as she was not disposed to sacrifice the civil interests of the state to the bigotry or interests of the clergy, she deemed it more expedient to temporize, and to connive at the progress of a doctrine which she had not power entirely to repress. When informed of the death of Edward, and the accession of Mary to the crown of England, she entertained hopes, that the Scottish reformers, deprived of the countenance which they received from that powerful kingdom, would lose their ardour with their prospect of success, and would gradually return to the faith of their ancestors. But the progress and revolutions of religion are little governed by the usual maxims of civil policy ; and the event much disappointed the expectations of the regent. Many of the English preachers, terrified with the severity of Mary's government, took shelter in Scotland, where they found more protection, and a milder administration ; and while they propagated their theological tenets, they filled the whole kingdom with a just horror against the cruelties of the bigoted catholics, and showed their disciples the fate which they must expect, if ever their adversaries should attain an uncontrolled authority over them.

A hierarchy, moderate in its acquisitions of power and riches, may safely grant a toleration to sectaries ; and the more it softens the zeal of innovators by lenity and liberty, the more securely will it possess those advantages which the legal establishments bestow upon it. But where superstition has raised a church to such an exorbitant height as that of Rome, persecution is less the result of bigotry in the priests, than of a necessary policy ; and the rigour of law is the only method of repelling the attacks of men, who, besides religious zeal, have so many other motives, derived both from public and private interest, to engage them on the side of innovation. But though such overgrown hierarchies may long support themselves by these violent expedients, the time comes, when severities tend only to enrage the new sectaries, and make them break through all bounds of reason and moderation. This crisis was now visibly approaching in Scotland ; and whoever considers merely the transactions resulting from it will be inclined to throw the blame equally on both parties ; whoever enlarges his view, and reflects on the situations, will remark the necessary progress of human affairs, and the operation of those principles which are inherent in human nature.

Some heads of the reformers in Scotland, such as the Earl of Argyle, his son, Lord Lorne, the Earls of Morton and Glencairn, Erskine of Dun, and others, observing the danger to which they were exposed, and desirous to propagate their principles, entered privately into a bond or association, and called themselves the Congregation of the Lord. in contradistinction to the established church, which they denominated

the congregation of Satan. The tenor of the bond was as follows : ' We perceiving how Satan, in his members, the antichrist of our time, ' do cruelly rage, seeking to overthrow and to destroy the Gospel of ' Christ and His congregation, ought, according to our bounden duty, ' to strive, in our Master's cause, even unto the death, being certain of ' the victory in Him. We do therefore promise, before the Majesty of ' God and His congregation, that we, by His grace, shall with all dili- ' gence continually apply our whole power, substance, and our very ' lives, to maintain, set forward, and establish the most blessed Word ' of God and His congregation ; and shall labour, by all possible means, ' to have faithful ministers, truly and purely to minister Christ's gospel ' and sacraments to His people ; we shall maintain them, nourish them, ' and defend them, the whole congregation of Christ, and every mem- ' ber thereof, by our whole power, and at the hazard of our lives, against ' Satan, and all wicked power, who may intend tyranny and trouble ' against the said congregation ; unto which holy word and congrega- ' tion we do join ourselves ; and we forsake and renounce the congre- ' gation of Satan, with all the superstitious abomination and idolatry ' thereof ; and moreover shall declare ourselves manifestly enemies ' thereto, by this faithful promise before God, testified to this congrega- ' tion by our subscriptions. At Edinburgh, the third of December, ' 1557' (Keith, p. 66 ; Knox, p. 101).

Had the subscribers of this zealous league been content only to demand a toleration of the new opinions, however incompatible their pretensions might have been with the policy of the Church of Rome, they would have had the praise of opposing tyrannical laws, enacted to support an establishment prejudicial to civil society ; but it is plain, that they carried their views much farther, and their practice immediately discovered the spirit by which they were actuated. Supported by the authority, which they thought belonged to them as the congregation of the Lord, they ordained that prayers in the vulgar tongue¹ should be used in all the parish churches of the kingdom ; and that preaching and the interpretation of the Scriptures should be practised in private houses, till God should move the prince to grant public preaching by faithful and true ministers (Keith, p. 66 ; Knox, p. 101). Such bonds of association are always the forerunners of rebellion ; and this violent invasion of the established religion was the actual commencement of it.

Before this league was publicly known or avowed, the clergy, alarmed with the progress of the reformation, attempted to recover their lost authority by a violent exercise of power, which tended still farther to augment the zeal and number of their enemies. Hamilton, the primate, seized Walter Mill, a priest of an irreproachable life, who had embraced the new doctrines ; and having tried him at St. Andrews, condemned him to the flames for heresy. Such general aversion was entertained against this barbarity, that it was some time before the bishops could prevail on any one to act the part of a civil judge, and pronounce sentence upon Mill ; and even after the time of his execution was fixed, all the shops of St. Andrews being shut, no one would sell a rope to tie him to the stake, and the primate himself

¹ The reformers used at that time King Edward's liturgy in Scotland. Forbes, p. 155.

was obliged to furnish this implement. The man bore the torture with that courage, which, though usual on these occasions, always appears supernatural and astonishing to the multitude. The people, to express their abhorrence against the cruelty of the priests, raised a monument of stones on the place of his execution; and as fast as the stones were removed by order of the clergy, they were again supplied from the voluntary zeal of the populace (Knox, p. 122). It is in vain for men to oppose the severest punishment to the united motives of religion and public applause; and this was the last barbarity of the kind which the Catholics had the power to exercise in Scotland.

Some time after, the people discovered their sentiments in such a manner as was sufficient to prognosticate to the priests the fate which was awaiting them. It was usual on the festival of St. Giles, the tutelar saint of Edinburgh, to carry in procession the image of that saint; but the Protestants, in order to prevent the ceremony, found means, on the eve of the festival to purloin the statue from the church, and they pleased themselves with imagining the surprise and disappointment of his votaries. The clergy, however, framed hastily a new image, which, in derision, was called by the people young St. Giles; and they carried it through the streets, attended by all the ecclesiastics in the town and neighbourhood. The multitude abstained from violence so long as the queen-regent continued a spectator; but the moment she retired, they invaded the idol, threw it in the mire, and broke it in pieces. The flight and terror of the priests and friars, who it was remarked deserted in his greatest distress the object of their worship, was the source of universal mockery and laughter.

Encouraged by all these appearances, the congregation proceeded with alacrity in openly soliciting subscriptions to their league; and the death of Mary of England, with the accession of Elizabeth, which happened about that time, contributed to increase their hopes of final success in their undertaking. They ventured to present a petition to the regent, craving a reformation in the Church, and of the wicked, scandalous, and detestable lives of the prelates and ecclesiastics (Knox, p. 121). They framed a petition which they intended to present to parliament, and in which, after premising that they could not communicate with the damnable idolatry and intolerable abuses of the papistical church, they desired that the laws against heretics should be executed by the civil magistrate alone, and that the Scripture should be the sole rule in judging of heresy (Ibid., p. 123). They even petitioned the convocation, and insisted that prayers should be said in the vulgar tongue, and that bishops should be chosen with the consent of the gentry of the diocese, and priests with the consent of the parishioners (Keith, pp. 78, 81, 82). The regent prudently temporised between these parties; and as she aimed at procuring a matrimonial crown for her son-in-law the dauphin, she was, on that as well as other accounts, unwilling to come to extremities with either of them.

But after this concession was obtained, she received orders from France, probably dictated by the violent spirit of her brothers, to proceed with rigour against the reformers, and to restore the royal authority

by some signal act of power (Melvil's Mem., p. 24; Jebb, vol. ii., p. 446). She made the more eminent of the Protestant teachers be cited to appear before the council at Stirling; but when their followers were marching thither in great multitudes, in order to protect and countenance them, she entertained apprehensions of an insurrection, and it is said dissipated the people by a promise,¹ that nothing should be done to the prejudice of the ministers. Sentence however was passed, by which all the ministers were pronounced rebels on account of their not appearing. A measure which enraged the people, and made them resolve to oppose the regent's authority by force of arms, and to proceed to extremities against the clergy of the established religion.

In this critical time, John Knox arrived from Geneva, where he had passed some years in banishment, and where he had imbibed, from his commerce with Calvin, the highest fanaticism of his sect, augmented by the native ferocity of his own character. He had been invited back to Scotland by the leaders of the reformation; and (May 11) mounting the pulpit at Perth, during the present ferment of men's minds, he declaimed with his usual vehemence against the idolatry and other abominations of the Church of Rome, and incited his audience to exert their utmost zeal for its subversion. A priest was so imprudent after this sermon, as to open his repository of images and reliques, and prepare himself to say mass. The audience, exalted to a disposition for any furious enterprise, were as much enraged as if the spectacle had not been quite familiar to them: they attacked the priest with fury, broke the images in pieces, tore the pictures, overthrew the altars, scattered about the sacred vases; and left no implement of idolatrous worship, as they termed it, entire or undefaced. They thence proceeded with additional numbers and augmented rage to the monasteries of the grey and black friars, which they pillaged in an instant; the Carthusians underwent the same fate; and the populace, not content with robbing and expelling the monks, vented their fury on the buildings which had been the receptacles of such abominations; and in a little time nothing but the walls of these edifices were left standing. The inhabitants of Cupar in Fife soon after imitated the example (Spotswood, p. 121; Knox, p. 127).

The queen regent, provoked at these violences, assembled an army, and prepared to chastise the rebels. She had about 2000 French under her command, with a few Scottish troops; and being assisted by such of the nobility as were well affected to her, she pitched her camp within ten miles of Perth. Even the Earl of Argyle, and Lord James Stuart, prior of St. Andrews, the queen's natural brother, though deeply engaged with the reformers, attended the regent in this enterprise, either because they blamed the fury of the populace, or hoped, by their own influence and authority, to mediate some agreement be-

¹ Knox, p. 127. We shall suggest some reasons to suspect, that, perhaps, no express promise was ever given. Calumnies easily arise during times of faction, especially those of the religious kind, when men think every art lawful for promoting their purpose. The congregation in their manifesto, in which they enumerate all the articles of the regent's mal-administration, do not reproach her with this breach of promise. It was probably nothing but a rumour spread abroad to catch the populace. If the Papists have sometimes maintained that no faith was to be kept with heretics, their adversaries seem also to have thought, that no truth ought to be told of idolaters.

tween the parties. The congregation, on the other hand, made preparations for defence; and being joined by the Earl of Glenciarne from the west, and being countenanced by many of the nobility and gentry, they appeared formidable from their numbers, as well as from the zeal by which they were animated. They sent an address to the regent, where they plainly insinuated, that, if they were pursued to extremities by the cruel beasts the churchmen, they would have recourse to foreign powers for assistance; and they subscribed themselves her faithful subjects in all things not repugnant to God, assuming, at the same time, the name of the faithful congregation of Christ Jesus (Knox, p. 129). They applied to the nobility attending her, and maintained, that their own past violences were justified by the Word of God, which commands the godly to destroy idolatry, and all the monuments of it; and though all civil authority was sacred, yet was there a great difference between the authority and the persons who exercised it (Knox, p. 131); and that it ought to be considered, whether or not those abominations, called by the pestilent papists religion, and which they defend by fire and sword, be the true religion of Christ Jesus. They remonstrated with such of the queen's army as had formerly embraced their party, and told them, 'That as they were already reputed traitors by God, they should likewise be excommunicated from their society, and from the participation of the sacraments of the Church, which God by His mighty power had erected among them; whose ministers have the same authority which Christ granted to His apostles in these words, Whose sins ye shall forgive shall be forgiven, and whose sins ye shall retain shall be retained' (Ibid., p. 133.) We may here see, that these new saints were no less lofty in their pretensions than the ancient hierarchy; no wonder they were enraged against the latter as their rivals in dominion. They joined to all these declarations an address to the established Church; and they affixed this title to it: 'To the generation of anti-christ, the pestilent prelates and their shavelings (a contemptuous term for a priest) in Scotland, the congregation of Christ Jesus within the same sayeth.' The tenor of the manifesto was suitable to the title. They told the ecclesiastics, 'As ye by tyranny intend not only to destroy our bodies, but also by the same to hold our souls in bondage of the devil, subject to idolatry; so shall we, with all the force and power which God shall grant unto us, execute just vengeance and punishment upon you; yea, we shall begin that same war which God commanded Israel to execute against the Canaanites; that is, contract of peace shall never be made, till you desist from your open idolatry, and cruel persecution of God's children. And this, in the name of the eternal God, and of His Son Christ Jesus, whose verity we profess, and gospel we have preached, and holy sacraments rightly administered, we signify unto you, to be our intent, so far as God will assist us to withstand your idolatry. Take this for warning, and be not deceived' (Keith, pp. 85, 86, 87; Knox, p. 134). With these outrageous symptoms, commenced in Scotland that cant, hypocrisy, and fanaticism, which long infested that kingdom, and which, though now mollified by the lenity of the civil power, is still ready to break out on all occasions.

The queen regent, finding such obstinate zeal in the rebels, was con-

tent to embrace the counsels of Argyle and the prior of St. Andrews, and to form an accommodation with them. She was received into Perth, which submitted, on her promising an indemnity for past offences, and engaging not to leave any French garrison in the place. Complaints, very ill founded, immediately arose concerning the infraction of this capitulation. Some of the inhabitants, it was pretended, were molested on account of the late violences; and some companies of Scotch soldiers, supposed to be in French pay, were quartered in the town; which step, though taken on very plausible grounds, was loudly exclaimed against by the congregation (Knox, p. 139). It is asserted that the regent, to justify these measures, declared that princes ought not to have their promises too strictly urged upon them; nor was any faith to be kept with heretics; and that for her part, could she find as good a colour, she would willingly bereave all these men of their lives and fortunes (*Ibid.*; Spotswood, p. 123). But it is nowise likely, that such expressions ever dropped from this prudent and virtuous princess. On the contrary, it appears, that all these violences were disagreeable to her; that she was in this particular overruled by the authority of the French counsellors placed about her; and that she often thought, if the management of those affairs had been entrusted wholly to herself she could easily have accommodated all differences.¹

The congregation, inflamed with their own zeal, and enraged by these disappointments, remained not long in tranquillity. Even before they left Perth, and while as yet they had no colour to complain of any violation of treaty, they had signed a new covenant, in which, besides their engagements to mutual defence, they vowed, in the name of God, to employ their whole power in destroying everything that dishonoured His holy name; and this covenant was subscribed, among others, by Argyle and the prior of St. Andrews (Keith, p. 89; Knox, p. 138). These two leaders now desired no better pretence for deserting the regent and openly joining their associates, than the complaints, however doubtful, or rather false, of her breach of promise. The congregation also, encouraged by this accession of force, gave themselves up entirely to the furious zeal of Knox, and renewed at Crail, Anstruther, and other places in Fife, like depredations on the churches and monasteries with those formerly committed at Perth and Cupar. The regent, who marched against them with her army, finding their power so much increased, was glad to conclude a truce for a few days, and to pass over with her forces to the Lothians. The reformers be-

¹ Spotswood, p. 146; Melvil, p. 29; Knox, pp. 225, 228; Lesly, lib. x. That there was really no violation of the capitulation of Perth, appears from the manifesto of the congregation. In Knox, p. 184, in which it is not so much as pretended. The companies of Scotch soldiers were, probably, in Scotch pay, since the congregation complains, that the country was oppressed with taxes to maintain armies. Knox, pp. 164, 165. And even if they had been in French pay, it was no breach of the capitulation, since they were national troops, not French. Knox does not say, p. 139, that any of the inhabitants of Perth were tried or punished for their past offences; but only that they were oppressed with the quartering of soldiers; and the congregation, in their manifesto, say only that many of them had fled for fear. This plain detection of the calumny, with regard to the breach of the capitulation of Perth, may make us suspect a like calumny with regard to the pretended promise not to give sentence against the ministers. The affair lay altogether between the regent and the Laird of Dun; and that gentleman, though a man of sense and character, might be willing to take some general professions for promises. If the queen, overawed by the power of the congregation, gave such a promise in order to have liberty to proceed to a sentence; how could she expect to have power to execute a sentence so insidiously obtained? and to what purpose could it serve?

sieged and took Perth; proceeded thence to Stirling, where they exercised their usual fury; and finding nothing able to resist them, they bent their march to Edinburgh, the inhabitants of which, as they had already anticipated the zeal of the congregation against the churches and monasteries, gladly opened their gates to them. The regent, with the few forces which remained with her, took shelter in Dunbar, where she fortified herself, in expectation of a reinforcement from France.

Meanwhile, she employed her partisans in representing to the people the dangerous consequences of this open rebellion; and she endeavoured to convince them, that the Lord James, under pretence of religion, had formed the scheme of wresting the sceptre from the hands of the sovereign. By these considerations many were engaged to desert the army of the congregation; but much more by the want of pay or any means of subsistence; and the regent, observing the malcontents to be much weakened, ventured to march to Edinburgh, with a design of suppressing them. On the interposition of the Duke of Chatelrault, who still adhered to her, she agreed to a capitulation, in which she granted them a toleration of their religion, and they engaged to commit no further depredations on the churches. Soon after they evacuated the city; and before they left it, they proclaimed the articles of agreement; and they took care to publish only the articles favourable to themselves, and they were guilty of an imposture, in adding one to the number, namely, that idolatry should not again be erected in any place where it was at that time suppressed.¹

An agreement, concluded while men were in this disposition, could not be durable; and both sides endeavoured to strengthen themselves as much as possible, against the ensuing rupture, which appeared inevitable. The regent, having got a reinforcement of 1000 men from France, began to fortify Leith; and the congregation seduced to their party the Duke of Chatelrault, who had long appeared inclined to join them, and who was at last determined by the arrival of his son, the Earl of Arran, from France, where he had escaped many dangers from the jealousy, as well as bigotry, of Henry and the Duke of Guise. More French troops soon after disembarked under the command of La Brosse, who was followed by the Bishop of Amiens, and three doctors of the Sorbonne. These last were supplied with store of syllogisms, authorities, citations, and scholastic arguments, which they intended to oppose to the Scottish preachers, and which, they justly presumed, would acquire force, and produce conviction, by the influence of the French arms and artillery (Spotswood, p. 134; Thuan., lib. xxiv., c. 10).

The Constable Montmorency had always opposed the marriage of the dauphin with the Queen of Scots, and had foretold, that, by forming such close connections with Scotland, the ancient league would be dissolved; and the natives of that kingdom, jealous of a foreign yoke, would soon become, instead of allies, attached by interest and inclina-

¹ Knox, pp. 153, 154, 155. This author pretends that this article was agreed to verbally, but that the queen's scribes omitted it in the treaty that was signed. The story is very unlikely, or rather very absurd; and in the meantime it is allowed that the article is not in the treaty. Nor do the congregation, in their subsequent manifesto, insist upon it. Knox, p. 184. Besides, would the queen regent, in an article of a treaty, call her own religion idolatry?

tion, the most inveterate enemies to the French government. But though the event seemed now to have justified the prudence of that aged minister, it is not improbable, considering the violent counsels by which France was governed, that the insurrection was deemed a favourable event; as affording a pretence for sending over armies, for entirely subduing the country, for attainting the rebels (Forbes, vol. i., p. 139; Thuan., lib. xxiv., c. 13), and for preparing means thence to invade England, and support Mary's title to the crown of that kingdom. The leaders of the congregation, well acquainted with these views, were not insensible of their danger, and saw that their only safety consisted in the vigour and success of their measures. They were encouraged by the intelligence received of the sudden death of Henry II.; and having passed an act from their own authority, depriving the queen-dowager of the regency, and ordering all the French troops to evacuate the kingdom, they collected forces to put their edict in execution against them. They again became masters of Edinburgh; but found themselves unable to keep long possession of that city. Their tumultuary armies, assembled in haste, and supported by no pay, soon separated upon the least disaster, or even any delay of success; and were incapable of resisting such veteran troops as the French, who were also seconded by some of the Scottish nobility, among whom the Earl of Bothwell distinguished himself. Hearing that the Marquis of Elbeuf, brother to the regent, was levying an army against them in Germany, they thought themselves excusable for applying, in this extremity, to the assistance of England; and as the sympathy of religion, as well as regard to national liberty, had now counterbalanced the ancient animosity against that kingdom, this measure was the result of inclination, no less than of interest.¹ Maitland of Lidington, therefore, and Robert Melvil, were secretly dispatched by the congregation to solicit succours from Elizabeth.

The wise council of Elizabeth did not long deliberate in agreeing to this request, which concurred so well with the views and interests of their mistress. Cecil in particular represented to the queen, that the union of the crowns of Scotland and France, both of them the hereditary enemies of England, was ever regarded as a pernicious event, and her father, as well as Protector Somerset, had employed every expedient, both of war and negotiation, to prevent it; that the claim which Mary advanced to the crown rendered the present situation of England still more dangerous, and demanded on the part of the queen the greatest vigilance and precaution; that the capacity, ambition, and exorbitant views of the family of Guise, who now governed the French counsels, were sufficiently known, and they themselves made no secret of their design to place their niece on the throne of England; that deeming themselves secure of success, they had already somewhat imprudently and prematurely taken off the mask,

¹ The Scotch lords, in their declaration, say, 'How far we have sought support of England, or of any other prince, and what just cause we had and have so to do, we shall shortly make manifest unto the world, to the praise of God's holy name, and to the confusion of all those that slander us for so doing. For this we fear not to confess, that as in this enterprise against the devil, against idolatry and the maintainers of the same, we chiefly and only seek the glory of God to be notified unto men, sin to be punished, and virtue to be maintained; so where power faileth of ourselves, we will seek it, wheresoever God shall offer the same.' Knox, p. 176.

and Throgmorton, the English ambassador at Paris, sent over by every courier incontestable proofs of their hostile intentions ;¹ that they only waited till Scotland should be entirely subdued, and having thus deprived the English of the advantages resulting from their situation and naval power, they prepared means for subverting the queen's authority ; that the zealous Catholics in England, discontented with the present government and satisfied in the legality of Mary's title, would bring them considerable reinforcement, and would disturb every measure of defence against that formidable power ; that the only expedient for preventing these designs was to seize the present opportunity, and take advantage of a like zeal in the Protestants of Scotland : nor could any doubt be entertained with regard to the justice of a measure founded on such evident necessity, and directed only to the ends of self-preservation ; that though a French war, attended with great expense, seemed the necessary consequence of supporting the malcontents in Scotland, that power if removed to the continent would be much less formidable, and a small disbursement at present would in the end be found the greatest frugality ; and that the domestic dissensions of France which every day augmented, together with the alliance of Philip, who notwithstanding his bigotry and hypocrisy, would never permit the entire conquest of England, were sufficient to secure the queen of England against the dangerous ambition and resentment of the house of Guise.²

Elizabeth's propensity to caution and economy was, though with some difficulty (Forbes, vol. i., pp. 454, 460), overcome by these powerful motives, and she prepared herself to support by arms and money the declining affairs of the congregation in Scotland. She equipped a fleet which consisted of thirteen ships of war, and giving the command of it to Winter, she sent it to the Frith of Forth ; she appointed the young Duke of Norfolk her lieutenant in the northern counties, and she assembled at Berwick an army of 8000 men under the command of Lord Gray, warden of the east and middle marches. Though the court of France, sensible of the danger, offered her to make immediate restitution of Calais, provided she would not interpose in the affairs of Scotland, she resolutely replied, that she never would put an inconsiderable fishing town in competition with the safety of her dominions (Spotswood, p. 146), and she still continued her preparations. She concluded a treaty of mutual defence with the congregation, which was to last during the marriage of the Queen of Scots with Francis, and a year after, and she promised never to desist till the French had entirely evacuated Scotland.³ And having thus taken all proper measures for success, and received from the Scots six hostages for the performance of articles, she ordered her fleet and army to begin their operations.

The appearance (Jan. 15, A.D. 1560) of Elizabeth's fleet in the Frith disconcerted the French army, who were at that time ravaging the county of Fife, and obliged them to make a circuit by Stirling, in order to reach Leith, where they prepared themselves for defence.

¹ Forbes, vol. i., pp. 134, 136, 149, 150, 159, 165, 181, 194, 229, 231, 235-241, 253.

² Forbes, vol. i., p. 387 ; Jebb, vol. i., p. 448 ; Keith, Append. 24.

³ Knox, p. 217 ; Haynes's *State Papers*, vol. i., p. 153 ; Rymer, tom. xv., p. 569.

The English army, reinforced by 5000 Scots (Haynes, vol. i., pp. 256, 259), sat down before the place, and after two skirmishes, in the former of which the English had the advantage, in the latter the French, they began to batter the town, and though repulsed with considerable loss in a rash and ill-conducted assault, they reduced the garrison to great difficulties. Their distress was augmented by two events, the dispersion by a storm of d'Elbeuf's fleet, which carried a considerable army on board (Haynes, vol. i., p. 223), and the death of the queen-regent, who expired about this time in the castle of Edinburgh, a woman endowed with all the capacity which shone forth in her family, but possessed of much more virtue and moderation than appeared in the conduct of the other branches of it. The French, who found it impossible to subsist for want of provisions, and who saw that the English were continually reinforced by fresh numbers, were (July 5) obliged to capitulate; and the Bishop of Valence and Count Randan, plenipotentiaries from France, signed a treaty at Edinburgh with Cecil and Dr. Wotton, whom Elizabeth had sent thither for that purpose. It was there stipulated that the French should instantly evacuate Scotland; that the king and queen of France and Scotland should thenceforth abstain from bearing the arms of England, or assuming the title of that kingdom; that further satisfaction for the injury already done in that particular should be granted Elizabeth, and the commissioners should meet to settle this point, or if they could not agree, that the King of Spain should be umpire between the crowns. Besides these stipulations which regarded England, some concessions were granted to the Scots, namely, that an amnesty should be published for all past offences, that none but natives should enjoy any office in Scotland; that the states should name twenty-four persons, of whom the Queen of Scots should choose seven, and the states five, and in the hands of these twelve should the whole administration be placed during their queen's absence, and that Mary should neither make peace nor war without consent of the states.¹ In order to hasten the execution of this important treaty, Elizabeth sent ships, by which the French forces were transported into their own country.

Thus Europe saw in the first transaction of this reign the genius and capacity of the queen and her ministers. She discerned at a distance the danger which threatened her, and instantly took vigorous measures to prevent it. Making all possible advantages of her situation, she proceeded with celerity to a decision, and was not diverted by any offers, negotiations, or remonstrances of the French court. She stopped not till she had brought the matter to a final issue, and had converted that very power to which her enemies trusted for her destruction into her firmest support and security. By exacting no improper conditions from the Scottish malcontents, even during their greatest distresses, she established an entire confidence with them, and having cemented the union by all the ties of gratitude, interest, and religion, she now possessed an influence over them beyond what remained even with their native sovereign. The regard which she acquired by this dexterous and spirited conduct gave her everywhere, abroad as well as at home, more authority than had attended her

¹ Rymer, vol. xv., p. 593; Keith, p. 137; Spotswood, p. 147; Knox, p. 229.

sister, though supported by all the power of the Spanish monarchy (Forbes, vol. i., pp. 354, 372 ; Jebb, vol. ii., p. 452).

The subsequent measures of the Scottish reformers tended still more to cement their union with England. Being now entirely masters of the kingdom, they made no further ceremony or scruple, in fully effecting their purpose. In the treaty of Edinburgh it had been agreed that a parliament or convention should soon be assembled, and the leaders of the congregation, not waiting till the Queen of Scots should ratify that treaty, thought themselves fully entitled, without the sovereign's authority, immediately to summon a parliament. The reformers presented a petition to this assembly, in which they were not contented with desiring the establishment of their doctrine, they also applied for the punishment of the Catholics, whom they called vassals to the Roman harlot, and they asserted, that among all the rabble of the clergy, such is their expression, there was not one lawful minister, but that they were all of them thieves and murderers, yea, rebels and traitors to civil authority, and therefore unworthy to be suffered in any reformed commonwealth (Knox, pp. 237, 238). The parliament seem to have been actuated by the same spirit of rage and persecution. After ratifying a confession of faith agreeable to the new doctrines, they passed a statute against the mass, and not only abolished it in all the churches, but enacted that whoever anywhere either officiated in it, or was present at it, should be chastised for the first offence with confiscation of goods and corporal punishment, at the discretion of the magistrate, for the second with banishment, and for the third with loss of life (Ibid., p. 254). A law was also voted for abolishing the papal jurisdiction in Scotland; the presbyterian form of discipline was settled, leaving only at first some shadow of authority to certain ecclesiastics, whom they called superintendents. The prelates of the ancient faith appeared, in order to complain of great injustice committed on them by the invasion of their property; but the parliament took no notice of them, till at last these ecclesiastics, tired with fruitless attendance, departed the town. They were then cited to appear, and as nobody presented himself, it was voted by the parliament, that the ecclesiastics were entirely satisfied, and found no reason of complaint.

Sir James Sandilands, prior of St. John, was sent over to France to obtain the ratification of these acts; but was very ill received by Mary, who denied the validity of a parliament, summoned without the royal consent; and she refused her sanction to those statutes. But the Protestants gave themselves little concern about their queen's refusal. They immediately put the statutes in execution; they abolished the mass; they settled their ministers; they committed everywhere furious devastations on the monasteries, and even on the churches, which they thought profaned by idolatry; and deeming the property of the clergy lawful prize, they took possession, without ceremony, of the far greater part of the ecclesiastical revenues. Their new preachers, who had authority sufficient to incite them to war and insurrection, could not restrain their rapacity; and fanaticism concurring with avarice, an incurable wound was given to the papal authority in that country. The Protestant nobility and gentry, united by the consciousness of such

unpardonable guilt, alarmed for their new possessions, well acquainted with the imperious character of the house of Guise, saw no safety for themselves but in the protection of England; and they dispatched Morton, Glencairn, and Lidington to express their sincere gratitude to the queen for her past favours, and represent to her the necessity of continuing them.

Elizabeth, on her part, had equal reason to maintain a union with the Scottish Protestants; and soon found that the house of Guise, notwithstanding their former disappointments, had not laid aside the design of contesting her title, and subverting her authority. Francis and Mary, whose counsels were wholly directed by them, refused to ratify the treaty of Edinburgh; and showed no disposition to give her any satisfaction for that mortal affront which they had put upon her by their openly assuming the title and arms of England. She was sensible of the danger attending such pretensions; and it was with pleasure she heard of the violent factions which prevailed in the French government, and of the opposition which had arisen against the measures of the Duke of Guise. That ambitious prince, supported by his four brothers, the Cardinal of Lorraine, the Duke of Aumale, the Marquis of Elbeuf, and the grand prior, men no less ambitious than himself, had engrossed all the authority of the crown; and as he was possessed of every quality which could command the esteem or seduce the affections of men, there appeared no end of his acquisitions and pretensions. The constable, Montmorency, who had long balanced his credit, was deprived of all power: the princes of the blood, the King of Navarre and his brother, the Prince of Condé, were entirely excluded from offices and favour; the queen-mother herself, Catherine de Medicis, found her influence every day declining; and as Francis, a young prince, infirm both in mind and body, was wholly governed by his consort, who knew no law but the pleasure of her uncles, men despaired of ever obtaining freedom from the dominion of that aspiring family. It was the contests of religion which first inspired the French with courage openly to oppose their unlimited authority.

The theological disputes, first started in the north of Germany, next in Switzerland, countries at that time wholly illiterate, had long ago penetrated into France; and as they were assisted by the general discontent against the court and church of Rome, and by the zealous spirit of the age, the proselytes to the new religion were secretly increasing in every province. Henry II., in imitation of his father Francis, had opposed the progress of the reformers; and though a prince addicted to pleasure and society, he was transported by a vehemence as well as bigotry, which had little place in the conduct of his predecessor. Rigorous punishments had been inflicted on the most eminent of the Protestant party; and a point of honour seemed to have arisen, whether the one sect could exercise, or the other suffer, most barbarity. The death of Henry put some stop to the persecutions; and the people, who had admired the constancy of the new preachers, now heard with favour their doctrines and arguments. But the Cardinal of Lorraine, as well as his brothers, who were possessed of the legal authority, thought it their interest to support the established religion;

and when they revived the execution of the penal statutes, they necessarily drove the malcontent princes and nobles to embrace the protection of the new religion. The King of Navarre, a man of mild dispositions, but of a weak character, and the Prince of Condé, who possessed many great qualities, having declared themselves in favour of the Protestants, that sect acquired new force from their countenance; and the Admiral, Coligni, with his brother Andelot, no longer scrupled to make open profession of their communion. The integrity of the admiral, who was believed sincere in his attachment to the new doctrine, and his great reputation, both for valour and conduct, for the arts of peace as well as of war, brought credit to the reformers; and after a frustrated attempt of the malcontents to seize the king's person at Amboise, of which Elizabeth had probably some intelligence,¹ every place was full of distraction, and matters hastened to an open rupture between the parties. But the house of Guise, though these factions had obliged them to remit their efforts in Scotland, and had been one chief cause of Elizabeth's success, were determined not to relinquish their authority in France, or yield to the violence of their enemies. They found an opportunity of seizing the King of Navarre and the Prince of Condé; threw the former into prison; they obtained a sentence of death against the latter; and they were proceeding to put the sentence into execution when the king's sudden death (Dec. 4, 1561) saved the noble prisoner, and interrupted the prosperity of the Duke of Guise. The queen-mother was appointed regent to her son Charles IX., now in his minority: the King of Navarre was named lieutenant-general of the kingdom: the sentence against Condé was annulled: the constable was recalled to court: and the family of Guise, though they still enjoyed great offices and great power, soon found a counterpoise to their authority.

Elizabeth was determined to make advantage of these events against the Queen of Scots, whom she still regarded as a dangerous rival. She saw herself freed from the perils attending a union of Scotland with France, and from the pretensions of so powerful a prince as Francis; but she considered, at the same time, that the English Catholics, who were numerous, and who were generally prejudiced in favour of Mary's title, would now adhere to that princess with more zealous attachment when they saw that her succession no longer endangered the liberties of the kingdom, and was rather attended with the advantage of effecting an entire union with Scotland. She gave orders, therefore, to her ambassador, Throgmorton, a vigilant and able minister, to renew his applications to the Queen of Scots, and to require her ratification of the treaty of Edinburgh. But though Mary had desisted after her husband's death, from bearing the arms and title of Queen of England, she still declined gratifying Elizabeth in this momentous article; and being swayed by the ambitious suggestions of her uncles, she refused to make any formal renunciation of her pretensions.

Meanwhile the queen-mother of France, who imputed to Mary all the mortifications which she had met with during Francis's lifetime,

¹ Forbes, vol. i., p. 214; Throgmorton, about this time unwilling to entrust to letters the great secrets committed to him, obtained leave, under some pretext, to come over to London.

took care to retaliate on her by like injuries; and the Queen of Scots, finding her abode in France disagreeable, began to think of returning to her native country. Lord James, who had been sent in deputation from the states to invite her over, seconded these intentions; and she applied to Elizabeth, by D'Oisel, for a safe-conduct, in case she should be obliged to pass through England (Goodall, vol. i., p. 175). But she received for answer, that, till she had given satisfaction, by ratifying the treaty of Edinburgh, she could expect no favour from a person whom she had so much injured. This denial excited her indignation; and she made no scruple of expressing her sentiments to Throgmorton, when he reiterated his applications to gratify his mistress in a demand, which he represented as so reasonable. Having cleared the room of her attendants, she said to him, 'How weak I may prove, or how far a woman's frailty may transport me, I cannot tell: however, I am resolved not to have so many witnesses of my infirmity as your mistress had at her audience of my ambassador D'Oisel. There is nothing disturbs me so much, as the having asked, with so much importunity, a favour which it was of no consequence for me to obtain. I can, with God's leave, return to my own country without her leave, as I came to France in spite of all the opposition of her brother, King Edward: neither do I want friends both able and willing to conduct me home, as they have brought me hither; though I was desirous rather to make an experiment of your mistress's friendship, than of the assistance of any other person. I have often heard you say, that a good correspondence between her and myself would conduce much to the security and happiness of both our kingdoms: were she well convinced of this truth, she would hardly have denied me so small a request. But perhaps she bears a better inclination to my rebellious subjects than to me, their sovereign, her equal in royal dignity, her near relation, and the undoubted heir of her kingdoms. Besides her friendship, I ask nothing at her hands: I neither trouble her, nor concern myself in the affairs of her state; not that I am ignorant that there are now in England a great many malcontents who are no friends to the present establishment. She is pleased to upbraid me as a person little experienced in the world; I freely own it; but age will cure that defect. However, I am already old enough to acquit myself honestly and courteously to my friends and relations, and to encourage no reports of your mistress, which would misbecome a queen and her kinswoman. I would also say, by her leave, that I am a queen as well as she, and not altogether friendless: and, perhaps, I have as great a soul too; so that methinks we should be upon a level in our treatment of each other. As soon as I have consulted the states of my kingdom, I shall be ready to give her a reasonable answer; and I am the more intent on my journey, in order to make the quicker dispatch in this affair. But she, it seems, intends to stop my journey; so that either she will not let me give her satisfaction, or is resolved not to be satisfied; perhaps, on purpose to keep up the disagreement between us. She has often reproached me with my being young; and I must be very young, indeed, and as ill-advised, to treat of matters of such great concern and importance, without the advice of my parliament. I have not been wanting in all friendly offices to

'her; but she disbelieves or overlooks them. I could heartily wish, 'that I were as nearly allied to her in affection as in blood; for 'that, indeed, would be a most valuable alliance' (Caballa, p. 374, Spotswood, p. 177).

Such a spirited reply, notwithstanding the obliging terms interspersed in it, was but ill fitted to conciliate friendship between these rival princesses, or cure those mutual jealousies which had already taken place. Elizabeth equipped a fleet, on pretence of pursuing pirates, but probably with an intention of intercepting the Queen of Scots in her return homewards. Mary embarked at Calais; and passing the English fleet in a fog, arrived (Aug. 19) safely at Leith, attended by her three uncles, the Duke of Aumale, the grand prior, and the Marquis of Elbeuf, together with the Marquis of Domville, and other French courtiers. This change of abode and situation was very little agreeable to that princess. Besides her natural prepossessions in favour of a country in which she had been educated from her earliest infancy, and where she had borne so high a rank, she could not forbear both regretting the society of that people so celebrated for their humane disposition, and their respectful attachment to their sovereign, and reflecting on the disparity of the scene which lay before her. It is said, that after she was embarked at Calais, she kept her eyes fixed on the coast of France, and never turned them from that beloved object till darkness fell and intercepted it from her view. She then ordered a couch to be spread for her in the open air, and charged the pilot, that, if in the morning the land were still in sight, he should awake her, and afford her one parting view of that country, in which all her affections were centered. The weather proved calm, so that the ship made little way in the night-time: and Mary had once more an opportunity of seeing the French coast. She sat up on her couch, and still looking towards the land, often repeated these words: 'Farewell, France, farewell; I shall never 'see thee more' (Keith, p. 179; Jebb, vol. ii., p. 483). The first aspect, however, of things in Scotland was more favourable, if not to her pleasure and happiness, at least to her repose and security, than she had reason to apprehend. No sooner did the French galleys appear off Leith, than people of all ranks, who had long expected their arrival, flocked towards the shore with an earnest impatience to behold and receive their young sovereign. Some were led by duty, some by interest, some by curiosity; and all combined to express their attachment to her, and to insinuate themselves into her confidence, on the commencement of her administration. She had now reached her nineteenth year; and the bloom of her youth and amiable beauty of her person were further recommended by the affability of her address, the politeness of her manners, and the elegance of her genius. Well accomplished in all the superficial, but engaging graces of a court, she afforded, when better known, still more promising indications of her character; and men prognosticated both humanity from her soft and obliging deportment, and penetration from her taste in all the refined arts of music, eloquence, and poetry.¹ And as the Scots had long been deprived of the presence of their sovereign, whom they once despaired ever more to behold among them, her arrival seemed to give universal

¹ Buchan, lib. cvii., c. 9; Spotswood, pp. 178, 179; Keith, p. 180; Thuan, lib. xxix., c. 2

satisfaction; and nothing appeared about the court, but symptoms of affection, joy, and festivity.

The first measures which Mary embraced confirmed all the prepossessions entertained in her favour. She followed the advice given her in France by D'Oisel and the Bishop of Amiens, as well as her uncles, and she bestowed her confidence entirely on the leaders of the reformed party, who had greatest influence over the people, and who, she found, were alone able to support her government. Her brother, Lord James, whom she soon after created Earl of Murray, obtained the chief authority, and after him Lidington, secretary of state, a man of great sagacity, had a principal share in her confidence. By the vigour of these men's measures she endeavoured to establish order and justice in a country, divided by public factions and private feuds; and that fierce, intractable people, unacquainted with laws and obedience, seemed, for a time, to submit peaceably to her gentle and prudent administration.

But there was one circumstance which blasted all these promising appearances, and bereaved Mary of that general favour, which her agreeable manners and judicious deportment gave her just reason to expect. She was still a papist; and though she published soon after her arrival a proclamation, enjoining every one to submit to the established religion, the preachers and their adherents could neither be reconciled to a person polluted with so great an abomination, nor lay aside their jealousies of her future conduct. It was with great difficulty she could obtain permission for saying mass in her own chapel; and had not the people apprehended that, if she had here met with a refusal, she would instantly have returned to France, the zealots never would have granted her even that small indulgence. The cry was, 'Shall we suffer that idol to be again erected within the realm?' It was asserted in the pulpit, that one mass was more terrible than ten thousand armed men landed to invade the kingdom (Knox, p. 287); Lord Lindesey, and the gentlemen of Fife, exclaimed, 'That the idolater should die the death;' such was their expression. One that carried tapers for the ceremony of that worship was attacked and insulted in the court of the palace. And if Lord James and some popular leaders had not interposed, the most dangerous uproar was justly apprehended, from the ungoverned fury of the multitude (Knox, pp. 284, 285, 287; Spotswood, p. 179). The usual prayers in the churches were to this purpose: that God would turn the queen's heart, which was obstinate against Him and His truth; or if His holy will be otherwise, that He would strengthen the hearts and hands of the elect, stoutly to oppose the rage of all tyrants (Keith, p. 179). Nay, it was openly called in question, whether that princess, being an idolatress, was entitled to any authority, even in civil matters (Ibid., p. 202).

The helpless queen was every moment exposed to contumely, which she bore with benignity and patience. Soon after her arrival she dined in the castle of Edinburgh, and it was there contrived that a boy, six years of age, should be let down from the roof, and should present her with a Bible, a Psalter, and the keys of the castle. Lest she should be at a loss to understand this insult on her as a papist, all the decorations expressed the burning of Corah, Dathan, and Abiram,

and other punishments inflicted by God upon idolatry (*Ibid.*, p. 189). The town council of Edinburgh had the assurance, from their own authority, to issue a proclamation, banishing from their district 'all the wicked rabble of antichrist, the Pope, such as priests, monks, friars, together with adulterers and fornicators' (*Ibid.*, p. 192). And because the privy-council suspended the magistrates for their insolence, the passionate historians¹ of that age have inferred, that the queen was engaged, by a sympathy of manners, to take adulterers and fornicators under her protection. It appears probable that the magistrates were afterwards reinstated in their office, and that their proclamation was confirmed (*Keith*, p. 202).

But all the insolence of the people was inconsiderable in comparison of that which was exercised by the clergy and the preachers, who took a pride in vilifying, even to her face, this amiable princess. The assembly of the Church framed an address, in which, after telling her mass was a bastard service of God, the fountain of all impiety, and the source of every evil which abounded in the realm, they expressed their hopes that she would, ere this time, have preferred truth to her own preconceived opinion, and have renounced her religion, which, they assured her, was nothing but abomination and vanity. They said that the present abuses of government were so enormous, that, if a speedy remedy were not provided, God would not fail in His anger to strike the head and the tail, the disobedient prince and sinful people. They required that severe punishment should be inflicted on adulterers and fornicators. And they concluded with demanding for themselves some addition both of power and property (*Knox*, pp. 311, 312).

The ringleader in all these insults on majesty was John Knox, who possessed an uncontrolled authority in the Church, and even in the civil affairs of the nation, and who triumphed in the contumelious usage of his sovereign. His usual appellation for the queen was Jezebel; and though she endeavoured, by the most gracious condescension, to win his favour, all her insinuations could gain nothing on his obdurate heart. She promised him access to her whenever he demanded it; and she even desired him, if he found her blamable in anything, to reprehend her freely in private, rather than vilify her in the pulpit before the whole people; but he plainly told her that he had a public ministry entrusted to him; that if she would come to church she should there hear the gospel of truth, and that it was not his business to apply to every individual, nor had he leisure for that occupation (*Knox*, p. 310). The political principles of the man, which he communicated to his brethren, were as full of sedition as his theological were of rage and bigotry. Though he once condescended so far as to tell the queen that he would submit to her, in the same manner as Paul did to Nero (*Ibid.* p. 288), he remained not long in this dutiful strain. He said to her, that 'Samuel feared not to slay Agag, the fat and delicate King of Amalek, whom king Saul had saved; neither spared Elias Jezebel's false prophets, and Baal's priests, though king Ahab was present. Phineas,' added he, 'was no magistrate, yet he feared not to strike Cosbi and Zimri in the very act of filthy fornication. And so, madam, your grace may see that others than chief magistrates may lawfully inflict punish-

¹ *Knox*, p. 292; *Buchanan*, lib. xvii., c. 20; *Haynes*, vol. i., p. 372.

'ment on such crimes as are condemned by the law of God' (Ibid., p. 326). Knox had formerly, during the reign of Mary of England, written a book against female succession to the crown; the title of it is, 'The first blast of the trumpet against the monstrous regimen of women.' He was too proud either to recant the tenets of this book, or even to apologise for them, and his conduct showed that he thought no more civility than loyalty due to any of the female sex.

The whole life of Mary was, from the demeanour of these men, filled with bitterness and sorrow. This rustic apostle scruples not, in his history, to inform us that he once treated her with such severity that she lost all command of temper, and dissolved in tears before him; yet so far from being moved with youth, and beauty, and royal dignity reduced to that condition, he persevered in his insolent reproofs; and when he relates this incident, he discovers a visible pride and satisfaction in his own conduct (Knox, pp. 332, 333). The pulpits had become mere scenes of railing against the vices of the court, among which were always noted as the principal, feasting, finery, dancing, balls, and whoredom, their necessary attendant (Ibid., p. 322). Some ornaments, which the ladies at that time wore upon their petticoats, excited mightily the indignation of the preachers, and they affirmed that such vanity would provoke God's vengeance, not only against these foolish women, but against the whole realm (Ibid., p. 330).

Mary, whose age, condition, and education invited her to liberty and cheerfulness, was curbed in all amusements by the absurd severity of these reformers, and she found every moment reason to regret her leaving that country, from whose manners she had, in her early youth, received the first impressions (Ibid., p. 294). Her two uncles, the Duke of Aumale, and the grand prior, with the other French nobility, soon took leave of her; the Marquis of Elbeuf remained some time longer, but after his departure she was left to the society of her own subjects; men unacquainted with the pleasures of conversation, ignorant of arts and civility, and corrupted, beyond their usual rusticity, by a dismal fanaticism, which rendered them incapable of all humanity or improvement. Though Mary had made no attempt to restore the ancient religion, her Popery was a sufficient crime; though her behaviour was hitherto irreproachable, and her manners sweet and engaging, her gaiety and ease were interpreted as signs of dissolute vanity. And to the harsh and preposterous usage which this princess met with, may, in part, be ascribed those errors of her subsequent conduct, which seemed so little of a piece with the general tenor of her character.

There happened to the Marquis of Elbeuf, before his departure, an adventure which, though frivolous, might enable him to give Mary's friends in France a melancholy idea of her situation. This nobleman, with the Earl of Bothwell, and some other young courtiers, had been engaged, after a debauch, to pay a visit to a woman called Alison Craig, who was known to be liberal of her favours; and because they were denied admittance, they broke the windows, thrust open the door, and committed some disorders in searching for the damsel. It happened that the assembly of the church was sitting at that time, and they immediately took the matter under their cognisance. In conjunction with several of the nobility they presented an address to the

queen, which was introduced with this awful prelude: 'To the queen's majesty, and to her secret and great council, her grace's faithful and obedient subjects, the professors of Christ Jesus's holy evangel, wish 'the spirit of righteous judgment.' The tenor of the petition was, that the fear of God, the duty which they owed her grace, and the terrible threatenings denounced by God against every city or country where horrible crimes were openly committed, compelled them to demand the severe punishment of such as had done what in them lay to kindle the wrath of God against the whole realm; that the iniquity of which they complained was so heinous and so horrible, that they should esteem themselves accomplices in it if they had been engaged by worldly fear, or servile complaisance, to pass it over in silence or bury it in oblivion; that as they owed her grace obedience in the administration of justice, so were they entitled to require of her, in return, the sharp and condign punishment of this enormity, which, they repeated it, might draw down the vengeance of God on the whole kingdom; and that they maintained it to be her duty to lay aside all private affections towards the actors in so heinous a crime and so enormous a villany, and without delay bring them to a trial, and inflict the severest penalties upon them. The queen gave a gracious reception to this peremptory address, but because she probably thought that breaking the windows of a brothel merited not such severe reprehension, she only replied that her uncle was a stranger, and that he was attended by a young company; but she would put such order to him and to all others, that her subjects should henceforth have no reason to complain. Her passing over this incident so slightly was the source of great discontent, and was regarded as a proof of the most profligate manners (Knox, p. 302; Keith, p. 509). It is not to be omitted, that Alison Craig, the woman who caused all the uproar, was known to entertain a commerce with the Earl of Arran, who, on account of his great zeal for the Reformation, was, without scruple, indulged in that enormity (Knox, *ibid.*).

Some of the populace of Edinburgh broke into the queen's chapel during her absence, and committed outrages; for which two of them were indicted, and it was intended to bring them to a trial. Knox wrote circular letters to the most considerable zealots of the party, and charged them to appear in town and protect their brethren. The holy sacraments, he there said, are abused by profane papists; the mass has been said; and in worshipping that idol, the priests have omitted no ceremony, not even the conjuring of their accursed water, that had ever been practised in the time of the greatest blindness. These violent measures for opposing justice were little short of rebellion; and Knox was summoned before the council to answer for his offence. The courage of the man was equal to his insolence. He scrupled not to tell the queen, that the pestilent papists, who had inflamed her against these holy men, were the sons of the devil, and must therefore obey the directions of their father, who had been a liar and a manslayer from the beginning. The matter ended with a full acquittal of Knox (Knox, pp. 336, 342). Randolph, the English ambassador in Scotland, had reason to write to Cecil, speaking of the Scottish nation: 'I think 'marvellously of the wisdom of God, that gave this unruly, inconstant,

‘and cumbersome people no more power nor substance; for they would otherwise run wild’ (Keith, p. 202).

We have related these incidents at greater length than the necessity of our subject may seem to require; but even trivial circumstances, which show the manners of the age, are often more instructive, as well as entertaining, than the great transactions of wars and negotiations, which are nearly similar in all periods and in all countries of the world.

The reformed clergy in Scotland had at that time a very natural reason for their ill-humour; namely, the poverty, or rather beggary, to which they were reduced. The nobility and gentry had at first laid their hands on all the property of the regular clergy, without making any provision for the friars and nuns, whom they turned out of their possessions. The secular clergy of the catholic communion, though they lost all ecclesiastical jurisdiction, still held some of the temporalities of their benefices; and either became laymen themselves, and converted them into private property, or made conveyance of them at low prices to the nobility, who thus enriched themselves by the plunder of the church. The new teachers had hitherto subsisted chiefly by the voluntary oblations of the faithful; and in a poor country, divided in religious sentiments, this establishment was regarded as very scanty and very precarious. Repeated applications were made for a legal settlement to the preachers; and though almost everything in the kingdom was governed by their zeal and caprice, it was with difficulty that their request was at last complied with. The fanatical spirit which they indulged, and their industry in decrying the principles and practices of the Romish communion, which placed such merit in enriching the clergy, proved now a very sensible obstacle to their acquisition. The convention, however, passed a vote (Knox, p. 296; Keith, p. 210), by which they divided all the ecclesiastical benefices into twenty-one shares; they assigned fourteen to the ancient possessors; of the remaining seven they granted three to the crown; and if that were found to answer the public expenses, they bestowed the overplus on the reformed ministers. The queen was empowered to levy all the seven; and it was ordained that she should afterwards pay to the clergy what should be judged to suffice for their maintenance. The necessities of the crown, the rapacity of the courtiers, and the small affection which Mary bore to the protestant ecclesiastics, rendered their revenues contemptible as well as uncertain; and the preachers, finding that they could not rival the gentry, or even the middling rank of men, in opulence and plenty, were necessitated to betake themselves to other expedients for supporting their authority. They affected a furious zeal for religion, morose manners, a vulgar and familiar, yet mysterious cant; and though the liberality of subsequent princes put them afterwards on a better footing with regard to revenue, and thereby corrected in some degree those bad habits, it must be confessed that, while many other advantages attend presbyterian government, these inconveniences are not easily separated from the genius of that ecclesiastical polity.

The Queen of Scots, destitute of all force, possessing a narrow revenue, surrounded with a factious turbulent nobility, a bigoted people, and insolent ecclesiastics, soon found that her only expedient

for maintaining tranquillity was to preserve a good correspondence with Elizabeth (Jebb, vol. ii., p. 456), who, by former connections and services, had acquired such authority over all these ranks of men. Soon after her arrival in Scotland, Secretary Lidington was sent to London in order to pay her compliments to the queen, and express her desire of friendship and a good correspondence; and he received a commission from her, as well as from the nobility of Scotland, to demand, as a means of cementing this friendship, that Mary should, by act of parliament or by proclamation (for the difference between these securities was not then deemed very considerable), be declared successor to the crown. No request could be more unreasonable, or made at a more improper juncture. The queen replied, that Mary had once discovered her intention not to wait for the succession, but had openly, without ceremony or reserve, assumed the title of Queen of England, and had pretended a superior right to her throne and kingdom; that though her ambassadors and those of her husband, the French king, had signed a treaty, in which they renounced that claim, and promised satisfaction for so great an indignity, she was so intoxicated with this imaginary right, that she had rejected the most earnest solicitations, and even, as some endeavoured to persuade her, had incurred some danger in crossing the seas rather than ratify that equitable treaty; that her partisans everywhere had still the assurance to insist on her title, and had presumed to talk of her own birth as illegitimate; that while affairs were on this footing—while a claim thus openly made, so far from being openly renounced, was only suspended till a more favourable opportunity, it would in her be the most egregious imprudence to fortify the hands of a pretender to her crown by declaring her the successor; that no expedient could be worse imagined for cementing friendship than such a declaration; and kings were often found to bear no goodwill to their successors, even though their own children; much more when the connection was less intimate, and when such cause of disgust and jealousy had already been given, and indeed was still continued, on the part of Mary; that though she was willing, from the amity which she bore her kinswoman, to ascribe her former pretensions to the advice of others, by whose direction she was then governed, her present refusal to relinquish them could proceed only from her own prepossessions, and was a proof that she still harboured some dangerous designs against her; that it was the nature of all men to be disgusted with the present, to entertain flattering views of futurity, to think their services ill rewarded, to expect a better recompence from the successor; and she should esteem herself scarcely half a sovereign over the English if they saw her declare her heir, and arm her rival with authority against her own repose and safety; that she knew the inconstant nature of the people; she was acquainted with the present divisions in religion; she was not ignorant that the same party which expected greater favour during the reign of Mary did also imagine that the title of that princess was superior to her own; that for her part, whatever claims were advanced, she was determined to live and die Queen of England; and after her death, it was the business of others to examine who had the best pretensions, either by the laws or by the right of blood, to the succession; that she hoped the claim of the Queen

of Scots would then be found solid; and, considering the injury which she herself had received, it was sufficient indulgence, if she promised in the mean time to do nothing which might in any respect weaken or invalidate it; and that Mary, if her title was really preferable, a point which, for her own part, she had never inquired into, possessed all advantages above her rivals; who, destitute both of present power, and of all support by friends, would only expose themselves to inevitable ruin by advancing any weak or even doubtful pretensions.¹

These views of the queen were so prudent and judicious, that there was no likelihood of her ever departing from them; but that she might put the matter to a fuller proof, she offered to explain the words of the treaty of Edinburgh, so as to leave no suspicion of their excluding Mary's right of succession (Spotswood, p. 181); and in this form she required her to ratify that treaty. Matters at last came to this issue, that Mary agreed to the proposal, and offered to renounce all present pretensions to the crown of England, provided Elizabeth would agree to declare her the successor (Haynes, vol. i., p. 377). But such was the jealous character of this latter princess, that she never would consent to strengthen the interest and authority of any claimant by fixing the succession; much less would she make this concession in favour of a rival queen, who possessed such plausible pretensions for the present, and who, though she might verbally renounce them, could easily resume her claim on the first opportunity. Mary's proposal, however, bore so specious an appearance of equity and justice, that Elizabeth, sensible that reason would, by superficial thinkers, be deemed to lie entirely on that side, made no more mention of the matter; and though farther concessions were never made by either princess, they put on all the appearances of a cordial reconciliation and friendship with each other.

The queen observed that, even without her interposition, Mary was sufficiently depressed by the mutinous spirit of her own subjects; and instead, of giving Scotland, for the present, any inquietude or disturbance, she employed herself, more usefully and laudably, in regulating the affairs of her own kingdom, and promoting the happiness of her people. She made some progress in paying those great debts which lay upon the crown; she regulated the coin, which had been much debased by her predecessors; she furnished her arsenals with great quantities of arms from Germany and other places; engaged her nobility and gentry to imitate her example in this particular; introduced into the kingdom the art of making gunpowder and brass cannon; fortified her frontiers on the side of Scotland; made frequent reviews of the militia; encouraged agriculture, by allowing a free exportation of corn, promoted trade and navigation; and so much increased the shipping of her kingdom, both by building vessels of force herself, and suggesting like undertakings to the merchants, that she was justly styled the restorer of naval glory, and the queen of the northern seas (Camden, p. 388; Strype, vol. i., pp. 230, 336, 337). The natural frugality of her temper, so far from incapacitating her from these great enterprises, only enabled her to execute them with greater certainty and success; and all the world saw in her conduct the happy effects of a vigorous perseverance in judicious and well-concerted projects.

¹ Buchanan, lib. xvii., c. 14-17; Camden, p. 285; Spotswood, pp. 180, 181.

It is easy to imagine that so great a princess, who enjoyed such singular felicity and renown, would receive proposals of marriage from every one that had any likelihood of succeeding; and though she had made some public declarations in favour of a single life, few believed that she would persevere for ever in that resolution. The archduke, Charles, second son of the emperor (Haynes, vol. i., p. 233), as well as Casimir, son of the elector palatine, made applications to her, and as this latter prince professed the reformed religion he thought himself, on that account, better entitled to succeed in his addresses. Eric King of Sweden, and Adolph, Duke of Holstein, were encouraged, by the same views, to become suitors: and the Earl of Arran, heir to the crown of Scotland, was, by the states of that kingdom, recommended to her as a suitable marriage. Even some of her own subjects, though they did not openly declare their pretensions, entertained hopes of success. The Earl of Arundel, a person declining in years, but descended from an ancient and noble family, as well as possessed of great riches, flattered himself with this prospect; as did also Sir William Pickering, a man much esteemed for his personal merit. But the person most likely to succeed was a younger son of the late Duke of Northumberland, Lord Robert Dudley, who, by means of his exterior qualities, joined to address and flattery, had become in a manner her declared favourite, and had great influence in all her counsels. The less worthy he appeared of this distinction, the more was his great favour ascribed to some violent affection, which could thus seduce the judgment of this penetrating princess; and men long expected, that he would obtain the preference above so many princes and monarchs. But the queen gave all these suitors a gentle refusal, which still encouraged their pursuit; and she thought that she should the better attach them to her interests if they were still allowed to entertain hopes of succeeding in their pretensions. It is also probable, that this policy was not entirely free from a mixture of female coquetry; and that, though she was determined in her own mind never to share her power with any man, she was not displeased with the courtship, solicitation, and professions of love, which the desire of acquiring so valuable a prize procured her from all quarters.

What is most singular in the conduct and character of Elizabeth, is, that though she determined never to have any heir of her own body, she was not only very averse to fix any successor to the crown, but seems also to have resolved, as far as it lay in her power, that no one who had pretensions to the succession should ever have any heirs or successors. If the exclusion given by the will of Henry VIII. to the posterity of Margaret, Queen of Scotland, was allowed to be valid, the right to the crown devolved on the house of Suffolk; and the Lady Catharine Gray, younger sister to the Lady Jane, was now the heir to that family. This lady had been married to Lord Herbert, son of the Earl of Pembroke; but, having been divorced from that nobleman, she had made a private marriage with the Earl of Hertford, son of the protector; and her husband, soon after consummation, travelled into France. In a little time she appeared to be pregnant, which so enraged Elizabeth, that she threw her into the Tower, and summoned Hertford to appear, in order to answer for his misdemeanour. He made no

scruple of acknowledging the marriage, which, though concluded without the queen's consent, was entirely suitable to both parties; and for this offence he was also committed to the Tower. Elizabeth's severity stopped not here: she issued a commission to inquire into the matter; and as Hertford could not, within the time limited, prove the nuptials by witnesses, the commerce between him and his consort was declared unlawful, and their posterity illegitimate. They were still detained in custody; but, by bribing their keepers, they found means to have farther intercourse; and another child appeared to be the fruit of their commerce. This was a fresh source of vexation to the queen; who made a fine of fifteen thousand pounds be set on Hertford by the star-chamber, and ordered his confinement to be thenceforth more rigid and severe. He lay in this condition for nine years, till the death of his wife, by freeing Elizabeth from all fears, procured him his liberty.¹ This extreme severity must be accounted for, either by the unrelenting jealousy of the queen, who was afraid lest the pretender to the succession should acquire credit by having issue; or by her malignity, which, with all her great qualities, made one ingredient in her character, and which led her to envy, in others, those natural pleasures of love and posterity, of which her own ambition and desire of dominion made her renounce all prospect for herself.

There happened, about this time, some other events in the royal family, where the queen's conduct was more laudable. Arthur Pole, and his brother, nephews to the late cardinal, and descended from the Duke of Clarence, together with Anthony Fortescue, who had married a sister of these gentlemen, and some other persons, were brought to their trial for intending to withdraw into France, with a view of soliciting succours from the Duke of Guise, of returning thence into Wales, and of proclaiming Mary Queen of England, and Arthur Pole, Duke of Clarence. They confessed the indictment, but asserted, that they never meant to execute these projects during the queen's lifetime: they had only deemed such precautions requisite in case of her demise, which some pretenders to judicial astrology had assured them they might with certainty look for before the year expired. They were condemned by the jury: but received a pardon from the queen's clemency (Strype, vol. i., p. 333, Heylin, p. 154).

CHAPTER XXXIX.

State of Europe.—Civil wars of France.—Havre de Grace put in possession of the English.—A parliament.—Havre lost.—Affairs of Scotland.—The Queen of Scots marries the Earl of Darnley.—Confederacy against the Protestants.—Murder of Rizzio.—A parliament.—Murder of Darnley.—Queen of Scots marries Bothwell.—Insurrections in Scotland.—Imprisonment of Mary.—Mary flies into England.—Conferences at York and Hampton Court.

AFTER the commencement of the religious wars in France, which rendered that flourishing kingdom, during the course of near forty

¹ Haynes, vol. i., pp. 369, 378, 396; Camden, p. 389; Heylin, p. 154.

years, a scene of horror and devastation, the great rival powers in Europe (A.D. 1562) were Spain and England; and it was not long before an animosity, first political, then personal, broke out between the sovereigns of these countries.

Philip II., of Spain, though he reached not any enlarged views of policy, was endowed with great industry and sagacity, a remarkable caution in his enterprises, an unusual foresight in all his measures; and as he was ever cool and seemingly unmoved by passion, and possessed neither talents nor inclination for war, both his subjects and his neighbours had reason to expect justice, happiness, and tranquillity, from his administration. But prejudices had on him as pernicious effects as ever passion had on any other monarch; and the spirit of bigotry and tyranny by which he was actuated, with the fraudulent maxims which governed his counsels, excited the most violent agitation among his own people, engaged him in acts of the most enormous cruelty, and threw all Europe into combustion.

After Philip had concluded peace at Cateau-Cambresis, and had remained some time in the Netherlands, in order to settle the affairs of that country, he embarked for Spain; and as the gravity of that nation, with their respectful obedience to their prince, had appeared more agreeable to his humour than the homely familiar manners and the pertinacious liberty of the Flemings, it was expected that he would for the future reside altogether at Madrid, and would govern all his extensive dominions by Spanish ministers and Spanish counsels. Having met with a violent tempest on his voyage, he no sooner arrived in harbour than he fell on his knees; and, after giving thanks for his deliverance, he vowed that his life, which was thus providentially saved, should thenceforth be entirely devoted to the extirpation of heresy (Thuanus, lib. xxiii., cap. 14). His subsequent conduct corresponded to these professions. Finding that the new doctrines had penetrated into Spain, he let loose his rage of persecution against all who professed them, or were suspected of adhering to them; and by his violence he gave new edge even to the usual cruelty of priests and inquisitors. He threw into prison Constantine Ponce, who had been confessor to his father, the emperor Charles; who had attended him during his retreat, and in whose arms that great monarch had terminated his life; and after this ecclesiastic died in confinement, he still ordered him to be tried and condemned for heresy, and his statue to be committed to the flames. He even deliberated whether he should not exercise like severity against the memory of his father, who was suspected, during his later years, to have indulged a propensity towards the Lutheran principles; in his unrelenting zeal for orthodoxy, he spared neither age, sex, nor condition; he was present, with an inflexible countenance, at the most barbarous executions; he issued rigorous orders for the prosecution of heretics in Spain, Italy, the Indies, and the Low Countries; and, having founded his determined tyranny on maxims of civil policy, as well as on principles of religion, he made it apparent to all his subjects, that there was no method, except the most entire compliance, or most obstinate resistance, to escape or elude the severity of his vengeance.

During that extreme animosity, which prevailed between the adher-

ents of the opposite religions, the civil magistrate, who found it difficult, if not impossible, for the same laws to govern such enraged adversaries, was naturally led, by specious rules of prudence, in embracing one party, to declare war against the other, and to exterminate, by fire and sword, those bigots who, from abhorrence of his religion, had proceeded to an opposition of his power, and to a hatred of his person. If any prince possessed such enlarged views as to foresee that a mutual toleration would in time abate the fury of religious prejudices, he yet met with difficulties in reducing this principle to practice; and might deem the malady too violent to await a remedy which, though certain, must necessarily be slow in its operation. But Philip, though a profound hypocrite, and extremely governed by self-interest, seems also to have been himself actuated by an imperious bigotry; and, as he employed great reflection in all his conduct, he could easily palliate the gratification of his natural temper under the colour of wisdom, and find, in this system, no less advantage to his foreign than his domestic politics. By placing himself at the head of the Catholic party, he converted the zealots of the ancient faith into partisans of Spanish greatness; and by employing the powerful allurements of religion, he seduced everywhere the subjects from that allegiance which they owed to their native sovereign.

The course of events, guiding and concurring with choice, had placed Elizabeth in a situation diametrically opposite; and had raised her to be the glory, the bulwark, and the support of the numerous, though still persecuted Protestants throughout Europe. More moderate in her temper than Philip, she found, with pleasure, that the principles of her sect required not such extreme severity in her domestic government as was exercised by that monarch; and, having no object but self-preservation, she united her interests in all foreign negotiations with those who were everywhere struggling under oppression, and guarding themselves against ruin and extermination. The more virtuous sovereign was thus thrown into the favourable cause; and fortune, in this instance, concurred with policy and nature.

During the lifetime of Henry II. of France, and of his successor, the force of these principles was somewhat restrained, though not altogether overcome, by motives of a superior interest, and the dread of uniting England with the French monarchy engaged Philip to maintain a good correspondence with Elizabeth. Yet even during this period he rejected the garter which she sent him; he refused to ratify the ancient league between the house of Burgundy and England;¹ he furnished ships to transport French forces into Scotland; he endeavoured to intercept the Earl of Arran, who was hastening to join the malcontents in that country; and the queen's wisest ministers still regarded his friendship as hollow and precarious (Haynes, vol. i., pp. 280, 281, 283, 284). But no sooner did the death of Francis II. put an end to Philip's apprehensions with regard to Mary's succession, than his animosity against Elizabeth began more openly to appear; and the interests of Spain and those of England were found opposite in every negotiation and transaction.

The two great monarchies of the continent, France and Spain, being

¹ Digges's *Complete Ambassador*, p. 369; Haynes, p. 585; Strype, vol. iv., No. 246.

possessed of nearly equal force, were naturally antagonists; and England, from its power and situation, was entitled to support its own dignity, as well as tranquillity, by holding the balance between them. Whatever incident, therefore, tended too much to depress one of these rival powers, as it left the other without control, might be deemed contrary to the interests of England; yet so much were these great maxims of policy overruled, during that age, by the disputes of the theology, that Philip found an advantage in supporting the established government and religion of France; and Elizabeth in protecting faction and innovation.

The queen-regent of France, when reinstated in authority by the death of her son, Francis, had formed a plan of administration more subtle than judicious; and, balancing the Catholics with the Huguenots, the Duke of Guise with the Prince of Condé, she endeavoured to render herself necessary to both, and to establish her own dominion on their constrained obedience (Davila, lib. ii.). But the equal counterpoise of power which, among foreign nations, is the source of tranquillity, proves always the ground of quarrel between domestic factions; and if the animosity of religion concur with the frequent occasions which present themselves of mutual injury, it is impossible, during any time, to preserve a firm concord in so delicate a situation. The constable, Montmorency, moved by zeal for the ancient faith, joined himself to the Duke of Guise; the King of Navarre, from his inconstant temper, and his jealousy of the superior genius of his brother, embraced the same party; and Catherine, finding herself depressed by this combination, had recourse to Condé and the Huguenots, who gladly embraced the opportunity of fortifying themselves by her countenance and protection (Davila, lib. iii.). An edict had been published, granting a toleration to the Protestants; but the interested violence of the Duke of Guise, covered with the pretence of religious zeal, broke through this agreement; and the two parties, after the fallacious tranquillity of a moment, renewed their mutual insults and injuries. Condé, Coligni, Anselot, assembled their friends, and flew to arms; Guise and Montmorency got possession of the king's person, and constrained the queen-regent to embrace their party: fourteen armies were levied and put in motion in different parts of France (Father Paul, lib. vii.); each province, each city, each family, was agitated with intestine rage and animosity. The father was divided against the son, brother against brother, and women themselves, sacrificing their humanity as well as their timidity to the religious fury, distinguished themselves by acts of ferocity and valour (Ibid.). Wherever the Huguenots prevailed, the images were broken, the altars pillaged, the churches demolished, the monasteries consumed with fire: where success attended the Catholics, they burned the Bibles, re-baptized the infants, constrained married persons to pass anew through the nuptial ceremony; and plunder, desolation, and bloodshed attended equally the triumph of both parties. The parliament of Paris itself, the seat of law and justice, instead of employing its authority to compose these fatal quarrels, published an edict, by which it put the sword into the hands of the enraged multitude, and empowered the Catholics everywhere to massacre the Huguenots (Ibid.; Haynes, p. 391); and it was during this period, when

men began to be somewhat enlightened, and in this nation, renowned for polished manners, that the theological rage, which had long been boiling in men's veins, seems to have attained its last stage of violence and ferocity.

Philip, jealous of the progress which the Huguenots made in France, and dreading that the contagion would spread into the Low Country provinces, had formed a secret alliance with the Princes of Guise, and had entered into a mutual concert for the protection of the ancient faith and the suppression of heresy. He now sent 6000 men, with some supply of money, to reinforce the Catholic party; and the Prince of Condé, finding himself unequal to so great a combination, countenanced by the royal authority, was obliged to dispatch the Vidame of Chartres and Briguemaut to London, in order to crave the assistance and protection of Elizabeth. Most of the province of Normandy was possessed by the Huguenots; and Condé offered to put Havre de Grace into the hands of the English, on condition that, together with 3000 men for the garrison of that place, the queen should likewise send over 3000 to defend Dieppe and Rouen, and should furnish the prince with a supply of 100,000 crowns (Forbes, vol. ii., p. 48).

Elizabeth, besides the general and essential interest of supporting the Protestants, and opposing the rapid progress of her enemy the Duke of Guise, had other motives which engaged her to accept of this proposal. When she concluded the peace at Cateau Cambresis, she had good reason to foresee that France never would voluntarily fulfil the article which regarded the restitution of Calais; and many subsequent incidents had tended to confirm this suspicion. Considerable sums of money had been expended on the fortifications; long leases had been granted of the lands, and many inhabitants had been encouraged to build and settle there, by assurances that Calais should never be restored to the English (Forbes, pp. 54, 257). The queen therefore wisely concluded, that, could she get possession of Havre, a place which commanded the mouth of the Seine, and was of greater importance than Calais, she should easily constrain the French to execute the treaty, and should have the glory of restoring to the crown that ancient possession, so much the favourite of the nation.

No measure could be more generally odious in France, than the conclusion of this treaty with Elizabeth. Men were naturally led to compare the conduct of Guise, who had finally expelled the English, and had debarred these dangerous and destructive enemies from all access into France, with the treasonable politics of Condé, who had again granted them an entrance into the heart of the kingdom. The prince had the more reason to repent of this measure, as he reaped not from it all the advantage which he expected. Three thousand English immediately took possession of Havre and Dieppe, under the command of Sir Edward Poinings; but the latter place was found so little capable of defence, that it was immediately abandoned (*Ibid.*, vol. ii., p. 199). The siege of Rouen was already formed by the Catholics, under the command of the King of Navarre and Montmorency; and it was with difficulty that Poinings could throw a small reinforcement into the place. Though these English troops behaved with gallantry (*Ibid.*, p. 161), and though the King of Navarre was mortally

wounded during the siege, the Catholics still continued the attack of the place, and carrying it at last by assault, put the whole garrison to the sword. The Earl of Warwick, eldest son of the late Duke of Northumberland, arrived soon after at Havre with another body of 3000 English, and took on him the command of the place.

It was expected that the French Catholics, flushed with their success at Rouen, would immediately have formed the siege of Havre, which was not as yet in any condition of defence; but the intestine disorders of the kingdom soon diverted their attention to another enterprise. Andelot, seconded by the negotiations of Elizabeth, had levied a considerable body of Protestants in Germany; and having arrived at Orleans, the seat of the Huguenots' power, he enabled the Prince of Condé and the admiral to take the field, and oppose the progress of their enemies. After threatening Paris during some time, they took their march towards Normandy, with a view of engaging the English to act in conjunction with them, and of fortifying themselves by the farther assistance which they expected from the zeal and vigour of Elizabeth (Forbes, p. 320; Davila, lib. iii.). The Catholics, commanded by the constable, and under him by the Duke of Guise, followed on their rear; and, overtaking them at Dreux, obliged them to give battle. The field was fought with great obstinacy on both sides; and the action was distinguished by this singular event, that Condé and Montmorency, the commanders of the opposite armies, fell both of them prisoners into the hands of their enemies. The appearance of victory remained with Guise; but the admiral, whose it ever was to be defeated, and still to rise more terrible after his misfortunes, collected the remains of the army; and inspiring his own unconquerable courage and constancy into every breast, kept them in a body, and subdued some considerable places in Normandy. Elizabeth, to support his cause, sent him a new supply of 100,000 crowns; and, offered, if he could find merchants to lend him the money, to give her bond for another sum of equal amount (Forbes, vol. ii., pp. 322, 347).

The expenses incurred by assisting the French Huguenots had emptied the queen's exchequer; and in order to obtain supply, she found herself under a necessity of summoning (A.D. 1563, Jan. 12) a parliament; an expedient to which she never willingly had recourse. A little before the meeting of this assembly she had fallen into a dangerous illness, the small-pox; and as her life during some time was despaired of, the people became the more sensible of their perilous situation derived from the uncertainty which, in case of her demise, attended the succession of the crown. The partisans of the Queen of Scots and those of the house of Suffolk already divided the nation into factions; and every one foresaw, that, though it might be possible at present to determine the controversy by law, yet, if the throne were vacant, nothing but the sword would be able to fix a successor. The commons, therefore, on the opening of the session, voted an address to the queen; in which, after enumerating the dangers attending a broken and doubtful succession, and mentioning the evils which their fathers had experienced from the contending titles of York and Lancaster, they entreated the queen to put an end to their apprehensions, by choosing some husband, whom they promised, whoever he were,

gratefully to receive, and faithfully to serve, honour, and obey; or, if she had entertained any reluctance to the married state, they desired that the lawful successor might be named, at least appointed, by act of parliament. They remarked that, during all the reigns which had passed since the conquest, the nation had never before been so unhappy as not to know the person, who, in case of the sovereign's death, was legally entitled to fill the vacant throne. And they observed, that the fixed order which took place in inheriting the French monarchy, was one chief source of the usual tranquillity, as well as of the happiness of that kingdom (Sir Simon D'Ewes's Journ., p. 81).

This subject, though extremely interesting to the nation, was very little agreeable to the queen; and she was sensible, that great difficulties would attend every decision. A declaration in favour of the Queen of Scots would form a settlement perfectly legal; because that princess was commonly allowed to possess the right of blood; and the exclusion given by Henry's will, deriving its weight chiefly from an act of parliament, would lose all authority, whenever the queen and parliament had made a new settlement, and restored the Scottish line to its place in the succession. But she dreaded giving encouragement to the Catholics, her secret enemies, by this declaration. She was sensible that every heir was, in some degree, a rival; much more one who enjoyed a claim for the present possession of the crown, and who had already advanced in a very open manner these dangerous pretensions. The great power of Mary, both from the favour of the Catholic princes, and her connections with the house of Guise, not to mention the force and situation of Scotland, was well known to her; and she saw no security that this princess, if fortified by a sure prospect of succession, would not revive claims which she could never yet be prevailed on formally to relinquish. On the other hand, the title of the house of Suffolk was supported by the more zealous Protestants only; and it was very doubtful, whether even a parliamentary declaration in its favour would bestow on it such validity as to give satisfaction to the people. The republican part of the constitution had not yet acquired such an ascendant as to control, in any degree, the ideas of hereditary right; and as the legality of Henry's will was still disputed, though founded on the utmost authority which a parliament could confer, who could be assured that a more recent act would be acknowledged to have greater validity? In the frequent revolutions which had of late taken place, the right of blood had still prevailed over religious prejudices; and the nation had ever shown itself disposed rather to change its faith than the order of succession. Even many Protestants declared themselves in favour of Mary's claim of inheritance (Keith, p. 322); and nothing would occasion more general disgust, than to see the queen, openly and without reserve, take part against it. The Scottish princess also, finding herself injured in so sensible a point, would thenceforth act as a declared enemy; and, uniting together her foreign and domestic friends, the partisans of her present title and of her eventual succession, would soon bring matters to extremities against the present establishment. The queen, weighing all these inconveniences, which were great and urgent, was determined to keep both parties in awe, by maintaining still an ambiguous conduct; and

she rather chose that the people should run the hazard of contingent events, than that she herself should visibly endanger her throne, by employing expedients, which, at best, would not bestow entire security on the nation. She gave therefore an evasive answer to the applications of the commons; and when the house, at the end of the session, desired, by the mouth of their speaker, further satisfaction on that head, she could not be prevailed on to make her reply more explicit. She only told them, contrary to her declarations in the beginning of her reign, that she had fixed no absolute resolution against marriage; and she added, that the difficulties attending the question of the succession were so great that she would be contented, for the sake of her people, to remain some time longer in this vale of misery, and never should depart this life with satisfaction, till she had laid some solid foundation for their future security (*D'Ewes's Journ.*, p. 75).

The most remarkable law passed this session was that which bore the title of 'Assurance of the queen's royal power over all states and subjects within her dominions' (5 Eliz. c. 1). By this act, the asserting twice, by writing, word, or deed, the Pope's authority, was subjected to the penalties of treason. All persons in holy orders were bound to take the oath of supremacy; as also, all who were advanced to any degree, either in the universities or in common law; all schoolmasters, officers in court, or members of parliament: and the penalty of their second refusal was treason. The first offence, in both cases, was punished by banishment and forfeiture. This rigorous statute was not extended to any of the degree of a baron, because it was not supposed that the queen could entertain any doubt with regard to the fidelity of persons possessed of such high dignity. Lord Montacute made opposition to the bill, and asserted in favour of the Catholics, that they disputed not, they preached not, they disobeyed not the queen, they caused no trouble, no tumults among the people (*Strype*, vol. i., p. 260). It is however probable, that some suspicions of their secret conspiracies had made the queen and parliament increase their rigour against them; though it is also more than probable that they were mistaken in the remedy.

There was likewise another point, in which the parliament this session showed more the goodness of their intention than the soundness of their judgment. They passed a law against fond and fantastical prophecies, which had been observed to seduce the people into rebellion and disorder (5 Eliz., c. 15). But at the same time they enacted a statute, which was most likely to increase these and such like superstitions; it was levelled against conjurations, enchantments, and witchcraft (*Ibid.*, c. 16). Witchcraft and heresy are two crimes which commonly increase by punishment, and never are so effectually suppressed as by being totally neglected. After the parliament had granted the queen a supply of one subsidy and two fifteenths, the session was finished by a prorogation. The convocation likewise voted the queen a subsidy of six shillings in the pound, payable in three years.

While the English parties exerted these calm efforts against each other, in parliamentary votes and debates, the French factions, inflamed to the highest degree of animosity, continued that cruel war, which their intemperate zeal, actuated by the ambition of their leaders.

had kindled in the kingdom. The admiral was successful in reducing the towns of Normandy, which held for the king; but he frequently complained, that the numerous garrison of Havre remained totally inactive, and was not employed in any military operation against the common enemy. The queen, in taking possession of that place, had published a manifesto (Forbes, vol. ii.), in which she pretended, that her concern for the interests of the French king had engaged her in that measure, and that her sole intention was to oppose her enemies of the house of Guise, who held their prince in captivity, and employed his power to the destruction of his best and most faithful subjects. It was chiefly her desire to preserve appearances, joined to the great frugality of her temper, which made her, at this critical juncture, keep her soldiers in garrison, and restrain them from committing farther hostilities upon the enemy (Forbes, vol. ii., pp. 276, 277). The Duke of Guise, meanwhile, was aiming a mortal blow at the power of the Huguenots; and had commenced the siege of Orleans, of which Andelot was governor, and where the constable was detained prisoner. He had the prospect of speedy success in this undertaking; when he was assassinated by Poltrot, a young gentleman, whose zeal, instigated (as is pretended, though without any certain foundation) by the admiral and Beza, a famous preacher, led him to attempt that criminal enterprise. The death of this gallant prince was a sensible loss to the Catholic party; and though the Cardinal of Lorraine, his brother, still supported the interests of the family, the danger of their progress appeared not so imminent either to Elizabeth or to the French Protestants. The union therefore between these allies, which had been cemented by their common fears, began thenceforth to be less intimate; and the leaders of the Huguenots were persuaded to hearken to terms of a separate accommodation. Condé and Montmorency held conferences for settling the peace; and as they were both of them impatient to relieve themselves from captivity, they soon came to an agreement with regard to the conditions. The character of the queen-regent, whose ends were always violent, but who endeavoured, by subtilty and policy, rather than force, to attain them, led her to embrace any plausible terms; and, in spite of the protestations of the admiral, whose sagacity could easily discover the treachery of the court, the articles of agreement were finally settled between the parties. A toleration, under some restrictions, was anew granted to the Protestants; a general amnesty was published; Condé was reinstated in his offices and governments; and after money was advanced for the payment of arrears due to the German troops, they were dismissed the kingdom.

By the agreement between Elizabeth and the Prince of Condé it had been stipulated (Forbes, vol. ii., p. 79), that neither party should conclude peace without the consent of the other; but this article was at present but little regarded by the leaders of the French Protestants. They only comprehended her so far in the treaty, as to obtain a promise, that on her relinquishing Havre, her charges, and the money which she had advanced them, should be repaid her by the King of France, and that Calais, on the expiration of the term, should be restored to her. But she disdained to accept of these conditions; and

thinking the possession of Havre a much better pledge for effecting her purpose, she sent Warwick orders to prepare himself against an attack from the now united power of the French monarchy.

The Earl of Warwick, who commanded a garrison of 6000 men, besides 700 pioneers, had no sooner got possession of Havre, than he employed every means for putting it in a posture of defence (Forbes, vol. ii., p. 158); and after expelling the French from the town, he encouraged his soldiers to make the most desperate defence against the enemy. The constable commanded the French army; the queen-regent herself, and the king, were present in the camp; even the Prince Condé joined the king's forces, and gave countenance to this enterprise; the admiral and Anselot alone, anxious still to preserve the friendship of Elizabeth, kept at a distance, and prudently refused to join their ancient enemies in an attack upon their allies.

From the force, and dispositions, and situations of both sides, it was expected, that the siege would be attended with some memorable event; yet did France make a much easier acquisition of this important place, than was at first apprehended. The plague crept in among the English soldiers; and being increased by their fatigue and bad diet (for they were but ill supplied with provision) (*Ibid.*, vol. ii., pp. 377, 498), it made such ravages, that sometimes a hundred men a day died of it, and there remained not at last fifteen hundred in a condition to do duty (*Ibid.*, pp. 450, 458). The French, meeting with such feeble resistance, carried on their attacks successfully; and having made two breaches, each of them 60 feet wide, they prepared for a general assault, which must have terminated in the slaughter of the whole garrison (*Ibid.*, p. 498). Warwick, who had frequently warned the English council of the danger, and who had loudly demanded a supply of men and provisions, found himself obliged to capitulate (July 28), and to content himself with the liberty of withdrawing his garrison. The articles were no sooner signed, than Lord Clinton, the admiral, who had been detained by contrary winds, appeared off the harbour with reinforcements of 3000 men; and found the place surrendered to the enemy. To increase the misfortune, the infected army brought the plague with them into England, where it swept off great multitudes, particularly in the city of London. Above 20,000 persons there died of it in one year.¹

Elizabeth, whose usual vigour and foresight had not appeared in this transaction, was now glad to compound matters; and as the queen-regent desired to obtain leisure, in order to prepare measures for the extermination of the Huguenots, she readily hearkened to any reasonable terms of accommodation with England (*Davila*, lib. iii.). It was agreed, that the hostages, which the French had given for the restitution of Calais, should be restored for 220,000 crowns; and that both sides should retain all their claims and pretensions.

¹ This year the council of Trent was dissolved, which had sat from 1545. The publication of its decrees excited anew the general ferment in Europe; while the Catholics endeavoured to enforce the acceptance of them, and the Protestants rejected them. The religious controversies were too far advanced to expect that any conviction would result from the decrees of this council. It is the only general council which has been held in an age truly learned and inquisitive; and as the history of it has been written with great penetration and judgment, it has tended very much to expose clerical usurpations and intrigues, and may serve as a specimen of more ancient councils. No one expects to see another general council, till the decay of learning and the progress of ignorance shall again fit mankind for these great impostures.

The peace still continued with Scotland; and even a cordial friendship seemed to have been cemented between Elizabeth and Mary. These princesses made profession of the most entire affection; wrote amicable letters every week to each other; and had adopted, in all appearance, the sentiments as well as style of sisters. Elizabeth punished one Hales, who had published a book against Mary's title (Keith, p. 252); and as the Lord-keeper Bacon was thought to have encouraged Hales in this undertaking, he fell under her displeasure, and it was with some difficulty he was able to give her satisfaction, and recover her favour (Ibid., p. 253). The two queens had agreed in the foregoing summer to an interview at York (Haynes, p. 388); in order to remove all difficulties with regard to Mary's ratification of the treaty of Edinburgh, and to consider of the proper method for settling the succession of England. But as Elizabeth carefully avoided touching on this delicate subject, she employed a pretence of the wars in France, which, she said, would detain her in London; and she delayed till next year the intended interview. It is also probable, that being well acquainted with the beauty and address and accomplishments of Mary, she did not choose to stand the comparison with regard to those exterior qualities, in which she was eclipsed by her rival; and was unwilling, that a princess, who had already made great progress in the esteem and affections of the English, should have a further opportunity of increasing the number of her partisans.

Mary's close connection with the house of Guise, and her devoted attachment to her uncles, by whom she had been early educated and constantly protected, was the ground of just and unsurmountable jealousy to Elizabeth, who regarded them as her mortal and declared enemies, and was well acquainted with their dangerous character and ambitious projects. They had made offer of their niece to Don Carlos, Philip's son; to the King of Sweden, the King of Navarre, the Archduke Charles, the Duke of Ferrara, the Cardinal of Bourbon, who had only taken deacon's orders, from which he might easily be freed by a dispensation; and they were ready to marry her to any one, who could strengthen their interests, or give inquietude and disturbance to Elizabeth (Forbes, vol. ii., p. 287; Strype, vol. i., p. 400). Elizabeth on her part was equally vigilant to prevent the execution of their schemes, and was particularly anxious, lest Mary should form any powerful foreign alliance, which might tempt her to revive her pretensions to the crown, and to invade the kingdom on the side where it was weakest and lay most exposed (Keith, pp. 247, 284). As she believed, that the marriage with the Archduke Charles was the one most likely to have place, she used every expedient to prevent it; and besides remonstrating against it to Mary herself, she endeavoured to draw off the archduke from that pursuit, by giving him some hopes of success in his pretensions to herself, and by inviting him to a renewal of the former treaty of marriage (Melvil, p. 41). She always told the Queen of Scots, that nothing would satisfy her but her espousing some English nobleman, who would remove all grounds of jealousy, and cement the union between the kingdoms; and she offered on this occasion to have her title examined, and to declare her successor to the crown (Keith, pp. 243, 249, 259, 265). After keeping the matter in these general terms during a twelvemonth,

she named Lord Robert Dudley, now created Earl of Leicester, as the person on whom she desired that Mary's choice should fall.

The Earl of Leicester, the great and powerful favourite of Elizabeth, possessed all those exterior qualities which are naturally alluring to the fair sex: a handsome person, a polite address, an insinuating behaviour; and by means of these accomplishments, he had been able to blind even the penetration of Elizabeth, and conceal from her the great defects, or rather odious vices, which attended his character. He was proud, insolent, interested, ambitious; without honour, without generosity, without humanity; and atoned not for these bad qualities by such abilities or courage as could fit him for that high trust and confidence, with which she always honoured him. Her constant and declared attachment to him had naturally emboldened him to aspire to her bed; and in order to make way for these nuptials, he was universally believed to have murdered, in a barbarous manner, his wife, the heiress of one Robesart. The proposal of espousing Mary was by no means agreeable to him; and he always ascribed it to the contrivance of Cecil, his enemy; who, he thought, intended by that artifice to make him lose the friendship of Mary from the temerity of his pretensions, and that of Elizabeth from jealousy of his attachments to another woman (Camden, p. 396). The queen herself had not any serious intention of effecting this marriage; but as she was desirous that the Queen of Scots should never have any husband, she named a man, who, she believed, was not likely to be accepted of; and she hoped, by that means, to gain time, and elude the project of any other alliance. The Earl of Leicester was too great a favourite to be parted with; and when Mary, allured by the prospect of being declared successor to the crown, seemed at last to hearken to Elizabeth's proposal, this princess receded from her offers, and withdrew the bait, which she had thrown out to her rival (Keith, pp. 269, 270; Append., p. 158; Strype, vol. i., p. 414). This duplicity of conduct, joined to some appearance of an imperious superiority assumed by her, had drawn a peevish letter from Mary; and the seemingly amicable correspondence between the two queens was, during some time, interrupted. In order to make up the breach, the Queen of Scots dispatched Sir James Melvil to London, who has given us in his memoirs a particular account of his negotiation.

Melvil was an agreeable courtier, a man of address and conversation; and it was recommended to him by his mistress, that, besides grave reasonings concerning politics and state affairs, he should introduce more entertaining topics of conversation, suitable to the sprightly character of Elizabeth; and should endeavour by that means to insinuate himself into her confidence. He succeeded so well, that he threw that artful princess entirely off her guard (Haynes, p. 447); and made her discover the bottom of her heart, full of all those levities and follies and ideas of rivalry, which possess the youngest and most frivolous of her sex. He talked to her of his travels, and forgot not to mention the different dresses of the ladies in different countries, and the particular advantages of each, in setting off the beauties of the shape and person. The queen said, that she had dresses of all countries; and she took care thenceforth to meet the ambassador every day apparelled in a different habit. Sometimes she was dressed in the

English garb, sometimes in the French, sometimes in the Italian; and she asked him, which of them became her most? He answered, the Italian; a reply that he knew would be agreeable to her, because that mode showed to advantage her flowing locks, which, he remarked, though they were more red than yellow, she fancied to be the finest in the world. She desired to know of him what was reputed the best colour of hair. She asked whether his queen or she had the finest hair. She even inquired which of them he esteemed the fairest person. A very delicate question, and which he prudently eluded, by saying, that her majesty was the fairest person in England, and his mistress in Scotland. She next demanded which of them was tallest. He replied, his queen. 'Then is she too tall,' said Elizabeth; 'for I myself am of 'a just stature.' Having learned from him that his mistress sometimes recreated herself by playing on the harpsichord, an instrument on which she herself excelled, she gave orders to Lord Hunsdon, that he should lead the ambassador, as it were casually, into an apartment, where he might hear her perform; and when Melvill, as if ravished with the harmony, broke into the queen's apartment, she pretended to be displeased with his intrusion; but still took care to ask him, whether he thought Mary or her the best performer on that instrument (Melvil, pp. 49, 50)? From the whole of her behaviour, Melvill thought he might, on his return, assure his mistress, that she had no reason ever to expect any cordial friendship from Elizabeth, and that all her professions of amity were full of falsehood and dissimulation.

After two years had been spent in evasions and artifices (Keith, p. 264), Mary's subjects and counsellors, and probably herself, began to think it full time that some marriage were concluded; and Lord Darnley, son of the Earl of Lennox, was the person in whom most men's opinions and wishes centred. He was Mary's cousin-german, by the Lady Margaret Douglas, niece to Henry VIII., and daughter of the Earl of Angus, by Margaret, Queen of Scotland. He had been born and educated in England, where the Earl of Lennox had constantly resided, since he had been banished by the prevailing power of the house of Hamilton: and as Darnley was now in his twentieth year, and was a very comely person, tall and delicately shaped, it was hoped, that he might soon render himself agreeable to the Queen of Scots. He was also by his father a branch of the same family with herself; and would, in espousing her, preserve the royal dignity in the house of Stuart: he was, after her, next heir to the crown of England; and those who pretended to exclude her on account of her being a foreigner had endeavoured to recommend his title, and give it the preference. It seemed no inconsiderable advantage, that she could, by marrying him, unite both their claims; and as he was by birth an Englishman, and could not, by his power or alliances, give any ground of suspicion to Elizabeth, it was hoped, that the proposal of this marriage would not be unacceptable to that jealous princess.

Elizabeth was well informed of these intentions (Keith, p. 261); and was secretly not displeased with the projected marriage between Darnley and the Queen of Scots (Ibid., pp. 280, 282; Jebb, vol. ii., p. 46). She would rather have wished that Mary had continued for ever in a single life; but finding little probability of rendering this

scheme effectual, she was satisfied with a choice which freed her at once from the dread of a foreign alliance, and from the necessity of parting with Leicester, her favourite. In order to pave the way to Darnley's marriage, she secretly desired Mary to invite Lennox into Scotland, to reverse his attainder, and to restore him to his honours and fortune (Keith, pp. 255, 259, 272). And when her request was complied with, she took care, in order to preserve the friendship of the Hamiltons and her other partisans in Scotland, to blame openly this conduct of Mary (Melvil, p. 42). Hearing that the negotiation for Darnley's marriage advanced apace, she gave that nobleman permission on his first application to follow his father into Scotland: but no sooner did she learn that the Queen of Scots was taken with his figure and person, and that all measures were fixed for espousing him, than she exclaimed against the marriage; sent Throgmorton to order Darnley immediately, upon his allegiance, to return to England; threw the Countess of Lennox and her second son into the Tower, where they suffered a rigorous confinement; seized all Lennox's English estate; and, though it was impossible for her to assign one single reason for her displeasure (Keith, pp. 274, 275), she menaced, and protested, and (A.D. 1564, July 28) complained, as if she had suffered the most grievous injury in the world.

The politics of Elizabeth, though judicious, were usually full of duplicity and artifice; but never more so than in her transactions with the Queen of Scots, where there entered so many little passions and narrow jealousies, that she durst not avow to the world the reasons of her conduct, scarcely to her ministers, and scarcely even to herself. But besides a womanish rivalry and envy against the marriage of this princess, she had some motives of interest for feigning a displeasure on the present occasion. It served her as a pretence for refusing to acknowledge Mary's title to the succession of England; a point to which, for good reasons, she was determined never to consent. And it was useful to her for a purpose, still more unfriendly and dangerous, for encouraging the discontents and rebellion of the Scottish nobility and ecclesiastics (*Ibid.*, p. 290).

Nothing can be more unhappy for a people than to be governed by a sovereign, attached to a religion different from the established; and it is scarcely possible that mutual confidence can ever, in such a situation, have place between the prince and his subjects. Mary's conduct had been hitherto, in every respect, unexceptionable, and even laudable; yet had she not made such progress in acquiring popularity as might have been expected from her gracious deportment and agreeable accomplishments. Suspicions every moment prevailed on account of her attachment to the catholic faith, and especially to her uncles, the open and avowed promoters of the scheme for exterminating the professors of the reformed religion throughout all Europe. She still refused to ratify the acts of parliament which had established the reformation; she made attempts for restoring to the catholic bishops some part of their civil jurisdiction (Spotswood, p. 198); and she wrote a letter to the council of Trent, in which, besides professing her attachment to the catholic faith, she took notice of her title to succeed to the crown of England, and expressed her hopes of being able, in some period, to

bring back all her dominions to the bosom of the church (Father Paul, lib. vii.). The zealots among the protestants were not wanting, in their turn, to exercise their insolence against her, which tended still more to alienate her from their faith. A law was enacted, making it capital, on the very first offence, to say mass anywhere, except in the queen's chapel (Keith, p. 268); and it was with difficulty that even this small indulgence was granted her: the general assembly importuned her anew to change her religion; to renounce the blasphemous idolatry of the mass, with the tyranny of the Roman Antichrist; and to embrace the true religion of Christ Jesus (*Ibid.*, p. 545; Knox, p. 374). As she answered with temper, that she was not yet convinced of the falsity of her religion or the impiety of the mass; and that her apostasy would lose her the friendship of her allies on the continent; they replied by assuring her that their religion was undoubtedly the same which had been revealed by Jesus Christ, which had been preached by the apostles, and which had been embraced by the faithful in the primitive ages; that neither the religion of Turks, Jews, nor Papists was built on so solid a foundation as theirs; that they alone, of all the various species of religionists, spread over the face of the earth, were so happy as to be possessed of the truth; that those who hear, or rather who gaze on the mass, allow sacrilege, pronounce blasphemy, and commit most abominable idolatry; and that the friendship of the King of kings was preferable to all the alliances in the world (Keith, p. 550).

The marriage of the Queen of Scots had kindled afresh the zeal of the reformers, because the family of Lennox was believed to adhere to the Catholic faith; and though Darnley, who now bore the name of King Henry, went often to the Established Church, he could not, by this exterior compliance, gain the confidence and regard of the ecclesiastics. They rather laid hold of the opportunity to insult him to his face; and Knox scrupled not to tell him from the pulpit that God, for punishment of the offences and ingratitude of the people, was wont to commit the rule over them to boys and women (*Ibid.*, p. 546; Knox, p. 381). The populace of Edinburgh, instigated by such doctrines, began to meet and to associate themselves against the government (Knox, p. 377). But what threatened more immediate danger to Mary's authority were the discontents which prevailed among some of the principal nobility.

The Duke of Chatelrault was displeased with the restoration, and still more with the aggrandisement of the family of Lennox, his hereditary enemies; and entertained fears lest his own eventual succession to the crown of Scotland should be excluded by his rival, who had formerly advanced some pretensions to it. The Earl of Murray found his credit at court much diminished by the interest of Lennox and his son; and began to apprehend the revocation of some considerable grants, which he had obtained from Mary's bounty. The Earls of Argyle, Rothes, and Glencairne, the Lords Boyde and Ochiltree, Kirkaldy, of Grange, Pittarow, were instigated by like motives; and as these were the persons who had most zealously promoted the reformation, they were disgusted to find that the queen's favour was entirely engrossed by a new cabal, the Earls of Bothwell, Athole, Sutherland, and Huntley; men who were esteemed either lukewarm in religious

controversy, or inclined to the Catholic party. The same ground of discontent, which, in other courts, is the source of intrigue, faction, and opposition, commonly produced in Scotland either projects of assassination, or of rebellion; and besides mutual accusations of the former kind, which it is difficult to clear up,¹ the malcontent lords, as soon as they saw the queen's marriage entirely resolved on, entered into a confederacy for taking arms against their sovereign. They met at Stirling; pretended an anxious concern for the security of religion; framed engagements for mutual defence; and made applications to Elizabeth for assistance and protection (Keith, pp. 293, 294, 300, 301). That princess, after publishing the expressions of her displeasure against the marriage, had secretly ordered her ambassadors, Randolph and Throgmorton, to give in her name some promises of support to the malcontents; and had even sent them a supply of 10,000*l.*, to enable them to begin an insurrection.²

Mary was no sooner informed of the meeting at Stirling, and the movements of the lords, than she summoned them to appear in court, in order to answer for their conduct; and having levied some forces to execute the laws, she obliged the rebels to leave the Low Countries, and take shelter in Argyleshire. That she might more effectually cut off their resources, she proceeded with the king to Glasgow, and forced them from their retreat. They appeared at Paisley in the neighbourhood with about 1000 horse; and passing the queen's army, proceeded to Hamilton, thence to Edinburgh, which they entered without resistance. They expected great reinforcements in this place, from the efforts of Knox and the seditious preachers; and they beat their drums, desiring all men to enlist, and to receive wages for the defence of God's glory (Knox, p. 381). But the nation was in no disposition for rebellion: Mary was esteemed and beloved: her marriage was not generally disagreeable to the people; and the interested views of the malcontent lords were so well known, that their pretence of zeal for religion had little influence even on the ignorant populace (*Ibid.*, pp. 380, 385). The king and queen advanced to Edinburgh at the head of their army: the rebels were obliged to retire into the Forth; and being pursued by a force which now amounted to 18,000 men (*Ibid.*, p. 388), they found themselves under a necessity of abandoning their country, and of taking shelter in England.

Elizabeth, when she found the event so much to disappoint her expectations, thought proper to disavow all connections with the Scottish malcontents, and to declare everywhere that she had never given them any encouragement, nor any promise of countenance or assistance. She even carried farther her dissimulation and hypocrisy. Murray had come to London, with the Abbot of Kilwinning, agent for Chatelrault; and she seduced them, by secret assurances of protection, to declare, before the ambassadors of France and Spain, that she had nowise con-

¹ It appears, however, from Randolph's Letters (Keith, p. 290), that some offers had been made to that minister, of seizing Lennox and Darnley, and delivering them into Queen Elizabeth's hands. Melvil confirms the same story, and says, that the design was acknowledged by the conspirators, p. 56. This serves to justify the account given by the queen's party of the Raid of Baith, as it is called. See farther, Goodall, vol. ii., p. 358. The other conspiracy, of which Murray complained, is much more uncertain, and is founded on very doubtful evidence.

² Knox, p. 380; Keith, *Append.*, p. 164; Anderson, vol. iii., p. 194.

tributed to their insurrection. No sooner had she extorted this confession from them, than she chased them from her presence, called them unworthy traitors, declared that their detestable rebellion was of bad example to all princes, and assured them that as she had hitherto given them no encouragement so should they never thenceforth receive from her any assistance or protection.¹ Throgmorton alone, whose honour was equal to his abilities, could not be prevailed on to conceal the part which he had acted in the enterprise of the Scottish rebels; and being well apprised of the character and conduct of Elizabeth, he had the precaution to obtain an order of council to authorise the engagements which he had been obliged to take with them (Melvil, p. 60).

The banished lords, finding themselves so harshly treated by Elizabeth, had recourse to the clemency of their own sovereign; and after some solicitations, and some professions of sincere repentance, the Duke of Chatelrault obtained his pardon on condition that he should retire into France. Mary was more implacable against the ungrateful Earl of Murray and the other confederates, on whom she threw the chief blame of the enterprise; but as she was continually plied with applications from their friends, and as some of her most judicious partisans in England thought that nothing would more promote her interests in that kingdom than the gentle treatment of men so celebrated for their zeal against the Catholic religion, she agreed to give way to her natural temper, which inclined not to severity, and she seemed determined to restore them to favour (Ibid, pp. 59, 60, 61, 62, 63; Keith, p. 322). In this interval, Rambouillet arrived as ambassador from France, and brought her advice from her uncle, the cardinal of Lorraine, to whose opinion she always paid an extreme deference, by no means to pardon those Protestant leaders, who had been engaged in a rebellion against her (Keith, p. 325; Melvil, p. 63).

The two religions, in France, as well as in other parts of Europe, were rather irritated than tired with their acts of mutual violence; and the peace granted to the Huguenots, as had been foreseen by Coligni, was intended only to lull them asleep, and prepare the way for their final and absolute destruction. The queen regent made a pretence of travelling through the kingdom, in order to visit the provinces, and correct all the abuses arising from the late civil war; and after having held some conferences on the frontiers with the Duke of Lorraine and the Duke of Savoy, she came to Bayonne, where she was met by her daughter, the Queen of Spain, and the Duke of Alva. Nothing appeared in the congress of these two splendid courts, but gaiety, festivity, love, and joy; but amidst these smiling appearances were secretly fabricated schemes the most bloody, and the most destructive to the repose of mankind, that had ever been thought of in any age or nation. No less than a total and universal extermination of the Protestants by fire and sword was concerted by Philip and Catherine of Medicis; and Alva, agreeably to his fierce and sanguinary disposition, advised the queen regent to commence the execution of this project by the immediate massacre of all the leaders of the Huguenots (Davila, lib. iii.). But that princess, though equally hardened against every humane sentiment, would not forego this opportunity of displaying her

¹ Melvil, p. 57; Knox, p. 388; Keith, p. 319; Crawford, pp. 62, 63.

wit and refined politics; and she purposed, rather by treachery and dissimulation, which she called address, to lead the Protestants into the snare, and never to draw the sword till they were totally disabled from resistance. The Cardinal of Lorraine, whose character bore a greater affinity to that of Alva, was a chief author of this barbarous association against the reformers; and having connected his hopes of success with the aggrandizement of his niece, the Queen of Scots, he took care, that her measures should correspond to those violent counsels which were embraced by the other catholic princes. In consequence of this scheme, he turned her from the road of clemency, which she intended to have followed; and made her resolve on the total ruin of the banished lords (Melvil, p. 63; Keith's Append., p. 176). A parliament was (A.D. 1566) summoned at Edinburgh for attainting them; and as their guilt was palpable and avowed, no doubt was entertained but sentence would be pronounced against them. It was by a sudden and violent incident which, in the issue, brought on the ruin of Mary herself, that they were saved from the rigour of the law.

The marriage of the Queen of Scots with Lord Darnley was so natural, and so inviting in all its circumstances, that it had been precipitately agreed to by that princess and her council; and while she was allured by his youth and beauty and exterior accomplishments, she had at first overlooked the qualities of his mind, which nowise corresponded to the excellence of his outward figure. Violent, yet variable in his resolutions; insolent, yet credulous and easily governed by flatterers; he was destitute of all gratitude, because he thought no favours equal to his merit; and being addicted to low pleasures, he was equally incapable of all true sentiments of love and tenderness (Keith, p. 287, 329; Append., p. 163). The Queen of Scots, in the first effusions of her fondness, had taken a pleasure in exalting him beyond measure: she had granted him the title of king; she had joined his name with her own in all public acts; she intended to have procured him from the parliament a matrimonial crown: but having leisure afterwards to remark his weakness and vices, she began to see the danger of her profuse liberality, and was resolved thenceforth to proceed with more reserve in the trust which she should confer upon him. His resentment against this prudent conduct served but the more to increase her disgust; and the young prince, enraged at her imagined neglects, pointed his vengeance against every one whom he deemed the cause of this charge in her measures and behaviour.

There was in the court one David Rizzio, who had of late obtained a very extraordinary degree of confidence and favour with the Queen of Scots. He was a Piedmontese, of mean birth, son of a teacher of music, himself a musician: and finding it difficult to subsist by his art in his own country, he had followed into Scotland an ambassador, whom the Duke of Savoy sent thither to pay his compliments to Mary, some time after her first arrival. He possessed a good ear, and a tolerable voice; and as that princess found him useful to complete her band of music, she retained him in her service after the departure of his master. Her secretary for French dispatches having, some time after, incurred her displeasure, she promoted Rizzio to that office, which gave him frequent opportunities of approaching her person, and insinuating him-

self into her favour. He was shrewd and sensible, as well as aspiring, much beyond his rank and education; and he made so good use of the access which fortune had procured him, that he was soon regarded as the chief confidant, and even minister of the queen. He was consulted on all occasions; no favours could be obtained but by his intercession; all suitors were obliged to gain him by presents and flattery; and the man, insolent from his new exaltation, as well as rapacious in his acquisitions, soon drew on himself the hatred of the nobility and of the whole kingdom.¹ He had at first employed his credit to promote Darnley's marriage; and a firm friendship seemed to be established between them; but on the subsequent change of the queen's sentiments, it was easy for Henry's friends to persuade him, that Rizzio was the real author of her indifference, and even to rouse in his mind jealousies of a more dangerous nature. The favourite was of a disagreeable figure, but was not past his youth;² and though the opinion of his criminal correspondence with Mary might seem of itself unreasonable, if not absurd, a suspicious husband could find no other means of accounting for that lavish and imprudent kindness, with which she had honoured him. The rigid austerity of the ecclesiastics, who could admit of no freedoms, contributed to spread this opinion among the people; and as Rizzio was universally believed to be a pensionary of the Pope's and to be deeply engaged in all schemes against the Protestants, any story, to his and Mary's disadvantage, received an easy credit among the zealots of that communion.

Rizzio, who had connected his interests with the Roman Catholics, was the declared enemy of the banished lords; and by promoting the violent prosecution against them, he had exposed himself to the animosity of their numerous friends and retainers. A scheme was also thought to be formed for revoking some exorbitant grants made during the queen's minority; and even the nobility who had seized the ecclesiastical benefices began to think themselves less secure in the possession of them (Keith, p. 326; Melvil, p. 64). The Earl of Morton, chancellor, was affected by all these considerations, and still more by a rumour spread abroad, that Mary intended to appoint Rizzio chancellor in his place, and to bestow that dignity on a mean and upstart foreigner, ignorant of the laws and language of the country.³ So indiscreet had this princess been in her kindness to Rizzio, that even that strange report met with credit, and proved a great means of accelerating the ruin of the favourite. Morton, insinuating himself into Henry's confidence, employed all his art to inflame the discontent and jealousy of that prince; and he persuaded him, that the only means of

¹ Keith, pp. 282, 302; Crawford's Mem., p. 5; Spotswood, p. 193.

² Buchanan confesses that Rizzio was ugly; but it may be inferred, from the narration of that author, that he was young. He says, that on the return of the Duke of Savoy to Turin, Rizzio was *in adolescentiæ vigore*, in the vigour of youth. Now that event happened only a few years before (lib. xvii., cap. 44). That Bothwell was young, appears, among many other invincible proofs, from Mary's instructions to the Bishop of Dunblain, her ambassador at Paris; where she says, that in 1559, only eight years before, he was *very young*. He might therefore have been about thirty when he married her. Keith's Hist., p. 388. From the appendix to the *epistolæ regum Scottorum*, it appears, by authentic documents, that Patrick, Earl of Bothwell, father to James, who espoused Queen Mary, was alive till near the year 1560. Buchanan by a mistake, which has been long ago corrected, calls him James.

³ Buchanan. lib. xvii., c. 60; Crawford, p. 6; Spotswood, p. 194; Knox, p. 393; Jebb, vol. i, p. 4th.

freeing himself from the indignities under which he laboured, was to bring the base stranger to the fate which he had so well merited, and which was so passionately desired by the whole nation. George Douglas, natural brother to the Countess of Lennox, concurred in the same advice; and the Lords Ruthven and Lindesey, being consulted, offered their assistance in the enterprise; nor was even the Earl of Lennox, the king's father, averse to the design (Crawford, p. 7). But as these conspirators were well acquainted with Henry's levity, they engaged him to sign a paper, in which he avowed the undertaking, as tending to the glory of God and advancement of religion, and promised to protect them against every consequence which might ensue upon the assassination of Rizzio (Goodall, vol. i., p. 266; Crawford, p. 7). All these measures being concerted, a messenger was dispatched to the banished lords, who were hovering near the borders; and they were invited by the king to return to their native country.

This design, so atrocious in itself, was rendered still more so by the circumstances which attended its execution. Mary, who was in the sixth month of her pregnancy, was supping in private, and had at table the Countess of Argyle, her natural sister, with Rizzio, and others of her servants. The king (March 9) entered the room by a private passage, and stood at the back of Mary's chair; Lord Ruthven, George Douglas, and other conspirators, being all armed, rushed in after him; and the Queen of Scots, terrified with the appearance, demanded of them the reason of this rude intrusion. They told her, that they intended no violence against her person, but meant only to bring that villain, pointing to Rizzio, to his deserved punishment. Rizzio, aware of the danger, ran behind his mistress, and seizing her by the waist, called aloud to her for protection; while she interposed in his behalf with cries and menaces and entreaties. The impatient assassins, regardless of her efforts, rushed upon their prey: and by overturning everything which stood in their way, increased the horror and confusion of the scene. Douglas, seizing Henry's dagger, stuck it in the body of Rizzio, who, screaming with fear and agony, was torn from Mary by the other conspirators, and pushed into the antechamber, where he was despatched with fifty-six wounds (Melvil, p. 64; Keith, pp. 330, 331; Crawford, p. 9). The unhappy princess, informed of his fate, immediately dried her tears, and said she would weep no more, she would now think of revenge. The insult, indeed, upon her person; the stain attempted to be fixed on her honour; the danger to which her life was exposed on account of her pregnancy, were injuries so atrocious and so complicated, that they scarcely left room for pardon, even from the greatest lenity and mercy.

The assassins, apprehensive of Mary's resentment, detained her prisoner in the palace; and the king dismissed all who seemed willing to attempt her rescue, by telling them that nothing was done without his orders, and that he would be careful of the queen's safety. Murray and the banished lords appeared two days after; and Mary, whose anger was now engrossed by injuries more recent and violent, was willingly reconciled to them; and she even received her brother with tenderness and affection. They obtained an acquittal from parliament, and were reinstated in their honours and fortunes. The accomplices

also in Rizzio's murder applied to her for a pardon; but she artfully delayed compliance, and persuaded them, that so long as she was detained in custody, and was surrounded by guards, any deed which she should sign would have no validity. Meanwhile, she had gained the confidence of her husband by her persuasion and caresses; and no sooner were the guards withdrawn, than she engaged him to escape with her in the night time, and take shelter in Dunbar. Many of her subjects here offered her their services; and Mary, having collected an army, which the conspirators had no power to resist, advanced to Edinburgh, and obliged them to fly into England, where they lived in great poverty and distress. They made applications, however, to the Earl of Bothwell, a new favourite of Mary's; and that nobleman, desirous of strengthening his party by the accession of their interest, was able to pacify her resentment; and he soon after procured them liberty to return into their own country (Melvil, pp. 75, 76; Keith, p. 334; Knox, p. 398).

The vengeance of the Queen of Scots was implacable against her husband alone, whose person was before disagreeable to her, and who, by his violation of every tie of gratitude and duty, had now drawn on him her highest resentment. She engaged him to disown all connections with the assassins, to deny any concurrence in their crime, even to publish a proclamation containing a falsehood so notorious to the whole world (Goodall, vol. i., p. 280; Keith Append., p. 167); and having thus made him expose himself to universal contempt, and rendered it impracticable for him ever to acquire the confidence of any party, she threw him off with disdain and indignation (Melvil, pp. 66, 67). As if she had been making an escape from him, she suddenly withdrew to Alloa, a seat of the Earl of Mar's; and when Henry followed her thither, she suddenly returned to Edinburgh; and gave him everywhere the strongest proofs of displeasure, and even of antipathy. She encouraged her courtiers in their neglect of him; and she was pleased that his mean equipage and small train of attendants should draw on him the contempt of the very populace. He was permitted, however, to have apartments in the castle of Edinburgh, which Mary had chosen for the place of her delivery. She there (A.D. 1566, June 19) brought forth a son; and as this was very important news to England, as well as to Scotland, she immediately dispatched Sir James Melvil to carry intelligence of the happy event to Elizabeth. Melvil tells us, that this princess, the evening of his arrival in London, had given a ball to her court at Greenwich, and was displaying all that spirit and alacrity which usually attended her on these occasions; but when news arrived of the Prince of Scotland's birth, all her joy was damped: she sunk into melancholy; she reclined her head upon her arm, and complained to some of her attendants, that the Queen of Scots was mother of a fair son, while she herself was but a barren stock. Next day, however, at the reception of the ambassador, she resumed her former dissimulation, put on a joyful countenance, gave Melvil thanks for the haste he had made in conveying to her the agreeable intelligence, and expressed the utmost cordiality and friendship to her sister (*Ibid.*, pp. 69, 70). Some time after she dispatched the Earl of Bedford, with her kinsman George Cary, son of Lord Hunsdon, in order to officiate

at the baptism of the young prince, and she sent by them some magnificent presents to the Queen of Scots.

The birth of a son gave additional zeal to Mary's partisans in England (Camden, p. 397); and even men of the most opposite parties began to cry aloud for some settlement of the succession. These humours broke out with great vehemence in a new session of parliament, held (Sept. 30) after six prorogations. The house of peers, which had hitherto forbore to touch on this delicate point, here took the lead; and the house of commons soon after imitated the zeal of the lords. Molineux opened the matter in the lower house, and proposed that the question of the succession and that of supply should go hand in hand; as if it were intended to constrain the queen to a compliance with the request of her parliament (D'Ewes, p. 129). The courtiers endeavoured to elude the debate; Sir Ralph Sadler told the house, that he had heard the queen positively affirm, that, for the good of her people, she was determined to marry. Secretary Cecil and Sir Francis Knollys gave their testimony to the same purpose; as did also Sir Ambrose Cave, chancellor of the duchy, and Sir Edward Rogers, comptroller of the household (Ibid., p. 124). Elizabeth's ambitious and masculine character were so well known, that few members gave any credit to this intelligence; and it was considered merely as an artifice, by which she endeavoured to retract that positive declaration, which she had made in the beginning of her reign, that she meant to live and die a virgin. The ministers, therefore, gained nothing further by this piece of policy, than only to engage the house, for the sake of decency, to join the question of the queen's marriage with that of a settlement of the crown; and the commons were proceeding with great earnestness in the debate, and had even appointed a committee to confer with the lords, when express orders were brought them from Elizabeth not to proceed farther in the matter. Cecil told them, that she pledged to the house the word of a queen for her sincerity in her intentions to marry; that the appointment of a successor would be attended with great danger to her person; that she herself had had experience, during the reign of her sister, how much court was usually paid to the next heir, and what dangerous sacrifices men were commonly disposed to make of their present duty to their future prospects; and that she was therefore determined to delay, till a more proper opportunity, the decision of that important question (D'Ewes, pp. 127, 128). The house was not satisfied with these reasons, and still less with the command, prohibiting them all debate on the subject. Paul Wentworth, a spirited member, went so far as to question whether such a prohibition were not an infringement of the liberties and privileges of the house (Ibid., p. 128). Some even ventured to violate that profound respect which had hitherto been preserved to the queen; and they affirmed that she was bound in duty, not only to provide for the happiness of her subjects during her own life, but also to pay regard to their future security, by fixing a successor; that, by an opposite conduct, she showed herself the stepmother, not the natural parent, of her people, and would seem desirous, that England should no longer subsist than she should enjoy the glory and satisfaction of governing it; that none but timorous princes or tyrants, or faint-hearted

women, ever stood in fear of their successors; and that the affections of the people were a firm and impregnable rampart to every sovereign, who, laying aside all artifice or bye-ends, had courage and magnanimity to put his sole trust in that honourable and sure defence (Camden. p. 400). The queen, hearing of these debates, sent for the speaker, and after reiterating her former prohibition, she bade him inform the house, that if any member remained still unsatisfied, he might appear before the privy council, and there give his reasons (D'Ewes, p. 128). As the members showed a disposition, notwithstanding these peremptory orders, still to proceed upon the question, Elizabeth thought proper, by a message, to revoke them and to allow the house liberty of debate (Ibid., p. 130). They were so mollified by this gracious condescension, that they henceforth conducted the matter with more calmness and temper; and they even voted her a supply, to be levied at three payments, of a subsidy and a fifteenth, without annexing any condition to it. The queen soon after dissolved (Jan. 2, 1567) the parliament, and told them with some sharpness in the conclusion, that their proceedings had contained much dissimulation and artifice; that, under the plausible pretences of marriage and succession, many of them covered very malevolent intentions towards her; but that, however, she reaped this advantage from the attempts of these men, that she could now distinguish her friends from her enemies. 'But do you think,' added she, 'that I am unmindful of your future security, or will be negligent in settling the succession? That is the chief object of my concern, as I know myself to be liable to mortality. Or do you apprehend, that I meant to encroach on your liberties? No; it was never my meaning, I only intended to stop you before you approached the precipice. All things have their time, and though you may be blessed with a sovereign more wise or more learned than I, yet I assure you, that no one will ever rule over you, who shall be more careful of your safety. And therefore, henceforward, whether I live to see the like assembly or no, or whoever holds the reins of government, let me warn you to beware of provoking your sovereign's patience, so far as you have done mine. But I shall now conclude, that, notwithstanding the disgusts I have received (for I mean not to part with you in anger), the greater part of you may assure themselves that they go home in their prince's good graces' (D'Ewes, pp. 116, 117).

Elizabeth carried farther her dignity on this occasion. She had received the subsidy without any condition; but as it was believed, that the commons had given her that gratuity with a view of engaging her to yield to their request, she thought proper, on her refusal, voluntarily to remit the third payment; and she said that money in her subjects' purses was as good to her as in her own exchequer (Camden, p. 400).

But though the queen was able to elude for the present the applications of parliament, the friends of the Queen of Scots multiplied every day in England; and besides the Catholics, many of whom kept a treasonable correspondence with her, and were ready to rise at her command (Haynes, pp. 446, 448), the court itself of Elizabeth was full of her avowed partisans. The Duke of Norfolk, the Earls of Leicester, Pembroke, Bedford, Northumberland, Sir Nicholas Throgmorton, and most of the considerable men in England, except Cecil, seemed con-

vinced of the necessity of declaring her the successor. None but the more zealous Protestants adhered either to the Countess of Hertford, or to her aunt Eleanor, Countess of Cumberland; and as the marriage of the former seemed liable to some objections, and had been declared invalid, men were alarmed, even on that side, with the prospect of new disputes concerning the succession. Mary's behaviour also, so moderate toward the Protestants, and so gracious towards all men, had procured her universal respect (Melvil, pp. 53, 61, 74); and the public was willing to ascribe any imprudences into which she had fallen, to her youth and inexperience. But all these flattering prospects were blasted by the subsequent incidents; where her egregious indiscretions shall I say, or atrocious crimes, threw her from the height of her prosperity, and involved her in infamy and in ruin.

The Earl of Bothwell was of a considerable family and power in Scotland; and though not distinguished by any talents either of a civil or military nature, he had made a figure in that party which opposed the greatness of the Earl of Murray, and the more rigid reformers. He was a man of profligate manners; had involved his opulent fortune in great debts, and even reduced himself to beggary by his profuse expenses (Keith, p. 240); and seemed to have no resource but in desperate counsels and enterprises. He had been accused more than once of an attempt to assassinate Murray; and though the frequency of these accusations on all sides diminished somewhat the credit due to any particular imputation, they prove sufficiently the prevalence of that detestable practice in Scotland, and may in that view serve to render such rumours the more credible. This man had of late acquired the favour and entire confidence of Mary, and all her measures were directed by his advice and authority. Reports were spread of more particular intimacies between them, and these reports gained ground from the continuance or rather increase of her hatred towards her husband (Melvil, pp. 66, 77). That young prince was reduced to such a state of desperation, by the neglects which he underwent from his queen and the courtiers, that he had once resolved to fly secretly into France or Spain, and had even provided a vessel for that purpose (Keith, pp. 345-348). Some of the most considerable nobility, on the other hand, observing her rooted aversion to him, had proposed some expedients for a divorce; and though Mary is said to have spoken honourably on the occasion, and to have embraced the proposal no farther than it should be found consistent with her own honour and her son's legitimacy (Camden, p. 404; Goodall's *Queen Mary*, vol. ii, p. 317), men were inclined to believe that the difficulty of finding proper means for effecting that purpose was the real cause of laying aside all further thoughts of it. So far were the suspicions against her carried, that when Henry, discouraged with the continual proofs of her hatred, left the court and retired to Glasgow, an illness of an extraordinary nature, with which she was seized immediately on his arrival in that place, was universally ascribed by her enemies to a dose of poison which, it was pretended, she had administered to him.

While affairs were in this situation, all those who wished well to her character or to public tranquillity, were extremely pleased, and somewhat surprised to hear, that a friendship was again conciliated between

them, that she had taken a journey to Glasgow on purpose to visit him during his sickness, that she behaved towards him with great tenderness, that she had brought him along with her, and that she appeared thenceforth determined to live with him on a footing more suitable to the connections between them. Henry, naturally uxorious, and not distrusting this sudden reconciliation, put himself implicitly into her hands, and attended her to Edinburgh. She lived in the palace of Holyrood House; but as the situation of the place was low, and the concourse of people about the court was necessarily attended with noise, which might disturb him in his present infirm state of health, these reasons were assigned for fitting up an apartment for him in a solitary house at some distance, called the Kirk of Field. Mary here gave him marks of kindness and attachment, she conversed cordially with him, and she lay some nights in a room below his; but on the ninth of February, she told him that she would pass that night in the palace, because the marriage of one of her servants was there to be celebrated in her presence. About two o'clock in the morning (Feb. 10, 1567) the whole town was much alarmed at hearing a great noise; and was still more astonished, when it was discovered that the noise came from the king's house, which was blown up by gunpowder; that his dead body was found at some distance in a neighbouring field, and without marks either of fire, contusion, or violence upon it.¹

No doubt could be entertained but Henry was murdered; and general conjecture soon pointed towards the Earl of Bothwell as the author of the crime (Melvil, p. 78; Cabbala, p. 136). But as his favour with Mary was visible, and his power great, no one ventured to declare openly his sentiments, and all men remained in silence and mute astonishment. Voices, however, were heard in the streets, during the darkness of the night, proclaiming Bothwell, and even Mary herself, to be murderers of the king; bills were secretly affixed on the walls to the same purpose; offers were made that, upon giving proper securities, his guilt should be openly proved. But after one proclamation from the court, offering a reward and indemnity to any one that would discover the author of that villany, greater vigilance was employed in searching out the spreaders of the libels and reports against Bothwell and the queen, than in tracing the contrivers of the king's assassination, or detecting the regicides.²

The Earl of Lennox, who lived at a distance from court, in poverty and contempt, was roused by the report of his son's murder, and wrote to the queen, imploring speedy justice against the assassins; among whom he named the Earl of Bothwell, Sir James Balfour, and Gilbert Balfour his brother, David Chalmers, and four others of the queen's household; all of them persons who had been mentioned in the bills affixed to the walls at Edinburgh (Keith, p. 372; Anderson, vol. ii., p. 3). Mary took his demand of speedy justice in a very literal sense; and allowing only fifteen days for the examination of this important affair,

¹ It was imagined that Henry had been strangled before the house was blown up. But this supposition is contradicted by the confession of the criminals; and there is no necessity to admit it in order to account for the condition of his body. There are many instances that men's lives have been saved who had been blown up in ships. Had Henry fallen on water he had not probably been killed.

² Anderson's Collect., vol. ii., p. 38, vol. iv. pp. 167, 168; Spotswood, p. 200; Keith, p. 374.

she sent a citation to Lennox, requiring him to appear in court, and prove his charge against Bothwell (Keith, p. 373). This nobleman, meanwhile, and all the other persons accused by Lennox, enjoyed their full liberty (Ibid., pp. 374, 375): Bothwell himself was continually surrounded with armed men (Ibid., p. 405): took his place in council (Anderson, vol. i., pp. 38, 40, 50, 52): lived during some time in the house with Mary (Ibid., vol. ii., p. 274): and seemed to possess all his wonted confidence and familiarity with her. Even the castle of Edinburgh, a place of great consequence in this critical time, was entrusted to him, and under him to his creature, Sir James Balfour, who had himself been publicly charged as an accomplice in the king's murder (Spotswood, p. 201). Lennox, who had come as far as Stirling, with a view of appearing at the trial, was informed of all these circumstances; and reflecting on the small train which attended him, he began to entertain very just apprehensions from the power, insolence, and temerity of his enemy. He wrote to Mary, desiring that the day of trial might be prorogued; and conjured her, by all the regard which she bore to her own honour, to employ more leisure and deliberation in determining a question of such extreme moment. (Keith, p. 375; Anderson, vol. i., p. 52). No regard was paid to his application: the jury was enclosed, of which the Earl of Caithness was chancellor; and though Lennox, foreseeing this precipitation, had ordered Cuninghame, one of his retinue, to appear in court, and protest in his name against the acquittal of the criminal, the jury proceeded to a verdict.¹ The verdict was such as it behoved them to give, where neither accuser nor witness appeared; and Bothwell was absolved from the king's murder. The jury, however, apprehensive that their verdict would give great scandal, and perhaps expose them afterwards to some danger, entered (A.D. 1567, April 12) a protest, in which they represented the necessity of their proceedings (Spotswood, p. 201; Anderson, vol. i., p. 113). It is remarkable, that the indictment was laid against Bothwell for committing the crime on the ninth of February, not the tenth, the real day on which Henry was assassinated.² The interpretation generally put upon this error, too gross, it was thought, to have proceeded from mistake, was, that the secret council, by whom Mary was governed, not trusting entirely to precipitation, violence, and authority, had provided this plea, by which they ensured at all adventures a plausible pretence for acquitting Bothwell.

Two days after this extraordinary transaction, a parliament was held; and though the verdict in favour of Bothwell was attended with such circumstances as strongly confirmed, rather than diminished, the general opinion of his guilt, he was the person chosen to carry the royal sceptre on the first meeting of that national assembly (Keith, p. 78; Crawford, p. 14). In this parliament, a rigorous act was made against those who set up defamatory bills; but no notice was taken of the king's murder (Keith, p. 380). The favour which Mary openly bore to Bothwell kept every one in awe; and the effects of this terror appeared more plainly in another transaction, which ensued immediately upon the dissolution of the parliament. A bond or association was

¹ Keith, p. 376; Anderson, vol. ii., p. 106; Spotswood, p. 201.

² Keith, p. 375; Anderson, vol. ii., p. 93; Spotswood, p. 201.

framed; in which the subscribers, after relating the acquittal of Bothwell by a legal trial, and mentioning a further offer which he had made to prove his innocence by single combat, oblige themselves, in case any person should afterwards impute to him the king's murder, to defend him with their whole power against such calumniators. After this promise, which implied no great assurance in Bothwell of his own innocence, the subscribers mentioned the necessity of their queen's marriage, in order to support the government; and they recommended Bothwell to her as a husband (*Ibid.*, p. 381). This paper was (A.D. 1567, April 24) subscribed by all the considerable nobility there present. In a country divided by violent factions, such a concurrence in favour of one nobleman, nowise distinguished above the rest, except by his flagitious conduct, could never have been obtained, had not every one been certain—at least firmly persuaded—that Mary was fully determined on this measure.¹ Nor would such a motive have sufficed to influence men, commonly so stubborn and intractable, had they not been taken by surprise, been ignorant of each other's sentiments, and overawed by the present power of the court, and by the apprehensions of further violence, from persons so little governed by any principles of honour and humanity. Even with all these circumstances, the subscription to this paper may justly be regarded as a reproach to the nation.

The subsequent measures of Bothwell were equally precipitate and audacious. Mary having gone to Stirling to pay a visit to her son, he assembled a body of 800 horse, on pretence of pursuing some robbers on the borders, and having waylaid her on her return he (A.D. 1567, April 24) seized her person near Edinburgh, and carried her to Dunbar, with an avowed design of forcing her to yield to his purpose. Sir James Melvil, one of her retinue, was carried along with her, and says not that he saw any signs of reluctance or constraint; he was even informed as he tells us, by Bothwell's officers, that the whole transaction was managed in concert with her (*Melvil*, p. 80). A woman, indeed, of that spirit and resolution, which is acknowledged to belong to Mary, does not usually, on these occasions, give such marks of opposition to real violence, as can appear anywhere doubtful or ambiguous. Some of the nobility, however, in order to put matters to further trial, sent her a private message; in which they told her that if, in reality, she lay under force, they would use all their efforts to rescue her. Her answer was, that she had indeed been carried to Dunbar by violence, but ever since her arrival had been so well treated, that she willingly remained with Bothwell (*Spotswood*, p. 202). No one gave himself thenceforth any concern to relieve her from a captivity, which was believed to proceed entirely from her own approbation and connivance.

This unusual conduct was at first ascribed to Mary's sense of the infamy attending her purposed marriage; and her desire of finding some colour to gloss over the irregularity of her conduct. But a

¹ Mary herself confessed, in her instructions to the ambassadors whom she sent to France, that Bothwell persuaded all the noblemen that their application in favour of her marriage was agreeable to her. (*Keith*, p. 389; *Anderson*, vol. i., p. 94.) Murray afterwards produced to Queen Elizabeth's commissioners a paper signed by Mary, by which she permitted them to make this application to her. This permission was a sufficient declaration of her intentions, and was esteemed equivalent to a command. (*Anderson*, vol. iv., p. 59.) They even asserted that the house, in which they met, was surrounded with armed men. (*Goodall*, vol. ii., p. 141.)

pardon, given to Bothwell a few days after, made the public carry their conjectures somewhat farther. In this deed, Bothwell received a pardon for the violence committed on the queen's person; and for 'all other crimes:' a clause, by which the murder of the king was indirectly forgiven. The rape was then conjectured to have been only a contrivance, in order to afford a pretence for indirectly remitting a crime, of which it would have appeared scandalous to make openly any mention (Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 61).

These events passed with such rapidity, that men had no leisure to admire sufficiently one incident, when they were surprised with a new one, equally rare and uncommon. There still, however, remained one difficulty, which it was not easy to foresee how the queen and Bothwell, determined as they were to execute their shameful purpose, could find expedients to overcome. The man who had procured the subscription of the nobility, recommending him as a husband to the queen, and who had acted this seeming violence on her person, in order to force her consent, had been married two years before to another woman; to a woman of merit, of a noble family, sister to the Earl of Huntley. But persons blinded by passion, and infatuated with crimes, soon shake off all appearance of decency. A suit was commenced for a divorce between Bothwell and his wife; and this suit was opened at the same instant in two different, or rather opposite courts; in the court of the Archbishop of St. Andrews, which was popish, and governed itself by the canon law; and in the new consistorial or commissariat court, which was protestant, and was regulated by the principles of the reformed teachers. The plea, advanced in each court, was so calculated as to suit the principles which there prevailed: in the archbishop's court, the pretence of consanguinity was employed, because Bothwell was related to his wife in the fourth degree; in the commissariat court, the accusation of adultery was made use of against him. The parties too, who applied for the divorce, were different in the different courts: Bothwell was the person who sued in the former; his wife in the latter. And the suit in both courts was opened, pleaded, examined, and decided with the utmost precipitation; and a sentence of divorce was pronounced in four days (Anderson, vol. ii., p. 280).

The divorce being thus obtained, it was thought proper that Mary should be conducted to Edinburgh, and should there appear before the courts of judicature, and should acknowledge herself restored to entire freedom. This was understood to be contrived in a view of obviating all doubts with regard to the validity of her marriage. Orders were then given to publish in the church the banns between the Queen and the Duke of Orkney, for that was the title which he now bore; and Craig, a minister of Edinburgh, was applied to for that purpose. This clergyman, not content with having refused compliance, publicly in his sermons condemned the marriage, and exhorted all who had access to the queen to give her their advice against so scandalous an alliance. Being called before the council to answer for this liberty, he showed a courage which might cover all the nobles with shame, on account of their tameness and servility. He said that, by the rules of the Church, the Earl of Bothwell, being convicted of adultery, could not be permitted to marry; that the divorce between him and his

wife was plainly procured by collusion, as appeared by the precipitation of the sentence, and the sudden conclusion of his marriage with the queen; and that all the suspicions which prevailed with regard to the king's murder, and the queen's concurrence in the former rape, would thence receive undoubted confirmation. He therefore exhorted Bothwell, who was present, no longer to persevere in his present criminal enterprises; and, turning his discourse to the other councillors, he charged them to employ all their influence with the queen, in order to divert her from a measure which would load her with eternal infamy and dishonour. Not satisfied even with this admonition, he took the first opportunity of informing the public, from the pulpit, of the whole transaction, and expressed to them his fears, that, notwithstanding all remonstrances, their sovereign was still obstinately bent on her fatal purpose. 'For himself,' he said, 'he had already discharged his conscience, and yet again would take heaven and earth to witness, that he abhorred and detested that marriage, as scandalous and hateful in the sight of mankind; but since the great, as he perceived, either by their flattery or silence, gave countenance to the measure, he besought the faithful to pray fervently to the Almighty, that a resolution, taken contrary to all law, reason, and good conscience, might, by the Divine blessing, be turned to the comfort and benefit of the Church and kingdom.' These speeches offended the court extremely; and Craig was anew summoned before the council, to answer for his temerity, in thus passing the bounds of his commission. But he told them that the bounds of his commission were the word of God, good laws, and natural reason; and were the queen's marriage tried by any of these standards, it would appear infamous and dishonourable, and would be so esteemed by the whole world. The council were so overawed by this heroic behaviour in a private clergyman, that they dismissed him without farther censure or punishment (Spotswood, p. 203; Anderson, vol. ii., p. 280).

But though this transaction might have recalled Bothwell and the Queen of Scots from their infatuation, and might have instructed them in the dispositions of the people, as well as in their own inability to oppose them; they were still resolute to rush forward to their own manifest destruction. The marriage was (May 15, 1567) solemnised by the Bishop of Orkney, a Protestant, who was afterwards deposed by the Church for this scandalous compliance. Few of the nobility appeared at the ceremony; they had, most of them, either from shame or fear, retired to their own houses. The French ambassador, Le Croc, an aged gentleman of honour and character, could not be prevailed on, though a dependant of the house of Guise, to countenance the marriage by his presence (Spotswood, p. 203; Melvil, p. 82). Elizabeth remonstrated, by friendly letters and messages, against the marriage (Keith, p. 392; Digges, p. 14); the court of France made the like opposition; but Mary, though on all other occasions she was extremely obsequious to the advice of her relations in that country, was here determined to pay no regard to their opinion.

The news of these transactions, being carried to foreign countries, filled Europe with amazement, and threw infamy, not only on the principal actors in them, but also on the whole nation, who seemed, by

their submission and silence, and even by their declared approbation, to give their sanction to these scandalous practices.¹ The Scots who resided abroad met with such reproaches that they durst nowhere appear in public; and they earnestly exhorted their countrymen at home to free them from the public odium by bringing to condign punishment the authors of such atrocious crimes. This intelligence, with a little more leisure for reflection, roused men from their lethargy; and the rumours which, from the very beginning (Crawford, p. 11; Keith, Pref. p. 9), had been spread against Mary, as if she had concurred in the king's murder, seemed now, by the subsequent transactions, to have received strong confirmation and authority. It was everywhere said that even though no particular and direct proofs had as yet been produced of the queen's guilt, the whole tenor of her late conduct was sufficient, not only to beget suspicion, but to produce entire conviction against her; that her sudden resolution of being reconciled to her husband, whom before she had long and justly hated; her bringing him to court, from which she had banished him by neglects and rigours; her fitting up separate apartments for him, were all of them circumstances which, though trivial in themselves, yet, being compared with the subsequent events, bore a very unfavourable aspect for her: that the least which, after the king's murder, might have been expected in her situation, was a more than usual caution in her measures, and an extreme anxiety to punish the real assassins, in order to free herself from all reproach and suspicion; that no woman, who had any regard for her character, would allow a man, publicly accused of her husband's murder, so much as to approach her presence, far less give him a share in her counsels, and endow him with favour and authority; that an acquittal, merely in the absence of accusers, was very ill-fitted to satisfy the public, especially if that absence proceeded from a designed precipitation of the sentence, and from the terror which her known friendship for the criminal had infused into every one; that the very mention of her marriage to such a person, in such circumstances, was horrible; and the contrivances of extorting a consent from the nobility, and of concerting a rape, were gross artifices, more proper to discover her guilt than prove her innocence; that where a woman thus shows a consciousness of merited reproach, and, instead of correcting, provides only thin glosses to cover her exceptionable conduct, she betrays a neglect of fame, which must either be the effect or the cause of the most shameful enormities; that to espouse a man who had, a few days before, been so scandalously divorced from his wife, who, to say the least, was believed to have, a few months before, assassinated her husband, was so contrary to the plainest rules of behaviour that no pretence of indiscretion or imprudence could account for such a conduct; that a woman who, so soon after her husband's death, though not attended with any extraordinary circumstances, contracts a marriage, which might, in itself, be the most blameless, cannot escape severe censure; but one who overlooks, for her pleasure, so many other weighty considerations, was equally capable, in gratifying her appetites, to neglect every regard to honour and to humanity; that Mary was not ignorant of the prevailing opinion of the

¹ Melvil, p. 82; Keith, p. 402; Anderson, vol. i., pp. 128, 134.

public with regard to her own guilt, and of the inferences which would everywhere be drawn from her conduct; and therefore, if she still continued to pursue measures which gave such just offence, she ratified, by her actions, as much as she could by the most formal confession, all the surmises and imputations of her enemies; that a prince was here murdered in the face of the world; Bothwell alone was suspected and accused; if he were innocent, nothing could absolve him, either in Mary's eyes or those of the public, but the detection and conviction of the real assassin; yet no inquiry was made to that purpose, though a parliament had been assembled; the sovereign and wife was here plainly silent from guilt, the people from terror; that the only circumstance which opposed these presumptions, or rather proofs, was the benignity and goodness of her preceding behaviour, which seemed to remove her from all suspicions of such atrocious inhumanity; but that the characters of men were extremely variable, and persons guilty of the worst actions were not always naturally of the worst and most criminal dispositions; that a woman who, in a critical and dangerous moment, had sacrificed her honour to a man of abandoned principles, might thenceforth be led blindfold by him to the commission of the most enormous crimes, and was in reality no longer at her own disposal; and that, though one supposition was still left to alleviate her blame, namely, that Bothwell, presuming on her affection towards him, had of himself committed the crime, and had never communicated it to her, yet such a sudden and passionate love to a man whom she had long known, could not easily be accounted for without supposing some degree of preceding guilt; and as it appeared that she was not afterwards restrained, either by shame or prudence, from incurring the highest reproach and danger, it was not likely that a sense of duty or humanity would have a more powerful influence over her.

These were the sentiments which prevailed throughout Scotland; and as the protestant teachers, who had great authority, had long borne an animosity to Mary, the opinion of her guilt was by that means the more widely diffused, and made the deeper impression on the people. Some attempts made by Bothwell, and, as is pretended, with her consent, to get the young prince into his power, excited the most serious attention; and the principal nobility, even many of those who had formerly been constrained to sign the application in favour of Bothwell's marriage, met at Stirling, and formed an association for protecting the prince and punishing the king's murderers (Keith, p. 394). The Earl of Athole, himself a known catholic, was the first author of this confederacy. The Earls of Argyle, Morton, Mar, Glencairn, the Lords Boyd, Lindesey, Hume, Semple, Kirkaldy of Grange, Tullibardine, and Secretary Lidington, entered zealously into it. The Earl of Murray, foreseeing such turbulent times, and being desirous to keep free of these dangerous factions, had, some time before, desired and obtained Mary's permission to retire into France.

Lord Hume was first in arms, and leading a body of eight hundred horse, suddenly environed the Queen of Scots and Bothwell, in the castle of Borthwick. They found means of making their escape to Dunbar, while the confederate lords were assembling their troops at Edinburgh, and taking measures to effect their purpose. Had Bothwell been so

prudent as to keep within the fortress of Dunbar, his enemies must have dispersed for want of pay and subsistence; but hearing that the associated lords were fallen into distress, he was so rash as to take the field and advance (June 15) towards them. The armies met at Carberry Hill, about six miles from Edinburgh, and Mary soon became sensible that her own troops disapproved of her cause, and were averse to spill their blood in the quarrel (Keith, p. 402; Spotswood, p. 207). After some bravadoes of Bothwell, where he discovered very little courage, she saw no resource but that of holding a conference with Kirkaldy of Grange, and of putting herself upon some general promises into the hands of the confederates. She was conducted to Edinburgh amidst the insults of the populace, who reproached her with her crimes, and even held before her eyes, which way soever she turned, a banner, on which were painted the murder of her husband, and the distress of her infant son (Melvil, pp. 83, 84). Mary, overwhelmed with her calamities, had recourse to tears and lamentations. Meanwhile Bothwell, during her conference with Grange, fled unattended to Dunbar; and, fitting out a few small ships, set sail for the Orkneys, where he subsisted during some time by piracy. He was pursued thither by Grange, and his ship was taken with several of his servants, who afterwards discovered all the circumstances of the king's murder, and were punished for the crime (Anderson, vol. ii., pp. 165, 166, etc.). Bothwell himself escaped in a boat, and found means to get a passage to Denmark, where he was thrown into prison, lost his senses, and died miserably about ten years after: an end worthy of his flagitious conduct and behaviour.

The Queen of Scots, now in the hands of an enraged faction, met with such treatment as a sovereign may naturally expect from subjects who have their future security to provide for, as well as their present animosity to gratify. It is pretended that she behaved with a spirit very little suitable to her condition, avowed her inviolable attachment to Bothwell (Keith, p. 419), and even wrote him a letter, which the lords intercepted, where she declared that she would endure any extremity, nay resign her dignity and crown itself, rather than relinquish his affections.¹ The malcontents, finding the danger to which they were exposed, in case Mary should finally prevail, thought themselves obliged to proceed with rigour against her; and they sent her next day under a guard to the castle of Lochleven, situated in a lake of that name. The mistress of the house was mother to the Earl of Murray, and, as she pretended to have been lawfully married to the late king of Scots, she naturally bore an animosity to Mary, and treated her with the utmost harshness and severity.

Elizabeth, who was fully informed of all those incidents, seemed touched with compassion towards the unfortunate queen; and all her fears and jealousies being now laid asleep, by the consideration of that ruin and infamy in which Mary's conduct had involved her, she began to reflect on the instability of human affairs, the precarious state of royal grandeur, the danger of encouraging rebellious subjects; and she

¹ Melvil, p. 84. The reality of this letter appears somewhat disputable; chiefly because Murray and his associates never mentioned it in their accusation of her before Queen Elizabeth's commissioners.

resolved to employ her authority for alleviating the calamities of her unhappy kinswoman. She sent Sir Nicholas Throgmorton, ambassador to Scotland, in order to remonstrate both with Mary and the associated lords; and she gave him instructions, which, though mixed with some lofty pretensions, were full of that good sense which was so natural to her, and of that generosity which the present interesting conjuncture had called forth. She empowered him to declare in her name to Mary, that the late conduct of that princess, so enormous, and in every respect so unjustifiable, had given her the highest offence; and, though she felt the movements of pity towards her, she had once determined never to interpose in her affairs, either by advice or assistance, but to abandon her entirely, as a person whose condition was totally desperate, and honour irretrievable. That she was well assured that other foreign princes, Mary's near relations, had embraced the same resolution; but for her part, the late events had touched her heart with more tender sympathy, and had made her adopt measures more favourable to the liberty and interests of the unhappy queen: that she was determined not to see her oppressed by her rebellious subjects, but would employ all her good offices, and even her power, to redeem her from captivity, and place her in such a condition as would at once be compatible with her dignity and the safety of her subjects: that she conjured her to lay aside all thoughts of revenge, except against the murderers of her husband; and as she herself was his near relation, she was better entitled than the subjects of Mary to interpose her authority on that head, and she therefore besought that princess, if she had any regard to her own honour and safety, not to oppose so just and reasonable a demand: that after those two points were provided for, her own liberty, and the punishment of her husband's assassins, the safety of her infant son was next to be considered; and there seemed no expedient more proper for that purpose, than sending him to be educated in England: and that besides the security which would attend his removal from a scene of faction and convulsions, there were many other beneficial consequences, which it was easy to foresee as the result of his education in that country (Keith, pp. 411, 412, etc.).

The remonstrances which Throgmorton was instructed to make to the associated lords were entirely conformable to these sentiments, which Elizabeth entertained in Mary's favour. She empowered him to tell them, that whatever blame she might throw on Mary's conduct, any opposition to their sovereign was totally unjustifiable, and incompatible with all order and good government: that it belonged not to them to reform, much less to punish, the mal-administration of their prince; and the only arms which subjects could in any case lawfully employ against the supreme authority were entreaties, counsels, and representations: that if these expedients failed, they were next to appeal by their prayers to heaven, and to wait with patience till the Almighty, in whose hands are the hearts of princes, should be pleased to turn them to justice and to mercy: that she inculcated not this doctrine, because she herself was interested in its observance; but because it was universally received in all well governed states, and was essential to the preservation of civil society: that she required them to restore their queen to liberty; and promised, in that case, to concur with

them in all proper expedients for regulating the government, for punishing the king's murderers, and for guarding the life and liberty of the infant prince: and that if the services which she had lately rendered the Scottish nation, in protecting them from foreign usurpation, were duly considered by them, they would repose confidence in her good offices, and would esteem themselves blameworthy, in having hitherto made no application to her (Keith, pp. 414, 415, 429).

Elizabeth, besides these remonstrances, sent, by Throgmorton, some articles of accommodation, which he was to propose to both parties, as expedients for the settlement of public affairs; and though these articles contained some important restraints on the sovereign power, they were in the main calculated for Mary's advantage, and were sufficiently indulgent to her (Ibid., p. 416). The associated lords, who determined to proceed with greater severity, were apprehensive of Elizabeth's partiality; and being sensible that Mary would take courage from the protection of that powerful princess (Ibid., p. 427), they thought proper, after several affected delays, to refuse the English ambassador all access to her. There were four different schemes proposed in Scotland, for the treatment of the captive queen; one, that she should be restored to her authority under very strict limitations: the second, that she should be obliged to resign her crown to the prince, be banished the kingdom, and be confined either to France or England; with assurances from the sovereign, in whose dominions she should reside, that she should make no attempts to the disturbance of the established government: the third, that she should be publicly tried for her crimes, of which her enemies pretended to have undoubted proof, and be sentenced to perpetual imprisonment: the fourth was still more severe, and required, that, after her trial and condemnation, capital punishment should be inflicted upon her (Ibid., p. 420). Throgmorton supported the mildest proposal; but though he promised his mistress's guarantee for the performance of articles, threatened the ruling party with immediate vengeance in case of refusal (Keith, p. 428), and warned them not to draw on themselves, by their violence, the public reproach, which now lay upon their queen; he found that, excepting Secretary Lidington, he had not the good fortune to convince any of the leaders. All counsels seemed to tend towards the more severe expedients; and the preachers, in particular, drawing their examples from the rigorous maxims of the Old Testament, which can only be warranted by particular revelations, inflamed the minds of the people against their unhappy sovereign (Ibid., pp. 422, 426).

There were several pretenders to the regency of the young prince, after the intended deposition of Mary. The Earl of Lennox claimed that authority as grandfather to the prince; the Duke of Chatelrault, who was absent in France, had pretensions as next heir to the crown; but the greatest number of the associated lords inclined to the Earl of Murray, in whose capacity they had entire trust, and who possessed the confidence of the preachers and more zealous reformers. All measures being therefore concerted, three instruments were sent to Mary, by the hands of Lord Lindesey and Sir Robert Melvil, by one of which she was to resign the crown in favour of her son, by another to appoint Murray regent, by the third to name a council, which should

administer the government till his arrival in Scotland. The Queen of Scots, seeing no prospect of relief, lying justly under apprehensions for her life, and believing that no deed, which she executed during her captivity, could be valid, was prevailed on, after a plentiful effusion of tears, to sign these three instruments; and she took not the trouble of inspecting any one of them.¹ In consequence of this forced resignation, the young prince was proclaimed king, by the name of James VI. He was soon after crowned at Stirling, and the Earl of Morton (July 29, A.D. 1567) took in his name the coronation oath; in which a promise to extirpate heresy was not forgotten. Some republican pretensions, in favour of the people's power, were countenanced in this ceremony (Keith, pp. 439, 440); and a coin was soon after struck, on which the famous saying of Trajan was inscribed, 'Pro me; si merear, in me;' for me; if I deserve it, against me (Ibid., p. 440; Append., p. 150). Throgmorton had orders from his mistress not to assist at the coronation of the King of Scots (Ibid., p. 430.)

The council of regency had not long occasion to exercise their authority. The Earl of Murray arrived from France, and took possession of his high office. He paid a visit to the captive queen; and spoke to her in a manner which better suited her past conduct than her present condition. This harsh treatment quite extinguished in her breast any remains of affection towards him (Melvil, p. 87; Keith, p. 445). Murray proceeded afterwards to break, in a more public manner, all terms of decency with her. He summoned (Dec. 15) a parliament; and that assembly, after voting that she was undoubtedly an accomplice in her husband's murder, condemned her to imprisonment, ratified her demission of the crown, and acknowledged her son for king, and Murray for regent. (Anderson, vol. ii., p. 206, et seq.) The regent, a man of vigour and abilities, employed himself successfully in reducing the kingdom. He bribed Sir James Balfour to surrender the Castle of Edinburgh; he constrained the garrison of Dunbar to open their gates; and he demolished that fortress.

But though everything thus bore a favourable aspect to the new government, and all men seemed to acquiesce in Murray's authority; a violent revolution, however necessary, can never be effected without great discontents; and it was not likely that, in a country where the government, in its most settled state, possessed a very disjointed authority, a new establishment should meet with no interruption or disturbance. Few considerable men of the nation seemed willing to support Mary, so long as Bothwell was present; but the removal of that obnoxious nobleman had altered the sentiments of many. The Duke of Chatelrault, being disappointed of the regency, bore no goodwill to Murray; and the same sentiments were embraced by all his numerous retainers; several of the nobility, finding that others had taken the lead among the associators, formed a faction apart, and opposed the prevailing power; and besides their being moved by some remains of duty and affection towards Mary, the malcontent lords, observing everything carried to extremity against her, were naturally led to embrace her cause, and shelter themselves under her authority. All who retained any propensity to the catholic religion were induced

¹ Melvil, p. 85; Spotswood, p. 211; Anderson, vol. iii., p. 19.

to join this party; and even the people in general, though they had formerly either detested Mary's crimes, or blamed her imprudence, were now inclined to compassionate her present situation, and lamented that a person, possessed of so many amiable accomplishments, joined to such high dignity, should be treated with such extreme severity (Buchanan, lib. xviii., c. 53). Animated by all these motives, many of the principal nobility, now adherents to the Queen of Scots, met at Hamilton, and concerted measures for supporting the cause of that afflicted princess.

While these humours were in fermentation, Mary was employed in contrivances for effecting her escape; and she engaged by her charms and caresses a young gentleman, George Douglas, brother to the Laird of Lochleven, to assist her in that enterprise. She even went so far as to give him hopes of espousing her, after her marriage with Bothwell should be dissolved on the plea of force; and she proposed this expedient to the regent, who rejected it. Douglas, however, persevered in his endeavours to free her from captivity; and having all opportunities of access to the house, he was (A.D. 1568, May 21) at last successful in the undertaking. He conveyed her in disguise into a small boat, and himself rowed her ashore. She hastened to Hamilton; and the news of her arrival in that place being immediately spread abroad, many of the nobility flocked to her with their forces. A bond of association for her defence was signed by the Earls of Argyle, Huntly, Eglinton, Crawford, Cassilis, Rothes, Montrose, Sutherland, Erroll, nine bishops, and nine barons, besides many of the most considerable gentry (Keith, p. 475). And in a few days an army, to the number of 6000 men, were assembled under her standard.

Elizabeth was no sooner informed of Mary's escape, than she discovered her resolution of persevering in the same generous and friendly measures which she had hitherto pursued. If she had not employed force against the regent during the imprisonment of that princess, she had been chiefly withheld by the fear of pushing him to greater extremities against her (*Ibid.*, p. 463; Bala, p. 141); but she had proposed to the court of France an expedient, which, though less violent, would have been no less effectual for her service: she desired that France and England should by concert cut off all commerce with the Scots till they should do justice to their injured sovereign (Keith, p. 462). She now despatched Leighton into Scotland to offer both her good offices and the assistance of her forces to Mary; but as she apprehended the entrance of French troops into the kingdom, she desired that the controversy between the Queen of Scots and her subjects might by that princess be referred entirely to her arbitration, and that no foreign succours should be introduced into Scotland (Keith, p. 473, note; Anderson, vol. iv., p. 26).

But Elizabeth had not leisure to exert fully her efforts in favour of Mary. The regent made haste to assemble forces; and, notwithstanding that his army was inferior in number to that of the Queen of Scots, he took the field against her. A battle was (May 15) fought at Langside near Glasgow, which was entirely decisive in favour of the regent; and though Murray, after his victory, stopped the bloodshed, yet was the action followed by a total dispersion of the queen's party. That unhappy princess fled southwards from the field of battle with

great precipitation, and came, with a few attendants, to the borders of England. She here deliberated concerning her next measures, which would probably prove so important to her future happiness or misery. She found it impossible to remain in her own kingdom; she had an aversion in her present wretched condition to return into France, where she had formerly appeared with so much splendour; and she was not, besides, provided with a vessel which could safely convey her thither; the late generous behaviour of Elizabeth made her hope for protection, and even assistance, from that quarter (Jebb's Collect., vol. i., p. 420); and as the present fears from her domestic enemies were the most urgent, she overlooked all other considerations, and embraced the resolution of taking shelter in England. She embarked on board a fishing-boat at Dundremon, in Galloway, and landed the same day at Workington, in Cumberland, about thirty miles from Carlisle; whence she immediately despatched a messenger to London, notifying her arrival, desiring leave to visit Elizabeth, and craving her protection, in consequence of former professions of friendship made her by that princess.

Elizabeth now found herself in a situation when it was become necessary to take some decisive resolution with regard to her treatment of the Queen of Scots; and as she had hitherto, contrary to the opinion of Cecil, attended more to the motives of generosity than of policy (Cabala, p. 140), she was engaged by that prudent minister to weigh anew all the considerations which occurred in this critical conjuncture. He represented that the party which had dethroned Mary, and had at present assumed the government of Scotland, was always attached to the English alliance, and was engaged, by all the motives of religion and of interest, to persevere in their connection with Elizabeth; that though Murray and his friends might complain of some unkind usage during their banishment in England, they would easily forget these grounds of quarrel when they reflected that Elizabeth was the only ally on whom they could safely rely, and that their own queen, by her attachment to the catholic faith, and by her other connections, excluded them entirely from the friendship of France, and even from that of Spain; that Mary, on the other hand, even before her violent breach with her protestant subjects, was in secret entirely governed by the counsels of the house of Guise; much more would she implicitly comply with their views, when, by her own ill conduct, the power of that family and of the zealous catholics was become her sole resource and security; that her pretensions to the English crown would render her a dangerous instrument in their hands; and were she once able to suppress the protestants in her own kingdom, she would unite the Scottish and English catholics, with those of all foreign states, in a confederacy against the religion and government of England; that it behoved Elizabeth, therefore, to proceed with caution in the design of restoring her rival to the throne; and to take care, both that this enterprise, if undertaken, should be effected by English forces alone, and that full securities should beforehand be provided for the reformers and the reformation in Scotland; that, above all, it was necessary to guard carefully the person of that princess, lest, finding this unexpected reserve in the English friendship, she should suddenly take the resolution of flying into France, and should attempt by a foreign force to

recover possession of her authority; that her desperate fortunes and broken reputation fitted her for any attempt; and her resentment, when she should find herself thus deserted by the queen, would concur with her ambition and her bigotry, and render her an unrelenting, as well as powerful enemy to the English government; that if she were once abroad in the hands of enterprising catholics, the attack on England would appear to her as easy as that on Scotland; and the only method, she must imagine, of recovering her native kingdom, would be to acquire that crown, to which she would deem herself equally entitled; that a neutrality in such interesting situations, though it might be pretended, could never, without the most extreme danger, be upheld by the queen; and the detention of Mary was equally requisite, whether the power of England were to be employed in her favour, or against her; that nothing, indeed, was more becoming a great prince than generosity; yet the suggestions of this noble principle could never, without imprudence, be consulted in such delicate circumstances as those in which the queen was at present placed, where her own safety and the interests of her people were intimately concerned in every resolution which she embraced; that, though the example of successful rebellion, especially in a neighbouring country, could nowise be agreeable to any sovereign, yet Mary's imprudence had been so great, perhaps her crimes so enormous, that the insurrection of subjects, after such provocation, could no longer be regarded as a precedent against other princes; that it was first necessary for Elizabeth to ascertain, in a regular and satisfactory manner, the extent of Mary's guilt, and thence to determine the degree of protection which she ought to afford her against her discontented subjects; that as no glory could surpass that of defending oppressed innocence, it was equally infamous to patronize vice and murder on the throne; and the contagion of such dishonour would extend itself to all who countenanced or supported it; and that, if the crimes of the Scottish princess should, on inquiry, appear as great and certain as was affirmed and believed, every measure against her, which policy should dictate, would thence be justified; or if she should be found innocent, every enterprise which friendship should inspire would be acknowledged laudable and glorious.

Agreeably to these views, Elizabeth resolved to proceed in a seemingly generous, but really cautious, manner with the Queen of Scots; and she immediately sent orders to Lady Scrope, sister to the Duke of Norfolk, a lady who lived in the neighbourhood, to attend on that princess. Soon after, she dispatched to her Lord Scrope himself, warden of the marches, and Sir Francis Knolles, vice chamberlain. They found Mary already lodged in the castle of Carlisle; and after expressing the queen's sympathy with her in her late misfortunes, they told her, that her request of being allowed to visit their sovereign, and of being admitted to her presence, could not at present be complied with; till she had cleared herself of her husband's murder, of which she was so strongly accused, Elizabeth could not without dishonour show her any countenance, or appear indifferent to the assassination of so near a kinsman (Anderson, vol. iv., pp. 54, 66, 82, 83, 86). So unexpected a check threw Mary into tears, and the necessity of her situation extorted from her a declaration, that she would willingly justify

herself to her sister from all imputations, and would submit her cause to the arbitration of so good a friend (*Ibid.*, pp. 10, 55, 87). Two days after she sent Lord Herries to London with a letter to the same purpose.

This concession, which Mary could scarcely avoid, without an acknowledgment of guilt, was the point expected and desired by Elizabeth; she immediately dispatched Midlemore to the regent of Scotland, requiring him both to desist from the further prosecution of his queen's party, and send some persons to London to justify his conduct with regard to her. Murray might justly be startled at receiving a message so violent and imperious; but as his domestic enemies were numerous and powerful, and England was the sole ally which he could expect among foreign nations, he was resolved rather to digest the affront, than provoke Elizabeth by a refusal. He also considered, that though that queen had hitherto appeared partial to Mary, many political motives evidently engaged her to support the king's cause in Scotland; and it was not to be doubted but so penetrating a princess would in the end discover this interest, and would at least afford him a patient and equitable hearing. He therefore replied, that he would himself take a journey to England, attended by other commissioners; and would willingly submit the determination of his cause to Elizabeth (*Ibid.*, pp. 13-16).

Lord Herries now perceived that his mistress had advanced too far in her concessions; he endeavoured to maintain, that Mary could not, without diminution of her royal dignity, submit to a contest with her rebellious subjects before a foreign prince; and he required either present aid from England, or liberty for his queen to pass over into France. Being pressed, however, with the former agreement before the English council, he again renewed his consent; but in a few days he began anew to recoil; and it was with some difficulty that he was brought to acquiesce in the first determination (*Anderson*, pp. 16-20). These fluctuations, which were incessantly renewed, showed his visible reluctance to the measures pursued by the court of England.

The Queen of Scots discovered no less aversion to the trial proposed, and it required all the artifice and prudence of Elizabeth to make her persevere in the agreement, to which she had at first consented. This latter princess still said to her, that she desired not, without Mary's consent and approbation, to enter into the question, and pretended only, as a friend, to hear her justification; that she was confident there would be found no difficulty in refuting all the calumnies of her enemies; and even if her apology should fall short of full conviction, Elizabeth was determined to support her cause, and procure her some reasonable terms of accommodation; and that it was never meant, that she should be cited to a trial on the accusation of her rebellious subjects; but, on the contrary, that they should be summoned to appear, and to justify themselves for their conduct towards her (*Ibid.*, pp. 11, 12, 13, 109, 110). Allured by these plausible professions, the Queen of Scots agreed to vindicate herself by her own commissioners, before commissioners appointed by Elizabeth.

During these transactions, Lord Scrope and Sir Francis Knolles, who resided with Mary at Carlisle, had leisure to study her character, and make report of it to Elizabeth. Unbroken by her misfortunes, resolute in her purpose, active in her enterprises, she aspired to nothing

but victory; and was determined to endure any extremity, to undergo any difficulty, and to try every fortune, rather than abandon her cause, or yield the superiority to her enemies. Eloquent, insinuating, affable, she had already convinced all those who approached her of the innocence of her past conduct; and as she declared her fixed purpose to require aid of her friends all over Europe, and even to have recourse to infidels and barbarians, rather than fail of vengeance against her persecutors, it was easy to foresee the danger to which her charms, her spirit, her address, if allowed to operate with their full force, would expose them (Anderson, vol. iv., pp. 54, 71, 72, 74, 78, 92). The court of England, therefore, which under pretence of guarding her, had already, in effect, detained her prisoner, were determined to watch her with greater vigilance. As Carlisle, by its situation on the borders, afforded her great opportunities of contriving her escape, they removed her to Bolton, a seat of Lord Scrope's, in Yorkshire: and the issue of the controversy between her and the Scottish nation was regarded as a subject more momentous to Elizabeth's security and interests, than it had hitherto been apprehended.

The commissioners appointed by the English court, for the examination of this great cause, were the Duke of Norfolk, the Earl of Sussex, and Sir Ralph Sadler; and York was named as the place of conference. Lesley, Bishop of Ross, the Lords Herries, Levingstone, and Boyde, with three persons more, appeared (Oct. 4) as commissioners from the Queen of Scots. The Earl of Murray, regent, the Earl of Morton, the Bishop of Orkney, Lord Lindesey, and the Abbot of Dunfermline, were appointed commissioners from the king and kingdom of Scotland. Secretary Lidington, George Buchanan, the famous poet and historian, with some others, were named as their assistants.

It was a great circumstance in Elizabeth's glory, that she was thus chosen umpire between the factions of a neighbouring kingdom, which had, during many centuries, entertained the most violent jealousy and animosity against England; and her felicity was equally rare, in having the fortunes and fame of so dangerous a rival, who had long given her the greatest inquietude, now entirely at her disposal. Some circumstances of her late conduct had discovered a bias towards the side of Mary; her prevailing interests led her to favour the enemies of that princess; the professions of impartiality which she had made were open and frequent; and she had so far succeeded, that each side accused her commissioners of partiality towards their adversaries (Anderson, vol. iv., part 2., p. 40). She herself appears, by the instructions given them, to have fixed no plan for the decision; but she knew that the advantages which she should reap must be great, whatever issue the cause might take. If Mary's crimes could be ascertained by undoubted proof, she could for ever blast the reputation of that princess, and might justifiably detain her for ever a prisoner in England; if the evidence fell short of conviction, it was intended to restore her to the throne, but with such strict limitations as would leave Elizabeth perpetual arbiter of all differences between the parties in Scotland, and render her in effect absolute mistress of the kingdom (*Ibid.*, 14, 15, etc.; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 110).

Mary's commissioners, before they gave in their complaints against

her enemies in Scotland, entered a protest, that their appearance in the cause should nowise affect the independence of her crown, or be construed as a mark of subordination to England: the English commissioners received this protest, but with a reserve to the claim of England. The complaint of that princess was next read, and contained a detail of the injuries which she had suffered since her marriage with Bothwell. That her subjects had taken arms against her, on pretence of freeing her from captivity; that when she put herself into their hands, they had committed her to close custody in Lochleven; had placed her son, an infant, on the throne; had again taken arms against her after her deliverance from prison, had rejected all her proposals for accommodation; had given battle to her troops; and had obliged her, for the safety of her person, to take shelter in England.¹ The Earl of Murray, in answer to this complaint, gave a summary and imperfect account of the late transactions; that the Earl of Bothwell, the known murderer of the late king, had, a little after committing that crime, seized the person of the queen, and led her to Dunbar; that he acquired such influence over her as to gain her consent to marry him, and he had accordingly procured a divorce from his former wife, and had pretended to celebrate his nuptials with the queen; that the scandal of this transaction, the dishonour which it brought on the nation, the danger to which the infant prince was exposed from the attempts of that audacious man, had obliged the nobility to take arms and oppose his criminal enterprises; that after Mary, in order to save him, had thrown herself into their hands, she still discovered such a violent attachment to him, that they found it necessary, for their own and the public safety, to confine her person, during a season, till Bothwell and the other murderers of her husband could be tried and punished for their crimes; and that, during this confinement, she had voluntarily, without compulsion or violence, merely from disgust at the inquietude and vexations attending power, resigned her crown to her only son, and had appointed the Earl of Murray regent during the minority (Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 64, et seq.; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 144). The queen's answer to this apology was obvious; that she did not know, and never could suspect, that Bothwell, who had been acquitted by a jury, and recommended to her by all the nobility for her husband, was the murderer of the king; that she ever was, and still continues desirous, that if he be guilty he may be brought to condign punishment; that her resignation of the crown was extorted from her by the well-grounded fears of her life, and even by direct menaces of violence; and that Throgmorton, the English ambassador, as well as others of her friends, had advised her to sign that paper, as the only means of saving herself from the last extremity, and had assured her that a consent, given under these circumstances, could never have any validity (Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 60, et seq.; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 162).

So far the Queen of Scots seemed plainly to have the advantage in the contest; and the English commissioners might have been surprised that Murray had made so weak a defence, and had suppressed all the material imputations against that princess, on which his party had ever so strenuously insisted; had not some private conferences previously

¹ Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 52; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 128; Haynes, p. 478.

informed them of the secret. Mary's commissioners had boasted that Elizabeth, from regard to her kinswoman, and from her desire of maintaining the rights of sovereigns, was determined, how criminal soever the conduct of that princess might appear, to restore her to the throne (Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 45; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 127); and Murray, reflecting on some past measures of the English court, began to apprehend that there were but too just grounds for these expectations. He believed that Mary, if he would agree to conceal the most violent part of the accusation against her, would submit to any reasonable terms of accommodation; but if he once proceeded so far as to charge her with the whole of her guilt, no composition could afterwards take place; and should she ever be restored, either by the power of Elizabeth, or the assistance of her other friends, he and his party must be exposed to her severe and implacable vengeance (Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, pp. 47, 48; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 159). He resolved, therefore, not to venture rashly on a measure which it would be impossible for him ever to recal; and he privately paid a visit to Norfolk and the other English commissioners, confessed his scruples, laid before them the evidence of the queen's guilt, and desired to have some security for Elizabeth's protection, in case that evidence should, upon examination, appear entirely satisfactory. Norfolk was not secretly displeased with these scruples of the regent (Crawford, p. 92; Melville, pp. 94, 95; Haynes, p. 574). He had ever been a partisan of the Queen of Scots: Secretary Lidington, who began also to incline to that party, and was a man of singular address and capacity, had engaged him to embrace further views in her favour, and even to think of espousing her: and though that duke confessed (Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 77), that the proofs against Mary seemed to him unquestionable, he encouraged Murray in his present resolution, not to produce them publicly in the conferences before the English commissioners (Ibid., pp. 57, 77; State Trials, vol. i., p. 76).

Norfolk, however, was obliged to transmit to court the queries proposed by the regent. These queries consisted of four particulars: whether the English commissioners had authority from their sovereign to pronounce sentence against Mary, in case her guilt should be fully proved before them? Whether they would promise to exercise that authority, and proceed to an actual sentence? Whether the Queen of Scots, if she were found guilty, should be delivered into the hands of the regent, or, at least, be so secured in England, that she never should be able to disturb the tranquillity of Scotland? and, whether Elizabeth would also, in that case, promise to acknowledge the young king, and protect the regent in his authority (Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 55; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 130)?

Elizabeth, when these queries, with the other transactions, were laid before her, began to think that they pointed towards a conclusion more decisive and more advantageous than she had hitherto expected. She determined, therefore, to bring the matter into full light; and under pretext that the distance from her person retarded the proceedings of her commissioners, she ordered them to come to London, and there continue the conferences. On their appearance, she immediately joined in commission with them some of the most considerable of her council; Sir Nicholas Bacon, lord keeper, the Earls of Arundel and Leicester

Lord Clinton, admiral, and Sir William Cecil, secretary (Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 99). The Queen of Scots, who knew nothing of these secret motives, and who expected that fear or decency would still restrain Murray from proceeding to any violent accusation against her, expressed an entire satisfaction in this adjournment; and declared that the affair, being under the immediate inspection of Elizabeth, was now in the hands where she most desired to rest it (*Ibid.*, p. 95; Goodall, vol. ii., pp. 177, 179). The conferences were accordingly continued at Hampton Court, and Mary's commissioners, as before, made no scruple to be present at them.

The queen, meanwhile, gave a satisfactory answer to all Murray's demands, and declared, that though she wished and hoped from the present inquiry to be entirely convinced of Mary's innocence, yet if the event should prove contrary, and if that princess should appear guilty of her husband's murder, she should, for her own part, deem her ever after unworthy of a throne (Goodall, vol. ii., p. 199). The regent, encouraged by this declaration, opened more fully his charge against the Queen of Scots, and, after expressing his reluctance to proceed to that extremity, and protesting that nothing but the necessity of self-defence, which must not be abandoned for any delicacy, could have engaged him in such a measure, he proceeded to accuse her in plain terms of participation and consent in the assassination of the king.¹ The Earl of Lennox too appeared before the English commissioners; and imploring vengeance for the murder of his son, accused Mary as an accomplice with Bothwell in that enormity.²

When this charge was so unexpectedly given in, and copies of it were transmitted to the Bishop of Ross, Lord Herries, and the other commissioners of Mary, they absolutely refused to return an answer, and they grounded their silence on very extraordinary reasons; they had orders, they said, from their mistress, if anything were advanced that might touch her honour, not to make any defence, as she was a sovereign princess, and could not be subject to any tribunal; and they required that she should previously be admitted to Elizabeth's presence, to whom, and to whom alone, she was determined to justify her innocence.³ They forgot that the conferences were at first begun, and were still continued, with no other view than to clear her from the accusations of her enemies; that Elizabeth had ever pretended to enter into them only as her friend, by her own consent and approbation, not as assuming any jurisdiction over her; that this princess had, from the beginning, refused to admit her to her presence, till she should vindicate herself from the crimes imputed to her; that she had therefore discovered no new signs of partiality by her perseverance in that resolution; and that though she had granted an audience to the Earl of Murray and his colleagues, she had previously conferred the same honour on Mary's commissioners,⁴ and her conduct was so far entirely equal to both parties.⁵

¹ Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 115, and seq.; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 206.

² Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 123; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 208.

³ Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 125 and seq.; Goodall, vol. ii., pp. 184, 211, 217.

⁴ Lesly's *Negotiat.*, in Anderson, vol. iii., p. 25; Haynes, p. 487.

⁵ Mary's complaints of the queen's partiality in admitting Murray to a conference were a mere pretext, in order to break off the conference. She indeed employs that reason in her order for

As the commissioners of the Queen of Scots refused to give in any answer to Murray's charge, the necessary consequence seemed to be, that there could be no further proceedings in the conference. But though this silence might be interpreted as a presumption against her, it did not fully answer the purpose of those English ministers who were enemies to that princess. They still desired to have in their hands the proofs of her guilt; and, in order to draw them with decency from the regent, a judicious artifice was employed by Elizabeth. Murray was called before the English commissioners, and reproved by them, in the queen's name, for the atrocious imputations which he had the temerity to throw upon his sovereign; but though the Earl of Murray, they added, and the other commissioners, had so far forgotten the duty of allegiance to their prince, the queen never would overlook what she owed to her friend, her neighbour, and her kinswoman, and she therefore desired to know what they could say in their own justification.¹ Murray, thus urged, made no difficulty in producing the proofs of his charge against the Queen of Scots, and among the rest some love-letters and sonnets of hers to Bothwell, written all in her own hand, and two other papers, one written in her own hand, another subscribed by her, and written by the Earl of Huntley, each of which contained a promise of marriage with Bothwell, made before the pretended trial and acquittal of that nobleman.

All these important papers had been kept by Bothwell in a silver box or casket, which had been given him by Mary, and which had belonged to her first husband, Francis; and though the princess had enjoined him to burn the letters as soon as he had read them, he had thought proper carefully to preserve them as pledges of her fidelity, and had committed them to the custody of Sir James Balfour, deputy-governor of the castle of Edinburgh. When that fortress was besieged by the associated lords, Bothwell sent a servant to receive the casket from the hands of the deputy-governor. Balfour delivered it to the messenger; but as he had at that time received some disgust from Bothwell, and was secretly negotiating an agreement with the ruling party, he took care, by conveying private intelligence to the Earl of Morton, to make the papers be intercepted by him. They contained incontestable proofs of Mary's criminal correspondence with Bothwell, of her consent to the king's murder, and of her concurrence in the violence which Bothwell pretended to commit upon her.² Murray fortified this evidence by some testimonies of correspondent facts,³ and he added, some time after, the dying confession of one Hubert, or French Paris, as he was called, a servant of Bothwell's, who had been executed for the king's murder, and who directly charged the queen with her being accessory to that criminal enterprise.⁴

that purpose (Goodall, vol. ii., p. 184), but in her private letter her commissioners are directed to make use of that order to present her honour from being attacked. Goodall, vol. ii., p. 182. It was therefore the accusation only she was afraid of. Murray was the least obnoxious of all her enemies. He was abroad when her subjects rebelled and reduced her to captivity. He had only accepted of the regency when voluntarily proffered him by the nation. His being admitted to Queen Elizabeth's presence was therefore a very bad foundation for a quarrel, or for breaking off the conference, and was plainly a mere pretence.

¹ Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 147; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 233.

² Anderson, vol. ii., p. 115; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 1.

³ Anderson, vol. ii., part 2, p. 165. etc; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 243.

Anderson, vol. ii., p. 192; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 76.

Mary's commissioners had used every expedient to ward this blow which they saw coming upon them, and against which it appears they were not provided with any proper defence. As soon as Murray opened his charge, they endeavoured to turn the conferences from an inquiry into a negotiation; and though informed by the English commissioners that nothing could be more dishonourable for their mistress than to enter into a treaty with such undutiful subjects, before she had justified herself from those enormous imputations which had been thrown upon her, they still insisted that Elizabeth should settle terms of accommodation between Mary and her enemies in Scotland.¹ They maintained that, till their mistress had given in her answer to Murray's charge, his proofs could neither be called for nor produced;² and finding that the English commissioners were still determined to proceed in the method which had been projected, they finally broke off the conferences, and never would make any reply. These papers, at least translations of them, have since been published. The objections made to their authenticity are, in general, of small force; but were they ever so specious, they cannot now be hearkened to, since Mary, at the time when the truth could have been fully cleared, did in effect ratify the evidence against her, by recoiling from the inquiry at the very critical moment, and refusing to give an answer to the accusation of her enemies.³

But Elizabeth, though she had seen enough for her own satisfaction,

¹ Anderson, vol. ii., part 2, pp. 135, 139; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 224.

² Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, pp. 139, 145; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 228.

³ We shall not enter into a long discussion concerning the authenticity of these letters. We shall only remark in general, that the chief objections against them are, that they are supposed to have passed through the Earl of Morton's hands, the least scrupulous of all Mary's enemies; and that they are, to the last degree, indecent, and even somewhat inelegant, such as it is not likely she would write. But to these presumptions we may oppose the following considerations. (1) Though it be not difficult to counterfeit a subscription, it is very difficult, and almost impossible, to counterfeit several pages, so as to resemble exactly the handwriting of any person. These letters were examined and compared with Mary's handwriting, by the English privy council, and by a great many of the nobility, among whom were several partisans of that princess. They might have been examined by the Bishop of Ross, Herries, and others of Mary's commissioners. The regent must have expected that they would be very critically examined by them; and had they not been able to stand that test, he was only preparing a scene of confusion to himself. Bishop Lesly expressly declines the comparing of hands, which he calls no legal proof. Goodall, vol. ii., p. 389. (2) The letters are very long, much longer than they needed to have been, in order to serve the purposes of Mary's enemies; a circumstance which increased the difficulty, and exposed any forgery the more to the risk of a detection. (3) They are not so gross and palpable as forgeries commonly are, for they still left a pretext for Mary's friends to assert that their meaning was strained to make them appear criminal. Goodall, vol. ii., p. 361. (4) There is a long contract of marriage, said to be written by the Earl of Huntly, and signed by the queen, before Bothwell's acquittal. Would Morton, without any necessity, have thus doubled the difficulties of the forgery, and the danger of detection? (5) The letters are indiscreet; but such was, apparently, Mary's conduct at that time. They are inelegant; but they have a careless, natural air, like letters hastily written between familiar friends. (6) They contain such a variety of particular circumstances, as nobody could have thought of inventing, especially as they must necessarily have afforded her many means of detection. (7) We have not the originals of the letters, which were in French. We have only a Scotch and Latin translation from the original, and a French translation professedly done from the Latin. Now, it is remarkable, that the Scotch translation is full of Gallicisms, and is clearly a translation from a French original. Such as 'make fault, faire de 'faute; make it seem that I believe, faire semblant de le croire; make brek, faire breche; this 'is my first journey, c'est ma premiere journée; have you not desire to laugh, n'avez vous pas 'envie de rire; the place will hold unto the death, la place tiendra jusqu' à la mort; he may 'not come forth of the house this long time, il ne peut pas sortir du logis de long tems; to make 'me advertisement, faire m'avertir; put order to it, mettre ordre cela; discharge your heart, 'decharger votre cœur; make gud watch, faites bonne garde,' etc. (8) There is a conversation which she mentions between herself and the king one evening, but Murray produced before

was determined that the most eminent persons of her court should also be acquainted with these transactions, and should be convinced of the equity of her proceedings. She ordered her privy-council to be

the English commissioners the testimony of one Crawford, a gentleman of the Earl of Lennox, who swore that the king, on her departure from him, gave him an account of the same conversation. (9) There seems very little reason why Murray and his associates should run the risk of such a dangerous forgery, which must have rendered them infamous, if detected; since their cause, from Mary's known conduct, even without these letters, was sufficiently good and justifiable. (10) Murray exposed these letters to the examination of persons qualified to judge of them; the Scotch council, the Scotch parliament, Queen Elizabeth and her council, who were possessed of a great number of Mary's genuine letters. (11) He gave Mary herself an opportunity of refuting and exposing him, if she had chosen to lay hold of it. (12) The letters tally so well with all the other parts of her conduct during that transaction, that these proofs throw the strongest light on each other. (13) The Duke of Norfolk, who had examined these papers, and who favoured so much the Queen of Scots that he intended to marry her, and in the end lost his life in her cause, yet believed them authentic, and was fully convinced of her guilt. This appears not only from his letters above mentioned, to Queen Elizabeth and her ministers, but by his secret acknowledgment to Banister, his most trusty confidant. *State Trials*, vol. i., p. 81. In the conference between the duke, Secretary Lidington, and the Bishop of Ross, all of them zealous partisans of that princess, the same thing is always taken for granted. *Ibid.*, pp. 74, 75. See farther MS. in the Advocates' Library, A. 3, 28, p. 314, from Cott. Lib., Calig., c. 9. Indeed, the duke's full persuasion of Mary's guilt, without the least doubt or hesitation, could not have had place, if he had found Lidington or the Bishop of Ross of a different opinion, or if they had ever told him that these letters were forged. It is to be remarked, that Lidington, being one of the accomplices, knew the whole bottom of the conspiracy against King Henry, and was, besides, a man of such penetration, that nothing could escape him in such interesting events. (14) I need not repeat the presumption drawn from Mary's refusal to answer. The only excuse for her silence is, that she suspected Elizabeth to be a partial judge. It was not, indeed, the interest of that princess to acquit and justify her rival and competitor; and we accordingly find that Lidington, from the secret information of the Duke of Norfolk, informed Mary, by the Bishop of Ross, that the Queen of England never meant to come to a decision, but only to get into her hands the proofs of Mary's guilt, in order to blast her character. *State Trials*, vol. i., p. 77. But this was a better reason for declining the conference altogether, than for breaking it off on frivolous pretences, the very moment the chief accusation was unexpectedly opened against her. Though she could not expect Elizabeth's final decision in her favour, it was of importance to give a satisfactory answer, if she had any, to the accusation of the Scotch commissioners. That answer could have been dispersed for the satisfaction of the public, of foreign nations, and of posterity. And surely, after the accusation and proofs were in Queen Elizabeth's hands, it could do no harm to give in the answers. Mary's information, that the queen never intended to come to a decision, could be no obstacle to her justification. (15) The very disappearance of these letters is a presumption of their authenticity. That event can be accounted for no way but from the care of King James's friends, who were desirous to destroy every proof of his mother's crimes. The disappearance of Morton's narrative, and of Crawford's evidence, from the Cotton Library, Calig., c. i., must have proceeded from a like cause. MS. in the Advocates' Library, A. 3, 29, p. 88.

I find an objection made to the authenticity of the letters drawn from the vote of the Scotch privy council, which affirms the letters to be written and subscribed by Queen Mary's own hand; whereas the copies given in to the parliament a few days after were only written, not subscribed. Goodall, vol. ii., pp. 64, 67. But it is not considered, that this circumstance is of no manner of force. There were certainly letters, true or false, laid before the council, and whether the letters were true or false, this mistake proceeds equally from the inaccuracy or blunder of the clerk. The mistake may be accounted for. The letters were only written by her. The second contract with Bothwell was only subscribed. A proper accurate distinction was not made, and they are all said to be written and subscribed. A late writer, Mr. Goodall, has endeavoured to prove that these letters clash with chronology, and that the queen was not in the places mentioned in the letters on the days there assigned. To confirm this, he produces charters and other deeds signed by the queen, where the date and place do not agree with the letters. But it is well known that the date of charters and such like grants is no proof of the real day on which they were signed by the sovereign. Papers of that kind commonly pass through the different offices. The date is affixed by the first office, and may precede very long the day of the signature.

The account given by Morton, of the manner in which the papers came into his hands, is very natural. When he gave it to the English commissioners, he had reason to think it would be canvassed with all the severity of able adversaries, interested in the highest degree to refute it. It is probable that he could have confirmed it by many circumstances and testimonies, since they declined the contest.

The sonnets are inelegant, insomuch that both Brantome and Ronsard, who knew Queen Mary's style, were assured, when they saw them, that they could not be of her composition.

assembled, and, that she might render the matter more solemn and authentic, she summoned along with them the Earls of Northumberland, Westmoreland, Shrewsbury, Worcester, Huntingdon, and Warwick. All the proceedings of the English commissioners were read to them; the evidences produced by Murray were perused; a great number of letters written by Mary to Elizabeth were laid before them, and the handwriting compared with that of the letters delivered in by the regent; the refusal of the Queen of Scots' commissioners to make any reply was related; and on the whole Elizabeth told them that as she had from the first thought it improper that Mary, after such horrid crimes were imputed to her, should be admitted to her presence before she had, in some measure, justified herself from the charge; so now, when her guilt was confirmed by so many evidences, and all answer refused, she must for her part persevere more steadily in that resolution.¹ Elizabeth next called in the Queen of Scots' commissioners, and, after observing that she deemed it much more decent for their mistress to continue the conferences, than to require the liberty of justifying herself in person, she told them that Mary might either send her reply by a person whom she trusted, or deliver it herself to some English nobleman, whom Elizabeth should appoint to wait upon her; but as to her resolution of making no reply at all, she must regard it as the strongest confession of guilt, nor could they ever be deemed her friends who advised her to that method of proceeding.² These topics she enforced still more strongly in a letter which she wrote to Mary herself.³

The Queen of Scots had no other subterfuge from these pressing remonstrances, than still to demand a personal interview with Elizabeth; a concession which she was sensible would never be granted (Cabala, p. 157); because Elizabeth knew that this expedient could decide nothing, because it brought matters to extremity, which that princess desired to avoid; and because it had been refused from the beginning, even before the commencement of the conferences. In order to keep herself better in countenance, Mary thought of another device. Though the conferences were broken off, she ordered her commissioners to accuse the Earl of Murray and his associates as the murderers of the king (Goodall, vol. ii., p. 280); but this accusation coming so late, being extorted merely by a complaint of Murray's, and being unsupported by any proof, could only be regarded as an angry recrimination upon her enemy.⁴ She also desired to have copies of

Jebb, vol. ii., p. 478. But no person is equal in his productions, especially one whose style is so little formed as Mary's must be supposed to be, not to mention that such dangerous and criminal enterprises leave little tranquillity of mind for elegant poetical compositions.

In a word, Queen Mary might easily have conducted the whole conspiracy against her husband, without opening her mind to any one person except Bothwell, and without writing a scrap of paper about it; but it was very difficult to have conducted it so that her conduct should not betray her to men of discernment. In the present case, her conduct was so gross as to betray her to everybody, and fortune threw into her enemies' hands papers by which they could convict her. The same infatuation and imprudence, which happily is the usual attendant of great crimes, will account for both. It is proper to observe, that there is not one circumstance of the foregoing narrative, contained in the history, that is taken from Knox, Buchanan, or even Thuanus, or indeed from any suspected authority.

¹ Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 170, etc.; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 254.

² Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 179, etc.; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 268.

³ Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 183; Goodall, vol. ii., p. 269.

⁴ Unless we take this angry accusation, advanced by Queen Mary, to be an argument of

the papers given in by the regent, but as she still persisted in her resolution to make no reply before the English commissioners, this demand was finally refused her.¹

As Mary had thus put an end to the conferences, the regent expressed great impatience to return into Scotland; and he complained that his enemies had taken advantage of his absence, and had thrown the whole government into confusion. Elizabeth therefore dismissed him,

Murray's guilt, there remains not the least presumption which should lead us to suspect him to have been anywise an accomplice in the king's murder. That queen never pretended to give any proof of the charge; and her commissioners affirmed at the time, that they themselves knew of none, though they were ready to maintain its truth by their mistress's orders, and would produce such proof as she should send them. It is remarkable that at that time it was impossible for either her or them to produce any proof, because the conferences before the English commissioners were previously broken off.

It is true, the Bishop of Ross, in an anonymous pamphlet, written by him under a borrowed name (where it is easy to say anything), affirms that Lord Herries, a few days after the king's death, charged Murray with the guilt, openly to his face, at his own table. This latter nobleman, as Lesly relates the matter, affirmed, that Murray riding in Fife with one of his servants, the evening before the commission of that crime, said to him among other talk, 'This night, ere morning, the Lord Darnley shall lose his life.' Anderson, vol. i., p. 75. But this is only a hearsay of Lesly's concerning a hearsay of Herries's; and contains a very improbable fact. Would Murray, without any use or necessity, communicate to a servant, such a dangerous and important secret, merely by way of conversation? We may also observe that Lord Herries himself was one of Queen Mary's commissioners who accused Murray. Had he ever heard this story, or given credit to it, was not that the time to have produced it, and not have affirmed, as he did, that he, for his part, knew nothing of Murray's guilt? Goodall, vol. ii., p. 397.

The Earls of Huntly and Argyle accuse Murray of that crime, but the reason which they assign is ridiculous. He had given his consent to Mary's divorce from the king; therefore he was the king's murderer. Anderson, vol. iv., part 2, p. 192. It is a sure argument, that these earls knew no better proof against Murray, otherwise they would have produced it, and not have insisted upon so absurd a presumption. Was not this also the time for Huntly to deny his uniting Mary's contract with Bothwell, if that paper had been a forgery?

Murray could have no motive to commit that crime. The king, indeed, bore him some ill-will, but the king himself was become so despicable, both from his ill-conduct and the queen's aversion to him, that he could neither do good nor harm to anybody. To judge by the event, in any case, is always absurd, especially in the present. The king's murder, indeed, procured Murray the regency. But much more Mary's ill-conduct and imprudence, which he could not possibly foresee, and which never would have happened had she been entirely innocent.

¹ Goodall, vol. ii., pp. 253, 283, 289, 310, 311; Haynes, vol. i., p. 492. I believe there is no reader of common sense who could not see, from the narrative in the text, that the author means to say, that Queen Mary refuses constantly to answer before the English commissioners, but offers only to answer in person before Queen Elizabeth in person, contrary to her practice during the whole course of the conference, till the moment the evidence of her being an accomplice in her husband's murder is unexpectedly produced. It is true the author having repeated four or five times an account of this demand of being admitted to Elizabeth's presence, and having expressed his opinion, that it had been refused from the beginning, even before the commencement of the conferences, she did not expect it would now be complied with; thought it impossible his meaning could be misunderstood (as indeed it was impossible), and not being willing to tire his reader with continual repetitions, he mentions in a passage or two, simply, that she had refused to make any answer. I believe also, there is no reader of common sense who peruses Anderson or Goodall's collections, and does not see that agreeably to this narrative Queen Mary insists unalterably and strenuously on not continuing to answer before the English commissioners, but insists to be heard in person, by Queen Elizabeth in person; though once or twice, by way of bravado, she says simply, that she will answer and refute her enemies, without inserting this condition, which still is understood. But there is a person that has written an 'Inquiry historical and critical into the evidence against Queen Mary of Scots,' and has attempted to refute the foregoing narrative. He quotes a single passage of the narrative, in which Mary is said simply to refuse answering; and then a single passage from Goodall, in which she boasts simply that she will answer; and he very civilly and almost directly calls the author a liar on account of this pretended contradiction. That whole inquiry, from beginning to end, is composed of such scandalous artifices; and, from this instance, the reader may judge of the candour, fair dealing, veracity and good manners of the inquirer. There are, indeed, three events in our history, which may be regarded as touchstones of party-men. An English whig, who asserts the reality of the Popish plot, an Irish Catholic, who denies the massacre in 1641, and a Scotch Jacobite, who maintains the innocence of Queen Mary, must be considered as men beyond the reach of argument or reason, and must be left to their prejudices.

and granted him a loan of 5000*l.* to bear the charges of his journey (Rymer, tom. xv., p. 677). During the conferences at York, the Duke of Chatelrault arrived at London, in passing from France; and as the queen knew that he was engaged in Mary's party, and had very plausible pretensions to the regency of the King of Scots, she thought proper to detain him till after Murray's departure. But notwithstanding these marks of favour, and some other assistance which she secretly gave to this latter nobleman,¹ she still declined acknowledging the young king, or treating with Murray as regent of Scotland.

Orders were given for removing the Queen of Scots from Bolton, a place surrounded with Catholics, to Tutbury in the county of Stafford, where she was put under the custody of the Earl of Shrewsbury. Elizabeth entertained hopes that this princess, discouraged by her misfortunes, and confounded by the late transactions, would be glad to secure a safe retreat from all the tempests with which she had been agitated; and she promised to bury everything in oblivion, provided Mary would agree either voluntarily to resign her crown, or to associate her son with her in the government; and the administration to remain during his minority in the hands of the Earl of Murray (Goodall, vol. ii., p. 295). But that high-spirited princess refused all treaty upon such terms, and declared that her last words should be those of a Queen of Scotland. Besides many other reasons, she said, which fixed her in that resolution, she knew that if, in the present emergence, she made such concessions, her submission would be universally deemed an acknowledgment of guilt, and would ratify all the calumnies of her enemies (*Ibid.*, p. 301).

Mary still insisted upon this alternative, either that Elizabeth should assist her in recovering her authority, or should give her liberty to retire into France and make trial of the friendship of other princes; and as she asserted that she had come voluntarily into England, invited by many former professions of amity, she thought that one or other of these requests could not, without the most extreme injustice, be refused her. But Elizabeth, sensible of the danger which attended both these proposals, was secretly resolved to detain her still a captive; and as her retreat into England had been little voluntary, her claim upon the queen's generosity appeared much less urgent than she was willing to pretend. Necessity, it was thought, would to the prudent justify her detention; her past misconduct would apologise for it to the equitable; and though it was foreseen, that compassion for Mary's situation, joined to her intrigues and insinuating behaviour would, while she remained in England, excite the zeal of her friends, especially of the Catholics, these inconveniences were deemed much inferior to those which attended any other expedient. Elizabeth trusted also to her own address for eluding all those difficulties; she purposed to avoid breaking absolutely with the Queen of Scots, to keep her always in hopes of an accommodation, to negotiate perpetually with her, and still to throw the blame of not coming to any conclusion, either on unforeseen accidents, or on the obstinacy and perverseness of others.

We come now to mention some English affairs which we left behind us, that we might not interrupt our narrative of the events in Scotland,

¹ MS. in the Advocates' Library; A. 3, 29, pp. 128, 129, 130, from Cott. Lib. Cal., c, 1.

which form so material a part of the present reign. The term, fixed by the treaty of Cateau-Cambresis for the restitution of Calais, expired in 1567; and Elizabeth, after making her demand at the gates of that city, sent Sir Thomas Smith to Paris; and that minister, in conjunction with Sir Henry Norris, her resident ambassador, enforced her pretensions. Conferences were held on that head, without coming to any conclusion satisfactory to the English. The chancellor, De L'Hospital, told the English ambassadors, that though France by an article of the treaty was obliged to restore Calais on the expiration of eight years, there was another article of the same treaty which now deprived Elizabeth of any right that could accrue to her by that engagement; that it was agreed if the English should during the interval commit hostilities upon France, they should instantly forfeit all claim to Calais; and the taking possession of Havre and Dieppe, with whatever pretences that measure might be covered, was a plain violation of the peace between the nations; that though these places were not entered by force, but put into Elizabeth's hands by the governors, these governors were rebels; and a correspondence with such traitors was the most flagrant injury that could be committed on any sovereign, that in the treaty which ensued upon the expulsion of the English from Normandy, the French ministers had absolutely refused to make any mention of Calais, and had thereby declared their intention to take advantage of the title which had accrued to the crown of France; and that though a general clause had been inserted, implying a reservation of all claims, this concession could not avail the English, who at that time possessed no just claim to Calais, and had previously forfeited all right to that fortress (Haynes, p. 587). The queen was nowise surprised at hearing these allegations; and as she knew that the French court intended not from the first to make restitution, much less after they could justify their refusal by such plausible reasons, she thought it better for the present to acquiesce in the loss, than to pursue a doubtful title by a war both dangerous and expensive as well as unseasonable (Camden, p. 406).

Elizabeth entered anew into negotiations for espousing the Archduke Charles, and she seems at this time to have had no great motive of policy which might induce her to make this fallacious offer; but as she was very rigorous in the terms insisted on, and would not agree that the archduke, if he espoused her, should enjoy any power or title in England, and even refused him the exercise of his religion, the treaty came to nothing; and that prince, despairing of success in his addresses, married the daughter of Albert, Duke of Bavaria (Ibid., pp. 407, 408).

CHAPTER XL.

Character of the puritans.—Duke of Norfolk's conspiracy.—Insurrection in the north.—Assassination of the Earl of Murray.—A parliament.—Civil wars of France.—Affairs of the Low Countries.—New

conspiracy of the Duke of Norfolk.—Trial of Norfolk.—His execution.—Scotch affairs.—French affairs.—Massacre of Paris.—French affairs.—Civil wars of the Low Countries.—A parliament.

OF all the European churches which shook off the yoke of papal authority, no one proceeded with so much reason and moderation as the Church of England; an advantage which had been derived partly from the interposition of the civil magistrate in this innovation, partly from the gradual and slow steps by which the reformation was conducted in that kingdom. Rage and animosity against the catholic religion was as little indulged as could be supposed in such a revolution; the fabric of the secular hierarchy was maintained entire; the ancient liturgy was preserved, so far as was thought consistent with the new principles; many ceremonies, become venerable from age and preceding use, were retained; the splendour of the Romish worship, though removed, had at least given place to order and decency; the distinctive habits of the clergy, according to their different ranks, were continued; no innovation was admitted merely from spite and opposition to former usage; and the new religion, by mitigating the genius of the ancient superstition, and rendering it more compatible with the peace and interests of society, had preserved itself in that happy medium which wise men have always sought, and which the people have so seldom been able to maintain.

But though such in general was the spirit of the reformation in that country, many of the English reformers, being men of more warm complexions, and more obstinate tempers, endeavoured to push matters to extremities against the church of Rome, and indulged themselves in the most violent contrariety and antipathy to all former practices. Among these, Hooper, who afterwards suffered for his religion with such extraordinary constancy, was chiefly distinguished. This man was appointed during the reign of Edward to the see of Gloucester, and made no scruple of accepting the episcopal office; but he refused to be consecrated in the episcopal habit, the cymarre and rochette, which had formerly, he said, been abused to superstition, and which were thereby rendered unbecoming a true Christian. Cranmer and Ridley were surprised at this objection, which opposed the received practice, and even the established laws; and though young Edward, desirous of promoting a man so celebrated for his eloquence, his zeal, and his morals, enjoined them to dispense with this ceremony, they were still determined to retain it. Hooper then embraced the resolution, rather to refuse the bishopric than clothe himself in those hated garments; but it was deemed requisite that, for the sake of the example, he should not escape so easily. He was first confined to Cranmer's house, then thrown into prison, till he should consent to be a bishop on the terms proposed; he was plied with conferences and reprimands and arguments; Bucer and Peter Martyr, and the most celebrated foreign reformers, were consulted on this important question; and a compromise with great difficulty was at last made, that Hooper should not be obliged to wear commonly the obnoxious robes, but should agree to be consecrated in them, and to use them during cathedral service (Burnet, vol. ii., p. 152; Heylin, p. 90); a condescension not a little extraordinary in a man of so inflexible a spirit as this reformer.

The same objection which had arisen with regard to the episcopal habit had been moved against the raiment of the inferior clergy; and the surplice in particular, with the tippet and corner cap, was a great object of abhorrence to many of the popular zealots (Strype, vol. i., p. 416). In vain was it urged, that particular habits, as well as postures and ceremonies, having been constantly used by the clergy, and employed in religious service, acquire a veneration in the eyes of the people, appear sacred in their apprehensions, excite their devotion, and contract a kind of mysterious virtue, which attaches the affections of men to the national and established worship; that, in order to produce this effect, an uniformity in these particulars is requisite, and even a perseverance, as far as possible, in the former practice; and that the nation would be happy if, by retaining these inoffensive observances, the reformers could engage the people to renounce willingly what was absurd or pernicious in the ancient superstition. These arguments, which had influence with wise men, were the very reasons which engaged the violent Protestants to reject the habits. They pushed matters to a total opposition with the church of Rome; every compliance, they said, was a symbolizing with Antichrist (*Ibid.*, p. 416). And this spirit was carried so far by some reformers, that, in a national remonstrance made afterwards by the church of Scotland against these habits, it was asked, 'What has Christ Jesus to do with Belial? What has darkness to do with light? If surplices, corner caps, and tippets have been badges of idolators in the very act of their idolatry, why should the preacher of Christian liberty, and the open rebuker of all superstition, partake with the dregs of the Romish beast? Yea, who is there that ought not rather to be afraid of taking in his hand, or on his forehead, the print and mark of that odious beast?' (*Keith*, p. 565; *Knox*, p. 402.) But this application was rejected by the English church.

There was only one instance in which the spirit of contradiction to the Romanists took place universally in England; the altar was removed from the wall, was placed in the middle of the church, and was thenceforth denominated the communion-table. The reason why this innovation met with such general reception was, that the nobility and gentry got thereby a pretence for making spoil of the plate, vestures, and rich ornaments which belonged to the altars (*Heylin*, preface, p. 3; *History*, p. 106).

These disputes, which had been started during the reign of Edward, were carried abroad by the Protestants, who fled from the persecutions of Mary; and as the zeal of these men had received an increase from the furious cruelty of their enemies, they were generally inclined to carry their opposition to the utmost extremity against the practices of the church of Rome. Their communication with Calvin and the other reformers, who followed the discipline and worship of Geneva, confirmed them in this obstinate reluctance; and though some of the refugees, particularly those who were established at Frankfort, still adhered to King Edward's liturgy, the prevailing spirit carried these confessors to seek a still farther reformation. On the accession of Elizabeth, they returned to their native country, and being regarded with general veneration on account of their zeal and past sufferings,

they ventured to insist on the establishment of their projected model; nor did they want countenance from many considerable persons in the queen's council. But the princess herself, so far from being willing to despoil religion of the few ornaments and ceremonies which remained in it, was rather inclined to bring the public worship still nearer to the Romish ritual;¹ and she thought that the reformation had already gone too far in shaking off those forms and observances which, without distracting men of more refined apprehensions, tend in a very innocent manner to allure and amuse and engage the vulgar. She took care to have a law for uniformity strictly enacted; she was empowered by the parliament to add any new ceremonies which she thought proper; and though she was sparing in the exercise of this prerogative, she continued rigid in exacting an observance of the established laws, and in punishing all nonconformity. The zealots, therefore, who harboured a secret antipathy to the episcopal order and to the whole liturgy, were obliged in a great measure to conceal these sentiments, which would have been regarded as highly audacious and criminal; and they confined their avowed objections to the surplice, the confirmation of children, the sign of the cross in baptism, the ring in marriage, kneeling at the sacrament, and bowing at the name of Jesus. So fruitless is it for sovereigns to watch with a rigid care over orthodoxy, and to employ the sword in religious controversy, that the work, perpetually renewed, is perpetually to begin; and a garb, a gesture, nay, a metaphysical or grammatical distinction, when rendered important by the disputes of theologians and the zeal of the magistrate, is sufficient to destroy the unity of the church, and even the peace of society. These controversies had already excited such ferment among the people, that in some places they refused to frequent the churches where the habits and ceremonies were used; would not salute the conforming clergy; and proceeded so far as to revile them in the streets, to spit in their faces, and to use them with all manner of contumely (*Strype's Life of Whitgift*, p. 460). And while the sovereign authority checked these excesses, the flame was confined, not extinguished, and burning fiercer from confinement, it burst out in the succeeding reigns to the destruction of the church and monarchy.

All enthusiasts, indulging themselves in rapturous flights, ecstasies, visions, inspirations, have a natural aversion to episcopal authority, to ceremonies, rites, and forms, which they denominate superstition or beggarly elements, and which seem to restrain the liberal effusions of their zeal and devotion; but there was another set of opinions adopted by these innovators, which rendered them in a peculiar manner the object of Elizabeth's aversion. The same bold and daring spirit, which accompanied them in their addresses to the Divinity, appeared

¹ When Newel, one of her chaplains, had spoken less reverently in a sermon, preached before her, of the sign of the cross she called aloud to him from her closet window, commanding him to retire from that ungodly digression, and to return unto his text. And, on the other side, when one of her divines had preached a sermon in defence of the real presence, she openly gave him thanks for his pains and piety. Heylin, p. 124. She would have absolutely forbidden the marriage of the clergy, if Cecil had not interposed. *Strype's Life of Parker*, pp. 107, 108, 109. She was an enemy to sermons; and usually said that she thought two or three preachers were sufficient for a whole county. It was probably for these reasons that one Doring told her to her face from the pulpit, that she was like an untamed heifer, that would not be ruled by God's people, but obstructed His discipline. *Life of Hooker*, prefixed to his works.

in their political speculations; and the principles of civil liberty which, during some reigns, had been little avowed in the nation, and which were totally incompatible with the present exorbitant prerogative, had been strongly adopted by this new sect. Scarcely any sovereign before Elizabeth, and none after her, carried higher, both in speculation and practice, the authority of the crown; and the puritans (so these sectaries were called, on account of their pretending to a superior purity of worship and discipline) could not recommend themselves worse to her favour than by inculcating the doctrine of resisting or restraining princes. From all these motives, the queen neglected no opportunity of depressing those zealous innovators; and while they were secretly countenanced by some of her most favoured ministers, Cecil, Leicester, Knolles, Bedford, Walsingham, she never was, to the end of her life, reconciled to their principles and practices.

We have thought proper to insert in this place an account of the rise and the genius of the puritans, because Camden marks the present year as the period when they began to make themselves considerable in England. We now return to our narration.

The Duke of Norfolk was the only peer that enjoyed the highest title of nobility; and as there were at present no princes of the blood, the splendour of his family, the opulence of his fortune, and the extent of his influence, had rendered him without comparison the first subject in England. The qualities of his mind corresponded to his high station; beneficent, affable, generous, he had acquired the affections of the people; prudent, moderate, obsequious, he possessed, without giving her any jealousy, the good graces of his sovereign. His grandfather and father had long been regarded as the leaders of the Catholics; and this hereditary attachment, joined to the alliance of blood, had procured him the friendship of the most considerable men of that party; but as he had been educated among the reformers, was sincerely devoted to their principles, and maintained that strict decorum and regularity of life, by which the Protestants were at that time distinguished, he thereby enjoyed the rare felicity of being popular even with the most opposite factions. The height of his prosperity alone was the source of his misfortunes, and engaged him in attempts, from which his virtue and his prudence would naturally have for ever kept him at a distance.

Norfolk was at this time a widower; and being of a suitable age, his marriage with the Queen of Scots had appeared so natural, that it had occurred to several of his friends and those of that princess; but the first person, who, after Secretary Lidington, opened the scheme to the duke, is said to have been the Earl of Murray, before his departure for Scotland (Lesley, pp. 36, 37). That nobleman set before Norfolk both the advantage of composing the dissensions in Scotland by an alliance, which would be so generally acceptable, and the prospect of reaping the succession of England; and, in order to bind Norfolk's interest the faster with Mary's, he proposed that the duke's daughter should also espouse the young king of Scotland. The previously obtaining of Elizabeth's consent was regarded, both by Murray and Norfolk, as a circumstance essential to the success of their project; and all terms being adjusted between them, Murray took care, by

means of Sir Robert Melvil, to have the design communicated to the Queen of Scots. This princess replied, that the vexations which she had met with in her two last marriages had made her more inclined to lead a single life; but she was determined to sacrifice her own inclinations to the public welfare; and therefore, as soon as she should be legally divorced from Bothwell, she would be determined by the opinion of her nobility and people in the choice of another husband (Lesley, pp. 40, 41).

It is probable that Murray was not sincere in his proposal. He had two motives to engage him to dissimulation. He knew the danger which he must run in his return through the north of England, from the power of the Earls of Northumberland and Westmoreland, Mary's partisans in that country; and he dreaded an insurrection in Scotland from the Duke of Chatelrault, and the Earls of Argyle and Huntley, whom she had appointed her lieutenants during her absence. By these feigned appearances of friendship he both engaged Norfolk to write in his favour to the northern noblemen (State Trials, pp. 76, 78); and he persuaded the Queen of Scots to give her lieutenants permission, and even advice, to conclude a cessation of hostilities with the regent's party (Lesley, p. 41).

The Duke of Norfolk, though he had agreed that Elizabeth's consent should be previously obtained before the completion of his marriage, had reason to apprehend, that he never should prevail with her voluntarily to make that concession. He knew her perpetual and unrelenting jealousy against her heir and rival; he was acquainted with her former reluctance to all proposals of marriage with the Queen of Scots; he foresaw that this princess's espousing a person of his power and character and interest would give the greatest umbrage; and as it would then become necessary to reinstate her in possession of her throne on some tolerable terms, and even to endeavour the re-establishing of her character, he dreaded lest Elizabeth, whose politics had now taken a different turn, would never agree to such indulgent and generous conditions. He therefore attempted previously to gain the consent and approbation of several of the most considerable nobility; and he was successful with the Earls of Pembroke, Arundel, Derby, Bedford, Shrewsbury, Southampton, Northumberland, Westmoreland, Sussex (Lesley, p. 55; Camden, p. 419; Spotswood, p. 230). Lord Lumley and Sir Nicholas Throgmorton cordially embraced the proposal; even the Earl of Leicester, Elizabeth's declared favourite, who had formerly entertained some views of espousing Mary, willingly resigned all his pretensions, and seemed to enter zealously into Norfolk's interests (Haynes, p. 535). There were other motives, besides affection to the duke, which produced this general combination of the nobility.

Sir William Cecil, secretary of state, was the most vigilant, active, and prudent minister ever known in England; and as he was governed by no views but the interests of his sovereign, which he had inflexibly pursued, his authority over her became every day more predominant. Ever cool himself, and uninfluenced by prejudice or affection, he checked those sallies of passion, and sometimes of caprice, to which she was subject; and if he failed of persuading her in the first move-

ment, his perseverance, and remonstrances, and arguments, were sure at last to recommend themselves to her sound discernment. The more credit he gained with his mistress, the more was he exposed to the envy of her other counsellors; and as he had been supposed to adopt the interests of the house of Suffolk, whose claim seemed to carry with it no danger to the present establishment, his enemies, in opposition to him, were naturally led to attach themselves to the Queen of Scots. Elizabeth saw, without uneasiness, this emulation among her courtiers, which served to augment her own authority; and though she supported Cecil whenever matters came to extremities, and dissipated every conspiracy against him, particularly one laid about this time for having him thrown into the Tower on some pretence or other (Camden, p. 417), she never gave him such unlimited confidence as might enable him entirely to crush his adversaries.

Norfolk, sensible of the difficulty which he must meet with in controlling Cecil's counsels, especially where they concurred with the inclination as well as interest of the queen, durst not open to her his intentions of marrying the Queen of Scots, but proceeded still in the same course of increasing his interest in the kingdom, and engaging more of the nobility to take part in his measures. A letter was written to Mary by Leicester and signed by several of the first rank, recommending Norfolk for her husband, and stipulating conditions for the advantage of both kingdoms; particularly that she should give sufficient surety to Elizabeth and the heirs of her body, for the free enjoyment of the crown of England; that a perpetual league, offensive and defensive, should be made between their realms and subjects; that the Protestant religion should be established by law in Scotland, and that she should grant an amnesty to her rebels in that kingdom (Lesley, p. 50; Camden, p. 420; Haynes, pp. 535, 539). When Mary returned a favourable answer to this application, Norfolk employed himself with new ardour in the execution of his project; and besides securing the interests of many of the considerable gentry and nobility who resided at court, he wrote letters to such as lived at their country-seats, and possessed the greatest authority in the several counties (Lesley, p. 62). The kings of France and Spain, who interested themselves extremely in Mary's cause, were secretly consulted, and expressed their approbation of these measures (Ibid., p. 63). And though Elizabeth's consent was always supposed as a previous condition to the finishing of this alliance, it was apparently Norfolk's intention, when he proceeded such lengths, without consulting her to render his party so strong that it should no longer be in her power to refuse it (State Trials, vol. i., p. 82).

It was impossible that so extensive a conspiracy could entirely escape the queen's vigilance and that of Cecil. She dropped several intimations to the duke, by which he might learn that she was acquainted with his designs; and she frequently warned him to beware on what pillow he reposed his head (Camden, p. 420; Spotswood, p. 231); but he never had the prudence or courage to open to her his full intentions. Certain intelligence of this dangerous combination was given her first by Leicester, then by Murray,¹ who, if ever he was

¹ Lesley, p. 71. It appears by Haynes, pp. 521, 525, that Elizabeth had heard rumours of

sincere in promoting Norfolk's marriage, which is much to be doubted, had at least intended for his own safety and that of his party, that Elizabeth should in reality as well as in appearance, be entire arbiter of the conditions, and should not have her consent extorted by any confederacy of her own subjects. This information gave great alarm to the court of England; and the more so, as those intrigues were attended with other circumstances, of which it is probable Elizabeth was not wholly ignorant.

Among the nobility and gentry that seemed to enter into Norfolk's views, there were many who were zealously attached to the Catholic religion, who had no other design than that of restoring Mary to her liberty, and who would gladly, by a combination with foreign powers, or even at the expense of a civil war, have placed her on the throne of England. The Earls of Northumberland and Westmoreland, who possessed great power in the north, were leaders of this party; and the former nobleman made offer to the Queen of Scots, by Leonard Dacres, brother to Lord Dacres, that he would free her from confinement, and convey her to Scotland, or any other place, to which she should think proper to retire (Lesley, p. 76). Sir Thomas and Sir Edward Stanley, sons of the Earl of Derby, Sir Thomas Gerard, Rolstone, and other gentlemen, whose interest lay in the neighbourhood of the place where Mary resided, concurred in the same views; and required that, in order to facilitate the execution of the scheme, a diversion should in the meantime be made from the side of Flanders (*Ibid.*, p. 98). Norfolk discouraged, and even in appearance suppressed, these conspiracies; both because his duty to Elizabeth would not allow him to think of effecting his purpose by rebellion, and because he foresaw that if the Queen of Scots came into the possession of these men, they would rather choose for her husband the king of Spain, or some foreign prince, who had power, as well as inclination, to re-establish the Catholic religion (*Ibid.*, p. 77).

When men of honour and good principles, like the Duke of Norfolk, engage in dangerous enterprises, they are commonly so unfortunate as to be criminal by halves; and while they balance between the execution of their designs and their remorse, their fear of punishment and their hope of pardon, they render themselves an easy prey to their enemies. The duke, in order to repress the surmises spread against him, spoke contemptuously to Elizabeth of the Scottish alliance, affirmed that his estate in England was more valuable than the revenue of a kingdom wasted by civil wars and factions, and declared that when he amused himself in his own tennis court at Norwich, amidst his friends and vassals, he deemed himself at least a petty prince, and was fully satisfied with his condition (Camden, p. 420). Finding that he did not convince her by these asseverations, and that he was looked on with a jealous eye by the ministers, he retired to his country seat without taking leave (Haynes, p. 528). He soon after repented of this measure, and set out on his return to court with a view of using every expedient to regain the queen's good graces; but he was met at St. Albans by Fitz-Garret, lieutenant of the band of pensioners, by whom

Norfolk's dealing with Murray; and charged the latter to inform her of the whole truth, which he accordingly did. See Earl of Murray's letter produced on Norfolk's trial.

he was conveyed to Burnham, three miles from Windsor, where the court then resided (*Ibid.*, p. 339). He was soon after committed to the Tower, under the custody of Sir Henry Nevil (*Camden*, p. 421; *Haynes*, p. 540). Lesley, Bishop of Ross, the Queen of Scots' ambassador, was examined and confronted with Norfolk before the council (*Lesley*, p. 80). The Earl of Pembroke was confined to his own house. Arundel, Lumley, and Throgmorton were taken into custody. The Queen of Scots herself was removed to Coventry; all access to her was, during some time, more strictly prohibited, and Viscount Hereford was joined to the Earls of Shrewsbury and Huntingdon, in the office of guarding her.

A rumour had been diffused in the north of an intended rebellion; and the Earl of Sussex, president of York, alarmed with the danger, sent for Northumberland and Westmoreland, in order to examine them; but not finding any proof against them he allowed them to depart. The report meanwhile gained ground daily, and many appearances of its reality being discovered, orders were despatched by Elizabeth to these two noblemen to appear at court, and answer for their conduct (*Haynes*, p. 552). They had already proceeded so far in their criminal designs, that they dared not to trust themselves in her hands. They had prepared measures for a rebellion; had communicated their design to Mary and her ministers;¹ had entered into a correspondence with the Duke of Alva, governor of the Low Countries; had obtained his promise of a reinforcement of troops, and of a supply of arms and ammunition; and had prevailed on him to send over to London Chiapino Vitelli, one of his most famous captains, on pretence of adjusting some differences with the queen, but in reality with a view of putting him at the head of the northern rebels. The summons sent to the two earls precipitated the rising before they were fully prepared, and Northumberland remained in suspense between opposite dangers, when he was informed that some of his enemies were on the way with a commission to arrest him. He took horse instantly, and hastened to his associate Westmoreland, whom he found surrounded with his friends and vassals, and deliberating with regard to the measures which he should follow in the present emergence. They determined to begin the insurrection without delay, and the great credit of these noblemen, with that zeal for the catholic religion which still prevailed in the neighbourhood, soon drew together multitudes of the common people. They published a manifesto, in which they declared, that they intended to attempt nothing against the queen, to whom they avowed unshaken allegiance; and that their sole aim was to re-establish the religion of their ancestors, to remove evil counsellors, and to restore the Duke of Norfolk and other faithful peers to their liberty and to the queen's favour (*Cabala*, p. 169; *Strype*, vol. i., p. 547). The numbers of the malcontents amounted to four thousand foot and sixteen hundred horse, and they expected the concurrence of all the catholics in England (*Stow*, p. 663).

The queen was not negligent in her own defence, and she had beforehand, from her prudent and wise conduct, acquired the general

¹ *Haynes*, p. 595; *Strype*, vol. ii., *Append.*, p. 30; *MS. Advocates' Library*, from *Cott Lib. Cal.*, c. 9.

goodwill of her people, the best security of a sovereign; insomuch that even the catholics in most counties expressed an affection for her service (Cabala, p. 170; Digges, p. 4), and the Duke of Norfolk himself, though he had lost her favour, and lay in confinement, was not wanting, as far as his situation permitted, to promote the levies among his friends and retainers. Sussex, attended by the Earls of Rutland, the Lords Hunsdon, Evers, and Willoughby of Parham, marched against the rebels at the head of 7000 men, and found them already advanced to the bishopric of Durham, of which they had taken possession. They retired before him to Hexham; and hearing that the Earl of Warwick and Lord Clinton were advancing against them with a greater body, they found no other resource than (A.D. 1569) to disperse themselves without striking a blow. The common people retired to their houses; the leaders fled into Scotland. Northumberland was found skulking in that country, and was confined by Murray in the castle of Lochleven. Westmoreland received shelter from the chieftains of the Kers and Scots, partisans of Mary; and persuaded them to make an inroad into England, with a view of exciting a quarrel between the two kingdoms. After they had committed great ravages, they retreated to their own country. This sudden and precipitate rebellion was followed soon after by another still more imprudent, raised by Leonard Dacres. Lord Hunsdon, at the head of the garrison at Berwick, was able, without any other assistance, to quell these rebels. Great severity was exercised against such as had taken part in these rash enterprises. Sixty-six petty constables were hanged (Camden, p. 423), and no less than 800 persons are said, on the whole, to have suffered by the hands of the executioner (Lesley, p. 82). But the queen was so well pleased with Norfolk's behaviour, that she released him from the Tower; allowed him to live, though under some show of confinement, in his own house; and only exacted a promise from him not to proceed any farther in his negotiations with the queen of Scots (Ibid., p. 98; Camden, p. 429; Haynes, p. 597).

Elizabeth now found that the detention of Mary was attended with all the ill consequences which she had foreseen, when she first embraced that measure. This latter princess, recovering, by means of her misfortunes and her own natural good sense, from that delirium into which she seems to have been thrown during her attachment to Bothwell, had behaved with such modesty, and judgment, and even dignity, that every one who approached her was charmed with her demeanour; and her friends were enabled, on some plausible grounds, to deny the reality of all those crimes which had been imputed to her (Lesley, p. 232; Haynes, pp. 511, 548). Compassion for her situation, and the necessity of procuring her liberty, proved an incitement among all her partisans to be active in promoting her cause; and as her deliverance from captivity, it was thought, could nowise be effected but by attempts dangerous to the established government, Elizabeth had reason to expect little tranquillity so long as the Scottish queen remained a prisoner in her hands. But as this inconvenience had been preferred to the danger of allowing that princess to enjoy her liberty, and to seek relief in all the Catholic courts of Europe, it behoved the queen to support the measure which she had adopted, and to guard,

by every prudent expedient, against the mischiefs to which it was exposed. She still flattered Mary with hopes of her protection, maintained an ambiguous conduct between that queen and her enemies in Scotland, negotiated perpetually concerning the terms of her restoration, made constant professions of friendship to her; and by these artifices endeavoured both to prevent her from making any desperate efforts for her deliverance, and to satisfy the French and Spanish ambassadors, who never intermitted their solicitations, sometimes accompanied with menaces, in her behalf. This deceit was received with the same deceit by the Queen of Scots: professions of confidence were returned by professions equally insincere: and while an appearance of friendship was maintained on both sides, the animosity and jealousy, which had long prevailed between them, became every day more inveterate and incurable. These two princesses, in address, capacity, activity, and spirit, were nearly a match for each other; but unhappily, Mary, besides her present forlorn condition, was always inferior in personal conduct and discretion, as well as in power, to her illustrious rival.

Elizabeth and Mary wrote at the same time letters to the regent. The Queen of Scots desired that her marriage with Bothwell might be examined, and a divorce be legally pronounced between them. The Queen of England gave Murray the choice of three conditions; that Mary should be restored to her dignity on certain terms; that she should be associated with her son, and the administration remain in the regent's hands, till the young prince should come to years of discretion; or that she should be allowed to live at liberty as a private person in Scotland, and have an honourable settlement made in her favour.¹ Murray summoned a convention of states, in order to deliberate on these proposals of the two queens: no answer was made by them to Mary's letter, on pretence that she had there employed the style of a sovereign, addressing herself to her subjects; but in reality, because they saw that her request was calculated to prepare the way for a marriage with Norfolk, or some powerful prince, who could support her cause, and restore her to the throne. They replied to Elizabeth, that the two former conditions were so derogatory to the royal authority of their prince, that they could not so much as deliberate concerning them: the third alone could be the subject of treaty. It was evident that Elizabeth, in proposing conditions so unequal in their importance, invited the Scots to a refusal of those which were most advantageous to Mary; and as it was difficult, if not impossible, to adjust all the terms of the third, so as to render it secure and eligible to all parties, it was concluded that she was not sincere in any of them (Spotswood, pp. 230, 231; Lesley, p. 71).

It is pretended that Murray had (A.D. 1570) entered into a private negotiation with the queen, to get Mary delivered into his hands (Camden, p. 425; Lesley, p. 83); and as Elizabeth found the detention of her in England so dangerous, it is probable that she would have been pleased, on any honourable or safe terms, to rid herself of a prisoner who gave her so much inquietude.² But all these projects

¹ MSS. Advocates' Library, A. 329, p. 137, from Cott. Lib., catal., c. 1.

² By Murden's state papers, published after the writing of this history, it appears, that an

vanished by the sudden death of the regent, who was (Jan. 23) assassinated in revenge of a private injury by a gentleman of the name of Hamilton. Murray was a person of considerable vigour, abilities, and constancy; but though he was not unsuccessful during his regency, in composing the dissensions in Scotland, his talents shone out more eminently in the beginning than in the end of his life. His manners were rough and austere; and he possessed not that perfect integrity which frequently accompanies and can alone atone for that unamiable character.

By the death of the regent, Scotland relapsed into anarchy. Mary's party assembled together and made themselves masters of Edinburgh. The castle, commanded by Kirkaldy, of Grange, seemed to favour her cause; and as many of the principal nobility had embraced that party, it became probable, though the people were in general averse to her, that her authority might again acquire the ascendant. To check its progress, Elizabeth dispatched Sussex with an army to the North, under colour of chastising the ravages committed by the borderers. He entered Scotland and laid waste the lands of the Kers and Scots, seized the castle of Hume, and committed hostilities on all Mary's partisans, who, he said, had offended his mistress, by harbouring the English rebels. Sir William Drury was afterwards sent with a body of troops, and he threw down the houses of the Hamiltons, who were engaged in the same faction. The English armies were afterwards recalled by agreement with the Queen of Scots, who promised, in return, that no French troops should be introduced into Scotland, and that the English rebels should be delivered up to the queen by her partisans (Lesley, p. 91).

But though the queen, covering herself with the pretence of revenging her own quarrel, so far contributed to support the party of the young King of Scots, she was cautious not to declare openly against Mary; and she even sent a request, which was equivalent to a command, to the enemies of that princess, not to elect, during some time, a regent in the place of Murray (Spotswood, p. 240). Lennox, the king's grandfather, was therefore chosen temporary governor, under the title of Lieutenant. Hearing afterwards that Mary's partisans, instead of delivering up Westmoreland, and the other fugitives, as they had promised, had allowed them to escape into Flanders; she permitted the king's party to give Lennox the title of regent (Spotswood, p. 241); and she sent Randolph, as her resident, to maintain a correspondence with him. But notwithstanding this step, taken in favour of Mary's enemies, she never laid aside her ambiguous conduct, or quitted the appearance of amity to that princess. Being importuned by the Bishop of Ross, and her other agents, as well as by foreign ambassadors, she twice procured a suspension of arms between the Scottish factions, and by that means stopped the hands of the regent, who was likely to obtain advantages over the opposite party (Ibid., p. 243). By these seeming contrarieties she kept alive the factions in

agreement had been made between Elizabeth and the regent for the delivering up of Mary to him. The queen afterwards sent down Killigrew to the Earl of Marr, when regent, offering to put Mary into his hands. Killigrew was instructed to take good security from the regent, that that queen should be tried for her crimes, and that the sentence should be executed upon her. It appears that Marr rejected the offer, because we hear no more of it.

Scotland, increased their mutual animosity, and rendered the whole country a scene of devastation and of misery (Crawford, p. 136). She had no intention to conquer the kingdom, and consequently no interest or design to instigate the parties against each other; but this consequence was an accidental effect of her cautious politics, by which she was engaged, as far as possible, to keep on good terms with the Queen of Scots, and never to violate the appearances of friendship with her, at least those of neutrality.¹

The better to amuse Mary with the prospect of an accommodation, Cecil and Sir Walter Mildmay were sent to her with proposals from Elizabeth. The terms were somewhat rigorous, such as a captive queen might expect from a jealous rival; and they thereby bore the greater appearance of sincerity on the part of the English court. It was required that the Queen of Scots, besides renouncing all title to the crown of England during the lifetime of Elizabeth, should make a perpetual league, offensive and defensive, between the kingdoms; that she should marry no Englishman without Elizabeth's consent, nor any other person without the consent of the states of Scotland; that compensation should be made for the late ravages committed in England; that justice should be executed on the murderers of King Henry; that the young prince should be sent into England, to be educated there; and that six hostages, all of them noblemen, should be delivered to the Queen of England, with the castle of Hume, and some other fortress, for the security of performance (Spotswood, p. 245; Lesley, p. 101). Such were the conditions upon which Elizabeth promised to contribute her endeavours towards the restoration of the deposed queen. The necessity of Mary's affairs obliged her to consent to them; and the Kings of France and Spain, as well as the Pope, when consulted by her, approved of her conduct; chiefly on account of the civil wars, by which all Europe was then agitated, and which incapacitated the catholic princes from giving her any assistance (Lesley, p. 109, etc.).

Elizabeth's commissioners proposed also to Mary a plan of accommodation with her subjects in Scotland; and after some reasoning on that head, it was agreed that the queen should require Lennox, the regent, to send commissioners, in order to treat of conditions under her mediation. The partisans of Mary boasted that all terms were fully settled with the court of England, and that the Scottish rebels would soon be constrained to submit to the authority of their sovereign: but Elizabeth took care that these rumours should meet with no credit, and that the king's party should not be discouraged, nor sink too low in their demands. Cecil wrote to inform the regent that all the Queen of England's proposals, so far from being fixed and irrevocable, were to be discussed anew in the conference; and desired him to send commissioners who should be constant in the king's cause, and cautious not to make concessions which might be prejudicial to their party

¹ Sir James Melvil, (pp. 108, 109,) ascribes to Elizabeth a positive design of animating the Scotch factions against each other; but this evidence is too inconsiderable to counter-balance many other authorities, and is indeed contrary to her subsequent conduct, as well as her interest, and the necessity of her situation. It was plainly her interest that the king's party should prevail, and nothing could have engaged her to stop their progress, or even forbear openly assisting them, but her intention of still amusing the Queen of Scots, by the hopes of being peaceably restored to her throne. Strype, vol. ii., Append. p. 20.

(Spotswood, p. 245). Sussex also, in his letters, dropped hints to the same purpose; and Elizabeth herself said to the Abbot of Dunfermline, whom Lennox had sent to the court of England, that she would not insist on Mary's restoration, provided the Scots could make the justice of their cause appear to her satisfaction; and that even if their reasons should fall short of full conviction, she would take effectual care to provide for their future security (*Ibid.*, pp. 247, 248).

The parliament of Scotland (A.D. March 11, 1571) appointed the Earl of Morton, and Sir James Macgill, together with the Abbot of Dunfermline, to manage the treaty. These commissioners presented memorials, containing reasons for the deposition of their queen; and they seconded their arguments with examples drawn from the Scottish history, with the authority of laws, and with the sentiments of many famous divines. The lofty ideas which Elizabeth had entertained of the absolute, indefeasible right of sovereigns made her be shocked with these republican topics; and she told the Scottish commissioners that she was nowise satisfied with their reasons for justifying the conduct of their countrymen; and that they might therefore, without attempting any apology, proceed to open the conditions which they required for their security (*Ibid.*, p. 248, 249). They replied that their commission did not empower them to treat of any terms which might infringe the title and sovereignty of their young king, but they would gladly hear whatever proposals should be made them by her majesty. The conditions recommended by the queen were not disadvantageous to Mary; but as the commissioners still insisted that they were not authorised to treat in any manner concerning the restoration of that princess (Haynes, p. 623), the conferences were necessarily at an end; and Elizabeth dismissed the Scottish commissioners with injunctions that they should return, after having procured more ample powers from their parliament.¹ The Bishop of Ross openly complained to the English council that they had abused his mistress by fair promises and professions, and Mary herself was no longer at a loss to judge of Elizabeth's insincerity. By reason of these disappointments, matters came still nearer to extremities between the two princesses; and the Queen of Scots, finding all her hopes eluded, was more strongly incited to make, at all hazards, every possible attempt for her liberty and security.

An incident also happened about this time which tended to widen the breach between Mary and Elizabeth, and to increase the vigilance and jealousy of the latter princess. Pope Pius V., who had succeeded Paul, after having endeavoured in vain to conciliate by gentle means the friendship of Elizabeth, whom his predecessor's violence had irritated, issued at last a bull of excommunication against her, deprived her of all title to the crown, and absolved her subjects from their oaths of allegiance (Camden, p. 427). It seems probable, that this attack on the queen's authority was made in concert with Mary, who intended by that means to forward the northern rebellion; a measure which was at that time in agitation (*Ibid.*, p. 441, from Cajeanus's *Life of Pius V.*). John Felton affixed this bull to the gates of the Bishop of London's palace; and, scorning either to fly or to deny the fact, he was seized and condemned, and received the crown of martyrdom,

¹ Spotswood, pp. 249, 250, etc. . Lesley, pp. 133, 136; Camden, pp. 431, 432.

for which he seems to have entertained so violent an ambition (Camden, p. 428).

A new parliament, after five years' interval, was (A.D. April 2, 1571) assembled at Westminster; and as the queen, by the rage of the Pope against her, was become still more the head of the ruling party, it might be expected, both from this incident and from her own prudent and vigorous conduct, that her authority over the two houses would be absolutely uncontrollable. It was so in fact, yet it is remarkable that it prevailed not without some small opposition, and that too arising chiefly from the height of zeal for Protestantism; a disposition of the English, which, in general, contributed extremely to increase the queen's popularity. We shall be somewhat particular in relating the transactions of this session, because they show as well the extent of the royal power during that age, as the character of Elizabeth, and the genius of her government. It will be curious also to observe the faint dawn of the spirit of liberty among the English, the jealousy with which that spirit was repressed by the sovereign, the imperious conduct which was maintained in opposition to it, and the ease with which it was subdued by this arbitrary princess.

The lord-keeper Bacon, after the speaker of the commons was elected, told the parliament, in the queen's name, that she enjoined them not to meddle with any matters of state (D'Ewes, p. 141). Such was his expression, by which he probably meant the questions of the queen's marriage and the succession, about which they had before given her some uneasiness; for as to the other great points of government, alliances, peace and war, or foreign negotiations, no parliament in that age ever presumed to take them under consideration, or question, in these particulars, the conduct of their sovereign or of his ministers.

In the former parliament the puritans had introduced seven bills for a farther reformation in religion; but they had not been able to prevail in any one of them (D'Ewes, p. 185). This House of Commons had sat a very few days, when Strickland, a member, revived one of the bills, that for the amendment of the liturgy (Ibid., pp. 156, 157). The chief objection which he mentioned was the sign of the cross in baptism. Another member added the kneeling at the sacrament, and remarked that if a posture of humiliation were requisite in that act of devotion, it were better that the communicants should throw themselves prostrate on the ground, in order to keep at the widest distance from former superstition (Ibid., p. 167).

Religion was a point of which Elizabeth was, if possible, still more jealous than of matters of state. She pretended that, in quality of supreme head or governor of the Church, she was fully empowered by her prerogative alone, to decide all questions which might arise with regard to doctrine, discipline, or worship, and she never would allow her parliaments so much as to take these points into consideration (Ibid., p. 158). The courtiers did not forget to insist on this topic; the treasurer of the household, though he allowed that any heresy might be repressed by parliament (a concession which seems to have been rash and unguarded; since the act, investing the crown with the supremacy, or rather recognising that prerogative, gave the sovereign full

power to reform all heresies), yet he affirmed that it belonged to the queen alone, as head of the Church, to regulate every question of ceremony in worship (D'Ewes, p. 166). The comptroller seconded this argument, insisted on the extent of the queen's prerogative, and said that the house might, from former examples, have taken warning not to meddle with such matters. One Pistor opposed these remonstrances of the courtiers. He was scandalised, he said, that the affairs of such infinite consequence (namely, kneeling and making the sign of the cross) should be passed over so lightly. These questions, he added, concern the salvation of souls, and interest every one more deeply than the monarchy of the whole world. This cause he showed to be the cause of God; the rest were all but terrene, yea trifles in comparison, call them ever so great; subsidies, crowns, kingdoms, he knew not what weight they had, when laid in the balance with subjects of such unspeakable importance (Ibid., p. 166). Though the zeal of this member seems to have been approved of, the house, overawed by the prerogative, voted upon the question, that a petition should be presented to her majesty for her licence to proceed farther in this bill; and, in the meantime, that they should stop all debate or reasoning concerning it (Ib., p. 167).

Matters would probably have rested here, had not the queen been so highly offended with Stricland's presumption, in moving the bill for reformation of the liturgy, that she summoned him before the council, and prohibited him thenceforth from appearing in the House of Commons (Ibid., p. 175). This act of power was too violent even for the submissive parliament to endure. Carleton took notice of the matter; complained that the liberties of the house were invaded; observed that Stricland was not a private man, but represented a multitude; and moved, that he might be sent for, and, if he were guilty of any offence, might answer for it at the bar of the house, which he insinuated to be the only competent tribunal (Ibid.). Yelverton enforced the principles of liberty with still greater boldness. He said that the precedent was dangerous, and though in this happy time of lenity among so many good and honourable personages as were at present invested with authority, nothing of extremity or injury was to be apprehended, yet the times might alter, what now is permitted might hereafter be construed as duty, and might be enforced even on the ground of the present permission. He added, that all matters not treasonable or which implied not too much derogation of the imperial crown, might, without offence, be introduced into parliament, where every question that concerned the community must be considered, and where even the right of the crown itself must finally be determined. He remarked, that men sat not in that house in their private capacities, but as elected by their country; and though it was proper that the prince should retain his prerogative, yet was that prerogative limited by law, as the sovereign could not of himself make laws, neither could he break them, merely from his own authority (D'Ewes, pp. 175, 176).

These principles were popular and noble and generous; but the open assertion of them was at this time somewhat new in England; and the courtiers were more warranted by present practice, when they advanced a contrary doctrine. The treasurer warned the house to be

cautious in their proceedings, neither to venture farther than their assured warrant might extend, nor hazard their good opinion with her majesty in any doubtful cause. The member, he said, whose attendance they required, was not restrained on account of any liberty of speech, but for his exhibiting a bill in the house against the prerogative of the queen; a temerity which was not to be tolerated. And he concluded with observing, that even speeches made in that house had been questioned and examined by the sovereign (*Ibid.*, p. 175). Cleere, another member, remarked that the sovereign's prerogative is not so much as disputable, and that the safety of the queen is the safety of the subject. He added, that in questions of divinity every man was for his instruction to repair to his ordinary; and he seems to insinuate that the bishops themselves for their instruction must repair to the queen (*D'Ewes*, p. 175). Fleetwood observed, that in his memory he knew a man, who, in the fifth of the present queen, had been called to account for a speech in the house. But lest this example should be deemed too recent, he would inform them from the parliament rolls, that in the reign of Henry V. a bishop was committed to prison by the king's command, on account of his freedom of speech, and the parliament presumed not to go farther than to be humble suitors for him; in the subsequent reign the speaker himself was committed, with another member, and the house found no other remedy than a like submissive application. He advised the house to have recourse to the same expedient, and not to presume either to send for their member, or demand him as of right (*Ibid.*, p. 176). During this speech, those members of the privy-council who sat in the house whispered together, upon which the speaker moved that the house should make stay of all farther proceedings, a motion which was immediately complied with. The queen, finding that the experiment which she had made was likely to excite a great ferment, saved her honour by this silence of the house; and lest the question might be resumed, she sent next day to Stricland her permission to give his attendance in parliament (*Idem*, *ibid.*).

Notwithstanding this rebuke from the throne, the zeal of the commons still engaged them to continue the discussion of those other bills which regarded religion; but they were interrupted by a still more arbitrary proceeding of the queen, in which the lords condescended to be her instruments. This house sent a message to the commons, desiring that a committee might attend them. Some members were appointed for that purpose; and the upper house informed them that the queen's majesty being informed of the articles of reformation which they had canvassed, approved of them, intended to publish them, and to make the bishops execute them, by virtue of her royal authority, as supreme head of the Church of England; but that she would not permit them to be treated of in parliament (*D'Ewes*, pp. 180, 185). The House of Commons, though they did not entirely stop proceedings on account of this injunction, seem to have been nowise offended at such haughty treatment; and in the issue all the bills came to nothing.

A motion, made by Robert Bell, a puritan, against an exclusive patent granted to a company of merchants in Bristol (*Ibid.*, p. 185),

gave also occasion to several remarkable incidents. The queen, some days after the motion was made, sent orders by the mouth of the speaker, commanding the house to spend little time in motions, and to avoid long speeches. All the members understood that she had been offended, because a matter had been moved which seemed to touch her prerogative (D'Ewes, p. 159). Fleetwood accordingly spoke of this delicate subject. He observed that the queen had a prerogative of granting patents; that to question the validity of any patent was to invade the royal prerogative; that all foreign trade was entirely subjected to the pleasure of the sovereign; that even the statute, which gave liberty of commerce, admitted of all prohibitions from the crown; and that the prince, when he granted an exclusive patent, only employed the power vested in him, and prohibited all others from dealing in any particular branch of commerce. He quoted the clerk of the parliament's book to prove, that no man might speak in parliament of the statute of wills unless the king first gave licence, because the royal prerogative in the wards was thereby touched. He showed likewise the statutes of Edward I., Edward III., and Henry IV., with a saving of the prerogative. And in Edward VI.'s time, the protector was applied to, for his allowance to mention matters of prerogative (*Ibid.*, p. 160).

Sir Humphrey Gilbert, the gallant and renowned sea adventurer, carried these topics still farther. He endeavoured to prove the motion made by Bell to be a vain device, and perilous to be treated of; since it tended to the derogation of the prerogative imperial, which whoever should attempt so much as in fancy, could not, he said, be otherwise accounted than an open enemy. For what difference is there between saying that the queen is not to use the privilege of the crown and saying that she is not queen? And though experience has shown so much clemency in her majesty, as might, perhaps, make subjects forget their duty, it is not good to sport or venture too much with princes. He reminded them of the fable of the hare, who, upon the proclamation, that all horned beasts should depart the court, immediately fled, lest his ears should be construed to be horns; and by this apologue he seems to insinuate, that even those who heard or permitted such dangerous speeches would not themselves be entirely free from danger. He desired them to beware, lest if they meddled farther with these matters, the queen might look to her own power; and finding herself able to suppress their challenged liberty, and to exert an arbitrary authority, might imitate the example of Louis XI. of France, who, as he termed it, had delivered the crown from wardship (*Ibid.*, p. 168).

Though this speech gave some disgust, nobody at the time replied anything, but that Sir Humphrey mistook the meaning of the house, and of the member who made the motion; they never had any other purpose, then to represent their grievances, in due and seemly form unto her majesty. But in a subsequent debate, Peter Wentworth, a man of a superior free spirit, called that speech an insult on the house; noted Sir Humphrey's disposition to flatter and fawn on the prince, compared him to theameleon, which can change itself into all colours, except white, and recommended to the house a due care of liberty of speech, and of the privileges of parliament (D'Ewes,

p. 175). It appears on the whole that the motion against the exclusive patent had no effect. Bell, the member who first introduced it, was sent for by the council, and was severely reprimanded for his temerity. He returned to the house with such an amazed countenance, that all the members, well informed of the reason, were struck with terror; and during some time no one durst rise to speak of any matter of importance, for fear of giving offence to the queen and the council. Even after the fears of the commons were somewhat abated, the members spoke with extreme precaution; and by employing most of their discourse in preambles and apologies, they showed their conscious terror of the rod which hung over them. Wherever any delicate point was touched, though ever so gently, nay seemed to be approached, though at ever so great a distance, the whisper ran about the house, 'The queen will be offended; the council will be extremely displeased;' and by these surmises men were warned of the danger to which they exposed themselves. It is remarkable that the patent which the queen defended with such imperious violence was contrived for the profit of four courtiers, and was attended with the utter ruin of 7000 or 8000 of her industrious subjects (D'Ewes, p. 242).

Thus everything which passed the two houses was extremely respectful and submissive, yet did the queen think it incumbent on her at the conclusion (May 29) of the session to check, and that with great severity, those feeble efforts of liberty which had appeared in the motions and speeches of some members. The lord keeper told the commons, in her majesty's name, that though the majority of the lower house had shown themselves in their proceedings discreet and dutiful, yet a few of them had discovered a contrary character, and had justly merited the reproach of audacious, arrogant, and presumptuous; contrary to their duty, both as subjects and parliament men, nay, contrary to the express injunctions given them from the throne at the beginning of the session; injunctions, which it might well become them to have better attended to; they had presumed to call in question her majesty's grants and prerogatives. But her majesty warns them, that since they thus wilfully forget themselves they are otherwise to be admonished: some other species of correction must be found for them; since neither the commands of her majesty, nor the example of their wiser brethren, can reclaim their audacious, arrogant, and presumptuous folly, by which they are thus led to meddle with what nowise belongs to them, and what lies beyond the compass of their understanding (Ibid., p. 151).

In all these transactions appears clearly the opinion which Elizabeth had entertained of the duty and authority of parliaments. They were not to canvass any matters of state, still less were they to meddle with the church. Questions of either kind were far above their reach, and were appropriated to the prince alone, or to those councils and ministers with whom he was pleased to entrust them. What then was the office of parliaments? They might give directions for the due tanning of leather, or milling of cloth, for the preservation of pheasants and partridges, for the reparation of bridges and highways, for the punishment of vagabonds or common beggars. Regulations concerning the police of the country came properly under their inspection, and

the laws of this kind which they prescribed, had, if not a greater, yet a more durable authority, than those which were derived solely from the proclamations of the sovereign. Precedents or reports could fix a rule for decisions in private property, or the punishment of crimes; but no alteration or innovation in the municipal law could proceed from any other source than the parliament; nor would the courts of justice be induced to change their established practice by an order of council. But the most acceptable part of parliamentary proceedings was the granting of subsidies; the attainting and punishing of the obnoxious nobility, or any minister of state after his fall; the countenancing of such great efforts of power as might be deemed somewhat exceptionable, when they proceeded entirely from the sovereign. The redress of grievances was sometimes promised to the people, but seldom could have place, while it was an established rule, that the prerogatives of the crown must not be abridged or so much as questioned and examined in parliament. Even though monopolies and exclusive companies had already reached an enormous height, and were every day increasing, to the destruction of all liberty, and extinction of all industry; it was criminal in a member to propose, in the most regular manner, a parliamentary application against any of them.

These maxims of government were not kept secret by Elizabeth, or smoothed over by any fair appearances or plausible pretences. They were openly avowed in her speeches and messages to parliament; and were accompanied with all the haughtiness, nay, sometimes bitterness, of expression, which the meanest servant could look for from his offended master. Yet notwithstanding this conduct, Elizabeth continued to be the most popular sovereign that ever swayed the sceptre of England; because the maxims of her reign were conformable to the principles of the times, and to the opinion generally entertained with regard to the constitution. The continued encroachments of popular assemblies on Elizabeth's successors have so changed our ideas in these matters, that the passages above mentioned appear to us extremely curious, and even at first surprising; but they were so little remarked during the time, that neither Camden, though a contemporary writer, nor any other historian, has taken any notice of them. So absolute, indeed, was the authority of the crown, that the precious spark of liberty had been kindled, and was preserved, by the puritans alone; and it was to this sect, whose principles appear so frivolous and habits so ridiculous, that the English owe the whole freedom of their constitution. Actuated by that zeal which belongs to innovators, and by the courage which enthusiasm inspires, they hazarded the utmost indignation of their sovereign; and employing all their industry to be elected into parliament, a matter not difficult, while a seat was rather regarded as a burden than an advantage,¹ they first acquired a majority in that assembly, and then obtained an ascendant over the church and the monarchy.

The following were the principal laws enacted this session. It was declared treason, during the life of the queen, to affirm that she was not

¹ It appeared this session, that a bribe of 4*l.* had been given to a mayor for a seat in parliament. D'Ewes, p. 181. It is probable that the member had no other view than the privilege of being free from arrests.

the lawful sovereign, or that any other possessed a preferable title, or that she was a heretic, schismatic, or infidel, or that the laws and statutes cannot limit and determine the right of the crown and the successor thereof: to maintain in writing or printing, that any person, except the natural issue of her body, is or ought to be the queen's heir or successor, subjected the person and all his abettors, for the first offence, to imprisonment during a year, and to the forfeiture of half their goods: the second offence subjected them to the penalty of a premunire (13 Eliz., c. 1). This law was plainly levelled against the Queen of Scots and her partisans; and implied an avowal, that Elizabeth never intended to declare her successor. It may be noted, that the usual phrase of lawful issue, which the parliament thought indecent towards the queen, as if she could be supposed to have any other, was changed into that of natural issue. But this alteration was the source of pleasantry during the time; and some suspected a deeper design, as if the Earl of Leicester intended, in case of the queen's demise, to produce some bastard of his own, and affirm that he was her offspring (Camden, p. 436).

It was also enacted, that whosoever by bulls should publish absolutions or other rescripts of the Pope, or should, by means of them, reconcile any man to the church of Rome, such offenders, as well as those who were so reconciled, should be guilty of treason. The penalty of a premunire was imposed on every one who imported any *Agnus Dei*, crucifix, or such other implement of superstition, consecrated by the Pope (13 Eliz., c. 2). The former laws against usury were enforced by a new statute (Ibid., c. 8). A supply of one subsidy and two fifteenths was granted by parliament. The queen, as she was determined to yield to them none of her power, was very cautious in asking them for any supply. She endeavoured, either by a rigid frugality to make her ordinary revenues suffice for the necessities of the crown, or she employed her prerogative, and procured money by the granting of patents, monopolies, or by some such ruinous expedient.

Though Elizabeth possessed such uncontrolled authority over her parliaments, and such extensive influence over her people; though during a course of thirteen years, she had maintained the public tranquillity, which was only interrupted by the hasty and ill-concerted insurrection in the north; she was still kept in great anxiety, and felt her throne perpetually totter under her. The violent commotions excited in France and the Low Countries, as well as in Scotland, seemed in one view to secure her against any disturbance; but they served on more reflection, to instruct her in the danger of her situation, when she remarked that England, no less than these neighbouring countries, contained the seeds of intestine discord, the differences of religious opinion, and the furious intolerance and animosity of the opposite sectaries.

The league formed at Bayonne in 1566 for the extermination of the Protestants had not been concluded so secretly but intelligence of it had reached Condé, Coligni, and the other leaders of the Huguenots; and finding that the measures of the court agreed with their suspicions, they determined to prevent the cruel perfidy of their enemies, and to strike a blow before the Catholics were aware of the danger. The

Huguenots, though dispersed over the whole kingdom, formed a kind of separate empire; and being closely united, as well by their religious zeal as by the dangers to which they were perpetually exposed, they obeyed, with entire submission, the orders of their leaders, and were ready on every signal to fly to arms. The king and queen mother were living in great security at Monceaux in Brie, when they found themselves surrounded by Protestant troops, which had secretly marched thither from all quarters; and had not a body of Swiss come speedily to their relief, and conducted them with great intrepidity to Paris, they must have fallen, without resistance, into the hands of the malcontents. A battle was afterwards fought in the plains of St. Denis; where, though the old Constable Montmorency, the general of the Catholics, was killed combating bravely at the head of his troops, the Huguenots were finally defeated. Condé, collecting his broken forces, and receiving a strong reinforcement from the German Protestants, appeared again in the field; and laying siege to Chartres, a place of great importance, obliged the court to agree to a new accommodation.

So great was the mutual animosity of those religionists, that even had the leaders on both sides been ever so sincere in their intentions for peace, and reposed ever so much confidence in each other, it would have been difficult to retain the people in tranquillity; much more, where such extreme jealousy prevailed, and where the court employed every pacification as a snare for their enemies. A plan was laid for seizing the person of the prince and admiral, who narrowly escaped to Rochelle, and summoned their partisans to their assistance (Davila, lib. 4). The civil wars were renewed with greater fury than ever, and the parties became still more exasperated against each other. The young Duke of Anjou, brother to the king, commanded the forces of the Catholics; and fought, in 1569, a great battle at Jarnac with the Huguenots, where the Prince of Condé was killed, and his army defeated. This discomfiture, with the loss of so great a leader, reduced not the Huguenots to despair. The admiral still supported the cause; and having placed at the head of the Protestants the Prince of Navarre, then sixteen years of age, and the young Prince of Condé, he encouraged the party rather to perish bravely in the field, than ignominiously by the hands of the executioner. He collected such numbers, so determined to endure every extremity, that he was enabled to make head against the Duke of Anjou; and being strengthened by a new reinforcement of Germans, he obliged that prince to retreat and to divide his forces.

Coligni then laid siege to Poitiers; and as the eyes of all France were fixed on this enterprise, the Duke of Guise, emulous of the renown which his father had acquired by the defence of Metz, threw himself into the place, and so animated the garrison by his valour and conduct, that the admiral was obliged to raise the siege. Such was the commencement of that unrivalled fame and grandeur afterwards attained by this Duke of Guise. The attachment which all the Catholics had borne to his father was immediately transferred to the son; and men pleased themselves in comparing all the great and shining qualities which seemed, in a manner, hereditary in that family.

Equal in affability, in munificence, in address, in eloquence, and in every quality which engages the affections of men; equal also in valour, in conduct, in enterprise, in capacity; there seemed only this difference between them, that the son, educated in more turbulent times, and finding a greater dissolution of all law and order, exceeded the father in ambition and temerity, and was engaged in enterprises still more destructive to the authority of his sovereign, and to the repose of his native country.

Elizabeth, who kept her attentions fixed on the civil commotions of France, was nowise pleased with this new rise of her enemies the Guises; and being anxious for the fate of the Protestants, whose interests were connected with her own (Haynes, p. 471), she was engaged, notwithstanding her aversion from all rebellion, and from all opposition to the will of the sovereign, to give them secretly some assistance. Besides employing her authority with the German princes, she lent money to the Queen of Navarre, and received some jewels as pledges for the loan. And she permitted Henry Champenon to levy, and transport over into France, a regiment of 100 gentlemen volunteers; among whom Walter Raleigh, then a young man, began to distinguish himself in that great school of military valour (Camden, p. 423). The admiral, constrained by the impatience of his troops, and by the difficulty of subsisting them, fought with the Duke of Anjou the battle of Moncontour, in Poitou, where he was wounded and defeated. The court of France, notwithstanding their frequent experience of the obstinacy of the Huguenots, and the vigour of Coligni, vainly flattered themselves that the force of the rebels was at last finally annihilated; and they neglected further preparations against a foe who, they thought, could never more become dangerous. They were surprised to hear that this leader had appeared, without dismay, in another quarter of the kingdom; had encouraged the young princes, whom he governed, to like constancy; had assembled an army: had taken the field; and was even strong enough to threaten Paris. The public finances, diminished by the continued disorders of the kingdom, and wasted by so many fruitless military enterprises, could no longer bear the charge of a new armament; and the king, notwithstanding his extreme animosity against the Huguenots, was obliged, in 1570, to conclude an accommodation with them, to grant them a pardon for all past offences, and to renew the edicts for liberty of conscience.

Though a pacification was seemingly concluded, the mind of Charles was nowise reconciled to his rebellious subjects; and this accommodation, like all the foregoing, was nothing but a snare, by which the perfidious court had projected to destroy at once, without danger, all its formidable enemies. As the two young princes, the admiral, and the other leaders of the Huguenots, instructed by past experience, discovered an extreme distrust of the king's intentions, and kept themselves in security, at a distance, all possible artifices were employed to remove their apprehensions, and convince them of the sincerity of the new counsels which seemed to be embraced. The terms of the peace were religiously observed to them; the toleration was strictly maintained; all attempts made by the zealous Catholics to infringe it were punished with severity; offices, and favours, and honours were

bestowed on the principal nobility among the Protestants; and the king and council everywhere declared that, tired of civil disorders, and convinced of the impossibility of forcing men's consciences, they were thenceforth determined to allow every one the free exercise of his religion.

Among the other artifices employed to lull the Protestants into a fatal security, Charles affected to enter into close connection with Elizabeth; and as it seemed not the interest of France to forward the union of the two kingdoms of Great Britain, that princess the more easily flattered herself that the French monarch would prefer her friendship to that of the Queen of Scots. The better to deceive her, proposals of marriage were made her with the Duke of Anjou; a prince whose youth, beauty, and reputation for valour might naturally be supposed to recommend him to a woman who had appeared not altogether insensible of these endowments. The queen immediately founded on this offer the project of deceiving the court of France; and being intent on that artifice, she laid herself the more open to be deceived. Negotiations were entered into with regard to the marriage, terms of the contract were proposed, difficulties started and removed, and the two courts, equally insincere though not equally culpable, seemed to approach every day nearer to each other in their demands and concessions. The great obstacle seemed to lie in adjusting the difference of religion; because Elizabeth, who recommended toleration to Charles, was determined not to grant it in her own dominions, not even to her husband; and the Duke of Anjou seemed unwilling to submit for the sake of interest, to the dishonour of an apostasy.¹

The artificial politics of Elizabeth never triumphed so much in any contrivances as in those which were conjoined with her coquetry; and as her character in this particular was generally known, the court of France thought that they might, without danger of forming any final conclusion, venture the farther in their concessions and offers to her. The queen also had other motives for dissimulation. Besides the advantage of discouraging Mary's partisans by the prospect of an alliance between France and England, her situation with Philip demanded her utmost vigilance and attention; and the violent authority established in the Low Countries made her desirous of fortifying herself even with the bare appearance of a new confederacy.

The theological controversies, which had long agitated Europe, had from the beginning penetrated into the Low Countries; and as these provinces maintained an extensive commerce, they had early received from every kingdom with which they corresponded a tincture of religious innovation. An opinion at that time prevailed, which had been zealously propagated by priests, and implicitly received by sovereigns, that heresy was closely connected with rebellion, and that every great or violent alteration in the church involved a like revolution in the civil government. The forward zeal of the reformers would seldom allow them to wait the consent of the magistrate to their innovations; they became less dutiful when opposed and punished; and though they pretended spirit of reasoning and inquiry was in reality nothing but a new species of implicit faith, the prince took the

¹ Camden, p. 422: Davila, lib. v; Digges's Complete Ambassador, pp. 84, 110, 111.

alarm; as if no institutions could be secure from the temerity of their researches. The Emperor Charles, who proposed to augment his authority under pretence of defending the Catholic faith, easily adopted these political principles; and notwithstanding the limited prerogative which he possessed in the Netherlands, he published the most arbitrary, severe, and tyrannical edicts against the Protestants; and he took care that the execution of them should be no less violent and sanguinary. He was neither cruel nor bigoted in his natural disposition; yet an historian, celebrated for moderation and caution, has computed that, in the several persecutions promoted by that monarch, no less than 100,000 perished by the hands of the executioner.¹ But these severe remedies, far from answering the purposes intended, had rather served to augment the numbers as well as zeal of the reformers; and the magistrates of the several towns, seeing no end of those barbarous executions, felt their humanity rebel against their principles, and declined any further persecution of the new doctrines.

When Philip succeeded to his father's dominions, the Flemings were justly alarmed with new apprehensions; lest their prince, observing the lenity of the magistrates, should take the execution of the edicts from such remiss hands, and should establish the Inquisition in the Low Countries, accompanied with all the iniquities and barbarities which attended it in Spain. The severe and unrelenting character of the man, his professed attachment to Spanish manners, the inflexible bigotry of his principles, all these circumstances increased their terror; and when he departed the Netherlands, with a known intention never to return, the disgust of the inhabitants was extremely augmented, and their dread of those tyrannical orders which their sovereign, surrounded with Spanish ministers, would issue from his cabinet at Madrid. He left the Duchess of Parma governess of the Low Countries; and the plain good sense and good temper of that princess, had she been entrusted with the sole power, would have preserved the submission of those opulent provinces, which were lost from that refinement of treacherous and barbarous politics, on which Philip so highly valued himself. The Flemings found that the name alone of regent remained with the Duchess; that Cardinal Granville entirely possessed the king's confidence; that attempts were every day made on their liberties; that a resolution was taken never more to assemble the states; that new bishoprics were arbitrarily erected, in order to enforce the execution of the persecuting edicts; and that, on the whole, they must expect to be reduced to the condition of a province under the Spanish monarchy. The discontents of the nobility gave countenance to the complaints of the gentry, which encouraged the mutiny of the populace; and all orders of men showed a strong disposition to revolt. Associations were formed, tumultuary petitions presented, names of distinction assumed, badges of party displayed; and the current of the people, impelled by religious zeal and irritated by feeble resistance, rose to such a height, that in several towns, particularly in Antwerp, they made an open invasion on the established worship, pillaged the churches and monasteries, broke the images, and committed the most unwarrantable disorders.

¹ Grotii Annal., lib. i. Father Paul, another great authority, computes, in a passage above cited, that 50,000 persons were put to death in the Low Countries alone.

The wiser part of the nobility, particularly the Prince of Orange, and the Counts Egmont and Horn, were alarmed at these excesses, to which their own discontents had at first given countenance; and seconding the wisdom of the governess, they suppressed the dangerous insurrections, punished the ringleaders, and reduced all the provinces to a state of order and submission. But Philip was not contented with the re-establishment of his ancient authority; he considered that provinces so remote from the seat of government could not be ruled by a limited prerogative; and that a prince, who must intreat rather than command, would necessarily, when he resided not among the people, feel every day a diminution of his power and influence. He determined, therefore, to lay hold of the late popular disorders, as a pretence for entirely abolishing the privileges of the Low Country provinces; and for ruling them thenceforth with a military and arbitrary authority.

In the execution of this violent design, he employed a man who was a proper instrument in the hands of such a tyrant. Ferdinand of Toledo, Duke of Alva, had been educated amidst arms; and having attained a consummate knowledge in the military art, his habits led him to transfer into all government the severe discipline of a camp, and to conceive no measures between prince and subject, but those of rigid command and implicit obedience. This general, in 1568, conducted from Italy to the Low Countries a powerful body of veteran Spaniards; and his avowed animosity to the Flemings, with his known character, struck that whole people with terror and consternation. It belongs not to our subject to relate at length those violences which Alva's natural barbarity, steeled by reflection, and aggravated by insolence, exercised on those flourishing provinces. It suffices to say, that all their privileges, the gift of so many princes, and the inheritance of so many ages, were openly and expressly abolished by edict; arbitrary and sanguinary tribunals erected; the Counts Egmont and Horn, in spite of their great merits and past services, brought to the scaffold; multitudes of all ranks thrown into confinement, and thence delivered over to the executioner; and notwithstanding the peaceable submission of all men, nothing was heard of but confiscation, imprisonment, exile, torture, and death.

Elizabeth was equally displeased to see the progress of that scheme, laid for the extermination of the protestants, and to observe the erection of so great a military power, in a state situated in so near a neighbourhood. She gave protection to all the Flemish exiles who took shelter in her dominions; and as many of these were the most industrious inhabitants of the Netherlands, and had rendered that country celebrated for its arts, she reaped the advantage of introducing into England some useful manufactures, which were formerly unknown in that kingdom. Foreseeing that the violent government of Alva could not long subsist without exciting some commotion, she ventured to commit an insult upon him, which she would have been cautious not to hazard against a more established authority. Some Genoese merchants had engaged, by contract with Philip, to transport into Flanders the sum of 400,000 crowns; and the vessels on which this money was embarked had been attacked in the Channel by some privateers

equipped by the French Huguenots, and had taken shelter in Plymouth and Southampton. The commanders of the ships pretended that the money belonged to the King of Spain; but the queen, finding upon inquiry that it was the property of Genoese merchants, took possession of it as a loan; and by that means deprived the Duke of Alva of this resource in the time of his greatest necessity. Alva, in revenge, seized all the English merchants in the Low Countries, threw them into prison, and confiscated their effects. The queen retaliated by a like violence on the Flemish and Spanish merchants, and gave all the English liberty to make reprisals on the subjects of Philip.

These differences were afterwards accommodated by treaty, and mutual reparations were made to the merchants; but nothing could repair the loss which so well-timed a blow inflicted on the Spanish government in the Low Countries. Alva, in want of money, and dreading the immediate mutiny of his troops, to whom great arrears were due, imposed by his arbitrary will the most ruinous taxes on the people. He not only required the hundredth penny, and the twentieth of all immovable goods; he also demanded the tenth of all movable goods on every sale; an absurd tyranny, which would not only have destroyed all arts and commerce, but even have restrained the common intercourse of life. The people refused compliance, the duke had recourse to his usual expedient of the gibbet, and thus matters came still nearer the last extremities between the Flemings and the Spaniards (*Bentivoglio*, part I, lib. v.; *Camden*, p. 416).

All the enemies of Elizabeth, in order to revenge themselves for her insults, had naturally recourse to one policy, the supporting of the cause and pretensions of the Queen of Scots; and Alva, whose measures were ever violent, soon opened a secret intercourse with that princess. There was one Rodolphi, a Florentine merchant, who had resided about fifteen years in London, and who, while he conducted his commerce in England, had managed all the correspondence of the court of Rome with the Catholic nobility and gentry (*Lesley*, p. 123; *State Trials*, vol. i., p. 87). He had been thrown into prison at the time when the Duke of Norfolk's intrigues with Mary had been discovered; but either no proof was found against him, or the part which he had acted was not very criminal; and he soon after recovered his liberty. This man, zealous for the Catholic faith, had formed a scheme, in concert with the Spanish ambassador, for subverting the government by a foreign invasion and a domestic insurrection; and when he communicated his project by letter to Mary, he found that as she was now fully convinced of Elizabeth's artifices, and despaired of ever recovering her authority, or even her liberty, by pacific measures, she willingly gave her concurrence. The great number of discontented Catholics were the chief source of their hopes on the side of England; and they also observed that the kingdom was at that time full of indigent gentry, chiefly younger brothers, who having at present, by the late decay of the church, and the yet languishing state of commerce, no prospect of a livelihood suitable to their birth, were ready to throw themselves into any desperate enterprise (*Lesley*, p. 123). But in order to inspire life and courage into all these malcontents, it was requisite that some great nobleman should put himself at their head; and no one appeared to Rodolphi, and to

the Bishop of Ross, who entered into all these intrigues, so proper, both on account of his power and his popularity, as the Duke of Norfolk.

This nobleman, when released from confinement in the Tower, had given his promise that he would drop all intercourse with the Queen of Scots (Haynes, p. 571); but finding that he had lost, and, as he feared, beyond recovery, the confidence and favour of Elizabeth, and being still, in some degree, restrained from his liberty, he was tempted, by impatience and despair, to violate his word, and to open anew his correspondence with the captive princess (State Trials, vol. i., p. 102). A promise of marriage was renewed between them; the duke engaged to enter into all her interests; and as his remorse gradually diminished in the course of these transactions, he was pushed to give his consent to enterprises still more criminal. Rodolphi's plan was that the Duke of Alva should, on some other pretence, assemble a great quantity of shipping in the Low Countries; should transport a body of six thousand foot, and four thousand horse, into England; should land them at Harwich, where the Duke of Norfolk was to join them with all his friends; should thence march directly to London, and oblige the queen to submit to whatever terms the conspirators should please to impose upon her (Lesley, p. 155; State Trials, vol. i., pp. 86, 87). Norfolk expressed his assent to this plan; and three letters, in consequence of it, were written in his name by Rodolphi, one to Alva, another to the Pope, and a third to the King of Spain; but the duke, apprehensive of the danger, refused to sign them (Lesley, pp. 159, 161; Camden, p. 432). He only sent to the Spanish ambassador a servant and confidant, named Barker, as well to notify his concurrence in the plan, as to vouch for the authenticity of these letters; and Rodolphi, having obtained a letter of credence from the ambassador, proceeded on his journey to Brussels and to Rome. The Duke of Alva and the Pope embraced the scheme with alacrity: Rodolphi informed Norfolk of their intentions (State Trials, vol. i., p. 93); and everything seemed to concur in forwarding the undertaking.

Norfolk, notwithstanding these criminal enterprises, had never entirely forgotten his duty to his sovereign, his country, and his religion; and though he had laid the plan both of an invasion and an insurrection, he still flattered himself that the innocence of his intentions would justify the violence of his measures, and that, as he aimed at nothing but the liberty of the Queen of Scots, and the obtaining of Elizabeth's consent to his marriage, he could not justly reproach himself as a rebel and a traitor (Lesley, p. 155). It is certain, however, that, considering the queen's vigour and spirit, the scheme, if successful, must finally have ended in dethroning her; and her authority was here exposed to the utmost danger.

The conspiracy hitherto had entirely escaped the vigilance of Elizabeth, and that of secretary Cecil, who now bore the title of Lord Burleigh. It was from another attempt of Norfolk's, that they first obtained a hint, which, being diligently traced, led at last to a full discovery. Mary had intended to send a sum of money to Lord Herries, and her partisans in Scotland; and Norfolk undertook to have it delivered to Bannister, a servant of his, at that time in the north, who

was to find some expedient for conveying it to Lord Herries.¹ He entrusted the money to a servant who was not in the secret, and told him that the bag contained a sum of money in silver, which he was to deliver to Bannister with a letter; but the servant, conjecturing from the weight and size of the bag that it was full of gold, carried the letter to Burleigh, who immediately ordered Bannister, Barker, and Hicford, the duke's secretary, to be put under arrest, and to undergo a severe examination. Torture made them confess the whole truth; and as Hicford, though ordered to burn all papers, had carefully kept them concealed under the mats of the Duke's chamber, and under the tiles of the house, full evidence now appeared against his master (Lesley, p. 173). Norfolk himself, who was entirely ignorant of the discoveries made by his servants, was brought before the council, and though exhorted to atone for his guilt by a full confession, he persisted in denying every crime with which he was charged. The queen always declared that, if he had given her this proof of his sincere repentance, she would have pardoned all his former offences (Lesley, p. 175); but finding him obstinate, she committed him to the Tower, and ordered him to be brought to his trial. The Bishop of Ross had, on some suspicion, been committed to custody before the discovery of Norfolk's guilt; and every expedient was employed to make him reveal his share in the conspiracy. He at first insisted on his privilege; but he was told that, as his mistress was no longer a sovereign, he would not be regarded as an ambassador, and that, even if that character were allowed, it did not warrant him in conspiring against the sovereign at whose court he resided (*Ibid.*, p. 189; Spotswood). As he still refused to answer interrogatories, he was informed of the confession made by Norfolk's servants, after which he no longer scrupled to make a full discovery, and his evidence put the guilt of that nobleman beyond all question. A jury of twenty-five peers unanimously passed (A.D. Jan. 12, 1572) sentence upon him. The trial was quite regular, even according to the strict rules observed at present in these matters, except that the witnesses gave not their evidence in court, and were not confronted with the prisoner; a laudable practice, which was not at that time observed in trials for high treason.

The queen still hesitated concerning Norfolk's execution, whether that she was really moved by friendship and compassion towards a peer of that rank and merit, or that, affecting the praise of clemency, she only put on the appearance of these sentiments. Twice she signed a warrant for his execution, and twice revoked the fatal sentence²; and though her ministers and counsellors pushed her to rigour, she still appeared irresolute and undetermined. After four months hesitation a parliament was (A.D. May 8, 1572) assembled; and the commons addressed her, in strong terms, for the execution of the duke; a sanction which, when added to the greatness and certainty of his guilt, would, she thought, justify, in the eyes of all mankind, her severity against that nobleman. Norfolk died (June 2), with calmness and constancy; and though he cleared himself of any disloyal intentions against the queen's authority, he acknowledged the justice of the

¹ Lesley, p. 169; State Trials, vol. i., p. 87; Camden, p. 434; Digges, pp. 134, 137, 140; Strype, vol. ii., p. 82.

² Carte, p. 527, from Fenelon's Dispatches; Digges, p. 166; Strype, vol. ii., p. 83.

sentence by which he suffered (Camden, p. 440; Strype, vol. ii., App. p. 23). That we may relate together affairs of a similar nature, we shall mention that the Earl of Northumberland, being delivered up to the queen by the regent of Scotland, was also, a few months after, brought to the scaffold for his rebellion.

The Queen of Scots was either the occasion or the cause of all these disturbances; but as she was a sovereign princess, and might reasonably, from the harsh treatment which she had met with, think herself entitled to use any expedient for her relief, Elizabeth durst not, as yet, form any resolution of proceeding to extremities against her. She only sent Lord Delawar, Sir Ralph Sadler, Sir Thomas Bromley, and Dr. Wilson to expostulate with her, and to demand satisfaction for all those parts of her conduct which, from the beginning of her life, had given displeasure to Elizabeth; her assuming the arms of England, refusing to ratify the treaty of Edinburgh, intending to marry Norfolk without the queen's consent, concurring in the northern rebellion (Digges, pp. 16, 107; Strype, vol. ii., pp. 51, 52), practising with Rodolphi to engage the King of Spain in an invasion of England (*Ibid.*, pp. 194, 208, 209; Strype, vol. ii., pp. 40, 51), procuring the Pope's bull of excommunication, and allowing her friends abroad to give her the title of Queen of England. Mary justified herself from the several articles of the charge, either by denying the facts imputed to her, or by throwing the blame on others (Camden, p. 442). But the queen was little satisfied with her apology, and the parliament was so enraged against her that the commons made a direct application for her immediate trial and execution. They employed some topics derived from practice and reason, and the laws of nations; but the chief stress was laid on passages and examples from the Old Testament (D'Ewes, pp. 207, 208, etc.), which, if considered as a general rule of conduct (an intention which it is unreasonable to suppose), would lead to consequences destructive of all principles of humanity and morality. Matters were here carried farther than Elizabeth intended; and that princess, satisfied with showing Mary the disposition of the nation, sent to the house her express commands not to deal any farther at present in the affair of the Scottish queen (*Ibid.*, pp. 219, 241). Nothing could be a stronger proof that the puritanical interest prevailed in the house than the intemperate use of authorities derived from Scripture, especially from the Old Testament; and the queen was so little a lover of that sect that she was not likely to make any concession merely in deference to their solicitation. She showed this session her disapprobation of their schemes in another remarkable instance. The commons had passed two bills for regulating ecclesiastical ceremonies, but she sent them a like imperious message with her former ones, and by the terror of her prerogative she stopped all further proceeding in those matters (*Ibid.*, pp. 213, 238).

But though Elizabeth would not carry matters to such extremities against Mary, as were recommended by the parliament, she was alarmed at the great interest and the restless spirit of that princess, as well as her close connections with Spain; and she thought it necessary both to increase the rigour and strictness of her confinement, and to follow maxims different from those which she had hitherto pursued

in her management of Scotland (Digges, p. 152). That kingdom remained still in a state of anarchy. The castle of Edinburgh, commanded by Kirkaldy of Grange, had declared for Mary; and the lords of that party, encouraged by his countenance, had taken possession of the capital, and carried on a vigorous war against the regent. By a sudden and unexpected inroad, they seized that nobleman at Stirling; but finding that his friends, sallying from the castle, were likely to rescue him, they instantly put him to death. The Earl of Marr was chosen regent in his room; and found the same difficulties in the government of that divided country. He was therefore glad to accept of the mediation offered by the French and English ambassadors; and to conclude on equal terms a truce with the queen's party (Spotswood, p. 263). He was a man of a free and generous spirit, and scorned to submit to any dependence on England; and for this reason Elizabeth, who had then formed intimate connections with France, yielded with less reluctance to the solicitations of that court, still maintained the appearance of neutrality between the parties, and allowed matters to remain on a balance in Scotland (Digges, pp. 156, 165, 169). But affairs soon after took a new turn; Marr died of melancholy, with which the distracted state of the country affected him; Morton was chosen regent; and as this nobleman had secretly taken all his measures with Elizabeth, who no longer relied on the friendship of the French court, she resolved to exert herself more effectually for the support of the party which she had always favoured. She sent Sir Henry Killigrew ambassador to Scotland, who found Mary's partisans so discouraged by the discovery and punishment of Norfolk's conspiracy, that they were glad to submit to the king's authority, and accept of an indemnity for all past offences (Spotswood, p. 268). The Duke of Chatelrault and the Earl of Huntley, with the most considerable of Mary's friends, laid down their arms on these conditions. The garrison alone of the castle of Edinburgh continued refractory. Kirkaldy's fortunes were desperate; and he flattered himself with the hopes of receiving assistance from the Kings of France and Spain, who encouraged his obstinacy, in the view of being able, from that quarter, to give disturbance to England. Elizabeth was alarmed with the danger; she no more apprehended making an entire breach with the Queen of Scots, who, she found, would not any longer be amused by her artifices; she had an implicit reliance on Morton; and she saw that, by the submission of all the considerable nobility, the pacification of Scotland would be an easy, as well as a most important undertaking. She ordered, therefore, Sir William Drury, governor of Berwick, to march with some troops and artillery to Edinburgh, and to besiege the castle (Camden, p. 449). The garrison surrendered at discretion; Kirkaldy was delivered into the hands of his countrymen, by whom he was tried, condemned, and executed; Secretary Lidington, who had taken part with him, died soon after a voluntary death, as is supposed; and Scotland, submitting entirely to the regent, gave not, during a long time, any farther inquietude to Queen Elizabeth.

The events which happened in France were not so agreeable to the queen's interests and inclinations. The fallacious pacifications, which

had been so often made with the Huguenots, gave them reason to suspect the present intentions of the court; and, after all the other leaders of that party were deceived into a dangerous credulity, the sagacious admiral still remained doubtful and uncertain. But his suspicions were at last overcome, partly by the profound dissimulation of Charles, partly by his own earnest desire to end the miseries of France, and return again to the performance of his duty towards his prince and country. He considered besides, that as the former violent conduct of the court had ever met with such fatal success, it was not unlikely that a prince, who had newly come to years of discretion, and appeared not to be rivetted in any dangerous animosities or prejudices, would be induced to govern himself by more moderate maxims. And as Charles was young, was of a passionate, hasty temper, and addicted to pleasure (Digges, pp. 8, 39), such deep perfidy seemed either remote from his character, or difficult, and almost impossible to be so uniformly supported by him. Moved by these considerations, the admiral, the Queen of Navarre, and all the Huguenots, began to repose themselves in full security, and gave credit to the treacherous caresses and professions of the French court. Elizabeth herself, notwithstanding her great experience and penetration, entertained not the least distrust of Charles's sincerity; and being pleased to find her enemies of the house of Guise removed from all authority, and to observe an animosity every day growing between the French and Spanish monarchs, she concluded (April 11) a defensive league with the former (Camden, p. 443), and regarded this alliance as an invincible barrier to her throne. Walsingham, her ambassador, sent her over, by every courier, the most satisfactory accounts of the honour, and plain dealing, and fidelity of that perfidious prince.

The better to blind the jealous Huguenots, and draw their leaders into the snare prepared for them, Charles offered his sister, Margaret, in marriage to the Prince of Navarre; and the admiral, with all the considerable nobility of the party, had come to Paris, in order to assist at the celebration of these nuptials, which, it was hoped, would finally, if not compose the differences, at least appease the bloody animosity of the two religions. The Queen of Navarre was poisoned by orders from the court; the admiral was dangerously wounded by an assassin; yet Charles, redoubling his dissimulation, was still able to retain the Huguenots in their security; till, on the evening (Aug. 24) of St. Bartholomew, a few days after the marriage, the signal was given for a general massacre of those religionists, and the king himself, in person, led the way to these assassinations. The hatred, long entertained by the Parisians against the Protestants, made them second, without any preparation, the fury of the court; and persons of every condition, age, and sex, suspected of any propensity to that religion, were involved in an undistinguished ruin. The admiral, his son-in-law Teligni, Soubise, Rochefoucault, Pardaillon, Piles, Lavardin, men who, during the late wars, had signalized themselves by the most heroic actions, were miserably butchered, without resistance; the streets of Paris flowed with blood; and the people, more enraged than satiated with their cruelty, as if repining that death had saved the victims from farther insult, exercised on their dead bodies all the rage of the most

licentious brutality. About five hundred gentlemen and men of rank perished in this massacre, and near ten thousand of inferior condition (Davila, lib. v.). Orders were instantly dispatched to all the provinces for a like general execution of the Protestants; and in Rouen, Lyons, and many other cities, the people emulated the fury of the capital. Even the murder of the King of Navarre, and Prince of Condé, had been proposed by the Duke of Guise; but Charles, softened by the amiable manners of the King of Navarre, and hoping that these young princes might easily be converted to the catholic faith, determined to spare their lives, though he obliged them to purchase their safety by a seeming change of their religion.

Charles, in order to cover this barbarous perfidy, pretended that a conspiracy of the Huguenots to seize his person had been suddenly detected; and that he had been necessitated, for his own defence, to proceed to this severity against them. He sent orders to Fenelon, his ambassador in England, to ask an audience, and to give Elizabeth this account of the late transaction. That minister, a man of probity, abhorred the treachery and cruelty of his court; and even scrupled not to declare, that he was now ashamed to bear the name of Frenchman (Digges, p. 247); yet he was obliged to obey his orders, and make use of the apology which had been prescribed to him. He met with that reception from all the courtiers, which, he knew, the conduct of his master had so well merited. Nothing could be more awful and affecting than the solemnity of his audience. A melancholy sorrow sat on every face; silence, as in the dead of night, reigned through all the chambers of the royal apartment; the courtiers and ladies, clad in deep mourning, were ranged on each side, and allowed him to pass, without affording him one salute or favourable look; till he was admitted to the queen herself (Carte, vol. iii., p. 522, from Fenelon). That princess received him with a more easy, if not a more gracious countenance; and heard his apology, without discovering any visible symptoms of indignation. She then told him, that though, on the first rumour of this dreadful intelligence, she had been astonished that so many brave men and loyal subjects, who rested secure on the faith of their sovereign, should have been suddenly butchered in so barbarous a manner, she had hitherto suspended her judgment till further and more certain information should be brought her; that the account which he had given, even if founded on no mistake or bad information, though it might alleviate, would by no means remove the blame of the king's counsellors, or justify the strange irregularity of their proceedings; that the same force which, without resistance, had massacred so many defenceless men, could easily have secured their persons, and have reserved them for a trial, and for punishment by a legal sentence, which would have distinguished the innocent from the guilty; that the admiral, in particular, being dangerously wounded, and environed by the guards of the king, on whose protection he seemed entirely to rely, had no means of escape, and might surely, before his death, have been convicted of the crimes imputed to him; that it was more worthy of a sovereign to reserve in his own hands the sword of justice, than to commit it to bloody murderers, who, being the declared and mortal enemies of the persons accused, employed it without mercy, and with-

out distinction; that if these sentiments were just, even supposing the conspiracy of the Protestants to be real, how much more so, if that crime was a calumny of their enemies, invented for their destruction? That if, upon inquiry, the innocence of these unhappy victims should afterwards appear, it was the king's duty to turn his vengeance on their defamers, who had thus cruelly abused his confidence, had murdered so many of his brave subjects, and had done what in them lay to cover him with everlasting dishonour; and that, for her part, she should form her judgment of his intentions by his subsequent conduct; and in the meantime should act as desired by the ambassador, and rather pity than blame his master for the extremities to which he had been carried (Digges, pp. 247, 248).

Elizabeth was fully sensible of the dangerous situation in which she now stood. In the massacre of Paris, she saw the result of that general conspiracy, formed for the extermination of the Protestants; and she knew that she herself, as the head and protectress of the new religion, was exposed to the utmost fury and resentment of the Catholics. The violence and cruelty of the Spaniards in the Low Countries was another branch of the same conspiracy; and as Charles and Philip, two princes nearly allied in perfidy and barbarity, as well as in bigotry, had now laid aside their pretended quarrel, and had avowed the most entire friendship (Digges, pp. 268, 282), she had reason, as soon as they had appeased their domestic commotions, to dread the effects of their united counsels. The Duke of Guise also, and his family, whom Charles, in order to deceive the admiral, had hitherto kept at a distance, had now acquired an open and entire ascendant in the court of France; and she was sensible that these princes, from personal as well as political reasons, were her declared and implacable enemies. The Queen of Scots, their near relation and close confederate, was the pretender to her throne; and, though detained in custody, was actuated by a restless spirit, and, besides her foreign allies, possessed numerous and zealous partisans in the heart of the kingdom. For these reasons, Elizabeth thought it more prudent not to reject all commerce with the French monarch, but still to listen to the professions of friendship which he made her. She allowed even the negotiations to be renewed for her marriage with the Duke of Alençon, Charles's third brother (Ibid., passim; Camden, p. 447); those with the Duke of Anjou had already been broken off. She sent the Earl of Worcester to assist in her name at the baptism of a young princess, born to Charles; but before she agreed to give him this last mark of condescension she thought it becoming her dignity to renew her expressions of blame, and even of detestation against the cruelties exercised on his protestant subjects (Digges, pp. 297, 298; Camden, p. 447). Meanwhile, she prepared herself for that attack, which seemed to threaten her from the combined power and violence of the Romanists: she fortified Portsmouth, put her fleet in order, exercised her militia, cultivated popularity with her subjects, acted with vigour for the further reduction of Scotland under obedience to the young king, and renewed her alliance with the German princes, who were no less alarmed than herself at these treacherous and sanguinary measures, so universally embraced by the Roman Catholics.

But though Elizabeth cautiously avoided coming to extremities with Charles, the greatest security that she possessed against his violence was derived from the difficulties, which the obstinate resistance of the Huguenots still created to him. Such of that sect as lived near the frontiers, immediately, on the first news of the massacres, fled into England, Germany, or Switzerland, where they excited the compassion and indignation of the Protestants, and prepared themselves, with increased forces and redoubled zeal, to return into France, and avenge the treacherous slaughter of their brethren. Those who lived in the middle of the kingdom took shelter in the nearest garrisons occupied by the Huguenots ; and finding that they could repose no faith in capitulations, and expect no clemency, were determined to defend themselves to the last extremity. The sect which Charles had hoped at one blow to exterminate had now an army of 18,000 men on foot, and possessed in different parts of the kingdom above a hundred cities, castles, or fortresses (Digges, p. 343) ; nor could that prince deem himself secure from the invasion threatened him by all the other Protestants in Europe. The nobility and gentry of England were roused to such a pitch of resentment, that they offered to levy an army of 22,000 foot and 4,000 horse, to transport them into France, and to maintain them six months at their own charge ; but Elizabeth, who was cautious in her measures, and who feared to inflame further the quarrel between the two religions by these dangerous crusades, refused her consent, and moderated the zeal of her subjects (Digges, pp. 335, 341). The German princes, less political or more secure from the resentment of France, forwarded the levies made by the Protestants ; and the young Prince of Condé, having escaped from court, put himself at the head of these troops, and prepared to invade the kingdom. The Duke of Alençon, the King of Navarre, the family of Montmorenci, and many considerable men even among the Catholics, displeased, either on a private or public account, with the measures of the court, favoured the progress of the Huguenots ; and everything relapsed into confusion. The king, instead of repenting his violent counsels, which had brought matters to such extremities, called aloud for new violences (Davila, lib. v.) ; nor could even the mortal distemper under which he laboured moderate the rage and animosity, by which he was actuated. He died (A.D. May 30, 1574) without male issue, at the age of twenty-five years ; a prince whose character, containing that unusual mixture of dissimulation and ferocity, of quick resentment and unrelenting vengeance, executed the greatest mischiefs, and threatened still worse, both to his native country and to all Europe.

Henry, Duke of Anjou, who had, some time before, been elected King of Poland, no sooner heard of his brother's death, than he hastened to take possession of the throne of France ; and found the kingdom not only involved in the greatest present disorders, but exposed to infirmities, for which it was extremely difficult to provide any suitable remedy. The people were divided (A.D. 1575) into two theological factions, furious from their zeal, and mutually enraged from the injuries which they had committed or suffered ; and as all faith had been violated and moderation banished, it seemed impracticable to find any terms of composition between them. Each party had devoted itself to

leaders, whose commands had more authority than the will of the sovereign; and even the Catholics, to whom the king was attached, were entirely conducted by the counsels of Guise and his family. The religious connections had, on both sides, superseded the civil; or rather (for men will always be guided by present interest), two empires being secretly formed in the kingdom, every individual was engaged by new views of interest to follow those leaders, to whom, during the course of past convulsions, he had been indebted for his honours and his preferment.

Henry, observing the low condition of the crown, had laid a scheme for restoring his own authority, by acting as umpire between the parties, by moderating their differences, and by reducing both to a dependence upon himself. He possessed all the talents of dissimulation requisite for the execution of this delicate plan; but being deficient in vigour, application, and sound judgment, instead of acquiring a superiority over both factions, he lost the confidence of both, and taught the partisans of each to adhere still more closely to their particular leaders, whom they found more cordial and sincere in the cause which they espoused. The Huguenots were (A.D. 1576) strengthened by the accession of a German army under the Prince of Condé and Prince Casimir; but much more by the credit and personal virtues of the King of Navarre, who, having fled from court, had placed himself at the head of that formidable party. Henry, in prosecution of his plan, entered into a composition with them; and being desirous of preserving a balance between the sects, he granted them peace on the most advantageous conditions. This was the fifth general peace made with the Huguenots; but though it was no more sincere on the part of the court than any of the former, it gave the highest disgust to the Catholics, and afforded the Duke of Guise the desired pretence of declaiming against the measures and maxims and conduct of the king.

That artful and bold leader took thence an occasion of reducing his party into a more formed and regular body; and he laid the first foundations of the famous League, which, without paying any regard to the royal authority, aimed at the entire suppression of the Huguenots. Such was the unhappy condition of France, from the past severities and violent conduct of its princes, that toleration could no longer be admitted; and a concession for liberty of conscience, which would probably have appeased the reformers, excited the greatest resentment in the Catholics. Henry (A.D. 1577) in order to divert the force of the League from himself, and even to elude its efforts against the Huguenots, declared himself the head of that seditious confederacy, and took the field as leader of the Romanists. But his dilatory and feeble measures betrayed his reluctance to the undertaking; and after some unsuccessful attempts, he concluded a new peace which, though less favourable than the former to the Protestants, gave no contentment to the Catholics. Mutual diffidence still prevailed between the parties; the king's moderation was suspicious to both; each faction continued to fortify itself against that breach, which, they foresaw, must speedily ensue; theological controversy daily whetted the animosity of the sects; and every private injury became the ground of a public quarrel.

The king, hoping by his artifice and subtlety to allure the nation

into a love of pleasure and repose, was himself caught in the snare; and (A.D. 1578) sinking into a dissolute indolence, wholly lost the esteem and, in a great measure, the affections of his people. Instead of advancing such men of character and abilities as were neuters between these dangerous factions, he gave all his confidence to young agreeable favourites, who, unable to prop his falling authority, leaned entirely upon it and inflamed the general odium against his administration. The public burdens, increased by his profuse liberality, and felt more heavy on a disordered kingdom, became another ground of complaint; and the uncontrolled animosity of parties joined to the multiplicity of taxes rendered peace more calamitous than any open state of foreign or even domestic hostility. The artifices of the king were too refined to succeed, and too frequent to be concealed, and the plain, direct and avowed conduct of the Duke of Guise on one side, and that of the King of Navarre on the other, drew (A.D. 1579) by degrees the generality of the nation to devote themselves without reserve to one or the other of those great leaders.

The civil commotions of France were of too general importance to be overlooked by the other princes of Europe, and Elizabeth's foresight and vigilance, though somewhat restrained by her frugality, lead her to take secretly some part in them. Besides employing on all occasions her good offices in favour of the Huguenots, she had expended no inconsiderable sums in levying that army of Germans which the Prince of Condé and Prince Casimir conducted into France (Camden, p. 452); and notwithstanding her negotiations with the court and her professions of amity, she always considered her own interests as connected with the prosperity of the French Protestants and the depression of the house of Guise. Philip, on the other hand, had declared himself protector of the League, had entered into the closest correspondence with Guise, and had employed all his authority in supporting the credit of that factious leader. The sympathy of religion, which of itself begat a connection of interests, was one of considerable inducement, but that monarch had also in view the subduing of his rebellious subjects in the Netherlands; who, as they received great encouragement from the French Protestants, would, he hoped, finally despair of success, after the entire suppression of their friends and confederates.

The same political views which engaged Elizabeth to support the Huguenots, would have led her to assist the distressed Protestants in the Low Countries; but the mighty power of Philip, the tranquillity of all his other dominions, and the great force which he maintained in these inutinous provinces, kept her in awe and obliged her, notwithstanding all temptations and all provocations, to preserve some terms of amity with that monarch. The Spanish ambassador represented to her that many of the Flemish exiles who infested the seas and preyed on his master's subjects were received into the harbours of England, and were there allowed to dispose of their prizes, and by these remonstrances the queen found herself under a necessity of denying them all entrance into her dominions. But this measure proved in the issue extremely prejudicial to the interests of Philip. These desperate exiles, finding no longer any possibility of subsistence, were forced to attempt the most perilous enterprises: and they made

an assault on the Brille, a seaport town in Holland, where they met with success, and after a short resistance became masters of the place (Camden, p. 443). The Duke of Alva was alarmed at the danger, and stopping those bloody executions which he was making on the defenceless Flemings, he hastened with his army to extinguish the flame which, falling on materials so well prepared for combustion, seemed to menace a general conflagration. His fears soon appeared to be well-grounded. The people in the neighbourhood of the Brille, enraged by that complication of cruelty, oppression, insolence, usurpation, and persecution, under which they and all their countrymen laboured, flew to arms, and in a few days almost the whole province of Holland and that of Zealand had revolted from the Spaniards and had openly declared against the tyranny of Alva. This event happened in the year 1572.

William, Prince of Orange, descended from a sovereign family of great lustre and antiquity in Germany, inheriting the possessions of a sovereign family in France, had fixed his residence in the Low Countries, and on account of his noble birth and immense riches, as well as of his personal merit, was universally regarded as the greatest subject that lived in those provinces. He had opposed by all regular and dutiful means the progress of the Spanish usurpations; and when Alva conducted his army into the Netherlands and assumed the government, this prince, well acquainted with the violent character of the man, and the tyrannical spirit of the court of Madrid, wisely fled from the danger which threatened him and retired to his paternal estate and dominions in Germany. He was cited to appear before Alva's tribunal, was condemned in absence, was declared a rebel, and his ample possessions in the Low Countries were confiscated. In revenge, he had levied an army of Protestants in the empire and had made some attempts to restore the Flemings to liberty, but was still repulsed with loss by the vigilance and military conduct of Alva, and by the great bravery as well as discipline of those veteran Spaniards who served under that general.

The revolt of Holland and Zealand, provinces which the Prince of Orange had formerly commanded, and where he was much beloved, called him anew from his retreat; and he added conduct, no less than spirit, to that obstinate resistance which was here made to the Spanish dominion. By uniting the revolted cities in a league, he laid the foundation of that illustrious commonwealth, the offspring of industry and liberty, whose arms and policy have long made so signal a figure in every transaction of Europe. He inflamed the inhabitants by every motive which religious zeal, resentment, or love of freedom could inspire. Though the present greatness of the Spanish monarchy might deprive them of all courage, he still flattered them with the concurrence of the other provinces, and with the assistance from neighbouring states; and he exhorted them in defence of their religion, their liberties, their lives, to endure the utmost extremities of war. From this spirit proceeded the desperate defence of Haarlem, a defence which nothing but the most consuming famine could overcome, and which the Spaniards revenged by the execution of more than 2000 of the inhabitants (Bentivoglio, lib. 7). This extreme severity, instead of

striking terror into the Hollanders, animated them by despair; and the vigorous resistance made at Alcmaer, where Alva was finally repulsed, showed them that their insolent enemies were not invincible. The duke, finding at last the pernicious effects of his violent counsels, solicited to be recalled; Medina-celi, who was appointed his successor, refused to accept the government; Requesens, commendator of Castile, was sent from Italy to replace Alva; and this tyrant departed from the Netherlands in 1574, leaving his name in execration to the inhabitants, and boasting in his turn that during the course of five years he had delivered above 18,000 of these rebellious heretics into the hands of the executioner (Grotius, lib. ii.).

Requesens, though a man of milder dispositions, could not appease the violent hatred which the revolted Hollanders had conceived against the Spanish government; and the war continued as obstinate as ever. In the siege of Leyden, undertaken by the Spaniards, the Dutch opened the dykes and sluices in order to drive them from the enterprise; and the very peasants were active in ruining their fields by an inundation, rather than fall again under the hated tyranny of Spain. But notwithstanding this repulse, the governor still pursued the war, and the contest seemed too unequal between so mighty a monarchy and two small provinces, however fortified by nature, and however defended by the desperate resolution of the inhabitants. The Prince of Orange therefore, in 1575, was resolved to sue for foreign succour, and to make applications to one or other of his great neighbours, Henry or Elizabeth. The court of France was not exempt from the same spirit of tyranny and persecution which prevailed among the Spaniards; and that kingdom, torn by domestic dissensions, seemed not to enjoy at present either leisure or ability to pay regard to foreign interests. But England, long connected both by commerce and alliance with the Netherlands, and now more concerned in the fate of the revolted provinces by sympathy in religion, seemed naturally interested in their defence; and as Elizabeth had justly entertained great jealousy of Philip, and governed her kingdom in perfect tranquillity, hopes were entertained that her policy, her ambition, or her generosity, would engage her to support them under their present calamities. They sent therefore a solemn embassy to London, consisting of St. Aldegonde, Douza, Nivelles, Buys, and Melsen; and after employing the most humble supplications to the queen, they offered her the possession and sovereignty of their provinces, if she would exert her power in their defence.

There were many strong motives which might impel Elizabeth to accept of so liberal an offer. She was apprised of the injuries which Philip had done her, by his intrigues with the malcontents in England and Ireland (Digges, p. 73); she foresaw the danger which she must incur from a total prevalence of the Catholics in the Low Countries; and the maritime situation of those provinces, as well as their command over the great rivers, was an inviting circumstance to a nation like the English, who were beginning to cultivate commerce and naval power. But this princess, though magnanimous, had never entertained the ambition of making conquests or gaining new acquisitions, and the whole purpose of her vigilant and active politics was to maintain, by

the most frugal and cautious expedients, the tranquillity of her own dominions. An open war with the Spanish monarchy was the apparent consequence of her accepting the dominion of these provinces, and after taking the inhabitants under her protection she could never afterwards in honour abandon them, but, however desperate their defence might become, she must embrace it, even farther than her convenience or interests would permit. For these reasons she refused, in positive terms, the sovereignty proffered her, but told the ambassadors that, in return for the goodwill which the Prince of Orange and the states had shown her, she would endeavour to mediate an agreement for them on the most reasonable terms that could be obtained (Camden, pp. 453, 454). She sent accordingly Sir Henry Cobham to Philip, and represented to him the danger which he would incur of losing entirely the Low Countries, if France could obtain the least interval from her intestine disorders, and find leisure to offer her protection to those mutinous and discontented provinces. Philip seemed to take this remonstrance in good part, but no accord ensued, and war in the Netherlands continued with the same violence as before.

It was an accident that delivered the Hollanders from their present desperate situation. Requesens, the governor, dying suddenly, the Spanish troops, discontented for want of pay, and licentious for want of proper authority to command them, broke into a furious mutiny, and threw everything into confusion. They sacked and pillaged the cities of Maestricht and Antwerp, and executed great slaughter on the inhabitants: they threatened the other cities with a like fate; and all the provinces, excepting Luxemburg, united for mutual defence against their violence, and called in the Prince of Orange and the Hollanders as their protectors. A treaty, commonly called the Pacification of Ghent, was formed by common agreement; and the removal of foreign troops, with the restoration of their ancient liberties, was the object which the provinces mutually stipulated to pursue. Don John, of Austria, natural brother to Philip, being appointed governor, found, on his arrival at Luxemburg, that the States had so fortified themselves, and that the Spanish troops were so divided by their situation, that there was no possibility of resistance; and he agreed to the terms required of him. The Spaniards evacuated the country; and these provinces seemed at last to breathe from their calamities.

But it was not easy to settle entire peace while the thirst of revenge and dominion governed the King of Spain, and while the Flemings were so strongly agitated with resentment of past and fear of future injuries. The ambition of Don John, who coveted this great theatre for his military talents, engaged him rather to inflame than appease the quarrel; and as he found the States determined to impose very strict limitations on his authority, he broke all articles, seized Namur, and procured the recal of the Spanish army from Italy. This prince, endowed with a lofty genius, and elated by the prosperous successes of his youth, had opened his mind to vast undertakings; and looking much beyond the conquest of the revolted provinces, had projected to espouse the Queen of Scots, and to acquire in her right the dominion of the British kingdoms (Camden, p. 466; Grotius, lib. iii.). Elizabeth was aware of his intentions; and seeing now, from the union of all

the provinces, a fair prospect of their making a long and vigorous defence against Spain, she no longer scrupled to embrace the protection of their liberties, which seemed so intimately connected with her own safety. After sending them a sum of money, about 20,000*l.*, for the immediate pay of their troops, she concluded a treaty with them, in which she stipulated to assist them with 5000 foot and 1000 horse, at the charge of the Flemings; and to lend them 100,000*l.*, on receiving the bonds of some of the most considerable towns of the Netherlands, for her repayment within the year. It was further agreed, that the commander of the English army should be admitted into the council of the States, and nothing be determined concerning war or peace, without previously informing the queen or him of it; that they should enter into no league without her consent; that if any discord arose among themselves, it should be referred to her arbitration; and that if any prince, on any pretext, should attempt hostilities against her, they should send to her assistance an army equal to that which she had employed in their defence. This alliance was signed on the 7th of January, 1578 (Camden, p. 466).

One considerable inducement to the queen for entering into treaty with the States was to prevent their throwing themselves into the arms of France; and she was desirous to make the King of Spain believe that it was her sole motive. She represented to him by her ambassador, Thomas Wilkes, that hitherto she had religiously acted the part of a good neighbour and ally; had refused the sovereignty of Holland and Zealand when offered her; had advised the Prince of Orange to submit to the king; and had even accompanied her counsel with menaces, in case of his refusal. She persevered, she said, in the same friendly intentions; and, as a proof of it, would venture to interpose with her advice for the composure of the present differences; let Don John, whom she could not but regard as her mortal enemy, be recalled; let some other prince more popular be substituted in his room; let the Spanish armies be withdrawn; let the Flemings be restored to their ancient liberties and privileges; and if, after these concessions, they were still obstinate not to return to their duty, she promised to join her arms with those of the King of Spain, and force them to compliance. Philip dissembled his resentment against the queen; and still continued to supply Don John with money and troops. That prince, though once repulsed at Rimenant, by the valour of the English under Norris, and though opposed, as well by the army of the States as by Prince Casimir, who had conducted to the Low Countries a great body of Germans, paid by the queen, gained a great advantage over the Flemings at Gemblours; but was cut off in the midst of his prosperity by poison, given him secretly, as was suspected, by orders from Philip, who dreaded his ambition. The Prince of Parma succeeded to the command, who, uniting valour and clemency, negotiation and military exploits, made great progress against the revolted Flemings, and advanced the progress of the Spaniards by his arts as well as by his arms.

During these years, while Europe was almost everywhere in great commotion, England enjoyed a profound tranquillity; owing chiefly to the prudence and vigour of the queen's administration, and to the wise

precautions which she employed in all her measures. By supporting the zealous Protestants in Scotland, she had twice given them the superiority over their antagonists, had closely connected their interests with her own, and had procured herself entire security from that quarter whence the most dangerous invasions could be made upon her. She saw in France her enemies, the Guises, though extremely powerful, yet counterbalanced by the Huguenots, her zealous partisans; and even hated by the king, who was jealous of their restless and exorbitant ambition. The bigotry of Philip gave her just ground of anxiety; but the same bigotry had happily excited the most obstinate opposition among his own subjects, and had created him enemies, whom his arms and policy were not likely soon to subdue. The Queen of Scots, her antagonist, and rival, and the pretender to her throne, was a prisoner in her hands; and by her impatience and high spirit had been engaged in practices, which afforded the queen a pretence for rendering her confinement more rigorous, and for cutting off her communication with her partisans in England.

Religion was the capital point, on which depended all the political transactions of that age; and the queen's conduct in this particular, making allowance for the prevailing prejudices of the times, could scarcely be accused of severity or imprudence. She established no inquisition into men's bosoms: she imposed no oath of supremacy, except on those who received trust or emolument from the public; and though the exercise of every religion but the established was prohibited by statute, the violation of this law, by saying mass, and receiving the sacrament in private houses, was in many instances connived at (Camden, p. 459); while, on the other hand, the Catholics, in the beginning of her reign, showed little reluctance against going to church, or frequenting the ordinary duties of public worship. The Pope, sensible that this practice would by degrees reconcile all his partisans to the reformed religion, hastened the publication of the bull, which excommunicated the queen, and freed her subjects from their oaths of allegiance; and great pains were taken by the emissaries of Rome to render the breach between the two religions as wide as possible, and to make the frequenting of Protestant churches appear highly criminal in the Catholics (Walsingham's Letter, Burnet, vol. ii., p. 418; Cabala, p. 406). These practices, with the rebellion which ensued, increased the vigilance and severity of the government; but the Romanists, if their condition were compared with that of the Nonconformists in other countries, and with their own maxims where they domineered, could not justly complain of violence or persecution.

The queen appeared rather more anxious to keep a strict hand over the puritans, who, though their pretensions were not so immediately dangerous to her authority, seemed to be actuated by a more unreasonable obstinacy, and to retain claims, of which, both in civil and ecclesiastical matters, it was, as yet, difficult to discern the full scope and intention. Some secret attempts of that sect to establish a separate congregation and discipline had been carefully repressed in the beginning of this reign (Strype's Life of Parker, p. 342; *Ibid.*, Life of Grindal, p. 315); and when any of the established clergy discovered a tendency to their principles, by omitting the legal habits or cere-

monies, the queen had shown a determined resolution to punish them by fines and deprivation (Heylin, pp. 165, 166): though her orders to that purpose had been frequently eluded, by the secret protection which these sectaries received from some of her most considerable courtiers.

But what chiefly tended to gain Elizabeth the hearts of her subjects was her frugality, which, though carried sometimes to an extreme, led her not to amass treasures, but only to prevent impositions upon her people, who were at that time very little accustomed to bear the burdens of government. By means of her rigid economy, she paid all the debts which she found on the crown with their full interest; though some of these debts had been contracted even during the reign of her father (D'Ewes, p. 245; Camden, p. 446). Some loans which she had exacted at the commencement of her reign were repaid by her; a practice in that age somewhat unusual (D'Ewes, p. 246): and she established her credit on such a footing, that no sovereign in Europe could more readily command any sum which the public exigencies might at any time require (Ibid., p. 245). During this peaceable and uniform government, England furnishes few materials for history; and except the small part which Elizabeth took in foreign transactions, there scarcely passed any occurrence which requires a particular detail.

The most memorable event in this period was a session of parliament held on Feb. 8, 1576; where debates were started, which may appear somewhat curious and singular. Peter Wentworth, a puritan, who had signalized himself in former parliaments by his free and undaunted spirit, opened this session with a premeditated harangue, which drew on him the indignation of the house, and gave great offence to the queen and the ministers. As it seems to contain a rude sketch of those principles of liberty, which happily gained afterwards the ascendant in England, it may not be improper to give, in a few words, the substance of it. He premised that the very name of liberty is sweet; but the thing itself is precious beyond the most inestimable treasure; and that it behoved them to be careful, lest, contenting themselves with the sweetness of the name, they forego the substance, and abandon what of all earthly possessions was of the highest value to the kingdom. He then proceeded to observe, that freedom of speech in that house, a privilege so useful both to sovereign and subject, had been formerly infringed in many essential articles, and was, at present, exposed to the most imminent danger; that it was usual, when any subject of importance was handled, especially if it regarded religion, to surmise, that these topics were disagreeable to the queen, and that the farther proceeding in them would draw down her indignation upon this temerity; that Solomon had justly affirmed the king's displeasure to be a messenger of death; and it was no wonder if men, even though urged by motives of conscience and duty, should be inclined to stop short when they found themselves exposed to so severe a penalty; that, by the employing of this argument, the house was incapacitated from serving their country, and even from serving the queen herself, whose ears, besieged by pernicious flatterers, were thereby rendered inaccessible to the most salutary truths; that it was

a mockery to call an assembly a parliament, yet deny it that privilege which was so essential to its being, and without which it must degenerate into an abject school of servility and dissimulation; that, as the parliament was the great guardian of the laws, they ought to have liberty to discharge their trust, and to maintain that authority whence even kings themselves derive their being; that a king was constituted such by law, and though he was not dependent on man, yet was he subordinate to God and the law, and was obliged to make their prescriptions, not his own will, the rule of his own conduct; that even his commission, as God's vicegerent, enforced, instead of loosening, this obligation; since he was thereby invested with authority to execute on earth the will of God, which is nothing but law and justice; that though these surmises of displeasing the queen by their proceedings had impeached, in a very essential point, all freedom of speech, a privilege granted them by a special law; yet was there a more express and more dangerous invasion made on their liberties, by frequent messages from the throne: that it had become a practice, when the house was entering on any question, either ecclesiastical or civil, to bring an order from the queen, inhibiting them absolutely from treating of such matters, and debarring them from all farther discussion of these momentous articles; that the prelates, emboldened by her royal protection, had assumed a decisive power in all questions of religion, and required that every one should implicitly submit his faith to their arbitrary determinations; that the love which he bore his sovereign forbade him to be silent under such abuses, or to sacrifice, on this important occasion, his duty to servile flattery and complaisance; and that, as no earthly creature was exempt from fault, so neither was the queen herself; but, in imposing this servitude on her faithful commons, had committed a great and even dangerous fault against herself and the whole commonwealth (D'Ewes, p. 236, etc.).

It is easy to observe, from this speech, that, in this dawn of liberty, the parliamentary style was still crude and uninformed, and that the proper decorum of attacking ministers and counsellors, without interesting the honour of the crown, or mentioning the person of the sovereign, was not yet entirely established. The commons expressed great displeasure at this unusual licence; they sequestered Wentworth from the house, and committed him prisoner to the serjeant-at-arms. They even ordered him to be examined by a committee, consisting of all those members who were also members of the privy-council, and a report to be next day made to the house. This committee met in the starchamber, and wearing the aspect of that arbitrary court, summoned Wentworth to appear before them and answer for his behaviour. But though the commons had discovered so little delicacy or precaution, in thus confounding their own authority with that of the starchamber, Wentworth better understood the principles of liberty, and refused to give these counsellors any account of his conduct in parliament, till he were satisfied that they had acted, not as members of the privy-council, but as a committee of the house (D'Ewes, p. 241). He justified his liberty of speech by pleading the rigour and hardship of the queen's messages; and, notwithstanding that the committee showed him by instances in other reigns, that the practice of sending such

messages was not unprecedented, he would not agree to express any sorrow or repentance. The issue of the affair was that, after a month's confinement, the queen sent to the commons informing them that from her special grace and favour, she had restored him to his liberty, and to his place in the house (D'Ewes, p. 244). By this seeming lenity she directly retained the power which she had assumed, of imprisoning the members, and obliging them to answer before her for their conduct in parliament. And Sir Walter Mildmay endeavoured to make the house sensible of her majesty's goodness, in so gently remitting the indignation which she might justly conceive at the temerity of their member; but he informed them that they had not the liberty of speaking what and of whom they pleased; and that indiscreet freedoms, used in that house, had, both in the present and foregoing ages, met with a proper chastisement. He warned them, therefore, not to abuse farther the queen's clemency, lest she be constrained, contrary to her inclination, to turn an unsuccessful lenity into a necessary severity (Ibid., p. 259).

The behaviour of the two houses was, in every other respect, equally tame and submissive. Instead of a bill, which was at first introduced (Ibid., p. 252), for the reformation of the Church, they were contented to present a petition to her majesty for that purpose; and when she told them that she would give orders to her bishops to amend all abuses, and if they were negligent she would herself, by her supreme power and authority over the Church, give such redress as would entirely satisfy the nation, the parliament willingly acquiesced in this sovereign and peremptory decision (Ibid., p. 257).

Though the commons showed so little spirit in opposing the authority of the crown, they maintained this session their dignity against an encroachment of the peers, and would not agree to a conference which, they thought, was demanded of them in an irregular manner. They acknowledged, however, with all humbleness (such is their expression), the superiority of the lords; they only refused to give that house any reason for their proceedings, and asserted that, where they altered a bill sent them by the peers, it belonged to them to desire a conference, not to the upper house to require it (Ibid., p. 263).

The commons granted an aid of one subsidy and two fifteenths. Mildmay, in order to satisfy the house concerning the reasonableness of this grant, entered into a detail of the queen's past expenses in supporting the government, and of the increasing charges of the crown, from the daily increase in the price of all commodities. He did not, however, forget to admonish them that they were to regard this detail as the pure effect of the queen's condescension, since she was not bound to give them any account how she employed her treasure (Ib., p. 246).

CHAPTER XLI.

Affairs of Scotland.—Spanish affairs.—Sir Francis Drake.—A parliament.—Negotiations of marriage with the Duke of Anjou.—

Affairs of Scotland.—Letter of Queen Mary to Elizabeth.—Conspiracies in England.—A parliament.—The ecclesiastical commission.—Affairs of the Low Countries.—Hostilities with Spain.

THE greatest and most absolute security that Elizabeth enjoyed during her whole reign never exempted her from vigilance and attention : but the scene began now to be more overcast, and dangers gradually multiplied on her from more than one quarter.

The Earl of Morton had (A.D. 1580) hitherto retained Scotland in strict alliance with the queen, and had also restored domestic tranquillity to that kingdom ; but it was not to be expected that the factitious and legal authority of a regent would long maintain itself in a country unacquainted with law and order, where even the natural dominion of hereditary princes so often met with opposition and control. The nobility began anew to break into factions ; the people were disgusted with some instances of Morton's avarice ; and the clergy, who complained of farther encroachments on their narrow revenue, joined and increased the discontent of the other orders. The regent was sensible of his dangerous situation ; and, having dropped some peevish expressions, as if he were willing or desirous to resign, the noblemen of the opposite party, favourites of the young king, laid hold of this concession, and required that remission which he seemed so frankly to offer them. James was at this time but eleven years of age ; yet Morton, having secured himself, as he imagined, by a general pardon, resigned his authority into the hands of the king, who pretended to conduct, in his own name, the administration of the kingdom. The regent retired from the government, and seemed to employ himself entirely in the care of his domestic affairs ; but, either tired with this tranquillity, which appeared insipid after the agitations of ambition, or thinking it time to throw off dissimulation, he came again to court, acquired an ascendant in the council, and though he resumed not the title of regent, governed with the same authority as before. The opposite party, after holding separate conventions, took to arms, on pretence of delivering their prince from captivity, and restoring him to the free exercise of his government ; Queen Elizabeth interposed by her ambassador, Sir Robert Bowes, and mediated an agreement between the factions. Morton kept possession of the government ; but his enemies were numerous and vigilant, and his authority seemed to become every day more precarious.

The Count d'Aubigny, of the house of Lennox, cousin-german to the king's father, had been born and educated in France, and being a young man of good address and a sweet disposition, he appeared to the Duke of Guise a proper instrument for detaching James from the English interest, and connecting him with his mother and her relations. He no sooner appeared at Stirling, where James resided, than he acquired the affections of the young monarch, and joining his interests with those of James Stuart of the house of Ochiltree, a man of profligate manners, who had acquired the king's favour, he employed himself, under the appearance of play and amusement, in instilling into the tender mind of the prince new sentiments of politics and government. He represented to him the injustice which had been done to Mary in

her deposition, and made him entertain thoughts either of resigning the crown into her hands, or of associating her with him in the administration (Digges, pp. 412, 428 ; Melvil, p. 130). Elizabeth, alarmed at the danger which might ensue from the prevalence of this interest in Scotland, sent anew Sir Robert Bowes to Stirling, and accusing d'Aubigny, now created Earl of Lennox, of an attachment to the French, warned James against entertaining such suspicious and dangerous connections (Spotswood, p. 309). The king excused himself by Sir Alexander Hume his ambassador, and Lennox, finding that the queen had openly declared against him, was farther confirmed in his intention of overturning the English interest, and particularly of ruining Morton, who was regarded as the head of it. That nobleman was arrested in council, accused as an accomplice in the late king's murder, committed to prison, brought to trial, and condemned to suffer as a traitor. He confessed that Bothwell had communicated to him the design, had pleaded Mary's consent, and had desired his concurrence; but he denied that he himself had ever expressed any approbation of the crime, and in excuse for his concealing it he alleged the danger of revealing the secret either to Henry, who had no resolution nor constancy, or to Mary, who appeared to be an accomplice in the murder (Ibid., p. 314; Crawford, p. 333; Moyse's Mem., p. 54). Sir Thomas Randolph was sent by the queen to intercede in favour of Morton; and that ambassador, not content with discharging this duty of his function, engaged by his persuasion the Earls of Argyle, Montrose, Angus, Marr, and Glencairn, to enter into a confederacy for protecting even by force of arms the life of the prisoner. The more to overawe that nobleman's enemies, Elizabeth ordered forces to be assembled on the borders of England, but this expedient served only to hasten his sentence and execution (Spotswood, p. 312). Morton died with that constancy and resolution which had attended him through all the various events of his life, and left a reputation which was less disputed with regard to abilities than probity and virtue. But this happened not till the subsequent year.

Elizabeth was during this period extremely anxious on account of every revolution in Scotland, both because that country alone, not being separated from England by sea, and bordering on all the catholic and malcontent counties, afforded her enemies a safe and easy method of attacking her, and because she was sensible that Mary, thinking herself abandoned by the French monarch, had been engaged by the Guises to have recourse to the powerful protection of Philip, who though he had not yet come to an open rupture with the queen, was every day, both by the injuries which he committed and suffered, more exasperated against her. That he might retaliate the assistance which she gave to his rebels in the Low Countries, he had sent under the name of the Pope (Digges, pp. 359, 370), a body of 700 Spaniards and Italians into Ireland, where the inhabitants, always turbulent and discontented with the English government, were now more alienated by religious prejudices, and were ready to join every invader. The Spanish general, San Josepho, built a fort in Kerry, and being there besieged by the Earl of Ormond, president of Munster, who was soon after joined by Lord Gray, the deputy, he made a weak and cowardly

defence. After some assaults feebly sustained, he surrendered at discretion; and Gray, who commanded but a small force, finding himself encumbered with so many prisoners, put all the Spaniards and Italians to the sword without mercy, and hanged about 1500 of the Irish, a cruelty which gave great displeasure to Elizabeth (Camden, p. 475; Cox's Hist. of Ireland, p. 368).

When the English ambassador made complaints of this invasion, he was answered by like complaints of the piracies committed by Francis Drake, a bold seaman, who had assaulted the Spaniards in the place where they deemed themselves most secure, in the New World. This man, sprung from mean parents in the county of Devon, having acquired considerable riches by depredations made in the isthmus of Panama, and having there gotten a sight of the Pacific ocean, was so stimulated by ambition and avarice, that he scrupled not to employ his whole fortune in a new adventure through those seas, so much unknown at that time to all the European nations (Camden, p. 478; Stow, p. 689). By means of Sir Christopher Hatton, then vice-chamberlain, a great favourite of the queen's, he obtained her consent and approbation, and he set sail from Plymouth in 1577, with four ships and a pinnace, on board of which were 164 able sailors.¹ He passed into the South Sea by the Straits of Magellan, and attacking the Spaniards, who expected no enemy in those quarters, he took many rich prizes and prepared to return with the booty which he had acquired. Apprehensive of being intercepted by the enemy, if he took the same way homewards by which he had reached the Pacific ocean, he attempted to find a passage by the north of California, and failing in that enterprise, he set sail for the East Indies, and returned safely this year by the Cape of Good Hope. He was the first Englishman who sailed round the globe, and the first commander-in-chief; for Magellan, whose ship executed the same adventure, died in his passage. His name became celebrated on account of so bold and fortunate an attempt; but many apprehending the resentment of the Spaniards, endeavoured to persuade the queen that it would be more prudent to disavow the enterprise, to punish Drake, and to restore the treasure. But Elizabeth, who admired valour, and who was allured by the prospect of sharing in the booty, determined to countenance that gallant sailor; she conferred on him the honour of knighthood, and accepted of a banquet from him at Deptford on board the ship which had achieved so memorable a voyage. When Philip's ambassador, Mendoza, exclaimed against Drake's piracies, she told him that the Spaniards, by arrogating a right to the whole new world, and excluding thence all other European nations who should sail thither, even with a view of exercising the most lawful commerce, naturally tempted others to make a violent irruption into those countries (Camden, p. 480). To pacify, however, the Catholic monarch, she caused part of the booty to be restored to Pedro Sebura, a Spaniard who pretended to be agent for the merchants whom Drake had spoiled. Having learned afterwards that Philip had seized the money, and had employed part of it against herself in Ireland, part of it in the pay of the Prince of Parma's troops, she determined to make no more restitutions.

¹ Camden, p. 478; Hakluyt's Voyages, vol. iii., pp. 730, 748; Purchas's Pilgrim, vol. i., p. 46.

There was another cause which induced the queen to take this resolution; she was (A.D. Jan. 16, 1581) in such want of money that she was obliged to assemble a parliament, a measure which, as she herself openly declared, she never embraced except when constrained by the necessity of her affairs. The parliament, besides granting her a supply of one subsidy and two fifteenths, enacted some statutes for the security of her government, chiefly against the attempts of the catholics. Whoever in any way reconciled any one to the Church of Rome, or was himself reconciled, was declared to be guilty of treason; to say mass was subjected to the penalty of a year's imprisonment, and a fine of 200 marks, the being present was punishable by a year's imprisonment and a fine of 100 marks; a fine of 20*l.* a month was imposed on every one who continued during that time absent from church (23 Eliz., cap. 1). To utter slanderous or seditious words against the queen was punishable, for the first offence, with the pillory and loss of ears, the second offence was declared felony; the writing or printing of such words was felony even on the first offence (*Ibid.*, cap. 2). The Puritans prevailed so far as to have farther applications made for reformation in religion (D'Ewes, p. 302). And Paul Wentworth, brother to the member of that name who had distinguished himself in the preceding session, moved that the commons from their own authority, should appoint a general fast and prayers, a motion to which the house unwarily assented. For this presumption they were severely reprimanded by a message from the queen, as encroaching on the royal prerogative and supremacy, and they were obliged to submit and ask forgiveness (*Ibid.*, pp. 284, 285).

The queen and parliament were engaged to pass these severe laws against the Catholics, by some late discoveries of the treasonable practices of their priests. When the ancient worship was suppressed, and the reformation introduced into the universities, the King of Spain reflected that as some species of literature was necessary for supporting these doctrines and controversies, the Romish communion must decay in England, if no means were found to give erudition to the ecclesiastics, and for this reason he founded a seminary at Douay, where the Catholics sent their children, chiefly such as were intended for the priesthood, in order to receive the rudiments of their education. The Cardinal of Lorraine imitated this example by erecting a like seminary in his diocese of Rheims, and though Rome was somewhat distant, the Pope would not neglect to adorn, by a foundation of the same nature, that capital of orthodoxy. These seminaries, founded with so hostile an intention, sent over every year a colony of priests, who maintained the Catholic superstition in its full height of bigotry, and being educated with a view to the crown of martyrdom, were not deterred either by danger or fatigue from maintaining and propagating their principles. They infused into all their votaries an extreme hatred against the queen, whom they treated as an usurper, a schismatic, a heretic, a persecutor of the orthodox, and one solemnly and publicly anathematized by the holy father. Sedition, rebellion, sometimes assassination, were the expedients by which they intended to effect their purposes against her, and the severe restraint, not to say persecution under which the Catholics laboured, made them the more willingly receive from their ghostly fathers such violent doctrines.

These seminaries were all of them under the direction of the jesuits, a new order of regular priests erected in Europe, when the court of Rome perceived that the lazy monks and beggarly friars, who sufficed in times of ignorance, were no longer able to defend the ramparts of the church, assailed on every side, and that the inquisitive spirit of the age required a society more active and more learned, to oppose its dangerous progress. These men, as they stood foremost in the contest against the protestants, drew on them the extreme animosity of that whole sect; and by assuming a superiority over the other more numerous and more ancient orders of their own communion, were even exposed to the envy of their brethren; so that it is no wonder if the blame, to which their principles and conduct might be exposed, has, in many instances, been much exaggerated. This reproach, however, they must bear from posterity; that, by the very nature of their institution, they were engaged to pervert learning, the only effectual remedy against superstition, into a nourishment of that infirmity; and as their erudition was chiefly of the ecclesiastical and scholastic kind (though a few members have cultivated polite literature), they were only the more enabled, by that acquisition, to refine away the plainest dictates of morality, and to erect a regular system of casuistry, by which prevarication, perjury, and every crime, when it served their ghostly purposes, might be justified and defended.

The jesuits, as devoted servants to the court of Rome, exalted the prerogative of the sovereign pontiff above all earthly power; and by maintaining his authority of deposing kings, set no bounds, either to his spiritual or temporal jurisdiction. This doctrine became so prevalent among the zealous Catholics in England, that the excommunication fulminated against Elizabeth excited many scruples of a singular kind, to which it behoved the Holy Father to provide a remedy. The bull of Pius, in absolving the subjects from their oaths of allegiance, commanded them to resist the queen's usurpation; and many Romanists were apprehensive that, by this cause, they were obliged in conscience, even though no favourable opportunity offered, to rebel against her, and that no dangers or difficulties could free them from this indispensable duty. But Parsons and Campion, two jesuits, were sent over with a mitigation and explanation of the doctrine; and they taught their disciples that though the bull was for ever binding on Elizabeth and her partisans, it did not oblige the Catholics to obedience, except when the sovereign pontiff should think proper, by a new summons, to require it (Camden, p. 477). Campion was afterwards detected in treasonable practices; and being put to the rack, and confessing his guilt, he was publicly executed. His execution was ordered at the very time when the Duke of Anjou was in England, and prosecuted, with the greatest appearance of success, his marriage with the queen; and this severity was probably intended to appease her protestant subjects, and to satisfy them that whatever measures she might pursue, she never would depart from the principles of the reformation.

The Duke of Alençon, now created Duke of Anjou, had never entirely dropped his pretensions to Elizabeth; and that princess, though her suitor was near twenty-five years younger than herself, and had no knowledge of her person but by pictures or descriptions, was still

pleased with the image, which his addresses afforded her, of love and tenderness. The duke, in order to forward his suit, besides employing his brother's ambassador, sent over Simier, an agent of his own; an artful man, of an agreeable conversation, who soon remarking the queen's humour, amused her with gay discourse, and instead of serious political reasonings, which, he found, only awakened her ambition, and hurt his master's interests, he introduced every moment all the topics of passion and of gallantry. The pleasure which she found in this man's company soon produced a familiarity between them; and, amidst the greatest hurry of business, her most confidential ministers had not such ready access to her as had Simier, who on pretence of negotiation, entertained her with accounts of the tender attachment borne her by the Duke of Anjou. The Earl of Leicester, who had never before been alarmed with any courtship paid her, and who always trusted that her love of dominion would prevail over her inclination to marriage, began to apprehend that she was at last caught in her own snare, and that the artful encouragement which she had given to this young suitor had unawares engaged her affections. To render Simier odious, he availed himself of the credulity of the times, and spread reports, that that minister had gained an ascendant over the queen, not by any natural principles of her constitution, but by incantations and love potions. Simier, in revenge, endeavoured to discredit Leicester with the queen; and he revealed to her a secret, which none of her courtiers dared to disclose, that this nobleman was secretly, without her consent, married to the widow of the Earl of Essex; an action which the queen interpreted either to proceed from want of respect to her, or as a violation of their mutual attachment; and which so provoked her, that she threatened to send him to the Tower (Camden, p. 471). The quarrel went so far between Leicester and the French agent, that the former was suspected of having employed one Tudor, a bravo, to take away the life of his enemy; and the queen thought it necessary, by proclamation, to take Simier under her immediate protection. It happened that while Elizabeth was rowed in her barge on the Thames, attended by Simier, and some of her courtiers, a shot was fired, which wounded one of the bargemen; but the queen finding, upon inquiry, that the piece had been discharged by accident, gave the person his liberty, without further punishment. So far was she from entertaining any suspicion against her people, that she was often heard to say, 'That she would lend credit to nothing against them, which parents would not believe of their own children' (Ibid.).

The Duke of Anjou, encouraged by the accounts sent him of the queen's prepossessions in his favour, paid her secretly a visit at Greenwich; and after some conference with her, the purport of which is not known, he departed. It appeared that, though his figure was not advantageous, he had lost no ground by being personally known to her; and soon after, she commanded Burleigh, now treasurer, Sussex, Leicester, Bedford, Lincoln, Hatton, and Secretary Walsingham, to concert with the French ambassadors the terms of the intended contract of marriage. Henry had sent over on this occasion a splendid embassy, consisting of Francis de Bourbon, Prince Dauphin, and many considerable noblemen; and as the queen had in a manner the power

of prescribing what terms she pleased, the articles were soon settled with the English commissioners. It was agreed that the marriage should be celebrated within six weeks after the ratification of the articles; that the duke and his retinue should have the exercise of their religion; that after the marriage he should bear the title of king, but the administration remain solely in the queen; that their children, male or female, should succeed to the crown of England; that if there be two males, the elder, in case of Henry's death without issue, should be King of France, the younger of England; that if there be but one male, and he succeed to the crown of France, he should be obliged to reside in England eight months every two years; that the laws and customs of England should be preserved inviolate; and that no foreigner should be promoted by the duke to any office in England (Camden, p. 484).

These articles, providing for the security of England, in case of its annexation to the crown of France, opened but a dismal prospect to the English; had not the age of Elizabeth, who was now in her forty-ninth year, contributed very much to allay their apprehensions of this nature. The queen also, as a proof of her still remaining uncertainty, added a clause, that she was not bound to complete the marriage, till farther articles, which were not specified, should be agreed on between the parties, and till the King of France be certified of this agreement. Soon after, the queen sent over Walsingham, as ambassador to France, in order to form closer connections with Henry, and enter into a league offensive and defensive against the increasing power and dangerous usurpations of Spain. The French king, who had been extremely disturbed with the unquiet spirit, the restless ambition, the enterprising, yet timid and inconstant disposition of Anjou, had already sought to free the kingdom from his intrigues, by opening a scene for his activity in Flanders; and having allowed him to embrace the protection of the States, had secretly supplied him with men and money for the undertaking. The prospect of settling him in England was, for a like reason, very agreeable to that monarch; and he was desirous to cultivate, by every expedient, the favourable sentiments which Elizabeth seemed to entertain towards him. But this princess, though she had gone farther in her amorous (Digges, pp. 387, 396, 408, 426) dalliance than could be justified or accounted for by any principles of policy, was not yet determined to carry matters to a final conclusion; and she confined Walsingham, in his instructions, to negotiating conditions of a mutual alliance between France and England (*Ibid.*, p. 352). Henry with reluctance submitted to hold conferences on that subject; but no sooner had Walsingham begun to settle the terms of alliance, than he was informed that the queen, foreseeing hostility with Spain to be the result of this confederacy, had declared that she would prefer the marriage with the war, before the war without the marriage (*Ibid.*, pp. 375, 591). The French court, pleased with this change of resolution, broke off the conferences concerning the league, and opened a negotiation for the marriage (Digges, p. 392). But matters had not long proceeded in this train before the queen again declared for the league in preference to the marriage, and ordered Walsingham to renew the conferences for that purpose. Before he had leisure to bring this point to maturity, he

was interrupted by a new change of resolution (*Ibid.*, p. 408); and not only the court of France, but Walsingham himself, Burleigh, and all the wisest ministers of Elizabeth, were in amazement, doubtful where this contest between inclination and reason, love and ambition, would at last terminate.¹

In the course of this affair, Elizabeth felt another variety of intentions, from a new contest between her reason and her ruling passions. The Duke of Anjou expected from her some money, by which he might be enabled to open the campaign in Flanders; and the queen herself, though her frugality made her long reluctant, was sensible that this supply was necessary; and she was at last induced, after much hesitation, to comply with his request.² She sent him a present of a hundred thousand crowns; by which, joined to his own demesnes and the assistance of his brother and the queen dowager, he levied an army, and took the field against the Prince of Parma. He was successful in raising the siege of Cambray; and being chosen by the States governor of the Netherlands, he put his army into winter quarters, and came over to England, in order to prosecute his suit to the queen. The reception which he met with, made him expect entire success, and gave him hopes, that Elizabeth had surmounted all scruples, and was finally determined to make choice of him for her husband. In the midst of the pomp, which attended the anniversary of her coronation (Nov. 17, A.D. 1581), she was seen, after long and intimate discourse with him, to take a ring from her own finger, and to put it upon his; and all the spectators concluded, that, in this ceremony, she had give him a promise of marriage, and was even desirous of signifying her intentions to all the world. St. Aldegonde, ambassador from the States, dispatched immediately a letter to his masters, informing them of this great event; and the inhabitants of Antwerp, who, as well as the other Flemings, regarded the queen as a kind of tutelar divinity, testified their joy by bonfires and the discharge of their great ordnance (*Camden*, p. 486; *Thuan.*, lib. 74). A puritan of Lincoln's Inn had written a passionate book, which he entitled, 'The Gulph in which England will be swallowed by the French Marriage.' He was apprehended and prosecuted by order of the queen, and was condemned to lose his right hand as a libeller. Such was the constancy and loyalty of the man, that, immediately after the sentence was executed, he took off his hat with his other hand, and waving it over his head, cried, 'God save the queen!'

But notwithstanding this attachment, which Elizabeth so openly discovered to the Duke of Anjou, the combat of her sentiments was not entirely over; and her ambition, as well as prudence, rousing itself by intervals, still filled her breast with doubt and hesitation. Almost all the courtiers, whom she trusted and favoured, Leicester, Hatton,

¹ That the queen's negotiations for marrying the Duke of Anjou were not feigned nor political, appears clearly from many circumstances, particularly from a passage in Dr. Forbes's MS. Collect., at present in the possession of Lord Royston. She there enjoins Walsingham, before he opens the treaty, to examine the person of the duke; and as that prince had lately recovered from the small-pox, she desires her ambassador to consider whether he yet retained so much of his good looks as that a woman could fix her affections on him. Had she not been in earnest, and had she only meant to amuse the public, or the court of France, this circumstance was of no moment.

² Digges, pp. 357, 387, 388, 409, 426, 439; Rymer, xv., p. 793.

and Walsingham, discovered an extreme aversion to the marriage; and the ladies of her bedchamber made no scruple of opposing her resolution with the most zealous remonstrances (Camden, p. 486). Among other enemies to the match, Sir Philip, son of Sir Henry Sidney, deputy of Ireland, and nephew to Leicester, a young man the most accomplished of the age, declared himself; and he used the freedom to write her a letter, in which he dissuaded her from her present resolution, with an unusual elegance of expression, as well as force of reasoning. He told her, that the security of her government depended entirely on the affections of her Protestant subjects; and she could not, by any measure, more effectually disgust them, than by espousing a prince, who was son of the perfidious Catherine, brother to the cruel and perfidious Charles, and who had himself imbrued his hands in the blood of the innocent and defenceless Protestants; that the catholics were her mortal enemies, and believed either that she had originally usurped the crown, or was now lawfully deposed by the Pope's bull of excommunication; and nothing had ever so much elevated their hopes as the prospect of her marriage with the Duke of Anjou: that her chief security at present, against the efforts of so numerous, rich, and united a faction, was, that they possessed no head who could conduct their dangerous enterprises; and she herself was rashly supplying that defect, by giving an interest in the kingdom to a prince, whose education had zealously attached him to that communion; that though he was a stranger to the blood royal of England, the dispositions of men were now such, that they preferred the religious to the civil connections, and were more influenced by sympathy in theological opinions than by the principles of legal and hereditary government; that the duke himself had discovered a very restless and turbulent spirit; and having often violated his loyalty to his elder brother and his sovereign, there remained no hopes that he would passively submit to a woman, whom he might, in quality of husband, think himself entitled to command; that the French nation, so populous, so much abounding in soldiers, so full of nobility, who were devoted to arms, and, for some time, accustomed to serve for plunder, would supply him with partisans, dangerous to a people unwarlike and defenceless like the generality of her subjects; that the plain and honourable path which she had followed of cultivating the affections of her people had hitherto rendered her reign secure and happy; and however her enemies might seem to multiply upon her, the same invincible rampart was still able to protect and defend her; that so long as the throne of France was filled by Henry or his posterity, it was in vain to hope that the ties of blood would ensure the amity of that kingdom, preferably to the maxims of policy or the prejudices of religion; and if ever the crown devolved on the Duke of Anjou, the conjunction of France and England would prove a burden, rather than a protection, to the latter kingdom; that the example of her sister Mary was sufficient to instruct her in the danger of such connections; and to prove that the affection and confidence of the English could never be maintained, where they had such reason to apprehend that their interests would every moment be sacrificed to those of a foreign and hostile nation; that notwithstanding these great inconveniences, discovered by past experience, the house

of Burgundy, it must be confessed, was more popular in the nation than the family of France; and, what was of chief moment, Philip was of the same communion with Mary, and was connected with her by this great bond of interest and affection; and that however the queen might remain childless, even though old age should grow upon her, the singular felicity and glory of her reign would preserve her from contempt; the affections of her subjects, and those of all the Protestants in Europe, would defend her from danger; and her own prudence, without other aid or assistance, would baffle all the efforts of her most malignant enemies.¹

These reflections kept the queen in great anxiety and irresolution; and she was observed to pass several nights without any sleep or repose. At last her settled habits of prudence and ambition prevailed over her temporary inclination; and having sent for the Duke of Anjou, she had a long conference with him in private, where she was supposed to have made him apologies for breaking her former engagements. He expressed great disgust on his leaving her; threw away the ring which she had given him; and uttered many curses on the mutability of women, and of islanders (Camden, p. 486). Soon after, he went over to his government of the Netherlands; lost the confidence of the States by a rash and violent attempt on their liberties; was expelled that country; retired into France; and there died. The queen, by timely reflection, saved herself from the numerous mischiefs which must have attended so imprudent a marriage; and the distracted state of the French monarchy prevented her from feeling any effects of that resentment which she had reason to dread from the affront so wantonly put upon that royal family.

The anxiety of the queen, from the attempts of the English catholics, never ceased during the whole course of her reign; but the variety of revolutions which happened in all the neighbouring kingdoms were the source sometimes of her hopes, sometimes of her apprehensions. This year the affairs of Scotland strongly engaged her attention. The influence which the Earl of Lennox, and James Stuart, who now assumed the title of Earl of Arran, had acquired over the young king, was but a slender foundation of authority, while the generality of the nobles, and all the preachers, were so much discontented with their administration. The assembly of the church appointed a solemn fast; of which one of the avowed reasons was the danger to which the king was exposed from the company of wicked persons (Spotswood, p. 319); and on that day the pulpits resounded with declamations against Lennox, Arran, and all the present counsellors. When the minds of the people were sufficiently prepared by these lectures, a conspiracy of the nobility was formed, probably with the concurrence of Elizabeth, for seizing the person of James at Ruthven, a seat of the Earl of Gowrie's; and the design, being kept secret, succeeded without any opposition. The leaders in this enterprise were, the Earl of Gowrie himself, the Earl of Marr, the Lords Lindesey and Boyd, the masters of Glamis and Oliphant, the abbots of Dunfermline, Paisley, and Cambuskenneth. The king wept when (Aug. 23, A.D. 1582) he found himself detained a prisoner; but the master of Glamis said, 'No matter

¹ Letters of the Sydneys, vol. i., p. 287, and seq.; Cabala. p. 363.

‘for his tears; better that boys weep than bearded men;’ an expression which James could never afterwards forgive (Spotswood, p. 320). But notwithstanding his resentment, he found it necessary to submit to the present necessity. He pretended an entire acquiescence in the conduct of the associators; acknowledged the detention of his person to be acceptable service; and agreed to summon an assembly of the Church and a convention of estates, in order to ratify that enterprise.

The assembly, though they had established it as an inviolable rule, that the king, on no account, and under no pretence, should ever intermeddle in ecclesiastical matters, made no scruple of taking civil affairs under their cognisance, and of deciding on this occasion that the attempt of the conspirators was acceptable to all that feared God, or tendered the preservation of the king’s person, and prosperous state of the realm. They even enjoined all the clergy to recommend these sentiments from the pulpit; and they threatened with ecclesiastical censures every man who should oppose the authority of the confederated lords (*Ibid.*, p. 322). The convention, being composed chiefly of these lords themselves, added their sanction to these proceedings. Arran was confined a prisoner in his own house; Lennox, though he had power to resist, yet rather than raise a civil war, or be the cause of bloodshed (*Heylin’s Hist. Presbyter*, p. 227; *Spotswood*), chose to retire into France, where he soon after died. He persevered to the last in the protestant religion, to which James had converted him, but which the Scottish clergy could never be persuaded that he had sincerely embraced. The king sent for his family, restored his son to his paternal honours and estate, took care to establish the fortunes of all his other children; and to his last moments never forgot the early friendship which he had borne their father; a strong proof of the good dispositions of that prince (*Spotswood*, p. 328).

No sooner was this revolution known in England, than the queen sent Sir Henry Carey and Sir Robert Bowes to James, in order to congratulate him on his deliverance from the pernicious counsels of Lennox and Arran; to exhort him not to resent the seeming violence committed on him by the confederated lords; and to procure from him permission for the return of the Earl of Angus; who, ever since Morton’s fall, had lived in England. They easily prevailed in procuring the recall of Angus; and as James suspected that Elizabeth had not been entirely unacquainted with the project of his detention, he thought proper, before the English ambassadors, to dissemble his resentment against the authors of it. Soon after, La Mothe-Fenelon, and Menneville, appeared as ambassadors from France. Their errand was to inquire concerning the situation of the king, make professions of their master’s friendship, confirm the ancient league with France, and procure an accommodation between James and the Queen of Scots. This last proposal gave great umbrage to the clergy; and the assembly voted the settling of terms between the mother and son to be a most wicked undertaking. The pulpits resounded with declamations against the French ambassadors; particularly Fenelon, whom they called the messenger of the bloody murderer, meaning the Duke of Guise. And as that minister, being knight of the Holy Ghost, wore a white cross on his shoulder, they commonly denominated it, in contempt, the badge

of antichrist. The king endeavoured, though in vain, to repress these insolent reflections; but in order to make the ambassadors some compensation, he desired the magistrates of Edinburgh to give them a splendid dinner before their departure. To prevent this entertainment, the clergy appointed that very day for a public fast; and finding that their orders were not regarded, they employed their sermons in thundering curses on the magistrates, who by the king's direction had put this mark of respect on the ambassadors. They even pursued them afterwards with the censures of the church; and it was with difficulty they were prevented from issuing the sentence of excommunication against them, on account of their submission to royal, preferably to clerical authority (Spotswood, p. 324).

What increased their alarm with regard to an accommodation between James and Mary, was, that the English ambassadors seemed to concur with the French in this proposal; and the clergy were so ignorant as to believe the sincerity of the professions made by the former. The Queen of Scots had often made overtures to Elizabeth, which had been entirely neglected; but hearing of James's detention, she wrote a letter in a more pathetic and more spirited strain than usual; craving the assistance of that princess, both for her own and her son's liberty. She said, that the account of the prince's captivity had excited her most tender concern; and the experience, which she herself, during so many years, had of the extreme infelicity attending that situation, had made her the more apprehensive lest a like fate should pursue her unhappy offspring. That the long train of injustice which she had undergone, the calumnies to which she had been exposed, were so grievous, that finding no place for right or truth among men, she was reduced to make her last appeal to Heaven, the only competent tribunal between princes of equal jurisdiction, degree and dignity. That after her rebellious subjects, secretly instigated by Elizabeth's ministers, had expelled her the throne, had confined her in prison, had pursued her with arms, she had voluntarily thrown herself under the protection of England; fatally allured by those reiterated professions of amity which had been made her, and by her confidence in the generosity of a friend, an ally, and a kinswoman. That, not content with excluding her from her presence, with supporting the usurpers of her throne, with contributing to the destruction of her faithful subjects, Elizabeth had reduced her to a worse captivity than that from which she had escaped, and had made her this cruel return for the unlimited confidence which she had reposed in her. That though her resentment of such severe usage had never carried her farther than to use some disappointed efforts for her deliverance, unhappy for herself, and fatal to others, she found the rigours of confinement daily multiplied upon her, and at length carried to such a height, that it surpassed the bounds of all human patience any longer to endure them. That she was cut off from all communication, not only with the rest of mankind, but with her only son; and her maternal fondness, which was now more enlivened by their unhappy sympathy in situation, and was her sole remaining attachment to this world, deprived even of that melancholy solace which letters or messages could give. That the bitterness of her sorrows, still more than her close confinement, had preyed upon her health

and had added the insufferable weight of bodily infirmity to all those other calamities under which she laboured. That while the daily experience of her maladies opened to her the comfortable prospect of an approaching deliverance into a region where pain and sorrow are no more, her enemies envied her that last consolation; and having secluded her from every joy on earth, had done what in them lay to debar her from all hopes in her future and eternal existence. That the exercise of her religion was refused her; the use of those sacred rites in which she had been educated; the commerce with those holy ministers whom Heaven had appointed to receive the acknowledgment of our transgressions, and to seal our penitence by a solemn re-admission into heavenly favour and forgiveness. That it was in vain to complain of the rigours of persecution exercised in other kingdoms, when a queen, and an innocent woman, was excluded from an indulgence which never yet, in the most barbarous countries, had been denied to the meanest and most obnoxious malefactor. That could she ever be induced to descend from that royal dignity in which Providence had placed her, or depart from her appeal to Heaven, there was only one other tribunal to which she would appeal from all her enemies—to the justice and humanity of Elizabeth's own breast, and to that lenity which, uninfluenced by malignant counsel, she would naturally be induced to exercise towards her. And that she finally entreated her to resume her natural disposition, and to reflect on the support, as well as comfort, which she might receive from her son and herself, if, joining the obligations of gratitude to the ties of blood, she would deign to raise them from their present melancholy situation, and reinstate them in that liberty and authority to which they were entitled (Camden, p. 489).

Elizabeth was engaged to obstruct Mary's restoration, chiefly because she foresaw an unhappy alternative attending that event. If this princess recovered any considerable share of authority in Scotland, her resentment, ambition, zeal, and connections both domestic and foreign, might render her a dangerous neighbour to England, and enable her after suppressing the Protestant party among her subjects, to revive those pretensions which she had formerly advanced to the crown, and which her partisans in both kingdoms still supported with great industry and assurance. If she were reinstated in power, with such strict limitations as could not be broken, she might be disgusted with her situation; and, flying abroad, form more desperate attempts than any sovereign who had a crown to hazard, would willingly undertake. Mary herself, sensible of these difficulties, and convinced by experience that Elizabeth would for ever debar her the throne, was now become more humble in her wishes, and as age and infirmities had repressed those sentiments of ambition by which she had formerly been so much actuated, she was willing to sacrifice all her hopes of grandeur, in order to obtain a little liberty; a blessing to which she naturally aspired with the fondest impatience. She proposed, therefore, that she should be associated with her son in the title to the crown of Scotland, but that the administration should remain solely in him; and she was content to live in England, in a private station, and even under a kind of restraint; but with some more liberty, both for exercise and company, than she had enjoyed since the first discovery of her intrigues with the

Duke of Norfolk. But Elizabeth, afraid lest such a loose method of guarding her would facilitate her escape into France or Spain, or, at least, would encourage and increase her partisans, and enable her to conduct those intrigues to which she had already discovered so strong a propensity, was secretly determined to deny her requests, and though she feigned to assent to them, she well knew how to disappoint the expectations of the unhappy princess. While Lennox maintained his authority in Scotland, she never gave any reply to all the applications made to her by the Scottish queen (Jebb, vol. ii., p. 540); at present, when her own creatures had acquired possession of the government, she was resolved to throw the odium of refusal upon them; and pretending that nothing farther was required to a perfect accommodation, than the concurrence of the council of state in Scotland, she ordered her ambassador, Bowes, to open the negotiation for Mary's liberty, and her association with her son in the title to the crown. Though she seemed to make this concession to Mary, she refused her the liberty of sending any ambassador of her own; and that princess could easily conjecture, from this circumstance, what would be the result of the pretended negotiation. The privy council of Scotland, instigated by the clergy, rejected all treaty, and James, who was now a captive in their hands, affirmed that he had never agreed to an association with his mother, and that the matter had never gone farther than some loose proposals for that purpose.¹

The affairs of Scotland remained not long in the present situation. James, impatient of restraint, made his escape from his keepers; and flying to St. Andrews, summoned his friends and partisans to attend him. The Earls of Argyle, Marshal, Montrose, and Rothes, hastened to pay their duty to their sovereign; and the opposite party found themselves unable to resist so powerful a combination. They were offered a pardon upon their submission, and an acknowledgment of their fault, in seizing the king's person, and restraining him from his liberty. Some of them accepted of the terms, the greater number, particularly Angus, Hamilton, Marr, Glamis, left the country, and took shelter in Ireland or England, where they were protected by Elizabeth. The Earl of Arran was recalled to court; and the malcontents who could not brook the authority of Lennox, a man of virtue and moderation, found that by their resistance, they had thrown all power into the hands of a person whose counsels were as violent as his manners were profligate (Spotswood, pp. 325, 326, and seq.).

Elizabeth wrote a letter to James, in which she quoted a moral sentence from Isocrates, and indirectly reproached him with inconstancy, and a breach of his engagements. James, in his reply, justified his measures, and retaliated by turning two passages of Isocrates against her (Melvil, pp. 140, 141; Strype, vol. iii., p. 165). She next sent Walsingham on an embassy to him; and her chief purpose in employing that aged minister in an errand where so little business was to be transacted, was to learn, from a man of so much penetration and experience, the real character of James. This young prince possessed good parts, though not accompanied with that vigour and industry which his station required; and as he excelled in general discourse and

¹ MS. Advocates' Library, A. 3, 28, p. 401, from the Cott. Lib., Calig., c. 9.

conversation, Walsingham entertained a higher idea of his talents than he was afterwards found, when real business was transacted, to have fully merited (Melvil, p. 148; Jebb, vol. ii., p. 530). The account which he gave his mistress induced her to treat James with some more regard than she had hitherto been inclined to pay him.

The King of Scots, persevering in his present views, summoned a parliament, where it was enacted, that no clergyman should presume, in his sermons, to utter false, untrue, or scandalous speeches against the king, the council, or the public measures, or to meddle in an improper manner, with the affairs of his majesty and the states (Spotswood, p. 333). The clergy, finding that the pulpit would be no longer a sanctuary for them, were extremely offended. They said that the king was become popish in his heart; and they gave their adversaries the epithets of gross libertines, belly gods, and infamous persons (Ibid., p. 334). The violent conduct of Arran soon brought over the popularity to their side. The Earl of Gowry, though pardoned for the late attempt, was committed to prison, was tried on some new accusations, condemned and executed. Many innocent persons suffered from the tyranny of this favourite; and the banished lords being assisted by Elizabeth, now found the time favourable for the recovery of their estates and authority. After they had been foiled in one attempt upon Stirling, they prevailed in another, and being admitted to the king's presence, were pardoned, and restored to his favour.

Arran was degraded from authority; deprived of that estate and title which he had usurped; and the whole country seemed to be composed to tranquillity. Elizabeth, after opposing, during some time, the credit of the favourite, had found it more expedient, before his fall, to compound all differences with him, by means of Davison, a minister whom she sent to Scotland; but having more confidence in the lords whom she had helped to restore, she was pleased with this alteration of affairs, and maintained a good correspondence with the new court and ministry of James.

These revolutions in Scotland would have been regarded as of small importance to the repose and security of Elizabeth had her own subjects been entirely united, and had not the zeal of the catholics, excited by constraint more properly than persecution, daily threatened her with some dangerous insurrection. The vigilance of the ministers, particularly of Burleigh and Walsingham, was raised in proportion to the activity of the malcontents; and many arts, which had been blameable in a more peaceful government, were employed in detecting conspiracies, and even discovering the secret inclinations of men. Counterfeit letters were written in the name of the Queen of Scots, or of the English exiles, and privately conveyed to the houses of the catholics; spies were hired to observe the actions and discourse of suspected persons; informers were countenanced; and though the sagacity of these two great ministers helped them to distinguish the true from the false intelligence, many calumnies were no doubt hearkened to, and all the subjects, particularly the catholics, kept in the utmost anxiety and inquietude. Henry Percy, Earl of Northumberland, brother to the earl beheaded some years before, and Philip Howard, Earl of Arundel, son of the unfortunate Duke of Norfolk, fell under suspicion; and the latter

was, by order of council, confined to his own house. Francis Throgmorton, a private gentleman, was committed to custody on account of a letter which he had written to the Queen of Scots, and which was intercepted. Lord Paget and Charles Arundel, who had been engaged with him in treasonable designs, immediately withdrew beyond sea. Throgmorton confessed that a plan for an invasion and insurrection had been laid, and though on his trial he was desirous of retracting this confession, and imputing it to the fear of torture, he was found guilty and executed. Mendoza, the Spanish ambassador, having promoted this conspiracy, was ordered to depart the kingdom; and Wade was sent into Spain, to excuse his dismissal, and to desire the king to send another ambassador in his place; but Philip would not so much as admit the English ambassador to his presence. Creighton, a Scottish jesuit, coming over on board a vessel which was seized, tore some papers, with an intention of throwing them into the sea, but the wind blowing them back upon the ship, they were pieced together, and discovered some dangerous secrets (Camden, p. 499).

Many of these conspiracies were, with great appearance of reason, imputed to the intrigues of the Queen of Scots (Strype, vol. iii., p. 246), and as her name was employed in all of them, the council thought that they could not use too many precautions against the danger of her claims, and the restless activity of her temper. She was removed from under the care of the Earl of Shrewsbury, who, though vigilant and faithful in that trust, had also been indulgent to his prisoner, particularly with regard to air and exercise; and she was committed to the custody of Sir Amias Paulet and Sir Drue Drury, men of honour, but inflexible in their care and attention. An association was also set on foot by the Earl of Leicester and other courtiers, and as Elizabeth was beloved by the whole nation, except the more zealous catholics, men of all ranks willingly flocked to the subscription of it. The purport of this association was to defend the queen, to revenge her death or any injury committed against her, and to exclude from the throne all claimants, what title soever they might possess, by whose suggestion, or for whose behoof any violence should be offered to her majesty (State trials, vol. i., pp. 122, 123). The Queen of Scots was sensible that this association was levelled against her, and to remove all suspicion from herself, she also desired leave to subscribe it.

Elizabeth, that she might the more discourage malcontents, by shewing them the concurrence of the nation in her favour, summoned (A.D. 1584, Nov. 23,) a new parliament, and she met with that dutiful attachment which she expected. The association was confirmed by parliament, and a clause was added, by which the queen was empowered to name commissioners for the trial of any pretender to the crown, who should attempt or imagine any invasion, insurrection, or assassination against her; upon condemnation pronounced by these commissioners, the guilty person was excluded from all claim to the succession, and was farther punishable as her majesty should direct. And for greater security, a council of regency, in case of the queen's violent death, was appointed to govern the kingdom, to settle the succession, and to take vengeance for that act of treason (27 Eliz., cap. 1).

A severe law was also enacted against jesuits and popish priests; it

was ordained that they should depart the kingdom within forty days, that those who should remain beyond that time, or should afterwards return, should be guilty of treason ; that those who harboured or relieved them should be guilty of felony ; that those who were educated in seminaries, if they returned not in six months after notice given, and submitted not themselves to the queen, before a bishop or two justices, should be guilty of treason ; and that if any, so submitting themselves, should within ten years, approach the court, or come within ten miles of it, their submission should be void (*Ibid.*, cap. 2). By this law, the exercise of the catholic religion, which had formerly been prohibited under lighter penalties, and which was, in many instances connived at, was totally suppressed. In the subsequent part of the queen's reign, the law was sometimes executed, by the capital punishment of priests ; and though the partisans of that princess asserted that they were punished for their treason, not their religion, the apology must only be understood in this sense, that the law was enacted on account of the treasonable views and attempts of the sect, not that every individual, who suffered the penalty of the law, was convicted of treason.¹ The catholics therefore might now with justice complain of a violent persecution, which we may safely affirm, in spite of the rigid and bigoted maxims of that age, not to be the best method of converting them, or of reconciling them to the established government and religion.

The parliament, besides arming the queen with these powers, granted her a supply of one subsidy and two fifteenths. The only circumstance, in which their proceedings were disagreeable to her, was an application made by the commons, for a farther reformation in ecclesiastical matters. Yet even in this attempt, which affected her as well as them, in a delicate point, they discovered how much they were overawed by her authority. The majority of the house were puritans, or inclined to that sect;² but the severe reprimands which they had already, in former sessions, met with from the throne, deterred them from introducing any bill concerning religion ; a proceeding which would have been interpreted as an encroachment on the prerogative ; they were content to proceed by way of humble petition, and that not addressed to her majesty, which would have given offence, but to the house of lords, or rather the bishops, who had a seat in that house, and from whom alone they were willing to receive all advances towards reformation (*D'Ewes*, p. 357) ; a strange departure from what we now apprehend to be the dignity of the commons.

The commons desired in their humble petition, that no bishop should exercise his function of ordination but with the consent and concurrence of six presbyters ; but this demand, as it really introduced a change of ecclesiastical government, was firmly rejected by the prelates. They desired that no clergyman should be instituted into any benefice, without previous notice being given to the parish, that they might

¹ Some even of those who defend the queen's measures, allow that in ten years fifty priests were executed, and fifty-five banished. *Camden*, p. 649.

² Besides the petition after mentioned, another proof of the prevalency of the Puritans among the commons was their passing a bill for the reverent observance of Sunday, which they termed the Sabbath, and the depriving the people of those amusements, which they were accustomed to take on that day. *D'Ewes*, p. 335. It was a strong symptom of a contrary spirit in the upper house, that they proposed to add Wednesday to the fast days, and to prohibit entirely the eating of flesh on that day. *D'Ewes*, p. 373.

examine whether there lay any objection to his life or doctrine; an attempt towards a popular model, which naturally met with the same fate. In another article of the petition they prayed that the bishops should not insist upon every ceremony, or deprive incumbents for omitting part of the service; as if uniformity in public worship had not been established by law, or as if the prelates had been endowed with a dispensing power. They complained of abuses which prevailed in pronouncing the sentence of excommunication, and they entreated the reverend fathers to think of some law for the remedy of these abuses; implying that those matters were too high for the commons of themselves to attempt.

But the most material article which the commons touched upon in their petition, was the court of ecclesiastical commission and the oath 'ex officio,' as it was called, exacted by that court. This is a subject of such importance as to merit some explanation.

The first primate after the queen's accession was Parker, a man rigid in exacting conformity to the established worship, and in punishing, by fine or deprivation, all the puritanical clergymen who attempted to innovate anything in the habits, ceremonies, or liturgy of the church. He died in 1575, and was succeeded by Grindal, who, as he himself was inclined to the new sect, was with great difficulty brought to execute the laws against them, or to punish the nonconforming clergy. He declined obeying the queen's orders for the suppression of 'prophesyings,' or the assemblies of the zealots in private houses, which, she apprehended, had become so many academies of fanaticism; and for this offence she had, by an order of the Star Chamber, sequestered him from his archiepiscopal function and confined him to his own house. Upon his death, which happened in 1583, she determined not to fall into the same error in her next choice, and she named Whitgift, a zealous churchman who had already signalled his pen in controversy, and who, having in vain attempted to convince the puritans by argument, was now resolved to open their eyes by power and by the execution of penal statutes. He informed the queen that all the spiritual authority, lodged in the prelates, was insignificant without the sanction of the crown; and as there was no ecclesiastical commission at that time in force, he engaged her to issue a new one, more arbitrary than any of the former, and conveying more unlimited authority (Neal's Hist. of the Puritans, vol. i., p. 410). She appointed forty-four commissioners, twelve of whom were ecclesiastics, three commissioners made a quorum; the jurisdiction of the court extended over the whole kingdom and over all orders of men, and every circumstance of its authority, and all its methods of proceeding were contrary to the clearest principles of law and natural equity. The commissioners were empowered to visit and reform all errors, heresies, schisms, in a word, to regulate all opinions, as well as to punish all breach of uniformity in the exercise of public worship. They were directed to make inquiry, not only by the legal methods of juries and witnesses, but by all other means and ways which they could devise; that is, by the rack, by torture, by inquisition, by imprisonment. Where they found reason to suspect any person, they might administer to him an oath, called 'ex Officio,' by which he was bound to answer all questions, and might

thereby be obliged to accuse himself or his most intimate friend. The fines which they levied, were discretionary, and often occasioned the total ruin of the offender, contrary to the established laws of the kingdom. The imprisonment to which they condemned any delinquent, was limited by no rule but their own pleasure. They assumed a power of imposing upon the clergy what new articles of subscription, and consequently of faith, they thought proper. Though all other spiritual courts were subject, since the reformation, to inhibitions from the supreme courts of law, the ecclesiastical commissioners were exempted from that legal jurisdiction, and were liable to no control. And the more to enlarge their authority, they were empowered to punish all incests, adulteries, fornications, all outrages, misbehaviours, and disorders in marriage; and the punishments which they might inflict, were according to their wisdom, conscience, and discretion. In a word, this court was a real inquisition, attended with all the iniquities, as well as cruelties, inseparable from that tribunal. And as the jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical court was destructive of all law, so its erection was deemed by many a mere usurpation of this imperious princess; and had no other foundation than a clause of a statute, restoring the supremacy to the crown and empowering the sovereign to appoint commissioners for exercising that prerogative. But prerogative in general, especially the supremacy, was supposed in that age to involve powers, which no law, precedent, or reason, could limit and determine.

But though the commons in their humble petition to the prelates, had touched so gently and submissively on the ecclesiastical grievances, the queen, in a speech from the throne at the end of the session, could not forbear taking notice of their presumption, and reproving them for those murmurs which, for fear of offending her, they had pronounced so low as not directly to reach her royal ears. After giving them some general thanks for their attachment to her, and making professions of affection to her subjects, she told them that whoever found fault with the church threw a slander upon her, since she was appointed by God supreme ruler over it, and no heresies or schisms could prevail in the kingdom but by her permission and negligence; that some abuses must necessarily have place in everything, but she warned the prelates to be watchful, for if she found them careless of their charge, she was fully determined to depose them; that she was commonly supposed to have employed herself in many studies, particularly philosophical (by which, I suppose, she meant theological), and she would confess that few, whose leisure had not allowed them to make profession of science, had read or reflected more; that as she could discern the presumption of many in curiously canvassing the Scriptures, and starting innovations, she would no longer endure this licentiousness, but meant to guide her people by God's rule, in the just mean between the corruptions of Rome and the errors of modern sectaries; and that as the Romanists were the inveterate enemies of her person, so the other innovators were dangerous to all kingly government; and under colour of preaching the Word of God, presumed to exercise their private judgment and to censure the actions of the prince.¹

¹ D'Ewes, p. 328. The Puritanical sect had indeed gone so far, that a book of discipline

From the whole of this transaction we may observe that the commons, in making their general application to the prelates, as well as in some particular articles of their petition, showed themselves wholly ignorant, no less than the queen, of the principles of liberty and a legal constitution. And it may not be unworthy of remark, that Elizabeth, so far from yielding to the displeasure of the parliament against the ecclesiastical commissioners, granted, before the end of her reign, a new commission; in which she enlarged, rather than restrained their powers (Rymer, vol. xvi., pp. 292, 386, 400).

During this session of parliament, there was discovered a conspiracy which much increased the general animosity against the Catholics, and still farther widened the breach between the religious parties. William Parry, a Catholic gentleman, had received the queen's pardon for a crime, by which he was exposed to capital punishment; and, having obtained permission to travel, he retired to Milan, and made open profession of his religion, which he had concealed while he remained in England. He was here persuaded by Palmio, a jesuit, that he could not perform a more meritorious action than to take away the life of his sovereign and his benefactress; the nuncio, Campeggio, when consulted, approved extremely of this pious undertaking; and Parry, though still agitated with doubts, came to Paris with an intention of passing over to England, and executing his bloody purpose. He was here encouraged in the design by Thomas Morgan, a gentleman of great credit in the party; and though Watts and some other Catholic priests told him that the enterprise was criminal and impious, he preferred the authority of Raggazzoni, the nuncio at Paris, and determined to persist in his resolution. He here wrote a letter to the Pope, which was conveyed to Cardinal Como; he communicated his intention to the holy father, and craved his absolution and paternal benediction. He received an answer from the cardinal, by which he found that his purpose was extremely applauded, and he came over to England with a full design of carrying it into execution. So deeply are the sentiments of morality engraved in the human breast, that it is difficult even for the prejudices of false religion totally to efface them; and this bigoted assassin resolved before he came to extremities, to try every other expedient for alleviating the persecutions under which the Catholics at that time laboured. He found means of being introduced to the queen, assured her that many conspiracies were formed against her; and exhorted her, as she tendered her life, to give the Romanists some more indulgence in the exercise of their religion; but, lest he should be tempted by the opportunity to assassinate her, he always came to court unprovided with every offensive weapon. He even found means to be elected member of parliament, and, having made a vehement harangue against the severe laws enacted this last session, was committed to custody for his freedom, and sequestered from the house. His failure in these attempts confirmed him the more in his former resolution, and he communicated his intentions to Nevil, who

was secretly subscribed by above 500 clergymen; and the Presbyterian government thereby established in the midst of the church, notwithstanding the rigour of the prelates and the high commission. So impossible is it by penal statutes, however severe, to suppress all religious innovation. Neal's Hist. of the Puritans, vol. i., p. 483; Strype's Life of Whitgift, p. 291.

entered zealously into the design, and was determined to have a share in the merits of its execution. A book newly published by Dr. Allen, afterwards created a cardinal, served farther to efface all their scruples with regard to the murder of an heretical prince; and, having agreed to shoot the queen while she should be taking the air on horseback, they resolved, if they could not make their escape, to sacrifice their lives in fulfilling a duty so agreeable as they imagined, to the will of God and to true religion. But while they were watching an opportunity for the execution of their purpose, the Earl of Westmoreland happened to die in exile; and as Nevil was next heir to that family, he began to entertain hopes that, by doing some acceptable service to the queen, he might recover the estate and honours, which had been forfeited by the rebellion of the last earl. He betrayed the whole conspiracy to the ministers; and Parry being thrown into prison, confessed the guilt, both to them and to the jury who tried him. The letter from Cardinal Como, being produced in court, put Parry's narrative beyond all question; and that criminal, having received sentence of death,¹ suffered the punishment which the law appointed for his treasonable conspiracy.²

These bloody designs now appeared everywhere, as the result of that bigoted spirit by which the two religions, especially the Catholic, were at this time actuated. Somerville, a gentleman of the county of Warwick, somewhat disordered in his understanding, had heard so much of the merit attending the assassination of heretics and persecutors, that he came to London with a view of murdering the queen; but having betrayed his design by some extravagances, he was thrown into prison, and there perished by a voluntary death (Camden, p. 495). About the same time, Baltazar Gerard, a Burgundian, undertook and executed the same design against the Prince of Orange; and that great man perished at Delft, by the hands of a desperate assassin, who, with a resolution worthy of a better cause, sacrificed his own life, in order to destroy the famous restorer and protector of religious liberty. The Flemings, who regarded that prince as their father, were filled with great sorrow, as well when they considered the miserable end of so brave a patriot, as their own forlorn condition, from the loss of so powerful and prudent a leader, and from the rapid progress of the Spanish arms. The Prince of Parma had made every year great advances upon them, had reduced several of the provinces to obedience, and had laid close seige to Antwerp, the richest and most populous city

¹ State Trials, vol. i., p. 103, and seq.; Strype, vol. iii., p. 255, and seq.

² This year the Earl of Northumberland, brother to the earl beheaded some years before, had been engaged in a conspiracy with Lord Paget for the deliverance of the Queen of Scots. He was thrown into the Tower, and being conscious that his guilt could be proved upon him, at least that sentence would infallibly be pronounced against him, he freed himself from farther prosecution by a voluntary death. He shot himself in the breast with a pistol. About the same time, the Earl of Arundel, son of the unfortunate Duke of Norfolk, having entered into some exceptionable measures, and reflecting on the unhappy fate which had attended his family, endeavoured to depart secretly beyond sea, but was discovered and thrown into the Tower. In 1587 this nobleman was brought to his trial for high treason, chiefly because he had dropped some expressions of affection to the Spaniards, and had affirmed that he would have masses said for the success of the Armada. His peers found him guilty of treason. This severe sentence was not executed, but Arundel never recovered his liberty. He died a prisoner in 1595. He carried his religious austerities so far, that they were believed the immediate cause of his death.

of the Netherlands, whose subjection, it was foreseen, would give a mortal blow to the already declining affairs of the revolted provinces. The only hopes which remained to them, arose from the prospect of foreign succour. Being well acquainted with the cautious and frugal maxims of Elizabeth, they expected better success in France; and, in the view of engaging Henry to embrace their defence, they tendered him the sovereignty of their provinces. But the present condition of that monarchy obliged the king to reject so advantageous an offer. The Duke of Anjou's death, which, he thought, would have tended to restore public tranquillity, by delivering him from the intrigues of that prince, plunged him into the deepest distress; and the King of Navarre, a professed Huguenot, being next heir to the crown, the Duke of Guise took thence occasion to revive the Catholic league, and to urge Henry, by the most violent expedients, to seek the exclusion of that brave and virtuous prince. Henry himself though a zealous Catholic, yet, because he declined complying with their precipitate measures, became an object of aversion to the league; and as his zeal, in practising all the superstitious observances of the Romish church, was accompanied with a very licentious conduct in private life, the Catholic faction, in contradiction to universal experience, embraced thence the pretext of representing his devotion as mere deceit and hypocrisy. Finding his authority to decline, he was obliged to declare war against the Huguenots, and to put arms into the hands of the league, whom, both on account of their dangerous pretensions at home, and their close alliance with Philip, he secretly regarded as his more dangerous enemies. Constrained by the same policy, he dreaded the danger of associating himself with the revolted Protestants in the Low Countries, and was obliged to renounce that inviting opportunity of revenging himself for all the hostile intrigues and enterprises of Philip.

The States, reduced to this extremity, sent over a solemn embassy to London, and made anew an offer to the queen, of acknowledging her for their sovereign, on condition of obtaining her protection and assistance. Elizabeth's wisest counsellors were divided in opinion with regard to the conduct which she should hold in this critical and important emergency. Some advised her to reject the offer of the States, and represented the imminent dangers, as well as injustice, attending the acceptance of it. They said that the suppression of rebellious subjects was the common cause of all sovereigns, and any encouragement given to the revolt of the Flemings might prove the example of a like pernicious licence to the English. That though princes were bound by the laws of the Supreme Being not to oppress their subjects, the people never were entitled to forget all duty to their sovereign, or transfer, from every fancy or disgust, or even from the justest ground of complaint, their obedience to any other master. That the queen, in the succours hitherto afforded the Flemings, had considered them as labouring under oppression, not as entitled to freedom; and had intended only to admonish Philip not to persevere in his tyranny, without any view of ravishing from him these provinces, which he enjoyed by hereditary right from his ancestors. That her situation in Ireland, and even in England, would afford that powerful monarch sufficient opportunity of retaliating upon her; and she must thence-

forth expect, that instead of secretly fomenting faction, he would openly employ his whole force in the protection and defence of the Catholics. That the Pope would undoubtedly unite his spiritual arms to the temporal ones in Spain. And that Queen Elizabeth would soon repent her making so precarious an acquisition in foreign countries, by exposing her own dominions to the most imminent danger (Camden, p. 507; Bentivoglio, part 2, lib. iv.).

Other counsellors of Elizabeth maintained a contrary opinion. They asserted that the queen had not, even from the beginning of her reign, but certainly had not at present, the choice whether she would embrace friendship or hostility with Philip. That by the whole tenor of that prince's conduct it appeared, that his sole aims were, the extending of his empire, and the entire subjection of the Protestants, under the specious pretence of maintaining the Catholic faith. That the provocations which she had already given him, joined to his general scheme of policy, would for ever render him her implacable enemy; and as soon as he had subdued his revolted subjects, he would undoubtedly fall, with the whole force of his united empire, on her defenceless state. That the only question was, whether she would maintain a war abroad, and supported by allies, or wait till the subjection of all the confederates of England should give her enemies leisure to begin their hostilities in the bowels of the kingdom. That the revolted provinces, though in a declining condition, possessed still considerable force; and by the assistance of England, by the advantages of their situation, and by their inveterate antipathy to Philip, might still be enabled to maintain the contest against the Spanish monarchy. That their maritime power, united to the queen's, would give her entire security on the side from which alone she could be assaulted, and would even enable her to make inroads on Philip's dominions, both in Europe and the Indies. That a war which was necessary could never be unjust; and self-defence was concerned, as well in preventing certain dangers at a distance, as in repelling any immediate invasion. And that, since hostility between Spain and England was the unavoidable consequence of the present interests and situations of the two monarchies, it were better to compensate that danger and loss by the acquisition of such important provinces to the English empire (Camden, p. 507; Bentivoglio, part 2, lib. iv.).

Amidst these opposite counsels, the queen, apprehensive of the consequences attending each extreme, was inclined to steer a middle course; and though such conduct is seldom prudent, she was not, in this resolution, guided by any prejudice or mistaken affection. She was determined not to permit, without opposition, the total subjection of the revolted provinces, whose interests she deemed so closely connected with her own; but foreseeing that the acceptance of their sovereignty would oblige her to employ her whole force in their defence, would give umbrage to her neighbours, and would expose her to the reproach of ambition and usurpation, imputations which hitherto she had carefully avoided, she immediately rejected this offer. She concluded a league with the States on the following conditions: that she should send over an army to their assistance, of 5000 foot and 1000 horse, and pay them during the war; that the general, and two others, whom she should

appoint, should be admitted into the council of the States; that neither party should make peace without the consent of the other; that her expenses should be refunded after the conclusion of the war; and that the towns of Flushing and the Brille, with the castle of Rammekins, should be consigned into her hands, by way of security.

The queen knew that this measure would immediately engage her in open hostilities with Philip; yet was not she terrified with the view of the present greatness of that monarch. The continent of Spain was at that time rich and populous; and the late addition of Portugal, besides securing internal tranquillity, had annexed an opulent kingdom to Philip's dominions, had made him master of many settlements in the East Indies, and of the whole commerce of those regions, and had much increased his naval power, in which he was before chiefly deficient. All the princes of Italy, even the Pope and the court of Rome, were reduced to a kind of subjection under him, and seemed to possess their sovereignty on terms somewhat precarious. The Austrian branch in Germany, with their dependent principalities, were closely connected with him, and were ready to supply him with troops for every enterprise. All the treasures of the West Indies were in his possession; and the present scarcity of the precious metals in every country of Europe, rendered the influence of his riches the more forcible and extensive. The Netherlands seemed on the point of relapsing into servitude, and small hopes were entertained of their withstanding those numerous and veteran armies, which, under the command of the most experienced generals, he employed against them. Even France, which was wont to counterbalance the Austrian greatness, had lost all her force from intestine commotions; and as the Catholics, the ruling party, were closely connected with him, he rather expected thence an augmentation than a diminution of his power. Upon the whole, such prepossessions were everywhere entertained concerning the force of the Spanish monarchy, that the King of Sweden, when he heard that Elizabeth had openly embraced the defence of the revolted Flemings, scrupled not to say that she had now taken the diadem from her head, and had adventured it upon the doubtful chance of war (Camden, p. 508). Yet was this princess rather cautious than enterprising in her natural temper, she never needed more to be impelled by the vigour, than restrained by the prudence of her ministers; but when she saw an evident necessity, she braved danger with magnanimous courage; and trusting to her own consummate wisdom, and to the affections, however divided, of her people, she prepared herself to resist, and even to assault, the whole force of the Catholic monarch.

The Earl of Leicester was sent over to Holland, at the head of the English auxiliary forces. He carried with him a splendid retinue; being accompanied by the young Earl of Essex, his son-in-law, the Lords Audley and North, Sir William Russel, Sir Thomas Shirley, Sir Arthur Basset, Sir Walter Waller, Sir Gervase Clifton, and a select troop of five hundred gentlemen. He was received on his arrival at Flushing, by his nephew Sir Philip Sidney, the governor; and every town through which he passed expressed their joy by acclamations and triumphal arches, as if his presence and the queen's protection had brought them the most certain deliverance. The States, desirous of

engaging Elizabeth still further in their defence, and knowing the interest which Leicester possessed with her, conferred on him the title of Governor and Captain-general of the United Provinces, appointed a guard to attend him, and treated him, in some respects, as their sovereign. But this step had a contrary effect to what they expected. The queen was displeased with the artifice of the States, and the ambition of Leicester. She severely reprimanded both, and it was with some difficulty that, after many humble submissions, they were able to appease her.

America was regarded as the chief source of Philip's power, as well as the most defenceless part of his dominions; and Elizabeth, finding that an open breach with that monarch was unavoidable, resolved not to leave him unmolested in that quarter. The great success of the Spaniards and Portuguese in both Indies had excited a spirit of emulation in England; and as the progress of commerce, still more than of colonies, is slow and gradual, it was happy that a war in this critical period, had opened a more flattering prospect to the avarice and ambition of the English, and had tempted them, by the view of sudden and exorbitant profit, to engage in naval enterprises. A fleet of twenty sail was equipped to attack the Spaniards in the West Indies: 2,300 volunteers, besides seamen, engaged on board of it; Sir Francis Drake was appointed admiral; Christopher Carlisle commander of the land forces. They (A.D. Jan., 1586) took St. Jago, near Cape Verde, by surprise; and found in it plenty of provisions, but no riches. They sailed to Hispaniola; and easily making themselves master of St. Domingo by assault, obliged the inhabitants to ransom their houses by a sum of money. Carthagena fell next into their hands, after some more resistance, and was treated in the same manner. They burned St. Anthony and St. Helens, two towns on the coast of Florida. Sailing along the coast of Virginia, they found the small remains of a colony which had been planted there by Sir Walter Raleigh, and which had gone extremely to decay. This was the first attempt of the English to form such settlements; and though they have since surpassed all European nations, both in the situation of their colonies, and in the noble principles of liberty and industry, on which they are founded, they had here been so unsuccessful, that the miserable planters abandoned their settlements, and prevailed on Drake to carry them with him to England. He returned with so much riches as encouraged the volunteers, and with such accounts of the Spanish weakness in those countries, as served extremely to inflame the spirits of the nation to future enterprises. The great mortality which the climate had produced in his fleet, was, as is usual, but a feeble restraint on the avidity and sanguine hopes of young adventurers (Camden, p. 509). It is thought that Drake's fleet introduced the use of tobacco into England.

The enterprises of Leicester were much less successful than those of Drake. This man possessed neither courage nor capacity equal to the trust reposed in him by the queen; and as he was the only bad choice she made for any considerable employment, men naturally believed that she had here been influenced by an affection still more partial than that of friendship. He gained at first some advantage in an action against the Spaniards, and threw succours into Grave, by which that

place was enabled to make a vigorous defence; but the cowardice of the governor, Van Hemert, rendered all these efforts useless. He capitulated after a feeble resistance; and being tried for his conduct, suffered a capital punishment from the sentence of a court-martial. The Prince of Parma next undertook the siege of Venloo, which was surrendered to him after some resistance. The fate of Nuys was more dismal; being taken by assault, while the garrison was treating of a capitulation. Rhimberg, which was garrisoned by 1200 English, under the command of Colonel Morgan, was afterwards besieged by the Spaniards; and Leicester, thinking himself too weak to attempt raising the siege, endeavoured to draw off the Prince of Parma by forming another enterprise. He first attacked Doesberg, and succeeded; he then sat down before Zutphen, which the Spanish general thought so important a fortress, that he hastened to its relief. He made the Marquess of Guesle advance with a convoy, which he intended to throw into the place. They were favoured by a fog; but falling by accident on a body of English cavalry, a furious action ensued, in which the Spaniards were worsted, and the Marquess of Gonzaga, an Italian nobleman of great reputation and family, was slain. The pursuit was stopped by the advance of the Prince of Parma with the main body of the Spanish army; and the English cavalry, on their return from the field, found their advantage more than compensated by the loss of Sir Philip Sidney, who, being mortally wounded in the action, was carried off by the soldiers, and soon after died. This person is described by the writers of that age as the most perfect model of an accomplished gentleman, that could be formed even by the wanton imagination of poetry or fiction. Virtuous conduct, polite conversation, heroic valour, and elegant erudition, all concurred to render him the ornament and delight of the English court; and as the credit, which he possessed with the queen and the Earl of Leicester, was wholly employed in the encouragement of genius and literature, his praises have been transmitted with advantage to posterity. No person was so low as not to become an object of his humanity. After this last action, while he was lying on the field, mangled with wounds, a bottle of water was brought him to relieve his thirst; but observing a soldier near him in a like miserable condition, he said, 'This man's necessity is still greater than mine:' and resigned to him the bottle of water. The King of Scots, struck with admiration of Sidney's virtue, celebrated his memory in a copy of Latin verses, which he composed on the death of that young hero.

The English, though a long peace had deprived them of all experience, were strongly possessed of military genius, and the advantages gained by the Prince of Parma were not attributed to the superior bravery and discipline of the Spaniards, but solely to the want of military abilities in Leicester. The States were much discontented with his management of the war, still more with his arbitrary and imperious conduct, and at the end of the campaign they applied to him for a redress of all their grievances. But Leicester without giving them any satisfaction, departed soon after for England (Camden, p. 512; Bentioglio, part 2, lib. 4).

The queen, while she provoked so powerful an enemy as the King of

Spain, was not forgetful to secure herself on the side of Scotland, and she endeavoured both to cultivate the friendship and alliance of her kinsman James, and to remove all grounds of quarrel between them. An attempt which she had made some time before, was not well calculated to gain the confidence of that prince. She had dispatched Wotton as her ambassador to Scotland, but though she gave him private instructions with regard to her affairs, she informed James that when she had any political business to discuss with him, she would employ another minister; that this man was not fitted for serious negotiations, and that her chief purpose in sending him was to entertain the king with witty and facetious conversation, and to partake without reserve of his pleasures and amusements. Wotton was master of profound dissimulation, and knew how to cover under the appearance of a careless gaiety, the deepest designs and most dangerous artifices. When but a youth of twenty, he had been employed by his uncle, Dr. Wotton, ambassador in France during the reign of Mary, to ensnare the constable, Montmorency, and had not his purpose been frustrated by pure accident, his cunning had prevailed over all the caution and experience of that aged minister. It is no wonder that after years had improved him in all the arts of deceit, he should gain an ascendant over a young prince of so open and unguarded a temper as James, especially when the queen's recommendation prepared the way for his reception. He was admitted into all the pleasures of the king, made himself master of his secrets, and had so much the more authority with him in political transactions, as he did not seem to pay the least attention to these matters. The Scottish ministers who observed the growing interest of this man, endeavoured to acquire his friendship, and scrupled not to sacrifice to his intrigues the most essential interest of their master. Elizabeth's usual jealousies with regard to her heirs began now to be levelled against James, and as that prince had attained the years proper for marriage, she was apprehensive, lest by being strengthened with children and alliances, he should acquire the greater interest and authority with her English subjects. She directed Wotton to form a secret concert with some Scottish noblemen, and to procure their promise that James, during three years should not on any account be permitted to marry. In consequence of this view they endeavoured to embroil him with the King of Denmark, who had sent ambassadors to Scotland, on pretence of demanding restitution of the Orkneys, but really with a view of opening a proposal of marriage between James and his daughter. Wotton is said to have employed his intrigues to purposes still more dangerous. He formed, it is pretended, a conspiracy with some malcontents to seize the person of the king, and to deliver him into the hands of Elizabeth, who would probably have denied all concurrence in the design, but would have been sure to retain him in perpetual thralldom, if not captivity. The conspiracy was detected, and Wotton fled hastily from Scotland without taking leave of the king (Melvil).

James's situation obliged him to dissemble his resentment of this traiterous attempt, and his natural temper inclined him soon to forgive and forget it. The queen found no difficulty in renewing the negotiations for a strict alliance between Scotland and England, and the more

effectually to gain the prince's friendship, she granted him a pension equivalent to his claim on the inheritance of his grandmother, the Countess of Lennox, lately deceased (Spotswood, p. 351). A league was formed between Elizabeth and James for the mutual defence of their dominions and of their religion, now menaced by the open combination of all the Catholic powers of Europe. It was stipulated that if Elizabeth were invaded, James should aid her with a body of 2000 horse and 5000 foot; that Elizabeth in a like case should send to his assistance 3000 horse and 6000 foot; that the charge of these armies should be defrayed by the prince who demanded assistance; that if the invasion should be made upon England, within sixty miles of the frontiers of Scotland, this latter kingdom should march its whole force to the assistance of the former; and that the present league should supersede all former alliances of either state with any foreign kingdom, so far as religion was concerned.¹

By this league James secured himself against all attempts from abroad, opened a way for acquiring the confidence and affections of the English, and might entertain some prospect of domestic tranquillity, which, while he lived on bad terms with Elizabeth, he could never expect long to enjoy. Besides the turbulent disposition and inveterate feuds of the nobility, ancient maladies of the Scottish government, the spirit of fanaticism had introduced a new disorder, so much the more dangerous, as religion, when corrupted by false opinion, is not restrained by any rules of morality, and is even scarcely to be accounted for in its operations by any principles of ordinary conduct and policy. The insolence of the preachers who triumphed in their dominion over the populace, had at this time reached an extreme height, and they carried their arrogance so far, not only against the king, but against the whole civil power, that they excommunicated the Archbishop of St. Andrew's because he had been active in parliament for promoting a law which restrained their seditious sermons (Spotswood, pp. 345, 346); nor could that prelate save himself by any expedient from this terrible sentence, but by renouncing all pretensions to ecclesiastical authority. One Gibson said in the pulpit, that captain James Stuart (meaning the late Earl of Arran) and his wife Jezebel, had been deemed the chief persecutors of the church, but it was now seen that the king himself was the great offender; and for this crime the preacher denounced against him the curse which fell on Jeroboam, that he should die childless, and be the last of his race (Ibid, p. 344).

The secretary, Thirlstone, perceiving the king so much molested with ecclesiastical affairs, and with the refractory disposition of the clergy, advised him to leave them to their own courses; for in a short time they would become so intolerable that the people would rise against them, and drive them out of the country. 'True,' replied the king: 'If I purposed to undo the church and religion, your counsel were good; but my intention is to maintain both, therefore cannot I suffer the clergy to follow such a conduct, as will in the end bring religion into contempt and derision (Spotswood, p. 348).

¹ Spotswood, p. 349; Camden, p. 513; Rymer, tom. xv., p. 803.

CHAPTER XLII.

Zeal of the Catholics.—Babington's conspiracy.—Mary assents to the conspiracy.—The conspirators seized and executed.—Resolution to try the Queen of Scots.—The commissioners prevail on her to submit to the trial.—The trial.—Sentence against Mary.—Interposition of King James.—Reasons for the execution of Mary.—The execution.—Mary's character.—The queen's affected sorrow.—Drake destroys the Spanish fleet at Cadiz.—Philip projects the invasion of England.—The Invincible Armada.—Preparations in England.—The Armada arrives in the channel.—Defeated.—A parliament.—Expedition against Portugal.—Affairs of Scotland.

THE dangers which arose from the character, principles, and pretensions of the Queen of Scots, had very early engaged Elizabeth to consult in her treatment of that unfortunate princess the dictates of jealousy and politics, rather than of friendship or generosity; resentment of this usage had pushed Mary into enterprises which had nearly threatened the repose and authority of Elizabeth; the rigour and restraint thence redoubled upon the captive queen (Digges, p. 139; Haynes, p. 607) still impelled her to attempt greater extremities, and while her impatience of confinement, her revenge,¹ and her high spirit, concurred with religious zeal, and the suggestions of desperate bigots, she was at last engaged in designs which afforded her enemies who watched the opportunity a pretence or reason for effecting her final ruin.

¹ Mary's extreme animosity against Elizabeth may easily be conceived, and it broke out about this time in an incident which may appear curious. While the former queen was kept in custody by the Earl of Shrewsbury, she lived during a long time in great intimacy with the countess; but that lady entertaining a jealousy of an amour between her and the earl, their friendship was converted into enmity, and Mary took a method of revenge, which at once gratified her spite against the countess and that against Elizabeth. She wrote to the queen, informing her of all the malicious scandalous stories which, she said, the Countess of Shrewsbury had reported of her. That Elizabeth had given a promise of marriage to a certain person, whom she afterwards often admitted to her bed. That she had been equally indulgent to Simier, the French agent, and to the Duke of Anjou. That Hatton was also one of her paramours, who was even disgusted with her excessive love and fondness. That though she was, on other occasions, avaricious to the last degree, as well as ungrateful, and kind to very few, she spared no expense in gratifying her amorous passions. That, notwithstanding her licentious amours, she was not made like other women; and all those who courted her marriage would in the end be disappointed. That she was so conceited of her beauty, as to swallow the most extravagant flattery from her courtiers, who could not, on these occasions, forbear even sneering at her for her folly. That it was usual for them to tell her, that the lustre of her beauty dazzled them like that of the sun, and they could not behold it with a fixed eye. She added, that the countess had said that Mary's best policy would be to engage her son to make love to the queen; nor was there any danger that such a proposal would be taken for mockery, so ridiculous was the opinion which she had entertained of her own charms. She pretended that the countess had represented her as no less odious in her temper than profligate in her manners, and absurd in her vanity. That she had so beaten a young woman of the name of Scudamore as to break that lady's finger; and in order to cover over the matter, it was pretended that the accident had proceeded from the fall of a candlestick. That she had cut another across the hand with a knife, who had been so unfortunate as to offend her. Mary added, that the countess had informed her that Elizabeth had suborned Rolstone to pretend friendship to her, in order to debauch her, and thereby throw infamy on her rival. Murden's State Papers, p. 558. This imprudent and malicious letter was written a very little time before the detection of Mary's conspiracy; and contributed, no doubt, to render the proceedings against her the more rigorous. How far all these imputations against Elizabeth can be credited may perhaps appear doubtful. But her extreme fondness for Leicester, Hatton, and Essex, not to mention Mountjoy and others, with the curious passages between her and Admiral Seymour, contained in Haynes, render her chastity very much to be suspected. Her self-conceit with regard to beauty, we know from other undoubted

The English seminary at Rheims had wrought themselves up to a high pitch of rage and animosity against the queen. The recent persecutions from which they had escaped; the new rigours which they knew awaited them in the course of their missions; the liberty, which for the present they enjoyed, of declaiming against that princess, and the contagion of that religious fury which everywhere surrounded them in France; all these causes had obliterated with them every maxim of common sense, and every principle of morals or humanity. Intoxicated with admiration of the divine power and infallibility of the Pope, they revered his bull, by which he excommunicated and deposed the queen; and some of them had gone to that height of extravagance as to assert that that performance had been immediately dictated by the Holy Ghost. The assassination of heretical sovereigns, and of that princess in particular, was presented as the most meritorious of all enterprises; and they taught that whoever perished in such pious attempts, enjoyed, without dispute, the glorious and never-fading crown of martyrdom. By such doctrines they instigated John Savage, a man of desperate courage, who had served some years in the Low Countries, under the Prince of Parma, to attempt the life of Elizabeth; and this assassin, having made a vow to persevere in his design, was sent over by them to England, and recommended to the confidence of the more zealous Catholics.

About the same time John Ballard, a priest of that seminary, had returned to Paris from his mission in England and Scotland, and as he had observed a spirit of mutiny and rebellion to be very prevalent among the Catholic devotees in these countries, he had founded, on that disposition, the project of dethroning Elizabeth, and of restoring, by force of arms, the exercise of the ancient religion (Murden's *State Papers*, p. 517). The situation of affairs abroad seemed favourable to this enterprise; the Pope, the Spaniard, the Duke of Guise, concurring in interests, had formed a resolution to make some attempt against England; and Mendoza, the Spanish ambassador at Paris, strongly encouraged Ballard to hope for succours from these princes. Charles Paget alone, a zealous Catholic, and a devoted partisan of the Queen of Scots, being well acquainted with the prudence, vigour, and general popularity of Elizabeth, always maintained, that, so long as the princess was allowed to live, it was in vain to expect any success from an enterprise upon England. Ballard, persuaded of this truth, saw more clearly the necessity of executing the design formed at Rheims; he came over to England in the disguise of a soldier, and assumed the name of Captain Fortescue; and he bent his endeavours to effect at once the project of an assassination, an insurrection, and an invasion (Camden, p. 515).

authority, to have been extravagant. Even when she was a very old woman, she allowed her courtiers to flatter her with regard to her *excellent beauties*. Birch, vol. ii., pp. 442, 443. Her passionate temper may also be proved from many lively instances; and it was not unusual with her to beat her maids of honour. Sydney Papers, vol. ii., p. 38. The blow she gave to Essex before the privy-council is another instance. There remains in the Museum a letter of the Earl of Huntingdon's, in which he complains grievously of the queen's pinching his wife very sorely, on account of some quarrel between them. Had this princess been born in a private station she would not have been very amiable. But her absolute authority, at the same time that it gave an uncontrolled swing to her violent passions, enabled her to compensate her infirmities by many great and signal virtues.

The first person to whom he addressed himself was Anthony Babington, of Dethic, in the county of Derby. This young gentleman was of a good family, possessed a plentiful fortune, had discovered an excellent capacity, and was accomplished in literature beyond most of his years or station. Being zealously devoted to the Catholic communion, he had secretly made a journey to Paris some time before, and had fallen into intimacy with Thomas Morgan, a bigoted fugitive from England, and with the Bishop of Glasgow, Mary's ambassador at the court of France. By continually extolling the amiable accomplishments and heroical virtues of that princess, they impelled the sanguine and unguarded mind of young Babington to make some attempt for her service; and they employed every principle of ambition, gallantry, and religious zeal, to give him a contempt of those dangers which attended any enterprise against the vigilant government of Elizabeth. Finding him well disposed for their purpose, they sent him back to England, and secretly, unknown to himself, recommended him to the Queen of Scots, as a person worth engaging in her service. She wrote him a letter full of friendship and confidence; and Babington, ardent in his temper, and zealous in his principles, thought that these advances now bound him in honour to devote himself entirely to the service of that unfortunate princess. During some time he had found means of conveying to her all her foreign correspondence; but after she was put under the custody of Sir Amias Paulet, and reduced to a more rigorous confinement, he experienced so much difficulty and danger in rendering her this service, that he had desisted from every attempt of that dangerous nature.

When Ballard began to open his intentions to Babington, he found his zeal suspended, not extinguished; his former ardour revived on the mention of any enterprise which seemed to promise success in the cause of Mary and of the Catholic religion. He had entertained sentiments conformable to those of Paget, and represented the folly of all attempts which, during the lifetime of Elizabeth, could be formed against the established religion and government of England. Ballard, encouraged by this hint, proceeded to discover to him the design undertaken by Savage (*Ibid.*, *State Trials*, p. 114), and was well pleased to observe that, instead of being shocked with the project, Babington only thought it not secure enough when entrusted to one single hand, and proposed to join five others with Savage in this desperate enterprise.

In prosecution of these views, Babington employed himself in increasing the number of his associates; and he secretly drew into the conspiracy many Catholic gentlemen discontented with the present government. Barnwell, of a noble family in Ireland, Charnoc, a gentleman of Lancashire and Abington, whose father had been cofferer to the household, readily undertook the assassination of the queen. Charles Tilney, the heir of an ancient family, and Titchborne, of Southampton, when the design was proposed to them, expressed some scruples, which were removed by the arguments of Babington and Ballard. Savage alone refused, during some time, to share the glory of the enterprise with any others (*State Trials*, vol. i., p. 111); he challenged the whole to himself, and it was with some difficulty he was induced to depart from this preposterous ambition.

The deliverance of the Queen of Scots, at the very same instant when Elizabeth should be assassinated, was requisite for effecting the purpose of the conspirators; and Babington undertook, with a party of a hundred horse, to attack her guards, while she should be taking the air on horseback. In this enterprise he engaged Edward Windsor, brother to the lord of that name, Thomas Salisbury, Robert Gage, John Travers, John Jones, and Henry Donne, most of them men of family and interest. The conspirators much wanted, but could not find, any nobleman of note whom they might place at the head of the enterprise; but they trusted that the great events of the queen's death and Mary's deliverance would rouse all the zealous Catholics to arms; and that foreign forces, taking advantage of the general confusion, would easily fix the Queen of Scots on the throne, and re-establish the ancient religion.

These desperate projects had not escaped the vigilance of Elizabeth's council, particularly of Walsingham, secretary of state. That artful minister had engaged Maud, a Catholic priest, whom he retained in pay, to attend Ballard in his journey to France, and had thereby got a hint of the designs entertained by the fugitives. Polly, another of the spies, had found means to insinuate himself among the conspirators in England; and, though not entirely trusted, had obtained some insight into their dangerous secrets. But the bottom of the conspiracy was never fully known till Gifford, a seminary priest, came over, and made a tender of his services to Walsingham. By his means the discovery became of the utmost importance, and involved the fate of Mary, as well as of those zealous partisans of that princess.

Babington and his associates, having laid such a plan as they thought promised infallible success, were impatient to communicate the design to the Queen of Scots, and to obtain her approbation and concurrence. For this service they employed Gifford, who immediately applied to Walsingham, that the interests of that minister might forward his secret correspondence with Mary. Walsingham proposed the matter to Paulet, and desired him to connive at Gifford's corrupting one of his servants; but Paulet, averse to the introducing of such a pernicious precedent into his family, desired that they would rather think of some other expedient. Gifford found a brewer, who supplied the family with ale; and bribed him to convey letters to the captive queen. The letters, by Paulet's connivance, were thrust through a chink in the wall; and answers returned by the same conveyance.

Ballard and Babington were at first diffident of Gifford's fidelity; and to make trial of him, they gave him only blank papers made up like letters; but finding by the answers that these had been faithfully delivered, they laid aside all further scruple, and conveyed by his hands the most criminal and dangerous part of their conspiracy. Babington informed Mary of the design laid for a foreign invasion, the plan of an insurrection at home, the scheme for her deliverance, and the conspiracy for assassinating the usurper, by six noble gentlemen, as he termed them, all of them his private friends; who, from the zeal which they bore to the Catholic cause and her majesty's service, would undertake the tragical execution. Mary replied that she approved highly of the design; that the gentlemen might expect all the

rewards which it should ever be in her power to confer; and that the death of Elizabeth was a necessary circumstance, before any attempts were made, either for her own deliverance or an insurrection (*State Trials*, vol. i., p. 135; *Camden*, p. 515). These letters, with others to Mendoza, Charles Paget, the archbishop of Glasgow, and Sir Francis Inglefield, were carried by Gifford to Secretary Walsingham; were decyphered by the art of Philips, his clerk, and copies taken of them. Walsingham employed another artifice, in order to obtain full insight into the plot; he subjoined to a letter of Mary's a postscript in the same cypher; in which he made her desire Babington to inform her of the names of the conspirators. The indiscretion of Babington furnished Walsingham with still another means of detection, as well as of defence. That gentleman had caused a picture to be drawn, where he himself was represented standing amidst the six assassins; and a motto was subjoined, expressing that their common perils were the band of their confederacy. A copy of this picture was brought to Elizabeth, that she might know the assassins, and guard herself against their approach to her person.

Meanwhile, Babington, anxious to ensure and hasten the foreign succours, resolved to dispatch Ballard into France; and he procured for him, under a feigned name, a licence to travel. In order to remove from himself all suspicion, he applied to Walsingham, pretended great zeal for the queen's service, offered to go abroad, and professed his intentions of employing the confidence which he had gained among the Catholics, to the detection and disappointment of their conspiracies. Walsingham commended his loyal purposes; and promising him own counsel and assistance in the execution of them, still fed him with hopes, and maintained a close correspondence with him. A warrant, meanwhile, was issued for seizing Ballard; and this incident, joined to the consciousness of guilt, begat in all the conspirators the utmost anxiety and concern. Some advised, that they should immediately make their escape; others proposed, that Savage and Charnoc should without delay execute their purpose against Elizabeth; and Babington in prosecution of this scheme, furnished Savage with money, that he might buy good clothes, and thereby have more easy access to the queen's person. Next day they began to apprehend that they had taken the alarm too hastily; and Babington, having renewed his correspondence with Walsingham, was persuaded by that subtle minister, that the seizure of Ballard had proceeded entirely from the usual diligence of informers in the detection of popish and seminary priests. He even consented to take lodgings secretly in Walsingham's house, that they might have more frequent conferences together, before his intended departure for France; but observing that he was watched and guarded, he made his escape, and gave the alarm to the other conspirators. They all took to flight, covered themselves with several disguises, and lay concealed in woods or barns, but were soon discovered, and thrown into prison. In their examinations, they contradicted each other, and the leaders were obliged to make a full confession of the truth. Fourteen were condemned and executed; of whom seven acknowledged the crime on their trial (Sept., A.D. 1586); the rest were convicted by evidence.

The lesser conspirators being dispatched, measures were taken for the trial and conviction of the Queen of Scots; on whose account, and with whose concurrence, these attempts had been made against the life of the queen and the tranquillity of the kingdom. Some of Elizabeth's counsellors were averse to this procedure; and thought, that the close confinement of a woman, who was become very sickly, and who would probably put a speedy period to their anxiety by her natural death, might give sufficient security to the government, without attempting a measure, of which there scarcely remains any example in history. Leicester advised that Mary should be secretly dispatched by poison, and he sent a divine to convince Walsingham of the lawfulness of that action; but Walsingham declared his abhorrence of it; and still insisted, in conjunction with the majority of the counsellors, for the open trial of the Queen of Scots. The situation of England, and of the English ministers, had, indeed, been hitherto not a little dangerous. No successor of the crown was declared; but the heir of blood, to whom the people in general were likely to adhere, was, by education, an enemy to the national religion; was, from multiplied provocations, an enemy to the ministers and principal nobility; and their personal safety, as well as the safety of the public, seemed to depend alone on the queen's life, who was now somewhat advanced in years. No wonder, therefore, that Elizabeth's counsellors, knowing themselves to be so obnoxious to the Queen of Scots, endeavoured to push every measure to extremities against her, and were even more anxious than the queen herself, to prevent her from ever mounting the throne of England.

Though all England was acquainted with the detection of Babington's conspiracy, every avenue to the Queen of Scots had been so strictly guarded, that she remained in utter ignorance of the matter; and it was a great surprise to her, when Sir Thomas Gorges, by Elizabeth's orders, informed her that all her accomplices were discovered and arrested. He chose the time for giving her this intelligence when she was mounted on horseback to go a hunting, and she was not permitted to return to her former place of abode, but was conducted from one gentleman's house to another, till she was lodged in Fotheringay Castle in the county of Northampton, which it was determined to make the last stage of her trial and sufferings. Her two secretaries, Nau, a Frenchman, and Curle, a Scot, were immediately arrested; all her papers were seized, and sent up to the council; above sixty different keys to cyphers were discovered; there were also found many letters from persons beyond sea, and several too from English noblemen, containing expressions of respect and attachment. The queen took no notice of this latter discovery; but the persons themselves, knowing their correspondence to be detected, thought that they had no other means of making atonement for their imprudence than by declaring themselves thenceforth the most inveterate enemies of the Queen of Scots (Camden, p. 518).

It was resolved to try Mary, not by the common statute of treasons, but by the act which had passed the former year, with a view to this very event; and the queen, in terms of that act, appointed a commission, consisting of forty noblemen and privy-councillors, and em-

powered them to examine and pass sentence on Mary, whom she denominated the late Queen of Scots, and heir to James V. of Scotland. The commissioners came to Fotheringay Castle, and sent to her Sir Walter Mildmay, Sir Amias Paulet, and Edward Barker, who delivered her a letter from Elizabeth, informing her of the commission, and of the approaching trial. Mary received the intelligence without emotion or astonishment. She said, however, that it seemed strange to her, that the queen should command her, as a subject, to submit to a trial and examination before subjects; that she was an absolute independent princess, and would yield to nothing which might derogate either from her royal majesty, from the state of sovereign princes, or from the dignity and rank of her son; that, however oppressed by misfortunes, she was not yet so much broken in spirit as her enemies flattered themselves; nor would she, on any account, be accessory to her own degradation and dishonour; that she was ignorant of the laws and statutes of England; was utterly destitute of counsel, and could not conceive who were entitled to be called her peers, or could legally sit as judges on her trial; that though she had lived in England for many years, she had lived in captivity; and not having received the protection of the laws, she could not, merely by her involuntary residence in the country, be supposed to have subjected herself to their jurisdiction; that, notwithstanding the superiority of her rank, she was willing to give an account of her conduct before an English parliament; but could not view these commissioners in any other light than as men appointed to justify, by some colour of legal proceeding, her condemnation and execution; and that she warned them to look to their conscience and their character, in trying an innocent person; and to reflect, that these transactions would somewhere be subject to revival, and that the theatre of the whole world was much wider than the kingdom of England.

In return, the commissioners sent a new deputation, informing her that her plea, either from her royal dignity or from her imprisonment, could not be admitted; and that they were empowered to proceed to her trial, even though she should refuse to answer before them. Burreleigh, the treasurer, and Bromley, the chancellor, employed much reasoning to make her submit; but the person, whose arguments had the chief influence, was Sir Christopher Hatton, vice-chamberlain. His speech was to this purpose: 'You are accused, madam,' said he, 'but not condemned, of having conspired the destruction of our lady and queen anointed. You say you are a queen; but, in such a crime as this, and such a situation as yours, the royal dignity itself, neither by the civil or canon law, nor by the law of nature or of nations, is exempt from judgment. If you be innocent, you wrong your reputation in avoiding a trial. We have been present at your protestations of innocence: but Queen Elizabeth thinks otherwise; and is heartily sorry for the appearances which lie against you. To examine, therefore, your cause, she has appointed commissioners; honourable persons, prudent and upright men, who are ready to hear you with equity, and even with favour, and will rejoice if you can clear yourself of the imputations which have been thrown upon you. Believe me, madam, the queen herself will rejoice, who affirmed to me at my departure,

‘that nothing, which ever befel her, had given her so much uneasiness, as that you should be suspected of a concurrence in these criminal enterprises. Laying aside, therefore, the fruitless claim of privilege from your royal dignity, which can now avail you nothing, trust to the better defence of your innocence, make it appear in open trial, and leave not upon your memory that stain of infamy, which must attend your obstinate silence on this occasion’ (Camden, p. 523).

By this artful speech, Mary was persuaded to answer before the court; and thereby gave an appearance of legal procedure to the trial, and prevented those difficulties which the commissioners must have fallen into, had she persevered in maintaining so specious a plea as that of her sovereign and independent character. Her conduct in this particular must be regarded as the more imprudent, because formerly, when Elizabeth’s commissioners pretended not to exercise any jurisdiction over her, and only entered into her cause by her own consent and approbation, she declined justifying herself, when her honour, which ought to have been dearer to her than life, seemed absolutely to require it.

On her first appearance before the commissioners, Mary, either sensible of her imprudence, or still unwilling to degrade herself by submitting to a trial, renewed her protestation against the authority of her judges: the chancellor answered her by pleading the supreme authority of the English laws over every one who resided in England: and the commissioners accommodated matters, by ordering both her protestation and his answer to be recorded.

The lawyers of the crown then opened the charge against the Queen of Scots. They proved, by intercepted letters, that she had allowed Cardinal Allen and others to treat her as Queen of England; and that she had kept a correspondence with Lord Paget and Charles Paget, in view of engaging the Spaniards to invade the kingdom. Mary seemed not anxious to clear herself from either of these imputations. She only said, that she could not hinder others from using what style they pleased in writing to her; and that she might lawfully try every expedient for the recovery of her liberty.

An intercepted letter of hers to Mendoza was next produced, in which she promised to transfer to Philip her right to the kingdom of England, if her son should refuse to be converted to the Catholic faith, an event, she there said, of which there was no expectation, while he remained in the hands of his Scottish subjects (State Trials, vol. i., p. 138). Even this part of the charge she took no pains to deny, or rather she seemed to acknowledge it. She said, that she had no kingdoms to dispose of; yet was it lawful for her to give at her pleasure what was her own, and she was not accountable to any for actions. She added, that she had formerly rejected that proposal from Spain; but now, since all her hopes in England were gone, she was fully determined not to refuse foreign assistance. There was also produced evidence to prove, that Allen and Parsons were at that very time negotiating by her orders at Rome the conditions of transferring her English crown to the King of Spain, and of disinheriting her heretical son.¹

¹ Camden, p. 125. This evidence was that of Curle, her secretary, whom she allowed to be

It is remarkable that Mary's prejudices against her son were, at this time, carried so far that she had even entered into a conspiracy against him, had appointed Lord Claud Hamilton, Regent of Scotland, and had instigated her adherents to seize James's person, and deliver him into the hands of the Pope, or the King of Spain, whence he was never to be delivered, but on condition of his becoming Catholic.¹

The only part of the charge which Mary positively denied was her concurrence in the design of assassinating Elizabeth. This article indeed was the most heavy, and the only one that could fully justify the queen in proceeding to extremities against her. In order to prove the accusation, there were produced the following evidence: Copies taken in Secretary Walsingham's office of the intercepted letters between her and Babington, in which her approbation of the murder was clearly expressed; the evidence of her two secretaries, Nau and Curle, who had confessed, without being put to any torture, both that she received these letters from Babington, and that they had written the answers by her order; the confession of Babington, that he had written the letters and received the answers (*State Trials*, vol. i., p. 113),

a very honest man; and who, as well as Nau, had given proofs of his integrity, by keeping so long such important secrets, from whose discovery he could have reaped the greatest profit. Mary, after all, thought that she had so little reason to complain of Curle's evidence, that she took care to have him paid a considerable sum by her will, which she wrote the day before her death. Goodall, vol. i., p. 413. Neither did she forget Nau, though less satisfied in other respects with his conduct. *Id. ibid.*

¹ The detail of this conspiracy is to be found in a letter of the Queen of Scots to Charles Paget, her great confidant. This letter is dated the 20th of May, 1586, and is contained in Dr. Forbes's manuscript collections, at present in the possession of Lord Royston. It is a copy attested by Curle, Mary's secretary, and endorsed by Lord Burleigh. What proves its authenticity beyond question is, that we find in Murden's Collection, p. 516, that Mary actually wrote that very day a letter to Charles Paget. And farther, she mentions in the manuscript letter, a letter of Charles Paget's of the 10th of April. Now, we find by Murden, p. 506, that Charles Paget did actually write her a letter of that date.

This violence of spirit is very consistent with Mary's character. Her maternal affection was too weak to oppose the gratification of her passions, particularly her pride, her ambition, and her bigotry. Her son, having made some fruitless attempts to associate her with him in the title, and having found the scheme impracticable, on account of the prejudices of his Protestant subjects, at last desisted from that design, and entered into an alliance with England, without comprehending his mother. She was in such a rage at this unprofitable behaviour, as she imagined it, that she wrote to Queen Elizabeth, that she no longer cared what became of him or herself in the world; the greatest satisfaction she could have before her death, was to see him and all his adherents become a signal example of tyranny, ingratitude, and impiety, and undergo the vengeance of God for their wickedness. She would find in Christendom other heirs, and doubted not to put her inheritance in such hands as would retain the firmest hold of it. She cared not, after taking this revenge, what became of her body. The quickest death would then be the most agreeable to her. And she assured her, that if he persevered she would disown him for her son, would give him her malediction, would disinherit him, as well of his present possessions as of all he could expect by her; abandoning him not only to her subjects to treat him as they had done her, but to all strangers to subdue and conquer him. It was in vain to employ menaces against her. The fear of death or other misfortune would never induce her to make one step, or pronounce one syllable beyond what she had determined. She would rather perish with honour, in maintaining the dignity to which God had raised her, than degrade herself by the least pusillanimity, or act what was unworthy of her station and of her race. Murden, pp. 566, 567.

James said to Courcelles, the French ambassador, that he had seen a letter under her own hand, in which she threatened to disinherit him, and said that he might betake him to the lordship of Darnley, for that was all he had by his father. Courcelles' Letter, a MS. of Dr. Campbell's. There is in Jebb, vol. ii., p. 573, a letter of hers where she throws out the same menace against him.

We find this scheme of seizing the King of Scots, and delivering him into the hands of the Pope or the King of Spain, proposed by Morgan to Mary. Murden, p. 525. A mother must be very violent to whom one would dare to make such a proposal. But it seems she assented to it. Was not such a woman very capable of murdering her husband, who had so grievously offended her?

and the confession of Ballard and Savage, that Babington had showed them these letters of Mary written in the cypher which had been settled between them.

It is evident that this complication of evidence, though every circumstance corroborates the general conclusion, resolves itself finally into the testimony of the two secretaries, who alone were certainly acquainted with their mistress's concurrence in Babington's conspiracy, but who knew themselves exposed to all the rigours of imprisonment, torture, and death, if they refused to give any evidence which might be required of them. In the case of an ordinary criminal, this proof, with all its disadvantages, would be esteemed legal, and even satisfactory, if not opposed by some other circumstances, which shake the credit of the witnesses: but on the present trial, where the absolute power of the prosecutor concurred with such important interests, and such a violent inclination to have the princess condemned, the testimony of two witnesses, even though men of character, ought to be supported by strong probabilities, in order to remove all suspicion of tyranny and injustice. The proof against Mary, it must be confessed, is not destitute of this advantage; and it is difficult, if not impossible, to account for Babington's receiving an answer, written in her name, and in the cypher concerted between them, without allowing that the matter had been communicated to that princess. Such is the light in which this matter appears, even after time has discovered everything which could guide our judgment with regard to it. No wonder, therefore, that the Queen of Scots, unassisted by counsel, and confounded by so extraordinary a trial, found herself incapable of making a satisfactory defence before the commissioners. Her reply consisted chiefly in her own denial; whatever force may be in that denial was much weakened by her positively affirming that she never had had any correspondence of any kind with Babington; a fact, however, of which there remains not the least question.¹ She asserted that as Nau and Curle had taken an oath of secrecy and fidelity to her, their evidence against her ought not to be credited. She confessed, however, that Nau had been in the service of her uncle, the Cardinal of Lorraine, and had been recommended to her by the King of France, as a man in whom she might safely confide. She also acknowledged Curle to be a very honest man, but simple, and easily imposed on by Nau. If these two men had received any letters, or had written any answers, without her knowledge, the imputation, she said, could never lie on her. And she was the more inclined, she added, to entertain this suspicion against them, because Nau had, in other instances, been guilty of a like temerity, and had ventured to transact business in her name without communicating the matter to her.²

¹ The volume of State Papers collected by Murden proves beyond controversy, that Mary was long in close correspondence with Babington, pp. 513, 516, 532, 533. She entertained a like correspondence with Ballard, Morgan, and Charles Paget, and laid a scheme with them for an insurrection, and invasion of England by Spain, pp. 528, 531. The same papers show that there had been a discontinuance of Babington's correspondence, agreeably to Camden's narration. State Papers, p. 513, where Morgan recommends it to Queen Mary to renew her correspondence with Babington. These circumstances prove, that no weight can be laid on Mary's denial of guilt, and that her correspondence with Babington contained particulars which could not be avowed.

² There are three suppositions, by which the letter to Babington may be accounted for, with-

The sole circumstance of her defence, which to us may appear to have some force, was her requiring that Nau and Curle should be confronted with her, and her affirming that they never would to her face

out allowing Mary's concurrence in the conspiracy for assassinating Elizabeth. The first is, that which she seems herself to have embraced, that her secretaries had received Babington's letter, and had, without any treacherous intention, ventured of themselves to answer it, and had never communicated the matter to her. But it is utterly improbable, if not impossible, that a princess of so much sense and spirit should, in an affair of that importance, be so treated by her servants who lived in the house with her, and who had every moment an opportunity of communicating the secret to her. If the conspiracy failed, they must expect to suffer the severest punishment from the court of England; if it succeeded, the lightest punishment which they could hope for from their own mistress must be disgrace, on account of their temerity.

Not to mention, that Mary's concurrence was, in some degree, requisite for effecting the design of her escape. It was proposed to attack her guards while she was employed in hunting. She must therefore concert the time and place with the conspirators. The second supposition is, that these two secretaries were previously traitors; and being gained by Walsingham, had made such a reply in their mistress's cypher, as might involve her in the guilt of the conspiracy. But these two men had lived long with the Queen of Scots, had been entirely trusted by her, and had never fallen under suspicion either with her or her partisans. Camden informs us that Curle afterwards claimed a reward from Walsingham on pretence of some promise; but Walsingham told him that he owed him no reward, and that he had made no discoveries on his examination, which were not known with certainty from other quarters. The third supposition is, that neither the queen nor the two secretaries, Nau and Curle, ever saw Babington's letter, or made any answer; but that Walsingham, having deciphered the former, forged a reply. But this supposition implies the falsehood of the whole story, told by Camden, of Gifford's access to the Queen of Scots' family, and Paulet's refusal to concur in allowing his servants to be bribed. Not to mention, that as Nau's and Curle's evidence must, on this supposition, have been extorted by violence and terror, they would necessarily have been engaged, for their own justification, to have told the truth afterwards, especially upon the accession of James. But Camden informs us, that Nau, even after that event, persisted still in his testimony.

We must also consider, that the two last suppositions imply such a monstrous criminal conduct in Walsingham, and consequently in Elizabeth (for the matter could be no secret to her), as exceeds all credibility. If we consider the situation of things, and the prejudices of the times, Mary's consent to Babington's conspiracy appears much more natural and probable. She believed Elizabeth to be an usurper and a heretic. She regarded her as a personal and a violent enemy. She knew that schemes for assassinating heretics were very familiar in that age, and generally approved of by the court of Rome and the zealous Catholics. Her own liberty and sovereignty were connected with the success of this enterprise. And it cannot appear strange, that where men, of so much merit as Babington, could be engaged, by bigotry alone, in so criminal an enterprise, Mary, who was actuated by the same motive, joined to so many others, should have given her consent to a scheme projected by her friends. We may be previously certain, that if such a scheme was ever communicated to her, with any probability of success, she would assent to it. And it served the purpose of Walsingham and the English ministry to facilitate the communications of these schemes, as soon as they had gotten an expedient for intercepting her answer, and detecting the conspiracy. Now Walsingham's knowledge of the matter is a supposition necessary to account for the letter delivered to Babington.

As to the not punishing of Nau and Curle by Elizabeth, it never is the practice to punish lesser criminals, who had given evidence against the principal.

But what ought to induce us to reject these three suppositions, is, that they must, all of them be considered as bare possibilities. The partisans of Mary can give no reason for preferring one to the other. Not the slightest evidence ever appeared to support any one of them. Neither at that time, nor at any time afterwards, was any reason discovered, by the numerous zealots at home and abroad, who had embraced Mary's defence, to lead us to the belief of any of these three suppositions; and even her apologists at present seem not to have fixed on any choice among these supposed possibilities. The positive proof of two very credible witnesses, supported by the other very strong circumstances, still remains unimpeached. Babington, who had an extreme interest to have communication with the Queen of Scots, believed he had found a means of correspondence with her, and had received an answer from her. He, as well as the other conspirators, died in that belief. There has not occurred, since that time, the least argument to prove that they were mistaken. Can there be any reason at present to doubt the truth of their opinion? Camden, though a professed apologist for Mary, is constrained to tell the story in such a manner as evidently supposes her guilt. Such was the impossibility of finding any other consistent account, even by a man of parts, who was a contemporary.

In this light might the question have appeared even during Mary's trial. But what now puts her guilt beyond all controversy is the following passage of her letter to Thomas Morgan, dated July 27, 1586. 'As to Babington, he hath both kindly and honestly offered himself

persist in their evidence. But that demand, however equitable, was not then supported by law in trials of high treason, and was often refused even in other trials where the crown was prosecutor. The clause contained in an act of the 13th of the queen was a novelty: that the species of the treason there enumerated must be proved by two witnesses, confronted with the criminal. But Mary was not tried upon that act, and the ministers and crown lawyers of this reign were always sure to refuse every indulgence beyond what the strict letter of the law and the settled practice of the courts of justice required of them. Not to mention that these secretaries were not probably at Fotheringay Castle during the time of the trial, and could not upon Mary's demand be produced before the commissioners.¹

There passed two incidents in this trial, which may be worth observing. A letter between Mary and Babington was read, in which mention was made of the Earl of Arundel and his brothers; on hearing their names she broke into a sigh, 'Alas,' said she, 'what has the 'noble house of the Howards suffered for my sake?' She affirmed, with regard to the same letter, that it was easy to forge the handwriting and cypher of another; she was afraid that this was too familiar a practice with Walsingham, who, she also heard, had frequently practised both against her life and her son's. Walsingham, who was one of the commissioners, rose up. He protested that in his private capacity he had never acted anything against the Queen of Scots; in his public capacity he owned that his concern for his sovereign's safety had made him very diligent in searching out, by every expedient, all designs against her sacred person or her authority. For attaining that end, he would not only make use of the assistance of Ballard or any other conspirator; he would also reward them for betraying their companions. But if he had tampered in any manner unbecoming his character and office, why did none of the late criminals, either at their trial or execution, accuse him of such practices? Mary endeavoured to pacify him, by saying that she spoke from information, and she begged him to give thenceforth no more credit to such as slandered her than she should to such as accused him. The great character indeed, which Sir Francis Walsingham bears for probity and honour, should remove from him all suspicion of such base arts as forgery and subornation; arts which even the most corrupt ministers, in the most corrupt times, would scruple to employ.

Having finished the trial, the commissioners adjourned (Oct. 25) from Fotheringay Castle, and met in the starchamber at London; where, after taking the oaths of Mary's two secretaries, who voluntarily, without hope or reward, vouched the authenticity of those

¹ 'and all his means to be employed any way I would. Whereupon I hope to have satisfied him 'by two of my several letters, since I had his; and the rather, for that I opened him the way, 'whereby I received his with your aforesaid.' Murden, p. 533. Babington confessed that he had offered her to assassinate the queen. It appears by this that she had accepted the offer; so that all the suppositions of Walsingham's forgery, or the temerity or treachery of her secretaries, fall to the ground.

¹ Queen Elizabeth was willing to have allowed Curle and Nau to be produced in the trial, and writes to that purpose to Burleigh and Walsingham, in her letter of the 7th of Oct., in Forbes's MS. collections. She only says that she thinks it needless, though she was willing to agree to it. The not confronting of the witnesses was not the result of design, but the practice of the age.

letters before produced, they pronounced sentence of death upon the Queen of Scots, and confirmed it by their seals and subscriptions. The same day, a declaration was published by the commissioners and the judges, 'that the sentence did nowise derogate from the title and 'honour of James, King of Scotland; but that he was in the same 'place, degree, and right, as if the sentence had never been pronounced' (Camden, p. 526).

The queen had now brought affairs with Mary to that situation which she had long ardently desired, and had found a plausible reason for executing vengeance on a competitor whom, from the beginning of her reign, she had ever equally dreaded and hated. But she was restrained from instantly gratifying her resentment, by several important considerations. She foresaw the invidious colours in which this example of uncommon jurisdiction would be represented by the numerous partisans of Mary, and the reproach to which she herself might be exposed with all foreign princes, perhaps with all posterity. The rights of hospitality, of kindred, and of royal majesty, seemed in one signal instance to be all violated; and this sacrifice of generosity to interest, of clemency to revenge, might appear equally unbecoming a sovereign and a woman. Elizabeth therefore, who was an excellent hypocrite, pretended the utmost reluctance to proceed to the execution of the sentence, affected the most tender sympathy with her prisoner, displayed all her scruples and difficulties, rejected the solicitation of her courtiers and ministers, and affirmed that were she not moved by the deepest concern for her people's safety, she would not hesitate a moment in pardoning all the injuries which she herself had received from the Queen of Scots.

That the voice of her people might be more audibly heard in the demand of justice upon Mary, she (Oct. 29) summoned a new parliament; and she knew, both from the usual dispositions of that assembly, and from the influence of her ministers over them, that she should not want the most earnest solicitation to consent to that measure which was so agreeable to her secret inclinations. She did not open this assembly in person, but appointed for that purpose three commissioners, Bromley, the chancellor, Burleigh, the treasurer, and the Earl of Derby. The reason assigned for this measure was, that the queen, foreseeing that the affair of the Queen of Scots would be canvassed in parliament, found her tenderness and delicacy so much hurt by that melancholy incident, that she had not the courage to be present while it was under deliberation, but withdrew her eyes from what she could not behold without the utmost reluctance and uneasiness. She was also willing that, by this unusual precaution, the people should see the danger to which her person was hourly exposed; and should thence be more strongly incited to take vengeance on the criminal, whose restless intrigues and bloody conspiracies had so long exposed her to the most imminent perils (D'Ewes, p. 375).

The parliament answered the queen's expectations; the sentence against Mary was unanimously ratified by both houses, and an application was voted to obtain Elizabeth's consent to its publication and execution (Ibid., p. 379). She gave an answer ambiguous, embarrassed, full of real artifice, and seeming irresolution. She mentioned

the extreme danger to which her life was continually exposed ; she declared her willingness to die, did she not foresee the great calamities which would thence fall upon the nation ; she made professions of the greatest tenderness to her people ; she displayed the clemency of her temper, and expressed her violent reluctance to execute the sentence against her unhappy kinswoman ; she affirmed, that the late law, by which that princess was tried, so far from being made to ensnare her, was only intended to give her warning beforehand not to engage in such attempts, as might expose her to the penalties with which she was thus openly menaced ; and she begged them to think once again, whether it were possible to find any expedient, besides the death of the Queen of Scots, for securing the public tranquillity (*Ibid.*, pp. 402, 403). The parliament, in obedience to her commands, took the affair again under consideration, but could find no other possible expedient. They reiterated their solicitations, and entreaties, and arguments ; they even remonstrated, that mercy to the Queen of Scots was cruelty to them, her subjects and children ; and they affirmed that it were injustice to deny execution of the law to any individual, much more to the whole body of the people, now unanimously and earnestly suing for this pledge of her parental care and tenderness. This second address set the pretended doubts and scruples of Elizabeth anew in agitation ; she complained of her own unfortunate situation ; expressed her uneasiness from their importunity ; renewed the professions of affection to her people ; and dismissed the committee of parliament in an uncertainty, what, after all this deliberation, might be her final resolution.¹

¹ This parliament granted the queen a supply of subsidy and two fifteenths. They adjourned, and met again after the execution of the Queen of Scots ; when there passed some remarkable incidents, which it may be proper not to omit. We shall give them in the words of D'Ewes, pp. 410, 411, which are almost wholly transcribed from Townshend's Journal. On Monday, the 27th of Feb., Mr. Cope, first using some speeches touching the necessity of a learned ministry, and the amendment of things amiss in the ecclesiastical estate, offered to the house a bill and a book written ; the bill containing a petition, that it might be enacted, that all laws now in force touching ecclesiastical government should be void. And that it might be enacted, that the book of Common Prayer now offered, and none other, might be received into the church to be used. The book contained the form of prayer and administration of the sacraments, with divers rites and ceremonies to be used in the church ; and he desired that the book might be read. Whereupon Mr. Speaker in effect used this speech. For that her majesty before this time had commanded the house not to meddle with this matter, and that her majesty had promised to take order in those causes, he doubted not but to the good satisfaction of all her people he desired that it would please them to spare the reading of it. Notwithstanding the house desired the reading of it. Whereupon Mr. Speaker desired the clerk to read. And the court being ready to read it, Mr. Dalton made a motion against the reading of it, saying that it was not meet to be read, and it did appoint a new form of administration of the sacraments and ceremonies of the church, to the discredit of the book of Common Prayer, and of the whole state ; and thought that this dealing would bring her majesty's indignation against the house, thus to enterprise this dealing with those things which her majesty especially had taken into her own charge and discretion. Whereupon Mr. Lewkenor spake, showing the necessity of preaching and of a learned ministry, and thought it very fit that the petition and book should be read. To this purpose spake Mr. Hurleston and Mr. Bainbrigg ; and so, the time being passed, the house broke up, and neither the petition nor book read. This done, her majesty sent to Mr. Speaker, as well for this petition and book as for that other petition and book for the like effect, that was delivered the last session of parliament, which Mr. Speaker sent to her majesty. On Tuesday, the 28th of Feb., her majesty sent for Mr. Speaker, by occasion whereof the house did not sit. On Wednesday, the 1st of March, Mr. Wentworth delivered to Mr. Speaker certain articles which contained questions touching the liberties of the house, and to some of which he was to answer, and desired they might be read. Mr. Speaker desired him to spare his motion, until her majesty's pleasure was further known touching the petition and book lately delivered into the house ; but Mr. Wentworth would not be so satisfied, but required his articles might be read. Mr. Wentworth introduced his queries by lamenting, that he as well as many others were deterred from speaking, by their want of

But though the queen affected reluctance to execute the sentence against Mary, she complied with the request of parliament in publishing it by proclamation; and this act seemed to be attended with the unanimous and hearty rejoicings of the people. Lord Buckhurst, and Beale, clerk of the council, were sent to the Queen of Scots, and notified to her the sentence pronounced against her, its ratification by parliament, and the earnest applications made for its execution by that assembly, who thought that their religion could never, while she was alive, attain a full settlement and security. Mary was nowise dismayed at this intelligence; on the contrary, she joyfully laid hold of the last circumstance mentioned to her, and insisted, that since her death was demanded by the Protestants for the establishment of their faith, she was really a martyr to her religion, and was entitled to all the merits attending that glorious character. She added, that the English had

knowledge and experience in the liberties of the house, and the queries were as follow: Whether this council were not a place for any member of the same here assembled, freely and without controulment of any person or danger of laws, by bill or speech to utter any of the griefs of this commonwealth whatsoever, touching the service of God, the safety of the prince and this noble realm? Whether that great honour may be done unto God, and benefit and service unto the prince and state, without free speech in this council, that may be done with it? Whether there be any council which can make, add, or diminish from the laws of the realm, but only this council of parliament? Whether it be not against the orders of this council to make any secret or matter of weight, which is here in hand, known to the prince or any other, concerning the high service of God, prince or state, without the consent of the house? Whether the speaker or any other may interrupt any member of this council in his speech used in this house tending to any of the forenamed services? Whether the speaker may rise when he will, any matter being propounded, without consent of the house or not? Whether the speaker may over-rule the house in any matter or cause there in question, or whether he is to be ruled or over-ruled in any matter or not? Whether the prince and state can continue, and stand, and be maintained, without this council of parliament, not altering the government of the state? At the end of these questions, says D'Ewes, I found set down this short note or memorial ensuing: by which it may be perceived, both what Serjeant Puckering, the speaker, did with the said questions after he had received them, and what became also of this business. viz.: 'These questions Mr. Puckering pocketed up and showed Sir Thomas Henage, who so handled the matter, that Mr. Wentworth went to the Tower, and the questions not at all moved. 'Mr. Buckler of Essex herein brake his faith in forsaking the matter, etc., and no more was 'done.' After setting down, continues D'Ewes, the said business of Mr. Wentworth in the original journal book, there follows only this short conclusion of the day itself, viz.: 'This day, 'Mr. Speaker being sent for to the queen's majesty, the house departed.' On Thursday, the 2nd of March, Mr. Cope, Mr. Lewkenor, Mr. Hurleston, and Mr. Bainbrigg were sent for to my lord chancellor, and by divers of the privy council, and from thence were sent to the Tower. On Saturday, the 4th of March, Sir John Higham made a motion to this house, for that divers good and necessary members thereof were taken from them, that it would please them to be humble petitioners to her majesty for the restitution of them again to the house. To which speeches Mr. Vice-Chamberlain answered, that if the gentlemen were committed for matter within the compass of the privilege of this house, then there might be a petition; but if not, then we should give occasion to her majesty's farther displeasure. And therefore advised to stay until they heard more, which could not be long; and further, he said touching the book and the petition, her majesty had, for divers good causes best known to herself, thought fit to suppress the same, without any farther examination thereof; and yet thought it very unfit for her majesty to give any account of her doings. But whatsoever Mr. Vice-Chamberlain pretended, it is most probable these members were committed for intermeddling with matters touching the church, which her majesty had often inhibited, and which had caused so much disputation and so many meetings between the two houses the last parliament.

This is all we find of the matter in D'Ewes and Townshend; and it appears that those members, who had been committed, were detained in custody till the queen thought proper to release them. These questions of Mr. Wentworth are curious, because they contain some faint dawn of the present English constitution, though suddenly eclipsed by the arbitrary government of Elizabeth. Wentworth was indeed, by his Puritanism, as well as his love of liberty (for these two characters, of such unequal merit, arose and advanced together) the true forerunner of the Hampdens, the Pym's, and the Hollises, who in the next age, with less courage, because with less danger, rendered their principles so triumphant. I shall only ask, whether it be not sufficiently clear from all these transactions, that in the two succeeding reigns it was the people who encroached upon the sovereign, not the sovereign who attempted, as is pretended, to usurp upon the people?

often imbrued their hands in the blood of their sovereigns; no wonder they exercised cruelty against her, who derived her descent from these monarchs (Camden, p. 528). Paulet, her keeper, received orders to take down her canopy, and to serve her no longer with the respect due to sovereign princes. He told her that she was now to be considered as a dead person, and incapable of any dignity (Jebb, vol. ii., p. 293). This harsh treatment produced not in her any seeming emotion. She only replied that she received her royal character from the hands of the Almighty, and no earthly power was able to bereave her of it.

The Queen of Scots wrote her last letter to Elizabeth; full of dignity, without departing from that spirit of meekness and of charity which appeared suitable to this concluding scene of her unfortunate life. She preferred no petition for averting the fatal sentence; on the contrary, she expressed her gratitude to heaven for thus bringing to a speedy period her sad and lamentable pilgrimage. She requested some favours of Elizabeth, and intreated her, that she might be beholden for them to her own goodness alone, without making applications to those ministers who had discovered such an extreme malignity against her person and her religion. She desired that after her enemies should be satiated with her innocent blood, her body, which, it was determined, should never enjoy rest while her soul was united to it, might be consigned to her servants, and be conveyed by them into France, there to repose in a Catholic land, with the sacred relics of her mother. In Scotland, she said, the sepulchres of her ancestors were violated, and the churches either demolished or profaned; and in England, where she might be interred among the ancient kings, her own and Elizabeth's progenitors, she could entertain no hopes of being accompanied to the grave with those rites and ceremonies which her religion required. She requested that no one might have the power of inflicting a private death upon her without Elizabeth's knowledge; but that her execution should be public, and attended by her ancient servants, who might bear testimony of her perseverance in the faith, and of her submission to the will of Heaven. She begged that these servants might afterwards be allowed to depart whithersoever they pleased, and might enjoy those legacies which she should bequeath them. And she conjured her to grant these favours by their near kindred; by the soul and memory of Henry VII., the common ancestor of both; and by the royal dignity, of which they equally participated (Camden., p. 529; Jebb, vol. ii., p. 295). Elizabeth made no answer to this letter, being unwilling to give Mary a refusal in her present situation, and foreseeing inconveniences from granting some of her requests.

While the Queen of Scots thus prepared herself to meet her fate, great efforts were made by foreign powers with Elizabeth, to prevent the execution of the sentence pronounced against her. Besides employing L'Aubespine, the French resident in London, a creature of the house of Guise, Henry sent over Bellievre, with a professed intention of interceding for the life of Mary. The Duke of Guise and the League, at that time, threatened very nearly the king's authority; and Elizabeth knew, that though that monarch might, from decency and policy, think himself obliged to interpose publicly in behalf of the Queen of Scots, he could not secretly be much displeased with the

death of a princess, on whose fortune and elevation his mortal enemies had always founded so many daring and ambitious projects (Camden, p. 491). It is even pretended, that Bellievre had orders, after making public and vehement remonstrances against the execution of Mary, to exhort privately the queen, in his master's name, not to defer an act of justice so necessary for their common safety (Du Maurier). But whether the French king's intercession was sincere or not, it had no weight with the queen; and she still persisted in her former resolution.

The interposition of the young King of Scots, though not able to change Elizabeth's determination, seemed on every account to merit more regard. As soon as James heard of the trial and condemnation of his mother, he sent Sir William Keith, a gentleman of his bed-chamber, to London, and wrote a letter to the queen, in which he remonstrated, in very severe terms, against the indignity of the procedure. He said, that he was astonished to hear of the presumption of English noblemen and counsellors, who had dared to sit in judgment and pass sentence upon the Queen of Scotland, descended from the blood-royal of England; but he was still more astonished to hear that thoughts were seriously entertained of putting that sentence in execution; that he entreated Elizabeth to reflect on the dishonour which she would draw on her name by imbruing her hands in the blood of her near kinswoman, a person of the same royal dignity, and of the same sex with herself; that in this unparalleled attempt she offered an affront to all diadems, and even to her own; and by reducing sovereigns to a level with other men, taught the people to neglect all duty towards those whom Providence had appointed to rule over them; that for his part, he must deem the injury and insult so enormous, as to be incapable of all atonement; nor was it possible for him thenceforward to remain in any terms of correspondence with a person who, without any pretence of legal authority, had deliberately inflicted an ignominious death upon his parent; and that, even if the sentiments of nature and duty did not inspire him with this purpose of vengeance, his honour required it of him; nor could he ever acquit himself in the eyes of the world, if he did not use every effort, and endure every hazard, to revenge so great an indignity (Spotswood, p. 351).

Soon after, James sent the master of Gray and Sir Robert Melvil to enforce the remonstrances of Keith, and to employ with the queen every expedient of argument and menaces. Elizabeth was at first offended with the sharpness of these applications; and she replied in a like strain to the Scottish ambassadors. When she afterwards reflected, that this earnestness was no more than what duty required of James, she was pacified; but still retained her resolution of executing the sentence against Mary (Ibid., p. 353). It is believed that the master of Gray, gained by the enemies of that princess, secretly gave his advice not to spare her, and undertook, in all events, to pacify his master.

The queen also, from many considerations, was induced to pay small attention to the applications of James, and to disregard all the efforts which he could employ in behalf of his mother. She was well acquainted with his character and interests, the factions which prevailed

among his people, and the inveterate hatred which the zealous Protestants, particularly the preachers, bore to the Queen of Scots. The present incidents set these dispositions of the clergy in a full light. James, observing the fixed purpose of Elizabeth, ordered prayers to be offered up for Mary in all the churches; and knowing the captious humour of the ecclesiastics, he took care that the form of the petition should be most cautious, as well as humane and charitable: 'That it might please God to illuminate Mary with the light of His truth, and save her from the apparent danger with which she was threatened.' But, excepting the king's own chaplains, and one clergyman more, all the preachers refused to pollute their churches by prayers for a papist, and would not so much as prefer a petition for her conversion. James, unwilling or unable to punish this disobedience, and desirous of giving the preachers an opportunity of amending their fault, appointed a new day when prayers should be said for his mother; and that he might at least secure himself from any insult in his own presence, he desired the Archbishop of St. Andrew's to officiate before him. In order to disappoint this purpose, the clergy instigated one Couper, a young man, who had not yet received holy orders, to take possession of the pulpit early in the morning, and to exclude the prelate. When the king came to church, and saw the pulpit occupied by Couper, he called to him from his seat, and told him that the place was destined for another; yet since he was there, if he would obey the charge given, and remember the queen in his prayers, he might proceed to Divine service. This preacher replied, that he would do as the Spirit of God directed him. The answer sufficiently instructed James in his purpose; and he commanded him to leave the pulpit. As Couper seemed not disposed to obey, the captain of the guard went to pull him from his place; upon which the young man cried aloud, that this day would be a witness against the king in the great day of the Lord; and he denounced a woe upon the inhabitants of Edinburgh for permitting him to be treated in that manner (Spotswood, p. 354). The audience at first appeared desirous to take part with him; but the sermon of the prelate brought them over to a more dutiful and more humane disposition.

Elizabeth, when solicited, either by James or by foreign princes, to pardon the Queen of Scots, seemed always determined to execute the sentence against her; but when her ministers urged her to interpose no more delays, her scruples and her hesitation returned, her humanity could not allow her to embrace such violent and sanguinary measures; and she was touched with compassion for the misfortunes, and with respect for the dignity, of the unhappy prisoner. The courtiers, sensible that they could do nothing more acceptable to her, than to employ persuasion on this head, failed not to enforce every motive for the punishment of Mary, and to combat all the objections urged against this act of justice. They said that the treatment of that princess in England had been, on her first reception, such as sound reason and policy required; and if she had been governed by principles of equity, she would not have refused willingly to acquiesce in it; that the inconvenience was obvious, either of allowing her to retire into France, or of restoring her by force to her throne, in opposition to the reformers

and the English party in Scotland, till time should offer some opportunity of serving her without danger to the kingdom or to the Protestant religion; that her usage there had been such as became her rank; her own servants, in considerable numbers, had been permitted to attend her; exercise had been allowed her for health, and all access of company for amusement; and these indulgences would, in time, have been carried farther, if by her subsequent conduct she had appeared worthy of them; that after she had instigated the rebellion of Northumberland, the conspiracy of Norfolk, the bull of excommunication of Pope Pius, an invasion from Flanders; after she had seduced the queen's friends, and incited every enemy, foreign and domestic, against her; it became necessary to treat her as a most dangerous rival, and to render her confinement more strict and rigorous; that the queen, notwithstanding these repeated provocations, had in her favour rejected the importunity of her parliaments, and the advice of her sagest ministers (Digges, p. 276; Strype, vol. ii., pp. 48, 135, 136, 139); and was still, in hopes of her amendment, determined to delay coming to the last extremities against her; that Mary, even in this forlorn condition, retained so high and unconquerable a spirit, that she acted as competitor to the crown, and allowed her partisans everywhere, and in their very letters addressed to herself, to treat her as Queen of England; that she had carried her animosity so far as to encourage, in repeated instances, the atrocious design of assassinating the queen; and this crime was unquestionably proved upon her by her own letters, by the evidence of her secretaries, and by the dying confession of her accomplices; that she was but a titular queen, and at present possessed nowhere any right of sovereignty, much less in England, where, the moment she set foot in the kingdom, she voluntarily became subject to the laws and to Elizabeth, the only true sovereign; that even allowing her to be still the queen's equal in rank and dignity, self-defence was permitted by a law of nature, which could never be abrogated; and every one, still more a queen, had sufficient jurisdiction over an enemy who, by open violence, and still more who, by secret treachery, threatened the utmost danger against her life; that the general combination of the Catholics to exterminate the Protestants was no longer a secret, and as the sole resource of the latter persecuted sect lay in Elizabeth, so the chief hope which the former entertained of final success consisted in the person and in the title of the Queen of Scots; that this very circumstance brought matters to extremity between these princesses, and rendering the life of one the death of the other, pointed out to Elizabeth the path which either regard to self-preservation, or to the happiness of her people, should direct her to pursue; and that necessity, more powerful than policy, thus demanded of the queen that resolution which equity would authorise and which duty prescribed (Camden, p. 533).

When Elizabeth thought that as many importunities had been used, and as much delay interposed, as decency required, she was at last determined to carry the sentence into execution; but even in this final resolution she could not proceed without displaying a new scene of duplicity and artifice. In order to alarm the vulgar, rumours were previously dispersed, that the Spanish fleet was arrived in Milford.

Haven; that the Scots had made an irruption into England; that the Duke of Guise was landed in Sussex with a strong army; that the Queen of Scots had escaped from prison, and had raised an army; that the northern counties had begun an insurrection; that there was a new conspiracy on foot to assassinate the queen and set the city of London on fire; nay, that the queen was actually assassinated (Camden, p. 533). An attempt of this nature was even imputed to L'Aubespine, the French ambassador, and that minister was obliged to leave the kingdom. The queen, affecting to be in terror and perplexity, was observed to sit much alone, pensive and silent; and sometimes to mutter to herself half sentences, importing the difficulty and distress to which she was reduced (*Ibid.*, p. 534). She at last called Davison, a man of parts, but easy to be imposed on, and who had lately for that very reason been made secretary, and she ordered him privately to draw a warrant for the execution of the Queen of Scots; which, she afterwards said, she intended to keep by her, in case any attempt should be made for the deliverance of that princess. She signed the warrant, and then commanded Davison to carry it to the chancellor, in order to have the great seal appended to it. Next day she sent Killigrew to Davison, enjoining him to forbear some time executing her former orders; and when Davison came and told her that the warrant had already passed the great seal, she seemed to be somewhat moved, and blamed him for his precipitation. Davison being in a perplexity, acquainted the council with this whole transaction, and they endeavoured to persuade him to send off Beale with the warrant; if the queen should be displeased, they promised to justify his conduct, and to take on themselves the whole blame of this measure.¹ The secretary, not sufficiently aware of their intention, complied with the advice; and the warrant was dispatched to the Earls of Shrewsbury and Kent and some others, ordering them to see the sentence executed upon the Queen of Scots.

The two earls came to Fotheringay Castle, and being introduced to Mary, informed her of their commission, and desired her to prepare for death (A.D. 1587, Feb. 7) next morning at eight o'clock. She seemed nowise terrified, though somewhat surprised, with the intelligence. She said, with a cheerful and even smiling countenance, that she did not think the queen, her sister, would have consented to her death, or have executed the sentence against a person not subject to the laws and jurisdiction of England. 'But as such is her will,' said she, 'death, which puts an end to all my miseries, shall be to me most welcome; nor can I esteem that soul worthy the felicities of heaven, which cannot support the body under the horrors of the last passage to these blissful mansions.'² She then requested the two noblemen that they would permit some of her servants and particularly her counsellor, to attend her; but they told her that compliance with this last demand was contrary to their conscience (Jebb, vol. ii., p. 302);

¹ It appears by some letters published by Strype, vol. iii., book ii., c. 1, that Elizabeth had not expressly communicated her intention to any of her ministers, not even to Burleigh. They were such experienced courtiers, that they knew they could not gratify her more than by serving her without waiting till she desired them.

² Camden, p. 534; Jebb, vol. ii., p. 301; MS. Advocates' Library, p. 2, from the Cott. Lib. Cal., c. 9.

and that Dr. Fletcher, Dean of Peterborough, a man of great learning, should be present to instruct her in the principles of true religion. Her refusal to have any conference with this divine, inflamed the zeal of the Earl of Kent; and he bluntly told her that her death would be the life of their religion; as, on the contrary, her life would have been the death of it. Mention being made of Babington, she constantly denied his conspiracy to have been at all known to her, and the revenge of her wrongs she resigned into the hands of the Almighty.

When the earls had left her, she ordered supper to be hastened, that she might have the more leisure after it to finish the few affairs which remained to her in this world, and to prepare for her passage to another. It was necessary for her, she said, to take some sustenance, lest a failure of her bodily strength should depress her spirits on the morrow, and lest her behaviour should thereby betray a weakness unworthy of herself (Jebb, vol. ii., p. 489). She supped sparingly, as her manner usually was, and her wonted cheerfulness did not even desert her on this occasion. She comforted her servants under the affliction which overwhelmed them, and which was too violent for them to conceal it from her. Turning to Burgoin, her physician, she asked him, whether he did not remark the great and invincible force of truth? 'They pretend,' she said, 'that I must die, because I conspired against their queen's life; but the Earl of Kent avowed that there was no other cause of my death, than the apprehensions which, if I should live, they entertain for their religion. My constancy in the faith is my real crime; the rest is only a colour invented by interesting and designing men.' Towards the end of supper, she called in all her servants and drank to them; they pledged her in order, on their knees, and craved her pardon for any past neglect of their duty; she deigned in return to ask their pardon for her offences towards them, and a plentiful effusion of tears attended this last solemn farewell and exchange of mutual forgiveness (Jebb, vol. ii., pp. 302, 626; Camden, p. 534).

Mary's care of her servants was the sole remaining affair which employed her concern. She perused her will, in which she had provided for them by legacies; she ordered the inventory of her goods, clothes, and jewels to be brought her; and she wrote down the names of those to whom she bequeathed each particular; to some she distributed money with her own hands, and she adapted the recompence to their different degrees of rank and merit. She wrote also letters of recommendation for her servants to the French King, and to her cousin, the Duke of Guise, whom she made the chief executor of her testament. At her wonted time she went to bed; slept some hours; and then rising, spent the rest of the night in prayer. Having foreseen the difficulty of exercising the rites of her religion, she had had the precaution to obtain a consecrated hoste from the hands of Pope Pius; and she had reserved the use of it for this last period of her life. By this expedient she supplied, as much as she could, the want of a priest and confessor, who was refused her (Jebb, vol. ii., p. 489).

Towards the morning, she dressed herself in a rich habit of silk and velvet, the only one which she had reserved to herself. She told her maids that she would willingly have left them this dress, rather than

the plain garb which she wore the day before; but it was necessary for her to appear at the ensuing solemnity in a decent habit.

Thomas Andrews, sheriff of the county, entered the room, and informed her that the hour was come, and that he must attend her to the place of execution. She replied that she was ready; and bidding adieu to her servants, she leaned on two of Sir Amias Paulet's guards, because of an infirmity in her limbs, and she followed the sheriff with a serene and composed countenance. In passing through a hall adjoining to her chamber, she was met by the Earls of Shrewsbury and Kent, Sir Amias Paulet, Sir Drue Drury, and many other gentlemen of distinction. Here she also found Sir Andrew Melville, her steward, who flung himself on his knees before her; and, wringing his hands, cried aloud, 'Ah, Madam! unhappy me! what man was ever before the messenger of such heavy tidings as I must carry, when I shall return to my native country, and shall report that I saw my gracious queen and mistress beheaded in England?' His tears prevented farther speech; and Mary too felt herself moved, more from sympathy than affliction. 'Cease, my good servant,' said she, 'cease to lament; thou hast cause rather to rejoice than to mourn; for now shalt thou see the troubles of Mary Stuart receive their long-expected period and completion. Know,' continued she, 'good servant, that all the world at best is vanity, and subject still to more sorrow than a whole ocean of tears is able to bewail. But, I pray thee, carry this message from me, that I die a true woman to my religion, and unalterable in my affections to Scotland and to France. Heaven forgive them that have long desired my end, and have thirsted for my blood as the hart panteth after the water-brooks.' 'O God,' added she, 'Thou that art the Author of truth, and truth itself, Thou knowest the inmost recesses of my heart: Thou knowest that I was ever desirous to preserve an entire union between Scotland and England, and to obviate the source of all these fatal discords. But recommend me, Melville, to my son, and tell him, that notwithstanding all my distresses, I have done nothing prejudicial to the state and kingdom of Scotland.' After these words, reclining herself with weeping eyes, and face bedewed with tears, she kissed him. 'And so,' said she, 'good Melville, farewell. Once again, farewell, good Melville, and grant the assistance of thy prayers to thy queen and mistress' (MS. p. 4; Jebb, vol. ii., p. 634; Strype, vol. iii., p. 384).

She next turned to the noblemen who attended her, and made a petition in behalf of her servants, that they might be well treated, be allowed to enjoy the presents which she had made them, and be sent safely into their own country. Having received a favourable answer, she preferred another request, that they might be permitted to attend her at her death; in order, said she, that their eyes may behold, and their hearts bear witness, how patiently their queen and mistress can submit to her execution, and how constantly she perseveres in her attachment to her religion. The Earl of Kent opposed this desire, and told her that they would be apt, by their speeches and cries, to disturb both herself and the spectators; he was also apprehensive lest they should practise some superstition, not meet for him to suffer, such as dipping their handkerchiefs in her blood; for that was the instance which he made use of. 'My lord,' said the Queen of Scots, 'I will

‘give my word (although it be but dead) that they shall not incur any blame in any of the actions which you have named. But, alas! poor souls! it would be a great consolation to them to bid their mistress farewell. And I hope,’ added she, ‘that your mistress, being a maiden queen, would vouchsafe, in regard of womanhood, that I should have some of my own people about me at my death. I know that her majesty hath not given you any such strict command, but that you might grant me a request of far greater courtesy, even though I were a woman of inferior rank to that which I bear.’ Finding that the Earl of Kent persisted still in his refusal, her mind, which had fortified itself against the terrors of death, was affected by this indignity, for which she was not prepared. ‘I am cousin to your queen,’ cried she, ‘and descended from the blood-royal of Henry VII., and a married Queen of France, and an anointed Queen of Scotland.’ The commissioners, perceiving how invidious their obstinacy would appear, conferred a little together, and agreed that she might carry a few of her servants along with her. She made choice of four men and two maid-servants for that purpose.

She then passed into another hall, where was erected the scaffold, covered with black; and she saw with an undismayed countenance the executioners, and all the preparations of death. The room was crowded with spectators; and no one was so steeled against all sentiments of humanity, as not to be moved, when he reflected on her royal dignity, considered the surprising train of her misfortunes, beheld her mild but inflexible constancy, recalled her amiable accomplishments, or surveyed her beauties, which, though faded by years, and yet more by her afflictions, still discovered themselves in this fatal moment. Here the warrant for her execution was read to her; and during this ceremony she was silent, but showed in her behaviour an indifference and unconcern, as if the business had nowise regarded her. Before the executioners performed their office, the Dean of Peterborough stepped forth; and though the queen frequently told him that he needed not concern himself about her, that she was settled in the ancient Catholic and Roman religion, and that she meant to lay down her life in defence of that faith, he still thought it his duty to persist in his lectures and exhortations, and to endeavour her conversion. The terms which he employed were, under colour of pious instructions, cruel insults on her unfortunate situation; and besides their own absurdity, may be regarded as the most mortifying indignities to which she had ever yet been exposed. He told her that the Queen of England had, on this occasion, shown a tender care of her; and, notwithstanding the punishment justly to be inflicted on her for her manifold trespasses, was determined to use every expedient for saving her soul from that destruction with which it was so nearly threatened; that she was now standing upon the brink of eternity, and had no other means of escaping endless perdition, than by repenting her former wickedness, by justifying the sentence pronounced against her, by acknowledging the queen’s favours, and by exerting a true and lively faith in Christ Jesus, that the Scriptures were the only rule of doctrine, the merits of Christ the only means of salvation; and if she trusted in the inventions or devices of men, she must expect in an instant to fall into utter darkness, into a place

where shall be weeping, howling, and gnashing of teeth; that the hand of death was upon her, the axe was laid to the root of the tree, the throne of the great Judge of heaven was erected, the book of her life was spread wide, and the particular sentence and judgment was ready to be pronounced upon her; and that it was now, during this important moment, in her choice, either to rise to the resurrection of life, and hear that joyful salutation, 'COME, YE BLESSED OF MY FATHER,' or to share the resurrection of condemnation, replete with sorrow and anguish, and to suffer that dreadful denunciation, 'GO, YE CURSED, INTO 'EVERLASTING FIRE' (MS., pp. 8, 9, 10, 11; Strype, vol. iii., p. 385).

During this discourse Mary could not sometimes forbear betraying her impatience, by interrupting the preacher; and the dean, finding that she had profited nothing by his lecture, at last bade her change her opinion, repent her of her former wickedness, and settle her faith upon this ground, that only in Christ Jesus could she hope to be saved. She answered again and again, with great earnestness, 'Trouble not 'yourself any more about the matter; for I was born in this religion, 'I have lived in this religion, and in this religion I am resolved to die.' Even the two earls perceived that it was fruitless to harass her any farther with theological disputes; and they ordered the dean to desist from his unseasonable exhortations, and to pray for her conversion. During the dean's prayer, she employed herself in private devotion from the office of the Virgin; and after he had finished, she pronounced aloud some petitions in English, for the afflicted church, for an end of her own troubles, for her son, and for Queen Elizabeth; and prayed God that that princess might long prosper and be employed in His service. The Earl of Kent observing that in her devotions she made frequent use of the crucifix, could not forbear reproving her for her attachment to that Popish trumpery, as he termed it; and he exhorted her to have Christ in her heart, not in her hand (MS., p. 15; Jebb, vol. ii., pp. 307, 491, 637). She replied with presence of mind, that it was difficult to hold such an object in her hand, without feeling her heart touched with some compunction (Jebb, *ibid.*).

She now began, with the aid of her two women, to disrobe herself; and the executioner also lent his hand to assist them. She smiled, and said that she was not accustomed to undress herself before so large a company, nor to be served by such valets. Her servants, seeing her in this condition, ready to lay her head upon the block, burst into tears and lamentations; she turned about to them; put her finger upon her lips, as a sign of imposing silence upon them (*Ibid.*, pp. 307, 492); and having given them her blessing, desired them to pray for her. One of her maids, whom she had appointed for that purpose, covered her eyes with a handkerchief; she laid herself down without any sign of fear or trepidation, and her head was severed from her body at two strokes by the executioner. He instantly held it up to the spectators, streaming with blood, and agitated with the convulsions of death: the Dean of Peterborough alone exclaimed, 'So perish all Queen Elizabeth's enemies!' The Earl of Kent alone replied 'Amen!' The attention of all the other spectators was fixed on the melancholy scene before them; and zeal and flattery alike gave place to present pity and admiration of the expiring princess.

Thus perished, in the forty-fifth year of her age, and nineteenth of her captivity in England, Mary, Queen of Scots; a woman of great accomplishments both of body and mind, natural as well as acquired; but unfortunate in her life, and, during one period, very unhappy in her conduct. The beauties of her person, and graces of her air, combined to make her the most amiable of women; and the charms of her address and conversation aided the impression which her lovely figure made on the hearts of all beholders. Ambitious and active in her temper, yet inclined to cheerfulness and society; of a lofty spirit, constant, and even vehement, in her purpose, yet polite, and gentle, and affable in her demeanour; she seemed to partake only so much of the male virtues as to render her estimable, without relinquishing those soft graces which compose the proper ornament of her sex. In order to form a just idea of her character, we must set aside one part of her conduct, while she abandoned herself to the guidance of a profligate man; and must consider these faults, whether we admit them to be imprudences or crimes, as the result of an inexplicable, though not uncommon, inconstancy in the human mind, of the frailty of our nature, of the violence of passion, and of the influence which situations, and sometimes momentary incidents, have on persons whose principles are not thoroughly confirmed by experience and reflection. Enraged by the ungrateful conduct of her husband, seduced by the treacherous counsels of one in whom she reposed confidence, transported by the violence of her own temper, which never lay sufficiently under the guidance of discretion, she was betrayed into actions which may, with some difficulty, be accounted for, but which admit of no apology, nor even of alleviation. An enumeration of her qualities might carry the appearance of a panegyric; an account of her conduct must, in some parts, wear the aspect of severe satire and invective.

Her numerous misfortunes, the solitude of her long and tedious captivity, and the persecutions to which she had been exposed on account of her religion, had wrought her up to a degree of bigotry during her later years; and such were the prevalent spirit and principles of the age, that it is the less wonder if her zeal, her resentment, and her interest uniting, induced her to give consent to a design which conspirators, actuated only by the first of these motives, had formed against the life of Elizabeth.

When the queen was informed of Mary's execution, she affected the utmost surprise and indignation. Her countenance changed; her speech faltered and failed her; for a long time her sorrow was so deep that she could not express it, but stood fixed, like a statue, in silence and mute astonishment. After her grief was able to find vent, it burst out in loud wailings and lamentations; she put herself in deep mourning for this deplorable event; and she was seen perpetually bathed in tears, and surrounded only by her maids and women. None of her ministers or counsellors dared to approach her; or if any had such temerity, she chased them from her, with the most violent expressions of rage and resentment; they had all of them been guilty of an unpardonable crime, in putting to death her dear sister and kinswoman, contrary to her fixed purpose,¹ of which they were sufficiently apprised and acquainted.

¹ Camden, p. 536: Strype, vol. iii.; Append., p. 145; Jebb vol. ii., p. 608.

No sooner was her sorrow so much abated as to leave room for reflection, than she wrote a letter of apology to the King of Scots, and sent it by Sir Robert Carey, son of Lord Hunsdon. She there told him that she wished he knew, but not felt, the unutterable grief which she experienced on account of that lamentable accident, which, without her knowledge, much less concurrence, had happened in England; that as her pen trembled when she attempted to write it, she found herself obliged to commit the relation of it to the messenger, her kinsman, who would likewise inform his majesty of every circumstance attending this dismal and unlooked for misfortune; that she appealed to the supreme Judge of heaven and earth for her innocence; and was also so happy, amidst her other afflictions, as to find, that many persons in her court could bear witness to her veracity in this protestation; that she abhorred dissimulation; deemed nothing more worthy of a prince than a sincere and open conduct, and could never surely be esteemed so base and poor-spirited, as that if she had really given orders for this fatal execution she could, on any consideration, be induced to deny them; that, though sensible of the justice of the sentence pronounced against the unhappy prisoner, she determined from clemency never to carry it into execution; and could not but resent the temerity of those who on this occasion had disappointed her intention; and that as no one loved him more dearly than herself, or bore a more anxious concern for his welfare, she hoped that he would consider every one as his enemy, who endeavoured on account of the present incident to excite any animosity between them (Camden, p. 536; Spotswood, p. 358).

In order the better to appease James, she committed Davison to prison, and ordered him to be tried in the star-chamber for his misdemeanour. The secretary was confounded; and being sensible of the danger which must attend his entering into a contest with the queen, he expressed penitence for his error, and submitted very patiently to be railed at by those very counsellors, whose persuasion had induced him to incur the guilt, and who had promised to countenance and protect him. He was condemned to imprisonment during the queen's pleasure, and to pay a fine of ten thousand pounds. He remained a long time in custody; and the fine, though it reduced him to beggary, was rigorously levied upon him. All the favour which he could obtain from the queen was sending him small supplies from time to time, to keep him from perishing in necessity (Camden, p. 538). He privately wrote an apology to his friend Walsingham, which contains many curious particulars. The French and Scotch ambassadors, he said, had been remonstrating with the queen in Mary's behalf; and immediately after their departure, she commanded him of her own accord to deliver her the warrant for the execution of that princess. She signed it readily, and ordered it to be sealed with the great seal of England. She appeared in such good humour on the occasion, that she said to him in a jocular manner, 'Go tell all this to Walsingham, 'who is now sick, though I fear he will die of sorrow when he hears 'of it.' She added, that though she had so long delayed the execution, lest she should seem to be actuated by malice or cruelty, she was all along sensible of the necessity of it. In the same conversation, she

blamed Drury and Paulet that they had not before eased her of this trouble; and she expressed her desire that Walsingham would bring them to compliance in that particular. She was so bent on this purpose, that some time after she asked Davison whether any letter had come from Paulet with regard to the service expected of him? Davison showed her Paulet's letter, in which that gentleman positively refused to act anything inconsistent with the principles of honour and justice. The queen fell into a passion, and accused Paulet, as well as Drury of perjury; because, having taken the oath of association, in which they had bound themselves to avenge her wrongs, they had yet refused to lend their hand on this occasion. 'But others,' she said, 'will be found less scrupulous.' Davison adds, that nothing but the consent and exhortations of the whole council could have engaged him to send off the warrant; he was well aware of his danger, and remembered that the queen, after having ordered the execution of the Duke of Norfolk, had endeavoured in a like manner to throw the whole blame and odium of that action upon Lord Burleigh.¹

Elizabeth's dissimulation was so gross, that it could deceive nobody, who was not previously resolved to be blinded; but as James's concern for his mother was certainly more sincere and cordial, he discovered the highest resentment, and refused to admit Carey into his presence. He recalled his ambassadors from England, and seemed to breathe nothing but war and vengeance. The States of Scotland being assembled, took part in his anger, and professed that they were ready to spend their lives and fortunes in revenge of his mother's death, and in defence of his title to the crown of England. Many of his nobility instigated him to take arms: Lord Sinclair, when the courtiers appeared in deep mourning, presented himself to the king arrayed in complete armour, and said that this was the proper mourning for the queen. The Catholics took the opportunity of exhorting James to make an alliance with the King of Spain, to lay immediate claim to the crown of England, and to prevent the ruin which, from his mother's example, he might conclude would certainly, if Elizabeth's power prevailed, overwhelm his person and his kingdom. The queen was sensible of the danger attending these counsels; and after allowing King James some decent interval to vent his grief and anger, she employed her emissaries to pacify him, and to set before him every motive of hope or fear, which might induce him to live in amity with her.

Walsingham wrote to Lord Thirlstane, James's secretary, a judicious letter to the same purpose. He said, that he was much surprised to hear of the violent resolutions taken in Scotland, and of the passion discovered by a prince of so much judgment and temper as James; that a war, founded merely on the principle of revenge, and that too on account of an act of justice which necessity had extorted, would for ever be exposed to censure, and could not be excused by any principles of equity or reason: that if these views were deemed less momentous among princes, policy and interest ought certainly to be attended to; and these motives did still more evidently oppose all

¹ Camden, p. 538; Strype, vol. iii., pp. 375, 376; MS. Advocates' Library, A. 3, 28, p. 17, from the Cott. Lib. Calig., c. 9; Biogr. Brit., pp. 1625, 1627.

thoughts of a rupture with Elizabeth, and all revival of exploded claims to the English throne; that the inequality between the two kingdoms deprived James of any hopes of success, if he trusted merely to the force of his own state, and had no recourse to foreign powers for assistance; that the objections, attending the introduction of succours from a more potent monarch, appeared so evident from all the transactions of history, that they could not escape a person of the king's extensive knowledge; but there were in the present case several peculiar circumstances, which ought for ever to deter him from having recourse to so dangerous an expedient: that the French monarch, the ancient ally of Scotland, might willingly use the assistance of that kingdom against England; but would be displeased to see the union of these two kingdoms in the person of James, a union which would ever after exclude him from practising that policy, formerly so useful to the French, and so pernicious to the Scottish nation: that Henry besides, infested with faction and domestic war, was not in a condition of supporting distant allies; much less would he expose himself to any hazard or expense, in order to aggrandize a near kinsman of the house of Guise, the most determined enemies of his repose and authority; that the extensive power and exorbitant ambition of the Spanish monarch rendered him a still more dangerous ally to Scotland; and as he evidently aspired to an universal monarchy in the west, and had in particular advanced some claims to England, as if he were descended from the House of Lancaster, he was at the same time the common enemy of all princes who wished to maintain their independence, and immediate rival and competitor of the King of Scots: that the queen, by her own naval power and her alliance with the Hollanders, would probably intercept all succours which might be sent to James from abroad, and be enabled to decide the controversy in this island with the superior forces of her own kingdom, opposed to those of Scotland: that if the king revived his mother's pretensions to the crown of England, he must also embrace her religion, by which alone they could be justified; and must thereby undergo the infamy of abandoning those principles in which he had been strictly educated, and to which he had hitherto religiously adhered: that as he would, by such an apostasy, totally alienate all the Protestants in Scotland and England, he could never gain the confidence of the Catholics, who would still entertain reasonable doubts of his sincerity; that by advancing a present claim to the crown he forfeited the certain prospect of his succession, and revived that national animosity which the late peace and alliance between the kingdoms had happily extinguished; that the whole gentry and nobility of England had openly declared themselves for the execution of the Queen of Scots; and if James showed such violent resentment against that act of justice, they would be obliged, for their own security, to prevent for ever so implacable a prince from ruling over them; and that, however some persons might represent his honour as engaged to seek vengeance for the present affront and injury, the true honour of a prince consisted in wisdom and moderation and justice, not in following the dictates of blind passion, or in pursuing revenge at the expense of every motive and every interest (Strype, vol. iii., p. 377; Spotswood). These con-

siderations, joined to the peaceable unambitious temper of the young prince, prevailed over his resentment, and he fell gradually into a good correspondence with the court of England. It is probable that the queen's chief object in her dissimulation with regard to the execution of Mary was, that she might thereby afford James a decent pretence for renewing his amity with her, on which their mutual interests so much depended.

While Elizabeth ensured tranquillity from the attempts of her nearest neighbour, she was not negligent of more distant dangers. Hearing that Philip, though he seemed to dissemble the daily insults and injuries which he received from the English, was secretly preparing a great navy to attack her; she sent Sir Francis Drake with a fleet to intercept his supplies, to pillage his coast, and to destroy his shipping. Drake carried out four capital ships of the queen's, and twenty-six great and small, with which the London merchants, in hopes of sharing in the plunder, had supplied him. Having learned from two Dutch ships, which he met with in his passage, that a Spanish fleet, richly laden, was lying at Cadiz, ready to set sail for Lisbon, the rendezvous of the intended Armada, he bent his course to the former harbour, and boldly, as well as fortunately, made an attack on the enemy. He obliged six galleys, which made head against him, to take shelter under the forts; he burned about a hundred vessels, laden with ammunition and naval stores; and he destroyed a great ship of the Marquis of Santa Croce. Thence he set sail for Cape St. Vincent, and took by assault the castle situated on that promontory, with three other fortresses. He next insulted Lisbon, and finding that the merchants who had engaged entirely in expectation of profit were discontented at these military enterprises, he set sail for the Terceras, with an intention of lying in wait for a rich carrac, which was expected in those parts. He *was* so fortunate as to meet with his prize, and by this short expedition, in which the public bore so small a share, the adventurers were encouraged to attempt further enterprises, the English seamen learned to despise the great unwieldy ships of the enemy, the naval preparations of Spain were destroyed, the intended expedition against England was retarded a twelvemonth, and the queen thereby had leisure to take more secure measures against that formidable invasion.¹

This year Thomas Cavendish, a gentleman of Devonshire, who had dissipated a good estate by living at court, being resolved to repair his fortune at the expense of the Spaniards, fitted out three ships at Plymouth, one of 120 tons, another of 60, and a third of 40; and with these small vessels he ventured in the South Sea and committed great depredations on the Spaniards. He took nineteen vessels, some of which were richly laden; and returning by the Cape of Good Hope, he came to London, and entered the river in a kind of triumph. His mariners and soldiers were clothed in silk, his sails were of damask, his topsail, cloth of gold, and his prizes were esteemed the richest that ever had been brought into England (Birch's Memoirs, vol. i., p. 57).

The land enterprises of the English were not, during this campaign, so advantageous or honourable to the nation. The important place of

¹ Camden, p. 540; Monson's Naval Tracts in Churchill's Voyages, vol. iii., p. 156.

Deventer was entrusted by Leicester to William Stanley, with a garrison of 1200 English; and this gentleman being a Catholic was alarmed at the discovery of Babington's conspiracy, and became apprehensive lest every one of his religion should thenceforth be treated with distrust in England. He entered into a correspondence with the Spaniards, betrayed the city to them for a sum of money, and engaged the whole garrison to desert with him to the Spanish service. Roland York, who commanded a fort near Zutphen, imitated his example; and the Hollanders, formerly disgusted with Leicester and suspicious of the English, broke out into loud complaints against the improvidence, if not the treachery of his administration. Soon after, he himself arrived in the Low Countries, but his conduct was nowise calculated to give them satisfaction, or to remove the suspicions which they had entertained against him. The Prince of Parma having besieged Sluys, Leicester attempted to relieve the place, first by sea, then by land, but failed in both enterprises; and as he ascribed his bad success to the ill behaviour of the Hollanders, they were equally free in reflections upon his conduct. The breach between them became wider every day; they slighted his authority, opposed his measures and neglected his counsels; while he endeavoured, by an imperious behaviour and by violence, to recover that influence which he had lost by his imprudent and ill-concerted measures. He was even suspected by the Dutch of a design to usurp upon their liberties, and the jealousy entertained against him began to extend towards the queen herself. That princess had made some advances towards a peace with Spain; a congress had been opened at Bourbourg, a village near Gravelines; and though the two courts, especially that of Spain, had no other intention than to amuse each of them its enemy by negotiation, and mutually relax the preparations for defence or attack, the Dutch, who were determined on no terms to return under the Spanish yoke, became apprehensive lest their liberty should be sacrificed to the political interests of England (Bentivoglio, part ii., lib. 4; Strype, vol. iv., No. 246). But the queen, who knew the importance of her alliance with the States during the present conjuncture, was resolved to give them entire satisfaction by recalling Leicester, and commanding him to resign his government. Maurice, son of the late Prince of Orange, a youth of twenty years of age, was elected by the States governor in his place; and Peregrine, Lord Willoughby, was appointed by the queen commander of the English forces. The measures of these two generals were much embarrassed by the malignity of Leicester, who had left a faction behind him, and who still attempted by means of his emissaries to disturb all the operations of the States. As soon as Elizabeth received intelligence of these disorders, she took care to redress them, and she obliged all the partisans of England to fall into unanimity with Prince Maurice (Rymer, tom. xv., p. 66). But though her good sense so far prevailed over her partiality to Leicester, she never could be made fully sensible of his vices and incapacity; the submissions which he made her restored him to her wonted favour; and Lord Buckhurst, who had accused him of misconduct in Holland, lost her confidence for some time, and was even committed to custody.

Sir Christopher Hatton was another favourite who, at this time, received some marks of her partiality. Though he had never followed the profession of law, he was made chancellor in the place of Bromley, deceased; but notwithstanding all the expectations and perhaps wishes of the lawyers, he behaved in a manner not unworthy of that high station; his good natural capacity supplied the place of experience and study, and his decisions were not found deficient either in point of equity or judgment. His enemies had contributed to this promotion in hopes that his absence from court, while he attended the business of chancery, would gradually estrange the queen from him and give them an opportunity of undermining him in her favour.

These little intrigues and cabals of the court were (A.D. 1588) silenced by the account which came from all quarters, of the vast preparations made by the Spaniards for the invasion of England, and for the entire conquest of that kingdom. Philip, though he had not yet declared war, on account of the hostilities which Elizabeth everywhere committed upon him, had long harboured a secret and violent desire of revenge against her. His ambition also, and the hopes of extending his empire, were much encouraged by the present prosperous state of his affairs; by the conquest of Portugal, the acquisition of the East Indian commerce and settlements, and the yearly importation of vast treasures from America. The point on which he rested his highest glory, the perpetual object of his policy, was to support orthodoxy and exterminate heresy; and as the power and credit of Elizabeth were the chief bulwark of the Protestants, he hoped if he could subdue that princess, to acquire the eternal renown of re-uniting the whole Christian world in the Catholic communion. Above all, his indignation against his revolted subjects in the Netherlands instigated him to attack the English, who had encouraged that insurrection, and who, by their vicinity, were so well enabled to support the Hollanders, that he could never hope to reduce these rebels, while the power of that kingdom remained entire and unbroken. To subdue England seemed a necessary preparative to the re-establishment of his authority in the Netherlands; and notwithstanding appearances, the former was in itself, as a more important, so a more easy undertaking than the latter. That kingdom lay nearer Spain than the Low Countries, and was more exposed to invasions from that quarter; after an enemy had once obtained entrance the difficulty seemed to be over, as it was neither fortified by art or nature; a long peace had deprived it of all military discipline and experience, and the Catholics in which it still abounded would be ready, it was hoped, to join an invader who should free them from those persecutions under which they laboured, and should revenge the death of the Queen of Scots, on whom they had fixed all their affections. The fate of England must be decided in one battle at sea and another on land; and what comparison between the English and Spaniards, either in point of naval force, or in the numbers, reputation, and veteran bravery of their armies? Besides the acquisition of so great a kingdom, success against England ensured the immediate subjection of the Hollanders who, attacked on every hand, and deprived of all support, must yield their stubborn necks to that yoke which they had so long resisted. Happily, this conquest, as it

was of the utmost importance to the grandeur of Spain, would not at present be opposed by the jealousy of other powers, naturally so much interested to prevent the success of the enterprise. A truce was lately concluded with the Turks, the empire was in the hands of a friend and near ally; and France, the perpetual rival of Spain, was so torn with intestine commotions, that she had no leisure to pay attention to her foreign interests. This favourable opportunity therefore, which might never again present itself, must be seized, and one bold effort made for acquiring that ascendancy in Europe, to which the present greatness and prosperity of the Spaniards seemed so fully to entitle them (Camden, *Strype*, vol. iii., p. 512).

These hopes and motives engaged Philip, notwithstanding his cautious temper, to undertake this hazardous enterprise; and though the prince, now created by the Pope Duke of Parma, when consulted, opposed the attempt, at least represented the necessity of previously getting possession of some seaport town in the Netherlands, which might afford a retreat to the Spanish navy (Bentivoglio, part ii., lib. 4); it was determined by the Catholic monarch to proceed immediately to the execution of his ambitious project. During some time he had been secretly making preparations; but as soon as the resolution was fully taken, every part of his vast empire resounded with the noise of armaments, and all his ministers, generals, and admirals, were employed in forwarding the design. The Marquis of Santa Croce, a sea-officer of great reputation and experience, was destined to command the fleet, and by his counsels were the naval equipments conducted. In all the ports of Sicily, Naples, Spain and Portugal, artisans were employed in building vessels of uncommon size and force, naval stores were bought at a great expense, provisions amassed, armies levied and quartered in the maritime towns of Spain, and plans laid for fitting out such a fleet and embarkation as had never before had its equal in Europe. The military preparations in Flanders were no less formidable. Troops from all quarters were every moment assembling to reinforce the Duke of Parma. Capizuchi and Spineli conducted forces from Italy; the Marquis of Borgaut, a prince of the house of Austria, levied troops in Germany; the Walloon and Burgundian regiments were completed or augmented; the Spanish infantry was supplied with recruits, and an army of 34,000 men was assembled in the Netherlands, and kept in readiness to be transported into England. The Duke of Parma employed all the carpenters whom he could procure, either in Flanders or in Lower Germany, and the coasts of the Baltic; and he built at Dunkirk and Newport, but especially at Antwerp, a great number of boats and flat-bottomed vessels, for the transporting of his infantry and cavalry. The most renowned nobility and princes of Italy and Spain were ambitious of sharing in the honour of this great enterprise. Don Amadeus, of Savoy, Don John, of Medicis, Vespasian Gonzaga, Duke of Sabionetta, and the Duke of Pastrana, hastened to join the army under the Duke of Parma. About 2000 volunteers in Spain, many of them men of family, had enlisted in the service. No doubts were entertained, but such vast preparations, conducted by officers of such consummate skill, must finally be successful. And the Spaniards, ostentatious of their power and elated with vain hopes, had already denominated their navy the INVINCIBLE ARMADA.

News of these extraordinary preparations soon reached the court of London, and notwithstanding the secrecy of the Spanish council and their pretending to employ this force in the Indies, it was easily concluded that they meant to make some effort against England. The queen had foreseen the invasion, and finding that she must now contend for her crown with the whole force of Spain, she made preparations for resistance, nor was she dismayed with that power, by which all Europe apprehended she must of necessity be overwhelmed. Her force indeed seemed very unequal to resist so potent an enemy. All the sailors in England amounted at that time to about 14,000 men (Monson, p. 256). The size of the English shipping was in general so small that, except a few of the queen's ships of war, there were not four vessels belonging to the merchants which exceeded 400 tons (*Ibid.*, p. 268). The royal navy consisted only of twenty-eight sail (*Ibid.*, p. 157), many of which were of small size; none of them exceeded the bulk of our largest frigates, and most of them deserved rather the name of pinnaces than of ships. The only advantage of the English fleet consisted in the dexterity and courage of the seamen, who being accustomed to sail in tempestuous seas, and expose themselves to all dangers, as much exceeded in this particular the Spanish mariners, as their vessels were inferior in size and force to those of that nation (*Ibid.*, p. 321). All the commercial towns of England were required to furnish ships for reinforcing this small navy; and they discovered on the present occasion great alacrity in defending their liberty and religion against those imminent perils with which they were menaced. The citizens of London, in order to show their zeal in the common cause, instead of fifteen vessels, which they were commanded to equip, voluntarily fitted out double the number (*Ibid.*, p. 267). The gentry and nobility hired, and armed, and manned, forty-three ships at their own charge (*Lives of the Admirals*, vol. i., p. 451); and all the loans of money which the queen demanded were frankly granted by the persons applied to. Lord Howard of Effingham, a man of courage and capacity, was admiral, and took on him the command of the navy; Drake, Hawkins and Frobisher, the most renowned seamen in Europe, served under him. The principal fleet was stationed at Plymouth. A smaller squadron, consisting of forty vessels, English and Flemish, was commanded by Lord Seymour, second son of protector Somerset, and lay off Dunkirk, in order to intercept the Duke of Parma.

The land forces of England, compared to those of Spain, possessed contrary qualities to its naval power; they were more numerous than the enemy, but much inferior in discipline, reputation, and experience. An army of 20,000 men was disposed in different bodies along the south coast; and orders were given them, if they could not prevent the landing of the Spaniards, to retire backwards, to waste the country around, and to wait for reinforcement from the neighbouring counties before they approached the enemy. A body of 22,000 foot, and 1000 horse, under the command of the Earl of Leicester, was stationed at Tilbury, in order to defend the capital. The principal army consisted of 34,000 foot and 2000 horse, and was commanded by Lord Hunsdon. These forces were reserved for guarding the queen's person; and were appointed to march whithersoever the enemy should appear. The fate

of England, if all the Spanish armies should be able to land, seemed to depend on the issue of a single battle; and men of reflection entertained the most dismal apprehensions when they considered the force of fifty thousand veteran Spaniards, commanded by experienced officers, under the Duke of Parma, the most consummate general of the age; and compared this formidable armament with the military power which England, not enervated by peace, but long disused to war, could muster up against it.

The chief support of the kingdom seemed to consist in the vigour and prudence of the queen's conduct; who, undismayed by the present dangers, issued all her orders with tranquillity, animated her people to a steady resistance, and employed every resource which either her domestic situation or her foreign alliances could afford her. She sent Sir Robert Sidney into Scotland, and exhorted the king to remain attached to her, and to consider the danger which at present menaced his sovereignty no less than her own from the ambition of the Spanish tyrant.¹ The ambassador found James well disposed to cultivate a union with England, and that prince even kept himself prepared to march with the force of his whole kingdom to the assistance of Elizabeth. Her authority with the King of Denmark, and the tie of their common religion, engaged this monarch, upon her application, to seize a squadron of ships which Philip had bought or hired in the Danish harbours (Strype, vol. iii., p. 524); the Hanse Towns, though not at that time on good terms with Elizabeth, were induced by the same motives to retard so long the equipment of some vessels in their ports, that they became useless to the purpose of invading England. All the Protestants throughout Europe regarded this enterprise as the critical event which was to decide for ever the fate of their religion; and though unable, by reason of their distance, to join their force to that of Elizabeth, they kept their eyes fixed on her conduct and fortune, and beheld with anxiety, mixed with admiration, the intrepid countenance with which she encountered that dreadful tempest which was every moment advancing towards her.

The queen also was sensible that, next to the general popularity which she enjoyed, and the confidence which her subjects reposed in her prudent government, the firmest support of her throne consisted in the general zeal of the people for the Protestant religion, and the strong prejudices which they had imbibed against popery. She took care on the present occasion to revive in the nation this attachment to their own sect, and this abhorrence of the opposite. The English were reminded of their former danger from the tyranny of Spain; all the barbarities exercised by Mary against the Protestants were ascribed to the counsels of that bigoted and imperious nation; the bloody massacres in the Indies, the unrelenting executions in the Low Countries, the horrid cruelties and iniquities of the Inquisition, were set before men's eyes; a list and description was published, and pictures dispersed, of the several instruments of torture with which it was pretended the Spanish Armada was loaded; and every artifice, as well as

¹ She made him some promises which she never fulfilled, to give him a dukedom in England, with suitable lands and revenue, to settle 5000*l.* a year on him, and pay him a guard, for the safety of his person. From a MS. of Lord Royston's.

reason, was employed to animate the people to a vigorous defence of their religion, their laws, and their liberties.

But while the queen, in this critical emergence, roused the animosity of the nation against popery, she treated the partisans of that sect with moderation, and gave not way to an undistinguishing fury against them. Though she knew that Sistus V., the present Pope, famous for his capacity and his tyranny, had fulminated a new bull of excommunication against her, had deposed her, had absolved her subjects from their oaths of allegiance, had published a crusade against England, and had granted plenary indulgences to every one engaged in the present invasion; she would not believe that all her Catholic subjects could be so blinded as to sacrifice to bigotry their duty to their sovereign, and the liberty and independence of their native country. She rejected all violent counsels, by which she was urged to seek pretences for despatching the leaders of that party; she would not even confine any considerable number of them; and the Catholics, sensible of this good usage, generally expressed great zeal for the public service. Some gentlemen of that sect, conscious that they could not justly expect any trust or authority, entered themselves as volunteers in the fleet or army (Stow, p. 747); some equipped ships at their own charge, and gave the command of them to Protestants; others were active in animating their tenants and vassals and neighbours to the defence of their country; and every rank of men, burying for the present all party distinctions, seemed to prepare themselves with order as well as vigour, to resist the violence of these invaders.

The more to excite the martial spirit of the nation, the queen appeared on horseback in the camp at Tilbury; and riding through the lines, discovered a cheerful and animated countenance, exhorted the soldiers to remember their duty to their country and their religion, and professed her intention, though a woman, to lead them herself into the field against the enemy, and rather to perish in battle than survive the ruin and slavery of her people.¹ By this spirited behaviour she revived the tenderness and admiration of the soldiery; an attachment to her person became a kind of enthusiasm among them; and they asked one another, Whether it were possible that Englishmen could abandon this glorious cause, could display less fortitude than appeared in the female

¹ The queen's speech in the camp of Tilbury was in these words: 'My loving people, we have been persuaded by some that are careful of our safety, to take heed how we commit ourselves to armed multitudes, for fear of treachery; but assure you, I do not desire to live to distrust my faithful and loving people. Let tyrants fear. I have always so behaved myself that, under God, I have placed my chiefest strength and safeguard in the loyal hearts and goodwill of my subjects. And therefore I am come amongst you at this time, not as for my recreation or sport, but being resolved, in the midst and heat of the battle, to live or die amongst you all; to lay down, for my God, and for my kingdom, and for my people, my honour, and my blood, even in the dust. I know I have but the body of a weak and feeble woman; but I have the heart of a king, and of a king of England too, and think foul scorn, that Parma or Spain, or any prince of Europe, should dare to invade the borders of my realms. To which, rather than any dishonour should grow by me, I myself will take up arms; I myself will be your general, judge, and rewarder of every one of your virtues in the field. I know already, by your forwardness, that you have deserved rewards and crowns; and we do assure you, on the word of a prince, they shall be duly paid you. In the meantime, my lieutenant-general shall be in my stead; than whom never prince commanded a more noble and worthy subject; not doubting, by your obedience to my general, by your concord in the camp, and your valour in the field, we shall shortly have a famous victory over those enemies of my God, of my kingdom, and of my people.'

sex, or could ever, by any dangers, be induced to relinquish the defence of their heroic princess?

The Spanish Armada was ready in the beginning of May; but the moment it was preparing to sail, the Marquis of Santa Croce, the admiral, was seized with a fever, of which he soon after died. The vice-admiral the Duke of Paliano, by a strange concurrence of accidents, at the very same time suffered the same fate; and the king appointed for admiral the Duke of Medina Sidonia, a nobleman of great family, but unexperienced in action, and entirely unacquainted with sea affairs. Alcarede was appointed vice-admiral. This misfortune, besides the loss of so great an officer as Santa Croce, retarded the sailing of the Armada, and gave the English more time for their preparations to oppose them. At last the Spanish fleet, full of hopes and alacrity, set sail from Lisbon; but next day (May 29) met with a violent tempest, which scattered the ships, sunk some of the smallest, and forced the rest to take shelter in the Groine, where they waited till they could be refitted. When news of this event was carried to England, the queen concluded that the design of an invasion was disappointed for this summer; and being always ready to lay hold on every pretence for saving money, she made Walsingham write to the admiral, directing him to lay up some of the larger ships, and to discharge the seamen; but Lord Effingham, who was not so sanguine in his hopes, used the freedom to disobey these orders; and he begged leave to retain all the ships in service, though it should be at his own expense (Camden, p. 545). He took advantage of a north wind, and sailed towards the coast of Spain, with an intention of attacking the enemy in their harbours; but the wind changing to the south, he became apprehensive lest they might have set sail, and by passing him at sea, invade England, now exposed by the absence of the fleet. He returned, therefore, with the utmost expedition to Plymouth, and lay at anchor in that harbour.

Meanwhile, all the damages of the Armada were repaired; and the Spaniards with fresh hopes set out again to sea in prosecution of their enterprise. The fleet consisted of 130 vessels, of which near 100 were galleons, and were of greater size than any ever before used in Europe. It carried on board 19,295 soldiers, 8456 mariners, 2088 galley-slaves, and 2630 great pieces of brass ordnance. It was victualled for six months; and was attended by twenty lesser ships, called caravals, and ten salves with six oars apiece (Strype, vol. iii., Append. p. 221).

The plan formed by the King of Spain was, that the Armada should sail to the coast opposite to Dunkirk and Newport; and having chased away all English or Flemish vessels, which might obstruct the passage (for it was never supposed they could make opposition), should join themselves with the Duke of Parma, should thence make sail to the Thames, and having landed the whole Spanish army, thus complete at one blow the entire conquest of England. In prosecution of this scheme, Philip gave orders to the Duke of Medina, that, in passing along the Channel, he should sail as near the coast of France as he could with safety; that he should by this policy avoid meeting with the English fleet: and keeping in view the main enterprise, should neglect all smaller successes, which might prove an obstacle, or even

interpose a delay, to the acquisition of a kingdom (Monson, p. 157). After the Armada was under sail, they took a fisherman, who informed them that the English admiral had been lately at sea, had heard of the tempest which scattered the Armada, had retired back into Plymouth, and no longer expecting an invasion this season, had laid up his ships, and discharged most of the seamen. From this false intelligence the Duke of Medina conceived the great facility of attacking and destroying the English ships in harbour; and he was tempted, by the prospect of so decisive an advantage, to break his orders, and make sail directly for Plymouth: a resolution which proved the safety of England. The Lizard was the first land made (July 19) by the Armada about sunset; and as the Spaniards took it for the Ram Head, near Plymouth, they bore out to sea with an intention of returning next day and attacking the English navy. They were descried by Fleming, a Scottish pirate, who was roving in those seas, and who immediately set sail to inform the English admiral of their approach (Ibid., p. 158); another fortunate event, which contributed extremely to the safety of the fleet. Effingham had just time to get out of port, when he saw the Spanish Armada coming full sail towards him, disposed in the form of a crescent, and stretching the distance of seven miles from the extremity of one division to that of the other.

The writers of that age raise their style by a pompous description of this spectacle, the most magnificent that had ever appeared upon the ocean, infusing equal terror and admiration into the minds of all beholders. The lofty masts, the swelling sails, and the towering prows of the Spanish galleons, seem impossible to be justly painted, but by assuming the colours of poetry; and an eloquent historian of Italy, in imitation of Camden, has asserted that the Armada, though the ships bore every sail, yet advanced with a slow motion, as if the ocean groaned with supporting, and the winds were tired with impelling, so enormous a weight (Bentivoglio, part 2, lib. 4). The truth, however, is, that the largest of the Spanish vessels would scarcely pass for third rates in the present navy of England; yet were they so ill framed, or so ill governed, that they were quite unwieldy, and could not sail upon a wind, nor tack on occasion, nor be managed in stormy weather by the seamen. Neither the mechanics of ship-building, nor the experience of mariners, had attained so great perfection as could serve for the security and government of such bulky vessels; and the English, who had already had experience how unserviceable they commonly were, beheld without dismay their tremendous appearance.

Effingham gave orders not to come to close fight with the Spaniards; where the size of the ships, he suspected, and the numbers of the soldiers, would be a disadvantage to the English; but to cannonade them at a distance, and to wait the opportunity which winds, currents, or various accidents must afford him, of intercepting some scattered vessels of the enemy. Nor was it long before the event answered expectation. A great ship of Biscay, on board of which was a considerable part of the Spanish money, took fire by accident; and while all hands were employed in extinguishing the flames, she fell behind the rest of the Armada: the great galleon of Andalusia was detained by the springing of her mast; and both these vessels were taken, after

some resistance, by Sir Francis Drake. As the Armada advanced up the Channel, the English hung upon its rear, and still infested it with skirmishes. Each trial abated the confidence of the Spaniards, and added courage to the English; and the latter soon found that even in close fight the size of the Spanish ships was no advantage to them. Their bulk exposed them the more to the fire of the enemy; while their cannon, placed too high, shot over the heads of the English. The alarm having now reached the coast of England, the nobility and gentry hastened out with their vessels from every harbour, and reinforced the admiral. The Earls of Oxford, Northumberland, and Cumberland, Sir Thomas Cecil, Sir Robert Cecil, Sir Walter Raleigh, Sir Thomas Vavasor, Sir Thomas Gerrard, Sir Charles Blount, with many others, distinguished themselves by this generous and disinterested service of their country. The English fleet, after the conjunction of those ships, amounted to 140 sail.

The Armada had now reached Calais, and cast anchor before that place; in expectation that the Duke of Parma, who had gotten intelligence of their approach, would put to sea, and join his forces to them. The English admiral practised here a successful stratagem upon the Spaniards. He took eight of his smaller ships, and filling them with all combustible materials, sent them, one after another, into the midst of the enemy. The Spaniards fancied that they were fireships of the same contrivance with a famous vessel, which had lately done so much execution in the Schelde near Antwerp; and they immediately cut their cables, and took to flight with the greatest disorder and precipitation. The English fell upon them next morning, while in confusion; and besides doing great damage to other ships, they took or destroyed about twelve of the enemy.

By this time it was become apparent that the intention, for which these preparations were made by the Spaniards, was entirely frustrated. The vessels, provided by the Duke of Parma, were made for transporting soldiers, not for fighting; and that general, when urged to leave the harbour, positively refused to expose his flourishing army to such apparent hazard; while the English not only were able to keep the sea, but seemed even to triumph over their enemy. The Spanish admiral found, in many rencounters, that, while he lost so considerable a part of his own navy, he had destroyed only one small vessel of the English, and he foresaw that, by continuing so unequal a combat, he must draw inevitable destruction on all the remainder. He prepared, therefore, to return homewards; but as the wind was contrary to his passage through the Channel, he resolved to sail northwards, and making the tour of the island, reach the Spanish harbours by the ocean. The English fleet followed him during some time; and had not their ammunition fallen short, by the negligence of the offices in supplying them, they had obliged the whole Armada to surrender at discretion. The Duke of Medina had once taken that resolution; but was diverted from it by the advice of his confessor. This conclusion of the enterprise would have been more glorious to the English; but the event proved almost equally fatal to the Spaniards. A violent tempest overtook the Armada after it passed the Orkneys: the ships had already lost their anchors, and were obliged to keep to sea; the mariners, un-

accustomed to such hardships, and not able to govern such unwieldy vessels, yielded to the fury of the storm, and allowed their ships to drive either on the western isles of Scotland, or on the coast of Ireland, where they were miserably wrecked. Not a half of the navy returned to Spain; and the seamen, as well as soldiers, who remained, were so overcome with hardships and fatigue, and so dispirited by their discomfiture, that they filled all Spain with accounts of the desperate valour of the English, and of the tempestuous violence of that ocean which surrounds them.

Such was the miserable and dishonourable conclusion of an enterprise which had been preparing for three years, which had exhausted the revenue and force of Spain, and which had long filled all Europe with anxiety or expectation. Philip, who was a slave to his ambition, but had an entire command over his countenance, no sooner heard of the mortifying event which blasted all his hopes, than he fell on his knees, and rendering thanks for that gracious dispensation of Providence, expressed his joy, that the calamity was not greater. The Spanish priests, who had so often blessed this holy crusade, and foretold its infallible success, were somewhat at a loss to account for the victory gained over the Catholic monarch by excommunicated heretics and an execrable usurper; but they at last discovered that all the calamities of the Spaniards had proceeded from their allowing the infidel Moors to live among them.¹

Soon after the defeat and dispersion of the Spanish Armada, the queen summoned (A.D. 1589, Feb. 4) a new parliament; and received from them a supply of two subsidies and four fifteenths, payable in four years. This is the first instance that subsidies were doubled in one supply; and so unusual a concession was probably obtained from the joy of the present success, and from the general sense of the queen's necessities. Some members objected to this heavy charge, on account of the great burthen of loans which had lately been imposed upon the English nation.²

¹ Strype, vol. iii., p. 525. On the 4th of Sept., soon after the dispersion of the Spanish Armada, died the Earl of Leicester, the queen's great, but unworthy favourite. Her affection for him continued to the last. He had discovered no conduct in any of his military enterprises, and was suspected of cowardice. Yet she entrusted him with the command of her armies during the danger of the Spanish invasion, a partiality which might have proved fatal to her, had the Duke of Parma been able to land his troops in England. She had even ordered a commission to be drawn for him, constituting him her lieutenant in the kingdom of England and Ireland; but Burleigh and Hatton represented to her the danger of entrusting such unlimited authority in the hands of any subject, and prevented the execution of that design. No wonder that a conduct so unlike the usual jealousy of Elizabeth, gave reason to suspect that her partiality was founded on some other passion than friendship. But Elizabeth seemed to carry her affection no farther than the grave. She ordered his goods to be disposed of at a public sale, in order to reimburse herself of some debt which he owed her; and her usual attention to money was observed to prevail over her regard to the memory of the deceased. This earl was a great hypocrite, a pretender to the strictest religion, and encourager of the Puritans, and a founder of hospitals.

² Strype, vol. iii., p. 542; *Id.* Append., p. 239. There are some singular passages in this last speech which may be worth taking notice of, especially as they come from a member who was no courtier. For he argues against the subsidy; 'And first,' says he, 'for the necessity thereof, I cannot deny, but if it were a charge imposed on us by her majesty's commandment, or a demand proceeding from her majesty by way of request, that I think there is not one among us all, either so disobedient a subject in regard of our duty, or so unthankful a man in respect of the inestimable benefits, which, by her or from her, we have received, which would not with frank consent, both of voice and heart, most willingly submit himself thereunto, without any unreverend inquiry into the causes thereof. For it is continually in the mouth of us all, that our lands, goods, and lives, are at our prince's disposing. And it agreeth very well with that position of the civil law, which sayeth, "Quod omnia regis sunt." But how?

Elizabeth foresaw that this house of commons, like all the foregoing, would be governed by the puritans; and therefore, to obviate their enterprises, she renewed, at the beginning of the session, her usual injunction, that the parliament should not, on any account, presume to treat of matters ecclesiastical. Notwithstanding this strict inhibition, the zeal of one Dampport moved him to present a bill to the commons for remedying spiritual grievances, and for restraining the tyranny of the ecclesiastical commission, which were certainly great; but when Mr. Secretary Woley reminded the house of her majesty's commands, no one durst second the motion; the bill was not so much as read; and the speaker returned it to Dampport, without taking the least notice of it (D'Ewes, p. 438). Some members of the house, notwithstanding the general submission, were even committed to custody on account of this attempt (Strype's *Whitgift*, p. 280; Neal, vol. i., p. 500).

The imperious conduct of Elizabeth appeared still more clearly in another parliamentary transaction. The right of purveyance was an ancient prerogative, by which the officers of the crown could at pleasure take provisions for the household from all the neighbouring counties, and could make use of the carts and carriages of the farmers; and the price of these commodities and services was fixed and stated. The payment of the money was often distant and uncertain; and the rates, being fixed before the discovery of the West Indies, were much inferior to the present market price; so that purveyance, besides the slavery of it, was always regarded as a great burden, and being arbitrary and casual, was liable to great abuses. We may fairly presume that the hungry courtiers of Elizabeth, supported by her unlimited power, would be sure to render this prerogative very oppressive to the people; and the commons had, last session, found it necessary to pass a bill for regulating these exactions; but the bill was lost in the house of peers (D'Ewes, p. 434). The continuance of the abuses begat a new attempt for redress; and the same bill was now revived, and again sent up to the house of peers, together with a bill for some new regulations in the court of exchequer. Soon after the commons received a message from the upper house, desiring them to appoint a committee for a conference. At this conference, the peers informed them that the queen, by a message, delivered by Lord Burleigh, had expressed her displeasure that the commons should presume to touch on her prerogative. If there were any abuses, she said, either in imposing purveyance, or in the practice of the court of exchequer, her majesty was both able and willing to provide due reformation; but would not permit the parliament to intermeddle in these matters (*Ibid.*, p. 440). The commons, alarmed at this intelligence, appointed another com-

"Ita tamen ut omnium sint. Ad regem enim potestas omnium pertinet; ad singulos proprietas." So that although it be most true that her majesty hath over ourselves and our goods, "potestatem imperandi;" yet it is true, that until that power command (which, no doubt, will not command without very just cause), every subject hath his own "proprietas" "possidendi." Which power and commandment from her majesty, which we have not yet received, I take it (saying reformation) that we are freed from the cause of necessity, and the cause of necessity is the dangerous estate of the commonwealth, etc. The tenor of the speech pleads rather for a general benevolence than a subsidy. For the law of Rich. III. against benevolence was never conceived to have any force. The member even proceeds to assert, with some precaution, that it was in the power of a parliament to refuse the king's demand of a subsidy; and that there was an instance of that liberty in Hen. III.'s time, near 400 years before. *Sub fine.*

mittee to attend the queen, and endeavour to satisfy her of their humble and dutiful intentions. Elizabeth gave a gracious reception to the committee; she expressed her great INESTIMABLE LOVING CARE towards her loving subjects; which, she said, was greater than of her own self, or even than any of them could have of themselves. She told them that she had already given orders for an inquiry into the abuses attending purveyance, but the dangers of the Spanish invasion had retarded the progress of the design; that she had as much skill, will, and power to rule her household as any subjects whatsoever to govern theirs, and needed as little the assistance of her neighbours; that the exchequer was her chamber, consequently more near to her than even her household, and therefore the less proper for them to intermeddle with; and that she would of herself, with advice of her council and the judges, redress every grievance in these matters, but would not permit the commons, by laws moved without her privity, to bereave her of the honour attending these regulations (D'Ewes, p. 444). The issue of this matter was the same that attended all contests between Elizabeth and her parliaments ('Si rixa est, ubi tu pulsas, ego vapulo tantum.') She seems even to have been more imperious in this particular than her predecessors; at least, her more remote ones; for they often permitted the abuses of purveyance¹ to be redressed by law (Statutes

¹ We may judge of the extent and importance of these abuses by a speech of Bacon's against purveyors, delivered in the first session of the first parliament of the subsequent reign, by which also we may learn, that Elizabeth had given no redress to the grievances complained of. 'First,' says he, 'they take in kind what they ought not to take; secondly, they take in quantity a far greater proportion than cometh to your majesty's use; thirdly, they take in an unlawful manner, in a manner I say directly and expressly prohibited by the several laws. For the first, I am a little to alter their name. For instead of takers, they become taxers. Instead of taking provisions for your majesty's service, they tax your people *ad redimendam vexationem*; imposing upon them and extorting from them divers sums of money, sometimes in gross, sometimes in the nature of stipends annually paid, *ne noceant*, to be freed and eased of their oppression. Again, they take trees, which by law they cannot do; timber trees, which are the beauty, countenance, and shelter of men's houses; that men have long spared from their own purse and profit; that men esteem, for their use and delight, above ten times the value; that are a loss which men cannot repair or recover. These do they take, to the defacing and spoiling of your subjects, mansions, and dwellings, except they may be compounded with to their own appetites. And if a gentleman be too hard for them while he is at home, they will watch their time when there is but a bailiff or a servant remaining, and put the axe to the root of the tree, ere ever the master can stop it. Again, they use a strange and most unjust exaction in causing the subjects to pay poundage of their own debts, due from your majesty unto them. So as a poor man, when he has had his hay or his wood, or his poultry (which perchance he was full loath to part with, and had for the provision of his own family, and not to put to sale, taken from him, and that not at a just price, but under the value, and cometh to receive his money, he shall have after the rate of twelve-pence in the pound abated for poundage of his due payment upon so hard conditions. Nay, farther, they are grown to that extremity (as is affirmed, though it be scarce credible, save that in such persons all things are credible), that they will take double poundage, once when the debenture is made, and again the second time, when the money is paid. For the second point, most gracious sovereign, touching the quantity which they take far above that which is answered to your majesty's use; it is affirmed unto me by divers gentlemen of good report as a matter which I may safely avouch unto your majesty, that there is no pound profit which redoundeth unto your majesty in this course, but induceth and begetteth three pound damage upon your subjects, beside the discontentment. And to the end they may make their spoil more securely, what do they? Whereas divers statutes do strictly provide, that whatsoever they take shall be registered and attested, to the end that by making a collation of that which is taken from the country and that which is answered above, their deceits might appear, they, to the end to obscure their deceits, utterly omit the observation of this, which the law prescribeth. And therefore to descend, if it may please your majesty, to the third sort of abuse, which is of the unlawful manner of their taking, whereof this question is a branch; it is so manifold, as it rather asketh an enumeration of some of the particulars than a prosecution of all. For their price, by law they ought to take as they can agree with the subject; by abuse, they take at an imposed and enforced price. By law they ought to make but one apprisement by neigh

under this head of purveyance). Edward III., a very arbitrary prince, allowed ten several statutes to be enacted for that purpose.

In so great awe did the commons stand of every courtier, as well as of the crown, that they durst use no freedom of speech, which they thought would give the least offence to any of them. Sir Edward Hobby showed in the house his extreme grief, that by some great personage, not a member of the house, he had been sharply rebuked for speeches delivered in parliament. He craved the favour of the house, and desired that some of the members might inform that great personage of his true meaning and intention in these speeches (D'Ewes, pp. 432, 433). The commons, to obviate these inconveniences, passed a vote, that no one should reveal the secrets of the house.¹

The discomfiture of the Armada had begotten in the nation a kind of enthusiastic passion for enterprises against Spain; and nothing seemed now impossible to be achieved by the valour and fortune of the English. Don Antonio, prior of Crato, a natural son of the royal family of Portugal, trusting to the aversion of his countrymen against the Castilians, had advanced a claim to the crown; and flying first to France, thence to England, had been encouraged both by Henry and Elizabeth in his pretensions. A design was formed by the people, not the court, of England, to conquer the kingdom for Don Antonio. Sir Francis Drake and Sir John Norris were the leaders in this romantic enterprise. Near 20,000 volunteers² enlisted themselves in the service. And ships were hired, as well as arms provided, at the charge of the adventurers. The queen's frugality kept her from contributing more than 60,000*l.* to the expense; and she only allowed six of her ships of war to attend the expedition (Monson, p. 267). There was more spirit and bravery, than foresight or prudence, in the conduct of this enterprise. The small stock of the adventurers did not enable them to buy either provisions

'bours in the country; by abuse they make a second appraisement at the court gate, and when 'the subject's cattle come up many miles, lean and out of plight by reason of their travel, then 'they prize them anew at an abated price. By law, they ought to take between sun and sun; 'by abuse, they take by twilight and in the night-time, a time well chosen for malefactors. By 'law, they ought not to take in the highways (a place by her majesty's high prerogative protected, and by statute by special words excepted); by abuse, they take in the highways; by 'law, they ought to show their commission, etc. A number of other particulars there are,' etc. Bacon's Works, vol. iv., pp. 305, 306.

Such were the abuses, which Elizabeth would neither permit her parliaments to meddle with, nor redress herself. I believe it will readily be allowed, that this slight prerogative alone, which has passed almost unobserved amidst other branches of so much greater importance, was sufficient to extinguish all regular liberty. For what elector, or member of parliament, or even jurymen, durst oppose the will of the court, while he lay under the lash of such an arbitrary prerogative? For a farther account of the grievous and incredible oppressions of purveyors, see the journals of the House of Commons, vol. i., p. 190. There is a story of a carter, which may be worth mentioning on this occasion. 'A carter had three times been at 'Windsor with his cart to carry away, upon summons of a remove, some part of the stuff of 'her majesty's wardrobe; and when he had repaired thither once, twice, and the third time, 'and that they of the wardrobe had told him the third time that the remove held not, the 'carter, clapping his hand on his thigh, said, "Now I see that the queen is a woman as well "as my wife." Which words being overheard by her majesty, who then stood at the window, 'she said, "What villain is this?" and so sent him three angels to stop his mouth.' Birch's Mem., vol. i., p. 155.

¹ An act was passed this session, enforcing the former statute, which imposed 20*l.* a month on every one absent from public worship. But the penalty was restricted to two-thirds of the income of the recusant. 29 Eliz., cap. 6.

² Birch's Mem. Elizabeth, vol. i., p. 61; Monson, p. 267, says, that there were only 14,000 soldiers and 4000 seamen in the whole on this expedition. But the account contained in Dr. Birch is given by one of the most considerable of the adventurers.

or ammunition sufficient for such an undertaking. They even wanted vessels to stow the numerous volunteers who crowded to them; and they were obliged to seize by force some ships of the Hanse towns, which they met with at sea; an expedient, which set them somewhat more at ease in point of room for their men, but remedied not the deficiency of their provisions (Monson, p. 159). Had they sailed directly to Portugal, it is believed that the goodwill of the people, joined to the defenceless state of the country, might have ensured them of success. But hearing that great preparations were making at the Groine for the invasion of England, they were induced to go thither and destroy this new armament of Spain. They broke into the harbour, burned some ships of war, particularly one commanded by Recalde, Vice-Admiral of Spain; they defeated an army of 4000 or 5000 men, which was assembled to oppose them; they assaulted the Groine, and took the lower town, which they pillaged; and they would have taken the higher, though well fortified, had they not found their ammunition and provisions beginning to fail them. The young Earl of Essex, a nobleman of promising hopes, who, fired with the thirst of military honour, had secretly, unknown to the queen, stolen from England, here joined the adventurers; and it was then agreed by common consent to make sail for Portugal, the main object of their enterprise.

The English landed at Paniche, a seaport town, twelve leagues from Lisbon; and Norris led the army to that capital, while Drake undertook to sail up the river, and attack that city with united forces. By this time the court of Spain had gotten leisure to prepare against the invasion. Forces were taken into Lisbon. The Portuguese were disarmed. All suspected persons were thrown into custody. And thus, though the inhabitants bore great affection to Don Antonio, none of them durst declare in favour of the invaders. The English army, however, made themselves masters of the suburbs, which abounded with riches of all kinds; but as they desired to conciliate the affections of the Portuguese, and were more intent on honour than profit, they observed a strict discipline, and abstained from all plunder. Meanwhile they found their ammunition and provisions much exhausted; they had not a single cannon to make a breach in the walls; the admiral had not been able to pass some fortresses, which guarded the river; there was no appearance of an insurrection in their favour; sickness, from fatigue, hunger, and intemperance in wine and fruits, had seized the army. So that it was found necessary to make all possible haste to re-embark. They were not pursued by the enemy: and finding at the mouth of the river sixty ships laden with naval stores, they seized them as lawful prize, though they belonged to the Hanse towns, a neutral power. They sailed thence to Vigo, which they took and burned; and having ravaged the country around, they set sail and arrived in England. Above half of these gallant adventurers perished by sickness, famine, fatigue, and the sword (Birch's Mem., vol. i., p. 61); and England reaped more honour than profit from this extraordinary enterprise. It is computed that 1,100 gentlemen embarked on board the fleet, and that only 350 survived those multiplied disasters (Birch's Mem., vol. i., p. 61).

When these ships were on their voyage homewards they met with the

Earl of Cumberland, who was outward bound, with a fleet of seven sail, all equipped at his own charge, except one ship of war, which the queen had lent him. That nobleman supplied Sir Francis Drake with some provisions; a generosity which saved the lives of many of Drake's men, but for which the others afterwards suffered severely. Cumberland sailed towards the Terceras, and took several prizes from the enemy; but the richest, valued at 100,000*l.*, perished in her return, with all her cargo, near St. Michael's Mount, in Cornwall. Many of these daring adventurers were killed in a rash attempt at the Terceras; a great mortality seized the rest, and it was with difficulty that the few hands, which remained were able to steer the ships back into harbour (Monson, p. 161).

Though the signal advantages gained over the Spaniards, and the spirit thence infused into the English, gave Elizabeth great security during the rest of her reign, she could not forbear keeping an anxious eye on Scotland, whose situation rendered its revolutions always of importance to her. It might have been expected, that this high-spirited princess, who knew so well how to brave danger, would not have retained that malignant jealousy towards her heir, with which, during the lifetime of Mary, she had been so much agitated. James had indeed succeeded to all the claims of his mother; but he had not succeeded to the favour of the Catholics, which could alone render these claims dangerous (Winwood, vol. i., p. 41). And as the queen was now well advanced in years, and enjoyed an uncontrolled authority over her subjects, it was not likely that the King of Scots, who was of an indolent unambitious temper, would ever give her any disturbance in her possession of the throne. Yet all these circumstances could not remove her timorous suspicions. And so far from satisfying the nation by a settlement of the succession, or a declaration of James's title, she was as anxious to prevent every incident which might anywise raise his credit, or procure him the regard of the English, as if he had been her immediate rival and competitor. Most of his ministers and favourites were her pensioners; and as she was desirous to hinder him from marrying and having children, she obliged them to throw obstacles in the way of every alliance, even the most reasonable, which could be offered him; and during some years she succeeded in this malignant policy (Melvil, pp. 166, 177). He had fixed on the elder daughter of the King of Denmark, who, being a remote prince and not powerful, could give her no umbrage; yet did she so artfully cross this negotiation, that the Danish monarch, impatient of delay, married his daughter to the Duke of Brunswick. James then renewed his suit to the younger princess, and still found obstacles from the intrigues of Elizabeth, who, merely with a view of interposing delay, proposed to him the sister of the King of Navarre, a princess much older than himself, and entirely destitute of fortune. The young king, besides the desire of securing himself by the prospect of issue, from those traitorous attempts too frequent among his subjects, had been so watched by the rigid austerity of the ecclesiastics, that he had another inducement to marry, which is not so usual with monarchs. His impatience therefore broke through all the politics of Elizabeth. The articles of marriage were settled. The ceremony was performed by proxy, and the princess embarked

for Scotland, but was driven by a storm into a port of Norway. This tempest, and some others, which happened near the same time, were universally believed in Scotland and Denmark to have proceeded from a combination of the Scottish and Danish witches; and the dying confession of the criminals was supposed to put the accusation beyond all controversy (Melvil, p. 180). James, however, though a great believer in sorcery, was not deterred by this incident from taking a voyage in order to conduct his bride home. He arrived in Norway, carried the queen thence to Copenhagen; and having passed the winter in that city, he brought her next spring to Scotland, where they were joyfully received by the people. The clergy alone, who never neglected an opportunity of vexing their prince, made opposition to the queen's coronation, on account of the ceremony of anointing her, which, they alleged, was either a Jewish or a Popish rite, and therefore utterly antichristian and unlawful. But James was as much bent on the ceremony as they were averse to it; and after much controversy and many intrigues, his authority, which had not often happened, at last prevailed over their opposition (Spotswood, p. 381).

CHAPTER XLIII.

French affairs.—Murder of the Duke of Guise.—Murder of Henry III.—Progress of Henry IV.—Naval enterprises against Spain.—A parliament.—Henry IV. embraces the Catholic religion.—Scotch affairs.—Naval enterprises.—A parliament.—Peace of Vervins.—The Earl of Essex.

AFTER a state of great anxiety and many difficulties, Elizabeth had (A.D. 1590) at length reached a situation where, though her affairs still required attention, and found employment for her active spirit, she was removed from all danger of any immediate revolution, and might regard the efforts of her enemies with some degree of confidence and security. Her successful and prudent administration had gained her, together with the admiration of foreigners, the affections of her own subjects; and after the death of the Queen of Scots, even the Catholics, however discontented, pretended not to dispute her title, or adhere to any other person as her competitor. James, curbed by his factious nobility and ecclesiastics, possessed at home very little authority, and was solicitous to remain on good terms with Elizabeth and the English nation, in hopes that time, aided by his patient tranquillity, would secure him that rich succession to which his birth entitled him. The Hollanders, though overmatched in their contest with Spain, still made an obstinate resistance; and such was their unconquerable antipathy to their old masters, and such the prudent conduct of young Maurice, their governor, that the subduing of that small territory, if at all possible, must be the work of years, and the result of many and great successes. Philip, who, in his powerful effort against England, had been transported by resentment and ambition beyond his usual cautious maxims, was now disabled, and still more discouraged, from adventuring again on such

hazardous enterprises. The situation also of affairs in France began chiefly to employ his attention; but notwithstanding all his artifice, and force, and expense, the events in that kingdom proved every day more contrary to his expectations, and more favourable to the friends and confederates of England.

The violence of the League having constrained Henry to declare war against the Huguenots, these religionists seemed exposed to the utmost danger; and Elizabeth, sensible of the intimate connection between her own interests and those of that party, had supported the King of Navarre by her negotiations in Germany, and by large sums of money, which she remitted for levying forces in that country. This great prince, not discouraged by the superiority of his enemies, took the field; and in the year 1587 gained at Coutras a complete victory over the army of the French king; but as his allies, the Germans, were at the same time discomfited by the army of the League, under the Duke of Guise, his situation, notwithstanding his victory, seemed still as desperate as ever. The chief advantage which he reaped, by this diversity of success, arose from the dissensions which, by that means took place among his enemies. The inhabitants of Paris, intoxicated with admiration of Guise, and strongly prejudiced against their king, whose intentions had become suspicious to them, took to arms, and obliged Henry to fly for his safety. That prince, dissembling his resentment, entered into a negotiation with the League; and having conferred many high offices on Guise and his partisans, summoned an assembly of the states at Blois, on pretence of finding expedients to support the intended war against the Huguenots. The various scenes of perfidy and cruelty, which had been exhibited in France, had justly begotten a mutual diffidence among all parties; yet Guise, trusting more to the timidity than honour of the king, rashly put himself into the hands of that monarch, and expected, by the ascendant of his own genius, to make him submit to all his exorbitant pretensions. Henry, though of an easy disposition, not steady to his resolutions, or even to his promises, wanted neither courage nor capacity; and finding all his subtleties eluded by the vigour of Guise, and even his throne exposed to the most imminent danger, he embraced more violent counsels than were natural to him, and ordered that prince and his brother, the Cardinal of Guise, to be assassinated in his palace.

This cruel execution, which the necessity of it alone could excuse, had nearly proved fatal to the author, and seemed at first to plunge him into greater dangers than those which he sought to avoid, by taking vengeance on his enemy. The partisans of the League were inflamed with the utmost rage against him. The populace everywhere, particularly at Paris, renounced allegiance to him. The ecclesiastics and the preachers filled all places with execrations against his name. And the most powerful cities and most opulent provinces appeared to combine in a resolution, either of renouncing monarchy, or of changing their monarch. Henry finding slender resources among his Catholic subjects, was constrained to enter into a confederacy with the Huguenots and the King of Navarre. He enlisted large bodies of Swiss infantry and German cavalry; and being still supported by his chief nobility, he assembled, by all these means, an army of near 40,000 men, and

advanced to the gates of Paris, ready to crush the League, and subdue all his enemies. The desperate resolution of one man diverted the course of these great events. Jacques Clement, a Dominican friar, inflamed by that bloody spirit of bigotry which distinguishes this century and a great part of the following, beyond all ages of the world, embraced the resolution of sacrificing his own life in order to save the church from the persecutions of a heretical tyrant; and being admitted, under some pretext, to the king's presence, he gave that prince a mortal wound, and was immediately put to death by the courtiers, who hastily revenged the murder of their sovereign. This memorable incident happened on the first of August, 1589.

The King of Navarre, next heir to the crown, assumed the government by the title of Henry IV., but succeeded to much greater difficulties than those which surrounded his predecessor. The prejudices entertained against his religion made a great part of the nobility immediately desert him; and it was only by his promise of hearkening to conferences and instruction, that he could engage any of the Catholics to adhere to his undoubted title. The League, governed by the Duke of Mayenne, brother to Guise, gathered new force; and the King of Spain entertained views, either of dismembering the French monarchy, or of annexing the whole to his own dominions. In these distressful circumstances, Henry addressed himself to Elizabeth, and found her well disposed to contribute to his assistance, and to oppose the progress of the Catholic league, and of Philip her inveterate and dangerous enemies. To prevent the desertion of his Swiss and German auxiliaries, she made him a present of 22,000*l.*; a greater sum than, as he declared, he had ever seen before; and she sent him a reinforcement of 4000 men, under Lord Willoughby, an officer of reputation, who joined the French at Dieppe. Strengthened by these supplies, Henry marched directly to Paris, and having taken the suburbs sword in hand, he abandoned them to be pillaged by his soldiers. He employed this body of English in many other enterprises, and still found reason to praise their courage and fidelity. The time of their service being elapsed, he dismissed them with many high commendations. Sir William Drury, Sir Thomas Baskerville, and Sir John Boroughs acquired reputation in this campaign, and revived in France the ancient fame of English valour.

The army which Henry next campaign led into the field was much inferior to that of the League; but as it was composed of the chief nobility of France, he feared not to encounter his enemies in a pitched battle at Yvrée, and he gained a complete victory over them. This success enabled him to blockade Paris, and he reduced that capital to the last extremity of famine; when the Duke of Parma, in consequence of orders from Philip, marched to the relief of the League, and obliged Henry to raise the blockade. Having performed this important service, he retreated to the Low Countries, and by his consummate skill in the art of war performed these long marches in the face of the enemy, without affording the French monarch that opportunity which he sought, of giving him battle, or so much as once putting his army in disorder. The only loss which he sustained was in the Low Countries, where Prince Maurice took advantage of his absence, and recovered

some places which the Duke of Parma had formerly conquered from the States.¹

The situation of Henry's affairs, though promising, was not so well advanced or established as to make the queen discontinue her succours; and she was still more confirmed in the resolution of supporting him, by some advantages gained by the King of Spain. The Duke of Mercœur, governor of Brittany, a prince of the house of Lorraine, had declared for the League, and finding himself hard pressed by Henry's forces, he had been obliged, in order to secure himself, to introduce some Spanish troops into the sea-port towns of that province. Elizabeth was alarmed at the danger, and foresaw that the Spaniards, besides infesting the English commerce by privateers, might employ these harbours as the seat of their naval preparations, and might more easily from that vicinity, than from Spain or Portugal, project an invasion of England. She concluded (A.D. 1591) therefore a new treaty with Henry, in which she engaged to send over 3000 men, to be employed in the reduction of Brittany, and she stipulated that her charges should, in a twelvemonth, or as soon as the enemy was expelled, be refunded her (Camden, p. 561). These forces were commanded by Sir John Norris, and under him by his brother Henry, and by Anthony Shirley. Sir Roger Williams was at the head of a small body which garrisoned Dieppe; and a squadron of ships, under the command of Sir Henry Palmer, lay upon the coast of France, and intercepted all the vessels belonging to the Spaniards or the Leaguers.

The operations of war can very little be regulated beforehand by any treaty or agreement; and Henry, who found it necessary to lay aside the projected enterprise against Brittany, persuaded the English commanders to join his army and to take a share in the hostilities which he carried into Picardy (Rymer, tom. xiv., p. 116). Notwithstanding the disgust which Elizabeth received from this disappointment, he laid before her a plan for expelling the Leaguers from Normandy, and persuaded her to send over a new body of 4000 men to assist him in that enterprise. The Earl of Essex was appointed general of these forces; a young nobleman who, by many exterior accomplishments, and still more real merit, was daily advancing in favour with Elizabeth, and seemed to occupy that place in her affections which Leicester, now deceased, had so long enjoyed. Essex, impatient for military fame, was extremely uneasy to lie some time at Dieppe unemployed; and had not the orders which he received from his mistress been so positive, he would gladly have accepted of Henry's invitation, and have marched to join the French army now in Champagne. This plan of operations was also proposed to Elizabeth by the French ambassador, but she rejected it with great displeasure, and she threatened immediately to recal her troops if Henry should persevere any longer in his present practice of breaking all concert

¹ This year the nation suffered a great loss, by the death of Sir Francis Walsingham, secretary of state; a man equally celebrated for his abilities and his integrity. He had passed through many employments, had been very frugal in his expense, yet died so poor that his family were obliged to give him a private burial. He left only one daughter, first married to Sir Philip Sidney, then to the Earl of Essex, favourite to Queen Elizabeth, and lastly to the Earl of Clanricarde of Ireland. The same year died Thomas Randolph, who had been employed by the queen in several embassies to Scotland; so did also the Earl of Warwick, elder brother to Leicester.

with her and attending to nothing but his own interests (Birch's *Negot.*, p. 5; Rymer, tom. xiv., pp. 123, 140). Urged by these motives, the French king, at last, led his army into Normandy and laid siege to Roüen, which he reduced to great difficulties. But the League, unable of themselves to take the field against him, had again recourse to the Duke of Parma, who received orders to march to their relief. He executed this enterprise with his usual abilities and success, and for the present frustrated all the projects of Henry and Elizabeth. This princess, who kept still in view the interests of her own kingdom in all her foreign transactions, was impatient under these disappointments, blamed Henry for his negligence in the execution of treaties, and complained that the English forces were thrust foremost in every hazardous enterprise (Camden, p. 562). It is probable, however, that their own ardent courage and their desire of distinguishing themselves in so celebrated a theatre of war, were the causes why they so often enjoyed this perilous honour.

Notwithstanding the indifferent success of former enterprises, the queen was sensible how necessary it was to support Henry against the League and the Spaniards, and she formed a new treaty with him, in which they agreed never to make peace with Philip but by common consent; she promised to send him a new supply of 4000 men, and he stipulated to repay her charges in a twelvemonth, to employ these forces joined to a body of French troops, in an expedition against Brittany, and to consign into her hands a seaport town of that province for a retreat to the English (Rymer, vol. xvi., pp. 151, 168, 171, 173). Henry knew the impossibility of executing some of these articles and the imprudence of fulfilling others, but finding them rigidly insisted on by Elizabeth, he accepted of her succours and trusted that he might easily, on some pretence, be able to excuse his failure in executing his part of the treaty. This campaign was the least successful of all those which he had yet carried on against the League.

During these military operations in France, Elizabeth employed her naval power against Philip and endeavoured to intercept his West Indian treasures, the source of that greatness which rendered him so formidable to all his neighbours. She sent a squadron of seven ships, under the command of Lord Thomas Howard, for this service; but the King of Spain, informed of her purpose, fitted out a great force of fifty-five sail, and dispatched them to escort the Indian fleet. They fell in with the English squadron, and by the courageous obstinacy of Sir Richard Grenville, the vice-admiral, who refused to make his escape by flight, they took one vessel, the first English ship of war that had yet fallen into the hands of the Spaniards.¹ The rest of the

¹ This action of Sir Richard Grenville is so singular as to merit a more particular relation. He was engaged alone with the whole Spanish fleet of fifty-three sail, which had 10,000 men on board; and from the time the fight began, which was about three in the afternoon, to the break of day next morning, he repulsed the enemy fifteen times, though they continually shifted their vessels, and boarded with fresh men. In the beginning of the action he himself received a wound; but he continued doing his duty above deck till eleven at night, when, receiving a fresh wound, he was carried down to be dressed. During this operation he received a shot in the head, and the surgeon was killed by his side. The English began now to want powder; all their small arms were broken or become useless; of their number, which was but 103 at first, 40 were killed, and almost all the rest wounded; their masts were beat overboard, their tackle cut in pieces, and nothing but a hulk left, unable to move one way or other. In this situation Sir Richard proposed to the ship's company, to trust to the mercy of

squadron returned safely into England, frustrated of their expectations, but pleasing themselves with the idea that their attempt had not been altogether fruitless in hurting the enemy. The Indian fleet had been so long detained in the Havanna, from fear of the English, that they were obliged at last to set sail in an improper season, and most of them perished by shipwreck ere they reached the Spanish harbours (Monson, p. 163). The Earl of Cumberland made a like unsuccessful enterprise against the Spanish trade. He carried out one ship of the queen's and seven others equipped at his own expense, but the prizes which he made did not compensate the charges (Ibid., p. 169).

The spirit of these expensive and hazardous adventures was very prevalent in England. Sir Walter Raleigh, who had enjoyed great favour with the queen, finding his interest to decline, determined to recover her good graces by some important undertaking; and, as his reputation was high among his countrymen, he persuaded great numbers to engage (A.D. 1592) with him as volunteers in an attempt on the West Indies. The fleet was detained so long in the channel by contrary winds, that the season was lost; Raleigh was recalled by the queen; Sir Martin Frobisher succeeded to the command, and made a privateering voyage against the Spaniards. He took one rich carrack near the Island of Flores, and destroyed another (Ibid., p. 165; Camden, p. 569). About the same time, Thomas White, a Londoner, took two Spanish ships which, besides 1400 chests of quicksilver, contained above 2,000,000 of bulls for indulgences; a commodity useless to the English, but which had cost the King of Spain 300,000 florins and would have been sold by him in the Indies for 5,000,000.

This war did great damage to Spain, but it was attended with expense to England, and Elizabeth's ministers computed that since the commencement of it she had spent in Flanders and France, and on her naval expeditions, above 1,200,000*l.* (Styrie, vol. iii.); a charge which, notwithstanding her extreme frugality, was too burthensome for her narrow revenues to support. She summoned (A.D. 1593, Feb. 19) therefore a parliament, in order to obtain a supply; but she either thought her authority so established, that she needed to make them no concessions in return, or she rated her power and prerogative above money; for there never was any parliament whom she treated in a more haughty manner, whom she made more sensible of their own weakness, or whose privileges she more openly violated. When the speaker, Sir Edward Coke, made the usual requests, of freedom from arrests, of access to her person, and of liberty of speech, she replied to him by the mouth of Puckering, lord keeper, that the liberty of speech was granted to the commons, but they must know what liberty they were entitled to; not a liberty for every one to speak what he

God, not to that of the Spaniards, and to destroy the ship with themselves, rather than yield to the enemy.' The master gunner, and many of the seamen agreed to this desperate resolution; but others opposed it, and obliged Grenville to surrender himself prisoner. He died a few days after; and his last words were: 'Here die I, Richard Grenville with a joyful and quiet mind; for I have ended my life as a true soldier ought to do, fighting for his country, queen, religion, and honour. My soul, willingly departing from this body, leaving behind the lasting fame of having behaved as every valiant soldier is in his duty bound to do.' The Spaniards lost in this sharp, though unequal action, four ships, and about 1000 men. And Grenville's vessel perished soon after, with 200 Spaniards in her. Hackluyt's Voyages, vol. ii., part 2, p. 169; Camden, p. 565.

listeth, or what cometh in his brain to utter; their privilege extended no farther than a liberty of aye or no; that she enjoined the speaker, if he perceived any idle heads so negligent of their own safety as to attempt reforming the church, or innovating in the commonwealth, that he should refuse the bills exhibited for that purpose, till they were examined by such as were fitter to consider of these things and could better judge of them; that she would not impeach the freedom of their persons, but they must beware lest, under colour of this privilege, they imagined that any neglect of their duty could be covered or protected; and that she would not refuse them access to her person, provided it were upon urgent and weighty causes and at times convenient, and when she might have leisure from other important affairs of the realm (D'Ewes, pp. 460, 469; Townsend, p. 37).

Notwithstanding the menacing and contemptuous air of this speech, the intrepid and indefatigable Peter Wentworth, not discouraged by his former ill success, ventured to transgress the imperial orders of Elizabeth. He presented to the lord keeper a petition, in which he desired the upper house to join with the lower in a supplication to her majesty for entailing the succession of the crown, and he declared that he had a bill ready prepared for that purpose. This method of proceeding was sufficiently respectful and cautious, but the subject was always extremely disagreeable to the queen, and what she had expressly prohibited any one from meddling with; she sent Wentworth immediately to the Tower, committed Sir Thomas Bromley who had seconded him, to the Fleet prison, together with Stevens and Welsh, two members to whom Sir Thomas had communicated his intention (D'Ewes, p. 470; Townsend, p. 54). About a fortnight after, a motion was made in the house, to petition the queen for the release of these members; but it was answered by all the privy councillors there present, that her majesty had committed them for causes best known to herself, and that to press her on that head would only tend to the prejudice of the gentlemen whom they meant to serve; she would release them whenever she thought proper, and would be better pleased to do it of her own proper motion than from their suggestion (D'Ewes, p. 497). The house willingly acquiesced in this reasoning.

So arbitrary an act at the commencement of the session might well repress all farther attempts for freedom; but the religious zeal of the Puritans was not so easily restrained, and it inspired a courage which no human motive was able to surmount. Morrice, chancellor of the duchy and attorney of the court of wards, made a motion for redressing the abuses in the bishop's courts, but above all in the high commission; where subscriptions, he said, were exacted to articles at the pleasure of the prelates; where oaths were imposed, obliging persons to answer to all questions, without distinction, even though they should tend to their own condemnation, and where every one who refused entire satisfaction to the commissioners was imprisoned without relief or remedy (D'Ewes, p. 474; Townsend, p. 60). This motion was seconded by some members, but the ministers and privy councillors opposed it and foretold the consequences which ensued. The queen sent for the speaker, and after requiring him to deliver to her Morrice's bill, she told him that it was in her power to call parliaments, and in

her power to dissolve them, in her power to give assent or dissent to any determination which they should form; that her purpose in summoning this parliament was twofold; to have laws enacted for the farther enforcement of uniformity in religion, and to provide for the defence of the nation against the exorbitant power of Spain; that these two points ought therefore to be the object of their deliberations; she had enjoined them already, by the mouth of the lord keeper, to meddle neither with matters of state nor of religion, and she wondered how any one could be so assuming as to attempt a subject so expressly contrary to her prohibition; that she was highly offended with this presumption, and took the present opportunity to reiterate the commands given by the keeper, and require that no bill regarding either state affairs, or reformation in causes ecclesiastical, be exhibited in the house; and that, in particular, she charged the speaker upon his allegiance, if any such bills were offered, absolutely to refuse them a reading, and not so much as permit them to be debated by the members (D'Ewes, pp. 474, 478; Townsend, p. 68). This command from the queen was submitted to without farther question. Morrice was seized in the house itself by a serjeant at arms, discharged from his office of chancellor of the duchy, incapacitated from any practice in his profession as a common lawyer, and kept some years prisoner at Tilbury castle (Heylin's Hist. of the Presby., p. 320).

The queen having thus expressly pointed out both what the house should and should not do, the commons were as obsequious to the one as to the other of her injunctions. They passed a law against recusants, such a law as was suited to the severe character of Elizabeth, and to the persecuting spirit of the age. It was entitled, AN ACT TO RETAIN HER MAJESTY'S SUBJECTS IN THEIR DUE ODEDIENCE; and was meant as the preamble declares, to obviate such inconveniences and perils as might grow from the wicked practices of seditious sectaries and disloyal persons; for these two species of criminals were always at that time confounded together, as equally dangerous to the peace of society. It was enacted, that any person above sixteen years of age, who obstinately refused, during the space of a month, to attend public worship, should be committed to prison; that if, after being condemned for this offence, he persist three months in his refusal, he must abjure the realm, and that if he either refuse this condition, or return after banishment, he should suffer capitally as a felon, without benefit of clergy (35 Eliz., c. 1). This law bore equally hard upon the Puritans and upon the Catholics; and had it not been imposed by the queen's authority, was certainly much contrary to the private sentiments and inclinations of the majority in the House of Commons. Very little opposition however appears there to have been openly made to it.¹

The expenses of the war with Spain having reduced the queen to great difficulties, the grant of subsidies seems to have been the most important business of this parliament; and it was a signal proof of the high spirit of Elizabeth that, while conscious of a present dependence on the commons, she opened the session with the most haughty treat-

¹ After enacting this statute, the clergy, in order to remove the odium from themselves, often took care that recusants should be tried by the civil judges at the assizes, rather than by the ecclesiastical commissioners. *Strype's Ann.*, vol. iv., p. 264.

ment of them, and covered her weakness under such a lofty appearance of superiority. The commons readily voted two subsidies and four fifteenths; but this sum not appearing sufficient to the court, an unusual expedient was fallen upon to induce them to make an enlargement in their concessions. The peers informed the commons in a conference, that they could not give their assent to the supply voted, thinking it too small for the queen's occasions: they therefore proposed a grant of three subsidies and six fifteenths; and desired a further conference, in order to persuade the commons to agree to this measure. The commons, who had acquired the privilege of beginning bills of subsidy, took offence at this procedure of the lords, and at first absolutely rejected the proposal; but being afraid, on reflection, that they had, by this refusal, given offence to their superiors, they both agreed to the conference, and afterwards voted the additional subsidy (D'Ewes, pp. 483, 487, 488; Townsend, p. 66).

The queen, notwithstanding this unusual concession of the commons, ended the session with a speech, containing some reprimands to them, and full of the same high pretensions which she had assumed at the opening of the parliament. She took notice, by the mouth of the keeper, that certain members spent more time than was necessary, by indulging themselves in harangues and reasonings; and she expressed her displeasure on account of their not paying due reverence to privy-councillors, 'who,' she told them, 'were not to be accounted as common knights and burgesses of the house, who are counsellors but during the parliament; whereas the others are standing counsellors, and for their wisdom and great service are called to the council of the state' (D'Ewes, p. 466; Townsend, p. 47). The queen also, in her own person, made the parliament a spirited harangue; in which she spoke of the justice and moderation of her government, expressed the small ambition she had ever entertained of making conquests, displayed the just grounds of her quarrel with the King of Spain, and discovered how little she apprehended the power of that monarch, even though he should make a greater effort against her than that of his Invincible Armada. 'But I am informed,' added she, 'that when he attempted this last invasion, some upon the sea-coast forsook their towns, fled up higher into the country, and left all naked and exposed to his entrance; but I swear unto you, by God, if I knew those persons, or may know of any that shall do so hereafter, I will make them feel what it is to be so fearful in so urgent a cause' (D'Ewes, p. 466; Townsend, p. 48). By this menace, she probably gave the people to understand that she would execute martial law upon such cowards; for there was no statute by which a man could be punished for changing his place of abode.

The King of France, though he had hitherto made war on the League with great bravery and reputation, though he had this campaign gained considerable advantages over them, and though he was assisted by a considerable body of English under Norris, who carried hostilities into the heart of Brittany, was become sensible that he never could by force of arms alone render himself master of his kingdom. The nearer he seemed by his military successes to approach to a full possession of the throne, the more discontent and jealousy arose among those Romanists

who adhered to him; and a party was formed in his own court to elect some Catholic monarch of the royal blood, if Henry should any longer refuse to satisfy them by declaring his conversion. This excellent prince was far from being a bigot to his sect; and as he deemed these theological disputes entirely subordinate to the public good, he had secretly determined, from the beginning, to come, some time or other, to the resolution required of him. He had found, on the death of his predecessor, that the Huguenots, who formed the bravest and most faithful part of his army, were such determined zealots, that, if he had, at that time, abjured their faith, they would instantly have abandoned him to the pretensions and usurpations of the Catholics. The more bigoted Catholics, he knew, particularly those of the League, had entertained such an unsurmountable prejudice against his person, and diffidence of his sincerity, that even his abjuration would not reconcile them to his title; and he must either expect to be entirely excluded from the throne, or be admitted to it on such terms as would leave him little more than the mere shadow of royalty. In this delicate situation he had resolved to temporise; to retain the Huguenots by continuing in the profession of their religion; to gain the moderate Catholics by giving them hopes of his conversion; to attach both to his person by conduct and success; and he hoped, either that the animosity, arising from war against the League, would make them drop gradually the question of religion, or that he might in time, after some victories over his enemies and some conferences with divines, make finally, with more decency and dignity, that abjuration which must have appeared, at first, mean, as well as suspicious to both parties.

When the people are attached to any theological tenets, merely from a general persuasion or prepossession, they are easily induced, by any motive or authority, to change their faith in these mysterious subjects; as appears from the example of the English, who, during some reigns, usually embraced, without scruple, the still varying religion of their sovereigns. But the French nation, where principles had so long been displayed as the badges of faction, and where each party had fortified its belief by an animosity against the other, were not found so pliable or inconstant; and Henry was at last convinced that the Catholics of his party would entirely abandon him, if he gave them not immediate satisfaction in this particular. The Huguenots also, taught by experience, clearly saw that his desertion of them was become absolutely necessary for the public settlement; and so general was this persuasion among them, that, as the Duke of Sully pretends, even the divines of that party purposely allowed themselves to be worsted in the disputes and conferences, that the king might more readily be convinced of the weakness of their cause, and might more cordially and sincerely, at least more decently, embrace the religion, which it was so much his interest to believe. If this self-denial, in so tender a point, should appear incredible and supernatural in theologians, it will, at least, be thought very natural, that a prince, so little instructed in these matters as Henry, and desirous to preserve his sincerity, should insensibly bend his opinion to the necessity of his affairs, and should believe that party to have the best arguments, who could alone put him in possession of a kingdom. All circumstances, therefore, being prepared for this great

event, that monarch (A.D. 1593) renounced the protestant religion, and was solemnly received, by the French prelates of his party, into the bosom of the church.

Elizabeth, who was herself attached to the protestants chiefly by her interests and the circumstances of her birth, and who seems to have entertained some propensity, during her whole life, to the Catholic superstition, at least to the ancient ceremonies, yet pretended to be extremely displeased with this abjuration of Henry; and she wrote him an angry letter, reproaching him with this interested change of his religion. Sensible, however, that the League and the King of Spain were still their common enemies, she hearkened to his apologies; continued her succours both of men and money; and formed a new treaty, in which they mutually stipulated never to make peace but by common agreement.

The intrigues of Spain were not limited to France and England: by means of the never-failing pretence of religion, joined to the influence of money, Philip excited new disorders in Scotland, and gave fresh alarms to Elizabeth. George Ker, brother to Lord Newbottle, had been taken, while he was passing secretly into Spain; and papers were found about him, by which a dangerous conspiracy of some Catholic noblemen with Philip was discovered. The Earls of Angus, Erroll, and Huntly, the heads of three potent families, had entered into a confederacy with the Spanish monarch; and had stipulated to raise all their forces; to join them to a body of Spanish troops, which Philip promised to send into Scotland; and after re-establishing the Catholic religion in that kingdom, to march with their united power, in order to effect the same purpose in England (Spotswood, p. 391; Rymer, tom. xvi., p. 190). Graham of Fintry, who had also entered into this conspiracy, was taken, and arraigned, and executed. Elizabeth sent Lord Borough ambassador into Scotland, and exhorted the king to exercise the same severity on the three earls, to confiscate their estates, and by annexing them to the crown, both increase his own demesnes, and set an example to all his subjects of the dangers attending treason and rebellion. The advice was certainly rational, but not easy to be executed by the small revenue and limited authority of James. He desired therefore some supply from her of men and money; but though she had reason to deem the prosecution of the three popish earls a common cause, she never could be prevailed on to grant him the least assistance. The tenth part of the expense, which she bestowed in supporting the French King, and the States, would have sufficed to execute this purpose, more immediately essential to her security (Spotswood, p. 393; Rymer, tom. xvi., p. 235); but she seems ever to have borne some degree of malignity to James, whom she hated, both as her heir, and as the son of Mary, her hated rival and competitor.

So far from giving James assistance to prosecute the Catholic conspirators, the queen rather contributed to increase his inquietude, by countenancing the turbulent disposition of the Earl of Bothwell (Spotswood, pp. 257, 258), a nobleman descended from a natural son of James V. Bothwell more than once attempted to render himself master of the king's person; and being expelled the kingdom for these traitorous enterprises, he took shelter in England, was secretly protected by the

queen, and lurked near the borders, where his power lay, with a view of still committing some new violence. He succeeded at last in an attempt on the king; and by the mediation of the English ambassador imposed dishonourable terms upon that prince; but James, by the authority of the convention of States, annulled this agreement as extorted by violence; again expelled Bothwell; and obliged him to take shelter in England. Elizabeth, pretending ignorance of the place of his retreat, never executed the treaties, by which she was bound to deliver up all rebels and fugitives to the King of Scotland. During these disorders, increased by the refractory disposition of the ecclesiastics, the prosecution of the Catholic earls remained in suspense; but at last (A.D. 1594) the parliament passed an act of attainder against them, and the king prepared himself to execute it by force of arms. The noblemen, though they obtained a victory over the Earl of Argyle, who acted by the king's commission, found themselves hard pressed by James himself, and agreed, on certain terms, to leave the kingdom. Bothwell being detected in a confederacy with them, forfeited the favour of Elizabeth; and was obliged to take shelter first in France, then in Italy, where he died some years after in great poverty.

The established authority of the queen secured her from all such attempts as James was exposed to from the mutinous disposition of his subjects; and her enemies found no other means of giving her domestic disturbance than by such traitorous and perfidious machinations as ended in their own disgrace and in the ruin of their criminal instruments. Roderigo Lopez, a Jew, domestic physician to the queen, being imprisoned on suspicion, confessed that he had received a bribe to poison her from Fuentes and Ibarra, who had succeeded Parma lately deceased, in the government of the Netherlands; but he maintained that he had no other intention than to cheat Philip of his money, and never meant to fulfil his engagement. He was, however, executed for the conspiracy; and the queen complained to Philip of these dishonourable attempts of his ministers, but could obtain no satisfaction.¹ York and Williams, two English traitors, were afterwards executed for a conspiracy with Ibarra, and one equally atrocious (Camden, p. 582).

Instead of avenging herself by retaliating in a like manner, Elizabeth sought a more honourable vengeance by supporting the King of France, and assisting him in finally breaking the force of the League, which, after the conversion of that monarch, went daily to decay, and was threatened with speedy ruin and dissolution. Norris commanded the English forces in Brittany, and assisted at the taking of Morlaix, Quimpercorentin, and Brest, towns garrisoned by Spanish forces. In every action the English, though they had so long enjoyed domestic peace, discovered a strong military disposition; and the queen, though herself a heroine, found more frequent occasion to reprove her generals for encouraging their temerity, than for countenancing their fear or caution (Ibid., p. 578); Sir Martin Frobisher, her brave admiral, perished, with many others, before Brest. Morlaix had been promised to the English for a place of retreat; but the Duke d'Aumont, the French general, eluded this promise, by making it be inserted in the capitulation that none but catholics should be admitted into that city.

¹ Camden, p. 577; Birch's Negot., p. 15; Bacon, vol. iv., p. 381.

Next campaign (A.D. 1595) the French king, who had long carried on hostilities with Philip, was at last provoked by the taking of Cha-telet and Dourlens, and the attack of Cambray, to declare war against that monarch. Elizabeth, being threatened with a new invasion in England, and with an insurrection in Ireland, recalled most of her forces, and sent Norris to command in this latter kingdom. Finding also that the French League was almost entirely dissolved, and that the most considerable leaders had made an accommodation with their prince, she thought that he could well support himself by his own force and valour; and she began to be more sparing, in his cause, of the blood and treasure of her subjects.

Some disgusts which she had received from the States, joined to the remonstrances of her frugal minister, Burleigh, made her also inclined to diminish her charges on that side; and she even demanded (A.D. 1596) by her ambassador, Sir Thomas Bodley, to be reimbursed all the money which she had expended in supporting them. The States, besides alleging the conditions of the treaty, by which they were not bound to repay her till the conclusion of a peace, pleaded their present poverty and distress, the great superiority of the Spaniards, and the difficulty in supporting the war, much more in saving money to discharge their encumbrances. After much negotiation a new treaty was formed, by which the States engaged to free the queen immediately from the charge of the English auxiliaries, computed at 40,000*l.* a year; to pay her annually 20,000*l.* for some years; to assist her with a certain number of ships; and to conclude no peace or treaty without her consent. They also bound themselves, on finishing a peace with Spain, to pay her annually the sum of 100,000*l.* for four years; but on this condition, that the payment should be in lieu of all demands, and that they should be supplied, though at their own charge, with a body of 4000 auxiliaries from England (Camden, p. 586).

The queen still retained in her hands the cautionary towns, which were a great check on the rising power of the States; and she committed the important trust of Flushing to Sir Francis Vere, a brave officer, who had distinguished himself by his valour in the Low Countries. She gave him the preference to Essex, who expected so honourable a command; and though this nobleman was daily rising both in reputation with the people, and favour with herself, the queen, who was commonly reserved in the advancement of her courtiers, thought proper, on this occasion, to give him a refusal. Sir Thomas Baskerville was sent over to France at the head of 2000 English, with which Elizabeth, by a new treaty concluded with Henry, engaged to supply that prince. Some stipulations for mutual assistance were formed by the treaty; and all former engagements were renewed.

This body of English were (A.D. 1597) maintained at the expense of the French king; yet did Henry esteem the supply of considerable advantage, on account of the great reputation acquired by the English in so many fortunate enterprises undertaken against the common enemy. In the great battle of Tournholt, gained this campaign by Prince Maurice, the English auxiliaries, under Sir Francis Vere and Sir Robert Sidney, had acquired honour: and the success of that day was universally ascribed to their discipline and valour.

Though Elizabeth, at a considerable expense of blood and treasure, made war against Philip in France and the Low Countries, the most severe blows which she gave him were by those naval enterprises, which either she or her subjects scarcely ever intermitted during one season. In 1594, Richard Hawkins, son of Sir John, the famous navigator, procured the queen's commission, and sailed with three ships to the South Sea by the straits of Magellan; but this voyage proved unfortunate, and he himself was taken prisoner on the coast of Chili. James Lancaster was supplied the same year with three ships and a pinnace by the merchants of London, and was more fortunate in his adventure. He took thirty-nine ships of the enemy; and not content with this success, he made an attack on Pernambuco, in Brazil, where he knew great treasures were at that time lodged. As he approached the shore, he saw it lined with great numbers of the enemy; but nowise daunted at this appearance, he placed the stoutest of his men in boats, and ordered them to row with such violence on the landing place as to split them in pieces. By this bold action he both deprived his men of all resource but in victory, and terrified the enemy, who fled after a short resistance. He returned home with the treasure which he had so bravely acquired. In 1595, Sir Walter Raleigh, who had anew forfeited the queen's friendship by an intrigue with a maid of honour, and who had been thrown into prison for this misdemeanor, no sooner recovered his liberty, than he was pushed by his active and enterprising genius to attempt some great action. The success of the first Spanish adventurers against Mexico and Peru had begotten an extreme avidity in Europe; and a prepossession universally took place, that, in the inland parts of South America, called Guiana, a country as yet undiscovered, there were mines and treasures far exceeding any which Cortes or Pizzaro had met with. Raleigh, whose turn of mind was somewhat romantic and extravagant, undertook at his own charge the discovery of this wonderful country. Having taken the small town of St. Joseph, in the isle of Trinidad, where he found no riches, he left his ship, and sailed up the river Oroonoco in pinnaces, but without meeting anything to answer his expectations. On his return, Raleigh published an account of the country, full of the grossest and most palpable lies that were ever attempted to be imposed on the credulity of mankind (Camden, p. 584).

The same year, Sir Francis Drake and Sir John Hawkins undertook a more important expedition against the Spanish settlements in America; and they carried with them six ships of the queen's, and twenty more, which either were fitted out at their own charge, or were furnished them by private adventurers. Sir Thomas Baskerville was appointed commander of the land forces, which they carried on board. Their first design was to attempt Porto Rico, where, they knew, a rich carrack was at that time stationed; but as they had not preserved the requisite secrecy, a pinnace, having strayed from the fleet, was taken by the Spaniards, and betrayed the intentions of the English. Preparations were made in that island for their reception; and the English fleet, notwithstanding the brave assault which they made on the enemy, was repulsed with loss. Hawkins soon after died; and Drake pursued his voyage to Nombre di Dios, on the isthmus of Darien; where, hav-

ing landed his men, he attempted to pass forward to Panama, with a view of plundering that place, or, if he found such a scheme practicable, of keeping and fortifying it. But he met not with the same facility which had attended his first enterprises in those parts. The Spaniards, taught by experience, had everywhere fortified the passes, and had stationed troops in the woods; who so infested the English by continual alarms and skirmishes, that they were obliged to return, without being able to effect anything. Drake himself, from the intemperance of the climate, the fatigues of his journey, and the vexation of his disappointment, was seized with a distemper, of which he soon after died. Sir Thomas Baskerville took the command of the fleet, which was in a weak condition: and after having fought a battle near Cuba with a Spanish fleet, of which the event was not decisive, he returned to England. The Spaniards suffered some loss from this enterprise, but the English reaped no profit (Monson, p. 167).

The bad success of this enterprise in the Indies made the English rather attempt the Spanish dominions in Europe, where, they heard, Philip was making great preparations for a new invasion of England. A powerful fleet was equipped at Plymouth, consisting of 170 vessels, seventeen of which were capital ships of war; the rest tenders and small vessels; twenty ships were added by the Hollanders. In this fleet there were computed to be embarked 6360 soldiers, 1000 volunteers, and 6772 seamen, besides the Dutch. The land forces were commanded by the Earl of Essex; the navy by Lord Effingham, high admiral. Both these commanders had expended great sums of their own in the armament: for such was the spirit of Elizabeth's reign. Lord Thomas Howard, Sir Walter Raleigh, Sir Francis Vere, Sir George Carew, and Sir Coniers Clifford, had commands in this expedition, and were appointed council to the general and the admiral (Camden, p. 591).

The fleet set sail on the 1st of June, 1596; and meeting with a fair wind, bent its course to Cadiz, at which place, by sealed orders delivered to all the captains, the general rendezvous was appointed. They sent before them some armed tenders, which intercepted every ship that could carry intelligence to the enemy; and they themselves were so fortunate when they came near Cadiz, as to take an Irish vessel, by which they learned that that port was full of merchant ships of great value, and that the Spaniards lived in perfect security, without any apprehensions of an enemy. This intelligence much encouraged the English fleet, and gave them the prospect of a fortunate issue to the enterprise.

After a fruitless attempt to land at St. Sebastian's, on the western side of the island of Cadiz, it was, upon deliberation, resolved by the council of war to attack the ships and galleys in the bay. This attempt was deemed rash; and the admiral himself, who was cautious in his temper, had entertained great scruples with regard to it. But Essex strenuously recommended the enterprise; and when he found the resolution at last taken, he threw his hat into the sea, and gave symptoms of the most extravagant joy. He felt, however, a great mortification, when Effingham informed him, that the queen, anxious for his safety, and dreading the effects of his youthful ardour, had secretly

given orders that he should not be permitted to command the van in the attack (Monson, p. 196). That duty was performed by Sir Walter Raleigh and Lord Thomas Howard; but Essex no sooner came within reach of the enemy, than he forgot the promise which the admiral had exacted from him, to keep in the midst of the fleet; he broke through and pressed forward into the thickest of the fire. Emulation for glory, avidity of plunder, animosity against the Spaniards, proved incentives to every one; and the enemy was soon obliged to slip anchor, and retreat farther into the bay, where they ran many of their ships aground. Essex then landed his men at the Fort of Puntal; and immediately marched to the attack of Cadiz, which the impetuous valour of the English soon carried sword in hand. The generosity of Essex, not inferior to his valour, made him stop the slaughter, and treat his prisoners with the greatest humanity, and even affability and kindness. The English made rich plunder in the city; but missed of a much richer by the resolution which the Duke of Medina, the Spanish admiral, took of setting fire to the ships, in order to prevent their falling into the hands of the enemy. It was computed, that the loss which the Spaniards sustained in this enterprise amounted to 20,000,000 of ducats (Birch's *Memoirs*, vol. ii., p. 97); besides the indignity which that proud and ambitious people suffered from the sacking of one of their chief cities, and destroying in their harbour a fleet of such force and such value.

Essex, all on fire for glory, regarded this great success only as a step to future achievements. He insisted on keeping possession of Cadiz; and he undertook with four hundred men and three months' provisions, to defend the place till succours should arrive from England. But all the other seamen and soldiers were satisfied with the honour which they had acquired; and were impatient to return home, in order to secure their plunder. Every other proposal of Essex to annoy the enemy met with a like reception; his scheme for intercepting the carracks at the Azores, for taking St. Andero, and St. Sebastian. And the English, finding it so difficult to drag this impatient warrior from the enemy, at last left him on the Spanish coast, attended by a very few ships. He complained much to the queen of their want of spirit in this enterprise; nor was she pleased, that they had returned without attempting to intercept the Indian fleet (*Ibid.*, vol. ii., p. 121): but the great success, in the enterprise on Cadiz, had covered all their miscarriages. And that princess, though she admired the lofty genius of Essex, could not forbear expressing an esteem for the other officers (Camden, p. 593). The admiral was created Earl of Nottingham; and his promotion gave great disgust to Essex (Sidney's *Papers*, vol. ii., p. 77). In the preamble of the patent it was said, that the new dignity was conferred on him on account of his good services in taking Cadiz, and destroying the Spanish ships; a merit which Essex pretended to belong solely to himself. And he offered to maintain this plea by single combat against the Earl of Nottingham, or his sons, or any of his kindred.

The achievements in the subsequent year proved not so fortunate; but as the Indian fleet very narrowly escaped the English, Philip had still reason to see the great hazard and disadvantage of that war in which he was engaged, and the superiority which the English, by their

naval power and their situation, had acquired over him. The queen, having received intelligence that the Spaniards, though their fleets were so much shattered and destroyed by the expedition to Cadiz, were preparing a squadron at Ferrol and the Groine, and were marching troops thither, with a view of making a descent in Ireland, was resolved to prevent their enterprise, and to destroy the shipping in these harbours. She prepared a large fleet of 120 sail, of which 17 were her own ships, 43 were smaller vessels, and the rest tenders and victuallers. She embarked on board this fleet 5000 new-levied soldiers, and added 1000 veteran troops, whom Sir Francis Vere brought from the Netherlands. The Earl of Essex, commander-in-chief both of the land and sea forces, was at the head of one squadron; Lord Thomas Howard was appointed vice-admiral of another; Sir Walter Raleigh of a third. Lord Mountjoy commanded the land forces under Essex. Vere was appointed marshal; Sir George Carew lieutenant of the ordnance; and Sir Christopher Blount first colonel. The Earls of Rutland and Southampton, the Lords Grey, Cromwell, and Rich, with several other persons of distinction, embarked as volunteers. Essex declared his resolution either to destroy the new Armada, which threatened England, or to perish in the attempt.

This powerful fleet set sail from Plymouth (July 9); but were no sooner out of harbour than they met with a furious storm, which shattered and dispersed them; and before they could be refitted, Essex found that their provisions were so far spent, that it would not be safe to carry so numerous an army along with him. He dismissed, therefore, all the soldiers, except the 1000 veterans under Vere; and laying aside all thoughts of attacking Ferrol or the Groine, he confined the object of his expedition to the intercepting of the Indian fleet; which had at first been considered only as the second enterprise which he was to attempt.

The Indian fleet in that age, by reason of the imperfection of navigation, had a stated course, as well as season, both in their going out, and in their return; and there were certain islands, at which, as at fixed stages, they always touched, and where they took in water and provisions. The Azores being one of these places, where, about this time, the fleet was expected, Essex bent his course thither; and he informed Raleigh, that he, on his arrival, intended to attack Fayal, one of these islands. By some accident the squadrons were separated; and Raleigh arriving first before Fayal, thought it more prudent, after waiting some time for the general, to begin the attack alone, lest the inhabitants should, by farther delay, have leisure to make preparations for their defence. He succeeded in the enterprise; but Essex, jealous of Raleigh, expressed great displeasure at his conduct, and construed it as an intention of robbing the general of the glory which attended that action. He cashiered, therefore, Sidney, Bret, Berry, and others, who had concurred in the attempt; and would have proceeded to inflict the same punishment on Raleigh himself, had not Lord Thomas Howard interposed with his good offices, and persuaded Raleigh, though high-spirited, to make submissions to the general. Essex, who was placable, as well as hasty and passionate, was soon appeased, and both received Raleigh into favour, and restored the other officers to their commands (Monson, p. 173). This incident however, though the quarrel was seemingly

accommodated, laid the first foundation of that violent animosity which afterwards took place between these two gallant commanders.

Essex made next a disposition proper for intercepting the Indian galleons; and Sir William Monson, whose station was the most remote of the fleet, having fallen in with them, made the signals which had been agreed on. That able officer, in his *Memoirs*, ascribes Essex's failure, when he was so near attaining so mighty an advantage, to his want of experience in seamanship; and the account which he gives of the errors committed by that nobleman appears very reasonable as well as candid (Monson, p. 174). The Spanish fleet, finding that the enemy was upon them, made all the sail possible to the *Terceras*, and got into the safe and well-fortified harbour of Angra, before the English fleet could overtake them. Essex intercepted only three ships; which, however, were so rich as to repay all the charges of the expedition.

The causes of the miscarriage in this enterprise were much canvassed in England, upon the return of the fleet; and though the courtiers took part differently, as they affected either Essex or Raleigh, the people in general, who bore an extreme regard to the gallantry, spirit, and generosity of the former, were inclined to justify every circumstance of his conduct. The queen, who loved the one as much as she esteemed the other, maintained a kind of neutrality, and endeavoured to share her favours with an impartial hand between the parties. Sir Robert Cecil, second son of Lord Burleigh, was a courtier of promising hopes, much connected with Raleigh; and she made him secretary of state, preferably to Sir Thomas Bodley, whom Essex recommended for that office. But not to disgust Essex, she promoted him to the dignity of Earl Marshal of England; an office which had been vacant since the death of the Earl of Shrewsbury. Essex might perceive from this conduct, that she never intended to give him the entire ascendant over his rivals, and might thence learn the necessity of moderation and caution. But his temper was too high for submission; his behaviour too open and candid to practise the arts of a court; and his free sallies, while they rendered him but more amiable in the eyes of good judges, gave his enemies many advantages against him.

The war with Spain, though successful, having exhausted the queen's exchequer, she was obliged to assemble a parliament, where Yelverton, a lawyer, was chosen speaker of the House of Commons.¹ Elizabeth took care, by the mouth of Thomas Egerton, lord keeper, to inform this assembly of the necessity of a supply. She said that the wars, formerly waged in Europe, had commonly been conducted by the parties without farther view than to gain a few towns, or at most a province, from each

¹ It is usual for the speaker to disqualify himself for the office; but the reasons employed by this speaker are so singular, that they may be worth transcribing. 'My estate,' said he, 'is nothing correspondent for the maintenance of this dignity. For my father dying, left me a younger brother; and nothing to me but my bare annuity. Then, growing to man's estate, and some small practice of the law, I took a wife, by whom I have had many children; the keeping of us all being a great impoverishing to my estate, and the daily living of us all nothing but my daily industry. Neither from my person nor my nature doth this choice arise. For he that supplieth this place ought to be a man high and comely, stately and well-spoken, his voice great, his carriage majestical, his nature haughty, and his purse plentiful and heavy. But contrarily the stature of my body is small, myself not so well spoken, my voice low, my carriage lawyer-like, and of the common fashion, my nature soft and bashful, my purse thin, light, and never yet plentiful. If Demosthenes, being so learned and eloquent as he was, one whom none surpassed, trembled to speak before Phocion at Athens; how much

other; but the object of the present hostilities, on the part of Spain, was no other than utterly to bereave England of her religion, her liberty, and her independence; that these blessings, however, she herself had hitherto been able to preserve, in spite of the devil, the Pope, and the Spanish tyrant, and all the mischievous designs of her enemies; that in this contest she had disbursed a sum triple to all the parliamentary supplies granted her; and, besides expending her ordinary revenues, had been obliged to sell many of the crown lands; and that she could not doubt but her subjects, in a cause where their own honour and interest were so deeply concerned, would willingly contribute to such moderate taxations as should be found necessary for the common defence (D'Ewes, pp. 525, 527; Townsend, p. 79). The parliament granted her three subsidies and six fifteenths, the same supply which was given four years before, but which had then appeared so unusual that they had voted it should never afterwards be regarded as a precedent.

The commons this session ventured to engage in two controversies about forms with the House of Peers; a prelude to those encroachments, which, as they assumed more courage, they afterwards made upon the prerogatives of the crown. They complained that the lords failed in civility to them, by receiving their messages sitting, with their hats on, and that the keeper returned an answer in the same negligent posture; but the upper house proved to their full satisfaction that they were not entitled, by custom, and the usage of parliament, to any more respect.¹ Some amendments had been made by the lords to a bill sent up by the commons, and these amendments were written on parchment and returned with the bill to the commons. The lower house took umbrage at the novelty; they pretended that these amendments ought to have been written on paper, not on parchment, and they complained of this innovation to the peers. The peers replied that they expected not such a frivolous objection from the gravity of the house, and that it was not material whether the amendments were written on parchment or on paper, nor whether the paper was white, black, or brown. The commons were offended at this reply, which seemed to contain a mockery of them, and they complained of it, though without obtaining any satisfaction (D'Ewes, pp. 576, 577).

An application was made, by way of petition, to the queen, from the lower house, against monopolies, an abuse which had risen to an enormous height, and they received a gracious, though a general answer, for which they returned their thankful acknowledgments (Ibid., pp. 570, 573). But not to give them too much encouragement in such applications, she told them, in the speech which she delivered at their dissolution, 'That, with regard to those patents, she hoped that her 'dutiful and loving subjects would not take away her prerogative, 'which is the chief flower in her garden, and the principal and head

'more shall I, being unlearned and unskilful to supply the place of dignity, charge, and 'trouble, to speak before so many Phocians as here be? Yea, which is the greatest, before the 'unspeakable majesty and sacred personage of our dread and dear sovereign; the terror of 'whose countenance will appal and abase even the stoutest hearts: yea, whose very name 'will pull down the greatest courage. For how mightily do the estate and name of a prince 'deject the haughtiest stomach even of their greatest subjects?' D'Ewes, p. 459.

¹ D'Ewes, pp. 539, 540, 580, 581: Townsend, pp. 93, 94, 95.

'pearl in her crown and diadem; but they would rather leave these matters to her disposal' (D'Ewes, p. 547). The commons also took notice, this session, of some transactions in the court of high commission, but not till they had previously obtained permission from her majesty to that purpose (*Ibid.*, pp. 557, 558).

Elizabeth had reason to foresee that parliamentary supplies would now become more necessary to her than ever, and that the chief burden of the war with Spain would thenceforth lie upon England. Henry had (A.D. 1598) received an overture for peace with Philip; but before he would proceed to a negotiation he gave intelligence of it to his allies, the queen, and the States, that, if possible, a general pacification might be made by common agreement. These two powers sent ambassadors to France, in order to remonstrate against peace; the queen, Sir Robert Cecil, and Henry Herbert; the States, Justin Nassau, and John Barnevelt. Henry said to these ministers, that his early education had been amidst war and danger, and he had passed the whole course of his life either in arms or in military preparations; that, after the proofs which he had given of his alacrity in the field, no one could doubt but he would willingly, for his part, have continued in a course of life to which he was now habituated, till the common enemy were reduced to such a condition as no longer to give umbrage either to him or to his allies; that no private interests of his own, not even those of his people, nothing but the most invincible necessity, could ever induce him to think of a separate peace with Philip, or make him embrace measures not entirely conformable to the wishes of all his confederates; that his kingdom, torn with the convulsions and civil wars of near half a century, required some interval of repose, ere it could reach a condition in which it might sustain itself, much more support its allies; that after the minds of his subjects were composed to tranquillity, and accustomed to obedience, after his finances were brought into order, and after agriculture and the arts were restored, France, instead of being a burden, as at present, to her confederates, would be able to lend them effectual succour, and amply to repay them all the assistance which she had received during her calamities; and that, if the ambition of Spain would not at present grant them such terms as they should think reasonable, he hoped that, in a little time, he should attain such a situation as would enable him to mediate more effectually, and with more decisive authority in their behalf.

The ambassadors were sensible that these reasons were not feigned, and they therefore remonstrated with the less vehemence against the measures which they saw Henry was determined to pursue. The States knew that that monarch was interested never to permit their final ruin; and, having received private assurances that he would still, notwithstanding the peace, give them assistance both of men and money, they were well pleased to remain on terms of amity with him. His greatest concern was to give satisfaction to Elizabeth for this breach of treaty. He had a cordial esteem for that princess, a sympathy of manners, and a gratitude for the extraordinary favours which he had received from her during his greatest difficulties; and he used every expedient to apologise and atone for that measure which necessity extorted from him. But as Spain refused to treat with the Dutch as a

free state, and Elizabeth would not negotiate without her ally, Henry found himself obliged to conclude at Vervins a separate peace, by which he recovered possession of all the places seized by Spain during the course of the civil wars, and procured to himself leisure to pursue the domestic settlement of his kingdom. His capacity for the arts of peace was not inferior to his military talents; and, in a little time, by his frugality, order, and wise government, he raised France from the desolation and misery in which she was involved, to a more flourishing condition than she had ever before enjoyed.

The queen knew that she could also, whenever she pleased, finish the war on equitable terms, and that Philip, having no claims upon her, would be glad to free himself from an enemy who had foiled him in every contest, and who still had it so much in her power to make him feel the weight of her arms. Some of her wisest counsellors, particularly the treasurer, advised her to embrace pacific measures, and set before her the advantages of tranquillity, security, and frugality, as more considerable than any success which could attend the greatest victories. But this high-spirited princess, though at first averse to war, seemed now to have attained such an ascendant over the enemy, that she was unwilling to stop the course of her prosperous fortune. She considered that her situation and her past victories had given her entire security against any dangerous invasion, and the war must thenceforth be conducted by sudden enterprises and naval expeditions, in which she possessed an undoubted superiority; that the weak condition of Philip in the Indies opened to her the view of the most durable advantages, and the yearly return of his treasure by sea afforded a continual prospect of important, though more temporary successes; that after his peace with France, if she also should consent to an accommodation, he would be able to turn his whole force against the revolted provinces of the Netherlands, which though they had surprisingly increased their power by commerce and good government, were still unable, if not supported by their confederates, to maintain war against so potent a monarch; and that as her defence of that commonwealth was the original ground of the quarrel, it was unsafe, as well as dishonourable, to abandon its cause till she had placed it in a state of greater security.

These reasons were frequently inculcated on her by the Earl of Essex, whose passion for glory, as well as his military talents, made him earnestly desire the continuance of the war, from which he expected to reap so much advantage and distinction. The rivalry between this nobleman and Lord Burleigh made each of them insist the more strenuously on his own counsel; but as Essex's person was agreeable to the queen, as well as his advice conformable to her inclinations, the favourite seemed daily to acquire an ascendant over the minister. Had he been endowed with caution and self-command equal to his shining qualities, he would have so rivetted himself in the queen's confidence, that none of his enemies had ever been able to impeach his credit; but his lofty spirit could ill submit to that implicit deference which her temper required, and which she had ever been accustomed to receive from all her subjects. Being once engaged in a dispute with her about the choice of a governor for Ireland, he was so heated

in the argument that he entirely forgot the rules both of duty and civility, and turned his back upon her in a contemptuous manner. Her anger, naturally prompt and violent, rose at this provocation, and she instantly gave him a box on the ear, adding a passionate expression suited to his impertinence. Instead of recollecting himself, and making the submission due to her sex and station, he clapped his hand to his sword and swore that he would not bear such usage, were it from Henry VIII. himself, and he immediately withdrew from court. Egerton, the chancellor, who loved Essex, exhorted him to repair his indiscretion by proper acknowledgments, and entreated him not to give that triumph to his enemies, that affliction to his friends, which must ensue from his supporting a contest with his sovereign, and deserting the service of his country; but Essex was deeply stung with the dishonour which he had received, and seemed to think that an insult which might be pardoned in a woman was become a mortal affront when it came from his sovereign. 'If the 'vilest of all indignities,' said he, 'is done me, does religion enforce 'me to sue for pardon? Doth God require it? Is it impiety not 'to do it? Why? Cannot princes err? Cannot subjects receive 'wrong? Is an earthly power infinite? Pardon me, my lord, I can 'never subscribe to these principles. Let Solomon's fool laugh when 'he is stricken, let those that mean to make their profit of princes 'show no sense of princes' injuries; let *them* acknowledge an infinite 'absoluteness on earth, that do not believe an absolute Infiniteness 'in heaven' (alluding, probably, to the character and conduct of Sir Walter Raleigh, who lay under the reproach of impiety). 'As for 'me,' continued he, 'I have received wrong, I feel it; my cause is 'good, I know it; and whatsoever happens, all the powers on earth can 'never exert more strength and constancy in oppressing, than I can 'show in suffering everything that can or shall be imposed upon me. 'Your lordship in the beginning of your letter makes me a player, and 'yourself a looker on; and me a player of my own game, so you may 'see more than I; but give me leave to tell you, that since you do but 'see, and I do suffer, I must of necessity feel more than you.'¹

This spirited letter was shown by Essex to his friends, and they were so imprudent as to disperse copies of it; yet notwithstanding this

¹ Cabbala, p. 234; Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 386; Speed, p. 877. The whole letter of Essex is so curious and so spirited, that the reader may not be displeased to read it. 'My very good 'lord. Though there is not that man this day living, whom I would sooner make judge of any 'question that might concern me than yourself, yet you must give me leave to tell you, that in 'some cases I must appeal from all earthly judges. And if any, then surely in this, when the 'highest judge on earth has imposed on me the heaviest punishment, without trial or hearing. 'Since then I must either answer your lordship's argument, or else forsake mine own just de- 'fence, I will force mine aching head to do me service for an hour. I must first deny my dis- 'content, which was forced, to be an humorous discontent; and that it was unseasonable, or is 'of so long continuing, your lordship should rather condole with me than expostulate. Natural 'seasons are expected here below; but violent and unreasonable storms come from above. 'There is no tempest equal to the passionate indignation of a prince; nor yet at any time so 'unseasonable as when it lighteth on those that might expect a harvest of their careful and 'painful labours. He that is once wounded, must needs feel smart, till his hurt is cured, or 'the part hurt become senseless. But cure I expect none, her majesty's heart being obdurate 'against me; and be without sense I cannot, being of flesh and blood. But, say you, I may 'aim at the end. I do more than aim; for I see an end of all my fortunes, I have set an end 'to all my desires. In this course do I anything for my enemies? When I was at court, I 'found them absolute; and, therefore, I had rather they should triumph alone, than have me 'attendant upon their chariots. Or do I leave my friends? When I was a courtier, I could

additional provocation, the queen's partiality was so prevalent, that she reinstated him in his former favour, and her kindness to him appeared rather to have acquired new force from this short interval of anger and resentment. The death (Aug. 4) of Burleigh, his antagonist, which happened about the same time, seemed to ensure him constant possession of the queen's confidence, and nothing indeed but his own indiscretion could thenceforth have shaken his well-established credit. Lord Burleigh died in an advanced age, and by a rare fortune was equally regretted by his sovereign and the people. He had risen gradually from small beginnings, by the mere force of merit, and though his authority was never entirely absolute or uncontrolled with the queen, he was still during the course of near forty years regarded as her principal minister. None of her other inclinations or affections could ever overcome her confidence in so useful a counsellor; and as he had had the generosity or good sense to pay assiduous court to her during her sister's reign, when it was dangerous to appear her friend, she thought herself bound in gratitude when she mounted the throne, to persevere in her attachments to him. He seems not to have possessed any shining talents of address, eloquence, or imagination, and was chiefly distinguished by solidity of understanding, probity of manners, and indefatigable application in business; virtues which, if they do not always enable a man to attain high stations, do certainly qualify him best for filling them. Of all the queen's ministers he alone left a considerable fortune to his posterity: a fortune not acquired by rapine or oppression, but gained by the regular profits of his offices, and preserved by frugality.

'yield them no fruit of my love unto them; and now, that I am a hermit, they shall bear no envy for their love towards me. Or do I forsake myself, because I do enjoy myself? Or do I overthrow my fortunes, because I build not a fortune of paper walls, which every puff of wind bloweth down? Or do I ruin mine honour, because I leave following the pursuit, or wearing the false badge or mark of the shadow of honour? Do I give courage or comfort to the foreign foe, because I reserve myself to encounter with him? Or because I keep my heart from business, though I cannot keep my fortune from declining? No, no, my good lord, I give every one of these considerations its due weight; and the more I weigh them, the more I find myself justified from offending in any of them. As for the two last objections, that I forsake my country when it hath most need of me, and fail in that indissoluble duty which I owe to my sovereign; I answer that if my country had at this time any need of my public service, her majesty, that governeth it, would not have driven me to a private life. I am tied to my country by two bonds; one public, to discharge carefully and industriously that trust which is committed to me; the other private, to sacrifice for it my life and carcass, which hath been nourished in it. Of the first I am free, being dismissed, discharged, and disabled by her majesty. Of the other, nothing can free me but death; and therefore no occasion of my performance shall sooner offer itself but I shall meet it half way. The indissoluble duty which I owe unto her majesty is only the duty of allegiance, which I never have, nor ever can fail in. The duty of attendance is no indissoluble duty. I owe her majesty the duty of an earl, and of lord marshal of England. I have been content to do her majesty the service of a clerk; but I can never serve her as a villain or slave. But yet you say I must give way unto the time. So I do; for now that I see the storm come, I have put myself into the harbour. Seneca saith, we must give way to Fortune. I know that Fortune is both blind and strong, and therefore I go as far as I can out of her way. You say the remedy is not to strive. I neither strive nor seek for remedy. But you say, I must yield and submit; I can neither yield myself to be guilty, nor allow the imputation laid upon me to be just. I owe so much to the Author of all truth, as I can never yield truth to be falsehood, nor falsehood to be truth. Have I given cause, you ask; and yet take a scandal when I have done? No: I gave no cause, not so much as Fimbria's complaint against me; for I did *totum telum corpore recipere*, receive the whole sword into my body. I patiently bear all, and sensibly feel all that I then received, when this scandal was given me. Nay more when the vilest of all indignities are done unto me,' etc. This noble letter, Bacon afterwards, in pleading against Essex, called bold and presumptuous, and derogatory to her majesty. Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 388.

The last act of this able minister was the concluding (Aug. 8) of a new treaty with the Dutch, who after being in some measure deserted by the King of France, were glad to preserve the queen's alliance by submitting to any terms which she pleased to require of them. The debt which they owed her was now settled at 800,000*l.*; of this sum they agreed to pay during the war 30,000*l.* a year, and these payments were to continue till 400,000*l.* of the debt should be extinguished. They engaged also during the time that England should continue the war with Spain, to pay the garrisons of the cautionary towns. They stipulated that if Spain should invade England, or the Isle of Wight, or Jersey, or Scilly, they should assist her with a body of 5000 foot, and 500 horse, and that in case she undertook any naval armament against Spain, they should join an equal number of ships to hers (Rymer, vol. xvi., p. 340). By this treaty the queen was eased of an annual charge of 120,000*l.*

Soon after the death of Burleigh, the queen, who regretted extremely the loss of so wise and faithful a minister, was informed of the death of her capital enemy, Philip II., who after languishing under many infirmities, expired in an advanced age at Madrid. This haughty prince, desirous of an accommodation with his revolted subjects in the Netherlands, but disdaining to make in his own name the concessions necessary for that purpose, had transferred to his daughter, married to Archduke Albert, the title to the Low Country provinces; but as it was not expected that this princess could have posterity, and as the reversion or failure of her issue was still reserved to the crown of Spain, the States considered this deed only as the change of a name, and they persisted with equal obstinacy in their resistance to the Spanish arms. The other powers also of Europe made no distinction between the courts of Brussels and Madrid; and the secret opposition of France, as well as the avowed efforts of England, continued to operate against the progress of Albert, as it had done against that of Philip.

CHAPTER XLIV.

State of Ireland.—Tyrone's rebellion.—Essex sent over to Ireland.—His ill success.—Returns to England.—Is disgraced.—His intrigues.—His insurrection.—His trial and execution.—French affairs.—Mountjoy's success in Ireland.—Defeat of the Spaniards and Irish.—A parliament.—Tyrone's submission.—Queen's sickness—and death—and character.

THOUGH the dominion of the English over Ireland had been seemingly established above four centuries, it may safely be affirmed, that their authority had (A.D. 1599) hitherto been little more than nominal. The Irish princes and nobles, divided among themselves, readily paid the exterior marks of obeisance to a power which they were not able to resist; but, as no durable force was ever kept on foot to retain them in their duty, they relapsed still into their former state of independence. Too weak to introduce order and obedience among the

rude inhabitants, the English authority was yet sufficient to check the growth of any enterprising genius among the natives; and though it could bestow no true form of civil government, it was able to prevent the rise of any such form, from the internal combination or policy of the Irish (Sir J. Davies, pp. 5, 6, 7, etc.).

Most of the English institutions likewise by which that island was governed were to the last degree absurd, and such as no state before had ever thought of for preserving dominion over its conquered provinces.

The English nation, all on fire for the project of subduing France, a project whose success was the most improbable, and would to them have proved the most pernicious, neglected all other enterprises, to which their situation so strongly invited them, and which in time would have brought them an accession of riches, grandeur, and security. The small army which they maintained in Ireland they never supplied regularly with pay; and as no money could be levied on the island, which possessed none, they gave their soldiers the privilege of free quarter upon the natives. Rapine and insolence inflamed the hatred which prevailed between the conquerors and the conquered; want of security among the Irish, introducing despair, nourished still more the sloth natural to that uncultivated people.

But the English carried farther their ill-judged tyranny. Instead of inviting the Irish to adopt the more civilized customs of their conquerors, they even refused, though earnestly solicited, to communicate to them the privilege of their laws, and everywhere marked them out as aliens and as enemies. Thrown out of the protection of justice, the natives could find no security but in force; and flying the neighbourhood of cities, which they could not approach with safety, they sheltered themselves in their marshes and forests from the insolence of their inhuman masters. Being treated like wild beasts, they became such; and joining the ardour of revenge to their yet untamed barbarity, they grew every day more intractable and more dangerous (Davies, pp. 102, 103, etc.).

As the English princes deemed the conquest of the dispersed Irish to be more the object of time and patience than the source of military glory, they willingly delegated that office to private adventurers, who, enlisting soldiers at their own charge, reduced provinces of that island, which they converted to their own profit. Separate jurisdictions and principalities were established by these lordly conquerors; the power of peace and war was assumed; military law was exercised over the Irish whom they subdued; and, by degrees, over the English, by whose assistance they conquered; and, after their authority had once taken root, deeming the English institutions less favourable to barbarous dominion, they degenerated into mere Irish, and abandoned the garb, language, manners, and laws of their mother country (Davies, pp. 133, 134, etc.).

By all this imprudent conduct of England, the natives of its dependent state remained still in that abject condition into which the northern and western parts of Europe were sunk before they received civility and slavery from the refined policy and irresistible bravery of Rome. Even at the end of the sixteenth century, when every Christian

nation was cultivating with ardour every civil art of life, that island, lying in a temperate climate, enjoying a fertile soil, accessible in its situation, possessed of innumerable harbours, was still, notwithstanding these advantages, inhabited by a people whose customs and manners approached nearer those of savages than of barbarians (Spencer's Account of Ireland, throughout).

As the rudeness and ignorance of the Irish were extreme, they were sunk below the reach of that curiosity and love of novelty, by which every other people in Europe had been seized at the beginning of that century, and which had engaged them in innovations and religious disputes, with which they were still so violently agitated. The ancient superstition, the practices and observances of their fathers, mingled and polluted with many wild opinions, still maintained an unshaken empire over them; and the example alone of the English was sufficient to render the reformation odious to the prejudiced and discontented Irish. The old opposition of manners, laws, and interest was now inflamed by religious antipathy; and the subduing and civilizing of that country seemed to become every day more difficult and more impracticable.

The animosity against the English was carried so far by the Irish, that, in an insurrection, raised by two sons of the Earl of Clanricarde, they put to the sword all the inhabitants of the town of Athenry, though Irish; because they began to conform themselves to English customs, and had embraced a more civilized form of life than had been practised by their ancestors (Camden, p. 457).

The usual revenue of Ireland amounted only to 6000*l.* a year (Mem. of the Sidneys, vol. i., p. 86); the queen, though with much repining (Cox, p. 342; Sidney, vol. i., pp. 85, 200), commonly added 20,000*l.* more, which she remitted from England; and, with this small revenue, a body of 1000 men was supported, which, on extraordinary emergencies, was augmented to 2000 (Camden, p. 542; Sidney, vol. i., pp. 65, 109, 183, 184). No wonder that a force, so disproportioned to the object, instead of subduing a mutinous kingdom, served rather to provoke the natives, and to excite those frequent insurrections which still farther inflamed the animosity between the two nations, and increased the disorders to which the Irish were naturally subject.

In 1560, Shan O'Neale, or the great O'Neale, as the Irish called him, because head of that potent clan, raised a rebellion in Ulster; but after some skirmishes he was received into favour, upon his submission, and his promise of a more dutiful behaviour for the future (Camden, pp. 385, 391). This impunity tempted him to undertake a new insurrection in 1567; but being pushed by Sir Henry Sidney, lord deputy, he retreated into Clondeboy, and rather than submit to the English, he put himself into the hands of some Scottish islanders, who commonly infested those parts by their incursions. The Scots, who retained a quarrel against him on account of former injuries, violated the laws of hospitality, and murdered him at a festival to which they had invited him. He was a man equally noted for his pride, his violence, his debaucheries, and his hatred to the English nation. He is said to have put some of his followers to death, because they endeavoured to introduce the use of bread after the English

fashion (Camden, p. 409). Though so violent an enemy to luxury, he was extremely addicted to riot; and was accustomed, after his intemperance had thrown him into a fever, to plunge his body into mire, that he might allay the flame which he had raised by former excesses (Ibid., p. 409; Cox, p. 324). Such was the life led by this haughty barbarian, who scorned the title of the Earl of Tyrone, which Elizabeth intended to have restored to him, and who assumed the rank and appellation of King of Ulster. He used also to say, that, though the queen was his sovereign lady, he never made peace with her but at her seeking (Camden, p. 321).

Sir Henry Sidney was one of the wisest and most active governors that Ireland had enjoyed for several reigns (Cox, p. 350); and he possessed his authority eleven years; during which he struggled with many difficulties, and made some progress in repressing those disorders which had become inveterate among the people. The Earl of Desmond, in 1569, gave him disturbance, from the hereditary animosity which prevailed between that nobleman and the Earl of Ormond, descended from the only family, established in Ireland, that had steadily maintained its loyalty to the English crown (Camden, p. 424). The Earl of Thomond, in 1570, attempted a rebellion in Connaught, but was obliged to fly into France, before his designs were ripe for execution. Stukely, another fugitive, found such credit with the Pope, Gregory XIII., that he flattered that pontiff with the prospect of making his nephew, Buon Compagno, King of Ireland; and as if this project had already taken effect, he accepted the title of Marquis of Leinster from the new sovereign (Ibid., p. 430; Cox, p. 354). He passed next into Spain, and after having received much encouragement and great rewards from Philip, who intended to employ him as an instrument in disturbing Elizabeth, he was found to possess too little interest for executing those high promises which he had made to that monarch. He retired into Portugal, and following the fortunes of Don Sebastian, he perished with that gallant prince in his bold but unfortunate expedition against the Moors.

Lord Gray, after some interval, succeeded to the government of Ireland; and, in 1579, suppressed a new rebellion of the Earl of Desmond, though supported by a body of Spaniards and Italians. The rebellion of the Bourks followed a few years after, occasioned by the strict and equitable administration of Sir Richard Bingham, governor of Connaught, who endeavoured to repress the tyranny of the chieftains over their vassals (Stow, p. 720). The queen, finding Ireland so burdensome to her, tried several expedients for reducing it to a state of greater order and submission. She encouraged the Earl of Essex, father to that nobleman who was afterwards her favourite, to attempt the subduing and planting of Clandeboy, Ferny, and other territories, part of some late forfeitures: but that enterprise proved unfortunate, and Essex died of a distemper, occasioned, as is supposed, by the vexation which he had conceived from his disappointments. An university was founded in Dublin with a view of introducing arts and learning into that kingdom, and civilizing the uncultivated manners of the inhabitants (Camden, p. 566). But the most unhappy expedient, employed in the government of Ireland, was that made use of in 1585,

by Sir John Perrot, at that time lord deputy; he put arms into the hands of the Irish inhabitants of Ulster, in order to enable them, without the assistance of the government, to repress the incursions of the Scottish islanders, by which these parts were much infested (Nanton's *Fragmenta Regalia*, p. 203). At the same time, the invitations of Philip, joined to their zeal for the Catholic religion, engaged many of the gentry to serve in the Low Country wars, and thus Ireland, being provided with officers and soldiers, with discipline and arms, became formidable to the English, and was thenceforth able to maintain a more regular war against her ancient masters.

Hugh O'Neale, nephew to Shan O'Neale, had been raised by the queen to the dignity of Earl of Tyrone, but having murdered his cousin, son of that rebel, and being acknowledged head of his clan, he preferred the pride of barbarous licence and dominion to the pleasures of opulence and tranquillity, and he fomented all those disorders by which he hoped to weaken or overturn the English government. He was noted for the vices of perfidy and cruelty, so common among uncultivated nations; and was also eminent for courage, a virtue, which their disorderly course of life requires, and which, notwithstanding, being less supported by the principle of honour, is commonly more precarious among them than among a civilized people. Tyrone, actuated by this spirit, secretly fomented the discontents of the Maguires, O'Donnells, O'Rourks, Macmahons, and other rebels; yet, trusting to the influence of his deceitful oaths and professions, he put himself into the hands of Sir William Russell, who, in the year 1594, was sent over deputy to Ireland. Contrary to the advice and protestation of Sir Henry Bagnal, marshal of the army, he was dismissed, and returning to his own country, he embraced the resolution of raising an open rebellion, and of relying no longer on the lenity or inexperience of the English government. He entered into a correspondence with Spain; he procured thence a supply of arms and ammunition; and having united all the Irish chieftains in a dependence upon himself, he began to be regarded as a formidable enemy.

The native Irish were so poor, that their country afforded few other commodities than cattle and oatmeal, which were easily concealed or driven away on the approach of the enemy: and, as Elizabeth was averse to the expense requisite for supporting her armies, the English found much difficulty in pushing their advantages, and in pursuing the rebels into the bogs, woods, and other fastnesses to which they retreated. These motives rendered Sir John Norris, who commanded the English army, the more willing to hearken to any proposals of truce or accommodation made him by Tyrone; and after the war was spun out by these artifices for some years, that gallant Englishman, finding that he had been deceived by treacherous promises, and that he had performed nothing worthy of his ancient reputation, was seized with a languishing distemper, and died of vexation and discontent. Sir Henry Bagnal, who succeeded him in the command, was still more unfortunate. As he advanced to relieve the fort of Blackwater, besieged by the rebels, he was surrounded in disadvantageous ground; his soldiers, discouraged by part of their powder accidentally taking fire, were put to flight; and, though the pursuit was stopped by Montacute,

who commanded the English horse, 1500 men, together with the general himself, were left dead upon the spot. This victory, so unusual to the Irish, roused their courage, supplied them with arms and ammunition, and raised the reputation of Tyrone, who assumed the character of the deliverer of his country and patron of Irish liberty (Cox, p. 415).

The English council were now sensible that the rebellion of Ireland was come to a dangerous head, and that the former temporising arts, of granting truces and pacifications to the rebels, and of allowing them to purchase pardons by resigning part of the plunder acquired during their insurrection, served only to encourage the spirit of mutiny and disorder among them. It was therefore resolved to push the war by more vigorous measures; and the queen cast her eye on Charles Blount, Lord Mountjoy, as a man, who, though hitherto less accustomed to arms than to books and literature, was endowed, she thought, with talents equal to the undertaking. But the young Earl of Essex, ambitious of fame, and desirous of obtaining this government for himself, opposed the choice of Mountjoy; and represented the necessity of appointing, for that important employment, some person more experienced in war than this nobleman, more practised in business, and of higher quality and reputation. By this description, he was understood to mean himself (Bacon, vol. iv., p. 512); and no sooner was his desire known, than his enemies, even more zealously than his friends, conspired to gratify his wishes. Many of his friends thought that he never ought to consent, except for a short time, to accept of any employment which must remove him from court, and prevent him from cultivating that personal inclination which the queen so visibly bore him (Cabala, p. 79). His enemies hoped, that if, by his absence, she had once leisure to forget the charms of his person and conversation, his impatient and lofty demeanour would soon disgust a princess, who usually exacted such profound submission and implicit obedience from all her servants. But Essex was incapable of entering into such cautious views; and even Elizabeth, who was extremely desirous of subduing the Irish rebels, and who was much prepossessed in favour of Essex's genius, readily agreed to appoint him governor of Ireland, by the title of lord lieutenant. The more to encourage him in his undertaking, she granted him by his patent more extensive authority than had ever before been conferred on any lieutenant: the power of carrying on or finishing the war as he pleased, of pardoning the rebels, and of filling all the most considerable employments of the kingdom (Rymer, tom. xvi., p. 366). And to ensure him of success, she levied a numerous army of sixteen thousand foot and thirteen hundred horse, which she afterwards augmented to twenty thousand foot and two thousand horse; a force which, it was apprehended, would be able, in one campaign, to overwhelm the rebels, and make an entire conquest of Ireland. Nor did Essex's enemies, the Earl of Nottingham, Sir Robert Cecil, Sir Walter Raleigh, and Lord Cobham, throw any obstacles in the way of these preparations; but hoped that the higher the queen's expectations of success were raised, the more difficult it would be for the event to correspond with them. In a like view, they rather seconded than opposed those exalted encomiums, which Essex's numerous and sanguine friends dispersed, of his high genius, of his elegant endow-

ments, his heroic courage, his unbounded generosity, and his noble birth; nor were they displeased to observe that passionate fondness which the people everywhere expressed for this nobleman. These artful politicians had studied his character, and finding that his open and undaunted spirit, if taught temper and reserve from opposition, must become invincible, they resolved rather to give full breath to these sails, which were already too much expanded, and to push him upon dangers of which he seemed to make such small account (Camden; Osborne, p. 371). And the better to make advantage of his indiscretions, spies were set upon all his actions and even expressions; and his vehement spirit, which, while he was in the midst of the court and environed by his rivals, was unacquainted with disguise, could not fail, after he thought himself surrounded by none but friends, to give a pre-
 text for malignant suspicions and constructions.

Essex left London in the month of March, attended with the acclamations of the populace; and what did him more honour, accompanied by a numerous train of nobility and gentry, who, from affection to his person, had attached themselves to his fortunes, and sought fame and military experience under so renowned a commander. The first act of authority, which he exercised, after his arrival in Ireland, was an indiscretion, but of the generous kind; and in both these respects suitable to his character. He appointed his intimate friend, the Earl of Southampton, general of the horse; a nobleman who had incurred the queen's displeasure, by secretly marrying without her consent, and whom she had therefore enjoined Essex not to employ in any command under him. She no sooner heard of this instance of disobedience than she reprimanded him, and ordered him to recal his commission to Southampton. But Essex, who had imagined that some reasons, which he opposed to her first injunctions, had satisfied her, had the imprudence to remonstrate against these second orders (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., pp. 421, 451); and it was not till she reiterated her commands, that he could be prevailed on to displace his friend.

Essex, on his landing at Dublin, deliberated with the Irish council concerning the proper methods of carrying on the war against the rebels; and here he was guilty of a capital error, which was the ruin of his enterprise. He had always, while in England, blamed the conduct of former commanders, who artfully protracted the war, who harassed their troops in small enterprises, and who, by agreeing to truces and temporary pacifications with the rebels, had given them leisure to recruit their broken forces (Ibid., p. 431; Bacon, vol. iv., p. 512). In conformity to these views, he had ever insisted upon leading his forces immediately into Ulster against Tyrone, the chief enemy; and his instructions had been drawn agreeably to these his declared resolutions. But the Irish councillors persuaded him, that the season was too early for the enterprise, and that, as the morasses, in which the northern Irish usually sheltered themselves, would not, as yet, be passable to the English forces, it would be better to employ the present time in an expedition into Munster. Their secret reason for this advice was, that many of them possessed estates in that province, and were desirous to have the enemy dislodged from their neighbourhood (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 448). But the same selfish

spirit, which had induced them to give this counsel, made them soon after disown it, when they found the bad consequences with which it was attended (Winwood, vol. i., p. 140).

Essex obliged all the rebels of Munster either to submit or to fly into the neighbouring provinces; but as the Irish, from the greatness of the queen's preparations, had concluded that she intended to reduce them to total subjection, or even utterly to exterminate them, they considered their defence as a common cause; and the English forces were no sooner withdrawn, than the inhabitants of Munster relapsed into rebellion, and renewed their confederacy with their other countrymen. The army, meanwhile, by the fatigue of long and tedious marches, and by the influence of the climate, was become sickly; and on its return to Dublin, about the middle of July, was surprisingly diminished in number. The courage of the soldiers was even much abated; for though they had prevailed in some lesser enterprises, against Lord Cahir and others, yet had they sometimes met with more stout resistance than they expected from the Irish, whom they were wont to despise; and as they were raw troops and unexperienced, a considerable body of them had been put to flight at the Glins, by an inferior number of the enemy. Essex was so enraged at this misbehaviour, that he cashiered all the officers, and decimated the private men (Cox, p. 421). But this act of severity, though necessary, had intimidated the soldiers, and increased their aversion to the service.

The queen was extremely disgusted, when she heard that so considerable a part of the season was consumed in these frivolous enterprises; and was still more surprised, that Essex persevered in the same practice which he had so much condemned in others, and which he knew to be so much contrary to her purpose and intention. That nobleman, in order to give his troops leisure to recruit from their sickness and fatigue, left the main army in quarters, and marched with a small body of 1500 men, into the county of Ophelie against the O'Connors and O'Mores, whom he forced to a submission; but, on his return to Dublin, he found the army so much diminished, that he wrote to the English council an account of its condition, and informed them, that, if he did not immediately receive a reinforcement of 2000 men, it would be impossible for him this season to attempt anything against Tyrone. That there might be no pretence for further inactivity, the queen immediately sent over the number demanded (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 430; Cox, p. 421); and Essex began at last to assemble his forces for the expedition into Ulster. The army was so averse to this enterprise, and so terrified with the reputation of Tyrone, that many of them counterfeited sickness, many of them deserted (Sidney's Letters, vol. ii., pp. 112, 113); and Essex found that, after leaving the necessary garrisons, he could scarcely lead 4000 men against the rebels. He marched, however, with this small army; but was soon sensible, that, in so advanced a season, it would be impossible for him to effect anything against an enemy, who, though superior in number, was determined to avoid every decisive action. He hearkened, therefore, to a message sent him by Tyrone, who desired a conference; and a place near the two camps was appointed for that purpose. The generals met without any of their attendants, and a river ran

between them, into which Tyrone entered to the depth of his saddle ; but Essex stood on the opposite bank. After half an hour's conference, where Tyrone behaved with great submission to the lord lieutenant, a cessation of arms was concluded to the first of May, renewable from six weeks to six weeks ; but which might be broken off by either party upon a fortnight's warning (Sidney's Letters, p. 125). Essex also received from Tyrone proposals for a peace, in which that rebel had inserted many unreasonable and exorbitant conditions ; and there appeared afterwards some reason to suspect, that he had here commenced a very unjustifiable correspondence with the enemy.¹

So unexpected an issue of an enterprise, the greatest and most expensive that Elizabeth had ever undertaken, provoked her extremely against Essex ; and this disgust was much augmented by other circumstances of that nobleman's conduct. He wrote many letters to the queen and council, full of peevish and impatient expressions ; complaining of his enemies, lamenting that their calumnies should be believed against him, and discovering symptoms of a mind equally haughty and discontented. She took care to inform him of her dissatisfaction ; but commanded him to remain in Ireland till further orders.

Essex heard at once of Elizabeth's anger, and of the promotion of his enemy, Sir Robert Cecil, to the office of master of the wards, an office to which he himself aspired ; and dreading that, if he remained any longer absent, the queen would be totally alienated from him, he hastily embraced a resolution, which, he knew, had once succeeded with the Earl of Leicester, the former favourite of Elizabeth. Leicester, being informed, while in the Low Countries, that his mistress was extremely displeased with his conduct, disobeyed her orders by coming over to England ; and having pacified her by his presence, by his apologies, and by his flattery and insinuation, disappointed all the expectations of his enemies (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 453). Essex, therefore, weighing more the similarity of circumstances than the difference of character between himself and Leicester, immediately set out for England ; and making speedy journeys, he arrived at court before any one was in the least apprised of his intentions (Winwood, vol. i., p. 118). Though besmeared with dirt and sweat, he hastened upstairs to the presence chamber, thence to the privy chamber ; nor stopped till he was in the queen's bedchamber, who was newly risen, and was sitting with her hair about her face. He threw himself on his knees, kissed her hand, and had some private conference with her ; where he was so graciously received, that, on his departure, he was heard to express great satisfaction, and to thank God, that, though he had suffered much trouble and many storms abroad, he found a sweet calm at home (Sidney's Letters, vol. ii., p. 127).

But this placability of Elizabeth was merely the result of her surprise, and of the momentary satisfaction, which she felt on the sudden and unexpected appearance of her favourite ; after she had leisure for recollection, all his faults recurred to her ; and she thought it necessary, by some severe discipline, to subdue that haughty imperious spirit, who, presuming on her partiality, had pretended to domineer in her councils, to engross all her favour, and to act, in the most important

¹ Winwood, vol. i., p. 307 ; State Trials ; Bacon, vol. iv., pp. 514, 535, 537.

affairs, without regard to her orders and instructions. When Essex waited on her in the afternoon, he found her extremely altered in her carriage towards him; she ordered him to be confined to his chamber; to be twice examined by the council; and though his answers were calm and submissive, she committed him to the custody of Lord Keeper Egerton, and held him sequestered from all company, even from that of his countess, nor was so much as the intercourse of letters permitted between them. Essex dropped many expressions of humiliation and sorrow, none of resentment; he professed an entire submission to the queen's will; declared his intention of retiring into the country, and of leading thenceforth a private life, remote from courts and business; but though he affected to be so entirely cured of his aspiring ambition, the vexation of this disappointment, and of the triumph gained by his enemies, preyed upon his haughty spirit, and he fell into a distemper, which seemed to put his life in danger.

The queen had always declared to all the world, and even to the earl himself, that the purpose of her severity was to correct, not to ruin him,¹ and when she heard of his sickness she was not a little alarmed with his situation. She ordered eight physicians of the best reputation and experience to consult of his case, and being informed that the issue was much to be apprehended, she sent Dr. James to him with some broth, and desired that physician to deliver him a message, which she probably deemed of still greater virtue, that if she thought such a step consistent with her honour she would herself pay him a visit. The bystanders, who carefully observed her countenance, remarked that in pronouncing these words her eyes were suffused with tears (*Sidney's Letters*, vol. ii., p. 151).

When the symptoms of the queen's returning affection towards Essex were known, they gave a sensible alarm to the faction which had declared their opposition to him. Sir Walter Raleigh in particular, the most violent as well as the most ambitious of his enemies, was so affected with the appearance of this sudden revolution, that he was seized with sickness in his turn, and the queen was obliged to apply the same salve to his wound, and to send him a favourable message, expressing her desire of his recovery (*Ibid.*, p. 139).

The medicine which the queen administered (A.D. 1600) to these aspiring rivals was successful with both, and Essex being now allowed the company of his countess, and having entertained more promising hopes of his future fortunes, was so much restored in his health as to be thought past danger. A belief was instilled into Elizabeth, that his distemper had been entirely counterfeit, in order to move her compassion (*Ibid.*, vol. ii., p. 153), and she relapsed into her former rigour against him. He wrote her a letter, and sent her a rich present on New Year's day, as was usual with the courtiers at that time; she read the letter but rejected the present (*Ibid.*, pp. 155, 156). After some interval, however, of severity, she allowed him to retire to his own house; and though he remained still under custody, and was sequestered from all company, he was so grateful for this mark of lenity, that he sent her a letter of thanks on the occasion. 'This farther degree of goodness,' said he, 'doth sound in my ears as

¹ Birch's Mem., pp. 444, 445; *Sidney's Letters*, vol. ii., p. 196.

'if your majesty spake these words, "Die not, Essex, for though I "punish thine offence, and humble thee for thy good, yet will I "one day be served again by thee." My prostrate soul makes this 'answer: "I hope for that blessed day." And in expectation of it, 'all my afflictions of body and mind are humbly, patiently, and 'cheerfully borne by me.' (Birch's Mems., p. 444). The Countess of Essex, daughter of Sir Francis Walsingham, possessed as well as her husband a refined taste in literature, and the chief consolation which Essex enjoyed during this period of anxiety and expectation consisted in her company, and in reading with her those instructive and entertaining authors, which even during the time of his greatest prosperity he had never entirely neglected.

There were several incidents which kept alive the queen's anger against Essex. Every account which she received from Ireland convinced her more and more of his misconduct in that government, and of the insignificant purposes to which he had employed so much force and treasure. Tyrone, so far from being quelled, had thought proper in less than three months to break the truce, and joining with O'Donnel and other rebels, had overrun almost the whole kingdom. He boasted that he was certain of receiving a supply of men, money, and arms from Spain; he pretended to be champion of the Catholic religion; and he openly exulted in the present of a phoenix plume which the Pope, Clement VIII., in order to encourage him in the prosecution of so good a cause, had consecrated and had conferred upon him (Camden, p. 617). The queen, that she might check his progress, returned to her former intention of appointing Mountjoy lord deputy; and though that nobleman, who was an intimate friend of Essex, and desired his return to the government of Ireland, did at first very earnestly excuse himself on account of his bad state of health, she obliged him to accept of the employment. Mountjoy found the island almost in a desperate condition, but being a man of capacity and vigour, he was so little discouraged, that he immediately advanced against Tyrone in Ulster. He penetrated into the heart of that country, the chief seat of the rebels: he fortified Derry and Mount Norris in order to bridle the Irish; he chased them from the field, and obliged them to take shelter in the woods and morasses; he employed with equal success Sir George Carew in Munster; and by these promising enterprises he gave new life to the queen's authority in that island.

As the comparison of Mountjoy's administration with that of Essex contributed to alienate Elizabeth from her favourite, she received additional disgust from the partiality of the people, who, prepossessed with an extravagant idea of Essex's merit, complained of the injustice done him by his removal from court, and by his confinement. Libels were secretly dispersed against Cecil and Raleigh and all his enemies; and his popularity, which was always great, seemed rather to be increased than diminished by his misfortunes. Elizabeth, in order to justify to the public her conduct with regard to him, had often expressed her intention of having him tried in the Star Chamber for his offences; but her tenderness for him prevailed at last over her severity, and she was contented to have him only examined by the

privy-council. The attorney-general, Coke, opened the cause against him, and treated him with the cruelty and insolence which that great lawyer usually exercised against the unfortunate. He displayed in the strongest colours all the faults committed by Essex in his administration of Ireland; his making Southampton general of the horse, contrary to the queen's injunctions; his deserting the enterprise against Tyrone, and marching to Leinster and Munster; his conferring knighthood on too many persons; his secret conference with Tyrone; and his sudden return from Ireland in contempt of her majesty's commands. He also exaggerated the indignity of the conditions which Tyrone had been allowed to propose, odious and abominable conditions, said he, a public toleration of an idolatrous religion, pardon for himself and every traitor in Ireland, and full restitution of lands and possessions to all of them (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 449). The solicitor-general, Fleming, insisted upon the wretched situation in which the earl had left that kingdom; and Francis, son of Sir Nicholas Bacon, who had been lord-keeper in the beginning of the present reign, closed the charge with displaying the undutiful expressions contained in some letters written by the earl.

Essex, when he came to plead in his own defence, renounced with great submission and humility all pretensions to an apology (Sidney's Letters, vol. ii., p. 200), and declared his resolution never on this or any other occasion, to have any contest with his sovereign. He said, that having severed himself from the world, and abjured all sentiments of ambition, he had no scruple to confess every failing or error into which his youth, folly, or manifold infirmities might have betrayed him; that his inward sorrow for his offences against her majesty was so profound, that it exceeded all his outward crosses and afflictions, nor had he any scruple of submitting to a public confession of whatever she had been pleased to impute to him; that in his acknowledgments he retained only one reserve, which he never would relinquish but with his life, the assertion of a loyal and unpolluted heart, of an unfeigned affection, of an earnest desire ever to perform to her majesty the best service which his poor abilities would permit; and that if this sentiment were allowed by the council, he willingly acquiesced in any condemnation or sentence which they could pronounce against him. This submission was uttered with so much eloquence, and in so pathetic a manner, that it drew tears from many of the audience (Sydney's Letters, vol. ii., pp. 200, 201). All the privy-councillors, in giving their judgment, made no scruple of doing the earl justice with regard to the loyalty of his intentions. Even Cecil, whom he believed his capital enemy, treated him with regard and humanity. And the sentence pronounced by the lord-keeper (to which the council assented), was in these words: 'If this cause,' said he, 'had been heard in the Star Chamber, my sentence must have been for as great a fine as ever was set upon any man's head in that court, together with perpetual confinement in that prison which belongeth to a man of his quality, the Tower. But since we are now in another place, and in a course of favour, my censure is, that the Earl of Essex is not to execute the office of a councillor, nor that of earl marshal of England, nor of master of the ordnance, and to return to his own house, there

'to continue a prisoner till it shall please her majesty to release this and all the rest of his sentence.'¹ The Earl of Cumberland made a slight opposition to this sentence, and said that if he thought it would stand he would have required a little more time to deliberate, that he deemed it somewhat severe, and that any commander-in-chief might easily incur a like penalty. But however, added he, in confidence of her majesty's mercy, I agree with the rest. The Earl of Worcester delivered his opinion in a couple of Latin verses, importing that where the gods are offended, even misfortunes ought to be imputed as crimes, and that accident is no excuse for transgressions against the divinity.

Bacon, so much distinguished afterwards by his high offices, and still more by his profound genius for the sciences, was nearly allied to the Cecil family, being nephew to Lord Burleigh, and cousin-german to the secretary; but notwithstanding his extraordinary talents, he had met with so little protection from his powerful relations, that he had not yet obtained any preferment in the law, which was his profession. But Essex, who could distinguish merit, and who passionately loved it, had entered into an intimate friendship with Bacon, had zealously attempted, though without success, to procure him the office of solicitor-general, and in order to comfort his friend under the disappointment, had conferred on him a present of land to the value of 1800*l*. (Cabala, p. 78.) The public could ill excuse Bacon's appearance before the council, against so munificent a benefactor, though he acted in obedience to the queen's commands; but she was so well pleased with his behaviour, that she imposed on him a new task, of drawing a narrative of that day's proceedings, in order to satisfy the public of the justice and lenity of her conduct. Bacon, who wanted firmness of character more than humanity, gave to the whole transaction the most favourable turn for Essex, and in particular pointed out in elaborate expression the dutiful submission which that nobleman discovered in the defence that he made for his conduct. When he read the paper to her, she smiled at that passage, and observed to Bacon, that old love, she saw, could not easily be forgotten. He replied that he hoped she meant that of herself (*Ibid.*, p. 383).

All the world indeed expected that Essex would soon be reinstated in his former credit (*Winwood*, vol. i., p. 254), perhaps, as is usual in reconcilements founded on inclination, would acquire an additional ascendancy over the queen, and, after all his disgraces, would again appear more a favourite than ever. They were confirmed in this hope, when they saw that though he was still prohibited from appearing at court (*Birch's Mem.*, vol. ii., p. 462), he was continued in his office of master of horse, and was restored to his liberty, and that all his friends had access to him. Essex himself seemed determined to persevere in that conduct which had hitherto been so successful, and which the queen by all this discipline had endeavoured to render habitual to him; he wrote to her that he kissed her majesty's hands, and the rod with which she had corrected him, but that he could never recover his wonted cheerfulness, till she deigned to admit him to that presence which had ever been the chief source of his happiness and

¹ *Birch's Memoirs*, vol. ii., p. 454; *Camden*, pp. 626, 627.

enjoyment; and that he had now resolved to make amends for his past errors, to retire into a country solitude, and say with Nebuchadnezzar: 'Let my dwelling be with the beasts of the field; let me eat grass as an ox, and be wet with the dew of heaven; till it shall please the queen to restore me to my understanding.' The queen was much pleased with these sentiments, and replied that she heartily wished his actions might correspond with his expressions; that he had tried her patience a long time, and it was but fitting she should now make some experiment of his submission; that her father would never have pardoned so much obstinacy, but that if the furnace of affliction produced such good effects, she should ever after have the better opinion of her chemistry (Camden, p. 628).

The Earl of Essex possessed a monopoly of sweet wines, and as his patent was near expiring, he patiently expected that the queen would renew it, and he considered this event as the critical circumstance of his life, which would determine whether he could ever hope to be reinstated in credit and authority (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 472). But Elizabeth, though gracious in her deportment, was of a temper somewhat haughty and severe, and being continually surrounded with Essex's enemies, means were found to persuade her that his lofty spirit was not yet sufficiently subdued, and that he must undergo this farther trial, before he could again be safely received into favour. She therefore denied his request, and even added, in a contemptuous style, that an ungovernable beast **must** be stinted in his provender (Camden, p. 628).

This rigour, pushed one step too far, proved the final ruin of this young nobleman, and was the source of infinite sorrow and vexation to the queen herself. Essex, who had with great difficulty so long subdued his proud spirit, and whose patience was now exhausted, imagining that the queen was entirely inexorable, burst at once all restraints of submission and of prudence, and determined to seek relief by proceeding to the utmost extremities against his enemies. Even during his greatest favour he had ever been accustomed to carry matters with a high hand towards his sovereign; and as this practice gratified his own temper, and was sometimes successful, he had imprudently imagined that it was the only proper method of managing her (Cabala, p. 70). But being now reduced to despair, he gave entire reins to his violent disposition, and threw off all appearance of duty and respect. Intoxicated with the public favour which he already possessed, he practised anew every art of popularity, and endeavoured to increase the general good will by a hospitable manner of life, little suited to his situation and circumstances. His former employments had given him great connections with men of the military profession, and he now entertained, by additional caresses and civilities, a friendship with all desperate adventurers, whose attachment he hoped might in his present views prove serviceable to him. He secretly courted the confidence of the Catholics, but his chief trust lay in the Puritans, whom he openly caressed, and whose manners he seemed to have entirely adopted. He engaged the most celebrated preachers of that sect to resort to Essex House, he had daily prayers and sermons in his family, and he invited all the zealots in London to attend those pious exercises. Such was the disposition now beginning

to prevail among the English, that instead of feasting and public spectacles, the methods anciently practised to gain the populace, nothing so effectually ingratiated an ambitious leader with the public as these fanatical entertainments. And as the puritanical preachers frequently inculcated in their sermons the doctrine of resistance to the civil magistrate, they prepared the minds of their hearers for those seditious projects which Essex was secretly meditating (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 463 ; Camden, p. 630).

But the greatest imprudence of this nobleman proceeded from the openness of his temper, by which he was ill qualified to succeed in such difficult and dangerous enterprises. He indulged himself in great liberties of speech, and was even heard to say of the queen, that she was now grown an old woman, and was become as crooked in her mind as in her body.¹ Some court ladies, whose favours Essex had formerly neglected, carried her these stories, and incensed her to a high degree against him. Elizabeth was ever remarkably jealous on this head; and though she was now approaching to her seventieth year, she allowed her courtiers (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., pp. 442, 443), and even foreign ambassadors (Sydney's Letters, vol. ii., p. 171), to compliment her upon her beauty; nor had all her good sense been able to cure her of this preposterous vanity.²

There was also an expedient employed by Essex, which, if possible,

¹ Camden, p. 629 ; Osborne, p. 397 ; Sir Walter Raleigh's Prerogative of Parliament, p. 43.

² Most of Queen Elizabeth's courtiers feigned love and desire towards her, and addressed themselves to her in the style of passion and gallantry. Sir Walter Raleigh, having fallen into disgrace, wrote the following letter to his friend Sir Robert Cecil, with a view, no doubt, of having it shown to the queen. 'My heart was never broke till this day, that I hear the queen goes away so far off, whom I have followed so many years, with so great love and desire, in so many journeys, and am now left behind her in a dark prison all alone. While she was yet near at hand, that I might hear of her once in two or three days, my sorrows were the less, but even now my heart is cast into the depth of all misery. I that was wont to behold her riding like *Alexander*, hunting like *Diana*, walking like *Venus*, the gentle wind blowing her fair hair about her pure cheeks, like a Nymph, sometimes sitting in the shade like a Goddess, sometimes singing like an Angel, sometimes playing like *Orpheus*; behold the sorrow of the world! Once amiss hath bereaved me of all. O glory that only shineth in misfortune; what is become of thy assurance? All wounds have scars but that of fantasie. All affections their relenting but that of womankind. Who is the judge of friendship but adversity, or when is grace witnessed but in offences? There were no divinity but by reason of compassion. For revenges are brutish and mortal. All those times past, the loves, the sighs, the sorrows, the desires, cannot they weigh down one frail misfortune? Cannot one drop of gall be hid in so great a heap of sweetness? I may then conclude, *Spes & fortuna. valet*. She is gone in whom I trusted; and of me hath not one thought of mercy, nor any respect of that which was. Do with me now therefore what you list. I am more weary of life than they are desirous I should perish; which, if it had been for her, as it is by her, I had been too happily born.' Murden, 657. It is to be remarked, that this Nymph, Venus, Goddess, Angel, was then about sixty. Yet five or six years after, she allowed the same language to be held to her. Sir Henry Unton, her ambassador in France, relates to her a conversation which he had with Henry IV. The monarch, after having introduced Unton to his mistress, the fair Gabrielle, asked him how he liked her? 'I answered sparingly in her praise,' said the minister, 'and told him, that if, without offence, I might speak it, I had the picture of a far more excellent mistress, and yet did her picture come far short of her perfection of beauty. As you love me, said he, show it me if you have it about you. I made some difficulties; yet upon his importunity, offered it to his view very secretly, holding it still in my hand. He beheld it with passion and admiration, saying, that I had reason, *Je me rends*, protesting that he had never seen the like; so, with great reverence, he kissed it twice or thrice, I detaining it still in my hand. In the end, with some kind of contention, he took it from me, vowing, that I might take my leave of it. For he would not forego it for any treasure. And that, to possess the favour of the lively picture, he would forsake all the world, and hold himself most happy; with many other most passionate speeches.' Murden, p. 718. For farther particulars on this head, see the ingenious author of the Catalogue of Royal and Noble Authors, article Essex.

was more provoking to the queen than those sarcasms on her age and deformity, and that was his secret applications to the king of Scots, her heir and successor. That prince had this year very narrowly escaped a dangerous, though ill-formed conspiracy of the Earl of Gowrie; and even his deliverance was attended with this disagreeable circumstance, that the obstinate ecclesiastics persisted, in spite of the most incontestible evidence, to maintain to his face that there had been no such conspiracy. James, harassed with his turbulent and factious subjects, cast a wishful eye to the succession of England; and, in proportion as the queen advanced in years, his desire increased of mounting that throne, on which, besides acquiring a great addition of power and splendour, he hoped to govern a people so much more tractable and submissive. He negotiated with all the courts of Europe, in order to ensure himself friends and partisans; he even neglected not the court of Rome and that of Spain; and though he engaged himself in no positive promise, he flattered the Catholics with hopes, that, in the event of his succession, they might expect some more liberty than was at present indulged them. Elizabeth was the only sovereign in Europe to whom he never dared to mention his right of succession; he knew that, though her advanced age might now invite her to think of fixing an heir to the crown, she never could bear the prospect of her own death without horror, and was determined still to retain him, and all other competitors, in an entire dependence upon her.

Essex was descended by females from the royal family, and some of his sanguine partisans had been so imprudent as to mention his name among those of other pretenders to the crown; but the earl took care, by means of Henry Lee, whom he secretly sent into Scotland, to assure James that, so far from entertaining such ambitious views, he was determined to use every expedient for extorting an immediate declaration in favour of that monarch's right of succession. James willingly hearkened to this proposal, but did not approve of the violent methods which Essex intended to employ. Essex had communicated his scheme to Mountjoy, Deputy of Ireland; and, as no man ever commanded more the cordial affection and attachment of his friends, he had even engaged a person of that virtue and prudence to entertain thoughts of bringing over part of his army into England, and of forcing the queen to declare the king of Scots her successor (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 471). And such was Essex's impatient ardour, that, though James declined this dangerous expedient, he still endeavoured to persuade Mountjoy not to desist from the project; but the deputy, who thought that such violence, though it might be prudent, and even justifiable, when supported by a sovereign prince, next heir to the crown, would be rash and criminal, if attempted by subjects, absolutely refused his concurrence. The correspondence, however, between Essex and the court of Scotland was still conducted with great secrecy and cordiality; and that nobleman, besides conciliating the favour of James, represented all his own adversaries as enemies to that prince's succession, and as men entirely devoted to the interests of Spain, and partisans of the chimerical title of the Infanta.

The Infanta and the Archduke, Albert, had made some advances to

the queen for peace; and Boulogne, as a neutral town, was chosen for the place of conference. Sir Henry Nevill, the English resident in France, Herbert, Edmondes, and Beale, were sent thither as ambassadors from England, and (May 26) negotiated with Zuniga, Carillo, Richardot, and Verheiken, ministers of Spain, and the archduke; but the conferences were soon broken off, by disputes with regard to the ceremonial. Among the European States England had ever been allowed the precedence above Castile, Arragon, Portugal, and the other kingdoms of which the Spanish monarchy was composed; and Elizabeth insisted that this ancient right was not lost on account of the junction of these states, and that that monarchy, in its present situation, though it surpassed the English in extent, as well as in power, could not be compared with it in point of antiquity, the only durable and regular foundation of presidency among kingdoms as well as noble families. That she might show, however, a pacific disposition, she was content to yield to an equality; but the Spanish ministers, as their nation had always disputed precedence even with France, to which England yielded, would proceed no farther in the conference, till their superiority of rank were acknowledged (Winwood's Mem., vol. i., pp. 186-226). During the preparations for this abortive negotiation, the Earl of Nottingham, the Admiral, Lord Buckhurst, treasurer and secretary Cecil had discovered their inclination to peace; but as the English nation, flushed with success, and sanguine in their hopes of plunder and conquest, were in general averse to that measure, it was easy for a person so popular as Essex to infuse into the multitude an opinion, that these ministers had sacrificed the interests of their country to Spain, and would even make no scruple of receiving a sovereign from that hostile nation.

But Essex, not content with these arts for decrying his adversaries, proceeded (A.D. 1601) to concert more violent methods of ruining them; chiefly instigated by Cuffe, his secretary, a man of a bold and arrogant spirit, who had acquired a great ascendancy over his patron. A select council of malcontents was formed, who commonly met at Drury House, and were composed of Sir Charles Davers, to whom the house belonged, the Earl of Southampton, Sir Ferdinando Gorges, Sir Christopher Blount, Sir John Davies, and John Littleton; and Essex, who boasted that he had a hundred and twenty barons, knights, and gentlemen of note, at his devotion, and who trusted still more to his authority with the populace, communicated to his associates those secret designs with which his confidence in so powerful a party had inspired him. Among other criminal projects, the result of blind rage and despair, he deliberated with them concerning the method of taking arms, and asked their opinion whether he had best begin with seizing the palace or the tower, or set out with making himself master at once of both places. The first enterprise being preferred, a method was concerted for executing it. It was agreed that Sir Christopher Blount, with a choice detachment, should possess himself of the palace gates; that Davies should seize the hall, Davers the guard-chamber and presence-chamber; and that Essex should rush in from the Meuse, attended by a body of his partisans, should entreat the queen, with all demonstrations of humility, to remove his enemies, should oblige

her to assemble a parliament, and should with common consent settle a new plan of government.¹

While these desperate projects were in agitation many reasons of suspicion were carried to the queen, and (Feb. 7) she sent Robert Sacville, son of the treasurer, to Essex House, on pretence of a visit, but, in reality, with a view of discovering whether there were in that place any unusual concourse of people, or any extraordinary preparations, which might threaten an insurrection. Soon after Essex received a summons to attend the council, which met at the treasurer's house; and while he was musing on this circumstance, and comparing it with the late unexpected visit from Sacville, a private note was conveyed to him, by which he was warned to provide for his own safety. He concluded that all his conspiracy was discovered, at least suspected, and that the easiest punishment which he had reason to apprehend, was a new and more severe confinement; he therefore excused himself to the council on pretence of an indisposition; and he immediately despatched messages to his more intimate confederates, requesting their advice and assistance in the present critical situation of his affairs. They deliberated whether they should abandon all their projects, and fly the kingdom, or instantly seize the palace with the force which they could assemble, or rely upon the affections of the citizens, who were generally known to have a great attachment to the earl. Essex declared against the first expedient, and professed himself determined to undergo any fate rather than submit to live the life of a fugitive. To seize the palace seemed impracticable, without more preparations, especially as the queen seemed now aware of their projects, and, as they heard, had used the precaution of doubling her ordinary guards. There remained, therefore, no expedient but that of betaking themselves to the city; and, while the prudence and feasibility of this resolution was under debate, a person arrived, who, as if he had received a commission for the purpose, gave them assurance of the affections of the Londoners, and affirmed, that they might securely rest any project on that foundation. The popularity of Essex had chiefly buoyed him up in all his vain undertakings; and he fondly imagined that, with no other assistance than the goodwill of the multitude, he might overturn Elizabeth's government, confirmed by time, revered for wisdom, supported by vigour, and concurring with the general sentiments of the nation. The wild project of raising the city was immediately resolved on; the execution of it was delayed till next day; and emissaries were despatched to all Essex's friends, informing them that Cobham and Raleigh had laid schemes against his life, and entreating their presence and assistance.

Next day (Feb. 8), there appeared at Essex House the Earls of Southampton and Rutland, the Lords Sandys and Monteaule, with about 300 gentlemen of good quality and fortune; and Essex informed them of the danger to which, he pretended, the machinations of his enemies exposed him. To some he said that he would throw himself at the queen's feet, and crave her justice and protection; to others he boasted of his interest in the city, and affirmed that, whatever might happen, this resource could never fail him. The queen was informed of these designs, by means of intelligence conveyed, as is supposed, to

¹ Camden, p. 630; Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 464; State Trials; Bacon. vol. iv., pp. 542, 543.

Raleigh, by Sir Ferdinando Gorges; and having ordered the magistrates of London to keep the citizens in readiness, she sent Egerton, lord keeper, to Essex House, with the Earl of Worcester, Sir William Knollys, controller, and Popham, chief justice, in order to learn the cause of these unusual commotions. They were, with difficulty, admitted through a wicket, but all their servants were excluded except the purse-bearer. After some altercation, in which they charged Essex's retainers, upon their allegiance, to lay down their arms, and were menaced, in their turn, by the angry multitude who surrounded them, the earl, who found that matters were past recall, resolved to leave them prisoners in his house, and proceed to the execution of his former project. He sallied forth with about two hundred attendants, armed only with walking swords; and, in his passage to the city, was joined by the Earl of Bedford and Lord Cromwell. He cried aloud, 'FOR THE QUEEN! FOR THE QUEEN! A PLOT IS LAID FOR MY LIFE;' and then proceeded to the house of Smith, the sheriff, on whose aid he had great reliance. The citizens flocked about him in amazement; but though he had told them that England was sold to the Infanta, and exhorted them to arm instantly, otherwise they could not do him any service, no one showed a disposition to join him. The sheriff, on the earl's approach to his house, stole out at the back door, and made the best of his way to the mayor. Essex, meanwhile, observing the coldness of the citizens, and hearing that he was proclaimed a traitor by the Earl of Cumberland and Lord Burleigh, began to despair of success, and thought of retreating to his own house. He found the streets in his passage barricadoed and guarded by the citizens under the command of Sir John Levison. In his attempt to force his way, Tracy, a young gentleman, to whom he bore great friendship, was killed, with two or three of the Londoners; and the earl himself, attended by a few of his partisans (for the greater part began secretly to withdraw themselves), retired towards the river, and, taking boat, arrived at Essex House. He there found that Gorges, whom he had sent before to capitulate with the lord-keeper and the other counsellors, had given all of them their liberty, and had gone to court with them. He was now reduced to despair, and appeared determined, in the prosecution of Lord Sandys' advice, to defend himself to the last extremity, and rather to perish, like a brave man, with his sword in his hand, than basely by the hands of the executioner; but after some parley, and after demanding in vain, first hostages, then conditions from the besiegers, he surrendered at discretion, requesting only civil treatment, and a fair and impartial hearing (Camden, p. 632).

The queen, who, during all this commotion, had behaved with as great tranquillity and security, as if there had only passed a fray in the streets, in which she was nowise concerned (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 469), soon gave orders for the trial (Feb. 19) of the most considerable of the criminals. The Earls of Essex and Southampton were arraigned before a jury of twenty-five peers, where Buckhurst acted as lord steward. The guilt of the prisoners was too apparent to admit of any doubt; and, besides the insurrection known to everybody, the treasonable conferences at Drury House were proved by undoubted evidence. Sir Ferdinando Gorges was produced in court; the confes-

sions of the Earl of Rutland, of the Lords Cromwell, Sandys, and Monteagle, of Davers, Blount, and Davies, were only read to the peers, according to the practice of that age. Essex's best friends were scandalized at his assurance in insisting so positively on his innocence and the goodness of his intentions; and still more at his vindictive disposition, in accusing, without any appearance of reason, secretary Cecil as a partisan of the Infanta's title. The secretary, who had expected this charge, stepped into the court, and challenged Essex to produce his authority, which, on examination, was found extremely weak and frivolous (Bacon, vol. iv., p. 530). When sentence was pronounced, Essex spoke like a man who expected nothing but death; but he added, that he should be sorry if he were represented to the queen as a person that despised her clemency: though he should not, he believed, make any cringing submissions to obtain it. The behaviour of Lord Southampton was more mild and submissive; he entreated the good offices of the peers in so modest and becoming a manner as excited compassion in every one.

The most remarkable circumstance in Essex's trial was Bacon's appearance against him. He was none of the crown lawyers; so was not obliged by his office to assist at this trial; yet did he not scruple, in order to obtain the queen's favour, to be active in bereaving of life his friend and patron, whose generosity he had often experienced. He compared Essex's conduct, in pretending to fear the attempts of his adversaries, to that of Pisistratus, the Athenian, who cut and wounded his own body; and making the people believe that his enemies had committed the violence, obtained a guard for his person, by whose assistance he afterwards subdued the liberties of his country.

After Essex had passed some days in the solitude and reflections of a prison, his proud heart was at last subdued, not by the fear of death, but by the sentiments of religion; a principle which he had before attempted to make the instrument of his ambition, but which now took a more firm hold of his mind, and prevailed over every other motive and consideration. His spiritual directors persuaded him, that he never could obtain the pardon of heaven, unless he made a full confession of his disloyalty; and he gave in to the council an account of all his criminal designs, as well as of his correspondence with the King of Scots. He spared not even his most intimate friends, such as Lord Mountjoy, whom he had engaged in these conspiracies; and he sought to pacify his present remorse, by making such atonements as, in any other period of his life, he would have deemed more blameable than those attempts themselves which were the objects of his penitence (Winwood, vol. i., p. 300). Sir Harry Nevill, in particular, a man of merit, he accused of a correspondence with the conspirators; though it appears that this gentleman had never assented to the proposals made him, and was no farther criminal than in not revealing the earl's treason; an office to which every man of honour naturally bears the strongest reluctance (Ibid., vol. i., p. 302). Nevill was thrown into prison, and underwent a severe persecution; but, as the queen found Mountjoy an able and successful commander, she continued him in his government, and sacrificed her resentment to the public service.

Elizabeth affected extremely the praise of clemency; and in every

great example which she had made during her reign, she had always appeared full of reluctance and hesitation; but the present situation of Essex called forth all her tender affections, and kept her in the most real agitation and irresolution. She felt a perpetual combat between resentment and inclination, pride and compassion, the care of her own safety and concern for her favourite; and her situation, during this interval, was perhaps more an object of pity, than that to which Essex himself was reduced. She signed the warrant for his execution; she countermanded it; she again resolved on his death; she felt a new return of tenderness. Essex's enemies told her, that he himself desired to die, and had assured her that she could never be in safety while he lived; it is likely that this proof of penitence and of concern for her would produce a contrary effect to what they intended, and would revive all the fond affection which she had so long indulged towards the unhappy prisoner. But what chiefly hardened her heart against him was his supposed obstinacy in never making, as she hourly expected, any application to her for mercy; and she finally gave her consent to his execution. He discovered, at his death, symptoms rather of penitence and piety than of fear; and willingly acknowledged the justice of the sentence by which he suffered. The execution (Feb. 25) was private in the Tower, agreeably to his own request. He was apprehensive, he said, lest the favour and compassion of the people would too much raise his heart in those moments, when humiliation under the afflicting hand of Heaven was the only proper sentiment which he could indulge.¹ And the queen, no doubt, thought that prudence required the removing of so melancholy a spectacle from the public eye. Sir Walter Raleigh, who came to the Tower on purpose, and who beheld Essex's execution from a window, increased much, by this action, the general hatred under which he already laboured; it was thought that his sole intention was to feast his eyes with the death of an enemy; and no apology which he could make for so ungenerous a conduct, could be accepted by the public. The cruelty and animosity with which he urged on Essex's fate, even when Cecil relented (Murdin, p. 811), were still regarded as the principles of this unmanly behaviour.

The Earl of Essex was but thirty-four years of age when his rashness, imprudence, and violence, brought him to this untimely end. We must here, as in many other instances, lament the inconstancy of human nature, that a person endowed with so many noble virtues, generosity, sincerity, friendship, valour, eloquence, and industry, should in the later period of his life have given reins to his ungovernable passions, and involved not only himself but many of his friends in utter ruin. The queen's tenderness and passion for him, as it was the cause of those premature honours which he attained, seems on the whole the chief circumstance which brought on his unhappy fate. Confident of her partiality towards him, as well as of his own merit, he treated her with a haughtiness which neither her love nor her dignity could bear; and as her amorous inclinations in so advanced an age would naturally make her appear ridiculous, if not odious in his eyes, he was engaged by an imprudent openness of which he made profession, to discover too easily those sentiments to her. The many

¹ Dr. Barlow's sermon on Essex's execution; Bacon, vol. iv. p., 534.

reconciliations and returns of affection of which he had still made advantage, induced him to venture on new provocations, till he pushed her beyond all bounds of patience; and he forgot that though the sentiments of the woman were ever strong in her, those of the sovereign had still in the end appeared predominant.

Some of Essex's associates, Cuffe, Davers, Blount, Meric, and Davis, were tried and condemned, and all of these, except Davis, were executed. The queen pardoned the rest, being persuaded that they were drawn in merely from their friendship to that nobleman, and their care of his safety, and were ignorant of the more criminal part of his intentions. Southampton's life was saved with great difficulty, but he was detained in prison during the remainder of this reign.

The King of Scots, apprehensive lest his correspondence with Essex might have been discovered, and have given offence to Elizabeth, sent the Earl of Marr and Lord Kinloss as ambassadors to England, in order to congratulate the queen on her escape from the late insurrection and conspiracy. They were also ordered to make secret inquiry, whether any measures had been taken by her for excluding him from the succession, as well as to discover the inclinations of the chief nobility and counsellors in case of the queen's demise (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 510). They found the dispositions of men as favourable as they could wish, and they even entered into a correspondence with Secretary Cecil, whose influence after the fall of Essex was now uncontrolled (Osborne, p. 615), and who was resolved by this policy to acquire in time the confidence of the successor. He knew how jealous Elizabeth ever was of her authority, and he therefore carefully concealed from her his attachment to James; but he afterwards asserted that nothing could be more advantageous to her than this correspondence, because the King of Scots, secure of mounting the throne by his undoubted title, aided by those connections with the English ministry, was the less likely to give any disturbance to the present sovereign. He also persuaded that prince to remain in quiet, and patiently to expect that time should open to him the inheritance of the crown, without pushing his friends on desperate enterprises, which would totally incapacitate them from serving him. James's equity as well as his natural facility of disposition, easily inclined him to embrace that resolution (Spotswood, pp. 471, 472), and in this manner the minds of the English were silently but universally disposed to admit without opposition the succession of the Scottish line; the death of Essex by putting an end to faction, had been rather favourable than prejudicial to that great event.

The French king, who was little prepossessed in favour of James, and who for obvious reasons was averse to the union of England and Scotland (Winwood, vol. i., p. 352), made his ambassador drop some hints to Cecil of Henry's willingness to concur in any measure for disappointing the hopes of the Scottish monarch; but as Cecil showed an entire disapprobation of such schemes, the court of France took no farther steps in that matter, and thus the only foreign power which could give much disturbance to James's succession, was induced to acquiesce in it (Spotswood, p. 471). Henry made a journey this summer to Calais, and the queen, hearing of his intentions, went

to Dover, in hopes of having a personal interview with a monarch, whom, of all others, she most loved and most respected. The King of France, who felt the same sentiments towards her, would gladly have accepted of the proposal, but as many difficulties occurred, it appeared necessary to lay aside by common consent the project of an interview. Elizabeth, however, wrote successively two letters to Henry, one by Edmond, another by Sir Robert Sidney, in which she expressed a desire of conferring about a business of importance, with some minister in whom that prince reposed entire confidence. The Marquis of Rosni, the king's favourite and prime minister, came to Dover in disguise, and the memoirs of that able statesman contain a full account of his conference with Elizabeth. This princess had formed a scheme for establishing, in conjunction with Henry, a new system in Europe, and of fixing a durable balance of power by the erection of new states on the ruins of the house of Austria. She had even the prudence to foresee the perils which might ensue from the aggrandizement of her ally, and she purposed to unite all the seventeen provinces of the Low Countries in one republic, in order to form a perpetual barrier against the dangerous increase of the French, as well as of the Spanish monarchy. Henry had himself long meditated such a project against the Austrian family; and Rosni could not forbear expressing his astonishment when he found that Elizabeth and his master, though they had never communicated their sentiments on this subject, not only had entered into the same general views, but had also formed the same plan for their execution. The affairs, however, of France were not yet brought to a situation which might enable Henry to begin that great enterprise, and Rosni satisfied the queen that it would be necessary to postpone for some years their united attack on the house of Austria. He departed, filled with just admiration at the solidity of Elizabeth's judgment, and the greatness of her mind; and he owns that she was entirely worthy of that high reputation which she enjoyed in Europe.

The queen's magnanimity in forming such extensive projects was the more remarkable, as, besides her having fallen so far into the decline of life, the affairs of Ireland, though conducted with ability and success, were still in disorder, and made a great diversion of her forces. The expense incurred by this war lay heavy upon her narrow revenues, and her ministers taking advantage of her disposition to frugality, proposed to her an expedient of saving, which though she at first disapproved of it, she was at last induced to embrace. It was represented to her that the great sums of money remitted to Ireland for the pay of the English forces, came by the necessary course of circulation into the hands of the rebels, and enabled them to buy abroad all necessary supplies of arms and ammunition, which from the extreme poverty of that kingdom, and its want of every useful commodity, they could not otherwise find means to purchase. It was therefore recommended to her, that she should pay her forces in base money, and it was asserted, that besides the great saving to the revenue, this species of coin could never be exported with advantage, and would not pass in any foreign market. Some of her wiser counsellors maintained, that if the pay of the soldiers were raised

in proportion, the Irish rebels would necessarily reap the same benefit from the base money, which would always be taken at a rate suitable to its value; if the pay were not raised, there would be danger of a mutiny among the troops, who, whatever names might be affixed to the pieces of metal, would soon find from experience that they were defrauded in their income (Camden, p. 643). But Elizabeth, though she justly valued herself on fixing the standard of the English coin, much debased by her predecessors, and had innovated very little in that delicate article, was seduced by the specious arguments employed by the treasurer on this occasion, and she coined a great quantity of base money, which he made use of in the pay of her forces in Ireland (Rymer, tom. xvi., p. 414).

Mountjoy, the deputy, was a man of abilities, and foreseeing the danger of mutiny among the troops, he led them instantly into the field, and resolved by means of strict discipline, and by keeping them employed against the enemy, to obviate those inconveniences which were justly to be apprehended. He made military roads, and built a fortress at Moghery, he drove the Mac-Genises out of Lecale, he harassed Tyrone in Ulster with inroads and lesser expeditions, and by destroying everywhere and during all seasons the provisions of the Irish, he reduced them to perish by famine in the woods and morasses to which they were obliged to retreat. At the same time, Sir Henry Docwray, who commanded another body of troops, took the castle of Derry, and put garrisons into Newton and Ainogh, and having seized the monastery of Donnegal near Balishannon, he threw troops into it, and defended it against the assaults of O'Donnel and the Irish. Nor was Sir George Carew idle in the province of Munster. He seized the titular Earl of Desmond, and sent him over with Florence Macarty, another chieftain, prisoner to England. He arrested many suspected persons, and took hostages from others. And having got a reinforcement of 2000 men from England, he threw himself into Cork, which he supplied with arms and provisions, and he put everything in a condition for resisting the Spanish invasion, which was daily expected. The deputy, informed of the danger to which the southern provinces were exposed, left the prosecution of the war against Tyrone, who was reduced to great extremities, and he marched with his army into Munster.

At last the Spaniards, under Don John d'Aquila (Sept. 23), arrived at Kinsale, and Sir Richard Piercy, who commanded in the town with a small garrison of 150 men, found himself obliged to abandon it on their appearance. These invaders amounted to 4000 men, and the Irish discovered a strong propensity to join them, in order to free themselves from the English government, with which they were extremely discontented. One chief ground of their complaint was the introduction of trials by jury (Camden, p. 644), an institution abhorred by that people, though nothing contributes more to the support of that equity and liberty, for which the English laws are so justly celebrated. The Irish also bore a great favour to the Spaniards, having entertained the opinion that they themselves were descended from that nation; and their attachment to the Catholic religion proved a new cause of affection to the invaders. D'Aquila assumed the title of general

in THE HOLY WAR FOR THE PRESERVATION OF THE FAITH in Ireland; and he endeavoured to persuade the people that Elizabeth was, by several bulls of the Pope, deprived of her crown; that her subjects were absolved from their oaths of allegiance; and that the Spaniards were come to deliver the Irish from the dominion of the devil (Camden, p. 645). Mountjoy found it necessary to act with vigour, in order to prevent a total insurrection of the Irish, and having collected his forces, he formed the siege of Kinsale by land, while Sir Richard Levison, with a small squadron blockaded it by sea. He had no sooner begun his operations, than he heard of the arrival of another body of 2000 Spaniards under the command of Alphonso Ocampo, who had taken possession of Baltimore and Berehaven, and he was obliged to detach Sir George Carew to oppose their progress. Tyrone, meanwhile, with Randal, Mac-Surley, Tirel, baron of Kelly, and other chieftains of the Irish, had joined Ocampo with all their forces, and were marching to the relief of Kinsale. The deputy, informed of their design by intercepted letters, made preparations to receive them, and being reinforced by Levison with 600 marines, he posted his troops on an advantageous ground which lay on the passage of the enemy, leaving some cavalry to prevent a sally from d'Aquila and the Spanish garrison. When Tyrone with a detachment of Irish and Spaniards approached, he was surprised to find the English so well posted, and ranged in good order, and he immediately sounded a retreat; but the deputy gave orders to pursue him, and having thrown these advanced troops into disorder, he followed them to the main body, whom he also attacked and put to flight, with the slaughter of 1200 men (Winwood, vol. i., p. 369). Ocampo was taken prisoner, Tyrone fled into Ulster, O'Donnel made his escape into Spain, and d'Aquila, finding himself reduced to the greatest difficulties, was obliged to capitulate upon such terms as the deputy prescribed to him; he surrendered Kinsale and Baltimore, and agreed to evacuate the kingdom. This great blow, joined to other successes gained by Wilmot, governor of Kerry, and by Roger and Gavin Harvey, threw the rebels into dismay, and gave a prospect of the final reduction of Ireland.

The Irish war, though successful, was extremely burdensome on the queen's revenue; and besides the supplies granted by parliament, which were indeed very small, but which they ever regarded as mighty concessions, she had been obliged, notwithstanding her great frugality, to employ other expedients, such as selling the royal demesnes and crown jewels (D'Ewes, p. 629), and exacting loans from the people (Ibid.); in order to support this cause, so essential to the honour and interests of England. The necessity of her affairs obliged (Oct. 27) her again to summon a parliament; and it here appeared, that, though old age was advancing fast upon her, though she had lost much of her popularity by the unfortunate execution of Essex, inasmuch that, when she appeared in public, she was not attended with the usual acclamations (Ibid., p. 602; Osborne, p. 604), yet the powers of her prerogative, supported by her vigour, still remained as high and uncontrollable as ever.

The active reign of Elizabeth had enabled many persons to dis-

tinguish themselves in civil and military employments; and the queen, who was not able, from her revenue, to give them any rewards proportioned to their services, had made use of an expedient which had been employed by her predecessors, but which had never been carried to such an extreme as under her administration. She granted her servants and courtiers patents for monopolies; and these patents they sold to others, who were thereby enabled to raise commodities to what price they pleased, and who put invincible restraints upon all commerce, industry, and emulation in the arts. It is astonishing to consider the number and importance of those commodities which were thus assigned over to patentees. Currants, salt, iron, powder, cards, calf-skins, fells, pouldavies, ox-shin-bones, train-oil, lists of cloth, pot-ashes, anniseeds, vinegar, sea-coals, steel, aquavitæ, brushes, pots, bottles, saltpetre, lead, accidences, oil, calamine-stone, oil of blubber, glasses, paper, starch, tin, sulphur, new drapery, dried pilchards, transportation of iron ordnance, of beer, of horn, of leather, importation of Spanish wool, of Irish yarn; these are but a part of the commodities which had been appropriated to monopolists (D'Ewes, pp. 648, 650, 652). When this list was read in the house, a member cried, 'Is not bread in the number?' 'Bread,' said every one with astonishment. 'Yes, I assure you,' replied he, 'if affairs go on at this rate, we shall have bread reduced to a monopoly before next parliament' (Ibid., p. 648). These monopolists were so exorbitant in their demands, that in some places they raised the price of salt, from sixteen-pence a bushel, to fourteen or fifteen shillings (Ibid., p. 647). Such high profits naturally begat intruders upon their commerce; and in order to secure themselves against encroachments, the patentees were armed with high and arbitrary powers from the council, by which they were enabled to oppress the people at pleasure, and to exact money from such as they thought proper to accuse of interfering with their patent (Ibid., pp. 644, 646, 652). The patentees of saltpetre, having the power of entering into every house, and of committing what havoc they pleased in stables, cellars, or wherever they suspected saltpetre might be gathered, commonly extorted money from those who desired to free themselves from this damage or trouble (Ibid., p. 653). And while all domestic intercourse was thus restrained, lest any scope should remain for industry, almost every species of foreign commerce was confined to exclusive companies, who bought and sold at any price that they themselves thought proper to offer or exact.

These grievances, the most intolerable for the present, and the most pernicious in their consequences, that ever were known in any age, or under any government, had been mentioned in the last parliament, and a petition had even been presented to the queen, complaining of the patents; but she still persisted in defending her monopolists against her people. A bill was now introduced into the lower house, abolishing all these monopolies; and as the former application had been unsuccessful, a law was insisted on as the only certain expedient for correcting these abuses. The courtiers, on the other hand, maintained, that this matter regarded the prerogative, and that the commons could never hope for success, if they did not make application, in the most humble and respectful manner, to the queen's

goodness and beneficence. The topics which were advanced in the house, and which came equally from the courtiers and the country gentlemen, and were admitted by both, will appear the most extraordinary to such as are prepossessed with an idea of the privileges enjoyed by the people during that age, and of the liberty possessed under the administration of Elizabeth. It was asserted, that the queen inherited both an enlarging and a restraining power; by her prerogative she might set at liberty what was restrained by statute or otherwise, and by her prerogative she might restrain what was otherwise at liberty (D'Ewes, pp. 644, 675); that the royal prerogative was not to be canvassed, nor disputed, nor examined (Ibid., pp. 644, 649), and did not even admit of any limitation (Ibid., pp. 646, 654); that absolute princes, such as the sovereigns of England, were a species of divinity (Ibid., p. 649); that it was in vain to attempt tying the queen's hands by laws or statutes; since, by means of her dispensing power, she could loosen herself at pleasure (Ibid.); and that even if a clause should be annexed to a statute, excluding her dispensing power, she could first dispense with that clause, and then with the statute (Ibid., pp. 640, 646). After all this discourse, more worthy of a Turkish divan than of an English house of commons, according to our present idea of this assembly, the queen, who perceived how odious monopolies had become, and what heats were likely to arise, sent for the speaker, and desired him to acquaint the house, that she would immediately cancel the most grievous and oppressive of these patents.¹

The house was struck with astonishment, and admiration, and

¹ It may not be amiss to subjoin some passages of these speeches, which may serve to give us a just idea of the government of that age, and of the political principles which prevailed during the reign of Elizabeth. Mr. Laurence Hyde proposed a bill, intituled, 'An act for the explanation of the common law in certain cases of letters patent.' Mr. Spicer said, 'This bill may touch the prerogative-royal, which, as I learned, the last parliament, is so transcendent, that the — of the subject may not aspire thereunto. Far be it from me that the state and prerogative-royal of the prince should be tied by me, or by the act of any other subject.' Mr. Francis Bacon said, 'As to the prerogative-royal of the prince, for my own part, I never allowed of it; and it is such as I hope will never be discussed. The queen, as she is our sovereign, hath both an enlarging and restraining power. For by her prerogative she may set at liberty things restrained by statute law or otherwise, and secondly, by her prerogative she may restrain things which be at liberty. For the first, she may grant a *non obstante*, contrary to the penal laws. With regard to monopolies, and such like cases, the case hath ever been to humble ourselves unto her majesty, and by petition desire to have our grievances remedied, especially when the remedy toucheth her so nigh in point of prerogative. I say, and I say it again, that we ought not to deal, to judge, or meddle with her majesty's prerogative. I wish therefore every man to be careful of this business.' Dr. Bennet said: 'He that goeth about to debate her majesty's prerogative hath need to walk warily.' Mr. Laurence Hyde said: 'For the bill itself, I made it, and I think I understand it. And far be it from this heart of mine to think, this tongue to speak, or this hand to write anything either in pre-judice or derogation of her majesty's prerogative-royal and the state.' 'Mr. Speaker,' quoth Serjeant Harris, 'for aught I see, the house moveth to have this bill in the nature of a petition. It must then begin with more humiliation. And truly, sir, the bill is good of itself, but the passing of it is somewhat out of course.' Mr. Montagu said: 'The matter is good and honest, and I like this manner of proceeding by bill well enough in this matter. The grievances are great, and I would note only unto you thus much, that the last parliament we proceeded by way of petition, which had no successful effect.' Mr. Francis More said, 'I know the queen's prerogative is a thing curious to be dealt withal; yet all grievances are not comparable. I cannot utter with my tongue, or conceive with my own heart, the great grievances that the town and country, for which I serve, suffereth by some of these monopolies. It bringeth the general profit into a private hand, and the end of all this is beggary and bondage to the subjects. We have a law for the true and faithful currying of leather. There is a patent sets all at liberty, notwithstanding that statute. And to what purpose is it to do anything by act of parliament, when the queen will undo the same by her prerogative? Out of the spirit of humiliation, Mr. Speaker, I do speak it, there is no act of hers that hath been or is more

gratitude at this extraordinary instance of the queen's goodness and condescension. A member said, with tears in his eyes, that, if a sentence of everlasting happiness had been pronounced in his favour,

'derogatory to her own majesty, more odious to the subject, more dangerous to the commonwealth, than the granting of these monopolies.' Mr. Martin said: 'I do speak for a town that grieves and pines for country that groaneth and languishes under the burden of monstrous and unconscionable substitutes to the monopolitans of starch, tin, fish, cloth, oil, vinegar, salt, and I know not what; nay, what not? The principallest commodities both of my town and country are engrossed into the hands of these blood-suckers of the commonwealth. If a body, Mr. Speaker, being let blood, be left still languishing without any remedy, how can the good estate of that body still remain? Such is the state of my town and country; the traffic is taken away, the inward and private commodities are taken away, and dare not be used without the licence of these monopolitans. If these blood-suckers be still let alone to suck up the best and principallest commodities which the earth there hath given us, what will become of us, from whom the fruits of our own soil and the commodities of our own labour, which, with the sweat of our brows, even up to the knees in mire and dirt, we have laboured for, shall be taken by warrant of supreme authority, which the poor subject dare not gainsay?' Mr. George Moore said: 'We know the power of her majesty cannot be restrained by any act; why therefore should we thus talk? Admit we should make this statute with a *non obstante*; yet the queen may grant a patent with a *non obstante*, to cross this *non obstante*. I think therefore it agreeth more with the gravity and wisdom of this house to proceed with all humbleness by petition than bill.' Mr. Downland said, 'As I would be no let or over vehement in anything, so I am not sottish or senseless of the common grievance of the commonwealth. If we proceed by way of petition, we can have no more gracious answer than we had the last parliament to our petition. But since that parliament, we have no reformation.' Sir Robert Wroth said: 'I speak, and I speak it boldly, these patentees are worse than ever they were.' Mr. Hayward Townsend proposed: 'That they should make suit to her majesty, not only to repeal all monopolies grievous to the subject, but also that it would please her majesty to give the parliament leave to make an act that they might be of no more force, validity, or effect, than they are at the common law, without the strength of her prerogative. Which though we might now do, and the act being so reasonable, we might assure ourselves her majesty would not delay the passing thereof, yet we, her loving subjects, etc., would not offer, without her privity and consent (the cause so nearly touching her prerogative), or go about to do any such act.'

On a subsequent day the bill against monopolies was again introduced, and Mr. Spicer said: 'It is to no purpose to offer to tie her majesty's hands by act of parliament, when she may loosen herself at her pleasure.' Mr. Davies said: 'God hath given that power to absolute princes, which he attributes to himself. *Dixi quod Dii estis*. (N.B.—This axiom he applies to the kings of England.) Mr. Secretary Cecil said: 'I am servant to the queen, and before I would speak and give consent to a case that should debase her prerogative, or abridge it, I would wish that my tongue were cut out of my head. I am sure there were law-makers before there were laws.' (Meaning, I suppose, that the sovereign was above the laws.) 'One gentleman went about to possess us with the execution of the law in an ancient record of 5 or 7 of Edward III. Likely enough to be true in that time, when the king was afraid of the subject. If you stand upon law, and dispute of the prerogative, hark ye what Bracton says, *Prærogativam nostram nemo audeat disputare*. And for my own part I like not these courses should be taken. And you, Mr. Speaker, should perform the charge her majesty gave unto you in the beginning of this parliament, not to receive bills of this nature. For her majesty's ears be open to all grievances, and her hands stretched out to every man's petitions. When the prince dispenses with a penal law, that is left to the alteration of sovereignty, that is good and irrevocable.' Mr. Montague said: 'I am loth to speak what I know, lest perhaps I should displease. The prerogative-royal is that which is now in question, and which the laws of the land have ever allowed and maintained. Let us therefore apply by petition to her majesty.'

After the speaker told the house that the queen had annulled many of the patents, Mr. Francis More said: 'I must confess, Mr. Speaker, I moved the house both the last parliament and this, touching this point; but I never meant (and I hope the house thinketh so) to set limits and bounds to the prerogative-royal.' He proceeds to move, that thanks should be given to her majesty; and also, that whereas divers speeches have been moved extravagantly in the house, which doubtless have been told her majesty, and perhaps ill conceived of by her, Mr. Speaker would apologise, and humbly crave pardon for the same. N.B.—These extracts were taken by Townsend, a member of the house, who was no courtier; and the extravagance of the speeches seems rather to be on the other side. It will certainly appear strange to us, that this liberty should be thought extravagant. However, the queen, notwithstanding her cajoling the house, was so ill satisfied with these proceedings, that she spoke of them peevishly in her concluding speech, and told them that she perceived that private respects with them were privately masqued under public presence. D'Ewes, p. 619.

There were some other topics, in favour of prerogative, still more extravagant, advanced in the house this parliament. When the question of the subsidy was before them, Mr. Serjeant Heyle said: 'Mr. Speaker, I marvel much that the house should stand upon granting of a subsidy or the time of payment, when all we have is her majesty's, and she may lawfully at

he could not have felt more joy than that with which he was at present overwhelmed (D'Ewes, p. 654). Another observed, that this message from the sacred person of the queen, was a kind of gospel or glad-tidings, and ought to be received as such, and be written in the tablets of their hearts (Ibid., p. 656). And it was farther remarked, that, in the same manner as the Deity would not give His glory to another, so the queen herself was the only agent in their present prosperity and happiness (Ibid., p. 657). The house voted that the speaker, with a committee, should ask permission to wait on her majesty, and return thanks to her for her gracious concessions to her people.

When the speaker, with the other members, was introduced to the queen, they all flung themselves on their knees; and remained in that posture a considerable time, till she thought proper to express her desire that they should rise.¹ The speaker displayed the gratitude of the commons; because her sacred ears were ever open to hear them, and her blessed hands ever stretched out to relieve them. They acknowledged, he said, in all duty and thankfulness acknowledged, that, before they called, her preventing grace and all-deserving goodness watched over them for their good; more ready to give than they could desire, much less deserve. He remarked, that the attribute which was most proper to God, to perform all He promiseth, appertained also to her; and that she was all truth, all constancy, and all goodness. And he concluded with these expressions, 'Neither do we present our thanks in words or any outward sign, which can be no sufficient retribution for so great goodness; but in all duty and thankfulness, prostrate at your feet, we present our most loyal and thankful hearts, even the last drop of blood in our hearts, and the last spirit of breath in our nostrils, to be poured out, to be breathed up, for your safety' (D'Ewes, pp. 658, 659). The queen heard very patiently this speech, in which she was flattered in phrases appropriated to the Supreme Being; and she returned an answer, full of such expressions of tender-

'her pleasure take it from us. Yea, she hath as much right to all our lands and goods as to any revenue of her crown.' At which all the house hemmed, and laughed and talked. 'Well,' quoth Serjeant Heyle, 'all your hemming shall not put me out of countenance.' So Mr. Speaker stood up and said: 'It is a great disorder, that this house should be so used.' So the said serjeant proceeded, and when he had spoken a little while, the house hemmed again; and so he sat down. In his latter speech, he said he could prove his former position by precedents in the times of Henry III, King John, King Stephen, etc., which was the occasion of their hemming D'Ewes, p. 633. It is observable, that Heyle was an eminent lawyer, a man of character. Winwood, vol. i., p. 290. And though the house in general showed their disapprobation, no one cared to take him down, or oppose these monstrous positions. It was also asserted this session, that in the same manner as the Roman consul was possessed of the power of rejecting or admitting motions in the senate, the speaker might either admit or reject bills in the house. D'Ewes, p. 677. The house declared themselves against this opinion; but the very proposal of it is a proof at what a low ebb liberty was at that time in England.

In the year 1591, the judges made a solemn decree, that England was an absolute empire, of which the king was the head. In consequence of this opinion, they determined that even if the act of the first of Elizabeth had never been made, the king was supreme head of the church; and might have erected, by his prerogative, such a court as the ecclesiastical commission. For that he was the head of all his subjects. Now that court was plainly arbitrary. The inference is, that his power was equally absolute over the laity. Coke's Reports, p. 5. Caudrey's case.

¹ We learn from Hentzners's Travels, that no one spoke to Queen Elizabeth without kneeling; though now and then she raised some with waving her hand. Nay, wherever she turned her eye, every one fell on his knees. Her successor first allowed his courtiers to omit this ceremony; and as he exerted not the power, so he relinquished the appearance, of despotism. Even when Queen Elizabeth was absent, those who covered her table, though persons of quality, neither approached it nor retired from it without kneeling, and that often three times.

ness towards her people, as ought to have appeared fulsome after the late instances of rigour which she had employed, and from which nothing but necessity had made her depart. Thus was this critical affair happily terminated; and Elizabeth, by prudently receding, in time, from part of her prerogative, maintained her dignity, and preserved the affections of her people.

The commons granted her a supply quite unprecedented, of four subsidies and eight fifteenths; and they were so dutiful as to vote this supply before they received any satisfaction in the business of monopolies, which they justly considered as of the utmost importance to the interest and happiness of the nation. Had they attempted to extort that concession by keeping the supply in suspense, so haughty was the queen's disposition, that this appearance of constraint and jealousy had been sufficient to have produced a denial of all their requests, and to have forced her into some acts of authority still more violent and arbitrary.

The remaining (A.D. 1602) events of this reign are neither numerous nor important. The queen, finding that the Spaniards had involved her in so much trouble, by fomenting and assisting the Irish rebellion, resolved to give them employment at home; and she fitted out a squadron of nine ships, under Sir Richard Levison, admiral, and Sir William Monson, vice-admiral, whom she sent on an expedition to the coast of Spain. The admiral, with part of the squadron, met the galleons loaded with treasure; but was not strong enough to attack them. The vice-admiral also fell in with some rich ships; but they escaped for a like reason; and these two brave officers, that their expedition might not prove entirely fruitless, resolved to attack the harbour of Cerimbra in Portugal; where, they received intelligence, a very rich carrack had taken shelter. The harbour was guarded by a castle; there were eleven gallies stationed in it; and the militia of the country, to the number, as was believed, of 20,000 men, appeared in arms on the shore; yet, notwithstanding these obstacles, and others derived from the winds and tides, the English squadron broke into the harbour, dismounted the guns of the castle, sunk, or burnt, or put to flight, the gallies, and obliged the carrack to surrender (Monson, p. 181). They brought her home to England, and she was valued at a million of ducats (Camden, p. 647). A sensible loss to the Spaniards; and a supply still more important to Elizabeth.¹

The affairs of Ireland, after the defeat of Tyrone, and the expulsion of the Spaniards, hastened to a settlement. Lord Mountjoy divided his army into small parties, and harassed the rebels on every side; he built Charlemont, and many other small forts, which were impregnable to the Irish, and guarded all the important passes of the country; the activity of Sir Henry Docwray and Sir Arthur Chichester permitted no repose or security to the rebels; and many of the chieftains, after skulking, during some time, in woods and morasses, submitted to mercy, and received such conditions as the deputy was pleased to

¹ This year the Spaniards began the siege of Ostend, which was bravely defended for five months by Sir Francis Vere. The states then relieved him, by sending a new governor; and on the whole the siege lasted three years, and is computed to have cost the lives of a hundred thousand men.

impose upon them. Tyrone himself (A.D. 1603) made application by Arthur Mac-Baron, his brother, to be received upon terms; but Mountjoy would not admit him, except he made an absolute surrender of his life and fortunes to the queen's mercy. He appeared before the deputy at Millefont, in a habit and posture suitable to his present fortune; and after acknowledging his offence in the most humble terms, he was committed to custody by Mountjoy, who intended to bring him over captive into England, to be disposed of at the queen's pleasure.

But Elizabeth was now incapable of receiving any satisfaction from this fortunate event. She had fallen into a profound melancholy, which all the advantages of her high fortune, all the glories of her prosperous reign, were unable, in any degree, to alleviate or assuage. Some ascribed this depression of mind to her repentance of granting a pardon to Tyrone, whom she had always resolved to bring to condign punishment for his treasons, but who had made such interest with the ministers, as to extort a remission from her. Others, with more likelihood, accounted for her dejection, by a discovery which she had made, of the correspondence maintained in her court with her successor the King of Scots, and by the neglect to which, on account of her old age and infirmities, she imagined herself to be exposed. But there is another cause assigned for her melancholy, which has long been rejected by historians as romantic, but which late discoveries seem to have confirmed.¹ Some incidents happened which revived her tenderness for Essex, and filled her with the deepest sorrow for the consent which she had unwarily given to his execution.

The Earl of Essex, after his return from the fortunate expedition against Cadiz, observing the increase of the queen's fond attachment towards him, took occasion to regret that the necessity of her service required him often to be absent from her person, and exposed him to all those ill offices which his enemies, more assiduous in their attendance, could employ against him. She was moved with this tender jealousy, and making him the present of a ring, desired him to keep that pledge of her affection, and assured him, that into whatever disgrace he should fall, whatever prejudices she might be induced to entertain against him, yet, if he sent her that ring, she would immediately, upon the sight of it, recall her former tenderness, would afford him a patient hearing, and would lend a favourable ear to his apology. Essex, notwithstanding all his misfortunes, reserved this precious gift to the last extremity; but after his trial and condemnation, he resolved to try the experiment, and he committed the ring to the Countess of Nottingham, whom he desired to deliver it to the queen. The countess was prevailed on by her husband, the mortal enemy of Essex, not to execute the commission; and Elizabeth, who still expected that her favourite would make this last appeal to her tenderness, and who ascribed the neglect of it to his invincible obstinacy, was, after much delay, and many internal combats, pushed by resentment and policy to sign the warrant for his execution. The Countess of Nottingham falling into sickness, and affected with the near approach of death, was

¹ See the proofs of this remarkable fact collected in Birch's *Negotiations*, p. 206. And *Mem.*, vol. ii., pp. 481, 505, 506, etc.

seized with remorse for her conduct ; and having obtained a visit from the queen, she craved her pardon, and revealed to her the fatal secret. The queen, astonished with this incident, burst into a furious passion : she shook the dying countess in her bed ; and crying to her, that God might pardon her, but she never could, she broke from her, and thenceforth resigned herself over to the deepest and most incurable melancholy. She rejected all consolation ; she even refused food and sustenance ; and throwing herself on the floor, she remained sullen and immoveable, feeding her thoughts on her afflictions, and declaring life and existence an insufferable burden to her. Few words she uttered, and they were all expressive of some inward grief, which she cared not to reveal. But sighs and groans were the chief vent which she gave to her despondency, and which, though they discovered her sorrows, were never able to ease or assuage them. Ten days and nights she lay upon the carpet, leaning on cushions which her maids brought her ; and her physicians could not persuade her to allow herself to be put to bed, much less to make trial of any remedies which they prescribed to her (Styke, vol. iv., No. 276). Her anxious mind, at last, had so long preyed on her frail body, that her end was visibly approaching ; and the council being assembled, sent the keeper, admiral, and secretary, to know her will with regard to her successor. She answered with a faint voice, that, as she had held a regal sceptre, she desired no other than a royal successor. Cecil requesting her to explain herself more particularly, she subjoined, that she would have a king to succeed her ; and who should that be but her nearest kinsman, the King of Scots ? Being then advised by the Archbishop of Canterbury to fix her thoughts upon God, she replied that she did so, nor did her mind in the least wander from Him. Her voice soon after left her ; her senses failed ; she fell into a lethargic slumber, which continued some hours ; and she expired (March 24) gently, without further struggle or convulsion, in the seventieth year of her age, and forty-fifth of her reign.

So dark a cloud overcast the evening of that day, which had shone out with a mighty lustre in the eyes of all Europe. There are few great personages in history who have been more exposed to the calumny of enemies and the adulation of friends than Queen Elizabeth ; and yet there scarcely is any whose reputation has been more certainly determined by the unanimous consent of posterity. The unusual length of her administration, and the strong features of her character, were able to overcome all prejudices ; and obliging her detractors to abate much of their invectives, and her admirers somewhat of their panegyrics, have at last, in spite of political factions, and, what is more, of religious animosities, produced a uniform judgment with regard to her conduct. Her vigour, her constancy, her magnanimity, her penetration, vigilance, address, are allowed to merit the highest praises, and appear not to have been surpassed by any person that ever filled a throne ; a conduct less rigorous, less imperious, more sincere, more indulgent to her people, would have been requisite to form a perfect character. By the force of her mind she controlled all her more active and stronger qualities, and prevented them from running into excess ; her heroism was exempt from temerity, her frugality from avarice, her friendship from partiality. her active temper from

turbulency and a vain ambition; she guarded not herself with equal care or equal success from lesser infirmities: the rivalry of beauty, the desire of admiration, the jealousy of love, and the sallies of anger.

Her singular talents for government were founded equally on her temper and on her capacity. Endowed with a great command over herself, she soon attained an uncontrolled ascendant over her people; and while she merited all their esteem by her real virtues, she also engaged their affections by her pretended ones. Few sovereigns of England succeeded to the throne in more difficult circumstances; and none ever conducted the government with such uniform success and felicity. Though unacquainted with the practice of toleration, the true secret for managing religious factions, she preserved her people, by her superior prudence, from those confusions, in which theological controversy had involved all the neighbouring nations; and though her enemies were the most powerful princes of Europe, the most active, the most enterprising, the least scrupulous, she was able by her vigour to make deep impressions on their states; her own greatness, meanwhile, remained untouched and unimpaired.

The wise ministers and brave warriors who flourished under her reign share the praise of her success; but instead of lessening the applause due to her, they make great addition to it. They owed, all of them, their advancement to her choice; they were supported by her constancy; and, with all their abilities, they were never able to acquire any undue ascendant over her. In her family, in her court, in her kingdom, she remained equally mistress; the force of the tender passions was great over her, but the force of her mind was still superior; and the combat, which her victory visibly cost her, serves only to display the firmness of her resolution and the loftiness of her ambitious sentiments.

The fame of this princess, though it has surmounted the prejudices both of faction and bigotry, yet lies still exposed to another prejudice, which is more durable because more natural, and which, according to the different views in which we survey her, is capable either of exalting beyond measure, or diminishing the lustre of her character. This prejudice is founded on the consideration of her sex. When we contemplate her as a woman, we are apt to be struck with the highest admiration of her great qualities and extensive capacity; but we are also apt to require some more softness of disposition, some greater lenity of temper, some of those amiable weaknesses by which her sex is distinguished. But the true method of estimating her merit is to lay aside all these considerations, and consider her merely as a rational being placed in authority and entrusted with the government of mankind. We may find it difficult to reconcile our fancy to her as a wife or a mistress; but her qualities as a sovereign, though with some considerable exceptions, are the object of undisputed applause and approbation.

APPENDIX III.

*Government of England.—Revenues.—Commerce.—Military force.—
Manufactures.—Learning.*

THE party among us who have distinguished themselves by their adhering to liberty and a popular government, have long indulged their prejudices against the succeeding race of princes by bestowing unbounded panegyrics on the virtue and wisdom of Elizabeth. They have ever been so extremely ignorant of the transactions of this reign as to extol her for a quality which, of all others, she was the least possessed of: a tender regard for the constitution, and a concern for the liberties and privileges of her people. But as it is scarcely possible for the prepossessions of party to throw a veil much longer over facts so palpable and undeniable, there is danger lest the public should run into the opposite extreme, and should entertain an aversion to the memory of a princess who exercised the royal authority in a manner so contrary to all the ideas which we at present entertain of a legal constitution. But Elizabeth only supported the prerogatives transmitted to her by her predecessors: she believed that her subjects were entitled to no more liberty than their ancestors had enjoyed; she found that they entirely acquiesced in her arbitrary administration; and it was not natural for her to find fault with a form of government by which she herself was invested with such unlimited authority. In the particular exertions of power, the question ought never to be forgotten, What is best? But in the general distribution of power among the several members of a constitution, there can seldom be admitted any other question than, What is established? Few examples occur of princes who have willingly resigned their power; none of those who have, without struggle and resistance, allowed it to be extorted from them. If any other rule than established practice be followed, factions and dissensions must multiply without end; and though many constitutions, and none more than the British, have been improved even by violent innovations, the praise bestowed on those patriots to whom the nation has been indebted for its privileges ought to be given with some reserve, and surely without the least rancour against those who adhered to the ancient constitution.¹

In order to understand the ancient constitution of England, there is not a period which deserves more to be studied than the reign of Elizabeth. The prerogatives of this princess were scarcely ever disputed, and she therefore employed them without scruple; her imperious temper, a circumstance in which she went far beyond her successors, rendered her exertions of power violent and frequent, and discovered the full extent of her authority. The great popularity which she en-

¹ By the ancient constitution, is here meant that which prevailed before the settlement of our present plan of liberty. There was a more ancient constitution, where, though the people had perhaps less liberty than under the Tudors, yet the king had also less authority. The power of the barons was a great check upon him, and exercised great tyranny over them. But there was still a more ancient constitution, viz., that before the signing of the charters, when neither the people nor the barony had any regular privileges; and the power of the government, during the reign of an able prince, was almost wholly in the king. The English constitution, like all others, has been in a state of continual fluctuation.

joyed proves that she did not infringe any established liberties of the people; there remains evidence sufficient to ascertain the most noted acts of her administration; and though that evidence must be drawn from a source wide of the ordinary historians, it becomes only the more authentic on that account, and serves as a stronger proof that her particular exertions of power were conceived to be nothing but the ordinary course of administration, since they were not thought remarkable enough to be recorded even by contemporary writers. If there was any difference in this particular, the people in former reigns seem rather to have been more submissive than even during the age of Elizabeth;¹ it may not here be improper to recount some of the ancient prerogatives of the crown, and lay open the sources of that great power which the English monarchs formerly enjoyed.

One of the most ancient and most established instruments of power was the court of Star Chamber, which possessed an unlimited discretionary authority of fining, imprisoning, and inflicting corporal punishment, and whose jurisdiction extended to all sorts of offences, contempts, and disorders, that lay not within reach of the common law. The members of this court consisted of the privy council and the judges; men who, all of them, enjoyed their offices during pleasure: and when the prince himself was present, he was the sole judge, and all the others could only interpose with their advice. There needed but this one court in any government to put an end to all regular, legal, and exact plans of liberty; for who durst set himself in opposition to the crown and ministry, or aspire to the character of being a patron of freedom, while exposed to so arbitrary a jurisdiction? I much question whether any of the absolute monarchies in Europe contain, at present, so illegal and despotic a tribunal.

The court of High Commission was another jurisdiction still more terrible; both because the crime of heresy, of which it took cognizance, was more undefinable than any civil offence, and because its methods of inquisition, and of administering oaths, were more contrary to all the most simple ideas of justice and equity. The fines and imprisonments imposed by this court were frequent: the deprivations and suspensions of the clergy for nonconformity were also numerous, and comprehended at one time the third of all the ecclesiastics of England (Neal, vol. i., p. 479). The queen, in a letter to the Archbishop of Canterbury, said expressly, that she was resolved, 'That no man should be suffered to decline, either on the left or on the right hand, from the drawn line limited by authority, and by her laws and injunctions' (Murden, p. 183).

But martial law went beyond even these two courts in a prompt, and arbitrary, and violent method of decision. Whenever there was any insurrection or public disorder, the crown employed martial law; and it was, during that time, exercised not only over the soldiers, but over the whole people: any one might be punished as a rebel, or an aider and abettor of rebellion, whom the provost-martial, or lieutenant of a

¹ In a memorial of the state of the realm, drawn by Secretary Cecil, in 1569, there is this passage: 'Then followeth the decay of obedience in civil policy, which being compared with the fearfulness and reverence of all inferior estates to their superiors in times past, will astonish any wise and considerate person, to behold the desperation of reformation.' Haynes, p. 586; again, p. 588.

county, or their deputies, pleased to suspect. Lord Bacon says, that the trial at common law, granted to the Earl of Essex and his fellow-conspirators, was a favour; for that the case would have borne and required the severity of martial law (Vol. iv., p. 510). We have seen instances of its being employed by Queen Mary in defence of orthodoxy. There remains a letter of Queen Elizabeth's to the Earl of Sussex, after the suppression of the northern rebellion, in which she sharply reproves him, because she had not heard of his having executed any criminals by martial law (MS. of Lord Royston's, from the Paper Office); though it is probable that near eight hundred persons suffered, one way or other, on account of that slight insurrection. But the Kings of England did not always limit the exercise of this law to times of civil war and disorder. In 1552, when there was no rebellion or insurrection, King Edward granted a commission of martial law; and empowered the commissioners to execute it, AS SHOULD BE THOUGHT BY THEIR DISCRETIONS MOST NECESSARY (Strype's Eccles. Mem., vol. ii., pp. 373, 458, 9). Queen Elizabeth too was not sparing in the use of this law. In 1573, one Peter Burchet, a puritan, being persuaded that it was meritorious to kill such as opposed the truth of the gospel, ran into the streets, and wounded Hawkins, the famous sea-captain, whom he took for Hatton, the queen's favourite. The queen was so incensed, that she ordered him to be punished instantly by martial law; but, upon the remonstrance of some prudent counsellors, who told her that this law was usually confined to turbulent times, she recalled her order, and delivered over Burchet to the common law (Camden, p. 446; Strype, vol. ii., p. 288). But she continued not always so reserved in exerting this authority. There remains a proclamation of hers, in which she orders martial law to be used against all such as import bulls, or even forbidden books and pamphlets, from abroad (Strype, vol. iii., p. 570); and prohibits the questioning of the lieutenants, or their deputies, for their arbitrary punishment of such offenders, ANY LAW OR STATUTE TO THE CONTRARY IN ANY WISE NOTWITHSTANDING. We have another act of hers still more extraordinary. The streets of London were much infested with idle vagabonds and riotous persons; the lord mayor had endeavoured to repress this disorder; the star-chamber had exerted its authority, and inflicted punishment on these rioters; but the queen, finding those remedies ineffectual, revived martial law, and gave Sir Thomas Wilford a commission of provost-martial, 'Granting him authority, and commanding him, upon signification given by the justices of peace in London, or the neighbouring counties, of such offenders, worthy to be speedily executed by martial law, to attach and take the same persons, and in the presence of the said justices, according to justice of martial law, to execute them upon the gallows or gibbet openly, or near to such place where the said rebellious and incorrigible offenders shall be found to have committed the said great offences' (Rymer, vol. xvi., p. 279). I suppose it would be difficult to produce an instance of such an act of authority in any place nearer than Muscovy. The patent of high constable, granted to Earl Rivers by Edward IV. proves the nature of the office. The powers are unlimited, perpetual, and remain in force during peace, as well as during war and rebellion. The parliament, in Edward VIth's

reign, acknowledged the jurisdiction of the constable and martial's court to be part of the law of the land.¹

The Star Chamber, and High Commission, and court martial, though arbitrary jurisdictions, had still some pretence of a trial, at least of a sentence; but there was a grievous punishment very generally inflicted in that age, without any other authority than the warrant of a secretary of state, or of the privy council;² and that was, imprisonment in any jail, and during any time that the ministers should think proper. In suspicious times, all the jails were full of prisoners of state; and these unhappy victims of public jealousy were sometimes thrown into dungeons, and loaded with irons, and treated in the most cruel manner, without their being able to obtain any remedy from law.

This practice was an indirect way of employing torture; but the rack itself, though not admitted in the ordinary execution of justice (Harrison, Book ii., chap. 11), was frequently used, upon any suspicion, by authority of a warrant from a secretary or the privy council. Even the council in the marches of Wales was empowered, by their very commission, to make use of torture whenever they thought proper (Haynes, p. 196; also *La Boderie*, vol. i., p. 211). There cannot be a stronger proof how lightly the rack was employed, than the following story, told by Lord Bacon. We shall give it in his own words, 'The queen was mightily incensed against Haywarde, on account of a book he dedicated to Lord Essex, being a story of the first year of Henry IV., thinking it a seditious prelude to put into the people's heads boldness and faction:³ she said she had an opinion that there was treason in it, and asked me, If I could not find any places in it that might be drawn within the case of treason? Whereto I answered, For treason, sure I found none; but for felony, very many: and when her majesty hastily asked me, Wherein? I told her the author had committed very apparent theft; for he had taken most of the sentences of Cornelius Tacitus, and translated them into English, and put them into his text. And another time, when the queen could not be persuaded, that it was his writing whose name was to it, but that it had some more mischievous author, and said, with great indignation, that she would have him racked to produce his author; I replied, Nay, madam, he is a doctor, never rack his person, but rack his style: let him have pen, ink, and paper, and help of books, and be enjoined to continue the story where it breaketh off, and I will undertake, by collating the styles, to judge whether he were the author or no' (*Cabala*, p. 81). Thus, had it not been for Bacon's humanity, or rather his wit, this author, a man of letters, had been put to the rack for a most innocent performance. His real offence was, his dedicating a book to that munificent patron of the learned, the Earl of Essex, at a time when this nobleman lay under her majesty's displeasure.

The queen's menace, of trying and punishing Haywarde for treason,

¹ 7 Edw. VI., cap. 20. See Sir John Davis's *Question concerning Impositions*, p. 9.

² In 1588, the lord mayor committed several citizens to prison, because they refused to pay the loan demanded of them. *Murden*, p. 632.

³ To our apprehension, Haywarde's book seems rather to have a contrary tendency. For he has there preserved the famous speech of the Bishop of Carlisle, which contains, in the most express terms, the doctrine of passive obedience. But Queen Elizabeth was very difficult to please on this head.

could easily have been executed, let his book have been ever so innocent. While so many terrors hung over the people, no jury durst have acquitted a man, when the court was resolved to have him condemned. The practice also, of not confronting witnesses with the prisoner, gave the crown lawyers all imaginable advantage against him. And, indeed, there scarcely occurs an instance, during all these reigns, that the sovereign, or the ministers, were ever disappointed in the issue of a prosecution. Timid juries, and judges who held their offices during pleasure, never failed to second all the views of the crown. And as the practice was anciently common, of fining, imprisoning, or otherwise punishing the jurors, merely at the discretion of the court, for finding a verdict contrary to the direction of these dependent judges; it is obvious, that juries were then no manner of security to the liberty of the subject.

The power of pressing, both by sea and land service, and obliging any person to accept of any office, however mean or unfit for him, was another prerogative totally incompatible with freedom. Osborne gives the following account of Elizabeth's method of employing this prerogative. 'In case she found any likely to interrupt her occasion,' says he, 'she did seasonably prevent him by a chargeable employment 'abroad, or putting him upon some service at home, which she knew 'least grateful to the people; contrary to a false maxim, since practised with far worse success, by such princes as thought it better husbandry to buy off enemies than reward friends' (p. 392). The practice with which Osborne reproaches the two immediate successors of Elizabeth, proceeded partly from the extreme difficulty of their situation, partly from the greater lenity of their disposition. The power of pressing, as may naturally be imagined, was often abused, in other respects, by men of inferior rank; and officers often exacted money for freeing persons from the service (Murden, p. 181).

The government of England, during that age, however different in other particulars, bore in this respect some resemblance to that of Turkey at present; the sovereign possessed every power, except that of imposing taxes; and in both countries this limitation, unsupported by other privileges, appears rather prejudicial to the people. In Turkey, it obliges the Sultan to permit the extortion of the bashas and governors of provinces, from whom he afterwards squeezes presents or takes forfeitures; in England, it engaged the queen to erect monopolies, and grant patents for exclusive trade—an invention so pernicious, that, had she gone on during a track of years at her own rate, England, the seat of riches, and arts, and commerce, would have contained at present as little industry as Morocco, on the coast of Barbary.

We may farther observe, that this valuable privilege, valuable only because it proved afterwards the mean by which the parliament extorted all their other privileges, was very much encroached on, in an indirect manner, during the reign of Elizabeth, as well as of her predecessors. She often exacted loans from her people; an arbitrary and unequal kind of imposition, and which individuals felt severely; for though the money had been regularly repaid, which was seldom the case (Bacon, vol. iv., p. 362), it lay in the prince's hands without

interest, which was a sensible loss to the persons from whom the money was borrowed.¹

There remains a proposal made by Lord Burleigh, for levying a general loan on the people, equivalent to a subsidy (Haynes, pp. 518, 519); a scheme which would have laid the burden more equally, but which was, in different words, a taxation imposed without consent of parliament. It is remarkable, that the scheme, thus proposed, without any visible necessity, by that wise minister, is the very same which Henry VIII. executed, and which Charles I., enraged by ill usage from his parliament, and reduced to the greatest difficulties, put afterwards in practice, to the great discontent of the nation.

The demand of benevolence was another invention of that age for taxing the people. This practice was so little conceived to be irregular, that the commons, in 1585, offered the queen a benevolence, which she very generously refused, as having no occasion, at that time, for money (D'Ewes, p. 494). Queen Mary also, by an order of council, increased the customs in some branches; and her sister imitated the example (Bacon, vol. iv., p. 362). There was a species of ship money imposed at the time of the Spanish invasion: the several ports were required to equip a certain number of vessels at their own charge; and such was the alacrity of the people for the public defence, that some of the ports, particularly London, sent double the number demanded of them (Monson, p. 267). When any levies were made for Ireland, France, or the Low Countries, the queen obliged the counties to levy the soldiers, to arm and clothe them, and carry them to the sea-ports at their own charge. New-year's gifts were, at that time, expected from the nobility, and from the more considerable gentry (Strype's Mem., vol. i., p. 137).

Purveyance and pre-emption were also methods of taxation, unequal, arbitrary, and oppressive. The whole kingdom sensibly felt the burden of those impositions; and it was regarded as a great privilege conferred on Oxford and Cambridge, to prohibit the purveyors from taking any commodities within five miles of these universities. The queen victualled her navy by means of this prerogative, during the first year of her reign (Camden, p. 388).

Wardship was the most regular and legal of all these impositions by prerogative; yet was it a great badge of slavery, and oppressive to all the considerable families. When an estate devolved to a female, the sovereign obliged her to marry any one he pleased; whether the heir were male or female, the crown enjoyed the whole profit of the estate during the minority. The giving of a rich wardship was a usual method of rewarding a courtier or favourite.

The inventions were endless, which arbitrary power might employ for the extorting of money, while the people imagined that their property was secured by the crown's being debarred from imposing taxes. Strype has preserved a speech of Lord Burleigh to the queen

¹ In the second of Rich. II., it was enacted, that in loans which the king shall require of his subjects, upon letters of privy seal, such as have *reasonable* excuse of not lending, may there be received without further summons, travel, or grief. Cotton's Abridg., p. 170. By this law, the king's prerogative of exacting loans was ratified; and what ought to be deemed a *reasonable* excuse, was still left in his own breast to determine.

and council, in which are contained some particulars not a little extraordinary (Annals, vol. iv., pp. 234 & seq.). Burleigh proposes that she should erect a court for the correction of all abuses, and should confer on the commissioners a general inquisitorial power over the whole kingdom. He sets before her the example of her wise grandfather, Henry VII., who, by such methods, extremely augmented his revenue; and he recommends, that this new court shall proceed, 'as well by the direction and ordinary course of the law, as by virtue of her majesty's supreme regiment and absolute power, from whence law proceeded.' In a word, he expects from this institution greater accession to the royal treasure than Henry VIII. derived from the abolition of the abbey and all the forfeitures of ecclesiastical revenues. This project of Lord Burleigh's needs not, I think, any comment. A form of government must be very arbitrary indeed, where a wise and good minister could make such a proposal to the sovereign.

Embargoes on merchandise was another engine of the royal power, by which the English princes were able to extort money from the people. We have seen instances in the reign of Mary. Elizabeth, before her coronation, issued an order to the custom-house, prohibiting the sale of all crimson silks, which should be imported, till the court were first supplied (Strype, vol. i., p. 27). She expected, no doubt, a good penny-worth from the merchants while they lay under this restraint.

The parliament pretended to the right of enacting laws, as well as of granting subsidies; but this privilege was, during that age, still more insignificant than the other. Queen Elizabeth expressly prohibited them from meddling either with state matters or ecclesiastical causes; and she openly sent the members to prison, who dared to transgress her imperial edict in these particulars. There passed few sessions of parliament during her reign, where there occur not instances of this arbitrary conduct.

But the legislative power of the parliament was a mere fallacy; while the sovereign was universally acknowledged to possess a dispensing power, by which all the laws could be invalidated, and rendered of no effect. The exercise of this power was also an indirect method practised for erecting monopolies. Where the statutes laid any branch of manufacture under restrictions, the sovereign, by exempting one person from the laws, gave him in effect the monopoly of that commodity (Rymer, tom. xv., p. 756; D'Ewes, p. 645). There was no grievance, at that time, more universally complained of, than the frequent dispensing with the penal laws (Murden, p. 325).

But, in reality, the crown possessed the full legislative power, by means of proclamations, which might affect any matter, even of the greatest importance, and which the Star Chamber took care to see more rigorously executed than the laws themselves. The motives for these proclamations were sometimes frivolous, and even ridiculous. Queen Elizabeth had taken offence at the smell of woad; and she issued an edict prohibiting any one from cultivating that useful plant (Townsend's Journals, p. 250; Stow's Annals). She was also pleased to take offence at the long swords and high ruffs then in fashion; she sent about her officers, to break every man's sword, and clip every

man's ruff, which was beyond a certain dimension.¹ This practice resembles the method employed by the great Czar Peter, to make his subjects change their garb.

The queen's prohibition of the 'prophesyings,' or the assemblies instituted for fanatical prayers and conferences, was founded on a better reason; but shows still the unlimited extent of her prerogative. Any number of persons could not meet together, in order to read the Scriptures, and confer about religion, though in ever so orthodox a manner, without her permission.

There were many other branches of prerogative incompatible with an exact or regular enjoyment of liberty. None of the nobility could marry without permission from the sovereign. The queen detained the Earl of Southampton long in prison, because he privately married the Earl of Essex's cousin (Birch's Mem., vol. ii., p. 442). No man could travel without the consent of the prince. Sir William Evers underwent a severe persecution, because he had presumed to pay a private visit to the King of Scots (Ibid., p. 511). The sovereign even assumed a supreme and uncontrolled authority over all foreign trade; and neither allowed any person to enter or depart the kingdom, nor any commodity to be imported or exported without his consent (Davis's Question concerning Imposit. *passim*).

The parliament, in the thirteenth of the queen praised her for not imitating the practice, usual among her predecessors, of stopping the course of justice by particular warrants (D'Ewes, p. 141). There could not possibly be a greater abuse, nor a stronger mark of arbitrary power; and the queen, in restraining from it, was very laudable. But she was by no means constant in this reserve. There remain in the public records some warrants of hers for exempting particular persons from all lawsuits and prosecutions (Rymer, tom. xv., pp. 652, 708, 777); and these warrants, she says, she grants from her royal prerogative, which she will not allow to be disputed.

It was very usual in Queen Elizabeth's reign, and probably in all the preceding reigns, for noblemen or privy-counsellors to commit to prison any one who had happened to displease them, by suing for his just debts; and the unhappy person, though he gained his cause in the courts of justice, was commonly obliged to relinquish his property in order to obtain his liberty. Some likewise, who had been delivered from prison by the judges, were again committed to custody in secret places, without any possibility of obtaining relief; and even the officers and sergeants of the courts of law were punished for executing the writs in favour of these persons. Nay, it was usual to send for people by pursuivants, a kind of harpies, who then attended the orders of the council and high commission, and they were brought up to London, and constrained by imprisonment not only to withdraw their lawful suits, but also to pay the pursuivants great sums of money. The judges in the thirty-fourth of the queen, complain to her majesty of the frequency of this practice. It is probable that so egregious a tyranny was carried no farther down than the reign of Elizabeth, since the parliament who presented the petition of right, found no later instances

¹ Townsend's Journals, p. 250. Stow's Annals. Strype, vol. ii., p. 603.

of it.¹ And even these very judges of Elizabeth, who thus protect the people against the tyranny of the great, expressly allow that a person committed by special command of the queen is not bailable.

It is easy to imagine that, in such a government, no justice could by course of law, be obtained of the sovereign, unless he were willing to allow it. In the naval expedition undertaken by Raleigh and Frobisher against the Spaniards in the year 1592, a very rich carrac was taken, worth 200,000*l*. The queen's share in the adventure was only a tenth, but as the prize was so great, and exceeded so much the expectation of all the adventurers, she was determined not to rest contented with her share. Raleigh humbly and earnestly begged her to accept of 100,000*l*., in lieu of all demands, or rather extortions, and says that the present which the proprietors were willing to make her, of 80,000*l*., was the greatest that ever prince received from a subject (Strype, vol. iv., pp. 128, 129).

But it is no wonder the queen in her administration, should pay so little regard to liberty, while the parliament itself in enacting laws, was entirely negligent of it. The persecuting statutes which they passed against papists and puritans, are extremely contrary to the genius of freedom, and by exposing such multitudes to the tyranny of priests and bigots, accustomed the people to the most disgraceful subjection. Their conferring an unlimited supremacy on the queen, or what is worse, acknowledging her inherent right to it, was another proof of their voluntary servitude.

The law of the 23rd of her reign, making seditious words against the queen capital, is also a very tyrannical statute, and a use no less tyrannical, was sometimes made of it. The case of Udal, a puritanical clergyman, seems singular even in those arbitrary times. This man had published a book called a demonstration of discipline, in which he inveighed against the government of bishops, and though he had carefully endeavoured to conceal his name, he was thrown into prison upon suspicion, and brought to a trial for this offence. It was pretended that the bishops were part of the queen's political body, and to speak against them was really to attack her, and was therefore felony by the statute. This was not the only iniquity to which Udal was exposed. The judges would not allow the jury to determine anything but the fact whether Udal had written the book or not, without examining his intention, or the import of the words. In order to prove the fact, the crown lawyers did not produce a single witness to the court; they only read the testimony of two persons absent, one of whom said that Udal had told him he was the author, another that a friend of Udal's had said so. They would not allow Udal to produce any exculpatory evidence, which they said, was never to be permitted against the crown.² And they tendered him an oath, by which he was required to depose that he was not author of the book, and his refusal to make that deposition was employed as the strongest proof of his guilt. It is almost needless to add, that notwithstanding these multiplied iniquities, a verdict of death was given by the jury

¹ Rushworth, vol. i., p. 521; Franklyn's Annals, pp. 250, 251.

² It was never fully established that the prisoner could legally produce evidence against the crown, till after the revolution. Blackstone's Comment., vol. iv., p. 352.

against Udal; for as the queen was extremely bent upon his prosecution, it was impossible he could escape.¹ He died in prison before execution of the sentence.

The case of Penry was, if possible, still harder. This man was a zealous puritan, or rather a Brownist, a small sect which afterwards increased, and received the name of Independents. He had written against the hierarchy several tracts, such as 'Martin Marprelate,' 'Theses Martinianæ,' and other compositions, full of low scurrility and petulant satire. After concealing himself for some years, he was seized, and as the statute against seditious words required that the criminal should be tried within a year after committing the offence, he could not be indicted for his printed books. He was therefore tried for some papers found in his pocket, as if he had thereby scattered sedition.² It was also imputed to him by the Lord Keeper Puckering, that in some of these papers, 'he had not only acknowledged her majesty's royal power to ESTABLISH laws ecclesiastical and civil, but 'had avoided the USUAL terms of MAKING, ENACTING, DECREERING, AND 'ORDAINING LAWS; which imply,' says the lord keeper, 'a most absolute 'authority' (Strype's Annals, vol. iv., p. 177). Penry, for these offences, was condemned and executed.

Thus we have seen that the most absolute authority of the sovereign, to make use of the lord keeper's expression, was established on above twenty branches of prerogative which are now abolished, and which were, every one of them, totally incompatible with the liberty of the subject. But what insured more effectually the slavery of the people, than even these branches of prerogative, was the established principles of the times, which attributed to the prince such an unlimited and indefeasible power, and was supposed to be the origin of all law, and could be circumscribed by none. The homilies published for the use of the clergy, and which they were enjoined to read every Sunday in all the churches, inculcate everywhere a blind and unlimited passive obedience to the prince, which on no account, and under no pretence, it is ever lawful for subjects in the smallest article to depart from or infringe. Much noise has been made, because some court chaplains during the succeeding reigns were permitted to preach such doctrines, but there is a great difference between these sermons and discourses published by authority, avowed by the prince and council, and promulgated to the whole nation.³ So thoroughly were these principles imbibed by the people during the reigns of Elizabeth and her predecessors, that opposition to them was regarded as the most flagrant sedition, and was not even rewarded by that public praise and approbation which can alone support men under such dangers and difficulties as attend the resistance of tyrannical authority.⁴ It was only during the next generation that the noble principles of liberty took root, and

¹ State Trials, vol. i., p. 144; Strype, vol. iv., p. 21; Id. Life of Whitgift, p. 343.

² Strype's Life of Whitgift, book iv., chap. 11; Neal, vol. i., p. 564.

³ Gifford, a clergyman, was suspended in the year 1584, for preaching up a limited obedience to the civil magistrate. Neal, vol. i., p. 435.

⁴ It is remarkable, that in all the historical plays of Shakespeare, where the manners and characters, and even the transactions of the several reigns are so exactly copied, there is scarcely any mention of *civil liberty*, which some pretended historians have imagined to be the object of all the ancient quarrels, insurrections, and civil wars. In the elaborate panegyric of England, contained in the tragedy of Richard II., and the detail of its advantages, not a word of its civil

spreading themselves under the shelter of puritanical absurdities, became fashionable among the people.

It is worth remarking, that the advantage usually ascribed to absolute monarchy, a greater regularity of police, and a more strict execution of the laws, did not attend the former English government, though in many respects it fell under that denomination. A demonstration of this truth is contained in a judicious paper which is preserved by Strype (*Annals*, vol. iv., p. 290), and which was written by an eminent justice of peace of Somersetshire in the year 1596, near the end of the queen's reign, when the authority of that princess may be supposed to be fully corroborated by time, and her maxims of government improved by long practice. This paper contains an account of the disorders which then prevailed in the county of Somerset. The author says that forty persons had there been executed in a year for robberies, thefts, and other felonies, thirty-five burnt in the hand, thirty-seven whipped, one hundred and eighty-three discharged; that those who were discharged were most wicked and desperate persons, who never could come to any good because they would not work, and none would take them into service; that notwithstanding this great number of indictments, the fifth part of the felonies committed in the county were not brought to a trial, the greater number escaped censure, either from the superior cunning of the felons, the remissness of the magistrates, or the foolish lenity of the people; that the rapines committed by the infinite number of wicked, wandering, idle people, were intolerable to the poor countrymen, and obliged them to keep a perpetual watch over the sheep-folds, their pastures, their woods, and their corn fields; that the other counties of England were in no better condition than Somersetshire, and many of them were even in a worse; that there were at least 300 or 400 able-bodied vagabonds in every county who lived by theft and rapine, and who sometimes met in troops to the number of sixty, and committed spoil on the inhabitants; that if all the felons of this kind were assembled, they would be able, if reduced to good subjection, to give the greatest enemy her majesty has a strong battle; and that the magistrates themselves were intimidated from executing the laws upon them, and there were instances of justices of peace who, after giving sentence against rogues, had interposed to stop the execution of their own sentence, on account of the danger which hung over them from the confederates of these felons.

In the year 1575 the queen complained in parliament of the bad execution of the laws, and threatened that if the magistrates were not for the future more vigilant, she would entrust authority to indigent and needy persons, who would find an interest in a more exact administration of justice (*D'Ewes*, p. 234). It appears that she was as good as her word. For in the year 1601, there were great complaints made in parliament of the rapine of justices of peace, and a member said, that this magistrate was an animal, who for half-a-dozen of chickens would dispense with a dozen of penal statutes (*Ibid.*, pp. 661-664). It is not easy to account for this relaxation of government and neglect

constitution, as anywise different from, or superior to, that of other European kingdoms. An omission which cannot be supposed in any English author that wrote since the Restoration, at least since the Revolution.

of police, during a reign of so much vigour as that of Elizabeth. The small revenue of the crown is the most likely cause that can be assigned. The queen had it not in her power to interest a great number in assisting her to execute the laws.¹

On the whole, the English have no reason, from the example of their ancestors, to be in love with the picture of absolute monarchy; or to prefer the unlimited authority of the prince, and his unbounded prerogatives, to that noble liberty, that sweet equality, and that happy security, by which they are at present distinguished above all nations in the universe. The utmost that can be said in favour of the government of that age (and perhaps it may be said with truth) is, that the power of the prince, though really unlimited, was exercised after the European manner, and entered not into every part of the administration; that the instances of a high exerted prerogative were not so frequent as to render property sensibly insecure, or reduce the people to a total servitude; that the freedom from faction, the quickness of execution, and the promptitude of those measures which could be taken for offence or defence, made some compensation for the want of a legal and determinate liberty; that, as the prince commanded no mercenary army, there was a tacit check on him, which maintained the government in that medium to which the people had been accustomed; and that this situation of England, though seemingly it approached nearer, was in reality more remote from a despotic and eastern monarchy than the present government of that kingdom, where the people, though guarded by multiplied laws, are totally naked, defenceless, and disarmed; and besides, are not secured by any middle power, or independent powerful nobility, interposed between them and the monarch.

We shall close the present Appendix with a brief account of the revenues, the military force, the commerce, the arts, and the learning of England during this period.

Queen Elizabeth's economy was remarkable; and in some instances seemed to border on avarice. The smallest expense, if it could possibly be spared, appeared considerable in her eyes; and even the charge of an express during the most delicate transactions was not below her notice (Birch's *Negot.*, p. 21). She was also attentive to every profit; and embraced opportunities of gain which may appear somewhat extraordinary. She kept, for instance, the see of Ely vacant nineteen years, in order to retain the revenue (Strype, vol. iv., p. 351); and it was usual with her, when she promoted a bishop, to take the

¹ We have remarked before, that Harrison, in book ii., chap. 11, says, that in the reign of Henry VIII., there were hanged 72,000 thieves and rogues (besides other malefactors); this makes about 2000 a year. But in Queen Elizabeth's time, the same author says there were only between 300 and 400 a year hanged for theft and robbery. So much had the times mended. But in our age, there are not forty a year hanged for those crimes in all England. Yet Harrison complains of the relaxation of the laws, that there were so few such rogues punished in his time. Our vulgar prepossession, in favour of the morals of former and rude ages, is very absurd and ill-grounded. The same author says, chap. 10, that there were computed to be 10,000 gipsies in England, a species of banditti, introduced about the reign of Henry VIII.; and he adds, that there will be no way of extirpating them by the ordinary course of justice. The queen must employ martial law against them. That race has now almost totally disappeared in England, and even in Scotland, where there were some remains of them a few years ago. However arbitrary the exercise of martial law in the crown, it appears, that nobody in the age of Elizabeth entertained any jealousy of it.

opportunity of pillaging the see of some of its manors.¹ But that in reality there was little or no avarice in the queen's temper, appears from this circumstance,—that she never amassed any treasure; and even refused subsidies from the parliament, when she had no present occasion for them. Yet we must not conclude, from this circumstance, that her œconomy proceeded from a tender concern for her people; she loaded them with monopolies and exclusive patents, which are much more oppressive than the most heavy taxes, levied in an equal and regular manner. The real source of her frugal conduct was derived from her desire of independency, and her care to preserve her dignity, which would have been endangered, had she reduced herself to the necessity of having frequent recourse to parliamentary supplies. In consequence of this motive, the queen, though engaged in successful and necessary wars, thought it more prudent to make a continual dilapidation of the royal demesnes,² than demand the most moderate supplies from the commons. As she lived unmarried, and had no posterity, she was content to serve her present turn, though at the expense of her successors; who, by reason of this policy, joined to other circumstances, found themselves, on a sudden, reduced to the most extreme indigence.

The splendor of a court was, during this age, a great part of the public charge: and as Elizabeth was a single woman, and expensive in no kind of magnificence, except clothes, this circumstance enabled her to perform great things by her narrow revenue. She is said to have paid four millions of debt, left on the crown by her father, brother, and sister: an incredible sum for that age.³ The states, at the time of her death, owed her about 800,000*l.*; and the King of France 450,000*l.* (Winwood, vol. i., pp. 29, 54). Though that prince was extremely frugal, and after the peace of Vervins, was continually amassing treasure, the queen never could, by the most pressing importunities, prevail on him to make payment of those sums, which she had so generously advanced him during his greatest distresses. One payment of 20,000 crowns, and another of 50,000, were all she could obtain, by the strongest representations she could make of the difficulties to which the rebellion in Ireland had reduced her (Winwood, vol. i., pp. 117, 395). The queen expended on the wars with Spain, between the years 1589 and 1593, the sum of 1,300,000*l.*, besides the pittance of a double subsidy, amounting to 280,000*l.*, granted her by parliament (D'Ewes, p. 483). In the year 1599, she spent 600,000*l.* in six months, on the service of Ireland (Camden, p. 167). Sir Robert Cecil affirmed that, in ten years, Ireland cost her 3,400,000*l.* (Append. to the Earl of

¹ Strype, vol. iv., p. 215. There is a curious letter of the queen's, written to a Bishop of Ely, and preserved in the register of that see. It is in these words: 'Proud prelate, I understand you are backward in complying with your agreement. But I would have you know that I, who made you what you are, can unmake you; and if you do not forthwith fulfil your engagement, by God I will immediately unfrock you. Yours, as you demean yourself, Elizabeth.' The bishop, it seems, had promised to exchange some part of the land belonging to the see for a pretended equivalent, and did so, but it was in consequence of the above letter. Annual Register, 1761, p. 15.

² Rymer, tom. xvi., p. 141; D'Ewes, pp. 151, 457, 525, 629; Bacon, vol. iv., p. 363.

³ D'Ewes, p. 473. I think it impossible to reconcile this account of the public debts with that given by Strype, Eccles. Mem., vol. ii., p. 344,—that in the year 1553, the crown owed but 300,000*l.* I own that this last sum appears a great deal more likely. The whole revenue of Queen Elizabeth would not in ten years have paid four millions.

Essex's apology). She gave the Earl of Essex a present of 30,000*l.* upon his departure for the government of that kingdom (Birch's Mem., vol. ii.). Lord Burleigh computed that the value of the gifts, conferred on that favourite, amounted to 300,000*l.*; a sum which, though probably exaggerated, is a proof of her strong affection towards him! It was a common saying during this reign: 'The queen pays bountifully, though she rewards sparingly' (Nanton's Regalia, ch. 1).

It is difficult to compute exactly the queen's ordinary revenue, but it certainly fell much short of 500,000*l.* a year.¹ In the year 1590, she raised the customs from 14,000*l.* a year to 50,000*l.*, and obliged Sir Thomas Smith, who had farmed them, to refund some of his former profits.² This improvement of the revenue was owing to the suggestions of one Caermarthen; and was opposed by Burleigh, Leicester, and Walsingham: but the queen's perseverance overcame all their opposition. The great undertakings, which she executed with so narrow a revenue, and with such small supplies from her people, prove the mighty effects of wisdom and œconomy. She received from the parliament, during the course of her whole reign, only twenty subsidies and thirty-nine fifteenths. I pretend not to determine exactly the amount of these supplies; because the value of a subsidy was continually falling; and in the end of her reign it amounted only to 80,000*l.* (D'Ewes, p. 630), though in the beginning it had been 120,000*l.* If we suppose that the supplies, granted Elizabeth during a reign of forty-five years, amounted to 3,000,000*l.*, we shall not probably be much wide of the truth.³ This sum makes only 66,666*l.* a year; and it is surprising that, while the queen's demands were so moderate, and her expenses so well regulated, she should ever have found any difficulty in obtaining a supply from parliament, or be reduced to make sale of the crown-lands. But such was the extreme, I had almost said absurd, parsimony of the parliaments during that period. They valued nothing in comparison of their money. The members had no connection

¹ Franklyn in his Annals, p. 9, says, that the profit of the kingdom, besides wards and the duchy of Lancaster (which amounted to about 120,000*l.*), was 188,197*l.* The crown lands seem to be comprehended in this computation.

² Camden, p. 558. This account of Camden is difficult or impossible to be reconciled to the state of the customs in the beginning of the subsequent reign, as they appear in the journals of the commons. Hist. of James, ch. 46.

³ Lord Salisbury computed these supplies only at 2,800,000*l.*; Journ. 17 Feb., 1609. King James was certainly mistaken when he estimated the queen's annual supplies at 137,000*l.* Franklyn, p. 44. It is curious to observe, that the minister, in the war begun in 1754, was, in some periods, allowed to lavish in two months as great a sum as was granted by parliament to Queen Elizabeth in forty-five years. The extreme frivolous object of the late war, and the great importance of hers, set this matter in still a stronger light. Money too, we may observe, was in most particulars of the same value in both periods. She paid 8*l.* a day to every foot soldier. But our late delusions have much exceeded anything known in history, not even excepting those of the crusades. For I suppose there is no mathematical, still less an arithmetical demonstration, that the road to the Holy Land was not the road to Paradise, as there is, that the endless increase of national debts is the direct road to national ruin. But having now completely reached the goal, it is needless at present to reflect on the past. It will be found in the present year, 1776, that all the revenues of this island, north of Trent and west of Reading, are mortgaged or anticipated for ever. Could the smaller remainder be in a worse condition, were those provinces seized by Austria and Prussia? There is only this difference, that some event might happen in Europe, which would oblige these great monarchs to disgorge their acquisitions. But no imagination can figure a situation, which will induce our creditors to relinquish their claims, or the public to seize their revenues. So egregious indeed has been our folly, that we have even lost all title to compassion, in the numberless calamities that are waiting us.

with the court; and the very idea, which they conceived of the trust committed to them, was, to reduce the demands of the crown, and to grant as few supplies as possible. The crown, on the other hand, conceived the parliament in no other light than as a means of supply. Queen Elizabeth made a merit to her people of seldom summoning parliaments (Strype, vol. iv., p. 124). No redress of grievances was expected from these assemblies; they were supposed to meet for no other purpose than to impose taxes.

Before the reign of Elizabeth, the English princes had usually recourse to the city of Antwerp for voluntary loans; and their credit was so low that, besides paying the high interest of ten or twelve per cent., they were obliged to make the city of London join in the security. Sir Thomas Gresham, that great and enterprising merchant, one of the chief ornaments of this reign, engaged the company of merchant-adventurers to grant a loan to the queen; and as the money was regularly repaid, her credit by degrees established itself in the city, and she shook off this dependence on foreigners (Stowe's Survey of London, book i., p. 286).

In the year 1559, however, the queen employed Gresham to borrow for her 200,000*l.* at Antwerp, in order to enable her to reform the coin, which was at that time extremely debased (MS. of Lord Royston's from the Paper Office, p. 295.) She was so impolitic as to make, herself, an innovation in the coin, by dividing a pound of silver into sixty-two shillings, instead of sixty, the former standard. This is the last time that the coin has been tampered with in England.

Queen Elizabeth, sensible how much the defence of her kingdom depended on its naval power, was desirous to encourage commerce and navigation. But as her monopolies tended to extinguish all domestic industry, which is much more valuable than foreign trade, and is the foundation of it, the general train of her conduct was ill calculated to serve the purpose at which she aimed, much less to promote the riches of her people. The exclusive companies, also, were an immediate check on foreign trade. Yet, notwithstanding these discouragements, the spirit of the age was strongly bent on naval enterprises; and besides the military expeditions against the Spaniards, many attempts were made for new discoveries, and many new branches of foreign commerce were opened by the English. Sir Martin Frobisher undertook three fruitless voyages to discover the north-west passage. Davis, not discouraged by this ill success, made a new attempt, when he discovered the straits which pass by his name. In the year 1600, the queen granted the first patent to the East India Company: the stock of that company was £72,000; and they fitted out four ships, under the command of James Lancaster, for this new branch of trade. The adventure was successful; and the ships, returning with a rich cargo, encouraged the company to continue the commerce.

The communication with Muscovy had been opened in queen Mary's time by the discovery of the passage to Archangel; but the commerce to that country did not begin to be carried on to a great extent till about the year 1569. The queen obtained from the czar an exclusive patent to the English for the whole trade of Muscovy (Camden, p. 408); and she entered into a personal, as well as national alliance with him.

This czar was named John Basilides, a furious tyrant, who, continually suspecting the revolt of his subjects, stipulated to have a safe retreat and protection in England. In order the better to ensure this resource, he proposed to marry an English woman; and the queen intended to have sent him Lady Anne Hastings, daughter of the earl of Huntingdon; but when the lady was informed of the barbarous manners of the country, she wisely declined purchasing an empire at the expense of her ease and safety (Camden, p. 493).

The English, encouraged by the privileges which they had obtained from Basilides, ventured farther into those countries than any Europeans had formerly done. They transported their goods along the river Dwina in boats made of one entire tree, which they towed and rowed up the stream as far as Walogda. Thence they carried their commodities seven days' journey by land to Yeraslau, and then down the Volga to Astracan. At Astracan, they built ships, crossed the Caspian Sea, and distributed their manufactures into Persia. But this bold attempt met with such discouragements, that it was never renewed (Ibid, p. 418).

After the death of John Basilides, his son Theodore revoked the patent which the English enjoyed for a monopoly of the Russian trade; when the queen remonstrated against this innovation, he told her ministers, that princes must carry an indifferent hand, as well between their subjects as between foreigners; and not convert trade, which, by the laws of nations, ought to be common to all, into a monopoly for the private gain of a few (Ibid., p. 493). So much juster notions of commerce were entertained by this barbarian, than appear in the conduct of the renowned queen Elizabeth! Theodore, however, continued some privileges to the English, on account of their being the discoverers of the communication between Europe and his country.

The trade to Turkey commenced about the year 1583; and that commerce was immediately confined to a company by queen Elizabeth. Before that time, the grand signior had always conceived England to be a dependent province of France (Birch's Memoirs, vol. i. p. 36); but having heard of the queen's power and reputation, he gave a good reception to the English, and even granted them larger privileges than he had given to the French.

The merchants of the Hanse-towns complained loudly, in the beginning of Elizabeth's reign, of the treatment which they had received in the reigns of Edward and Mary. She prudently replied, that, as she would not innovate anything, she would still protect them in the immunities and privileges of which she found them possessed. This answer not contenting them, their commerce was soon after suspended for a time, to the great advantage of the English merchants, who tried what they could themselves effect for promoting their commerce. They took the whole trade into their own hands; and their returns proving successful, they divided themselves into staplers and merchant adventurers; the former residing constantly at one place, the latter trying their fortunes in other towns and states abroad with cloth and other manufactures. This success so enraged the Hanse-towns, that they tried all the methods which a discontented people could devise, to draw upon the English merchants the ill opinion of

other nations and states. They prevailed so far as to obtain an imperial edict, by which the English were prohibited all commerce in the empire; the queen, by way of retaliation, retained sixty of their ships, which had been seized in the river Tagus with contraband goods of the Spaniards. These ships the queen intended to have restored, as desiring to have compromised all differences with those trading cities; but when she was informed that a general assembly was held at Lubec, in order to concert measures for distressing the English trade, she caused the ships and cargoes to be confiscated; only two of them were released to carry home the news, and to inform these states that she had the greatest contempt imaginable for all their proceedings (*Lives of the Admirals*, vol. i. p. 470).

Henry VIII., in order to fit out a navy, was obliged to hire ships from Hamburgh, Lubec, Dantzic, Genoa, and Venice; but Elizabeth, very early in her reign, put affairs upon a better footing; both by building some ships of her own, and by encouraging the merchants to build large trading vessels, which, on occasion, were converted into ships of war (*Camden*, p. 388). In the year 1582, the seamen in England were found to be 14,295 men (*Monson*, p. 256); the number of vessels 1232; of which there were only 217 above eighty tons. *Monson* pretends, that though navigation decayed in the first years of James I. by the practice of the merchants, who carried on their trade in foreign bottoms (*Ibid*, p. 300), yet before the year 1640, this number of seamen was tripled in England (*Ibid*, pp. 210, 256).

The navy which the queen left at her decease, appears considerable, when we reflect only on the number of the vessels, which were 42: but when we consider that none of these ships carried above 40 guns; that 4 only came up to that number; that there were but two ships of a 1000 tons; and 23 below 500, some of 50, and some even of 20 tons; and that the whole number of guns belonging to the fleet was 774;¹ we must entertain a contemptible idea of the English navy, compared to the force which it has now attained.² In the year 1588, there were not above five vessels, fitted out by the noblemen and seaports, which exceeded 200 tons (*Monson*, p. 300).

¹ *Monson*, p. 196. The English navy at present carries about 14,000 guns.

² *Harrison*, in his *Description of Britain*, printed in 1577, has the following passage, ch. 13. 'Certes, there is no prince in Europe, that hath a more beautiful sort of ships than the queen's majesty of England at this present; and those generally are of such exceeding force, that two of them being well appointed and furnished as they ought, will not let to encounter with three or four of them of other countries, and either bowge them or put them to flight, if they may not bring them home. The queen's highness hath, at this present, already made and furnished to the number of one and twenty great ships, which lie for the most part in Gillingham road. Besides these, her grace hath other in hand also, of whom hereafter, as their turns do come about, I will not let to leave some farther remembrance. She hath likewise three notable galleys, the *Speedwell*, the *Tryeright*, and the *Black Galley*, with the sight whereof, and the rest of the navy-royal, it is incredible to say how marvellously her grace is delighted; and not without great cause, sith by their means her coasts are kept in quiet, and sundry foreign enemies put back, which otherwise would invade us.' After speaking of the merchant ships, which he says are commonly estimated at 1700 or 1800, he continues: 'I add, therefore, to the end all men should understand somewhat of the great masses of treasure, daily employed upon our navy, how there are few of those ships of the first and second sort (that is of the merchant ships), that, being apparelled and made ready to sail, are not worth 1000*l.*, or 3000 duckats at the least, if they should presently be sold. What shall we then think of the navy-royal, of which some one vessel is worth two of the other, as the shipwright has often told me? It is possible that some covetous person, hearing this report, will either not credit at all, or suppose money so employed to be nothing profitable to the queen's coffers; as a good husband said once, when he heard

In the year 1599, an alarm was given of an invasion by the Spaniards; and the queen equipped a fleet and levied an army in a fortnight to oppose them. Nothing gave foreigners a higher idea of the power of England than this sudden armament. In the year 1575, all the militia in the kingdom were computed at 182,929 (*Lives of the Admirals*, vol. i. p. 432). A distribution was made in the year 1595, of 140,000 men, besides those which Wales could supply (*Strype*, vol. iv. p. 221). These armies were formidable by their numbers; but their discipline and experience were not proportionate. Small bodies from Dunkirk and Newport frequently ran over, and plundered the east coast; so unfit was the militia, as it was then constituted, for the defence of the kingdom. The lords lieutenant were first appointed to the counties in the reign of Elizabeth.

Mr. Murden (p. 608) has published from the Salisbury collections a paper, which contains the military force of the nation at the time of the Spanish Armada, and which is somewhat different from the account given by our ordinary historians. It makes all the able-bodied men of the kingdom amount to 111,513; those armed, to 88,875; of whom 44,727 were trained. It must be supposed that these able-bodied men consisted of such only as were registered; otherwise the small number is not to be accounted for. Yet Sir Edward Coke (*Journ.* 25 April, 1621) said in the house of commons, that he was employed about the same time, together with Popham, chief justice, to take a survey of all the people of England, and that they found them to be 900,000 of all sorts. This number, by the ordinary rules of computation, supposes that there were about 200,000 men able to bear arms. Yet even this number is surprisingly small. Can we suppose that the kingdom is six or seven times more populous at present? and that Murden's was the real number of the men, excluding catholics and children and infirm persons?

Harrison says, that in the musters taken in the years 1574 and 1575, the men fit for service amounted to 1,172,674; yet was it believed that a full third was omitted. Such uncertainty and contradiction are there in all these accounts. Notwithstanding the greatness of this number, the same author complains much of the decay of populousness; a vulgar complaint in all places and in all ages. Guicciardini makes the inhabitants of England in this reign amount to two millions.

Whatever opinion we may form of the comparative populousness of England in different periods, it must be allowed that, abstracting from the national debt, there is a prodigious increase of power in that; more perhaps than in any other European state since the beginning of the last century. It would be no paradox to affirm, that Ireland alone could at present exert a greater force than all the three kingdoms were capable of at the death of queen Elizabeth. And we might go farther,

that provisions should be made for armour, wishing the queen's money to be rather laid out to some speedier return of gain unto her grace. But if he wist that the good-keeping of the sea is the safeguard of our land, he would alter his censure, and soon give over his 'judgment.' Speaking of the forests, this author says: 'An infinite deal of wood hath been destroyed within these few years, and I dare affirm, that if wood do go so fast to decay in the next hundred years of grace, as they have done, or are like to do, in this, it is to be feared that sea-coal will be good merchandise even in the city of London.' Harrison's prophecy was fulfilled in a very few years. For, about 1615, there were 200 sail employed in carrying coal to London. *Anderson*, vol. i., p. 494.

and assert, that one good county in England is able to make, at least to support, a greater effort than the whole kingdom was capable of in the reign of Harry V.; when the maintenance of a garrison in a small town like Calais formed more than a third of the ordinary national expense. Such are the beneficial effects of liberty, industry, and good government!

The state of the English manufactures was at this time very low; and foreign wares of almost all kinds had the preference (D'Ewes, p. 505). About the year 1590, there were in London four persons only rated in the subsidy-books so high as 400*l*. (Ibid., p. 497). This computation is not indeed to be deemed an exact estimate of their wealth. In 1567, there were found, on inquiry, to be 4851 strangers of all nations in London: of whom 3838 were Flemings, and only 58 Scots (Haines, pp. 461, 462). The persecutions in France and the Low Countries drove afterwards a greater number of foreigners into England; and the commerce, as well as manufactures of that kingdom was very much improved by them (Stow, p. 668). It was then that Sir Thomas Gresham built, at his own charge, the magnificent fabric of the Exchange for the reception of the merchants. The queen visited it, and gave it the appellation of the Royal Exchange.

By a lucky accident in language, which has a great effect on men's ideas, the invidious word, *usury*, which formerly meant the taking of any interest for money, came now to express only the taking of exorbitant and illegal interest. An act passed in 1571, violently condemns all *usury*, but permits 10 per cent. interest to be paid. Henry IV. of France reduced interest to 6½ per cent.: an indication of the great advance of France above England in commerce.

Dr. Howell says (Hist. of the World, vol. ii., p. 222) that Queen Elizabeth, in the third of her reign, was presented with a pair of black silk knit stockings, by her silkwoman, and never wore cloth hose any more. The author of the present State of England says, that about 1577, pocket watches were first brought into England from Germany. They are thought to have been invented at Nuremberg. About 1580, the use of coaches was introduced by the Earl of Arundel (Anderson, vol. i., p. 421). Before that time, the queen, on public occasions, rode behind her chamberlain.

Camden says, that in 1581, Randolph, so much employed by the queen in foreign embassies, possessed the office of post-master general of England. It appears, therefore, that posts were then established, though, from Charles I.'s regulations in 1635, it would seem that few post-houses were erected before that time.

In a remonstrance of the Hanse Towns to the diet of the empire in 1582, it is affirmed that England exported annually about 200,000 pieces of cloth (Ibid., vol. i., p. 424). This number seems to be much exaggerated.

In the fifth of the reign of Elizabeth was enacted the first law for the poor.

A judicious author of that age confirms the vulgar observation, that the kingdom was depopulating from the increase of inclosures and decay of tillage; and he ascribes the reason very justly to the restraints put on the exportation of corn; while full liberty was allowed to export

all the produce of pasturage, such as wool, hides, leather, tallow, etc. These prohibitions of exportation were derived from the prerogative, and were very injudicious. The queen, once, on the commencement of her reign, had tried a contrary practice, and with good success. From the same author we learn, that the complaints, renewed in our time, were then very common, concerning the high prices of everything.¹ There seem indeed, to have been two periods in which prices rose remarkably in England: namely, that in Queen Elizabeth's reign, when they are computed to have doubled; and that in the present age. Between the two, there seems to have been a stagnation. It would appear that industry, during that intermediate period, increased as fast as gold and silver, and kept commodities nearly at a par with money.

There were two attempts made in this reign to settle colonies in America: one by Sir Humphrey Gilbert in Newfoundland, another by Sir Walter Raleigh in Virginia; but neither of these projects proved successful. All those noble settlements were made in the following reigns. The current specie of the kingdom, in the end of this reign, is computed at four millions (*Lives of the Admirals*, vol. i., p. 475).

The Earl of Leicester desired Sir Francis Walsingham, then ambassador in France, to provide him with a riding master in that country, to whom he promises 100*l.* a year, beside maintaining himself and servant and a couple of horses. 'I know,' adds the earl, 'that such a man as I want may receive higher wages in France; but let him consider, that a shilling in England goes as far as two shillings in France' (*Digge's Complete Ambassador*). It is known that everything is much changed since that time.

The nobility in this age still supported, in some degree, the ancient magnificence in their hospitality, and in the numbers of their retainers; and the queen found it prudent to retrench, by proclamation, their expenses in this last particular (*Strype*, vol. iii., *Append.*, p. 54). The expense of hospitality she somewhat encouraged by the frequent visits she paid her nobility, and the sumptuous feasts which she received from them.² The Earl of Leicester gave her an entertainment in Kenilworth Castle, which was extraordinary for expense and magnificence. Among other particulars, we are told that 365 hogsheads of beer were drunk at it (*Biogr. Brit.*, vol. iii., p. 1791). The earl had fortified this castle at great expense: and it contained arms for 10,000 men (*Strype*, vol. iii., p. 394). The Earl of Derby had a family con-

¹ 'A Compendious or brief Examination of certain ordinary Complaints of divers of our Country-men.' The author says, that in twenty or thirty years before 1581, commodities had, in general, risen 50 per cent.; some more. 'Cannot you, neighbour, remember,' says he, 'that within these thirty years, I could in this town buy the best pig or goose I could lay my hands on for 4*d.*, which now costeth 12*d.*, a good capon for 3*d.* or 4*d.*, a chicken for 1*d.*, a hen for 2*d.*?' (p. 35). Yet the price of ordinary labour was then 8*d.* a day (p. 31).

² Harrison, after enumerating the queen's palaces, adds: 'But what shall I need to take upon me to repeat all, and tell what houses the queen's majesty hath? Sith all is hers; and when it pleaseth her, in the summer season, to recreate herself abroad, and view the estate of the country, and hear the complaints of her poor commons injured by her unjust officers or their substitutes, every nobleman's house is her palace, where she continueth during pleasure, and till she return again to some of her own, in which she remaineth so long as she pleaseth.' Book ii., chap. xv. Surely one may say of such a guest, what Cicero says to Atticus, on occasion of a visit paid him by Cæsar: 'Hospes tamen non is cui diceres, amabo te, eòdem ad me cum revertère.' Lib. xiii., Ep. 52. If she relieved the people from oppressions (to whom it seems the law could give no relief), her visits were a great oppression on the nobility.

sisting of 240 servants (Stowe, p. 674). Stowe remarks it as a singular proof of beneficence in this nobleman, that he was contented with his rent from his tenants, and exacted not any extraordinary services from them: a proof that the great power of the sovereign (what was almost unavoidable) had very generally countenanced the nobility in tyrannizing over the people. Burleigh, though he was frugal, and had no paternal estate, kept a family consisting of 100 servants (Strype, vol. iii., p. 129, Append.). He had a standing table for gentlemen, and two other tables for persons of meaner condition, which were always served alike, whether he were in town or in the country. About his person he had people of great distinction, insomuch that he could reckon up 20 gentlemen retainers, who had each 1000*l.* a year; and as many among his ordinary servants, who were worth from 1000*l.* to 3000*l.*, 5000*l.*, 10,000*l.*, and 20,000*l.* (Life of Burleigh, published by Collins). It is to be remarked that, though the revenues of the crown were at that time very small, the ministers and courtiers sometimes found means, by employing the boundless prerogative, to acquire greater fortunes than it is possible for them at present to amass, from their larger salaries, and more limited authority.

Burleigh entertained the queen twelve several times in his country house, where she remained three, four, or five weeks at a time. Each visit cost him 2000*l.* or 3000*l.* (Ibid., p. 40). The quantity of silver plate possessed by this nobleman is surprising. No less than 14,000 or 15,000 pounds' weight;¹ which, besides the fashion, would be above 42,000*l.* sterling in value. Yet Burleigh left only 4000*l.* a year in land, and 11,000*l.* in money; and as land was then commonly sold at ten years' purchase, his plate was nearly equal to all the rest of his fortune. It appears that little value was then put upon the fashion of the plate, which probably was but rude. The weight was chiefly considered.²

But though there were preserved great remains of the ancient customs, the nobility were, by degrees, acquiring a taste for elegant luxury; and many edifices, in particular, were built by them, neat, large, and sumptuous, to the great ornament of the kingdom, says Camden (p. 452), but to the no less decay of the glorious hospitality of the nation. It is, however, more reasonable to think, that this new turn of expense promoted arts and industry; while the ancient hospitality was the source of vice, disorder, sedition, and idleness.³

¹ Collins, 'Life of Burleigh,' p. 44. The author hints, that this quantity of plate was considered only as small in a man of Burleigh's rank. His words are: 'His plate was not above 14,000*l.* or 15,000*l.*' That he means pounds' weight, is evident. For, by Burleigh's will, which is annexed to his life, that nobleman gave away in legacies, to friends and relations, near 4000 pounds' weight, which would have been about 12,000*l.* sterling in value. The remainder he orders to be divided into two equal portions; the half to his eldest son and heir; the other half to be equally divided among his second son and three daughters. Were we therefore to understand the whole value of his plate to be only 14,000*l.* or 15,000*l.* sterling, he left not the tenth of it to the heir of his family.

² This appears from Burleigh's will. He specifies only the number of ounces to be given to each legatee, and appoints a goldsmith to see it weighed out to them, without making any distinction of the pieces.

³ Harrison says, 'The greatest part of our building in the cities and good towns of England consisteth only of timber, cast over, with thick clay to keep out the wind. Certes, this rude kind of building made the Spaniards in Queen Mary's days to wonder; but chiefly when they saw that large diet was used in many of these so homely cottages, insomuch that one of 'no small reputation amongst them said, after this manner: "These English," quoth he, "have "their houses made of sticks and dirt, but they fare commonly so well as the king." Whereby 'it appeareth, that they liked better of our good fare in such coarse cabins, than of their own

Among the other species of luxury, that of apparel began much to increase during this age; and the queen thought proper to restrain it by proclamation (Camden, p. 452). Her example was very little conformable to her edicts. As no woman was ever more conceited of her beauty, or more desirous of making impression on the hearts of beholders, no one ever went to a greater extravagance in apparel, or studied more the variety and richness of her dresses. She appeared almost every day in a different habit, and tried all the several modes by which she hoped to render herself agreeable. She was also so fond of her clothes, that she never could part with any of them; and at her death she had in her wardrobe all the different habits, to the number of 3000, which she had ever worn in her life-time (Carte, vol. iii., p. 702, from Beaumont's Despatches).

The retrenchment of the ancient hospitality, and the diminution of retainers, were favourable to the prerogative of the sovereign; and, by disabling the great noblemen from resistance, promoted the execution of the laws, and extended the authority of the courts of justice. There were many peculiar causes in the situation and character of Henry VII., which augmented the authority of the crown; most of these causes concurred in succeeding princes, together with the factions in religion, and the acquisition of the supremacy,—a most important article of prerogative; but the manners of the age were a general cause which operated during this whole period, and which continually tended to diminish the riches, and still more the influence of the aristocracy, anciently so formidable to the crown. The habits of luxury dissipated the immense fortunes of the ancient barons; and as the new methods of expense gave subsistence to mechanics and merchants, who lived in an independent manner on the fruits of their own industry, a nobleman, instead of that unlimited ascendant which he was wont to assume over those who were maintained at his board, or subsisted by salaries conferred on them, retained only that moderate influence which customers have over tradesmen, and which can never be dangerous to civil government. The landed proprietors also, having a greater demand for money than for men, endeavoured to turn their lands to the best account with regard to profit, and either enclosing their fields or joining many small farms into a few large ones, dismissed those useless hands, which formerly were always at their call in every attempt to subvert the government or oppose a neighbouring baron. By all these means the cities increased; the middle rank of men began to be rich and powerful; the prince, who, in effect, was the same with the law, was implicitly obeyed; and though the farther progress of the same causes begat a new plan of liberty, founded on the privileges of the commons, yet in the interval between the fall of the nobles and the rise of this order, the sovereign took advantage of the present situation, and assumed an authority almost absolute.

Whatever may be commonly imagined, from the authority of Lord Bacon, and from that of Harrington, and later authors, the laws of 'thin diet in their princely habitations and palaces. The clay with which their houses are 'commonly impanelled is either white, red, or blue.' Book II., chap. xii. The author adds, that the new houses of the nobility are commonly of brick or stone, and that glass windows were beginning to be used in England.

Henry VII. contributed very little towards the great revolution which happened about this period in the English Constitution. The practice of breaking entails, by a fine and recovery, had been introduced in the preceding reigns; and this prince only gave indirectly a legal sanction to the practice, by reforming some abuses which attended it. But the settled authority which he acquired to the crown, enabled the sovereign to encroach on the separate jurisdictions of the barons, and produced a more general and regular execution of the laws. The counties palatine underwent the same fate as the feudal powers: and, by a statute of Henry VIII. (27 Hen. VIII., c. 24), the jurisdiction of these counties was annexed to the crown, and all writs were ordained to run in the king's name. But the change of manners was the chief cause of the secret revolution of government, and subverted the power of the barons. There appear still in this reign some remains of the ancient slavery of the boors and peasants (Rymer, tom. xv., p. 731), but none afterwards.

Learning, on its revival, was held in high estimation by the English princes and nobles; and as it was not yet prostituted by being too common, even the great deemed it an object of ambition to attain a character for literature. The four successive sovereigns, Henry, Edward, Mary, and Elizabeth, may, on one account or other, be admitted into the class of authors. Queen Catherine Parr translated a book; Lady Jane Grey, considering her age, and her sex, and her station, may be regarded as a prodigy of literature. Sir Thomas Smith was raised from being Professor in Cambridge, first to be Ambassador to France, then Secretary of State. The dispatches of those times, and among others those of Burleigh himself, are frequently interlarded with quotations from the Greek and Latin classics. Even the ladies of the court valued themselves on knowledge; Lady Burleigh, Lady Bacon, and their two sisters, were mistresses of the ancient as well as modern languages, and placed more pride in their erudition than in their rank and quality.

Queen Elizabeth wrote and translated several books; and she was familiarly acquainted with the Greek as well as Latin tongue.¹ It is

¹ The following are the words of Roger Ascham, the queen's preceptor. 'It is your shame (I speak to you all, you young gentlemen of England), that one maid should go beyond ye all in excellency of learning, and knowledge of divers tongues. Point out six of the best given gentlemen of this court, and all they together show not so much good will, spend not so much time, bestow not so many hours daily, orderly, and constantly, for the increase of learning and knowledge as doth the queen's majesty herself. Yea, I believe, that besides her perfect readiness in Latin, Italian, French, and Spanish, she readeth here now at Windsor more Greek every day, than some prebendary of this church doth Latin in a whole week. Amongst all the benefits which God had blessed me withal, next the knowledge of Christ's true religion, I count this the greatest,—that it pleased God to call me to be one poor minister in setting forward these excellent gifts of learning,' etc. Page 242. 'Truly,' says Harrison, 'it is a rare thing with us now to hear of a courtier which hath but his own language; and to say how many gentlewomen and ladies there are that, besides sound knowledge of the Greek and Latin tongues, are thereto no less skilful in the Spanish, Italian, and French, or in some one of them, it resteth not in me, sith I am persuaded, that as the noblemen and gentlemen do surmount in this behalf, so these come little or nothing at all behind them for their parts; which industry God continue. . . . The stranger, that entereth in the court of England upon the sudden, shall rather imagine himself to come into some public school of the university, where many give ear to one that readeth unto them, than into a prince's palace, if you confer thus with those of other nations' (Description of Britain, Book ii., chap. 15). By this account the court had profited by the example of the queen. The sober way of life practised by the ladies of Elizabeth's court appears from the same author. Reading, spinning, and needlework occupied the elder; music the younger (Id. *ibid.*).

pretended that she made an extempore reply in Greek to the University of Cambridge, who had addressed her in that language. It is certain that she answered in Latin without premeditation, and in a very spirited manner, to the Polish ambassador, who had been wanting in respect to her. When she had finished, she turned about to her courtiers, and said, 'God's death, my lords' (for she was much addicted to swearing), 'I have been forced this day to scour up my old 'Latin, that hath long lain rusting' (Speed). Elizabeth, even after she was queen, did not entirely drop the ambition of appearing as an author; and, next to her desire of admiration for beauty, this seems to have been the chief object of her vanity. She translated Boethius on the Consolation of Philosophy; in order, as she pretended, to allay her grief for Henry IV.'s change of religion. As far as we can judge from Elizabeth's compositions, we may pronounce that, notwithstanding her application and her excellent parts, her taste in literature was but indifferent; she was much inferior to her successor in this particular, who was himself no perfect model of eloquence.

Unhappily for literature, at least for the learned of this age, the queen's vanity lay more in shining by her own learning, than in encouraging men of genius by her liberality. Spenser himself, the finest English writer of his age, was long neglected; and after the death of Sir Philip Sydney, his patron, was allowed to die almost for want. This poet contains great beauties, a sweet and harmonious versification, easy elocution, a fine imagination; yet does the perusal of his work become so tedious, that one never finishes it from the mere pleasure which it affords: it soon becomes a kind of task-reading; and it requires some effort and resolution to carry us on to the end of his long performance. This effect, of which every one is conscious, is usually ascribed to the change of manners; but manners have more changed since Homer's age; and yet that poet remains still the favourite of every reader of taste and judgment. Homer copied true natural manners, which, however rough or uncultivated, will always form an agreeable and interesting picture; but the pencil of the English poet was employed in drawing the affections, and conceits, and fopperies of chivalry, which appear ridiculous as soon as they lose the recommendation of the mode. The tediousness of continued allegory, and that, too, seldom striking or ingenious, has also contributed to render the 'Fairy Queen' peculiarly tiresome; not to mention the too great frequency of its descriptions, and the languor of its stanza. Upon the whole, Spenser maintains his place upon the shelves among our English classics; but he is seldom seen on the table, and there is scarcely any one, if he dares to be ingenuous, but will confess, that notwithstanding all the merit of the poet, he affords an entertainment with which the palate is soon satiated. Several writers of late have amused themselves in copying the style of Spenser; and no imitation has been so indifferent as not to bear a great resemblance to the original; his manner is so peculiar, that it is almost impossible not to transfer some of it into the copy.

INDEX.

ABBEY lands, immediate inconveniences resulting from alienation into lay hands at the Reformation, 258. Abbeys, rich revenues of,—hospitality exercised by them, 180.

Abbots, excluded from their seats in the House of Lords, 192.

Agriculture, the bad state of, in the time of Henry VIII., 231.

Alasco, John, a Polish nobleman, being expelled, turns Protestant preacher, takes refuge with his congregation in England,—protected by the council; 269; is forced to leave at the accession of Queen Mary, 286.

Albany, Duke of, is invited to accept the regency of Scotland,—state of the kingdom as it appeared to him at his arrival 81; prejudiced against Hume by the enemies of that nobleman—the young king carried off by his mother,—Lord Hume makes war against him, put to death by him, 82; goes over to France,—returns to Scotland, 83; concludes a truce with the English, returns to France, 101; comes back, operations against England disconcerted,—leaves Scotland finally, 103.

Alexander VI., pope, sends a nuncio to engage Henry VII. of England in a crusade against the Turks, 45.

Allen, John, character,—made judge of Cardinal Wolsey's legatine court,—prosecuted and convicted of iniquity, 88.

Altar removed from the wall into the middle of the church by the English reformers, 410.

Alva, duke of, concerts with Philip of Spain, Cath. de Medicis, and the Car. of Lorraine, a massacre of the French Protestants, 375; enters into a negotiation with the Earl of Northumberland for an insurrection in England, 416; employed by Philip to oppress the Flemings,—character,—cruelties, 433; money sent for him from Genoa, seized by Queen Elizabeth,—revenge himself on the English merchants,—cruel extortions on the Flemings, 434; attempts to disturb the English government in favour of Mary Queen of Scots, 435; revolt of Holland and Zealand,—condemns the Prince of Orange as a rebel, confiscates his possessions,—cruelty on reducing Harlaem,—repulsed at Alc-maer, solicits to be recalled from the Low Countries, 445; boasts of his infamous conduct, 446.

America, when first discovered,—great alterations in the European nations in consequence of this discovery, 57.

Angus, Earl of, marries Margaret, widow of Jas. IV. of Scotland, 81; she gets a divorce from him, and marries again, 145; forced to fly into England by the young king, 146; joins the English army against Jas. V., 204; returns to Scotland, takes part with the Earl of Arran against Cardinal Beaton, 207; conducts the retreat of the Scots army from the English on the flight of Arran, 215; inspires Arran with resolution to face the English, who are defeated at Ancram, 216; commands the van at the battle of Pinkie, 245.

Anjou, Duke of, brother to Charles IX., defeats and kills the Prince of Condé at the battle of Jarnac, 429; defeats Coligni at Moncontour, 430; proposed as a husband to Elizabeth, Queen of England, 431; elected King

of Poland,—takes possession of the crown of France, on the death of his brother Charles, 442. Anjou, the Duke of Alençon created duke of,—ends over Simier to prosecute his suit with Queen Elizabeth,—pays Elizabeth a private visit, 458; articles for his marriage prepared,—sent in the service of the States to the Low Countries, 459; operations there,—comes over to England,—receives a ring from Elizabeth, 460; the queen breaks off the match with him,—expelled the Nether lands, returns home, dies, 462.

Annates, act of parliament passed by Hen. VIII. against levying, 137.

Anne, Princess, lady of Beaujou, character,—vested with the government of France during the minority of Chas. VIII., 16; administration disputed by Lewis, Duke of Orleans,—motives of her embassy to Hen. VII. of England, 17. Anne of Cleves, sent over to be married to Hen. VIII. of England,—Henry sees her privately, disgusted with her, 190; is nevertheless married by him, 191; divorced from Henry,—insensibility under this treatment, 194; refuses to return home, 195.

Antonio, Don, prior of Crato, solicits assistance from England to assert his pretensions to the crown of Portugal,—obtains a small fleet, 522; the expedition fails, 523.

Antwerp, joyful reception of English merchants there, on the renewal of commerce with Flanders by Hen. VII., 39; a revolt of the Protestants there, against the Catholic worship,—the insurgents suppressed by the Prince of Orange, 432.

Appeals to Rome forbidden by parliament, 139.

Archangel, the passage to, discovered, and trade opened with Muscovy, 595.

Arceimboli, a Genoese bishop, farms the sale of indulgences in Saxony, of Magdalen, Pope Leo's sister,—appoints the preaching of them to the Dominicans, 96.

Ardres, interview between Hen. VIII. and Fran. I. in a plain near, 90; grand tournament held by them there, 92.

Argyle, Earl of, with his son, Lord Lorne, enters into the association of reformers called the Congregation of the Lord, 337; attends the queen-regent in her attempt to suppress the Protestant riots, 340; signs the new covenant of the congregation, 241; enters into a conspiracy against Mary at Stirling, 372; forced into fly to England, 374; invited back by Darnley,—reconciled to the queen, 378.

Armada, the invincible one of Spain, preparations for the equipment of, 512; sails from Lisbon, is scattered by a storm,—its strength when repaired, 516; makes an unsuccessful attack on the English fleet, 517; attacked and disconcerted at Calais by the English admiral,—sails northward on its return home, destroyed by a storm, 518.

Armies, standing, the first rise of, 57.

Arran, James, Earl of, pretensions to the administration of Scotland during the minority of Mary, daughter of Jas. V.,—opposes and confines Cardinal Beaton, 206; contracts the infant-queen to Prince Edward of England,—evades the demand of the stipulated hostages, made by Sadler, the English ambas-

Index.

- sador, 207; attempts to seize the young queen, fails, enters into an accommodation, 208; renounces the reformed religion,—attaches himself to Beaton in opposition to Lennox, 211; forces Lennox to fly to England, 214; feeble opposition to the English incursions, 215; the English defeated at An-cram,—ravages the borders of England, 216; refuses to concur in the execution of Wishart, the reformer, 242; engages the Duke of Somerset at Pinkie, 245; receives succours from France, 249; obtains a pension from France, and is created Duke of Chatelrault, 250. Arran, James Stuart of Ochiltree, made Earl of,—the king taken from the power of him and Lennox, by an association of Scots nobility, 462; confined to his own house, 463; recalled to court on the king's escape, 466; violent tyrannical conduct,—degraded from his authority, deprived of his title and estate, 467.
- Arthur, Prince, eldest son of Hen. VII., born, 9; married to Cath. of Arragon,—dies, 45.
- Articles, Six, the law of, passed by the parliament, in the reign of Hen. VIII. for abolishing diversity of opinions in religion,—review of them, 185; numerous prosecutions commenced on this act, 189; rigorously enforced, 196; penalties on the marriage of priests mitigated, 201; farther mitigation, 213; repealed, 247.
- Arts, advantages of cultivating in society, 53.
- Arundel, Humphrey, an insurrection in Devonshire excited and headed by him, to oppose the Reformation,—taken by Lord Russel, executed, 260. Arundel, Earl of, appointed one of the commissioners at Hampton Court, to inquire into the conduct of Mary, Queen of Scots, 400; was the first who introduced coaches into England, 599.
- Ascue, Anne, tortured by Wriothesely, chancellor, for denying the real presence in the eucharist,—burnt with other heretics, 220.
- Aske, Mr. raises an insurrection in the North of England, against Hen. VIII., under the name of "Pilgrimage of Grace,"—takes Hull and York,—joined by the Abp. of York and Lord Darcy, 173; negotiations with the Duke of Norfolk, sent against him,—adherents separate, 174; executed, 175.
- Assembly, general, of the Church of Scotland, addresses Queen Mary on occasion of the riot at the house of Alison Craig, 353; exhorts Mary to change her religion, 373; appoints a fast to free King James from the danger of evil counsellors,—summoned by James, 462; votes any settlement of terms between James and his mother a most wicked undertaking,—appoints a fast on the day fixed for entertaining the French ambassadors, 464.
- Athole, Earl of, forms a confederacy of Scots nobles, to protect Prince James from the attempts of Bothwell, and to punish the murderers of his father, 389; Bothwell escapes, Mary resigns herself into the hands of the confederacy, 390.
- Audley, Lord, heads an insurrection against Hen. VII., 37; defeated at Blackheath, executed, 38. Audley, Sir Thos., speaker of the House of Commons, made lord-chancellor, on the resignation of Sir Thos. More, 138.
- Augmentation, court of, erected for the man-agement of the revenues of the suppressed monasteries, 160.
- Augustine friars church, granted to the pastor Alasco and his followers, 269.
- BABINGTON, Anthony, character, 482; engages in the service of Mary, Queen of Scots, 468; enters into a conspiracy against the life of Elizabeth, 483; he and his associates seized and executed, 437.
- Bacon, Sir Nicholas, made one of the council, and lord keeper of the great seal on the accession of Queen Elizabeth, 327; a solemn religious disputation held before him, 331; appointed one of the commissioners to inquire into the conduct of Mary, Queen of Scots, 400; prohibits the parliament by the queen's order from meddling with any matters of state, 422; reprimands the commons for their presumption at the close of this session, 426.
- Bacon, Lord, displays to the privy-council the undutiful expressions in the Earl of Essex's letters, 559; the former friendly patronage afforded him by Essex,—by the queen's order, draws up a narrative of Essex's examination before the council, 560; officious assistance at the trial of Essex, 567; preserves Hayward from the indignation of Queen Elizabeth, by his pleasantry, 584.
- Bagnal, Sir Hen., the English general in Ireland, defeated and killed by Tyrone, 553.
- Bainham, Jas., cruelly treated by Sir Thos. More, for heresy,—burnt in Smithfield, 150.
- Balfour, Sir James, deputy governor of Edinburgh Castle, betrays a casket of Queen Mary's letters to Bothwell into the hands of Chancellor Morton, 462.
- Ballard, John, a priest of Rheims, comes to England to concert the assassination of Queen Elizabeth, 482.
- Barnes, Dr., procures Lambert to be accused, for denying the real presence in the eucharist, 183; himself burnt, 186.
- Barons, ancient and modern nobility, their ways of life contrasted, 54.
- Bartholomew, St., massacre of the Huguenots at Paris on the eve of that festival, 339.
- Barton, a Scotsman, obtains letters of mark of Jas. IV. against the Portuguese, but commits piracies on the English,—destroyed by the English admirals, 68. Barton, Elizabeth, commonly called the holy maid of Kent, her hysterical fits attributed to inspiration,—engaged by Masters and Boking to carry on the imposture, 152; confesses the artifice, punished with her associates, 153.
- Basilides, John, Czar of Muscovy, cause and particulars of his treaty with Queen Elizabeth,—privileges by him granted to the English, revoked by his son, Theodore, 596.
- Beaton, Primate of Scotland, made a cardinal,—accused of forging the will of Jas. V., 206. joins the interest of the queen dowager, obtains possession of the government,—confined by the Earl of Arran, his competitor for the administration,—recovers his liberty, cabals with the clergy, against Arran,—procures the retinue of the English ambassador to be insulted, to occasion a rupture between the two crowns, 207; applies to France for succours, 208; attaches himself to Arran, 211; causes Wishart the reformer to be apprehended and executed for heresy, 241; 243as

- sinated, 242; his murderers protected by Hen. VIII. of England, 243.
- edford, Russel, Earl of, sent over to Boulogne, to negotiate peace with Hen. II. of France, 266; suppresses Carew's insurrection in Devonshire, 291; made one of the council on the accession of Queen Elizabeth, 327; sent by Elizabeth to officiate at the baptism of Prince James of Scotland, 379.
- Ele, Dr. instigates an insurrection against foreign artificers in London, 229.
- Bell, Robert, a Puritan member, makes a motion in the House of Commons against a monopoly, 425; severely reprimanded in council for his temerity, 426.
- Benefices, how they first came into the hands of laymen, 180.
- Benevolences levied by Hen. VII., 24; power of levying established by parliament, 33.
- Bible, translation of, made by Tindal, the reformer,—Tunstall's artifice to enable Tindal to make a more correct translation, 150; debates in convocation concerning the expediency of a translation for the use of the people, 161; a translation finished and printed at Paris, 163; single copies only allowed to be chained in some churches, with restrictions as to reading it, 176; granted to every family, 189; issue of it prohibited to the lower classes of the people, 202.
- Bilney, Thos., a priest, embraces the Reformation,—burnt in Norfolk, 151.
- Bishoprics, six new ones erected by Hen. VIII., 180.
- Bishops, their election appointed by parliament to be by *congé d'élire*, or letters patent from the king, without recourse to Rome,—take out new commissions from the crown, 143; kindly received by Queen Elizabeth, at her accession, excepting Bonner, 326; become disgusted at her steps towards restoring the Protestant religion, refuse officiating at the coronation, 329; nomination of, annexed to the crown, with other powers relating to them, 331; degraded for refusing the oath of supremacy, 333.
- Blackheath, battle of, between Lord Daubeney and the Cornish rebels, 38.
- Blood of Christ, a relic shown at Hales, in Gloucestershire, the artifice of, 177.
- Bocher, Joan, or Joan of Kent, doctrines propagated by her,—Edward VI. very unwilling to consent to her execution,—burnt, 251.
- Bocking, Dr., Canon of Canterbury, engages in the imposture of the holy maid of Kent, 152; confesses the scheme, punished, 153.
- Boleyn, Lady Anne, character and family, 120; attracts the notice of Hen. VIII., 121; prepossessed against Cardinal Wolsey, 127; contributes to his disgrace,—is inclined towards the doctrines of the Reformation, 128; created Marchioness of Pembroke, married to Henry, 139; birth of the Princess Elizabeth, 140; favours the reformers, 149; brought to bed of a dead son, loses Henry's affections,—the king becomes jealous of her free behaviour,—calumniated by her sister-in-law, the Viscountess of Rochford, 163; committed to the Tower with some of her attendants, and her brother Rochford,—confesses some innocent levities, 164; letter to the king, 165; tried by a jury of peers,—condemned,—marriage annulled, 166; reason given by the parliament for annulling her marriage,—executed,—reflections on her fate,—attainted by parliament, the Princess Elizabeth illegitimated, 168.
- Bonner, made Bp. of Hereford, 183; first opposes, afterwards acquiesces, in the steps toward reformation by the protector and regency, during the minority of Ed. VI., 239; deprived of his see, confined, for asserting the real presence, 256; released by Queen Mary, 283; employed by Gardiner to persecute the reformers, which he performed with brutal cruelty, 305; degrades Archbishop Crammer, 315; ill received by Queen Elizabeth at her accession, 326.
- Bonnivet, Admiral of France, sent ambassador to England by Fran. I.,—courts the confidence of Wolsey, 85; sent to invade Milan, 107; blockades the city, 108.
- Books, heretical, proclamation issued against, rendering it capital to keep any such, 308.
- Bothwell, Earl of, distinguishes himself against the army of the Protestant association in Scotland, called the "Congregation of the Lord," 343; concerned with the Marquis of Elbeuf in a debauch and riot, at the house of Alison Craig, which the church takes cognizance of, 353; becomes a favourite of Mary, intercedes for Rizzio's murderers, 379; character of,—reports spread of his intimacy with the queen, 382; suspected of murdering the king, 383; charged with the murder by the Earl of Lennox,—tried, acquitted, 384; recommended by the nobility as a husband for Mary,—seizes the queen, by concert with her, to oblige her to marry him, 385; divorced from his wife,—made Duke of Orkney, 386.
- Bothwell, Earl of, descended from a natural son of Jas. V., expelled Scotland for treasonable attempts, protected by Queen Elizabeth,—forfeits her favour, and dies in exile, 536.
- Boulogne, siege of, by Henry VII., 26; taken by Henry VIII., 215; surrendered to the French, 266.
- Bourbon, Chas., Duke of, constable of France, character,—rejects proposals of marriage made to him by Louis of Savoy, mother of Fran. I.,—revolts against Francis, enters into the emperor's service, 105; battle of Pavia, captivity of Francis, 109; conquers the Milanese,—attacks Rome, killed in scaling the walls, 116.
- Bourchier, Archbishop of Canterbury, crowns Henry VII., 5.
- Bowes, Sir Rob. makes an incursion into Scotland, defeated by the Lords Hume and Huntley, 204.
- Brandon, Sir Chas., favourite of Hen. VIII., created Duke of Suffolk, for his services at the battle of Flodden, 76.
- Brill, attacked and seized by Flemish exiles, 444.
- Bristol, first erected into a bishopric, 180.
- Brittany, state of, at the time of Hen. VII. of England, 16; the barons incite an invasion by the King of France, 17; assisted too late by the English,—their domestic dissensions,—the young duchess married to Maximilian, 22; annexed to France by marriage, 24.
- Brittany, Francis II., Duke of, character,—his favourite Peter Landius put to death by the nobles, 16; protects Lewis, Duke of Orleans, at his court, who forms a party there,—appoints Orleans to oppose the invasion of the

- King of France,—obliged to fly, 17; answer to the offer of mediation by Hen. VII., 19; fortune ruined, death, 20.
- Brittany, Anne, Duchess of, married by proxy to Maximilian, King of the Romans, 22; pressed to a marriage with the King of France, 23; the duchy annexed to the crown of France by this marriage, 24.
- Buchanan, George, assists in the hearing the cause of Mary, Queen of Scots, before the English Commissioners, 398.
- Buckingham, Duke of, offends Cardinal Wolsey,—tried, executed for indiscreet expressions against Hen. VIII.,—was the last who enjoyed the office of constable, 93.
- Burgundy, Margaret, Duchess of, character,—how induced to patronize the pretensions of Lambert Simnel against Hen. VII., 12; sends forces to his assistance, 13; raises up the imposture of Perkin Warbeck, 27; public reception of him, 29.
- Burleigh, Cecil Lord, discovers to Elizabeth the Duke of Norfolk's conspiracy, 435; made treasurer, and, with others, ordered by Elizabeth, to prepare the articles of marriage between her and the Duke of Anjou, 458; vigilance and artifices in detecting conspiracies, 467; death and character, 547; proposer of a scheme for levying a general loan, 586; and of exacting money by erecting a court for the correction of abuses, 587; computation of the queen's gifts to Essex, 594; magnificent hospitality, 601.
- CABOT, Sebastian, sent by Hen. VII. on discovery in America, 57; finds Newfoundland, 58.
- Cadiz, expedition against, under Lord Effingham and the Earl of Essex, 539; taken and plundered, 540.
- Cambray, league of, against the Venetians, 63; peace of, between the Emperor Charles V. and Francis I., 131.
- Cambridge, Trinity College there, when it was founded, 232.
- Campbell, prior of the Dominicans in Scotland, accuses Patrick Hamilton of heresy,—insults him at the stake,—extraordinary death, 197.
- Campeggio, Card., appointed jointly with Wolsey, by Clement VII. to try the validity of the marriage of Hen. VIII. with Catharine of Arragon,—ambiguous behaviour, 124; the trial opened, 125; abrupt prorogation of the court, 127; deprived of his English bishopric by parliament, 143.
- Canon law, commissioners appointed by Edw. VI. to frame a body of, 265.
- Canterbury, the chapter lands of that see seized by Hen. VIII., 261.
- Cape of Good Hope, first discovered, and a passage to the East Indies that way, 57.
- Capel, Sir Will., convicted on some penal statutes, and fined by Hen. VII., 32; fined again, committed to the Tower, 50.
- Carew, Sir Peter, raises an insurrection in Devonshire against Queen Mary, on account of the Spanish match,—suppressed by the Earl of Bedford,—flies to France, 291.
- Casimir, Prince, leads an army of German protestants to the assistance of the French Huguenots, 443; assisted by Queen Elizabeth with money for this purpose, 444.
- Cassilis, Earl of, taken prisoner by the English at the battle of Solway, 205; released by Henry on conditions, 206; the only prisoner who complies with the orders to return to England,—graciously treated by Henry,—released by his brothers, 208.
- Castile, Isabella, Queen of, married to Ferdinand of Arragon, 45; comes to Philip Archduke of Austria, by marriage with Joan, daughter to Isabella, on the death of Isabella, 47; returns to Ferdinand on the death of Philip, 494. The states of, oppose the Emperor Charles marrying the princess Mary of England, 119.
- Cateau Cambresis, peace of, between Philip of Spain, Henry of France, and Elizabeth of England, 333.
- Catharine of Arragon, married to Prince Arthur of England, 115; on the death of her husband married to Prince Henry, afterwards Hen. VIII., 116; Henry entertains scruples concerning his marriage with her, 119; solicits the Emperor her nephew's assistance,—behaviour at the trial of her marriage, 125; appeal received at Rome, 138; refuses Cranmer's citation to appear before him, 139; marriage declared null,—degraded to the rank of princess-dowager of Wales, insists on being served as queen, 140; death, letter to Henry, 156.
- Cavendish, Sir Thos., successful expedition against the Spaniards and voyage round the world, 509.
- Cecil, Sir Will., committed to the Tower, along with the Protector Somerset, 264; when secretary of state, signs the patent for the succession of the Lady Jane Grey, 278; made secretary of state on the accession of Queen Elizabeth,—encourages her to re-establish the protestant religion, 327; remonstrates to Elizabeth the expediency of assisting the protestant malcontents in Scotland, 343; signs the treaty of Edinburgh, with Dr. Wotton, on the part of Elizabeth, 345; informs the house of commons of the queen's promise to marry, and of her reasons against naming a successor, 380; advice to Elizabeth, on Mary taking refuge in England, 395; appointed one of the commissioners to inquire into the conduct of Mary, 401; interposes with Queen Elizabeth in favour of the reformation, 411, 412; great influence over Elizabeth, 414; sent with proposals to Mary, who concludes a treaty with Elizabeth,—writes a letter to Lenox, the regent, on this business, calculated to frustrate it, 429; created Lord Burleigh, 435. Cecil, Sir Robert, made secretary of state, 542; made master of the wards, 556.
- Champernon, Henry, raises, with Queen Elizabeth's leave, a body of volunteers to assist the French protestants, 430.
- Chapter lands, seized by Hen. VIII., from the sees of Canterbury, York, and London, 201.
- Charles VIII., of France, encourages the Flemings in their opposition to his father-in-law Maximilian, king of the Romans, 15; invades Brittany by invitation of the barons, 17; marries the Duchess of Brittany,—returns the daughter of Maximilian, to whom he had been contracted, 24; makes peace with Spain, cessions to that court, 27; receives Perkin Warbeck, patronizes him, 29; invades Italy, 34. Charles IX., of France, his mother, Cath., de Medicis, appointed regent during his minority, 348; league of Bayonne for the extirpation of the Huguenots,

- 375; forced to an accommodation with the prince of Condé, 429; concludes a second accommodation with the protestants, 430; dissimulation towards the protestant leaders,—marries his sister to the Prince of Navarre,—orders the poisoning of the Queen of Navarre,—massacre of Paris, 439; massacre of the Huguenots extended to the provinces—exhorts a recantation of the protestant religion from the young King of Navarre, and Prince of Condé—calumniates the protestants at foreign courts, to palliate these barbarities, 440; death, character, 442. Charles, grandson of Ferdinand of Arragon, succeeds him in the kingdom of Spain, 85; chosen Emp. of Germany, 88.
- Charles V., emp. of Germany, character compared with that of his competitor Fran. I. of France, 88; extensive dominions, 89; motives of his visit to Hen. VIII.,—pays his court to Cardinal Wolsey, 90; second interview between him and Henry, at Gravelines,—grants to Wolsey,—makes war against France,—exorbitant demands from Francis,—concludes an alliance with the Pope and Henry, against Francis, 92; comes over again to England,—renews his court to Wolsey,—installed knight of the garter, 100; Duke of Bourbon revolts against Francis, enters his service, 105; invades France, takes Fontarabia, 106; new treaty between him and Henry for the invasion of France,—invades Provence, 108; battle of Pavia, captivity of Francis, 109; hypocrisy on this occasion, 110; exorbitant demands for the ransom of Francis,—Carries Francis to Madrid,—visits him, 113; restores Francis by the treaty of Madrid, 114; hypocrisy on the news of the taking of Rome by the imperial troops, 116; war declared against him by France and England,—challenges Francis to single combat, 118; intimidates the Pope, 122; peace of Cambray with Francis, 131; Sultan Solymann conquers Hungary, besieges Vienna, 132; makes advances toward an accommodation with Henry, 157; unsuccessful invasion of France, 158; concludes a truce with Francis for ten years, 176; asks of Francis permission to pass through France to the Netherlands,—honourably received, conducted through, 191; ungrateful insincerity towards Francis,—irritates Henry against Francis, concludes an alliance with him, 195; remark on Henry's suppression of monasteries, 209; reduces the duchy of Cleves,—besieges Landrecy, 210; forced to abandon the siege, 211; invades France in concert with Henry, 214; takes St. Disier,—concludes a separate peace with Francis, 215; conduct relating to the council of Trent,—artful and treacherous behaviour toward the princes of the Protestant league, 240; reasons for declining an alliance with the Protector Somerset on the part of Edw. VI., 262; reduced to grant an equitable peace to the Protestants, by Maurice, Elector of Saxony,—makes an unsuccessful attempt on Metz,—proposes his son Philip as a husband to Queen Mary of England, 288; reasons for stopping Cardinal Pole on his journey to England, 289; sends over a large sum to bribe the English parliament, 294; instructs Cardinal Pole to exhort Mary to moderation towards her protestant subjects, 303; resigns all his hereditary dominions to his son Philip,—retires to a monastery in Estremadura,—employment in his retreat, 311; character compared with that of Pope Paul IV., 312.
- Chatelrault, Earl of Arran, created Duke of, 250; resigns his authority as regent of Scotland to the queen dowager, 319; interposes and effects an accommodation between the queen regent and the 'Congregation of the Lord,'—joins the 'Congregation,' 342; discontented at the marriage of Mary with Darnley,—enters into a conspiracy against Mary at Stirling,—forced to take refuge in England, 374; pardoned on condition of retiring to France, 375; arrives in London during the conference at York, detained by Elizabeth till Murray's return, 407; lays down his arms on the detection of Norfolk's conspiracy, 438.
- Chester, when first erected into a bishopric, 180.
- Christchurch, Oxford, the history of its first foundation, 233.
- Church, examination of its principles of reformation, 409.
- Clement VII., of the family of Medicis, elected to the papacy, 104; grants to Wolsey the legate commission for life, 105; gives Fran. I. of France a dispensation from fulfilling the treaty of Madrid, 115; Rome sacked by the Imperial troops, himself taken prisoner, 116; applied to by Hen. VIII. for a divorce from Catharine of Arragon, 121, causes of his hesitation in that affair,—character,—intimidated by the Emperor, 122; grants a commission to Campeggio and Wolsey, to try the king's marriage, 124; evokes the cause to Rome, 127; receives Catharine's appeal, 138; instigated by the conclave to proceed to extremities against Henry,—only threatens him,—disgusted with Charles, leagues with Francis,—motives which prevented an accommodation with Henry, 141; pronounces sentence against Henry precipitately,—afterwards repents, 142; his authority renounced by the English convocation and parliament, 143; dies, 155.
- Clement, Jacques, assassinates Henry III. of France, 527.
- Clergy, not to beg without a licence, at the time of Hen. VII., 56; obliged to take the oath of supremacy, by act of Elizabeth's parliament, 366. Clergy, reformed in Scotland, gross behaviour to Mary, on her arrival in Scotland,—ruled in this by John Knox, 352; real cause of their ill humour, 355. Clergy of the Church of Rome, their authority and union dangerous to the civil magistrate, 95; but the encouragement of the fine arts in some measure owing to them, 96.
- Clifford, Sir Rob., engages in the imposture of Perkin Warbec, 29; prevailed on by Hen. VII. to betray his secrets, and be his spy upon him, 30; returns to England, accuses Sir Will. Stanley as an accomplice, 31.
- Clinton, Lord, commands Queen Mary's fleet for a descent on the coast of Brittany,—lands at Conquet,—driven off, 321; appointed one of the commissioners to inquire into the conduct of Mary, Queen of Scots, 401.
- Coaches first introduced into England, 599.
- Coin, Swedish bullion imported,—good money coined, 270; regulated by Queen Elizh., 357.

- Coke, Sir Edw., Queen Elizabeth's haughty treatment of, when speaker of the house of commons, 530; his severe treatment of the Earl of Essex, 559.
- Coligni, Admiral, makes an unsuccessful attempt on Boulogne, 261; defends St. Quentin against the Spanish army,—the town taken, 262; forms a scheme for the taking of Calais, which is executed by the Duke of Guise, 317; declares in favour of the protestants in France, 348; commands the Protestant forces after the battle of Dreux,—obtains supplies from Queen Elizabeth, 364; progress in Normandy, 367; apprised of the league of Bayonne against the Protestants, concert a scheme to frustrate it, 428; battle of St. Denis, — collects the Protestant forces after the defeat of Jarnac, besieges Poitiers, —defeated by the Duke of Anjou at Moncontour, 429; deceived by the dissimulation of Charles, —wounded by an assassin, —killed in the massacre of Paris, 439.
- Columbus, Christopher, first voyage for discovery of the western world, —sent his brother Bartholomew to England to make proposals to Hen. VII., —how Henry was deprived of the honour of the discovery of America, 57.
- Commerce, regulations of, in the reign of Hen. VII., 55; great extension of, in this reign, —privileges of the merchants of the steel-yard taken away,—treaty of, made with Gustavus Ericson, 57; state of, during the time of Queen Mary, 323; great oppression of, by the enormous grants of monopolies by Queen Mary, 573; state of, during the reign of Queen Elizabeth,—establishment of the East India Company,—trade with Muscovy opened, 595; Turkey Company erected, 596.
- Common Prayer Book, composed by a committee of bishops and divines, 254; in what respects it differed from the old mass-book, 255; revised, 269; and authorised by the parliament, 272.
- Commons, their grants to Hen. VIII. at the instance of Card. Wolsey, and their speaker, Sir Thos. More, 104; Thos. Cromwell, a member, warmly defends his patron, Card. Wolsey, against the charge of the peers, 123; pass several bills to restrain the impositions of the clergy,—extraordinary speech of a member on the subject of religion, 130; complain to the king of the reflections cast on them by Fisher, Bp. of Rochester,—grant the king a discharge of his debts, 131; petition for indemnity from the statute of provisors, 136; prefer a complaint to the king against the oppression of ecclesiastical courts, 137; reject a bill framed by the king, respecting his right of wardships, etc., 138; comply with an act relating to the possession of lands, framed by the king, 161; gross flattery of the speaker to the king, 168; grant Henry supplies, but very reluctantly, 192; pass the bill for Cromwell's death unwillingly, 193; petition the king to lay the case of his marriage with Anne of Cleves before the convocation, 194; pass a bill of attainder against the Duke of Norfolk in obedience to the king's message, 224; cruel treatment of Strode, a member in Cornwall, for bringing in a bill concerning tin, 228; Lord Seymour attainted, 254; alter a bill against treason, passed by the lords, pass another, 273; reject a poor bill framed by the lords, pass another,—refuse to pass the attainder of Tonstal, Bp. of Durham, or to ratify the attainder of Somerset, 274; new election of, under Northumberland's influence,—grant subsidies to the king, 275; remonstrate against Mary marrying Philip of Spain, dissolved for it, 289; new election under Mary and Gardiner's influence, 297; some members punished for secession, 300; reason for refusing a subsidy to the queen, 309; grants made by a new house to the queen,—oppose the act confirming the queen's sale, or grant of crown lands,—Copley, a member, imprisoned for speaking irreverently of the queen, 320; vote a subsidy and other grants to Queen Elizabeth, 331; address her to make choice of a husband, 332; repeat this address, 364; are stopped by Elizabeth in their debates concerning the settlement of the succession, —farther debates on this subject, 380; her speech at dissolving them, 381; Strickland introduces a bill for the amendment of the Liturgy, 422; speech of Pistor on kneeling and making the sign of the cross,—Strickland prohibited by the queen from attending the house,—Yelverton's free speech on the occasion, 423; farther debates on this matter,—Strickland restored to the house,—checked by the lords in debating of matters of religious reformation, —speeches on the queen's prerogative, occasioned by Bell's motion against an exclusive patent granted to a trading company at Bristol, 424; Bell severely reprimanded by the council for his temerity, 425; reproved by the lord keeper at the close of the session, for their freedom, 426; bribe given to a mayor for an election, with the probable reason for it, 427; address the queen for the Duke of Norfolk's execution, 436; apply to the queen for the trial and execution of Mary, Queen of Scots,—pass two bills for regulating ecclesiastical ceremonies, checked by the queen, 437; speech of Peter Wentworth in favour of liberty, 450; behaviour of the house on this occasion, 451; oppose encroachments of the upper house, 452; appoint a general fast, at the motion of Paul Wentworth,—reprimanded by the queen for it, 456; apply to the bishops for farther reformation,—complain of the court of ecclesiastical commission, 469; prohibited by the queen from intermeddling with ecclesiastical affairs,—checked in their endeavours to regulate purveyance, 520; the queen's haughty reply to the requests of Sir Edw. Coke, speaker, 530; Peter Wentworth resumes the subject of the succession,—he and others sent to prison, 531; treatment of Morrice for opposing abuses of ecclesiastical power, 532; Yelverton, a lawyer, chosen speaker,—grant supplies to the queen, 542; dispute about forms with the lords, 543; extraordinary assertions of the regal prerogative in the debates concerning monopolies, 574; abject acknowledgments of the house on the queen's promise to cancel the most oppressive of the patents, 576; grant Queen Elizabeth an extraordinary supply, 577.
- Communion service, a new one framed on the abolition of private masses, 248; communion table, removed from the wall into middle of the church, by the first English reformers, 410.

Condé, Prince of, declares in favour of the Protestants in France, 347; seized, condemned to death, by the influences of the Guises,—saved by the death of the king, 348; takes arms in favour of the Protestants against the royal party, 362; enters into a treaty with Elizabeth of England for assistance, 363; taken prisoner by the Catholics at the battle of Dreux, 364; obtains his liberty by treaty, reinstated in his offices,—assists at the siege of Havre de Grace, 367; apprised of the league of Bayonne against the Protestants, joins in a scheme to prevent it, 428; battle of St. Denis,—forms the siege of Chartres, obliges the court to an accommodation,—killed at the battle of Jarnac, 429. **Condé**, the young prince of, is, with Henry, prince of Navarre, placed at the head of the Protestants by Coligni, after the defeat of Jarnac, and death of his father, 429; obliged by Charles to renounce the Protestant faith as the price of his life, during the massacre of Paris, 440; puts himself at the head of the German Protestant auxiliaries, 442; defeated by the Duke of Guise, 526.

Constable of England, office of, forfeited by the Duke of Buckingham, never revived, 93. **Constitution of England**, the different periods of, pointed out, 481.

Convocation, summoned by Hen. VIII., intimidated by Card. Wolsey, into the grant of a moiety of ecclesiastical revenues, 103; Henry's marriage with Cath. of Arragon declared to be invalid by, 134; compounds with the king for a prosecution carried on against the clergy, on the statute of provisors,—acknowledges the king's supremacy, with a reservation, 136; papal authority renounced by, 143; debates on the expediency of a translation of the scriptures, 161; bishops for and against reformation enumerated, 169; articles of faith framed by,—influence of Protestant principles in their articles estimated, 170; grants supplies to Henry, 192; annuls Henry's marriage with Anne of Cleves, 194; grant supplies for a French war, 209; votes Henry a subsidy of six shillings in the pound, 217; meets, when the first parliament of Edw. VI. was summoned by the Duke of Somerset, 248; meets in Queen Mary's reign, disputes on transubstantiation,—debate adjourned to Oxford, 289; votes a subsidy to Queen Elizabeth, 366.

Copley, a member of the house of commons, imprisoned for speaking irreverently of Queen Mary, 320.

Cornwall, an insurrection there against Hen. VII. on account of levying a subsidy, 36; headed by the Lord Audley, 37; the rebels defeated at Blackheath, 38.

Corporations, a great check upon industry, 57. **Corren, Dr.**, preaches before Henry VIII., justifies his conduct against the reproaches of Friar Peyto, 152.

Counties, jurisdiction, annexed to the crown, 602.

Courtney, son of the Marquis of Exeter, released from the Tower, made Earl of Devonshire, by Queen Mary, 283.

Coverdale, bp. of Exeter, imprisoned on the accession of Queen Mary, 284.

Craig, a Protestant minister of Edinburgh, ordered to publish the banns between Queen Mary and Bothwell, refuses,—remonstrates

against this marriage before the council, and from the pulpit, 386. **Craig, Allison**, a Scots courtesan, riot at her house taken cognizance of by the church, 353.

Cranmer, Dr., first introduction to Hen. VIII.,—engaged by Henry to write in favour of his divorce, 133; made Archbishop of Canterbury, 139; appointed to examine the validity of the king's marriage,—declares Catherine contumacious for non-appearance, pronounces the marriage invalid, 140; favours the Protestant tenets, 149; intercedes with Henry in behalf of Queen Anne Bullen, 165; constrained to annul the marriage, 166; encourages further reformation in religion, 183; opposes the law of the Six Articles,—dismisses his wife in obedience to them, 186; communicates to Henry an account of Queen Catherine Howard's lewdness, 199; loses a powerful friend by the death of the Duke of Suffolk,—protected by the king against the Catholic courtiers, 219; attends Henry in his dying moments, 224; named one of the regency during the minority of Edw. VI., 227; importunity with the young king to sign the warrant for the execution of Joan Bocher, 257; adheres to Somerset the Protector in his distress, 260; opposes the attainer of Tonsal, Bishop of Durham, 273; induced to sign the patent for the succession of Lady Jane Gray, 278; imprisoned and convicted of treason, owing to his indiscreet zeal against masses, 285; sent under a guard to Oxford to debate on transubstantiation, 289; cited to Rome for heresy,—condemned as contumacious, though in custody,—degraded, 313; subscribes to the Pope's supremacy and the real presence,—contradicts this subscription by public declaration,—burnt, fortitude at the stake,—character, 314.

Credit, national, low state of, previous to the reign of Elizabeth, 595.

Criminals, comparison of the yearly execution of, in England, at different periods, 230, 591.

Cromwell, Thos., defends his patron, Card. Wolsey, against a charge of the peers, in the house of commons, 128; made secretary of state, 149; the king's supremacy over the church delegated to him, under the title and office of vicar-general,—appoints commissioners to visit the monasteries;—great abuses charged upon them, 159; presides in the convocation as vicar-general, 169; articles of faith framed by this meeting of convocation, 170; clergy incensed against him for his regulations in religious matters, 172; pronounces sentence against Lambert, 184; made a peer,—appointed one of the committee of lords to frame articles for abolishing diversities of opinion in religion, 186; assists the king in his arbitrary prosecutions, 188; obtains precedency of the other officers of state,—mitigates the prosecutions on the law of the Six Articles, 189; promotes Henry's marriage with Anne of Cleves,—Henry harbours a secret displeasure against him on that account, 191; made Earl of Essex, and knight of the garter,—causes which procured his fall, 192; committed to the Tower,—accusation and condemnation,—moving letter to the king, 193; his execution, character, 194.

Crown, entail of, by the first parliament of Henry VII., 6.

- Cumberland, Earl of, fits out a fleet at his own charges against the Spaniards, meets with ill success and misfortunes, 524; undertakes another expedition, fails, 530; endeavours to mitigate the sentence of the council against the Earl of Essex, 560.
- Customs, produce of, in Queen Elizabeth's reign, 594.
- D'AQUILA, Don John, commands the Spanish troops in an invasion of Ireland, 571; forced to capitulate to Mountjoy, the deputy, 572.
- Darcy, Lord, joins Aske's insurrection, 173; imprisoned,—executed, 174.
- Darnley, Lord, son of the Earl of Lennox, proposed as husband for Mary, Queen of Scotland,—pedigree, 371; married to her,—insulted from the pulpit by John Knox, 374; character,—resents the queen's neglect of him,—becomes jealous of David Rizzio, 376; enters into a plot with the Chancellor Morton for the destruction of Rizzio, 377; causes Rizzio to be assassinated in the queen's presence,—avows his orders for this action, 379; prevailed on by Mary to disavow all concern in Rizzio's murder, then left by her in disdain, 379; reduced to despair by her neglect,—illness attributed to poison,—the queen's apparent reconciliation, and tenderness of him, 382; blown up with gunpowder, in a lone house where he was lodged, 383; confederacy of the nobles formed to punish his murderers, 389.
- Daubeney, Lord, general of Henry VII.'s army against the Scots, ordered to march against the Cornish rebels,—engages them at Blackheath, 37; taken prisoner by them, rescued,—defeats them, 38.
- D'Aubigny, Count, family and character,—sent by the Duke of Guise, to detach James of Scotland from the English interest 453; insinuates himself into favour with James, is created Earl of Lennox, 454.
- Davis' Straits discovered, 595.
- Davison, secretary, ordered by Queen Elizabeth to prepare a warrant for the execution of Mary, Queen of Scots,—persuaded by the council to send the warrant to put it into force, 500; punished in the star-chamber for so doing,—account of Elizabeth's behaviour in justification of himself, 506.
- D'Esse, commands a body of French sent troops to the assistance of the Scots,—besieges Haddington, 249; retires, 250.
- Defender of the Faith, title bestowed by Leo. X. on Hen. VIII., 98.
- Denmark; James VI. of Scotland goes over to, and marries a princess of, 524.
- Devonshire, insurrection there to oppose the Reformation, headed by Humphry Arundel, 252; Exeter besieged by the insurgents,—defeated by the Lord Russel, 260. Devonshire, Courtney, Earl of, proposed as a husband to Queen Mary,—incurs her resentment on declining it, 287; released from confinement by the mediation of Philip, and dies abroad, 299.
- D'Oisel, a Frenchman, attends the Queen Dowager, of Scotland, to assist her in the administration,—projects a tax to maintain a standing force,—scheme opposed,—reproved by the council for his hostile attacks on the English borders, 319.
- Doring, a Protestant minister, openly reproves Queen Elizabeth for obstructing spread of the Reformation, 411.
- Dorset, Marquis of, commands the English forces at the expedition to Fontarabia, 65; discovers the double dealing of Ferdinand of Arragon,—returns to England, 66.
- Doñay, seminary founded there by Philip II. of Spain, for the education of the English Catholics, 456.
- Drake, Francis, voyage round the world, depredations on the Spaniards,—knighted by Elizabeth, 455; expedition to the Spanish West Indies, 477; destroys a Spanish fleet at Cadiz,—takes a rich carrack at Tercera, 509; commands against the Spanish Armada, under Lord Effingham, 513; takes two large vessels belonging to it, 517; undertakes an expedition against Portugal, 521; destroys a Spanish fleet at the Groyne,—makes an unsuccessful attack on Lisbon,—burns Vigo, returns, 523; makes an unsuccessful attempt on Porto Rico,—repulsed at Darien, dies, 538.
- Dudley, a lawyer, the instrument employed by Hen. VII. in oppressing his people, character,—mode of practice, 46; chosen speaker of the House of Commons, 47; summoned before the privy council of Hen. VIII., 60; committed to the Tower,—tried,—executed to gratify the people, 61. Dudley, Lord Guildford, married to the Lady Jane Grey, 276; apprehended with the Lady Jane on the acknowledgment of Queen Mary,—sentenced together with his lady, 282; executed on occasion of Suffolk's fresh conspiracy, 293. Dudley, Lord Robert, becomes the declared favourite of Queen Elizabeth, 358; created Earl of Leicester, proposed by Elizabeth as a husband for Mary, Queen of Scots, 369.
- Duelling, when the general practice of, first took rise, 118.
- Dunfermline, Abbot of, appointed one of the commissioners on the part of the king and kingdom of Scotland, to inquire into the conduct of Mary, Queen of Scots, 398; Elizabeth's declaration to him, respecting the treaty she had entered into with Mary,—appointed by the Scots parliament a commissioner to treat with Elizabeth concerning Mary,—dismissed by her, without concluding on anything, 421; joins an association of the nobility, who seize James from the hands of Lenox and Arran, 462.
- Dunois, Count of, commands the troops of Brittany under his father, 17; gained over to the interests of France, 18.
- EAST INDIA, new passage to, round the Cape of Good Hope, first discovered, 57; East India Company, first patent granted to, by Queen Elizabeth, 595.
- Ecclesiastical courts, complaint preferred by the commons to Hen. VIII. against the oppressions of, 137.
- Ecclesiastics, encouragement secured by the attachment of mankind to their doctrines, 94; political motives to fixing their salaries, and bribing them to indolence,—hence the foundation of religious establishments, 95.
- Edinburgh, pillaged and burnt by Hen. VIII., 197; riot of Protestants there, on the festival of St. Giles, 338; receives the army of the Congregation of the Lord, 341; treaty signed

there by the English plenipotentiaries, and those of France, 345.

Edward, Prince, son of Hen. VIII., born,—his mother, Queen Jane Seymour, dies, 175; contracted to Mary, the infant Queen of Scotland, 207; his father, Hen. VIII., dies, 224. Edward VI., accession,—names of the regency during his minority, 233; Earl of Hertford Protector, and made Duke of Somerset, 234; Somerset obtains a confirmation of his authority by patent, 235; discovers a propensity to literature, 237; reluctance at signing the warrant for the execution of Joan Bocher, 257; removed to Windsor Castle by the Protector, 263; addressed by the council to dismiss Somerset, complies, 264; new council of regency formed, 265; grief at his sister the Lady Mary's obstinacy in the catholic faith, 269; induced by Northumberland to write circular letters to the sheriffs for choosing a new parliament,—subsidies granted him by parliament,—amount of the crown debts at this time, 275; health declines, 276, 277; orders the judges to prepare a deed of settlement for the succession of Lady Jane Gray, 277; signs the patent for her succession,—physicians dismissed, his cure entrusted to an old woman, 278; dies,—character, 279.

Effingham, Lord, sent with a squadron to Spain, to convoy Philip to England, afraid of his seamen, 296; one of Queen Elizabeth's ambassadors at the treaty of Cateau Cambresis, 334. Effingham, Lord Howard of, commands the English navy destined to oppose the Spanish invincible Armada, 513; attacked by the Armada, under the Duke of Medina Sidonia, 517; attacks and disconcerts the Armada at Calais, 518; takes command of the fleet sent against Cadiz, 539; Cadiz taken and plundered,—created Earl of Nottingham, 540.

Egmont, Count, the Spanish general, defeats De Thermes, French governor of Calais, in Flanders, by the assistance of an English squadron, 321; put to death by the Duke d'Alva, 434.

Elbeuf, Marquis of, accompanies Queen Mary in her return to Scotland, 350; concerned in a riot at the house of Alison Craig, which the church takes cognizance of, 353.

Elizabeth, daughter of Hen. VIII. and Anne Boleyn, born,—created Princess of Wales, 140; treaty entered into by her father marrying her to the Duke of Angoulême, 156; her mother beheaded, 167; illegitimated by parliament, 168; restored to her right of succession by parliament, 212; addressed by Lord Seymour, 252; raises a body of horse to support her sister Mary against Lady Jane Grey, 282; cause of her sister's first declared animosity to her, 287; confined by her sister under colour of Wyatt's insurrection, 292; released by the mediation of Philip,—owes this protection to his policy, 299; retires into the country, devotes herself to study,—prudence on the proposal of marriage made by the King of Sweden, 320; caution with regard to religion, 321; her sister Mary dies, 322; great joy manifested both by parliament and people at her accession, 325; behaviour on arriving at the Tower,—receives all the bishops kindly, excepting

Bonner,—notifies her election to foreign courts, 326; her motives for declining Philip's offers of marriage,—notifies her accession to the Pope, his expressions on the occasion,—recalls her ambassador from Rome,—forms her council,—makes Cecil secretary of state,—advised by Cecil to restore the Protestant religion, 327; caution in this matter,—prohibits all preaching without licence, to suppress the violent attacks of Catholics and Reformers on each other,—prohibits the elevation of the host, 328; bishops disgusted,—crowned,—popular behaviour,—title recognised by the new parliament, 329; declared governess of the church by parliament,—powers conferred under this title,—all Edward's statutes concerning religion confirmed, 330; mass abolished, and liturgy restored,—grants voted to her by the commons, 331; answer to the address of the commons to fix on the choice of a husband, 332; address in conciliating the Catholics to the reformed religion, 333; rejects the proposal of Philip for her adhering to the Spanish alliance against France,—treaty with Henry respecting the restitution of Calais, 334; Henry solicits her excommunication at the court of Rome,—Mary, Queen of Scotland, and her husband the Dauphin, assume the arms and title of England,—rise of her violent jealousy against Mary, 335; receives a deputation from the Protestant malcontents in Scotland for assistance, persuaded by Cecil to grant it, 343; sends a fleet and army to Scotland, 344; concludes a treaty with the association called the "Congregation of the Lord,"—treaty of Edinburgh,—review of her conduct in this affair, 345; receives a second deputation from the Scots reformers, with thanks, and desire of farther aid, 346; applies to Mary, Queen of Scots, for a ratification of the treaty of Edinburgh, and a renunciation of her pretensions to the English crown, which she refuses, 348; denies Mary a passage through England, on her return to Scotland,—Mary's spirited reply on the occasion, 349; equips a fleet with a supposed design of intercepting Mary, who escapes it, 350; reply to Mary's request of being declared successor to the English crown, 356; apparently reconciled to her,—prudent administration, 357; divers proposals of marriage made to her,—gives a gentle refusal to them all,—aversion to the idea of a successor, 358; cruelly persecutes the Earl of Hertford and his lady from this motive,—pardons Arthur Pole and others, convicted of a conspiracy, 360; Philip of Spain begins to manifest his enmity to her, 23; enters into a treaty with the Prince of Condé,—Havre de Grace put into her hands, 363; assists the French Protestants after the battle of Dreux,—falls dangerously ill of the small-pox,—addressed by the commons to marry, 364; reflections on this subject,—replies, 365; supplies granted her by parliament and convocation, 366; manifesto on taking possession of Havre de Grace,—neglected in the treaty between Condé and the French court, resolves to retain Havre, 367; Havre taken, the plague brought into England by the garrison,—makes a resignation of her claim to Calais, 368; maintains an amicable correspondence with Mary, declines an inter-

view,—address in preventing Mary from contracting a foreign alliance by marriage, 369; proposes the Earl of Leicester to her,—duplicity in this proposal,—exposes her weakness and rivalship in her conversation with Melvil, the Scots ambassador, 370; favours the marriage of Mary with Lord Darnley, 371; duplicity again manifested in this instance, 372; encourages the Scots malcontents to rise against Mary,—disavows any connection with them on the failure of the enterprise, 374; behaviour on receiving news of the birth of Prince James of Scotland, 379; promises the commons to marry, gives her reasons against naming a successor,—prohibits their debates on this subject, 380; revokes her prohibition,—speech at dissolving the parliament, 381; remonstrates with Mary against her marriage with Bothwell, 387; sends Throgmorton, ambassador to Scotland, on the captivity of Mary,—the tenour of his commission, 391; sends Mary offers of assistance on her escape from Lochleven Castle,—Mary, being defeated by Murray, takes refuge in England, desires her protection, 394; Cecil's advice to her on this occasion, 395; requires Mary to clear herself from the murder of her husband,—requires Murray to justify his conduct towards Mary, 397; appoints commissioners for hearing the cause at York, 398; queries proposed to her by Murray, 400; transfers the conferences to Hampton Court, appoints additional commissioners,—answer to Murray's queries, 401; lays the result of the conference before her privy council, 403; reply to the Scots' commissioners, 404; dismisses Murray with a present for his expenses, 406; detains the Duke of Chatelraut till Murray's departure,—refuses to acknowledge the young king,—Mary refuses all concessions, 407; renews her demand for the restitution of Calais,—Chancellor L'Hospital's pleas to elude the demand,—fruitless negotiation for marriage with the Archduke Charles, 408; instances of her reluctance in the work of church-reformation, 410; attached to the doctrine of the real presence, 411; endeavours to depress the Puritans, 412; great regard and attachment to Cecil, 413; gives the Duke of Norfolk hints of her knowledge of his negotiations in order to a marriage with Mary, Queen of Scots, 414; Norfolk committed to the Tower,—Mary removed to Coventry, more strictly guarded,—the earls of Northumberland and Westmorland raise an insurrection in the north, 416; releases Norfolk on promise of relinquishing thoughts of Mary,—amuses Mary with negotiations, 417; proposals to Murray in her behalf, 418; sends Sussex with forces to Scotland, to check the progress of Mary's party,—desires the Scots, on Murray's death, not to elect another regent,—ambiguous conduct to balance the factions in Scotland, 419; sends terms of a treaty to Mary, who agrees to them, 420; measures to frustrate this treaty,—commissioners sent by the Scots parliament to treat with her,—disgusted with their republican principles,—dismisses them without concluding anything,—excommunicated by Pope Pius V., 421; summons a parliament after five years' interval,—prohibits them from

meddling with affairs of state, 422; summons Strickland, a commoner, before the council, for introducing a bill for amending the liturgy,—prohibits him from attending the house,—Yelverton's speech in the house on that occasion, 423; restores Strickland to his seat,—employs the house of lords to check the commons in debating of religious reformation, 424; Bell, a commoner, severely reprimanded by the council, for a motion against an exclusive patent granted to a trading company at Bristol,—orders the lord keeper, Bacon, to reprove the commons at the close of the session for their freedom,—her notion of the proper objects of parliamentary concern, 426; frugality and schemes to avoid asking supplies of parliament, 428; assists the Queen of Navarre with money, allows men to be raised for the assistance of the French Protestants, 430; receives proposals of marriage with the Duke of Anjou, 431; protects the Flemish refugees,—seizes some money intended for the Duke of Alva, 433; discovers Norfolk's conspiracy, who is tried and executed, 436; remonstrates with Mary concerning her conduct, 437; reduces Mary's party in Scotland, 438; concludes a defensive alliance with France, 439; reception of Fénelon, the French ambassador, ordered to excuse the massacre of Paris to her, 440; prudent reflection and conduct on this occasion, 441; assists the German levies for the service of the Huguenots,—obliged to deny giving farther countenance to the Flemish exiles, 444; receives an embassy from the revolted Hollanders to implore assistance, 446; sends Sir Henry Cobham to intercede with Philip in their behalf,—concludes a treaty with the Prince of Orange, agrees to assist him against the Spaniards, 447; representations to Philip on the occasion,—view of her situation at this time, 448; renders the confinement of Mary stricter,—lenity in religious matters,—watchful regard over the Puritans, 449; frugality and punctuality in paying loans, 450; petitioned by parliament for Church reformation, 452; interposes with the Scots administration, in favour of the Earl of Morton,—Ireland invaded by the Spaniards, 454; displeasure at the cruelty exercised in reducing them,—countenances the depredations of Francis Drake, knights him, 455; obtains supplies from parliament,—reprimands the commons for appointing a fast, 456; great attachment to Simier, the Duke of Anjou's agent,—informed by him of Leicester's marriage,—receives a private visit from the Duke of Anjou,—orders a contract of marriage to be prepared between her and Anjou,—receives a splendid embassy from France on the occasion, 458; sends Walsingham ambassador to Paris,—strange fluctuations of her conduct on this affair, 459; Duke of Anjou comes over to England,—gives him a ring from her own finger, 460; dissuaded from this marriage, particularly by Sir Philip Sidney, 461; rejects the Duke of Anjou, 462; sends an embassy to Scotland, on James being taken from the power of Lenox and Arran, 463; receives a pathetic letter from Mary,—her reflections on this letter, 464; opens a negotiation in Scotland for Mary's liberty,—reproaches James with inconstancy,—sends

Walsingham to Scotland, to discover the character of James, 466; procures a change in the Scots ministry,—artifices practised by her ministry to detect conspiracies, 467; association formed to protect her against all violence,—calls a parliament, 468; receives supplies from it, 469; establishes the court of ecclesiastical commission, 470; speech to parliament, on the applications made for further reformation, 471; enlarges the powers of the ecclesiastical court,—conspiracies formed against her life, 472; concludes another league with the States, takes possession of the towns assigned for her security, 476; sends Sir Francis Drake against the Spanish West Indies, 477; motives in sending Dr. Wotton ambassador to Scotland, 479; concludes a league with James for their mutual defence, 480; appoints a commission for the trial of Mary Queen of Scots, 486; calls a parliament on Mary's condemnation,—appears unwilling to carry Mary's sentence into execution, 493; Mary's last letter to her, 496; duplicity of her conduct, in regard to Mary, 498; behaviour on the execution of Mary, 505; letter to James, 506; recalls Leicester from Holland, 510; prepares for defence against the Spanish invincible Armada, 513; vigilance and prudence,—lenity towards her Catholic subjects at this time, 514; visits the camp at Tilbury, 515; receives supplies from parliament, on the destruction of the Armada, 519; prohibits the commons from meddling with ecclesiastical affairs,—checks their intended regulations of purveyance, 520; retains great jealousy of James of Scotland,—endeavours to prevent James from marrying, 524; assists Henry of Navarre against the King of France, 526; sends him men and money on his accession to the crown of France, 527; sends him further assistance by treaty, 528, 529; calls a parliament,—haughty reply to the usual requests of the speaker of the commons, 530; sends several members to prison, for reviving the question about the succession,—injunctions to the speaker, on Morris's motion against ecclesiastical abuses of power, 531; speech to parliament, 533; advice and conduct to James of Scotland, on the discovery of a conspiracy against him, 535; her physician receives a bribe from the Spanish ministers to poison her, executed for it, 536; concludes a new treaty with the United Provinces, 537; fits out an armament which takes and plunders Cadiz, 539; makes Essex Earl Marshal of England,—calls a parliament,—her pleas for a supply,—obtains a grant, 542; gives Essex a box on the ear, 546; concludes a new treaty with the States, 548; sends Essex Lord Lieutenant to Ireland, 553; orders Essex to displace the Earl of Southampton, 554; displeased with his conduct,—behaviour to him on his unexpected journey to court, 556; sorrow on his illness, 557; sends Lord Mountjoy to Ireland, in the room of Essex,—causes Essex to be examined before the Privy Council, 558; refuses to renew his patent for the monopoly of sweet wines, 561; informed that Essex ridicules her person and age, 562; informed of Essex's rebellious schemes, 565; irresolution with regard to the execution of Essex,—consents to his death, 568; meditates a new system of

policy for Europe, in conjunction with Hen. IV. of France, 569; induced to pay her soldiers in Ireland with base money, 570; enormous grants of monopolies, 573; induced to restrain them,—abject acknowledgments of the House of Commons, on her promise to cancel the most oppressive of the patents, 574; falls into a profound melancholy,—inquiry into the cause,—Countess of Nottingham confesses her treachery to Essex, 578; unconquerable grief on this occasion,—dies,—character, 579; review of her administration,—arbitrary exertion of her prerogatives, 581; star-chamber,—court of high commission, 582, martial law, 583; orders vagabonds to be punished by martial law,—indignation against Hayward, an author, averted by the pleasantry of Bacon, 584; method of oppressing turbulent subjects,—arbitrary exaction of loans, 585; virtualised her navy by means of her prerogative of purveyance, 586; arbitrary use of embargoes,—disallowed the legislative power of parliament,—tyrannical proclamations, 587; oppressive and cruel acts of power by her and her ministry, 588; bad state of morals, and remiss execution of justice during her reign, 591; revenues,—threatens to deprive the Bishop of Ely of his see for not fulfilling an engagement concerning the exchange of some land, 592; curious letter to him on that subject,—true reason of her parsimony,—debts owing to her by foreign princes, 593; extraordinary charges, and presents to Essex,—amount of the supplies she received from parliament, 594; her credit established in the city of London,—commercial regulations, 595; improvement of the navy, 597; ostentation in dress, 602; extraordinary learning, 603.

Embargoes, the arbitrary and sinister use of, by Queen Elizabeth, 587.

Empson, a lawyer, the instrument of the oppressions exercised by Hen. VII., character,—mode of practice, 46; extract from his private memorandums, 51; summoned before the privy council of Hen. VIII., 60; shrewd apology for his conduct,—committed to the Tower,—tried,—and is executed to please the people, 61.

England, extension of the regal authority by Hen. VII., 51; inquiry how far the disposition of the people co-operated with the designs of Hen. VIII. in renouncing all subjection to the Church of Rome, 147; lesser monasteries suppressed, 160; authority of the Bishop of Rome totally renounced by parliament, 169; articles of faith framed by the convocation, 170; the reformation promoted by the accession of Edw. VI., 236; battle of Pinkie, 245; grievances of the people at the infancy of the reformation, 258; insurrections, 259; articles of marriage between Queen Mary and Philip of Spain,—reflections of the people on this match, 290; cruel persecution of reformers, 304, 314; engaged by Philip in his war with France, 315; Calais taken by the Duke of Guise, 316; death of Queen Mary, 322; state of the navy during her reign,—laws respecting trade,—embassy sent by the Czar of Muscovy,—mean nasty manner of living among the English at this time, 323; accession of Queen Elizabeth, 325; protestant religion restored, 330; peace

- of Cateau Cambresis, 334; plague brought over from Havre de Grace, by the Earl of Warwick, 368; useful manufactures introduced by the Flemish refugees, 433; treaty concluded by Elizabeth with the revolted Hollanders, 448; voyage round the world, performed by Sir Francis Drake, 455; seminaries founded abroad for the education of English Catholics, 456; establishment of the court of ecclesiastical commission, 470; preparations for resisting the Spanish invincible Armada, 513; Armada destroyed, 518; enormous grants of monopolies by Elizabeth, 573; death of Queen Elizabeth, 579; review of the state of, during her reign, 581; compared with the Turkish government, 585; bad state of morals, and remiss execution of justice during her reign, 591; first establishment of the East India Company, 595; an exclusive patent granted by John Basilides, Czar of Muscovy, of the whole trade with that country, to the English,—this privilege taken away by the Czar Theodore,—commencement of the trade with Turkey, 596; state of the navy in this reign, 597; number of the people, 598; the first law for the relief of the poor, when passed, 599; the current specie in, at the end of Queen Elizabeth's reign,—review of manners at this time, 600; state of literature, 603.
- Entails, a law of Hen. VII. to empower the owners of estates to break them,—important effects of this statute, 55.
- Erasmus, his account of the mean nasty manner of living among the English, 324.
- Erudition of a Christian man, a treatise so called, by Hen. VIII., 202; subscription to this work enjoined by parliament, 210.
- Essex, the young Earl of, attends his father-in-law the Earl of Leicester, in his expedition to the United Provinces, 476; joins Sir Francis Drake secretly, in his expedition against Portugal, 523; commands a body of forces sent to the assistance of Hen. IV. of France, 528; commands the forces sent against Cadiz, 539; takes Cadiz by assault, plunders it,—eagerness to prosecute further advantages, 540; appointed to command a second armament against Spain,—is by a storm forced to alter his destination to the intercepting the Indian fleet, 541; misses all but three ships,—made Earl Marshal of England, 542; instances of his lofty spirit and rash conduct, 545; resentment on receiving a blow from Elizabeth, 546; solicits the government of Ireland,—sent to Ireland under the title of Lord Lieutenant,—formidable army and extensive powers, 553; disobeys the queen in promoting the Earl of Southampton,—misled in his first movements by the Irish council, 554; unsuccessful expedition into Munster,—treaty with Tyrone, 555; sudden journey to London, conference with the queen, 556; committed to custody, falls ill, 557; examined before the privy council,—defence,—sentence pronounced against him by the lord-keeper, 559; his patent for the monopoly of sweet wines refused to be renewed by the queen,—intrigues against the queen, 561; indulges his ill humour in satirical reflections against her, 562; concert a plan for obliging the queen to declare James of Scotland her successor,—maintains a correspondence with James, 563; enters into a conspiracy at Drury House, 564; summoned to the council,—sallies out with his friends into the city, 565; meeting with no countenance, returns and surrenders, 566; tried, condemned,—makes a full confession to the council, 567; executed,—character, 568; the Countess of Nottingham's treachery towards him discovered, 579; amount of the queen's gifts to him, 593.
- Estates, are admitted to be broke,—important consequences of this latter law, 55.
- Evers, Lord, conducts an inroad into Scotland, and boasts of his progress, 215; killed at the battle of Ancram, 216.
- Europe, the balance of power in, well secured, at commencement of reign of Hen. VIII., 63.
- Exeter, besieged by Perkin Warbeck,—raises the siege, 40. Exeter, Courtney, Marquis of, enters into a conspiracy with Card. Pole,—executed for it, 182.
- FAERIE QUEENE, the, of Spenser, character of that poem, 604.
- Farm-houses, remarks on the statute of Hen. VII. for upholding, 56.
- Fayal taken by Sir Walter Raleigh, 541.
- Felton, John, executed for fixing the Pope's bull of excommunication against Queen Elizabeth on the gate of the Bp. of London's palace, 421.
- Fénelon, the French ambassador, declares his detestation of the massacre at Paris,—reception by Queen Elizabeth, when ordered to represent it to her, 440.
- Ferdinand, King of Arragon, loses his wife Isabella, Queen of Castile,—his daughter Joan married to Philip, Archduke of Austria,—character, 48; obtains possession of Castile by the death of Philip, 50; agrees with Lewis XII. of France, on a seizure and partition of the kingdom of Naples,—seizes it himself, 62; acquires the epithet of Catholic, 64; glories in outwitting his neighbours,—excludes Hen. VIII. into an expedition to Guienne, to facilitate his conquest of Navarre, 65; disavows the alliance signed by his ambassador with Henry and Maximilian against France, 69; dies, succeeded by his grandson Charles, 85. Ferdinand, brother to Chas. V. elected King of the Romans, 312.
- Fines, arbitrarily exacted by Hen. VII., 32, 46; and recoveries for breaking the entails,—important of estates, when first introduced, 55.
- Fisher, Bp. of Rochester, reflects on the commons, offends the Duke of Norfolk, 130; refuses to take the oath regulating the succession of the crown, 143; attainted by parliament, 144; imposed on by the stories of the "holy maid of Kent,"—imprisoned on this account, 153; cruel treatment,—created a cardinal by the Pope,—condemned, beheaded for denying the king's supremacy, 154.
- Flammoc, Thos., a lawyer, heads an insurrection in Cornwall, against Hen. VII., 36; encourages them with hopes of assistance in Kent, 37; defeated and executed, 38.
- Flanders, all commerce with, prohibited by Hen. VII., 30; commissioners sent to London to treat of an accommodation,—the *Inter-cursus magnus*, or great treaty of commerce, concluded,—all English rebels excluded from,

- by this treaty, 39; neutrality stipulated with, by Henry VIII., 131.
- Fleetwood, speech in the House of Commons on the prerogative in granting patents, 425.
- Flesh-meat, statute prices of, in the reign of Henry VIII., 231.
- Flodden, battle of, between the Earl of Surrey and James IV. of Scotland, 75.
- Florence, revolts from the authority of the family of Medicis, 123; again subdued to their sovereignty, 131.
- Fontarabia, fruitless expedition to, by Hen. VIII., 65; taken by the Emp. Chas. V., 107.
- Fontrailles, a French officer, gallant expedition for the relief of Terrouane, besieged by Henry VIII., 73.
- Ford, Lady, taken prisoner by Jas. IV. of Scotland, whose affections she gains, 74.
- Foreigners, their superiority to the English in arts, in the time of Hen. VIII.,—insurrection against them in London, 229; edict of the Star-chamber against, 230.
- Forma pauperis, suits in, when first granted to the poor, 52.
- Forest, Friar, burnt for heresy in Scotland, 197.
- Fotheringay Castle, Mary Queen of Scots tried there, 488; executed there, 504.
- Fox, Richard, character,—becomes confidant to Hen. VII.,—called to the privy-council, made Bishop of Exeter,—translated to Winchester, made privy seal, 8; admonishes Hen. VIII. against his pleasures and extravagances, 60; introduces Wolsey to him,—supplanted in Henry's confidence by him, 70; advice to Henry, on his retiring, 81. Fox, Bp. of Hereford, sent by Hen. VIII. to treat with the German protestants, 156; zealous for a thorough reformation, but dies, 183.
- France, state of, at the time of Hen. VII. of England, 16, 25; government intrusted to the Princess Anne of Beaujeu, during the minority of Chas. VII., 16; administration disputed by Lewis, Duke of Orleans, 17; Brittany annexed to, by the marriage of Charles with the Duchess of, 24; war with, by Hen. VII., 26; peace concluded, 27; invaded by Hen. VIII., 72; peace concluded with England, 73; Lewis XII. marries Henry's sister,—dies,—accession of Francis, 78; interview between Fran. I. and Hen. VIII., 90; war declared against, by England, 100; powers of Italy join the alliance of the emperor against, 105; battle of Pavia, captivity of Francis, 109; treaty of Madrid, restoration of Francis, 114; war declared against the emperor,—the emperor challenges Francis to single combat, 118; peace of Cambray, 131; Jas. V. of Scotland married to Mary of Guise, 190; accession of Hen. II., 240; Mary, the young Queen of Scotland, sent there, and betrothed to the Dauphin, 250; England engages in the Spanish war with,—Montmorency defeated by the Spaniards at St. Quintin,—general consternation at this event,—Duke of Guise recalled from Italy,—Calais taken from the English, 316; the Dauphin Francis married to Mary the young Queen of Scotland, 319; Peace of Cateau Cambresis, 333; the Guises engross all the authority of government,—rigorous persecution of the reformers, 347; summary view of the civil wars in that kingdom, 362; battle of Dreux, 364; massacre of the Huguenots concerted, 376; battle of St. Denis, siege of Chartres,—the court agrees to an accommodation with the protestants, 429; battle of Montcontour, 430; massacre of the Huguenots at Paris, 439; death of Chas. IX.,—accession of Hen. III., 442; battle of Coutras,—assassination of the Duke of Guise and his brother, 526; the king assassinated,—accession of Henry IV., 527; Henry renounces the protestant faith, 534.
- Francis I., of Angoulême, succeeds to the crown of France on the death of Lewis XII.,—character, 78; defeats the Swiss at Marignan, 83; sends Bonnivet, his ambassador, to England, 85; flatteries to Wolsey, 86; unsuccessful in his pretensions to the imperial crown,—character contrasted with that of the Emp. Chas. V. his competitor, 88; visited by Henry in a plain near Ardes,—ceremony of their meeting regulated by Cardinal Wolsey, 90; frank disregard of the formality observed between him and Henry, which is returned by Henry,—grand tournament held by them, 91; attacked by the emperor,—ineffectual congress with the emperor, under the mediation of Wolsey, at Calais,—the pope, emperor, and Henry, conclude an alliance against him, 92; war declared against, by Henry,—repulses the Earl of Surrey's invasion, 100; worsted in Italy, 101; powers of Italy unite with the emperor against him,—duke of Bourbon revolts against him, enters the emperor's service, 105; Duke of Suffolk invades Picardy, 106; sends the Admiral Bonnivet to invade Milan,—Bonnivet defeated, 107; passes the Alps in person to invade the Milanese, 108; besieges Pavia,—defeated, taken prisoner by the Imperialists, 109; letter to his mother, 110; proposals to Charles for his liberty,—carried to Madrid, and falls sick, 113; visited by Charles,—recovers his liberty by the treaty of Madrid, 114; evades the execution of the treaty, 115; meets Wolsey,—concludes fresh treaties with Henry, 117; declares war against the emperor,—challenged by Charles to single combat, 118; peace concluded with Charles at Cambray, 131; interview with Henry at Boulogne, 139; leagues with the pope,—endeavours an accommodation between the Pope and Henry, 141; renews his friendship with Henry, 155; marries his daughter Magdalen to Jas. V. of Scotland,—apologises to Henry for this match, who refuses to see his ambassador, 158; concludes a truce with Charles for ten years, 175; refuses Henry's proposals toward marriage with Mary of Guise,—sends her to Scotland,—other proposals of marriage fruitless, 190; allows the emperor an honourable passage through France to the Netherlands, 191; promises to assist Cardinal Beaton in Scotland, 209; overruns Luxembourg, takes Landrecy, 210; forces Charles to abandon the siege of Landrecy, 211; invaded by Charles and Henry, 214; concludes a separate peace with Charles, 215; equips an armament for a descent on England, 216; makes peace with Henry at Campe, 218. Francis, dauphin of France, married to the young Queen of Scotland, 319; assumes the title and arms of England, in right of his queen,—becomes king by the death of his father, 335. Francis II., of France, excites the enmity of Elizabeth,

- by assuming the title of King of England, 336; treaty of Edinburgh, 345; wholly governed by the Guises, 347; dies.—succeeded by his brother Chas. IX., 348.
- Frederic, King of Naples, his kingdom conquered jointly by France and Spain,—seized by the latter, 62.
- Frobisher, Sir Martin, undertakes a cruise against the Spaniards, 530; killed at the taking of Morlaix, 536; the three trials made by him for the discovery of a north-west passage, 595.
- GAMA, Vasquez de, first passage to the East Indies, round the Cape of Good Hope, 57.
- Gardiner, Bp. of Winchester, joins the Duke of Norfolk in opposing the reformation, 149; acts covertly against the religious innovations, 183; fomented a cruel persecution of heretics, 196; proposes certain Latin terms to be retained in the English version of the Scriptures, 202; sent ambassador to the emperor,—dissuades Henry from further alterations in religion, 219; endeavours to procure an impeachment of Queen Catherine Parr, for heresy, 221; opposes the steps toward reformation, taken by the protector and regency during the minority of Edw. VI., 237; writes an apology for holy water, 238; remonstrances against religious innovations,—committed to the Fleet, harshly used,—objections to the homilies, 239; committed to the Tower for denying the supremacy of the regency during a minority, 256; refuses to subscribe articles propounded to him,—deprived,—closely confined, 267; released by Queen Mary, 284; generous assistance to Peter Martyr, 285; made chancellor, promotes the Spanish match,—moderate counsel on the occasion, 288; speech at the opening a new parliament, 295; debates with Cardinal Pole, about the expediency of punishing heretics, 300; procures Rogers, prebendary of St. Paul's, to be burnt for heresy, 304; engages Bonner and others to persecute the reformers, 305; dies, 309.
- Gardening, and garden stuff, when first introduced into England, 229.
- Gaston de Foix, nephew to Lewis XII. of France, character,—killed in a victory he gained over the Spanish and Papal armies, 83.
- Gerard, Baltazar, assassinates the Prince of Orange, 473.
- Germany, commencement of the reformation in, by Martin Luther,—progress of, among the princes of, 97; a peace favourable to the protestants procured from the emperor, by Maurice, elector of Saxony, 288.
- Gibson, a Scots preacher, curses James VI. in his pulpit, 480.
- Gifford, a priest, employed by Walsingham to forward the correspondence between Mary Queen of Scots, and Babington,—carries the letters to Walsingham, 484.
- Gilbert, Sir Humphry, in the House of Commons, on defence of the legal prerogative, 425.
- Gloucester, first erected into a bishopric, 180.
- Gordon, Lady Cath., a Scots lady, married to Perkin Warbeck, 35; taken prisoner by Hen. VII.—generously treated, 40.
- Gossiping, among women, the royal proclamation against, 229.
- Government of England, in time of Queen Elizabeth, compared with that of Turkey, 585.
- Gowrie, Earl of, enters into an association for seizing the young King James from the power of Lennox and Arran, 462; tried, executed, 467.
- Gravelines, battle there between Count Egmont, the Spanish general, and De Thermes the French governor of Calais, 322; interview there between the Emp. Chas. V. and Hen. VIII., 91.
- Gray, Lord, sent by Queen Elizabeth with forces to the assistance of the protestant malcontents in Scotland,—besieges and takes Leith from the French party, 344; assists the Earl of Ormond in reducing the Spanish general San Josepho, in Ireland, 454; cruelty in this, 455. Gray, Lady Elizabeth, married Hen. VII., 8; supposed to be privy to the insurrection of Lambert Simnel, 10; seized and confined, 11; dies in confinement, 12.
- Gray, Lady Jane. Dudley, Duke of Northumberland proposes to Edw. VI. to alter the succession in her favour,—married to Lord Guildford Dudley, 276; appointed to the succession by a deed of settlement, 278; amiable character, 280; unwilling to accept the offer of the crown,—proclaimed, 281; deserted by the council and the people,—returns to private life,—taken into custody with all the heads of her party, 282; sentence passed upon her, 283; executed on account of a new conspiracy of her father's, 293; noble behaviour,—dying declarations, 294.
- Gray, Lady Catherine, married to Lord Herbert, son of the Earl of Pembroke, 277; divorced from him,—privately married to the Earl of Hertford, 350; committed to the Tower by Queen Elizabeth on this account, where she dies, 359.
- Great Harry, the first ship properly of the royal navy, built by Hen. VII., 58.
- Greek language, The, how imported and cultivated in Europe, 58.
- Grenville, Sir Rich., Vice-admiral of the English fleet under Lord Thomas Howard, his ship, the first English ship of war taken by the Spaniards, 529.
- Gresham, Sir Thos., procures Queen Elizabeth a loan from the company of merchant adventurers in London, 595; builds the Royal Exchange, 599.
- Grey, Lord Leonard, executed for treason, 196.
- Grindal, Abp., persecuted in the Star-chamber, for favouring the puritans, 470.
- Groine, ships and troops there, defeated by Sir Francis Drake, 523.
- Guiana, Sir W. Raleigh's first expedition to, 538.
- Guise, Duke of, repulses the Emp. Chas. V. in his attack upon Metz, 288; recalled from Italy, on the defeat of St. Quintin,—takes Calais from the English, 316; Henry arrives at his camp, 321; instigates the claim of his niece, Mary of Scotland, to the crown of England, 335; he and his family engross all the authority of the French government, 347; influence lessened by the death of Fran. II., 348; strengthens himself against the protestants by an alliance with Philip II. of Spain, 363; commands under Montmorency at the battle of Dreux, 364; besieges Orleans,—assassinated by Poltrot, 367.
- Guise, Duke of, son of the former, defends Poitiers, besieged by the Admiral Coligni,—character, 429; massacre of Paris, 439; becomes dis

contented with the conduct of Hen. III.,—forms the famous catholic league against the Huguenots, 443; sends the Count D'Aubigny, of the house of Lennox, to detach James of Scotland from the English interest, 453; revives the league, 474; defeats the German auxiliaries of the Huguenots,—with his brother assassinated by the king's order, 526. Guise, Mary of, widow of the Duke de Longueville, marries Jas. V. of Scotland, 190; death of her husband,—brought to bed of the Princess Mary, 205; attaches herself to Card. Beaton, to oppose the pretensions of the Earl of Arran, 207; promised support by Francis, 209; goes to France to solicit assistance against the Earl of Arran,—conversation with Edw. VI. in her return through England, about his marriage with her daughter, 318; obtains from Arran a resignation of his office as regent of Scotland,—attended by D'Oisel a Frenchman, to assist her in the administration,—gains the good-will of the Scots by her prudent conduct,—endeavours to engage the Scots to take part in the quarrel with France against England,—her daughter, the young Queen Mary, married to the Dauphin of France, 319; protects the English reformers, who fled from the persecutions of Queen Mary, 336; petitioned by the association of reformers called the 'Congregation of the Lord,'—motives for temporising between the religious parties,—induced to a more rigorous conduct, by orders from France, 338; assembles an army to suppress the protestant riots, 339; enters into an accommodation with the Congregation,—received into Perth,—improbable violence of expression charged upon her, 341; forced to retire and fortify herself in Dunbar,—remonstrates with the Congregation,—grants them a toleration,—receives reinforcements from France, 342; deprived of the regency by the Congregation, 343; death and character, 345. Gunpowder, when and by whom invented, 58.

HADDINGTON, taken by the Duke of Somerset,—fortified,—besieged by the Scots and French, 249; dismantled, 261.

Hales, Sir James, positively refuses to sign the patent for the succession of Lady Jane Gray, 278; imprisoned for opposing Queen Mary's schemes,—kills himself, 284.

Hamilton, Patrick, controverts the Popish doctrines in a conference at St. Andrews,—burnt, 197. Hamilton, Primate of Scotland, tries and condemns Walter Mill, the reformer, to the flames for heresy, 337; extraordinary zeal of the people of St. Andrews against this act of cruelty, 338.

Hampton Court Palace, built by Card. Wolsey, presented by him to Hen. VIII., 113; conferences, concerning Mary, Queen of Scots, adjourned thither from York, 401.

Hanse-towns, inhabitants of, encouraged to settle in England,—privileges taken away, 270; disputes between the merchants of, and Queen Elizabeth, 596.

Haarlem, vigorous defence against the Spaniards, inhabitants massacred in revenge, 445.

Hastings, Sir Edw., raises men for the Lady Jane Gray, and carries them to the assistance of Queen Mary, 281. Hastings, Lady Anne, refuses to become Empress of Muscovy, 596.

Hatton, Sir Chris., exhortation to Mary, Queen of Scots, to submit to trial, 487; made chancellor, though no lawyer, 505.

Haukes, Thomas, burnt for heresy, 306.

Havre de Grace, delivered up to Queen Elizabeth, by treaty with the Prince of Condé, 363; Earl of Warwick takes the command of it, 364; besieged by the French,—the garrison infected by the plague, 367; surrendered by capitulation, 368.

Hayward, an author, incurs the resentment of Queen Elizabeth,—saved by the pleasantry of Sir Francis Bacon, 584.

Heath, Abp. of York, appointed chancellor on the death of Bp. Gardiner, 309; notifies the death of Queen Mary to the parliament, 325.

Henry VII., accession,—accepts Rich. III.'s crown found in Bosworth-field, 1; title to the crown of England, 2; impolitic prejudices against the house of York, 3; commits the young Earl of Warwick to the Tower,—joyful reception in his journey to London, 4; renews his promise of marriage with the Princess Elizabeth,—defers it till after his coronation,—creation of peers,—institution of yeomen of the guard,—his prior attainder how qualified, 5; cautious entail of the crown,—procures a papal sanction of his right to the crown, 6; attainders of the York party,—reflections on,—duties of tonnage and poundage granted him for life,—proclaims a pardon to those who took arms against him, on surrender, 7; titles of nobility conferred by him,—choice of ministers,—married to the Princess Elizabeth, daughter of Edw. IV.,—makes a progress into the north, 8; disperses an insurrection at Worcester,—birth of Prince Arthur, 9; government unpopular, 10; revolt of Ireland, under Lambert Simnel, 11; musters troops against him,—defeats the Earl of Lincoln, Simnel's general, at Stoke,—entertains Simnel as a scullion, 13; his rigorous prosecution of Simnel's partisans,—crowns his queen, 14; state of foreign affairs at this period,—makes a truce for seven years with the Scots, 15; politic motives for not assisting the French in their designs on Brittany, 18; offers of mediation, how answered by the Duke of Brittany, 19; obtains a supply from parliament to assist Brittany, 20; insurrection in the north on levying it,—suppressed, 21; sends Lord Willoughby de Broke to Brittany, 22; vexation on the marriage of Charles of France with the Duchess of Brittany,—levies a benevolence on his people, 24; promises his parliament to claim the crown of France, 25; carries over an army to France, 26; makes peace with France, 27; causes the murder of Edw. V. and the Duke of York to be ascertained, on account of Perkin Warbeck's imposture,—policy in searching into the conspiracy,—gains over Sir Rob. Clifford to betray Perkin's secrets,—publishes Perkin's secret history,—remonstrates to the Archduke on the occasion, prohibits all commerce with the Low Countries, 30; executes Perkin Warbeck's secret adherents, 31; detects and executes Sir Will. Stanley,—oppresses his people by arbitrary fines,—caresses lawyers,—curbs his nobility, 32; passes a law to indemnify all who act under the authority of the king for the time being,—sends Sir Edw. Poynings over to reduce the malcontents in

Ireland, 33; Poynings' memorable statute, —leagues with the Italian States against France, 34; obtains a subsidy from parliament, —insurrection in Cornwall on occasion of levying it, 36; prudent disposition to oppose the Cornish insurgents, 37; defeats them at Blackheath, 38; employs Hialas, Ferdinand's ambassador, to negotiate a truce with Scotland, —concludes a treaty of commerce with the Flemings, 39; Perkin Warbeck lands in Cornwall, besieges Exeter, —siege raised, Perkin's followers disperse, —generous treatment of Perkin's wife, 40; conducts Perkin in mock-triumph to London, —publishes Perkin's confession, 41; executes the young Earl of Warwick, for concerting an escape with Perkin Warbeck, —reflections on this execution, —excuse for it, —interview with the Archduke Philip at Calais, 44; the Pope sends a nuncio to engage him in a crusade against the Turks —makes a conditional promise to attend him, —chosen protector of the knights of Rhodes, —marries Arthur, Prince of Wales, to Catherine of Arragon, —prince dies, —marries her to his second son Henry, —marries his eldest daughter Margaret to Jas. IV. of Scotland, 45; remark on this connection, —death of his queen, —present situation, —avarice, and oppression of his people by his two ministers, Empson and Dudley, —modes of extortion, 46; great wealth acquired by these means, —political attention to the state of Europe, 47; visited by Philip, King of Castile, forced by a storm on the coast of England, 48; advantage he took of this occurrence to obtain possession of the Earl of Suffolk, whom Philip protected, —commits Suffolk to the Tower, 49; affiances his daughter, Mary to Chas., Archduke of Austria, —remorse for his oppressions, deeds of atonement, —continues his extortions, —death, character, 50; more absolute in his conduct than any former king, —the people's submission accounted for, —laws calculated for the good of the nation, 51; star-chamber, the authority of, established in this reign, —suits *in forma pauperis*, first given, —benefit of clergy abridged, —passed frequent laws against retainers, 52; anecdote of his behaviour to the Earl of Oxford on account of, 53; empowers his nobility and gentry to break the ancient entails of estates, 54; depresses old families, caresses new ones, —commerce rather hurt than advanced by some of his laws, —instances, 55; remarks on the comparative prices of commodities and labour at that time, —review of other commercial regulations, 56; America, and the new passage to the East Indies, discovered in this reign, —great alterations in the European nations in consequence of these extraordinary events, —how he lost the honour of the first discovery of America, 57; Sebastian Cabot sent out by him on discoveries in America, —Newfoundland discovered by Cabot, —the first ship of the royal navy, called the *Great Harry*, built by Henry, —circumstances which tended to the promotion of literature about this time, 58; nation rejoiced at his death, 59; dying injunction to his son to protest against his marriage with Cath. of Arragon, 119.

Henry, second son of Hen. VII., created

Prince of Wales on the death of his brother Arthur, —forced by his father into a marriage with Catharine of Arragon, Arthur's widow, 45. Henry VIII., general satisfaction of the people at his accession, —personal qualifications, —how he acquired a literary education, —choice of ministers, 59; his taste for gaiety and pleasure encouraged by the Earl of Surrey, —dissipates his father's treasures, —music and literature his favourite pursuits, 60; Thomas Aquinas his favourite author, —punishes the instruments of his father's oppression, —motives to the consummation of his marriage with Cath. of Arragon, 61; takes part with Pope Julius II. against France, 64; supplies granted by parliament for a war with France, —deluded by Ferdinand of Spain into a fruitless expedition to Guienne, to facilitate his conquest of Navarre, 65; naval engagement between Sir Thos. Knevet and the French, 67; poll-tax to carry on the war against France, the proportions how rated, —receives a vessel of provisions, a present from the pope, —disputes with Scotland, —concludes an alliance with Maximilian and Ferdinand against France, 68; Wolsey introduced to him by Fox, Bp. of Winchester, —maxims inculcated by Wolsey, —admits him to his privy-council, 70; raises an army and fleet against France, 71; invades France in person, —the Emp. Maximilian serves under him, and receives pay, 72; besieges Terouane, —defeats the French at the battle of Spurs, —Terouane capitulates, 73; takes Tournay, —makes Wolsey Bp. of Tournay, —returns to England, 74; defeats the Scots at Flodden, —peace with Scotland, 76; enraged at Ferdinand's alliance with France, —peace with France negotiated by the Duke of Longueville, 77; terms of the treaty, —Princess Mary, Henry's sister, married to Lewis, who dies quickly after, 78; disgusts against Fran. I. of France, 84; persuaded by Wolsey to deliver up Tournay, 85; forms pretensions to the empire, too late, 88; political advantages lessened by the defects of his temper, 89; visited by the Emp. Charles V., —goes over to Ardres to visit Francis, by Wolsey's persuasion, who regulates the ceremonial of their meeting, —instance of his delicacy towards Francis, 90; return of Francis's familiarity and confidence, —grand tournament held by them, 91; visits the emp. and Marg. of Saxony at Gravelines, —endeavours to mediate a peace between the emp. and Francis frustrated, —alliance concluded by Wolsey between him and the emperor, with the pope, against Francis, 92; trial, execution of the Duke of Buckingham, 93; writes against the opinions of Luther the reformer, 97; receives the title of 'Defender of the Faith' from the pope, —sharply answered by Luther, 98; again visited by the Emp. Charles, whom he installs a knight of the garter, —declares war against France, 100; operations against Scotland, 101; his father's treasure being dissipated, imposes arbitrary taxes, —summons a parliament, 103; levies the grants before the stipulated time, —arbitrary behaviour to Edw. Montague, a member of the house of commons, 104; sends a force under the Duke of Suffolk to invade Picardy, 106; new treaty between him and the emperor for

the invasion of France, 108; concludes an alliance with Louise, the regent of France, on the captivity of Francis, 110; sends Tostal, Bp. of London, ambassador to the emperor, 111; levies taxes by Wolsey's advice, without his parliament,—discontents of the people on this exertion of the prerogative, 112; Wolsey makes him a present of Hampton Court palace, 113; joins the Holy League against the emperor, 115; treaties with Francis, 116; declares, with France, war against the emperor, 118; account of his scruples with regard to his marriage with Catherine of Arragon, 119; has a son by Lady Cath. Blount,—consults his prelates, who confirm his scruples concerning his marriage,—becomes enamoured with the Lady Anne Boleyn, 120; applies to the pope for a divorce,—motives of the pope's hesitation in that affair, 121; lays the pope's conduct before his ministers, their advice thereupon, 122; Cardinals Wolsey and Campeggio appointed by the pope to try his marriage, 124; the trial opened, 125; the court abruptly propogued by Campeggio, 127; deprives Wolsey of the great seal,—orders Wolsey to depart from York Place, confiscates his moveables there,—orders him to be prosecuted in the star-chamber, 128; pardons him, 130; commons grant him a discharge of his debts,—sends Francis I. a generous acquittal of a debt owing to him, 131; view of his inducements to break off all connection with the court of Rome, 132; first introduction of Dr. Cranmer to him,—engages Cranmer to write in favour of his divorce,—examination into the general question of marriage within affinity, with reference to this case, 133; obtains the decision of many universities in favour of his divorce,—engages his nobility to write to the pope concerning,—refuses the pope's summons to appear at Rome, 134; concerned at Wolsey's death,—persecutes his clergy on the statute of provisors, which is compounded with him by the convocation,—the convocation acknowledges his supremacy, with an artful reservation,—issues a pardon to the laity from the statute of provisors, 135; passes an act against levying annates,—commons reject a bill to secure his right of wardships, etc.,—his conduct thereupon, 137; explains his scruples about his marriage to Sir Thos. Audley, speaker of the house of commons, 138; interview with Francis at Boulogne,—celebrates his marriage with Anne Boleyn,—passes an act against all appeals to Rome, on suits cognizable in ecclesiastical courts,—publishes his marriage with Anne Boleyn, 139; marriage with Catherine declared invalid by Abp. Cranmer,—birth of the Princess Elizabeth,—creates her Princess of Wales,—degrades Catherine to the quality of Princess-dowager of Wales, 140; accident which produced his final breach with the pope,—papal authority excluded from the regulation of monasteries, and election of bishops by parliament, 142; succession to the crown regulated by parliament, 143; declared supreme head of the church, by parliament, 144; was the most absolute prince in Europe,—hatred towards the reformers accounted for, 148; courtiers, how disposed with regard to the reformation,—passions made use of

by both parties, 149; abused personally by Friar Peyto from the pulpit,—orders Dr. Corren to preach before him, who justifies him, 151; detects and punishes 'the' holy maid of Kent,' and her associates, 153; Fisher, Bp. of Rochester, executed for denying his supremacy, 154; trial, execution of Sir Thos. More,—court of Rome enraged against him on account of these executions,—desirous of a reconciliation with Pope Paul III., 155; excommunicated by the pope,—renews his friendship with Francis, proposes marrying the Princess Elizabeth to the Duke of Angoulême,—remit money to the German Protestants,—invites over the principal German divines,—death of Cath. of Arragon,—her dying letter to him, 156; reply to the emperor's advances toward an accommodation, 157; disgusted with Francis for marrying his daughter to Jas. V. of Scotland, 158; appoints Cromwell his vicar-general,—visitation of the monasteries, 159; many monasteries surrender their revenues,—their doors opened,—lesser monasteries suppressed by parliament, 160; becomes jealous of Queen Anne,—jealousy strengthened by the calumnies of the Viscountess of Rocheford, 163; becomes enamoured with the Lady Jane Seymour,—orders the queen, and some of her attendants to be confined, 164; queen's letter to him, 165; trial of the queen, 166; marries Lady Jane Seymour the next day after Anne Boleyn's execution,—receives the Princess Mary into favour on her compliance with the acknowledgment of his supremacy,—Princesses Mary and Elizabeth illegitimated by parliament, 168; articles of faith framed by convocation, corrected by him,—his skill in conducting the alterations in religion, 170; discontents excited among the people by the dispersed monks, 172; insurrection against him, headed by Dr. Mackrel,—prevails on the insurgents to desert their chief, who is executed,—insurrection termed the 'Pilgrimage of Grace,' raised in the north, by Mr. Aske, 173; manifesto against them, 174; suppressed by the Duke of Norfolk,—Prince Edward born, death of the queen, 175; negotiates with the German Protestants, without effect,—allows only single copies of the Bible to be chained in some churches with restrictions as to the reading of it,—suppresses the larger monasteries, 176; pillages the shrine of Thomas à Becket, burns his bones, 179; makes liberal grants of the revenues of the religious houses,—erects six new bishoprics: Westminster, Oxford, Peterborough, Bristol, Chester, and Gloucester,—rage expressed against him by the court of Rome, on his suppression of the religious houses, 180; procures Card. Pole to be dismissed from his legantine charge in Flanders, 181; executes some noblemen who had entered into a conspiracy with Pole,—declares against the authority of the council of Mantua, 182; obstinately attached to the doctrine of the real presence, 183; enters into a formal disputation with Lambert concerning the eucharist,—sentences Lambert to the stake, 184; passes the bill of six articles abolishing diversity of opinions in religion, 186; parliament grants the force of laws to his proclamations, 187; propounds a question

to the judges respecting the power of parliament in attainders, 188; abbey lands confirmed to him by parliament,—grants a general possession of the Bible,—solicits the Duchess-dowager of Longueville in marriage,—refused by Francis, on account of her previous contract to the King of Scotland, 189; demands Anne of Cleves of her father,—sees her privately, dislikes her, 190; marries her nevertheless from political motives,—disgust increases, 191; complains to parliament of the diversity of religions,—creates Cromwell Earl of Essex and knight of the garter,—obtains of parliament a dissolution of the order of St. John of Jerusalem, or knights of Malta,—requires, with difficulty obtains, grants from parliament and convocation, 192; fixes his affections on Lady Cath. Howard,—influenced by the Duke of Norfolk to commit Cromwell to the Tower,—Cromwell's moving letter to him, 193; divorced from Anne of Cleves, 194; concludes an alliance with the emperor,—marries Cath. Howard, 195; persecutes the reformers,—makes a progress into the north, 196; exhorts the King of Scotland to seize the church revenues,—James evades a promised interview with him, 198; informed by Crammer of the queen's dissolute conduct, 199; the queen attainted, with her associates,—executed, 200; dissolves divers colleges, hospitals, and other foundations, seizes their revenues,—extorts a surrender of chapter-lands from divers bishops,—Ireland erected into a kingdom, added to his titles,—mitigates the penalties of the six articles, as regards the marriage of priests,—appoints a commission to establish a religion for the nation, 201; writes and publishes his 'Institution of a Christian Man,'—publishes the 'Euridition of a Christian Man,' 202; prohibits the lower classes of people to read the scriptures—reviews and alters the mass books,—suppresses the interludes in ridicule of the former superstitions, 203; publishes a manifesto, previous to his war with Scotland,—Sir Rob. Bowes defeated by the Scots, 204; battle of Solway,—death of James, 205; proposes a marriage to the Scots nobles, between Prince Edward and the infant Queen of Scotland, 206; this marriage contracted by treaty, with the Earl of Arran, 207; disgusted with Francis, 208; leagues with the emperor against Francis, 209; obedience to his 'Euridition of a Christian Man' enforced by parliament,—marries Catherine Parr, 210; influences parliament to restore the Princesses Mary and Elizabeth to their right of succession, dependent on his will, 212; regal style settled,—released by parliament from his debts, contracted by a general loan,—requires new loans from his people, raises the value of specie,—extorts a benevolence from his people,—invades Scotland, burns Edinburgh, 213; concert an invasion of France with the emperor,—passes over to France, leaves the queen regent, 214; takes Boulogne,—Charles makes a separate war with Francis,—returns to England, 215; subsidies granted him by parliament and convocation,—obtains a parliamentary grant of university revenues, which he declines,—gross flattery of parliament to him,—speech on proroguing it, 217; sends the Earl of

Hertford with forces over to Calais,—makes peace with France and Scotland, 218; high encomium on the Duke of Suffolk at his death,—protects Crammer against the cabals of his Catholic courtiers, 219; the queen's tender care of him in his illness,—orders her to be impeached for heresy,—her prudent caution in evading this danger, 221; abuses Wriothersly on his coming to take the queen to the Tower, 222; commits the Duke of Norfolk, and Earl of Surrey to the Tower,—trial, execution of Surrey, 223; expedites the proceedings against Norfolk,—orders him to execution,—dies,—behaviour at his death,—the succession, how settled by his will, 224; character, 225; number of parliaments summoned by him,—rigorous and contradictory statutes against heresy and treason, 226; recapitulation of his statutes,—military laws, 227; tonnage and poundage arbitrarily levied by him,—state of commerce in this reign, 228; laws to restrain the decay of tillage, and throwing lands into pasturage, 231; attention to the advancement of literature, 232; list of the regency appointed by his will, during the minority of Edward VI., 233.

Henry II. of France, character,—conduct toward the Protestant league in Germany, 240; makes an ineffectual attempt on Boulogne, 261; treaty with England for the surrender of Boulogne,—agrees to a marriage between his daughter Elizabeth and Edw. VI., 266; invades Germany, in favour of Maurice, Elector of Saxony,—the emperor repulsed from Metz, 288; Montmorency defeated at St. Quintin,—Calais taken, 316; requires the Queen-dowager of Scotland to take part in his quarrel against England,—concludes the marriage between the dauphin and the young Queen Mary of Scotland, 319; peace of Cateau Cambresis with Spain and England, 333; solicits the excommunication of Queen Elizabeth,—orders the dauphin and his queen to assume the title and arms of England,—killed at a tournament, 335. Henry III., character, first views on his accession,—grants peace to the Huguenots,—declares himself as head of the Catholic league, suspected by both parties, 443; loses the good-will of his subjects, 444; sends a splendid embassy to Elizabeth on the intended marriage between her and his brother the Duke of Anjou, 458; declares war against the Huguenots, 474; defeated by Henry of Navarre,—driven from Paris,—orders the Duke of Guise and his brother to be assassinated 526; assassinated himself, 527. Henry IV., accession,—receives aid from Queen Elizabeth of England to oppose the Catholic league,—possesses himself of the suburbs of Paris,—battle of Yvrée,—discouraged by the Duke of Parma, 527; receives fresh assistance from Elizabeth, 528, 529; motives of his changing his religion,—renounces the Protestant faith, 534; declares war against Spain, 536; representations to his allies, respecting peace with Spain, 544; concludes a separate peace, 545; he and Queen Elizabeth, unknown to each other, entertain the same thoughts of establishing a new system of policy in Europe, 570. Heresy, the law of the Six Articles for abolishing, passed, 186; persons burnt for, James Bainham, 250; Thomas Bilney, 151; Lambert,—four

- Dutch anabaptists, 185; Dr. Barnes, Jerome, and Gerard,—Abel, Featherstone, and Powel, 196; Patrick Hamilton, in Scotland, 197; Anne Ascue, Nicholas Belenian, John Lassels, and John Adams, 220; Wishart, the Scots reformer, 242; Joan Boacher and Van Paris, 257; Rogers, prebendary of St. Paul's,—Hooper, Bp. of Gloucester,—Sanders,—Taylor, parson of Hadley,—Philpot, Archdeacon of Winchester, 304; Ferrar, Bp. of St. David's,—Ridley, Bp. of London, and Latimer of Worcester, 305; Hunter, an apprentice,—Thomas Hawkes,—a woman at Guernsey, and her infant, 306; express commission issued more effectually to extirpate it,—proclamation rendering the possession of heretical books capital,—estimate of the number of persons burnt, 308; Abp. Cranmer burnt, 314; Walter Mill in Scotland, 337.
- Herries, Lord, sent by Mary Queen of Scots, then in England, to Elizabeth, to express her readiness to justify herself as to her husband's murder,—fluctuating conduct in this negotiation, 397; appointed by Mary one of the commissioners in this cause, 398; refuses to answer the Regent Murray's allegations against Mary, at Hampton Court, 401; he and his associates break up the conference, 403; Elizabeth's reply to them, 405.
- Hertford, Earl of, son of the protector Somerset, privately married to the Lady Catharine Gray, 358; with his lady committed to the Tower, prosecuted in the star-chamber,—released on his wife's death, 359.
- Hialas, Peter, sent ambassador from Ferdinand, King of Arragon, to Hen. VII. to negotiate a marriage between Prince Arthur and the Infanta Catharine,—negotiates a truce between Henry and James IV. of Scotland, 39.
- High commission, or ecclesiastical commission, origin of that court, 470; great power and arbitrary exertion of it, 471; its powers extended by the queen, 472, 582.
- Highways, the first general law for the repair of, by parish duty, 324.
- Hobby, Sir Philip, employed by the protector Somerset to solicit an alliance with the Emperor Charles V., fails, 261; account of his negotiation, 262.
- Holgate, Abp. of York, imprisoned on the accession of Queen Mary, 284.
- Hollingshed the chronicler, his account of the manner of living among the common people just preceding his time, 325.
- Homilies, twelve, published to be read to the people, 238; Bishop Gardiner's objections to them, 239; slavish principles inculcated in them, 468.
- Hooper, Bp. of Gloucester, imprisoned on the accession of Queen Mary, 284; burnt for heresy, 304; account of his scruples at consecration, and the compromise he obtained, 409.
- Horses, export of, forbid by Hen. VII., 55.
- Hospitality, causes and effects of the decay of, in England, 601.
- Howard, Sir Edw., admiral, son of the Earl of Surrey, destroys Barton the Scots pirate, 68; ravages the coast of France,—killed in an engagement in Conquet harbour,—idea of naval courage, 71. Howard, Lord, commands the main body of the English army at the battle of Flodden, 75; created Earl of Surrey, 76. Howard, Lady Catharine, be-
- comes the object of the affections of Hen. VIII. on his disgust against Anne of Cleves, 193; married to Hen., 195; accused to the king of incontinency,—confession, 199; attainted by parliament,—beheaded with the Viscountess Rocheford, 200.
- Hubert, a servant of Earl Bothwell, executed for the murder of Darnley, charges Queen Mary with being accessory to it, 402.
- Huguenots, or French Protestants, battle of St. Denis, siege of Chartres,—the court agrees to an accommodation,—scheme formed for seizing the Prince of Condé and the Admiral Coligny,—battle of Jarnac, death of Condé, 429; battle of Moncontour, 430; Charles marries his sister to the Prince of Navarre,—the Queen of Navarre poisoned,—massacre of Paris, 439; calumniated at foreign courts to palliate these barbarities, 440; take arms again, 442; make peace with Hen. III.,—catholic league formed against them by the Duke of Guise, 443; war declared against them again, 474; assistance sent to the King of Navarre by Elizabeth, 526.
- Hume, Lord, procures the regency of Scotland to be conferred on the Duke of Albany, 81; traduced to the regent,—makes war against the regent, put to death, 82. Hume, Sir David, assassinates Darcy, warden of the marches in Scotland, 82. Hume, Lord, joins a confederacy of Scotch nobles, to protect Prince James against the attempts of Bothwell, and to punish the murderers of Darnley,—surrounds Mary and Bothwell in Borthwick castle, who escape from him, 389.
- Hunter, an apprentice, burnt for heresy, 305.
- Husbandry, regulations to promote it, enacted by Henry VII., 56.
- IMPRISONMENT arbitrarily inflicted by officers of state during the reign of Queen Elizabeth, 584, 588.
- Inclosures, observations on the law of Henry VII. against, 56.
- Indulgences, from what motives the sale of them promoted by Pope Leo X.—produce from, how applied by him, 96; licentious conduct of the venders of them, 97; large cargo of, taken on board two Spanish ships, 530; not productive of a total dissolution of morality, 581.
- Industry, inquiry into the causes of the low state of, in the time of Hen. VII., 56.
- Infantry, Swiss, their advantages over the heavy armed cavalry in use at the time of Henry VIII., 72.
- Intercursus magnus, or great treaty of commerce, concluded between England and the Flemings, 39.
- Interest of money, when first regulated by law, 231; declared illegal by parliament, 273; rates of, how limited in England and France in the time of Queen Elizabeth, 599.
- Ireland, attached to the house of York—revolts under the pretensions of Lambert Simnel, 11; Sir Edw. Poyning sent over to reduce the malcontents in that kingdom,—memorable statute, 33; ineffectual attempts upon, by Perkin Warbeck, 35; erected into a kingdom by parliament, 201; invaded by the Spanish general San Josepho, 454; cruelty of Lord Gray on reducing the invaders, 455; imperfect dominion of England over, 548;

- cruel treatment of the natives, 549; history of Shan O'Neale, 550; account of the Earl Thomond, 551; Tyrone rebels,—defeat of the English under Sir Henry Bagnal, 552; Earl of Essex sent over, 553; ill success, 554; treaty between Essex and Tyrone, 555; Essex suddenly goes over to England, 556; truce broken by Tyrone, 557; Tyrone driven into the morasses by Mountjoy, 558; the English troops there paid with base money, 570; invaded by the Spaniards, 571; Tyrone and the Spaniards reduced, 572; Tyrone surrenders to the deputy, 578.
- Isabella, Queen of Castile, wife of Ferdinand, King of Aragon dies,—her daughter Joan married to Philip, Archduke of Austria, 48.
- Italy, defenceless state of, when invaded by Chas. VIII. of France,—view of Italian politics at that period,—league formed against France, 34; state of, at the accession of Hen. VIII., 62; powers of, confederate with the Emp. Chas. V. against Fran. I. of France, 105; French driven out of, 107; confederate states of, jealous of the emperor, 108; league with Francis against him, 115.
- JAMES III. of Scotland, character,—enters into a seven years truce with Hen. VII., 15; murdered, 25. James IV., succeeds on the murder of his father, 26; receives Perkin Warbeck, marries him to a Scots lady,—invades England in conjunction with Perkin, 35; makes a truce with Hen. VII., sends Perkin away, 39; marries Margaret, eldest daughter of Hen. VII., 45; sends a fleet to assist the French against Hen. VIII., 68; ravages Northumberland,—loses his time with Lady Ford, 74; defeated, supposed to be killed at the battle of Flodden, 75. James V., withdrawn by his mother, Margaret, from the power of the Duke of Albany, regent of Scotland, 82; flies from the power of the Earl of Angus,—takes the government into his own hands, 145; refuses to concur with his uncle, Henry of England, in shaking off the yoke of Rome, 146; assists Francis against the emperor,—married to Francis's daughter Magdalen,—death of Magdalen 158; betrothed to the Duchess—dowager of Longueville, whom Henry notwithstanding solicits in marriage, 189; she is sent to Scotland, 190; persuaded by Henry to join him in his religious innovations,—his clergy dissuade him 196; Henry publishes a manifesto against him,—Sir Robert Bowes defeated by the Lords Hume and Huntley, 204; disconcerted in his operations by the disaffection of his nobility,—removes Lord Maxwell, appoints Oliver Sinclair general of this army—battle of Solway—death and character, 205. James, Prince, son of Mary, Queen of Scotland, and her husband Lord Darnley, born, 379; protected by an association of nobility, from the attempts of Bothwell to get him into his power, 389; his mother forced to resign the crown, 392. James VI. of Scotland proclaimed and crowned, an infant, 393; his party openly espoused by Queen Elizabeth, 438, 442; Earl of Morton resigns the regency to him,—Count d'Aubigny sent by the Duke of Guise to detach him from the English interest, 453; creates d'Aubigny Earl of Lennox, 454; seized by an association of the nobility from out of the hands of Lennox and Arran, 462; summons a parliament and convention of estates,—receives an embassy from Elizabeth, 463; induced to dissemble his resentment at his detention, 464; makes his escape, summons his friends to attend him,—Walsingham sent by Elizabeth to discover his true character, 466; summons a parliament, 467; writes a copy of verses in praise of Sir Philip Sidney,—escapes from the artifices of the English ambassador, Dr. Wotton, 479; concludes a league with Elizabeth for their mutual defence,—licentiousness of his clergy, 480; remonstrates to Queen Elizabeth against the execution of his mother, 506; behaviour on this event, 507; prepares to assist Elizabeth against the Spanish invasion, 514; marries a daughter of Denmark, 524; goes over to Norway to fetch his bride, 525; Philip of Spain excites conspiracies against him, 535; drives the catholic lords who combined against him out of the kingdom, 536; negotiates to ensure his succession to England, 563; sends an embassy to Elizabeth on the suppression of Essex's insurrection, 570; appointed heir to the English crown by Elizabeth, 579.
- Jesuits, motives of the establishment of that order,—character of, 456; Campion and Parsons in England,—Campion executed, 457.
- John, Don, of Austria, appointed governor of the Low Countries, on the death of Requesens,—forced to agree to the treaty called the pacification of Ghent,—breaks this treaty, seizes Namur,—projects a marriage with Mary Queen of Scots, 447; gains an advantage over the Flemings at Gemblours,—poisoned, supposed, by Philip, 448.
- Joseph, Michael, instigates an insurrection in Cornwall against Henry VII., 36; defeated, and executed, 38.
- Judges, answer to the question put to them by Hen. VIII. respecting attainders, 188.
- Julius II., pope, character,—joins in a league with the emperor, and the kings of France and Spain, against the Venetians,—declares war against the Duke of Ferrara, 63; interdicts the Council of Pisa, 64; the Swiss in his interest drive the French out of Milan and reinstate Maximilian Sforza,—dies, succeeded by Leo. X., 67. Julius III., pope, his joy at the return of England to its obedience to the Church of Rome, 297; commission to Cardinal Pole with respect to church lands, 298.
- Jurors anciently punished by fine and imprisonment for finding a verdict contrary to the direction of the judges, 585.
- KETT, a tanner, excites and heads an insurrection in Norfolk against inclosures,—defeated by Dudley Earl of Warwick, and executed, 360.
- Kildare, Earl of, made deputy of Ireland, under the Duke of Richmond,—is called over to answer for his conduct, dies in prison,—his son, with five uncles, executed for joining the Irish rebels, 145.
- King of England, all who act under the authority of him for the time being, indemnified from future attainder by statute,—

- observations on this law, 33; extent of his power at the time of Hen. VII., 51.
- Kirkaldy of Grange, commander of the castle of Edinburgh, declares for Queen Mary,—reduced by the English, and executed by his countrymen, 438.
- Knevet, Sir Thos., engages the French admiral Primauguet off the coast of Brittany,—the two admirals blown up, 67.
- Knox, John, the Scots reformer, his account of the murder of Cardinal Beaton, 242; arrives in Scotland from Geneva,—inspires the people with zeal against popery,—riot thereupon, 239; becomes chief director of the Congregation of the Lord, 341; instigates the people and preachers to insult Queen Mary on her arrival, for her adherence to the Catholic religion, 351; insolent speeches to Mary, on her kind overtures to him, 352; defends the outrages committed in the queen's chapel, 354; insults Lord Darnley on his marriage with Mary, Queen of Scots, 374.
- LABOUR, and commodities, remarks on the comparative prices of, at the time of Henry VII. and now, 56.
- Lambert, a schoolmaster, controverts the real presence,—accused, appeals to Hen. VIII., 183; Henry enters into a formal disputation with him,—silenced, sentenced as a heretic, 184; burnt with great cruelty, 185.
- Lancaster, James, successful captures from the Spaniards, expedition to Brazil, 538; commands the first fleet fitted out by the East India Company, 595.
- Langside, battle of, between Mary Queen of Scots and the regent Murray, 395.
- Lascelles, accuses Queen Cath. Howard of incontinency to Abp. Cranmer,—confirms his charge to the lord privy seal, 199.
- Latimer, Bishop, resigns his bishopric on the passing the law of six articles, imprisoned, 186; again imprisoned on the accession of Queen Mary, 284; sent under a guard to Oxford, to debate on transubstantiation, 289; burnt for heresy, with Bishop Ridley, 305.
- League, Catholic, formed in France by the Duke of Guise against the Huguenots, 443; revived, 474; headed by the Duke of Mayenne on the death of Guise, 527; declines on the conversion of Hen. IV., 536.
- Learning, circumstances which tended to the revival and advancement of, in the fifteenth century, 58; the state of, in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, 604.
- Legatine court, erected by Card. Wolsey, 87; its oppressions checked, 88.
- Leicester, Lord Robert Dudley, created Earl of, proposed as a husband by Queen Elizabeth to Mary Queen of Scots, 369; character,—suspected of murdering his wife, to make way for his expectations of obtaining Elizabeth,—declines the proposal of Mary, 370; appointed one of the commissioners to inquire into the conduct of Mary, 400; writes a letter to Mary, recommending the Duke of Norfolk to her for a husband,—discovers Norfolk's designs to Elizabeth, 474; reports to Simier, the Duke of Anjou's agent, acquired an ascendancy over Elizabeth by incantations,—his marriage discovered to the queen by Simier,—attempts the life of Simier, 458; forms an association of courtiers for the protection of the queen against all violence or conspiracy, 468; commands the forces sent over to the United Provinces, 476; transactions there, 477; returns to England, 479; advises the poisoning of Queen Mary, 486; returns to Holland,—his conduct complained of,—recalled by the queen, 520; commands a body of forces at Tilbury, to oppose the Spanish invasion, 513; dies, 528; instance of his magnificent manner of living, 601.
- Leith, fortified by the regent of Scotland, 342; reduced by the English, 346.
- Lennox, Matthew Stuart, Earl of, sent by Francis, with a promise of succours to Card. Beaton and the queen dowager of Scotland, 208; entertains hopes of marrying the queen dowager,—patronises the Protestant interest in Scotland,—applies to England for assistance, 211; forced by Arran to fly to England, marries Henry's niece, 214; invited to Scotland with his son Lord Darnley, 371; implores justice for the murder of his son,—cited to make good his charge on Bothwell, 383; protests against the precipitate trial of Bothwell, who is acquitted, 384; claims the regency, as grandfather to the young king, Jas. VI., 392; appears before the commissioners at Hampton Court, to implore vengeance for the murder of his son, 401; chosen governor of Scotland, on the death of Murray, 419; made regent by Elizabeth's allowance, 420; delivers up the Earl of Northumberland to Elizabeth, 437; seized, put to death, by Queen Mary's party, 438.
- Lennox, Count d'Aubigny, created Earl of,—causes the Earl of Morton to be tried and executed, 454; the king taken out of his hands by an association of nobility, 462; retires to France, dies,—kindness of James to his family, 463.
- L'Hospital, Chancellor of France, pleads raised to elude the restitution of Calais to Queen Elizabeth, 408.
- Leo X., Pope, character, 67; sends a vessel with wine and hams to Hen. VIII. and his court,—excommunicates Lewis XII. of France, and all who adhere to the Council of Pisa, 68; takes off the excommunication from Lewis, 76; motives to the sale of indulgences,—conduct on this occasion,—produce from the sale of, how applied by him, 96; bestows the title of Defender of the Faith on Hen. VIII.,—dies, 98.
- Lesley, Norman, son of the Earl of Rothes, distinguishes himself at the battle of Ancrum, 216.
- Lesley, Bp. of Ross, appointed by Mary Queen of Scots one of her commissioners in the cause between her and the regent Murray, 398; refuses to reply to Murray's allegations at Hampton Court, 401; he and his associates break up the conference, 403; Elizabeth's reply to them, 405; complains to the English council of their insincerity toward Mary, 421; engages in the Duke of Norfolk's conspiracy, 435; is imprisoned, 436.
- Lewis XII., of France subdues the duchy of Milan, 44; engages Ferdinand of Spain to assist him in seizing Naples,—outwitted by him, 62; joins in a league against the Venetians, 63; his nephew Gaston de Foix defeats the Spanish and papal armies, but is killed,—loses his conquests in Italy, 67; ex-

- communicated by Pope Leo X., 69; send relief to Teroüane, besieged by Hen. VIII., 73; concludes a treaty with Ferdinand, offers his daughter for one of his grandsons, 77; empowers the Duke de Longueville, prisoner in England, to conclude a treaty with Henry, — stipulates to pay the arrears of the pension due to England, — marries Henry's sister, — dies quickly after, 78.
- Jedington, Maitland of, sent by the Protestant association in Scotland, called the 'Congregation of the Lord,' to request assistance of Queen Elizabeth, 343; again sent to thank her, and request further aid, 347; made secretary of state by Queen Mary, 351; sent to London with Mary's compliments to Elizabeth, and to require a declaration of her succession to the English crown, 356; enters into a confederacy of Scots nobles, to protect Prince James, and punish the murderers of his father, 389; assists at the conferences concerning Mary, before the English and Scots commissioners, 398; encourages the Duke of Norfolk in the hopes of espousing Mary, 400; takes part with Kirkaldy of Grange in favour of Mary, — supposed to have killed himself, on the suppression of the party by Elizabeth, 438.
- Lincoln, John, Earl of, family and character, — retires to the court of the Duchess of Burgundy, 12; commands Simnel's army, — killed at the battle of Stoke, 13.
- Liturgy, one framed by a committee of bishops and divines, 254; in what respects it differed from the old mass-book, 255; revised, 269; authorized by Parliament, 273; suppressed by the restoration of the mass by Queen Mary, 290; restored by Elizabeth, 332.
- Loans, arbitrarily exacted by Queen Elizabeth from her subjects, 585.
- Locheven castle, Mary, Queen of Scots, confined there, 390; her escape, 394.
- Lollards, the doctrines of Martin Luther embraced by them, 97.
- London, chapter lands of that see seized by Hen. VIII., 201; insurrection of apprentices and others to oppose the encouraging foreign artificers, 229; Queen Mary's title acknowledged by the magistrates of, in opposition to Lady Jane Gray, 282; twenty thousand die there of plague brought from Havre de Grace, 368; the Royal Exchange built by Sir Thomas Gresham, — number of foreigners in at this time, 599.
- Longueville, Duke of, defeated and taken prisoner by Hen. VIII. at the battle of Spurs, 73; negotiates a peace between Henry and Lewis, 77.
- Lopez, Roderigo, physician to Queen Elizabeth, executed for receiving a bribe from the Spanish ministry to poison her, 536.
- Lords, House of, charge against Card. Wolsey, 129; frame the Bill of Six Articles, for abolishing diversity of opinions in religion, 186; Henry complains to them of the diversity of religions, — flattery to Cromwell, Earl of Essex, 192; condemn Cromwell without trial, 193; petition the king to lay his marriage with Anne of Cleves before the convocation, 194; Lord Mountjoy protests against the bill establishing a council to judge offences against the king's proclamations, 210; pass a bill of attainder against the Duke of Norfolk, 223; Lord Seymour attainted, 254; pass a severe law against treason, is altered by the commons, 273; frame a Poor Bill, rejected by the commons, 274; impatient to have the succession of the crown settled, 380; check the commons by the queen's order, from debating on matters of religious reformation, 425; refused a conference desired with the commons, 452; commons dispute with them concerning punctilios, 543.
- Lorraine, Card. of, advises his niece, Mary, Queen of Scots, to rigour against the Protestant leaders who had taken arms against her, — concerts a massacre of the French Huguenots, 375; founds a seminary at Rheims for the education of English Catholics, 456.
- Lovel, Viscount, heads an insurrection in the north against Hen. VII., — flies to Flanders, 9; joins Lambert Simnel, — supposed to be killed at the battle at Stoke, 13.
- Louise of Savoy, mother of Francis I., character, — makes overtures of marriage to Charles, Duke of Bourbon, which he rejects, — deprives Bourbon of his possessions by a law suit, 105; concludes an alliance with England, on the captivity of Francis, 110; a large present exacted of her covertly by Wolsey, on the treaty with Henry, 111.
- Low Countries, the state of, at the time of Hen. VII., 15.
- Ludovico, Duke of Milan, invites the French to an invasion of Naples, — joins the Emperor and other princes in a league against France, 34; Milan subdued by the French, 44.
- Luther, Martin, character, motives of opposing the sale of indulgences, — patronized by the Elector of Saxony, — progress of his opinions in Germany, — doctrines embraced by the Lollards in England, 97; wrote against by Hen. VIII., — sharp reply to Henry's book, — quick progress of his doctrines, owing to the art of printing, 98; terms the Pope Antichrist, 99; several of his disciples take shelter in England, 269.
- Lyons, Council of, removed thither from Pisa, 63; interdicted by the Pope, 64; renounced by Lewis XII. of France, 77.
- MACGILL, Sir James, is, by the Scots Parliament, appointed a commissioner to treat with Elizabeth concerning Mary, — dismissed by Elizabeth without concluding on anything, 42.
- Mackrel, Dr., prior of Barlings, heads an insurrection against Hen. VIII., 172; deserted by his adherents, — executed, 173.
- Madrid, treaty of, between the Emp. Charles V., and his prisoner Fran. I. of France, 114.
- Magdalene, sister to Pope Leo X., produce of indulgences in Saxony assigned to her, — farms the sale to Arceboldi, a Genoese, 94.
- Malta, Knights of, refuse to surrender up their revenues to Henry VIII., — their order dissolved by Parliament, 192.
- Manners, state, of, in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, 599.
- Manufactures, state of, in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, 599.
- Mar, Earl of, chosen regent of Scotland, on the death of Lennox, — obliged to conclude a truce with the queen's party, — dies of melancholy at the distracted state of the country.

438; forms an association, who seize the young King James, 462.
 Margaret, daughter to Hen. VII., married to Jas. IV. of Scotland, 45; marries Douglas, Earl of Angus, on the death of Jas. IV., 81; divorced,—marries another nobleman, 145.
 Marignan, the battle of, between Francis I., and the Swiss, 83.
 Marriage with kindred, examination of the question concerning, with reference to that of Hen. VIII. with Cath. of Arragon, 133.
 Martial law, arbitrary and indiscriminate exertion of, previous to and during the reign of queen Elizabeth, 582.
 Martyr, Peter, desires leave to withdraw from England at the accession of queen Mary,—generously assisted by Bp. Gardiner,—indignities used to his wife's body, 285.
 Mary, princess, sister to Hen. VIII., married to Lewis XII. of France, who dies quickly after, 78; marries the Duke of Suffolk, 79.
 Mary, princess, daughter of Hen. VIII., betrothed, an infant, to the dauphin of France, 86; is after betrothed to the Emp. Charles, 92; contracted by treaty with the Duke of Orleans, 117; States of Castile oppose her marriage with the emperor,—the Bp. of Tarbe, ambassador from France, objects to her marriage with the Duke of Orleans, 119; excluded from the succession by parliament, 143; taken into favour on her compliance with the acknowledgment of her father's supremacy,—illegitimated by parliament, 168; restored to her right of succession by parliament, 212; adheres to the mass during the steps to reformation in her brother's reign, and, by the emperor's means, obtains a temporary connivance, 257; chaplains imprisoned,—remonstrated with by the council,—continues obstinate in the Catholic faith, 268; discussion of her title to the succession, 279; narrow escape from falling into the hands of Northumberland, on her brother's death,—measures to secure possession of the crown,—Lady Jane Gray proclaimed at London, 280; nobility and people flock to her, 281; Lady Jane deserted, her title universally acknowledged,—causes Lady Jane and her party to be apprehended, 282; releases the Duke of Norfolk, and other prisoners, from the Tower,—affects popularity, 283; bigotry,—imprisons the Protestant bishops, 284; cause of her prosecuting Cranmer for treason, 285; mass celebrated before the parliament,—all Edward's statutes on religion repealed,—deliberates on the choice of three husbands proposed to her, 286; cause of her first declared animosity to her sister Elizabeth,—declares her intention of reconciliation to Rome,—invites over Card. Pole in quality of legate, 287; the Emp. Chas. V., proposes his son Philip to her for a husband, 288; dissolves the parliament for opposing the Spanish match, 289; substance of the marriage articles,—remarks of the people on this alliance, 290; insurrections on occasion of it, 291; treats her sister Elizabeth harshly, 292; orders the execution of Lady Jane and her husband, 293; cruel conduct with respect to Sir Nicholas Throgmorton,—disarms the people, 294; fond anxiety for the arrival of Philip, 296; married to him, 297; unable to get her husband

declared presumptive heir to the crown, or to get him crowned,—imagines herself pregnant, 299; dissolves the parliament, 300; resolves to exert the laws against heresy with rigour, 303; express commission issued, more effectually to extirpate heresy, 306; proclamation against heretical books,—sends a solemn embassy to Pope Paul IV., 308; resolves to comply with the Pope's demand of full restitution of all church property, 309; dejected at her husband's neglect, and going to Flanders,—oppressive extortions from her subjects, 310; opposed by Pole and others in her design of engaging the kingdom in Philip's quarrel with France,—Philip returns to press her to that measure,—how this was effected, 315; raises money arbitrarily for this war, 316; Calais taken by the Duke of Guise, 317; obtains grants from parliament,—all sales or grants of crown lands by her for seven years to come, confirmed by parliament,—thinks her sister for referring the King of Sweden's proposal of marriage to her consideration, 320; prepares a great fleet for a descent on Brittany, which fails, 321; health declines, cause of her illness,—dies,—estimate of her character, 322.
 Mary, daughter of Jas. V. of Scotland, born,—becomes queen by the death of her father, 205; contracted by Prince Edward of England, 207; sent to France, betrothed to the dauphin, 250; married to the dauphin, 319; assumes the title and arms of England, on the accession of Queen Elizabeth, 335; treaty of Edinburgh, settlement of the administration by this treaty, during her absence, 345; refuses her assent to the parliamentary reformation of religion, 346; refuses to ratify the treaty of Edinburgh, 347; her husband Fran. II. dies, 348; refuses the desire of the English ambassador, of ratifying the treaty of Edinburgh, or to renounce her pretensions to the crown of England,—ill-treated by the queen-mother of France, resolves to return to Scotland,—resentment on being refused a passage through England, 349; arrives in Scotland,—shows great regret on leaving France, 350; character and accomplishments,—bestows her confidence on the leaders of the reformed party,—loses her popularity by her adherence to the Catholic religion,—exposed to insults from this cause, 351; endeavours to gain the favour of John Knox, the reformer, who contrives to insult her, 352; her life rendered unhappy through his insolent conduct,—her future errors deducible in part from this cause,—petitioned by the church on account of a riot at a bawdy-house, 353; outrages committed on her chapel, 354; makes an ill-judged claim to Elizabeth, of being declared her successor,—Elizabeth's reply, 356; an apparent reconciliation takes place between them,—Elizabeth evades an interview with her,—divers matches concerted for her by her uncles, 369; the Earl of Leicester proposed to her by Elizabeth,—piqued at Elizabeth's duplicity in this offer,—sends Sir Jas. Melville to London to accommodate their differences, 370; Lord Darnley proposed to her as a husband, 371; advised by Elizabeth to invite him, and his father, the Earl of Lennox, to

Scotland,—Elizabeth inconsistently against the match,—reflections on her situation in being of a different religion from her people, 372; exhorted by the general assembly to renounce the Romish religion, 373; married to Lord Darnley—confederacy formed against her at Stirling,—drives the rebels into Argyleshire,—forces them to retire into England,—Elizabeth's deceitful conduct on this occasion, 374; pardons the leaders of the conspiracy,—advised to rigour by her uncle the Card. of Lorraine, 375; summons a parliament to attain the rebel lords,—character of her husband Darnley,—incurs his resentment on her neglect of him, on discovery of his weakness and vices,—attachment to David Rizzio, 376; Rizzio assassinated in her presence by Darnley's order,—detained prisoner in her palace,—reconciled to the banished lords, 378; art in procuring her liberty,—collects an army, drives the conspirators into England,—grants them liberty to return home at the intercession of Bothwell,—makes Darnley disavow all concern in Rizzio's murder, then leaves him in disdain,—brought to bed of a son,—sends Sir Jas. Melvill to Elizabeth with the news,—Melvill's account of Elizabeth's behaviour on this intelligence, 379; intimacy with Bothwell, 382; apparent reconciliation between her and Darnley,—Darnley blown up with gunpowder in a lone house,—suspected to have concerted this murder with Bothwell,—petitioned by the Earl of Lennox for justice against Bothwell, and others whom he charged with the murder, 383; calls a parliament, establishes the Protestant religion,—Bothwell recommended to her for a husband by the nobility, 384; seized by Bothwell, to afford her the plea of violence,—grants him a pardon for all crimes, 385; acknowledges herself free, orders the banns to be published for her marriage with Bothwell, now made Duke of Orkney,—Craig, the minister who is ordered to publish the banns, firmly remonstrates against it, 386; married to Bothwell,—exhorted against it both by her French relations and Elizabeth,—the people murmur at these gross proceedings, 387; confederacy of nobility formed against her, who take arms, 389; reduced to put herself into the hands of the confederates,—conducted to Edinburgh amidst the reproaches and insults of the people,—sent to the castle of Lochleven, 390; embassy sent by Elizabeth, in her favour, 391; four different schemes framed for the treatment of her, by her subjects,—pretensions to the regency,—is forced to resign the crown, and concur in a settlement of the administration during her son's minority, 392; escapes from Lochleven Castle,—an association formed, and an army raised, in her favour,—receives offers of assistance from Elizabeth,—defeated by Murray at Langside, 394; retires to England, craving protection from Elizabeth, 395; required by Elizabeth to clear herself from the murder of her husband, 396; sends Lord Herries to declare her readiness to submit her cause to Elizabeth, 397; appoints commissioners on her part,—conferences opened at York, 398; secret reason of the weak allegations made against her by Murray,

400; Elizabeth transfers the conferences to Hampton Court, adds other commissioners,—Murray accuses her more explicitly her commissioners refuse to answer, 401; her letters and sonnets to Bothwell, produced,—directly charged with the murder by Hubert, Bothwell's servant, at his execution, 402; result of the conference laid before the English privy-council,—Elizabeth's reply to her commissioners, 405; removed from Bolton to Tutbury, under the custody of the Earl of Shrewsbury,—refuses to make any concessions, 407; marriage with the Duke of Norfolk proposed to her, 412; receives a letter from the Earl of Leicester, recommending this match,—returns a favourable answer, 414; removed to Coventry, more strictly guarded, 416; writes to Murray, receives no answer, 418; her party strengthened by the death of Murray, 419; receives terms for a treaty from Elizabeth, which she agrees to, 420; Elizabeth evades this treaty, and convinces her of her insincerity, 421; enters into the Duke of Norfolk's conspiracy, 435; Elizabeth remonstrates with her on her conduct, 437; her party in Scotland suppressed by the influence of Elizabeth, 438; confinement rendered stricter by the apprehensions of Elizabeth, 448; writes a pathetic letter to Elizabeth, 464; proposal of accommodation, 465; counterfeit letters written in her name by the English ministry, to discover her partisans, 467; committed to the custody of Sir Amias Paulet and Sir Drue Drury,—desires leave to subscribe Leicester's association for the queen's protection, 468; enters into Babington's conspiracy, 485; conveyed to Fotheringay Castle, 486; papers seized,—answer to the information of her approaching trial, 487; prevailed on to submit to trial,—proved to have conspired against her son James, 488; commissioners adjourn to the Star-chamber, London, and sentence her to death, 492; last letter to Elizabeth, 496; behaviour on being ordered to prepare for execution, 500; executed, 504; her character, 505.

Mass-book, reviewed, altered by Hen. VIII., 203; private masses abolished by Act of Parliament, 247; the mass revived by Queen Mary, 284; but finally abolished by Queen Elizabeth, 331.

Masters, Rich., vicar of Aldington in Kent, conceals the imposture of the holy maid of Kent, 152; confesses the artifice, punished, 153.

Maurice, Elector of Saxony, grounds of his quarrel with the Emp. Char. V.,—raises an army of Protestants against him,—reduces Charles to grant a peace favourable to the Protestants, 288.

Maximilian, King of the Romans, pretensions to the government of the Low Countries, how founded, 15; marries Anne, Duchess of Brittany, 22; who is afterward forced into a marriage with the king of France, 24; makes peace with France, obtains restitution of his daughter's dowry, 27; conduct as emperor, 62; joins Pope Julius II., in the league of Cambray against the Venetians, 63; calls a council at Pisa, in conjunction with Lewis, in opposition to the Pope, 64; detached from the French interest by Pope Leo X., 67; concludes an alliance with Hen. VIII. and

- Ferdinand against France, 68; serves under Henry, receives pay from him in his French expedition, 72; detaches himself from Henry, and allies with Spain and France, 76; ineffectual invasion of Milan, treaty with France and Venice, 84; death, competition for the imperial dignity, 88.
- Mayenne, Duke of, becomes head of the Catholic league, on the assassination of his brother, the Duke of Guise, 527.
- Medina Sidonia, Duke of, appointed to the command of the Spanish Invincible Armada,—instructions, 516; disobeys his orders in failing to attack the English fleet, 517; worsted, sails to Calais,—attacked and disconcerted by the English admiral, 518; sails northward on his return, his fleet destroyed by a storm, 519.
- Medicis, Cath. de, her influence in the court of France lessened by the enormous authority usurped by the Duke of Guise and his brothers, 347; appointed regent on the death of Fran. II. during the minority of Char. IX., 348; her ill-usage of Mary, Queen of Scots, makes Mary think of returning to Scotland, 349; remarks on her plan of internal administration,—forced to embrace the Guise party against the Prince of Condé, 362; consents to an accommodation with the Protestants, 367; comes to an agreement with Elizabeth, 368; concerts with Philip of Spain, and the Card. of Lorraine, a massacre of the French Protestants, 375; massacre of St. Bartholomew at Paris, 439.
- Melville, James, assassinates Card. Beaton,—his behaviour applauded in Knox's history, 242. Melvill, Sir James, sent ambassador, from Mary of Scotland, to Queen Elizabeth,—instructions for negotiation,—account of his conversation with Elizabeth concerning his mistress, 370; character of Elizabeth on his return, 371; sent again to Elizabeth, to notify the birth of Prince James,—account of Elizabeth's behaviour on this occasion, 379. Melvill, Rob., sent by the Protestant association in Scotland, called the Congregation of the Lords, to request assistance from Queen Elizabeth, 342; proposes to Mary Queen of Scots a marriage with the Duke of Norfolk, 412.
- Metz, the fortress of, ineffectually attacked by the Emperor Charles V., 288.
- Milan, duchy of, subdued by the French, 44; Maximilian Sforza reinstated in that duchy, 67; attacked by Fran. I. of France,—surrendered to Francis by Sforza, for a pension, 83; the French driven out, 101; invaded again under the Admiral Bonnavet,—city blockaded,—Bonnivet obliged to retire by the desertion of his Swiss troops, 107; conquered by the Imperialists under the Duke of Bourbon, 116; investiture again granted to Francis Sforza, 131; the Emperor Charles V. renounces all claim to, 215.
- Mildmay, Sir Walter, asserts the royal prerogative in high terms in a speech to the house of commons, 452.
- Militia, law of Queen Mary for the regulation of, 324; the state of during the reign of Queen Elizabeth, 593.
- Mill, Walter, burnt for heresy at St. Andrew's,—zeal of the people in his favour, 338.
- Monasteries, subjected entirely to the king's regulations, by the parliament of Hen. VIII., 142; reflections on their tendency,—commissioners appointed to visit them, 159; great abuses charged upon them,—several surrender their revenues,—all nuns and friars, who required dismission, set at liberty,—lessor suppressed by parliament, 160; discontents among the people, excited by the dispersed monks, 171; greater suppressed, 176; reports of their scandalous abuses published to bring the memory of them into contempt,—reliques exposed, particularly the blood of Christ, 177; and rood of grace, 178; number of them suppressed; amount of their revenues, 179; hospitality exercised by them, 180; surrender of, confirmed by parliament,—abbots of Colchester, Reading, and Glastonbury—executed for treason, 189.
- Money, rate of, in the time of Hen. VII., 47; interest of, first fixed by law, 231; interest of, how limited in England and France, at the time of Queen Elizabeth, 599.
- Monopolies, enormous grants of, by Queen Elizabeth, 573; their pernicious tendency, 585.
- Monson, Sir William, commands under Admiral Sir Richard Levison, in an expedition to the coast of Spain, 577.
- Montague, Sir Edw., chief justice of the common pleas, ordered by Edw. VI., with other judges, to prepare a deed for the succession of Lady Jane Gray, 277; abused by Dudley, Duke of Northumberland, for refusing,—his expedient for the security of himself and the other parties, 278.
- Montcontour, battle of, between the Duke of Anjou and Admiral Coligni, 430.
- Montmorency, Constable, commands the French army, against the Spanish under Phillibert, Duke of Savoy,—defeated and taken prisoner at the battle of St. Quintin, 316; his sentiments of the marriage of the dauphin with the Queen of Scotland, 343; joins the Duke of Guise against the Prince of Condé, 362; takes Rouen from the Protestants, 363; taken prisoner by the Protestants at the battle of Dreux, 364; released by treaty,—besieges Havre de Grace, 367; takes it by capitulation, 368; killed at the battle of St. Dennis, 429.
- More, Sir Tho., when speaker of the House of Commons, joins the persuasions of Card. Wolsey to obtain the grants to Hen. VIII., 104; the great seal taken from Wolsey, committed to him, 128; resigns the great seal on the prospect of alterations in religion, 138; refuses to subscribe the oath regulating the succession of the crown, enjoined by parliament, 143; attainted by parliament, 144; cruel persecution of James Bainham for heresy, 150; tried, executed for denying the king's supremacy, 154.
- Morrice, attorney of the court of wards, makes a motion in the House of Commons against abuses of ecclesiastical power, 532; divested of his employments, imprisoned, 533.
- Morton, John, character,—becomes confidant of Hen. VII.,—made Abp. of Canterbury, 8; created a cardinal, 37. Morton, Earl of, Chancellor of Scotland, becomes jealous of David Rizzio, 377; advises Darnley to get him cut off, 378; takes the coronation oath in the name of the young king Jas. VI., 393 appointed commissioner in the cause of Mary, 398; how he became possessed of a casket of Mary's letters, 402; appointed by the Scots

- parliament a commissioner to manage a treaty with Elizabeth concerning Mary,—dismissed by Elizabeth without concluding on anything, 421; chosen regent on the death of the Earl of Mar, 438; resigns the regency into the hands of the young king, retires,—returns, resumes an influence over government, 453; tried and condemned for being an accomplice to Darnley's murder, by the influence of the Earl of Lennox,—execution hastened in opposition to the interposition of Elizabeth, 454.
- Mountjoy, Lord, protests against the bill establishing a council to judge offences against the king's proclamations, the only protest against any public bill during the reign of Hen. VIII., 210. Mountjoy, Lord, sent lord deputy to Ireland, on the precipitate return of the Earl of Essex,—drives Tyrone and his party into the woods and morasses, 558; his successes against the rebels, 570; reduces the Spaniards, defeats Tyrone, 572; Tyrone surrenders himself up to him, 578.
- Murden, on the military force of England at the time of the Spanish Armada, 598.
- Murray, Lord James created Earl of, enjoys the chief authority under Mary, Queen of Scotland, 351; becomes discontented at the marriage of Mary with Lord Darnley,—joins a confederacy of malcontents at Stirling, 373; ill used by Elizabeth on the occasion, 374; obtains a restoration to favour, 375; invited back to Scotland by Darnley,—reconciled to Mary, 378; obtains leave to retire into France, 389; appointed regent, on the first resignation of Mary, 392; arrives, treats Mary harshly,—summons a parliament, which condemns Mary to imprisonment,—demolishes the fortress of Dunbar, 393; raises forces on Mary's escape from Lochleven Castle,—defeats her at Langside, 395; required by Elizabeth to justify his conduct toward Mary,—promises to come with other commissioners to submit his cause to Elizabeth, 397; appointed a commissioner by the kingdom for this purpose, 398; secret reasons of the weakness of his allegations against Mary, 399; lays his full evidences privately before the English commissioners, requests Elizabeth's protection,—proposes queries to Elizabeth, 400; conferences transferred to Hampton Court,—accuses Mary more explicitly, 401; commissioners refuse to reply, 402; produces Mary's letters to Bothwell, with the confession of Hubert,—dismissed by Elizabeth, with a present for his charges, 405; proposes to the Duke of Norfolk a marriage with Mary, 412; political motives in this proposal, 413; discovers Norfolk's design to Mary, 415; assassinated,—character, 419.
- Muscovy, a profitable trade established with,—embassy arrives from, to Queen Mary, 323; an exclusive trade with, granted to the English, 595; this privilege withdrawn, 596.
- NAPLES, conquered by the joint force of France and Spain,—seized by the latter, 62.
- Navarre, situation of that kingdom, 65; crafty invasion of, by Ferd. of Spain, 66. Navarre, Anthony, King of, excluded from all office and favour at the court of France, by the influence of the Guise family,—declares in favour of the Protestants, 347; made lieutenant-general of the kingdom, on the accession of Chas. IX., 348; joins the Duke of Guise against the Protestants, 362; mortally wounded at the siege of Rouen, 363.
- Navarre, Henry, Prince of, placed by Coligni at the head of the protestants after the defeat of Jarnac, 429; married to Margaret, sister to Charles,—his mother poisoned by order of the court, 439; obliged by Charles to renounce the Protestant religion at the price of his life, during the massacre of Paris, 440; flies from the court, places himself again at the head of the Huguenots, 443; defeats the king at Coutras, 526; obtains the crown of France on the death of Hen. III., 527.
- Navy, English, the state of, in the time of Queen Mary, 323; the improvement of, by Queen Elizabeth, 432.
- Netherlands, foreign commerce of England at the time of Hen. VIII. confined to those countries, 228; arbitrary and severe behaviour of the Emp. Chas. V. toward the protestants there,—Duchess of Parma left governess of, by Philip, 432; Flemish exiles become masters of the Brill, 444; revolt of Holland and Zealand,—Duke of Alva recalled, 445; treaty called the Pacification of Ghent, 447; treaty concluded with Elizabeth, 448; Duke of Anjou comes over to their assistance, 459; is expelled for an attempt on their liberties, 462.
- Nevil, Sir John, executed for an insurrection in Yorkshire, 196.
- Newfoundland, the first discovery of, 58.
- Norfolk, insurrection there against inclosures, headed by Kett, a tanner,—the insurgents defeated by Dudley, Earl of Warwick, 260.
- Norfolk, Duke of, resigns his office of treasurer, retires from court, 80. Norfolk, Duke of, opposes the progress of the reformation, 149; from what motives he became an enemy to his niece Queen Anne Boleyn, 165; presides as high steward on her trial, 166; commissioned to suppress Aske's insurrection, termed the Pilgrimage of Grace, 173; prudent measures to distress the insurgents,—prevails on them to disperse, 174; routs another insurrection, puts their officers to death, 175; proposes the framing of the bill of six articles to the House of Lords,—repartee of one of his chaplains to him, concerning the celibacy of priests, 186; procures a commission to commit Cromwell to the Tower, 193; influences the king to a cruel persecution of heretics, on his niece Cath. Howard becoming queen, 196; appointed to command in the war against Scotland, 204; attends Henry in his invasion of France, 214; checked by the king in a scheme of ruining Cranmer, 219; review of his services and honours, 222; is, with his son the Earl of Surrey, committed to the Tower,—Surrey executed,—attainted by the parliament, 223; ordered for execution, saved by the king's death, 224; released from confinement by Queen Mary, 284; attainer reversed by parliament, 286; advises Mary to the Spanish alliance, 288; sent to suppress Wyatt's insurrection in Kent, forced to retire by a desertion of his troops, 292.
- Norfolk, the young Duke of, appointed lieutenant of the northern counties by Queen Elizabeth, 344; appointed one of the commissioners in the cause between Mary, Queen of Scots, and the regent, Murray, 398; entertains hopes of marrying Mary—transmits Murray's

- queries to Elizabeth, 400; character,—marriage with Mary, proposed to him by Murray, 412; obtains the countenance of several of the nobility to this scheme,—secures the concurrence of France and Spain, 414; receives intimations from the queen of her knowledge of his negotiations,—endeavours to discredit the reports raised against him to the queen, 415; committed to the Tower, his friends taken into custody, 416; released on promise of thinking no farther of Mary, 417; renews his correspondence with Mary, enters into a conspiracy with the Duke of Alva, against Elizabeth, 435; scheme discovered by Lord Burleigh,—tried, 436; executed, 437.
- Norris, Sir John, joins Sir Francis Drake in his expedition to Portugal, 522; commands the English forces sent to reduce Brittany for Hen. IV.,—sent to reduce insurrections in Ireland, 536; deceived by the treacherous negotiations of Tyrone, dies of vexation, 552.
- North-west passage, three attempts for the discovery of, made by Sir Martin Frobisher,—Davis's Straits discovered, 595.
- Northumberland, Dudley, Earl of Warwick, made Duke of,—determines to ruin Somerset,—causes Somerset, his duchess, and friends, to be arrested,—trial, execution of Somerset, 271; endeavours to get Tonsal, Bp. of Durham, attainted, disappointed by the commons, 274; measures in the calling a new parliament, 275; representations to induce the king to alter the succession, 276; places his own emissaries about the king,—abuses the chief justice, Sir Edw. Montague, for refusing to draw the deed of settlement for Lady Jane Gray, 277; procures the patent to be passed, 278; endeavours to get the two princesses, Mary and Elizabeth, into his power, 279; proclaims Lady Jane Gray,—disconcerted at the bad aspect of affairs, 281; takes command of the army,—deserted by his army, proclaims Queen Mary,—apprehended, 282; tried, executed, 283.
- Northumberland, Earl of, offers to release Mary, Queen of Scots, from her confinement in England, 415; enters into a negotiation with the Duke d'Alva, raises an insurrection with the Earl of Westmoreland in the North of England, 416.
- Nottingham, Countess of, discovers on her death-bed, to Queen Elizabeth, her treachery to the Earl of Essex, 578.
- Nowel, chaplain to Queen Elizabeth, openly reproved by her, for speaking irreverently of the sign of the cross, 411.
- Noyen, treaty of, between Fran. I., of France, and Chas., king of Spain, afterward Emp., 85.
- OATH, *ex officio*, arbitrary administration of, by the court of ecclesiastical commission, 470.
- O'Neale, Shan, history and character, 550.
- Orange, Prince of, taken prisoner by the French on the defeat of the Duke of Brittany, 20; gained over and released, to persuade the young duchess to a marriage with the French king, 23.
- Orange, William, Prince of, condemned as a rebel, his possessions confiscated by the Duke of Alva,—unites the towns of Holland and Zealand into a league against the Spanish government, 445; sends an embassy to implore the assistance of Elizabeth, 446; concludes the treaty called the *Pacificatio of Ghent*, 447; concludes a treaty with Queen Elizabeth, 448; assassinated by Gerard, 473.
- Orange, Maurice, Prince of, succeeds the Earl of Leicester in the government of the United Provinces, 510; the battle of Tournholt, 537.
- Orkney, Bp. of, marries Mary, Queen of Scots, to Bothwell, 387; appointed one of the commissioners in her cause, on the part of the king and kingdom, 398.
- Orleans, Lewis, Duke of, disputes the administration of France during the minority of Chas. VIII., with the Princess Anne of Beaujeu,—obliged to fly to the court of Brittany, 16; commands the Duke of Brittany's forces against the invasion of France, 17; taken prisoner by the French, 20; released to promote the King of France's suit to the Duchess of Brittany, 24; he succeeds to the crown of France, 44.
- Ormond, Earl of, reduces the Spanish general San Josepho in Kerry, 454.
- Ostend, an account of the siege of, by the Spaniards, 577.
- Oxford, erected into a bishopric, 180.
- Oxford, Earl of, invites Hen. VII. to an entertainment,—fined by him for his retainers, 53.
- PAGET, secretary, remonstrates to Lord Seymour, the impropriety of caballing against his brother, the protector,—informs the protector of his practices, advises him to return from Scotland, to guard against them, 251; adheres to Somerset in his distress, 264; advises Mary to the Spanish alliance, 288.
- Palmer, Sir Thos., employed by Northumberland as a spy upon Somerset,—accusation against Somerset, 271; apprehended for aiding the Lady Jane Gray, 282; executed, 283.
- Papal authority, renounced by Hen. VIII., 143.
- Par, Cath., married to Hen. VIII., 210; mad regent during Henry's absence in France, 214; narrow escape from impeachment for heresy,—prudent evasion of this danger, 221; marries Lord Seymour soon after Henry's death, 251; dies in childbed, 252.
- Paris, massacre of the Huguenots in that city, on the eve of St. Bartholomew, 439.
- Parker, Archbishop, character of, 470.
- Parliament, English, attainders reversed on the coming in of Hen. VII.,—expedient for qualifying the king's prior attainer, 5; entail of the crown, how managed, 6; attainders of the York party, 7; grants a supply for the assistance of the Duke of Brittany, 20; grants supplies to the king for a war with France, 26; passes a law to indemnify all who act under the authority of the king for the time being, 33; grants Henry another subsidy, 36; obsequiousness to his oppressive measures,—chooses Dudley, the minister of his extortions, speaker, 47; star-chamber authority confirmed by,—the king's suit for murder limited within a year and a day,—benefit of clergy abridged, 52; statutes against retainers, and for other salutary purposes, 53; law permitting the entailment of estates to be broken, 54; review of other laws passed by Henry VII., 55; the first of Hen. VIII. attaints Empson and Dudley, the ministers of the extortions of Hen. VII.,—redresses some abuses in the late reign, 61; grants supplies for a war with France, 65; imposes a proportional poll-tax, 68; grants of

to Henry, by the influence of Card. Wolsey, and of Sir Thos. More, 103; passes an act against levying annates, 137; continues to abridge the papal authority, in the regulation of monasteries and election of bishops, 142; succession of the crown regulated, 143; declares the king supreme head of the church, —attaints Sir Thos. More and Bp. Fisher, —unites England and Wales, 144; passes an act of attainder against the accomplices of the 'Holy Maid of Kent,' 153; lesser monasteries suppressed by, 160; farther progress made in the union between England and Wales, 161; gross flattery of the speaker of the commons to the king, —reason assigned for annulling the king's marriage with Anne Boleyn, —the Princess Mary and Elizabeth illegitimated, succession settled on the king's issue by Jane Seymour, 168; all authority of the Bishop of Rome renounced, 169; passes the bill of six articles, for abolishing diversity of opinions in religion, 186; enacts that royal proclamations shall have the force of laws, —yet passes a statute declaring that the king's proclamations shall not infringe the laws or customs of the realm, 187; confirms the surrender of the monasteries, 189; dissolves the order of St. John of Jerusalem, or knights of Malta, —grants, with reluctance, supplies to Henry, 192; condemns Dr. Barnes for heresy, 196; attaints Queen Cath. Howard and her associates, —passes an act to secure the virtue of Henry's future wives, 200; ratifies the future decisions of the commissioners appointed by the king to establish a religion, 201; prohibits the reading of the Bible to the lower classes of the people, 202; grants supplies for a French war, —enacts that offences against the king's proclamations shall be judged by a council of nine, 209; enforces obedience to the "Erudition of a Christian Man," published by Hen. VIII., 210; restores the Princesses Mary and Elizabeth, to their right of succession, —style of the king's regal title settled, 212; the king's debts, contracted by a general loan, remitted, —another oath of the king's supremacy imposed, —law of the six articles mitigated, 213; grants another subsidy, —bestows on the king all the university and hospital revenues, —abject flattery bestowed on the king, 217; Henry's speech to, on proroguing it, 218; attainder of the Duke of Norfolk, 223; recapitulation of the statutes passed by Hen. VIII., 227; remarks on the statute granting him the duties of tonnage and poundage, 228; one summoned by the Duke of Somerset, protector, —wholesome laws passed this session, 247; Lord Seymour condemned, 254; celibacy recommended to the clergy, but their marriage permitted, 255; deprives the protector of all his offices, fines him, —passes a severe act against rioters, 265; interest for money declared illegal, —new liturgy authorized, —acts passed against treason and making provision for the poor, 273; Latin mass celebrated in, at the accession of Queen Mary, —species of treason limited, —queen's legitimacy established, —all Edward's statutes of religion repealed, 286; Duke of Norfolk's attainder reversed, 287; dissolved for opposing the Spanish alliance, 289; large sum sent over by the Emp. Chas. V. to bribe the new one, 294; Gardiner's speech at the opening

of, —caution of, with respect to the pretensions of Philip, 295; dissolved, 296; new one summoned, —reverses the attainder of Card. Pole, —implores forgiveness of the pope, for their defection from the church of Rome, 297; caution to prevent the resumption of churchlands, —revives the sanguinary laws against heretics, 298; tithes and first fruits restored to the church, 309; subsidies granted by a new one, —all sales or grants of crown lands by the queen, for seven years to come, confirmed, 320; law for regulating the militia, 323; first law for repair of the highways by a general parish-duty, 324; joy discovered at the accession of Queen Elizabeth, 325; new one called, by whom the title of the queen is recognised, 329; newly erected monasteries suppressed, 330; all statutes of Edw. VI. concerning religion restored, —the nomination of bishops given to the crown, —the mass abolished, liturgy restored, 331; the queen's royal power over all her dominions strongly asserted, —assertion of the papal authority subjected to the penalties of treason, 366; laws against prophesying and witchcraft, —supplies granted to the queen, 367; Elizabeth's speech at the dissolution of, 381; new one summoned, after an interval of five years, —prohibited, by the queen's order, from meddling with any matters of state, 422; reflections on her haughty treatment of, and her declared notions of the proper objects of its attention, 426; laws passed this session of, 427; spirited speech of Peter Wentworth, a commoner, in favour of liberty of speech in, 450; petitions the queen for church reformation, instead of proceeding on the bill introduced for that purpose, 451; supplies granted by, to the queen, —laws against popery, 456; confirms the association for the protection of the queen, —appoints a regency, in case of her violent death, 468; severe law against Jesuits and popish priests, 469; Elizabeth's speech, on the application made by the commons for further religious reformation, 471; ratifies the sentence against Mary, Queen of Scots, —petitions for her execution, 494; grants supplies to the queen, on the defeat of the Spanish Armada, 519; passes a severe law against recusants, 532; votes supplies, —queen's speech to, 533; legislative power checked by Elizabeth, 587; tyrannical statutes passed by, 589.

Parliament of Scotland, summoned by the queen dowager in an abbey near Haddington, determines to send the young Queen Mary to France, 249; summoned by the 'Congregation of the Lord,' in which the catholic religion is suppressed, and the presbyterian discipline established, 346; the queen in France refuses to ratify the proceedings of, 347; summoned by Mary, to attain the banished lords, —how this scheme failed, 376; Protestant religion established, with the queen's sanction, 385; summoned by the regent Murray, condemns Mary to prison for the murder of her husband, ratifies the settlement of the crown on her son Jas. VI., 393; appoints commissioners to negotiate a treaty with Elizabeth, 421; prohibits the clergy from meddling with the affairs of government, 467.

Parma, Duchess of, left by Philip II. of Spain governess of the Low Countries, 432. Parma, Prince of, succeeds Don John of Austria, in

- the command of the Low-Countries, 449; reduces several provinces to obedience to Spain, 474; successes against the Earl of Leicester, 477; prepares to join the Armada in the invasion of England, 512; refuses to join the fleet on its ill success, 518; marches to assist the Catholic League in France, 527.
- Parry, William, instigated by the Jesuits to take away the life of Queen Elizabeth,—deliberate prosecution of his purpose, 472; discovered,—executed, 473.
- Pasturage, laws enacted by Henry VIII. to restrain the throwing lands into, 231; inducements to this practice, and evils resulting from it, 258.
- Pavia, the battle of, between the Imperialists and Fran. I.,—Francis made prisoner, 109.
- Paul III., Pope, hopes at his succession to effect a reconciliation with Hen. VIII., 155; excommunicates Henry, 155, 180; rage expressed against Henry on his suppressing the religious houses, 180; council summoned at Mantua, the authority of which Henry declares against, 182; appoints Beaton, primate of Scotland, a cardinal, 206. Paul IV., Pope,—character,—offended at Queen Mary's assuming the title of Queen of Ireland, 308; insists on full restitution of all church possessions, 309; conduct compared with that of the Emp. Chas. V., 312; cites Abp. Cranmer to stand trial for heresy at Rome, 318; haughty behaviour on the English ambassador's notification of the accession of Elizabeth, 327.
- Pembroke, Sir Will. Herbert, created Earl of, 271; deserts Northumberland, declares for Mary, Queen of Scots, 282; confined to his house for engaging in the Duke of Norfolk's designs, 416.
- Perry, a Brownist, his cruel prosecution for writing against the hierarchy, 590.
- Perth, John Knox, the reformer, arrives from Geneva, preaches, there,—riot of reformers there, against the catholic worship, 339; queen regent received there by accommodation with the 'Lords of the Congregation,'—besieged and taken by the Lords, 341.
- Pescara, Marquis of, the Imperial general, invades Provence, besieges Marseilles, 108.
- Peterborough, first erected into a bishopric, 180; Dean of, his behaviour to Mary, Queen of Scots at her execution, 503.
- Peyto, Friar, insolently reproaches Hen. VIII. from his pulpit, 151; is in turn abused by Dr. Corren,—reproved by the council, 152.
- Philip, son of the Emp. Chas. V., proposed by his father as a husband to Mary, Queen of England, 288; substance of the marriage articles,—reflections of the English on this match, 290; caution and reserve of the parliament with respect to his pretensions, 295; arrives in England,—married,—disgusts the English by his haughtiness and reserve, 297; denied the ceremony of coronation,—attempts to acquire popularity,—motives for protecting the Lady Elizabeth, 299; artifice to elude the charge of promoting the cruelties exercised against the English reformers, 306; leaves England, retires to Flanders, 310; his father makes a formal resignation of all his hereditary dominions to him,—ungratefully neglects paying his father's pension, 311; endeavours to engage England in his quarrel with France, 313; comes over to England to press the queen on that measure, 315; raises an army under Phillibert, Duke of Savoy,—battle of St. Quintin,—takes the town, 316; joins the army under the Duke of Savoy, declines engaging Guise,—enters into negotiations for peace, 321; death of Queen Mary, 322; receives a kind embassy from Elizabeth,—makes proposals of marriage to her, 326; she refuses his proposal of adhering to the Spanish alliance against France, 334; peace of Cateau Cambresis with France,—exerts his good offices at the court of Rome to prevent the excommunication of Elizabeth, 335; character delineated,—vows to spend his life in the extirpation of heresy,—cruel persecution of Constantine Ponce, his father's confessor, 360; issues rigorous orders for the prosecution of heretics,—commencement of his open enmity to Elizabeth, 361; forms an alliance with the Duke of Guise for the suppressing heresy, 363; concerta a massacre of the French Huguenots with Catharine de Medicis and the Card. of Lorraine, 375; leaves the Duchess of Parma governess of the Low Countries,—Flemish protestants revolt,—determines to overthrow the Flemish privileges, 433; remonstrates to Elizabeth against her giving countenance to the Flemish exiles, 444; revolt of Holland and Zealand,—the revolted Hollanders associate under the Prince of Orange, 445; recalls the Duke of Alva at his own request, 446; Elizabeth aims to accommodate matters,—sends Don John of Austria, Governor of the Low Countries,—pacification of Ghent concluded, 447; invades Ireland, 454; remonstrates against the depredations of Drake, 455; founds a seminary at Doulay for the education of English catholics, 456; power and naval strength, 476; projects an invasion of England, 511; fits out the Invincible Armada, 512; instructions to its commander, the Duke of Medina Sidonia, 516; behaviour on the destruction of the Armada, 519; excites disturbances in Scotland, 535; makes peace with France, 544; dies, 548.
- Philip, Archduke of Austria, son of Maximilian, educated in the Low Countries, 15; friendly interview with Hen. VII., at Calais, 44; marries Joan, daughter of Ferd. of Arragon, and Isabella, Queen of Castile, 47; forced by a storm on the coast of England, pays Henry a visit at Windsor, 48; obliged by Henry to surrender the Earl of Suffolk, whom he had protected, 49; arrives in Spain,—dies,—his son Charles affianced to the Princess Mary, Henry's daughter, 50.
- Phillibert, Duke of Savoy, commands the Spanish army against France,—besieges St. Quintin,—defeats the constable Montmorency, takes him prisoner, 316; Philip arrives at his camp, but declines any action with the Duke of Guise, 321.
- Philpot, a reformer, Archdeacon of Winchester, his zeal against Arianism,—himself burnt for heresy, 304.
- Pilgrimage of Grace, insurrection raised against Henry VIII. in the North of England under that name, 173.
- Pinkie, decisive battle of, between the Duke of Somerset and the Earl of Arran, 245.
- Pisa, council summoned there, in opposition to Pope Julius II., removes to Milan, and to Lyons, 63; interdicted by the pope, 64.
- Pistor, speech in House of Commons, on kneeling and making the sign of the cross, 423.

- Pius V., Pope, excommunicates Elizabeth, Queen of England, 421.
- Plague brought into England by the Earl of Warwick from Havre de Grace, 368.
- Poitiers besieged by Admiral Coligni,—defended by the young Duke of Guise, 429.
- Pole, Arthur, and his brothers, nephews of the cardinal, convicted of a conspiracy against Queen Elizabeth, pardoned, 539. Pole, Sir Geoffrey de la, enters into a conspiracy with some English noblemen, and his brother the cardinal,—discovers the conspiracy, pardoned for it, 182. Pole, Reginald de la, his descent,—made Dean of Exeter,—declines any concern in the divorce of Hen. VIII. from Queen Catharine,—inveighs against the conduct of Henry in religious alterations,—is invited to England by Henry,—is created a cardinal, and sent legate into Flanders,—is suspected of aspiring to the crown, 181; enters into a conspiracy with some English noblemen, who are discovered and executed, 182; relations prosecuted by the king,—mother attainted by parliament, 188; and executed, 197; proposed as a husband to Queen Mary,—why it did not take effect,—invited to England in quality of legate, 287; stopped in his journey by the emperor, and why, 289; attainder reversed, and his blood restored by parliament,—arrives in England, invites the parliament to reconcile themselves to the see of Rome,—gives the parliament and kingdom absolution, 297; debates with Bp. Gardiner on the expediency of punishing heretics, 300; made Abp. of Canterbury on the burning of Cranmer,—politic behaviour, 314; opposes the queen's design of engaging the kingdom in Philip's quarrel with France, 315; death, character, 322.
- Poll-money, a proportional tax imposed by parliament to assist Henry VIII. in his war with France, 68.
- Ponce, Constantine, confessor to the Emperor Charles V., cruelly treated by Philip of Spain for heresy, 360.
- Poor, act passed for raising charitable contributions for them, 274; first legal establishment for the subsistence of, made, 599.
- Population, observations on the most proper means of promoting, 56.
- Porto Rico, assailed by Francis Drake, 538.
- Post-master, instance of that office at the time of Queen Elizabeth, 599.
- Poyning, Sir Edw., sent over to Ireland by Hen. VII. to reduce the malcontents there, 33; passes the memorable statute which bears his name, 34; disappoints Perkin Warbeck in his designs upon that kingdom, 35; made comptroller by Hen. VIII., chosen of his privy council, 59.
- Preaching, abuse of, restrained,—twelve homilies published to read to the people, 238; farther restrictions of, to prevent the people being distracted by opposite doctrines, 248.
- Prejeant, a French admiral, kills Sir Edward Howard in an engagement in Conquet harbour,—invades the coast of Sussex, and loses an eye, 71.
- Prelates, unfitness for being intrusted with the great offices under the crown, in the papal times of England, 56; causes which favoured their promotion to them, 57.
- Prerogative, regal, the notions entertained of, in the time of Elizabeth, 573; inquiry into the ancient prerogatives of the crown, 586.
- Presbytery, established in Scotland by parliament,—catholic religion suppressed, 346; cruel insults shown to Queen Mary for her adherence to the catholic religion, 351; causes of the morose severity of the presbyterian clergy traced, 355.
- Priests and Jesuits, law against them, 469.
- Primauguet, a French admiral, engages Sir Thos. Knevet off the coast of Brittany, blown up with him, 67.
- Printing, invention of, one of the causes of the quick progress of the reformation, 98.
- Proclamations, royal, declared by parliament to have the force of laws,—reflections on this statute, 187; offences against them, by whom to be judged, 209; this law repealed, 247; those of Elizabeth enforced more rigorously than laws, by the star-chamber, 587.
- Protestants, how the reformers in Germany acquired that name, 132.
- Puritans, account of their obstinate scruples at the infancy of the reformation, 409; depressed by Queen Elizabeth, but countenanced by her ministers, 412, 449; the court of ecclesiastical commission instituted for the suppression of, 470; the severe law passed against recusants, 532.
- Purveyance, nature of that prerogative of the crown explained, 520; parliamentary attempts to regulate it, checked by Elizabeth, 521; made use of by her to victual her navy, 586.
- RALEIGH, Walter, goes over to France a volunteer in the service of the Huguenots, 430; first expedition to Guiana,—publishes a lying account of that country, 538; goes under Lord Effingham in the expedition against Cadiz, 539; attends Essex in another expedition, takes Fayal, 541; falls sick while Essex is in disgrace, on the apprehension of his coming into favour again, 557.
- Randolf, English ambassador in Scotland, his character of the Scots reformers, 357; sent by Elizabeth to interpose in favour of the Earl of Morton,—forms a party in opposition to the Earl of Lennox, 454.
- Read, an alderman of London, enrolled by Hen. VIII. as a foot soldier, for refusing a benevolence to him, 213.
- Real presence, why the clergy were so much attached to the doctrine of, in the infancy of the Reformation, 256; the point of, debated in convocation,—debate adjourned to Oxford, 291; instance of Queen Elizabeth's attachment to that doctrine, 411.
- Recusants, law enacted against them, 532.
- Reformation, first commencement of, in Germany, by Martin Luther,—doctrines spread among the Lollards in England, 97; Hen. VIII. writes against Luther, receives the title of 'Defender of the Faith' from the pope,—Luther answers Henry closely,—to what causes the quick progress of, may be ascribed, 98; the pope declared to be antichrist, set at defiance by the reformers,—how the reformers favoured the civil power in their tenets, 99; first steps toward, in England, 130; progress of, 137; how far forwarded by the appeal to private judgment, 147; Tindal makes a translation of the Scriptures, 149; translation prepared by order of convocation

- 162; authority of the Bishop of Rome renounced, 169; articles of faith prepared by the convocation,—how far protestant principles were favoured in these articles, stated, 170; suppression of religious houses, 160, 177; the law of six articles passed, 186; the Bible granted to every family, 189; hospitals, colleges, and other foundations dissolved, revenues seized by the king,—chapter-lands of Canterbury, York, and London, extorted from those sees, 201; the litany, and part of the public service, allowed to be celebrated in English, 218; the accession of Edw. VI. favourable to, 236; twelve homilies published, to be read to the people, 238; laws passed favourable to,—the cup restored to the laity, private masses abolished,—penalties on denying the king's supremacy, 237; new communion service framed, 248; liturgy framed by a committee of bishops and divines, 254; Gardiner, and other bishops, deprived of their bishoprics, 268; general antipathy, at this time, to popery,—liturgy revised,—articles of religion framed, 269; liturgy authorized by parliament, 273; catholic religion restored by Queen Mary, 284; all Edward's statutes relating to religion repealed, 286; reformers persecuted, 303; Cecil's arguments to induce Queen Elizabeth to restore it, 327; the queen's prudent caution in performing it, 328; newly erected monasteries suppressed, 330; queen declared governess of the church,—all Edward's statutes concerning religion confirmed,—solemn disputation,—the mass abolished, 331; English liturgy restored,—bishops degraded for non-compliance, 332; progress of, in Scotland,—Rise of the association called the 'Congregation of the Lord,' 337; riot of protestants at Edinburgh, on the festival of St. Giles, 338; riots of Knox's congregation at Perth, 339; catholic religion suppressed in Scotland, presbyterian discipline established, 346; struggles in favour of, in France, 347; cruel insolence of the Scots reformers to their Queen Mary, 351; civil wars of France, 362; established in Scotland by parliament, with the queen's sanction, 395; character of the plan of, established in England, 409; reflections on the conduct of reformers, 431; cruel severity of the Emp. Chas. V. toward the Flemish Protestants,—Flemish protestants revolt, 432; massacre of the Huguenots at Paris, 440; catholic league formed in France against the Huguenots, 443; review of Elizabeth's conduct in religious matters, 449; severe laws passed against popery, 456; popery finally suppressed by the strict law against Jesuits and popish priests, 469.
- Religious establishments, the foundation of, 95.
- Religious houses, number of, suppressed by Hen. VIII.—amount of their revenues, 179.
- Reliques, the artifices of, exposed on the dissolution of monasteries, 177.
- Requesens, commendator of Castile, succeeds the Duke of Alva in the command of Low Countries,—character,—undertakes the siege of Leyden, 446; dies, his troops mutiny, which ruin the Spanish affairs in the Low Countries, 447.
- Retainers, term explained,—laws passed against, by Henry VII.—story told of Henry relating to,—practice of, destroyed, 53.
- Revenue, amount of, in Queen Mary's time, 315. Revenues, ecclesiastical, alienation of, prohibited, 331.
- Rhodes, knights of, choose Hen. VII. of England protector of their order, 45.
- Richmond, Duke of, natural son of Hen. VIII. lord-lieutenant of Ireland, 145; dies, 168.
- Ridley, Bp. of London, pleads with Edw. VI. in behalf of the Princess Mary, 269; imprisoned on the accession of Queen Mary, 284; sent under a strong guard to Oxford, to debate on transubstantiation, 289; burnt for heresy, together with Bp. Latimer, 305.
- Riots, severe statute passed against, 265.
- Rizzio, David, account of his introduction to the court of Mary, Queen of Scotland,—promotion and character, 376; excites the jealousy of Darnley, the queen's husband,—incurs the hatred of the protestants,—conspiracy formed against him by the chancellor Morton, 377; assassinated in the queen's presence, 378.
- Rochford, Lord, brother to Queen Anne Boleyn, accused by his wife of intimacy with his sister, 163; confined by the king's order, 164; tried, together with the queen,—condemned, 166. Rochford, Viscountess of, calumniates Queen Anne Boleyn to Hen. VIII., 163; conducts the secret amours of Queen Cath. Howard,—beheaded, together with the queen, 200.
- Rodolphi, a Florentine merchant in London, employed by the Pope to negotiate with the catholics in England,—engaged by the Spanish ambassador to disturb the government, in favour of Mary, Queen of Scots, 434; Duke of Norfolk enters into his scheme,—goes to Rome to mature his design, 435.
- Rogers, a prebendary of St. Paul's, is burnt for heresy, 304.
- Rome, bad principles on which the church of, is founded, 94; encroachments on civil authority, 95; favourable, however, to the restoration of the arts, 96; consequence of the sale of indulgences by Pope Leo X., 97; its authority renounced by the English convocation and parliament, 143; reflections on this event, 144; city of, taken and sacked by the Imperial troops, 116.
- Rood of Grace, a miraculous crucifix, the artifice of, exposed at Paul's Cross, by Kelsey, Bishop of Rochester, 177.
- Rosni, Sully, Marquis of, minister to Hen. IV., comes over to Dover, to confer with Queen Elizabeth,—discovers Elizabeth to have entertained the same views with Henry, of establishing a new system of policy in Europe, 570.
- Rouen, the King of Navarre mortally wounded at the siege of, 363; taken by Montmorency, garrison put to the sword, 364.
- Russell, Lord, suppresses an insurrection in Devonshire, excited to oppose the reformation, 260; created Earl of Bedford, 264.
- SADLER, Sir Ralph, ambassador from Hen. VIII. to the court of Scotland, concludes a treaty of marriage between Prince Edward and Mary, the infant queen of Scotland, 207; retinue insulted, at the instigation of Card. Beaton,—demand of the stipulated hostages evaded by Arran, the regent,—orders the Scots prisoners on parole to return to England, 208; made counsellor to the regency of Edw. VI., 223; assists in restoring the

- advantage to the English, at the battle of Pinkie, 246; appointed one of the commissioners for determining the cause between Queen Mary and the regent Murray, 398.
- Salisbury, Countess of, mother of Card. Pole, attainted and condemned by parliament, but reprieved, 188; executed, 196.
- Sandilands, Sir Jas., sent from the parliament of Scotland to Queen Mary in France, to obtain a ratification of their proceedings in reforming religion, 346.
- Santa Croce, Marquis of, appointed to command the Spanish Armada, 512; dies, 516.
- Scotland, state of, in the time of Hen. VII., 15; Jas. IV. receives and assists Perkin Warbeck, 35; marries Margaret, eldest daughter of Henry, 45; the Scots routed at the battle of Flodden, James killed, 75; Queen Margaret marries Douglas, Earl of Angus,—Duke of Albany called over to the regency,—state of, as it appeared to Albany on his arrival, 81; confusions in, on Albany's going over to France, 83; reasons in favour of alliances with France or England contrasted, 101; extraordinary case of Patrick Hamilton, burnt for heresy,—Friar Forrest burnt, 197; Reformation spreads in, 198; Henry declares war against, 204; Sir Rob. Bowes defeated by the Lords Hume and Huntley, 237; battle of Solway, 202; death of Jas. V., 205; the infant Queen Mary contracted to Prince Edward of England, 207; invaded by Hen. VIII., Edinburgh burnt, 214; the English defeated at Ancrum, 216; included in the peace of Campe between Henry and Francis, 218; history of Wishart the reformer, 240; Card. Beaton assassinated, 242; misfortunes at this time, owing to a succession of minorities,—Duke of Somerset prepares to prosecute the war with,—manifesto, 243; the Scots prepare to repel Somerset, 244; battle of Pinkie, 245; the young Queen Mary sent to France, 250; Earl of Arran resigns the regency to the queen dowager,—the young Queen Mary married to the dauphin, 319; English reformers protected there from the prosecutions of Queen Mary,—account of the association called the 'Congregation of the Lord,' 336; treaty of Edinburgh,—settlement of the administration during the queen's absence by this treaty, 345; Catholic religion suppressed, presbyterian discipline established by parliament, 346; Queen Mary arrives on the death of her husband, 350; the queen exposed to insults for her adherence to the Catholic religion, 351; poverty of the reformed clergy there,—ecclesiastical benefices, how assigned by the privy council, 355; Mary married to Lord Darnley,—confederacy formed against Mary, at Stirling, encouraged by Elizabeth,—the rebels driven into England, 374; murder of Darnley, 383; Protestant religion established by parliament, with the queen's sanction, 385; Mary married to Bothwell,—reflections of the people on these events, 387; Mary imprisoned in Lochleven castle, 390; Mary forced to resign the crown, and her son Jas. VI. crowned,—arrival of Murray the regent,—settlement of the crown and administration confirmed by parliament, 393; battle of Langside,—Mary flies to England, 394; her cause examined before English commissioners, 398, 405; Murray the regent assassinated,—Earl of Lenox appointed regent, 419; put to death by Murray's party, Earl of Mar chosen,—Morton made regent on the death of Mar, 438; discontents and factions against Morton, 453; Morton tried and executed, 454; conspiracy of nobles formed, who seize the young King James, 463; James escapes from them, 466; Earl of Arran degraded, 467; defensive alliance entered into between James and Elizabeth, 475; Mary sentenced to death by English commissioners, 492; and executed, 504.
- Scriptures, first English translation of, made by Tindal the reformer, 150.
- Seymour, Lady Jane, maid of honour to Queen Anne Boleyn, attracts the notice of Hen. VIII., 164; married to the king the next day after Anne Boleyn's execution, 167; brought to bed of a son (afterward Edw. VI.), dies, 175. Seymour, Sir Edw., brother to Queen Jane, made Earl of Hertford, 175; commands the forces of Hen. VIII. in an invasion of Scotland, burns Edinburgh, 213; sent with forces over to Calais, 218; appointed one of the regency during the minority of Edw. VI., 233; chosen Protector by the regency—created Duke of Somerset, 234. Seymour, Lord, second son of the Protector Somerset, commands a squadron stationed at Dunkirk, to prevent the Duke of Parma from joining the Spanish Armada, 514. Seymour, Sir Thos., nominated one of the council to the regency, during the minority of Edw. VI.,—created Lord Seymour, and high admiral, 234; character,—marries the queen dowager,—cabals against his brother the Protector, during his absence in Scotland, 251; forms a party in parliament against the Protector,—intimidated, desires a reconciliation with his brother,—addresses the Lady Elizabeth, after the queen-dowager's death, 252; committed to the Tower,—examined by the council, 253; attainted by parliament,—executed, 254.
- Sforza, Francis, obtains the investiture of the duchy of Milan, 131.
- Shakespeare, scarcely mention of civil liberty to be found in his historical plays, 590.
- Sheep, number of, restricted by statute, 231; Sir Thomas More's censure of the excessive breeding of, 258.
- Ship-money, the tax imposed on occasion of the Spanish invasion, 586.
- Shrewsbury, Earl of, added to the privy council for the consideration of the case of Mary Queen of Scots, 405; Mary committed to his custody at Tedbury, 407; removed on account of his indulgence to her, 468; appointed to attend on her execution, 500.
- Sidney, Sir Hen., lord deputy of Ireland, reduces Shan O'Neale, 550; vigilant administration, 551. Sidney, Sir Philip, writes to Queen Elizabeth, to dissuade her from marrying the Duke of Anjou, 460; made governor of Flushing, 476; death, character, 478.
- Simier, sent over by the Duke of Anjou, to prosecute his suit with Queen Elizabeth,—art in rendering his conversation agreeable to her,—discovers Leicester's marriage to the queen,—taken under her immediate protection, on Leicester's attempting his life, 458.
- Simmel, Lambert, a baker's son, becomes the instrument of Simon the priest, to disturb

- the government of Hen. VII., 10; opens his pretensions in Ireland, as Earl of Warwick which revolts under him,—crowned at Dublin, as Edw. VI., 11; receives forces from the Duchess of Burgundy, 12; invades England,—defeated at the battle of Stoke, 13; becomes scullion to the king, promoted to be his falconer, 14.
- Simon, Richard, a priest, concert the pretensions of Lambert Simnel against Hen. VII., 10; carries his pupil to Ireland, which revolts, 11; why only confined, on Simnel's overthrow, 13.
- Sinclair, Oliver, favourite of Jas. V., appointed to the command of the Scots army,—defeated by the English at Solway, 259.
- Smalcalde, league of the Protestant princes of Germany formed there, 132; money remitted to the league by Hen. VIII., 56.
- Solway, the battle of, between the English and Scots, 205.
- Solyman, Sultan, conquers Hungary, and besieges Vienna, 132.
- Somerset, the Earl of Hertford, Protector during the minority of Edw. VI. created Duke of,—procures his authority to be confirmed by patent, 235; favours the establishment of the Reformation, 237; appoints a visitation of dioceses, 238; makes preparation for the war with Scotland,—publishes a manifesto, 243; advances toward Edinburgh, 244; defeats the Scots at the battle of Pinkie, 245; leaves Warwick to treat for an accommodation, returns to England,—calls a parliament, 246; passes laws favourable to the Reformation,—suppresses some popish ceremonies, 247; orders Haddington to be fortified and garrisoned, 249; informed of his brother's cabals against him, 251; commits him to the Tower, orders a prosecution of him, 253; signs the warrant for his execution, 254; appoints a commission to inquire concerning enclosures,—insurrections of the people, 259; endeavours at an alliance with the emperor, disappointed, 261; opposed in his intention to conclude a peace with France and Scotland,—haughty exertion of his authority excites cabals against him,—becomes obnoxious for courting popular favour, 262; demolishes churches, to build a palace with the materials,—conspiracy formed against him at Ely House,—removes the king to Windsor, prepares for his defence, 263; deserted by all but Paget and Cranmer, despairs,—sent to the Tower, 264; confesses on his knees before the council the charges laid against him; deprived of his offices, fined,—re-admitted to the council,—marries his daughter to the Lord Dudley, son of Warwick, 265; schemes of Northumberland to ruin him,—arrested, together with the duchess and friends,—charges against him, 271; tried,—executed,—character, reflections on his fate, 272.
- Southampton, Wriothesely, chancellor, and one of the regency, during the minority of Edw. VI., created Earl of, 234; puts the great seal in commission,—deprived of the chancellorship, removed from the council, 235; re-admitted to the council, cabals with Warwick against the Protector,—enters into a plot against him at Ely House, 263; retires from the council, dies of vexation, 264.
- Southampton, Earl of, attends the Earl of Essex to Ireland, who makes him general of horse,—553; displaced by the queen's orders, 554; enters into Essex's conspiracy at Drury House, 564; tried with Essex, condemned, 566; spared, but detained in prison, 569.
- Spain, state of, at the time of Hen. VII. of England, 15; leagues with the Italian States against France, 34; obtains possession of the kingdom of Naples, 62; death of Ferdinand, accession of his grandson Charles, 85; acquires final possession of Navarre, 92; kingdom of, resigned by the Emp. Chas. V. to his son Philip,—Charles retires to the monastery of St. Just, in Estremadura, 311; victory of St. Quintin, 316; peace of Cateau Cambresis, 333; Philip vows to spend his life in the extirpation of heresy,—cruel persecution of heretics, 360; flourishing state and power at this time, 421; the Invincible Armada fitted out for the conquest of England,—this fleet destroyed, 512; Cadiz taken and plundered by the English, 518; the harbour of Cerimbra attacked, and a rich carrack taken, 577.
- Spenser, his character as a poet, 604.
- Spurs, battle of, between the troops of Hen. VIII. and the Duke of Longueville, 73.
- St. Andrew's, Wishart the reformer burnt there, by Card. Beaton,—the Card. assassinated there, 242; surrendered to the queen dowager of Scotland, 243; Walter Mill burnt there, 337. St. Andrew's, prior of, attends the queen-regent of Scotland, in her endeavours to suppress the riots of the reformers, 339; joins the association termed the 'Congregation of the Lord,' 341.
- St. Aubin, battle of, between the Duke of Brittany and the French, 20.
- St. Bartholomew, massacre of the Huguenots at Paris, on the eve of that day, 439.
- St. Denis, battle of, between Montmorency, and the Prince of Condé, 429.
- St. Dizier, taken by the Emp. Chas. V., 215.
- St. Giles, tutelary saint of Edinburgh, riot of Protestants there on the festival of, 338.
- St. John of Jerusalem, knights of, refuse to surrender their revenues to Hen. VIII.,—the order dissolved by parliament, 192.
- St. Quintin, battle of, between the Constable Montmorency, and the Spanish army, under Philibert, Duke of Savoy, 315.
- Stanley, Will., governor of Deventer, betrays the place, deserts with his whole garrison to the Spaniards, 510. Stanley, Sir Will., presents the Earl of Richmond with Rich. III's crown, found in Bosworth field, 1; detected by Sir Rob. Clifford in abetting Perkin Warbeck,—tried, executed, 31.
- Star-chamber, the jurisdiction of, how founded and when established, 52; nature of that jurisdiction explained, 582.
- Steel-yard, merchants of, when established into a company,—privileges of, annulled by the council of Edw. VI., 270.
- Stirling, confederacy of malcontent Scots nobles formed there, against Queen Mary,—the rebels retire into England, 374.
- Stoke, battle of, between Hen. VII. and Earl Lincoln, Lambert Simnel's general, 13.
- Strickland, member of the House of Commons, introduces a bill for the amendment of the liturgy, 423; summoned before the council, prohibited appearing in the House,—restored to his seat, 424.

- Stroke, cruel treatment in Cornwall for bringing a bill to parliament, relating to tin, 228.
- Stuart, Jas., of Ochiltree, joins in the designs of the Count d'Aubigny, in detaching Jas. VI. from the interest of England, 453; made Earl of Arran, 462.
- Suffolk, Edmund de la Pole, Earl of, flies to Flanders, 48; pardoned, elopes again,—political improvement of this incident by Hen. VII.,—his secrets betrayed by the treachery of Sir Rob. Curzon,—protected by Philip, Archduke of Austria,—deluded over to England by Philip, and committed to the Tower, 49; beheaded by Hen. VIII.,—motives to this action, 72. Suffolk, Chas. Brandon, Duke of, marries Mary queen-dowager of France, sister to Hen. VII. privately,—Henry reconciled to him, 63; retires disgusted, 80; sent by Henry to invade Picardy,—penetrates almost to Paris, 106; sent by Henry to suppress Dr. Mackrel's insurrection, 172; dies,—the king's character of him, 219. Suffolk, the Marquis of Dorset, created Duke of, 276; appointed to command the army, to defend his daughter Jane's pretensions,—the command taken by Northumberland, 281; declares for Queen Mary, 282; apprehended, but released, 283; engages in a conspiracy against Mary,—taken prisoner, 291; tried and executed, 293.
- Suits *in forma pauperis* first given, 52.
- Surrey, Earl of, encourages Hen. VIII. in his pleasures, 60; collects an army, marches to oppose Jas. IV. of Scotland, defeats James at the battle of Flodden, 75; created Duke of Norfolk, 76. Surrey, Lord Howard, son to the Duke of Norfolk, made Earl of, 76; made admiral of England, and by the Emp. Chas. V. admiral of the Imperial dominions,—commands the English incursions into France, 100; commands in the invasion of Scotland, 101; character, 222; made governor of Boulogne, afterwards displaced,—motives of Henry's aversion to him,—accusation and execution, 223.
- Sussex, Earl of, appointed one of the commissioners to hear the cause between Mary, Queen of Scots, and the regent Murray, 398; marches against the northern insurgents, 417; sent with forces to Scotland, to check the progress of Mary's party, 419; writes to Scotland, in order to frustrate the treaty entered into by Queen Mary with Elizabeth, 420.
- Swart Martin, sent with forces by the Duchess of Burgundy, to assistance of Lambert Simnel,—defeated, killed at battle of Stoke, 13.
- Sweating sickness, first appearance of, 5; appears again, 88.
- Swiss, league with Pope Julius II. against the French, 63; drive the French out of Milan, reinstates Maximilian Sforza in that duchy, 67; excel other nations in their infantry, 71; invade Burgundy, 73; deceived in a treaty by Trémouille the governor, 74; are defeated by Fran. I. at Marignan, 83; a body of, in the service of Francis, desert in disgust, 107.
- TAXES, oppressively raised by Hen. VIII. under the name of loans, 103; exorbitant levies of, in the reign of Edw. VI. 256.
- Taylor, parson of Hadley, burnt for heresy, 304. Taylor, Bp. of Lincoln, thrust out of the parliament house for refusing to kneel at the celebration of mass, 286.
- Terouane, besieged by Hen. VIII.,—extraordinary relief brought,—capitulates, 73.
- Thomas à Becket, extraordinary devotion paid to his shrine,—the shrine pillaged, and Becket's bones burnt by Hen. VIII., 178.
- Thomond, Earl of, his history, 551.
- Throgmorton, Sir Nicholas, tried at Guildhall on account of Suffolk's conspiracy, acquitted,—his jury cruelly treated,—his brother Sir John convicted, 294; released from confinement by Philip, 299; made ambassador to Paris, and sends over intelligence of the hostile measures of the French court, 343; renews his application to Mary, Queen of Scots to ratify the treaty of Edinburgh, 348; Mary's spirited declaration to him on being denied a passage through England to Scotland, 349; employed by Elizabeth to encourage an insurrection in Scotland against Mary, 374; prudent management in this affair for his own security, 375; sent ambassador to Scotland, on the captivity of Mary,—tenor of his commission, 391; ordered not to assist at the coronation of the young king Jas. VI., 393.
- Tindal flies to Antwerp, from the power of Hen. VIII.,—makes a translation of the Scriptures, 149; artfully supplied with money to perfect it, by Tonsal, Bp. of London, 150.
- Tobacco first introduced into England, 477.
- Toleration, popular arguments for and against, occasioned by the debates between Card. Pole and Bp. Gardiner on that subject, 301.
- Tonnage and poundage granted by parliament to Hen. VII., 7; the duties of, arbitrarily levied by Hen. VIII.,—remarks on the statutes granting these duties to him, 228.
- Tonsal, Bp. of London, sent by Hen. VIII. to Madrid, ambassador to the Emp. Chas. V., 111; buys up all Tindal's first incorrect translation of the Scriptures, burns them,—his scheme in so doing, 150; appointed one of the regency during the minority of Edw. VI., 233; dismissed the council for opposing the Reformation, 239; character,—bill of attainder passed against him by the peers, rejected by the commons, 274; restored to his see of Durham by Queen Mary, 284.
- Torture arbitrarily inflicted by the officers of state during the reign of Elizabeth, 584.
- Tournay, in Flanders, taken by Hen. VIII.,—Wolsey put in possession of the bishopric, 74; delivered up to France, 86.
- Tournholt, battle of, between Prince Maurice and the Spaniards, 537.
- Transubstantiation, the point of, debated in convocation, and renewed at Oxford, 289.
- Treason, vigorous and contrary statutes against, enacted by Hen. VIII. and his parliaments, 227; severe law against, passed by the lords, but altered by the commons, 273; species of, restricted by parliament, 286; statutes of Elizabeth concerning, 427.
- Trent, council of, assembled, 239; transferred to Bologna, 240.
- Trinity College, Cambridge, when and by whom founded, 232.
- Turkey, commencement of the trade with, by a company established by Elizabeth, 596.
- Tyrone, Earl of, character,—enters into a correspondence with Spain, heads an insurrection of the native Irish against the

- English,—defeats the English under Sir Henry Bagnal, 552; conference and treaty with the Earl of Essex, 555; breaks the truce on the return of Essex to England,—driven into the morasses by Lord Mountjoy, 558; joins the Spaniards who invade Ireland, 572; defeated by Mountjoy, 573; surrenders to Mountjoy,—pardoned, 578.
- Tyrrel, Sir James, proves the murders by order of Hen. VII. on account of Perkin Warbeck's imposture, 30; executed for another crime, 48.
- UDAL, a puritanical clergyman, persecution of, for writing against episcopacy, 589.
- Universities, their revenues granted to Hen. VIII. by parliament, declined by him, 217.
- United Provinces of the Low Countries, commencement of their association against the dominions of Spain, 445; pacification of Ghent, 447; conclude a treaty with Queen Elizabeth, 448; again implore the protection of Elizabeth, 474; conditions of her league with them, 475; reproved by Elizabeth for the extraordinary honours bestowed by Leicester, commander of the English forces, 476; displeased with Leicester, 478; jealous of the intentions of Elizabeth,—Earl of Leicester recalled,—government of, conferred on Prince Maurice, 510; conclude a new treaty with Elizabeth, 537; another, 548.
- Usury, ill-judged laws against, by Hen. VII., 55; another statute passed against, 273; the meaning of that word limited to the taking exorbitant interest, and condemned by law, 599.
- VAGRANTS are punished by Queen Elizabeth, by martial law, 583.
- Vere, Sir Fran., made governor of Flushing,—commands the English auxiliaries at the battle of Tournholt, 284; defends Ostend against the Spaniards, 577.
- Vervins, peace of, between Hen. IV. of France and Philip II. of Spain, 545.
- Virgil, Polydore, the cause of his antipathy to Cardinal Wolsey, 86.
- Virginia, the first settlers, planted there by Sir Walter Raleigh, desert it, 477.
- WAGES of labourers, regulated by a law of Hen. VII.,—remarks on the rates at that time compared with the present, 56.
- Wales united to the English government by parliament, 144; farther regulations made to complete the union, 161.
- Walsingham, minister to Queen Elizabeth, countenances the puritans, 412; when ambassador at Paris, is deceived by the plausible conduct of Charles, 639; sent ambassador to France, on occasion of the intended marriage between Elizabeth and the Duke of Anjou,—strange contrariety of instructions received by him, 459; averse to the French marriage, 460; sent ambassador to Scotland, to discover the real character of James,—sends a favourable account of him, 466; vigilance and artifices to detect conspiracies, 467; discovers Babington's conspiracy,—schemes to acquire full insight into it, 484; seizes the conspirators, 485; justifies his conduct towards Mary, Queen of Scots, on her trial, 492; letter to Thirlstone, the Scots secretary, relating to the execution of Mary, Queen of Scots, 508.
- Warbeck, Perkin, parentage, 27; for what purpose sent for by the Duchess of Burgundy,—secreted in Portugal, 28; sent to Ireland, assumes the character of the Duke of York,—invited to Paris by Chas. VIII.,—magnificent reception and appointments,—retires to the Duchess of Burgundy, on the peace between England and France,—his interest gains ground in England, 29; his secrets betrayed by Sir Rob. Clifford,—his private history published by Hen. VII., 30; puts to sea with a band of refugees, escapes the snares of the Kentish men, 33; makes an ineffectual attempt upon Ireland,—received by Jas. IV. of Scotland, married to Lady Cath. Gordon,—attends James in an invasion of England, publishes a manifesto, 35; desired by James to leave Scotland, on his concluding a truce with England, 39; excluded Flanders, retires to Ireland,—makes a descent on Cornwall, besieges Exeter,—raises the siege, his followers disperse,—his wife taken prisoner, generously treated, 40; flies to a sanctuary,—persuaded to surrender.—conducted to London in mock triumph,—makes a confession, which is published,—escapes,—taken, put in the stocks,—concerts an escape with the Earl of Warwick,—executed, 47.
- Wardships, oppressive nature of the prerogative of, 586.
- Warham, Abp. of Canterbury and chancellor, chosen to the privy-council by Hen. VIII., 59; resigns his places, retires, 80; observation on Card. Wolsey, 87; informs Henry of Wolsey's arbitrary conduct, 88; declares against the king's completing his marriage with Cath. of Arragon, 119; dies, 139; how imposed on by the story of the 'Holy Maid of Kent,' 152.
- Warwick, Edward Plantagenet, Earl of, imprisoned in the Tower by Hen. VII., 4; carried openly through London, to discountenance the pretensions of Lambert Simnel, 12; executed, 44. Warwick, Dudley, Viscount Lisle created Earl of, 235; attends the Duke of Somerset in his expedition against Scotland, 243; restores the advantage to the English at the battle of Pinkie, 245; endeavours to foment the differences between Somerset and the admiral,—history and character, 252; defeats the insurgents in Norfolk, 260; cabals with Southampton against Somerset, 262; enters into a conspiracy against him at Ely House, 263; procures Somerset to be sent to the Tower,—becomes chief of the council, drives Southampton away disgusted,—marries his son Lord Dudley to Lady Jane Seymour, daughter of Somerset, 265; Gardiner, and other bishops deprived of their bishoprics, 267; the libraries of Westminster and Oxford purged of Romish books, 268; created Duke of Northumberland, 270. Warwick, Earl of, eldest son of Dudley, Duke of Northumberland, takes the command of Havre de Grace, for Queen Elizabeth, 364; prepares to defend it by order from the queen,—besieged,—garrison infected with the plague,—capitulates, 368.
- Watches, pocket, introduced into England, 599.
- Wentworth, Peter, spirited speech in the House of Commons, in reply to assertions of the royal prerogative, 425; speech in favour of

- liberty, 450; summoned before a committee of the house in the star-chamber,—firm behaviour before them,—released by the queen's favour, 451; offends the queen again by resuming the subject of the succession,—sent to the Tower, 531.
- West, Dr., Dean of Windsor, sent by Hen. VIII., ambassador to Jas. IV. of Scotland, to accommodate differences, 68; advises Henry to prepare for a war with James, 69.
- Westminster erected into a bishopric by Henry VIII., 180.
- Westmoreland, Earl of, joins the Earl of Northumberland in an insurrection in the North, 416; takes shelter in Scotland, 417.
- Whitgift prevails on Queen Elizabeth to establish a court of ecclesiastical commission to prosecute the Puritans, 470.
- Wyatt, Sir Thomas, engages in a conspiracy against Queen Mary, on account of the Spanish match, 291; a body of Norfolk's troops desert to him,—suppressed, taken, and executed, 292.
- Willoughby, of Broke, Lord, sent by Hen. VII. to the assistance of the Duchess of Brittany,—finds the court, in a distracted state, and returns home, without effecting anything, 22.
- Wiltshire, Sir Thos. Boleyn created Earl of, and sent by Hen. VIII. to the Pope, whose foot he refuses to kiss, 134.
- Winter, sent by Queen Elizabeth with a fleet to the assistance of the Protestant malcontents in Scotland, 344.
- Wishart, the Scots reformer, account of, 241; condemned, burnt for heresy,—his prophetic denunciation against Card. Beaton,—his prophecy, how accomplished, 242.
- Witchcraft, and conjuration, law against, 367.
- Wolsey, Thomas, birth,—how introduced to the notice of Hen. VII.—address in executing a commission from that king to Maximilian, introduced to Hen. VIII. by Fox, Bp. of Winchester, 69; encourages Henry in his pleasures,—maxims of government he instils into him,—admitted of the privy council, character, 70; put in possession of the bishopric of Tournay, 74; created Bp. of Lincoln, 76; reconciles Henry with the Duke of Suffolk, who had married his sister the queen-dowager of France, privately,—promoted to the see of York,—shares the revenues of the Italian non-resident bishops,—magnificence, 79; made chancellor, 80; his disgusts against Fran. I., 84; his confidence courted by Bonnivet the French ambassador,—induced to persuade Henry to deliver up Tournay, 85; believed to have intended the delivery of Calais to the French,—appointed legate in England,—ostentation,—arbitrary exertions of power, 86; character of John Allen, judge of his legatine court, 87; power restrained by the king, 88; inspired with the hopes of the papacy by the Emp. Chas. V.,—regulates the ceremonial of the interview between Henry and Francis, for which he had persuaded Henry, 90; the emperor's grants to him at his second interview with Henry at Gravelines,—enormous revenues,—negotiations for peace between the Emp. and Francis, ineffectual,—goes to Bruges, concludes an alliance with Henry, the Emp. and the Pope, against Francis, 92; procures the condemnation of the Duke of Buckingham, 93; intimidates the convocation into the grant of a moiety of ecclesiastical revenues, 103; endeavours to procure the required grants from the commons, 104; obtains of Clement VII. the legatine commission for life,—resentment against the Emp. on missing the papacy,—erects two colleges, with other ecclesiastical regulations, 105; receives a present covertly from Louise, regent of France, on the conclusion of her treaty with Henry,—advises the king to exert his prerogative in levying taxes, 111; becomes odious for his bad counsels and oppressive conduct,—builds the palace of Hampton Court, presents it to the king, 113; goes over to France, makes a treaty with Francis, 117; appointed by the Pope to try the king's marriage, jointly with Card. Campeggio, 124; the trial opened, 125; abruptly pro rogued by Campeggio, 127; the great seal taken from him,—ordered to depart from York palace, his moveables there confiscated by the king,—want of fortitude on his disgrace, 128; prosecuted in the star-chamber.—the peers exhibit a long charge against him,—warmly defended by Thos. Cromwell in the House of Commons,—prosecuted on the statute of provisors, 129; pardoned by the king, 130; arrested by the Earl of Northumberland for high treason,—dying request to the constable of the Tower,—death, review of his conduct, 135; used no severities against the reformers, 150.
- Woodville, Lord, applies unsuccessfully to Hen. VII. for liberty to raise men to assist the Duke of Brittany,—raises a few privately,—routed and slain by the French, 20.
- Woollen manufacture, tax by parliament, 255.
- Wotton, Dr., is one of Queen Elizabeth's ambassadors at the treaty of Cateau Cambresis, 334; signs the treaty of Edinburgh with Cecil, on the part of Elizabeth, 345; sent ambassador again to Scotland,—character,—forced to fly from Scotland on account of his political schemes, 479.
- Wriothesley, made chancellor of England, 220; cruelty in torturing Anne Ascue for heresy,—persuades Henry to impeach Queen Cath. Parr for heresy, 221; comes to convey the queen to the Tower, abused by Henry, 222; appointed one of the regency, during the minority of Edw. VI., 233; created Earl of Southampton, 234.
- YELVERTON, his free speech in the house of commons, on Elizabeth's invasion of their privileges, 423.
- Yeomen, of the guard, first institution of, 5.
- York, a court of justice erected there, by Hen. VIII., 175; chapter lands of that see seized by him, 201.
- Yprés, battle of, between Hen. IV. of France and the generals of the Catholic league, 527.





